

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Physiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Physiol. 2020 November ; 598(22): 5063–5071. doi:10.1113/JP278388.

E-cigarettes, Nicotine, the Lung and the Brain: Multi-level Cascading Pathophysiology

Melissa Herman1,2, **Robert Tarran**³

¹Bowles Center for Alcohol Studies, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA.

²Department of Pharmacology, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA.

³Department of Cell Biology & Physiology; The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA.

Abstract

Tobacco smoking is highly addictive and causes respiratory disease, cardiovascular disease and multiple types of cancer. Electronic-cigarettes (e-cigarettes) are non-combustible tobacco alternatives that aerosolize nicotine and flavoring agents in a propylene glycol/vegetable glycerin (PG/VG) vehicle. They were originally envisaged as a tobacco cessation aid, but whether or not they help people to quit tobacco use is controversial. In this review, we have compared and contrasted what is known regarding the effects of nicotine on the lungs vs the effects of nicotine in the brain in the context of addiction. Critically, both combustible tobacco products and e-cigarettes contain nicotine, a highly addictive, plant-derived alkaloid that binds to nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR). Nicotine's reinforcing properties are primarily mediated by activation of the brain's mesolimbic reward circuitry and release of the neurotransmitter dopamine that contribute to the development of addiction. Moreover, nicotine addiction drives repeated intake that results in chronic pulmonary exposure to either tobacco smoke or e-cigarettes despite negative respiratory symptoms. Beyond the brain, nAChR are also highly expressed in peripheral neurons, epithelia and immune cells, where their activation may cause harmful effects. Thus, nicotine, a key ingredient of both conventional and electronic cigarettes, produces neurological effects that drive addiction and may damage the lungs in the process, producing a complex, multilevel pathological state. We conclude that vaping needs to be studied by multi-disciplinary teams that include pulmonary and neuro physiologists as well as behaviorists and addiction specialists to fully understand their impact on human physiology.

Author Contributions

MH and RT both co-wrote and co-edited the manuscript. Both MH and RT designed and drew the graphics. Both authors approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Competing Financial Interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Introduction

E-cigarettes are non-combustible electronic nicotine delivery devices that contain nicotine in a liquid vehicle of propylene glycol and vegetable glycerin (PG/VG) along with a broad range of flavors (Stratton et al., 2018). The e-cigarette liquid (e-liquid) is then heated in a battery-powered device and inhaled. People use tobacco and e-cigarettes to get nicotine to the brain: conventional tobacco products and newer e-cigarette devices are highly-efficient nicotine delivery systems. Tobacco smoking is declining because of multiple cultural factors, including bans on tobacco advertising and flavored products and increased taxes (Wakefield et al., 2011; McNeill et al., 2017). In contrast, vaping is less regulated and has been increasing in popularity in many countries since these restrictions do not yet apply. Thus, a current challenge is to keep up with cultural changes both from scientific and public health perspectives in order to provide evidence-based research for the legislature. Indeed, research in this area is struggling to keep pace with a dynamic marketplace, escalating use and changing cultural perceptions. Whether or not e-cigarettes are safer than conventional tobacco smoking has been hotly contested, and their effects on neuronal function and underlying behaviors associated with e-cigarette use remain unclear. Similarly, their effects on the pulmonary system, the bodies first point of exposure with inhaled e-liquids, is also poorly understood, although evidence is emerging that e-cigarette use is not as safe as previously thought (Eltorai et al., 2019; Gotts et al., 2019) and is associated with increased rates of pulmonary disease (Bhatta & Glantz, 2019, 2020). In this review, we shall discuss the effects of e-cigarette-delivered nicotine on the brain and lungs, in an attempt to better understand how nicotine can contribute to the altered physiology seen with e-cigarette exposure.

E-cigarettes as an alternative to smoking tobacco

The effects of e-cigarettes are not well understood and represent a poorly characterized health risk (Dinakar & O'Connor, 2016; Gotts et al., 2019). E-cigarettes are widely perceived as a safer alternative to tobacco smoking (Gravely et al., 2014; McMillen et al., 2015; Filippidis et al., 2017). However, public health specialists have offered differing opinions regarding their safety and there are conflicting data regarding their usefulness as smoking cessation tools (Hartmann-Boyce et al., 2016; Jankowski et al., 2017). Public Health England recently doubled down on previous advice that e-cigarettes are 95% safer than smoking and that people should switch from tobacco to e-cigarettes (East et al., 2018). This advice was based on a panel review who estimated that the risk of vaping is <5% of smoking based on the number of known cancer-causing agents (Nutt et al., 2014). This advice has recently been questioned (Eissenberg et al., 2020) and a recent European Respiratory Society task force noted that the long-term health effects of vaping are unknown and there is no evidence that they are safer than tobacco (Bals et al., 2019). In multiple countries, the popularity of e-cigarettes amongst youth have led to increased nicotine use, whilst tobacco smoking rates amongst similar age groups is flat or declining (Cullen et al., 2018; Hammond et al., 2019) and there is also concern that e-cigarette-mediated nicotine adoption amongst youth may lead to long-term nicotine dependency.

