

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Chemistry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 October 27.

Published in final edited form as: Chemistry. 2020 October 27; 26(60): 13621–13626. doi:10.1002/chem.202002528.

Parahydrogen-Induced Polarization of Diethyl Ether Anesthetic

Nuwandi M. Ariyasinghaa,‡, **Baptiste Joalland**a,‡, **Hassan R. Younes**a, **Oleg G. Salnikov**b,c,d, **Nikita V. Chukanov**c,d, **Kirill V. Kovtunov**c,d, **Larisa M. Kovtunova**b,c,d, **Valerii I. Bukhtiyarov**b,c,d, **Igor V. Koptyug**c,d, **Juri G. Gelovani**a,e, **Eduard Y. Chekmenev**a,f aDepartment of Chemistry, Integrative Biosciences (Ibio), Karmanos Cancer Institute (KCI), Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202, United States

bBoreskov Institute of Catalysis SB RAS, 5 Acad. Lavrentiev Pr., 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

^cInternational Tomography Center SB RAS, 3A Institutskaya St., 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

^dDepartment of Natural Sciences, Novosibirsk State University, 2 Pirogova St., 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

^eUnited Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates

^fRussian Academy of Sciences (RAS), 14 Leninskiy Prospekt, 119991 Moscow, Russia

Abstract

The growing interest in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for assessing regional lung function relies on the use of nuclear-spin hyperpolarized gas as a contrast agent. The long gas-phase lifetimes of hyperpolarized $129Xe$ make this inhalable contrast agent acceptable for clinical research today despite limitations such as high cost, low throughput of production and challenges of 129 Xe imaging on clinical MRI scanners, which are normally equipped with proton detection only. We report on low-cost and high-throughput preparation of proton-hyperpolarized diethyl ether, which can be potentially employed for pulmonary imaging with a non-toxic, simple, and sensitive overall strategy using proton detection commonly available on all clinical MRI scanners. Diethyl ether is hyperpolarized by pairwise parahydrogen addition to vinyl ethyl ether and characterized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Proton polarization levels exceeding 8% are achieved at near complete chemical conversion within seconds, causing the activation of radio amplification by stimulated emission radiation (RASER) throughout detection. Although gas-phase T_1 relaxation of hyperpolarized diethyl ether (at partial pressure of 0.5 bar) is very efficient with T_1 of ca. 1.2 second, we demonstrate that at low magnetic fields, the use of long-lived singlet states created via pairwise parahydrogen addition extends the relaxation decay by approximately 3-fold, paving the way to bioimaging applications and beyond.

Graphical Abstract

chekmenevlab@gmail.com. ‡These authors contributed equally.

Dedicated to the memory of Dr. Kirill V. Kovtunov (PhD, 1983-2020), husband, father, friend, colleague, and mentor. Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Diethyl ether anesthetic is NMR hyperpolarized by pairwise parahydrogen addition to vinyl ethyl ether. Proton polarization levels exceeding 8% are achieved at near complete chemical conversion within seconds. Although gas-phase T_1 is \sim 1.2 s, the use of long-lived singlet states created in low magnetic field extends the relaxation decay by approximately 3-fold, paving the way to bioimaging applications and beyond.

Keywords

parahydrogen; hyperpolarization; diethyl ether; anesthetic; long-lived spin states

Introduction

The degree of nuclear spin alignment with applied static magnetic field, termed nuclear spin polarization (P), is typically on the order of 10^{-5} for protons at clinically and physiologically relevant conditions. Because NMR detection sensitivity is directly proportional to $P_{\cdot}^{[1]}$ NMR spectroscopy and imaging are generally regarded as relatively low-sensitivity techniques. Thus, in vivo MRI is limited primarily to highly concentrated compounds such as water and lipids. However, NMR hyperpolarization techniques can transiently increase P to the order of unity resulting in corresponding gains in detection sensitivity.^[2–5] Hyperpolarized (HP) compounds can be employed as injectable or inhalable contrast agents.^[6, 7] To date, the two groups of HP contrast agents that have transitioned to clinical trials are HP 13 C–labeled biomolecules (most notably $[1 - {^{13}C}]$ -pyruvate)^[8, 9] and $[129Xe$ gas,^[10–12] which can be employed for spectroscopic imaging of *in vivo* metabolism (*e.g.*, glycolysis)^[13, 14] and organ function (e.g., lung ventilation and perfusion).^[10, 15–19] The key motivation of the biomedical HP community that has historically focused on ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{129}Xe$ nuclei is the significantly longer lifetime of their HP states compared to those of protons. For example, *in vivo* T_1 are on the order of 1 min (in favorable cases)^[9] and 4–20 s^[10, 20, 21] for ¹³C and 129 Xe, respectively, versus 1–2 s for protons.

Despite some success and a number of ongoing clinical trials, there are several translational limitations that ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{129}Xe$ HP contrast agents face to address critical medical needs. First and foremost, ¹³C and ¹²⁹Xe detection is not available on most clinical MRI scanners, which are limited to proton detection only. Second, 13 C and 129 Xe have ~4-fold lower magnetic moments and ~4-fold lower resonance frequencies compared to those of protons, resulting in a factor of $(-4)^2$ less NMR signal for ¹³C and ¹²⁹Xe nuclei.^[1] Besides, MRI spatial encoding also requires a factor of $4²