Nicotine overview

Nicotine is an alkaloid that is secreted from plants of the nightshade family as an insecticide. Nicotine binds to nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs), ubiquitously-expressed ligand-gated cation channels that are related to GABA and 5-HT receptors (Albuquerque et al., 2009; Benowitz, 2009). They are composed of 5 subunits and each subunit has 4 transmembrane domains and an extracellular N-terminal ligand binding site (Fasoli & Gotti, 2015). They are classified as either α or β based on the presence or absence of an extracellular cysteine domain respectively, and there are 8 human α -subunits (α 2-7, 9,10) and 3 β-subunits (β2-4) (Dani, 2015). Combinations of these subunits produce numerous nAChRs with variable ligand binding affinities and physiological roles (Dani, 2015). Acetylcholine is the physiological ligand for these receptors, and binding of acetylcholine or exogenous nicotine opens the channel to allow influx of cations $(Na^+, K^+,$ and Ca^{2+}). The channels are subsequently closed and become desensitized (Dani, 2015). The reinforcing effects of nicotine are primarily mediated by the α 7 and α 4 β 2 nicotinic receptors in the mesolimbic reward pathway, whilst α7 receptors are commonly expressed in the lung and in immune cells (Gahring & Rogers, 2006; Zdanowski et al., 2015). nAChR activation causes excitation of neighboring neurons, resulting in rapid synaptic transmission (Fasoli & Gotti, 2015). However, these neuronal excitatory effects are downregulated by chronic exposure to low concentrations of nicotine (Dani, 2015). nAChR (α4β2) rapidly desensitize upon nicotine binding, and upregulation/resensitization leads to nicotine craving (Rose, 2007; Benowitz, 2010; England et al., 2015). Whilst nicotine has been studied on its own, its contribution towards tobacco-induced lung pathology is less well understood, since it tends to be studied along with the other chemicals in cigarette smoke rather than in isolation. It is tacitly assumed that free base nicotine will behave similarly when added directly, in an ecigarette aerosol or in tobacco smoke. However, there is a knowledge gap in the field and this relationship has not been extensively tested. Moreover, Juul-type e-liquids contain nicotine salt, rather than nicotine freebase, and its effects on the airways, brain and other organs, as well as its efficacy relative to freebase nicotine are poorly understood.

Connecting nicotine, the lung and the brain

Addiction to nicotine drives the repeated exposure to electronic nicotine vapor in humans (Figure 1). Indeed, exposure to nicotine is reinforcing and can lead to repeated cycles of intake culminating in the need for regular consumption and withdrawal symptoms during periods of abstinence (Markou, 2008). One of the primary goals of addiction research is to understand how nicotine or other drugs of abuse augment or impair cellular functions to produce long-lasting maladaptive changes to brain circuitry that promote addiction. However, nicotine passes through the lungs and cardiovascular system before it reaches the brain. Thus, it is important to understand the detrimental effects of nicotine from a systems perspective. The rest of the body, and the lungs in particular, are chronically exposed to nicotine, which leads to activation of peripheral nAChR. Thus, future studies will need to examine the interplay between peripheral and central effects of nicotine: for example, (i) how nicotine can directly alter cell signaling pathways both centrally and peripherally to change gene/protein expression and (ii) how peripheral alterations can trigger maladaptive changes in specific regions of the lungs and brain.

The effects of nicotine on neuronal adaptations and behavior

The behaviors associated with nicotine exposure and dependence are mediated by cellular adaptations as the brain responds to repeated cycles of exposure and withdrawal. With prolonged use, these central adaptations can lead to near-permanent changes in neurons and neuronal networks (Markou, 2008). A number of brain regions have been identified as targets of nicotine-induced plasticity, including the amygdala and the mesolimbic reward pathway (Adinoff, 2004). The mesolimbic reward pathway originates in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) with dopamine projections into the nucleus accumbens. Nicotine, as well as drugs like cocaine, amphetamine and alcohol increase mesolimbic dopamine signaling by enhancing the excitability of VTA dopamine cells. Nicotine acts at nAChRs expressed in VTA dopamine neurons as well as on local GABAergic interneurons and afferent terminals (Pidoplichko et al., 2004) to alter dopamine neuron excitability through direct actions on dopamine cell bodies as well as alterations in local GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission (Mansvelder & McGehee, 2000; Mansvelder et al., 2002; Mansvelder & McGehee, 2002). Nicotine-induced augmentation of mesolimbic dopamine signaling is particularly sensitive to mechanisms regulating the excitability of VTA dopamine cell bodies (Pidoplichko et al., 2004). Substantial evidence indicates that the motivational effects of nicotine are largely mediated through the VTA. For example, lesions of VTA dopamine neurons or intra-VTA infusion of nAChR antagonists decrease nicotine self-administration (Corrigall et al., 1992; Corrigall et al., 1994), and mice and rats will selfadminister nicotine directly into the VTA in a manner sensitive to nicotinic acetylcholine and dopamine receptor blockade (Ikemoto et al., 2006). These studies indicate that motivational and rewarding effects of nicotine are mediated by increased excitation of VTA dopamine cells (Mansvelder & McGehee, 2000; Mansvelder et al., 2002; Mansvelder & McGehee, 2002). In contrast to acute exposure, chronic nicotine is associated with a diminished dopaminergic state. Here, decreased VTA dopamine cell firing (Liu & Jin, 2004), decreased tonic and phasic dopamine release and decreased extracellular dopamine levels in striatal regions of rodents and non-human primates (Takahashi et al., 1998; Rahman et al., 2004; Domino et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012) and decreased dopamine metabolite levels in cerebrospinal fluid from human smokers occur (Geracioti et al., 1999). These deficits in dopamine transmission are thought to contribute to decreased brain reward function (Epping-Jordan et al., 1998) and nicotine withdrawal symptoms including depressed mood, decreased arousal and sleep disturbances (Takahashi et al., 1998; Rahman et al., 2004; Domino & Tsukada, 2009; Zhang et al., 2012). Chronic exposure-related deficits in dopamine function partially result from nicotine-induced disruptions in excitatory and inhibitory mechanisms regulating midbrain dopamine cell activity (Takahashi et al., 1998; Rahman et al., 2004; Domino & Tsukada, 2009; Zhang et al., 2012). In addition to effects on the central nervous system, nicotine has significant effects on the parasympathetic nervous system, including increased locomotion and decreased body temperature (Javadi-Paydar et al., 2019). Thus, irrespective of its source, inhaled nicotine produces significant cellular neuronal adaptations.

Nicotine pharmacodynamics

After e-cigarette inhalation, blood nicotine levels typically peak at \sim 120 nM (St Helen et al., 2016). In contrast, little has been done to study nicotine levels in the lung. Unlike blood and

Herman and Tarran **Page 5** Page 5

urine, measuring nicotine levels in the lung is a technically challenging endeavor that requires sampling of the airway surface liquid (ASL) that lines the lung lumen either by performing bronchoscopy and obtaining bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) or by obtaining sputum. Further, the nature of these techniques makes repeat measurements difficult, so it is harder to develop comprehensive lung nicotine pharmacodynamic profiles. This impediment notwithstanding, we have previously measured nicotine levels in sputum of smokers and vapers (Clunes et al., 2008; Ghosh et al., 2019). Here, we corrected for any dilution factors by measuring lung urea levels, since this biomarker is typically at equilibrium between blood and sputum. Using this approach, we found that sputum nicotine levels were \sim 30 μ M for smokers (Clunes et al., 2008). We found that sputum nicotine levels in vapers were ~ 50 μM (Ghosh et al., 2019). Moreover, since these measurements were made ~30 min after vaping, we may be markedly underestimating the amount of nicotine seen by the lung, since nicotine is likely transepithelially absorbed in an exponential fashion. E-liquids contain between 3-18 mg/ml of nicotine, which equates to between ~18-112 mM. Thus, if we are seeing \sim 50 μ M nicotine after \sim 30 min, the initial nicotine concentration seen by the lung is likely much higher and possibly in the mM range. Clearly, more studies are needed to fully determine the impact of e-cigarette device type and e-liquid type (including nicotine concentration), as well as variations in subject topography on lung nicotine levels.

The effects of nicotine on the lung

Airway epithelia—Airway epithelia line the lung lumen (Whitsett & Alenghat, 2015). They help modulate ASL volume/composition, secrete mucins, secrete cytokines that can trigger leukocyte infiltration and also form a barrier against invading pathogens (Shaykhiev & Crystal, 2013). Airway epithelia are deranged following chronic tobacco smoke exposure and undergo significant changes in gene and protein expression that lead to a loss of barrier function, goblet cell metaplasia and altered inflammatory status (Ghosh et al., 2015; Strzelak et al., 2018). We have found that vaping causes significant changes to the airway epithelial proteome that are distinct from the changes seen in smokers. These changes were accompanied by an altered physical appearance of the airways, and vapers' airways had a distinct reddish color that was indicative of erythema and increased friability (Ghosh et al., 2018a). However, further studies will be required to determine the impact of nicotine on these changes. The effects of vaping on the lungs of never-smokers have been studied. Never smokers inhaled a nicotine-containing e-liquid and saw significant changes in gene expression (Staudt et al., 2018). In a second study, where subjects only inhaled PG/V/G, the changes appeared to be much smaller (Song et al., 2020), suggesting that changes may have been nicotine-dependent. Thus, inhaling nicotine via e-cigarettes may contribute to the changes seen in vapers airways.

ASL provides an appropriate environment for immune cell function and is a source of proteases and protease inhibitors (Hiemstra, 2015; Taggart et al., 2017). Maintenance of ASL hydration is critical for efficiently clearing mucus out of the lungs. Indeed, in both cystic fibrosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), dysfunctional cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR)-mediated anion secretion contributes to ASL dehydration that leads to mucus plugging, chronic infection and inflammation and lung damage (i.e. bronchiectasis) (Collawn & Matalon, 2014; Ghosh et al., 2015). In COPD

Herman and Tarran **Page 6**

airways, mucus dehydration inversely correlates with a decline in lung function and also is a predictor of mortality (Hogg et al., 2004; Anderson et al., 2015). More recently, nicotine has been shown to inhibit CFTR function leading to decreased Cl− secretion, decreased ciliary beating and decreased airway hydration (Garcia-Arcos et al., 2016; Chung et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2019) (Figure 1). Whilst the mechanism of nicotine-dependent CFTR inhibition is not fully understood, we have previously found that CFTR is inhibited by elevations in cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} (Rasmussen et al., 2014; Patel et al., 2019). This elevation in cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} causes CFTR dephosphorylation (Marklew et al., 2019), and we speculate that nicotine-dependent Ca^{2+} influx through nAChR likely inhibits CFTR through a similar process, indicating a mechanistic link between nicotine intake and epithelial ion channel dysfunction.

Pulmonary Immune Cells—Alveolar macrophages are resident innate immune cells that phagocytose, secrete cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors (Gordon & Read, 2002; Rubins, 2003; Phipps et al., 2010; Lawal, 2018). nAChRs are highly expressed in pulmonary immune cells (Gahring & Rogers, 2006). For example, α7 nAChR knockout mice show a blunted pulmonary response to cigarette smoke exposure (Gahring et al., 2017). Alveolar macrophages exhibit functional heterogeneity/plasticity (Gordon & Taylor, 2005; Hao et al., 2012). Notably, long-term activation of macrophages without resolution of inflammation can cause airway damage. Conversely, downregulation of macrophage function can lead to immunosuppression, airway infection and inflammation-associated damage (Simonin-Le Jeune et al., 2013). In the healthy lung, alveolar macrophages make up >90% of the resident immune cells. However, some neutrophils (~3-5% of the total cell count) are also present, and this number can change drastically during either infection and/or inflammation. For example, in COPD lungs, neutrophils become the predominant cell type in the lung (Jasper et al., 2019). Scott et al found that e-liquid condensate exposure increased apoptosis and necrosis in alveolar macrophages, in a nicotine-dependent fashion (Scott et al., 2018). Similarly, phagocytosis was impaired by nicotine-containing e-liquids, suggesting that innate defense in alveolar macrophages may be impaired. Neutrophils can also phagocytose and release cytokines/chemokines. However, neutrophils are also prone to lysis and can release their intracellular contents, including proteases and DNA into the lung, which can contribute to lung damage and increased mucus/sputum viscosity, respectively (Kaplan & Radic, 2012). Neutrophil lysis and the formation of DNA-containing neutrophil extracellular traps (NET)s is triggered by elevations in extracellular Ca^{2+} . Nicotine activation of nAChRs, via its ability to elevate cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} , can also induce NETosis/neutrophil lysis (Lee et al., 2017).

Proteases including neutrophil elastase, which as its name suggests, is derived from neutrophils, and macrophage-derived matrix metalloproteases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) are normally expressed in the lung, where they are involved in tissue repair and regeneration (Greene & McElvaney, 2009). However, when chronically upregulated, these proteases cause lung damage (emphysema and bronchiectasis) (Nadel, 2000; Skrzydlewska et al., 2005; Abboud & Vimalanathan, 2008; Fischer et al., 2011) (Figure 1). They can also degrade antimicrobial proteins (Webster et al., 2018) and cleave the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) leading to altered cellular communication and increased mucin expression

(Greene & McElvaney, 2009). We have previously conducted research bronchoscopies on healthy vapers and smokers. We found that neutrophil elastase and macrophage-derived matrix metalloproteases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) were significantly elevated in vapers' lungs, to the same extent as seen in smokers (Ghosh et al., 2019). Studies of freshly-isolated alveolar macrophages and peripheral blood neutrophils from healthy non-smokers revealed that nicotine caused a dose-dependent increase in cytosolic Ca^{2+} and protease release from both cell types (Ghosh et al., 2019). Macrophages were found to be more sensitive to nicotine than neutrophils and their EC_{50} for protease release was ~40 nM, which was below the amount of nicotine (\sim 50 μ M) measured in vapers' sputum. In keeping with these findings, lung protease levels were also elevated in vapers who were never-smokers. In mice, vaping with nicotine and PG/VG also caused emphysema (Garcia-Arcos et al., 2016). Given the firmly established link between proteases and lung damage, the potential risk to vapers' lungs from nicotine-induced proteolysis cannot be overstated.

Future studies and conclusions

To improve understanding of the impact of e-cigarette/nicotine exposure on the lung and brain, greater integration between clinical researchers and behaviorists studying human ecigarette-mediated nicotine intake and laboratory researchers studying animal and cellular models of e-cigarette/nicotine exposure is required. One future challenge will be to accurately reproduce human nicotine intake and exposure levels in the laboratory. Moreover, exposure levels will likely differ depending on the organ system being studied, with higher levels of exposure seen in the lungs than the brain or other systems. Differentiating the peripheral and central effects of nicotine, and how these distinct but parallel processes interact, potentially through inflammatory signaling or neuroimmune activation, is also an important area of future study.

Animal models will be essential in studying the effects of vaping. To date, mice have been exposed to e-cigarette vapour, leading to emphysema, increases in mucin levels and lipid accumulation, amongst other findings (Garcia-Arcos et al., 2016; Ghosh et al., 2018b; Madison et al., 2019). However, other animals including large animals whose airways more accurately reflect human airway physiology will be important. For example, sheep have also been exposed to e-cigarette vapour, which causes mucus stasis (Chung et al., 2019). Importantly, animal studies will allow for the study of multi-organ pathology. For example, vaping impairs embryo implantation in mice pregnant mice and alters the development of the offspring (Wetendorf et al., 2019). Vaping also induced fibrosis and caused impaired renal function in mice (Crotty Alexander et al., 2018). Regardless of the animal type or organ studied, challenges facing the field include (i) possible strain-dependent effects, (ii) lack of appropriate e-cigarette aerosol exposure regimens and (iii) given the large number of e-liquids that are commercially available (over 7,000 and counting) and the dynamic nature of the market place, finding an appropriate e-liquid to use. For example, whilst (Garcia-Arcos et al., 2016) found emphysema after vaping mice, {Madison, 2019 #4160) did not, and instead found altered lipid accumulation, which may have been due to strain dependencies or different vape exposure protocols. Similarly, (Lee et al., 2018) exposed mice to e-cigarette aerosol for a year, which is a massive time commitment, and during this time, vendors may change the composition of, or discontinue e-liquids. This field is still in

Herman and Tarran **Page 8** Page 8

its infancy, and standard, test e-liquids and standard exposure protocols are urgently needed, much akin to the Kentucky research cigarettes and standard exposure protocols used with conventional cigarettes.

In conclusion, electronic vaporization of nicotine likely promotes the same addictive behaviors as nicotine exposure through conventional means, resulting in increased chronic/ repeated use, which will have deleterious effects in the brain and lung (Figure 1). The lung has evolved to be highly resilient and it typically takes decades of tobacco smoke exposure before pathology emerges (i.e. COPD or lung cancer). Thus, even though e-cigarettes have already been shown to induce changes to multiple regions of the lung (Gotts et al., 2019), what we are seeing is likely to be only the tip of the iceberg. Indeed, whilst incidences of lung cancer and COPD spiked to match peak tobacco smoking usage in the last century and are now falling, there was a lag time between the two events, with tobacco use declining before disease. Decades from now, we may see a new e-cigarette-dependent spike in lung disease and it is critical that addiction researchers and those studying the lung and other systems exposed to nicotine work together on this problem in order to avoid a late 21st century nicotine/e-cigarette addiction and lung disease epidemic.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by NIH/NHLBI HL135642 and NIH/NIAAA AAAA026858

References:

- Abboud RT & Vimalanathan S. (2008). Pathogenesis of COPD. Part I. The role of proteaseantiprotease imbalance in emphysema. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 12, 361–367. [PubMed: 18371259]
- Adinoff B (2004). Neurobiologic processes in drug reward and addiction. Harv Rev Psychiatry 12, 305–320. [PubMed: 15764467]
- Albuquerque EX, Pereira EF, Alkondon M & Rogers SW. (2009). Mammalian nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: from structure to function. Physiol Rev 89, 73–120. [PubMed: 19126755]
- Anderson WH, Coakley RD, Button B, Henderson AG, Zeman KL, Alexis NE, Peden DB, Lazarowski ER, Davis CW, Bailey S, Fuller F, Almond M, Qaqish B, Bordonali E, Rubinstein M, Bennett WD, Kesimer M & Boucher RC. (2015). The Relationship of Mucus Concentration (Hydration) to Mucus Osmotic Pressure and Transport in Chronic Bronchitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 192, 182–190. [PubMed: 25909230]
- Bals R, Boyd J, Esposito S, Foronjy R, Hiemstra PS, Jimenez-Ruiz CA, Katsaounou P, Lindberg A, Metz C, Schober W, Spira A & Blasi F. (2019). Electronic cigarettes: a task force report from the European Respiratory Society. Eur Respir J 53.
- Benowitz NL. (2009). Pharmacology of nicotine: addiction, smoking-induced disease, and therapeutics. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 49, 57–71. [PubMed: 18834313]
- Benowitz NL. (2010). Nicotine addiction. N Engl J Med 362, 2295–2303. [PubMed: 20554984]
- Bhatta DN & Glantz SA. (2019). Association of E-Cigarette Use With Respiratory Disease Among Adults: A Longitudinal Analysis. Am J Prev Med.
- Bhatta DN & Glantz SA. (2020). Association of E-Cigarette Use With Respiratory Disease Among Adults: A Longitudinal Analysis. Am J Prev Med 58, 182–190. [PubMed: 31859175]
- Chung S, Baumlin N, Dennis JS, Moore R, Salathe SF, Whitney PL, Sabater J, Abraham WM, Kim MD & Salathe M. (2019). Electronic Cigarette Vapor with Nicotine Causes Airway Mucociliary Dysfunction Preferentially via TRPA1 Receptors. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 200, 1134–1145. [PubMed: 31170808]

- Clunes LA, Bridges A, Alexis N & Tarran R. (2008). In vivo versus in vitro airway surface liquid nicotine levels following cigarette smoke exposure. J Anal Toxicol 32, 201–207. [PubMed: 18397571]
- Collawn JF & Matalon S. (2014). CFTR and lung homeostasis. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 307, L917–923. [PubMed: 25381027]
- Corrigall WA, Coen KM & Adamson KL. (1994). Self-administered nicotine activates the mesolimbic dopamine system through the ventral tegmental area. Brain Res 653, 278–284. [PubMed: 7982062]
- Corrigall WA, Franklin KB, Coen KM & Clarke PB. (1992). The mesolimbic dopaminergic system is implicated in the reinforcing effects of nicotine. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 107, 285–289. [PubMed: 1615127]
- Crotty Alexander LE, Drummond CA, Hepokoski M, Mathew D, Moshensky A, Willeford A, Das S, Singh P, Yong Z, Lee JH, Vega K, Du A, Shin J, Javier C, Tian J, Brown JH & Breen EC. (2018). Chronic inhalation of e-cigarette vapor containing nicotine disrupts airway barrier function and induces systemic inflammation and multiorgan fibrosis in mice. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 314, R834–R847. [PubMed: 29384700]
- Cullen KA, Ambrose BK, Gentzke AS, Apelberg BJ, Jamal A & King BA. (2018). Notes from the Field: Use of Electronic Cigarettes and Any Tobacco Product Among Middle and High School Students - United States, 2011–2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 67, 1276–1277. [PubMed: 30439875]
- Dani JA. (2015). Neuronal Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Structure and Function and Response to Nicotine. Int Rev Neurobiol 124, 3–19. [PubMed: 26472524]
- Dinakar C & O'Connor GT. (2016). The Health Effects of Electronic Cigarettes. N Engl J Med 375, 1372–1381. [PubMed: 27705269]
- Domino EF & Tsukada H. (2009). Nicotine sensitization of monkey striatal dopamine release. Eur J Pharmacol 607, 91–95. [PubMed: 19232339]
- East K, Brose LS, McNeill A, Cheeseman H, Arnott D & Hitchman SC. (2018). Harm perceptions of electronic cigarettes and nicotine: A nationally representative cross-sectional survey of young people in Great Britain. Drug Alcohol Depend 192, 257–263. [PubMed: 30300799]
- Eissenberg T, Bhatnagar A, Chapman S, Jordt SE, Shihadeh A & Soule EK. (2020). Invalidity of an Oft-Cited Estimate of the Relative Harms of Electronic Cigarettes. Am J Public Health 110, 161– 162. [PubMed: 31913680]
- Eltorai AE, Choi AR & Eltorai AS. (2019). Impact of Electronic Cigarettes on Various Organ Systems. Respir Care 64, 328–336. [PubMed: 30401756]
- England LJ, Bunnell RE, Pechacek TF, Tong VT & McAfee TA. (2015). Nicotine and the Developing Human: A Neglected Element in the Electronic Cigarette Debate. Am J Prev Med 49, 286–293. [PubMed: 25794473]
- Fasoli F & Gotti C. (2015). Structure of neuronal nicotinic receptors. Curr Top Behav Neurosci 23, 1– 17. [PubMed: 25655885]
- Filippidis FT, Gerovasili V & Laverty AA. (2017). Commentary on Farsalinos et al. (2016): Electronic cigarette use in the European Union: analysis of a representative sample of 27 460 Europeans from 28 countries. Addiction 112, 544–545. [PubMed: 27649931]
- Fischer BM, Pavlisko E & Voynow JA. (2011). Pathogenic triad in COPD: oxidative stress, proteaseantiprotease imbalance, and inflammation. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 6, 413–421. [PubMed: 21857781]
- Gahring LC, Myers EJ, Dunn DM, Weiss RB & Rogers SW. (2017). Lung epithelial response to cigarette smoke and modulation by the nicotinic alpha 7 receptor. PLoS One 12, e0187773. [PubMed: 29117258]
- Gahring LC & Rogers SW. (2006). Neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor expression and function on nonneuronal cells. AAPS J 7, E885–894. [PubMed: 16594641]
- Garcia-Arcos I, Geraghty P, Baumlin N, Campos M, Dabo AJ, Jundi B, Cummins N, Eden E, Grosche A, Salathe M & Foronjy R. (2016). Chronic electronic cigarette exposure in mice induces features of COPD in a nicotine-dependent manner. Thorax.

- Ghosh A, Boucher RC & Tarran R. (2015). Airway hydration and COPD. Cell Mol Life Sci 72, 3637– 3652. [PubMed: 26068443]
- Ghosh A, Coakley RC, Mascenik T, Rowell TR, Davis ES, Rogers K, Webster MJ, Dang H, Herring LE, Sassano MF, Livraghi-Butrico A, Van Buren SK, Graves LM, Herman MA, Randell SH, Alexis NE & Tarran R. (2018a). Chronic E-Cigarette Exposure Alters the Human Bronchial Epithelial Proteome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 198, 67–76. [PubMed: 29481290]
- Ghosh A, Coakley RC, Mascenik T, Rowell TR, Davis ES, Rogers K, Webster MJ, Dang H, Herring LE, Sassano MF, Livraghi-Butrico A, Van Buren SK, Graves LM, Herman MA, Randell SH, Alexis NE & Tarran R. (2018b). Chronic E-cigarette Exposure Alters the Human Bronchial Epithelial Proteome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med.
- Ghosh A, Coakley RD, Ghio AJ, Muhlebach MS, Esther CR Jr., Alexis NE & Tarran R. (2019). Chronic E-Cigarette Use Increases Neutrophil Elastase and Matrix Metalloprotease Levels in the Lung. Am J Respir Crit Care Med.
- Gordon S & Taylor PR. (2005). Monocyte and macrophage heterogeneity. Nat Rev Immunol 5, 953– 964. [PubMed: 16322748]
- Gordon SB & Read RC. (2002). Macrophage defences against respiratory tract infections. Br Med Bull 61, 45–61. [PubMed: 11997298]
- Gotts JE, Jordt SE, McConnell R & Tarran R. (2019). What are the respiratory effects of e-cigarettes? BMJ 366, l5275. [PubMed: 31570493]
- Gravely S, Fong GT, Cummings KM, Yan M, Quah AC, Borland R, Yong HH, Hitchman SC, McNeill A, Hammond D, Thrasher JF, Willemsen MC, Seo HG, Jiang Y, Cavalcante T, Perez C, Omar M & Hummel K. (2014). Awareness, trial, and current use of electronic cigarettes in 10 countries: Findings from the ITC project. Int J Environ Res Public Health 11, 11691–11704. [PubMed: 25421063]
- Greene CM & McElvaney NG. (2009). Proteases and antiproteases in chronic neutrophilic lung disease - relevance to drug discovery. Br J Pharmacol 158, 1048–1058. [PubMed: 19845686]
- Hammond D, Reid JL, Rynard VL, Fong GT, Cummings KM, McNeill A, Hitchman S, Thrasher JF, Goniewicz ML, Bansal-Travers M, O'Connor R, Levy D, Borland R & White CM. (2019). Prevalence of vaping and smoking among adolescents in Canada, England, and the United States: repeat national cross sectional surveys. BMJ 365, l2219. [PubMed: 31221636]
- Hao NB, Lu MH, Fan YH, Cao YL, Zhang ZR & Yang SM. (2012). Macrophages in tumor microenvironments and the progression of tumors. Clin Dev Immunol 2012, 948098. [PubMed: 22778768]
- Hartmann-Boyce J, McRobbie H, Bullen C, Begh R, Stead LF & Hajek P. (2016). Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 9, CD010216. [PubMed: 27622384]
- Hiemstra PS. (2015). Parallel activities and interactions between antimicrobial peptides and complement in host defense at the airway epithelial surface. Mol Immunol 68, 28–30. [PubMed: 26597202]
- Hogg JC, Chu F, Utokaparch S, Woods R, Elliott WM, Buzatu L, Cherniack RM, Rogers RM, Sciurba FC, Coxson HO & Pare PD. (2004). The nature of small-airway obstruction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med 350, 2645–2653. [PubMed: 15215480]
- Ikemoto S, Qin M & Liu ZH. (2006). Primary reinforcing effects of nicotine are triggered from multiple regions both inside and outside the ventral tegmental area. J Neurosci 26, 723–730. [PubMed: 16421292]
- Jankowski M, Brozek G, Lawson J, Skoczynski S & Zejda JE. (2017). E-smoking: Emerging public health problem? Int J Occup Med Environ Health 30, 329–344. [PubMed: 28481369]
- Jasper AE, McIver WJ, Sapey E & Walton GM. (2019). Understanding the role of neutrophils in chronic inflammatory airway disease. F1000Res 8.
- Javadi-Paydar M, Kerr TM, Harvey EL, Cole M & Taffe MA. (2019). Effects of nicotine and THC vapor inhalation administered by an electronic nicotine delivery system (ENDS) in male rats. Drug Alcohol Depend 198, 54–62. [PubMed: 30878767]
- Kaplan MJ & Radic M. (2012). Neutrophil extracellular traps: double-edged swords of innate immunity. J Immunol 189, 2689–2695. [PubMed: 22956760]

- Lawal AO. (2018). Diesel Exhaust Particles and the Induction of Macrophage Activation and Dysfunction. Inflammation 41, 356–363. [PubMed: 29047037]
- Lee HW, Park SH, Weng MW, Wang HT, Huang WC, Lepor H, Wu XR, Chen LC & Tang MS. (2018). E-cigarette smoke damages DNA and reduces repair activity in mouse lung, heart, and bladder as well as in human lung and bladder cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115, E1560–E1569. [PubMed: 29378943]
- Lee J, Luria A, Rhodes C, Raghu H, Lingampalli N, Sharpe O, Rada B, Sohn DH, Robinson WH & Sokolove J. (2017). Nicotine drives neutrophil extracellular traps formation and accelerates collagen-induced arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 56, 644–653. [PubMed: 28013195]
- Lin VY, Fain MD, Jackson PL, Berryhill TF, Wilson LS, Mazur M, Barnes SJ, Blalock JE, Raju SV & Rowe SM. (2019). Vaporized E-Cigarette Liquids Induce Ion Transport Dysfunction in Airway Epithelia. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 61, 162–173. [PubMed: 30576219]
- Liu ZH & Jin WQ. (2004). Decrease of ventral tegmental area dopamine neuronal activity in nicotine withdrawal rats. Neuroreport 15, 1479–1481. [PubMed: 15194878]
- Madison MC, Landers CT, Gu BH, Chang CY, Tung HY, You R, Hong MJ, Baghaei N, Song LZ, Porter P, Putluri N, Salas R, Gilbert BE, Levental I, Campen MJ, Corry DB & Kheradmand F. (2019). Electronic cigarettes disrupt lung lipid homeostasis and innate immunity independent of nicotine. J Clin Invest 129, 4290–4304. [PubMed: 31483291]
- Mansvelder HD, Keath JR & McGehee DS. (2002). Synaptic mechanisms underlie nicotine-induced excitability of brain reward areas. Neuron 33, 905–919. [PubMed: 11906697]
- Mansvelder HD & McGehee DS. (2000). Long-term potentiation of excitatory inputs to brain reward areas by nicotine. Neuron 27, 349–357. [PubMed: 10985354]
- Mansvelder HD & McGehee DS. (2002). Cellular and synaptic mechanisms of nicotine addiction. J Neurobiol 53, 606–617. [PubMed: 12436424]
- Marklew AJ, Patel W, Moore PJ, Tan CD, Smith AJ, Sassano MF, Gray MA & Tarran R. (2019). Cigarette Smoke Exposure Induces Retrograde Trafficking of CFTR to the Endoplasmic Reticulum. Sci Rep 9, 13655. [PubMed: 31541117]
- Markou A (2008). Review. Neurobiology of nicotine dependence. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 363, 3159–3168. [PubMed: 18640919]
- McMillen RC, Gottlieb MA, Shaefer RM, Winickoff JP & Klein JD. (2015). Trends in Electronic Cigarette Use Among U.S. Adults: Use is Increasing in Both Smokers and Nonsmokers. Nicotine Tob Res 17, 1195–1202. [PubMed: 25381306]
- McNeill A, Gravely S, Hitchman SC, Bauld L, Hammond D & Hartmann-Boyce J. (2017). Tobacco packaging design for reducing tobacco use. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 4, CD011244. [PubMed: 28447363]
- Nadel JA. (2000). Role of neutrophil elastase in hypersecretion during COPD exacerbations, and proposed therapies. Chest 117, 386S–389S. [PubMed: 10843982]
- Nutt DJ, Phillips LD, Balfour D, Curran HV, Dockrell M, Foulds J, Fagerstrom K, Letlape K, Milton A, Polosa R, Ramsey J & Sweanor D. (2014). Estimating the harms of nicotine-containing products using the MCDA approach. Eur Addict Res 20, 218–225. [PubMed: 24714502]
- Patel W, Moore PJ, Sassano MF, Lopes-Pacheco M, Aleksandrov AA, Amaral MD, Tarran R & Gray MA. (2019). Increases in cytosolic Ca(2+) induce dynamin- and calcineurin-dependent internalisation of CFTR. Cell Mol Life Sci 76, 977–994. [PubMed: 30547226]
- Phipps JC, Aronoff DM, Curtis JL, Goel D, O'Brien E & Mancuso P. (2010). Cigarette smoke exposure impairs pulmonary bacterial clearance and alveolar macrophage complement-mediated phagocytosis of Streptococcus pneumoniae. Infect Immun 78, 1214–1220. [PubMed: 20008540]
- Pidoplichko VI, Noguchi J, Areola OO, Liang Y, Peterson J, Zhang T & Dani JA. (2004). Nicotinic cholinergic synaptic mechanisms in the ventral tegmental area contribute to nicotine addiction. Learn Mem 11, 60–69. [PubMed: 14747518]
- Rahman S, Zhang J, Engleman EA & Corrigall WA. (2004). Neuroadaptive changes in the mesoaccumbens dopamine system after chronic nicotine self-administration: a microdialysis study. Neuroscience 129, 415–424. [PubMed: 15501598]
- Rasmussen JE, Sheridan JT, Polk W, Davies CM & Tarran R. (2014). Cigarette smoke-induced Ca2+ release leads to cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) dysfunction. J Biol Chem 289, 7671–7681. [PubMed: 24448802]
- Rose JE. (2007). Multiple brain pathways and receptors underlying tobacco addiction. Biochem Pharmacol 74, 1263–1270. [PubMed: 17826746]
- Rubins JB. (2003). Alveolar macrophages: wielding the double-edged sword of inflammation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 167, 103–104. [PubMed: 12524246]
- Scott A, Lugg ST, Aldridge K, Lewis KE, Bowden A, Mahida RY, Grudzinska FS, Dosanjh D, Parekh D, Foronjy R, Sapey E, Naidu B & Thickett DR. (2018). Pro-inflammatory effects of e-cigarette vapour condensate on human alveolar macrophages. Thorax 73, 1161–1169. [PubMed: 30104262]
- Shaykhiev R & Crystal RG. (2013). Innate immunity and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a mini-review. Gerontology 59, 481–489. [PubMed: 24008598]
- Simonin-Le Jeune K, Le Jeune A, Jouneau S, Belleguic C, Roux PF, Jaguin M, Dimanche-Boitre MT, Lecureur V, Leclercq C, Desrues B, Brinchault G, Gangneux JP & Martin-Chouly C. (2013). Impaired functions of macrophage from cystic fibrosis patients: CD11b, TLR-5 decrease and sCD14, inflammatory cytokines increase. PLoS One 8, e75667. [PubMed: 24098711]
- Skrzydlewska E, Sulkowska M, Koda M & Sulkowski S. (2005). Proteolytic-antiproteolytic balance and its regulation in carcinogenesis. World J Gastroenterol 11, 1251–1266. [PubMed: 15761961]
- Song MA, Reisinger SA, Freudenheim JL, Brasky TM, Mathe EA, McElroy JP, Nickerson QA, Weng DY, Wewers MD & Shields PG. (2020). Effects of Electronic Cigarette Constituents on the Human Lung: A Pilot Clinical Trial. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 13, 145–152. [PubMed: 31619441]
- St Helen G, Ross KC, Dempsey DA, Havel CM, Jacob P 3rd & Benowitz NL. (2016). Nicotine Delivery and Vaping Behavior During ad Libitum E-cigarette Access. Tob Regul Sci 2, 363–376. [PubMed: 28393086]
- Staudt MR, Salit J, Kaner RJ, Hollmann C & Crystal RG. (2018). Altered lung biology of healthy never smokers following acute inhalation of E-cigarettes. Respir Res 19, 78. [PubMed: 29754582]
- Stratton K, Kwan LE & Eaton DL. (2018). Public Health Consequences of E-cigarettes. The National Acadamies Press, Washingto DC, USA.
- Strzelak A, Ratajczak A, Adamiec A & Feleszko W. (2018). Tobacco Smoke Induces and Alters Immune Responses in the Lung Triggering Inflammation, Allergy, Asthma and Other Lung Diseases: A Mechanistic Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15.
- Taggart C, Mall MA, Lalmanach G, Cataldo D, Ludwig A, Janciauskiene S, Heath N, Meiners S, Overall CM, Schultz C, Turk B & Borensztajn KS. (2017). Protean proteases: at the cutting edge of lung diseases. Eur Respir J 49.
- Takahashi H, Takada Y, Nagai N, Urano T & Takada A. (1998). Effects of nicotine and footshock stress on dopamine release in the striatum and nucleus accumbens. Brain Res Bull 45, 157–162. [PubMed: 9443833]
- Wakefield MA, Spittal MJ, Yong HH, Durkin SJ & Borland R. (2011). Effects of mass media campaign exposure intensity and durability on quit attempts in a population-based cohort study. Health Educ Res 26, 988–997. [PubMed: 21730252]
- Webster MJ, Reidel B, Tan CD, Ghosh A, Alexis NE, Donaldson SH, Kesimer M, Ribeiro CMP & Tarran R. (2018). SPLUNC1 degradation by the cystic fibrosis mucosal environment drives airway surface liquid dehydration. Eur Respir J 52.
- Wetendorf M, Randall LT, Lemma MT, Hurr SH, Pawlak JB, Tarran R, Doerschuk CM & Caron KM. (2019). E-Cigarette Exposure Delays Implantation and Causes Reduced Weight Gain in Female Offspring Exposed In Utero. J Endocr Soc 3, 1907–1916. [PubMed: 31598571]
- Whitsett JA & Alenghat T. (2015). Respiratory epithelial cells orchestrate pulmonary innate immunity. Nat Immunol 16, 27–35. [PubMed: 25521682]
- Zdanowski R, Krzyzowska M, Ujazdowska D, Lewicka A & Lewicki S. (2015). Role of alpha7 nicotinic receptor in the immune system and intracellular signaling pathways. Cent Eur J Immunol 40, 373–379. [PubMed: 26648784]
- Zhang L, Dong Y, Doyon WM & Dani JA. (2012). Withdrawal from chronic nicotine exposure alters dopamine signaling dynamics in the nucleus accumbens. Biol Psychiatry 71, 184–191. [PubMed: 21872847]

Figure 1. Nicotine intake alters lung homeostasis and acts in the brain to promote addiction. 1, Nicotine is inhaled into the lungs where it equals or exceeds 50 μM in the airway surface liquid. **2,** Nicotine can activate nAChR in the lung: 2a, Nicotine may inhibit the CFTR Cl[−] channel, potentially leading to dehydration. 2b, Nicotine stimulates protease release from immune cells, which may lead to (**3**) lung damage (e.g. bronchiectasis and emphysema). **4**, Nicotine is absorbed systemically where it then crosses the blood brain barrier. **5**, Nicotine acts on the reward centers in the brain (ventral tegmental area, VTA; nucleus accumbens, NAc) to release dopamine. **6**, nAChR densensitization and subsequent upregulation promotes (**7**) neuroplastic changes associated with craving leading to repeated nicotine uptake and more exposure into the lungs (1).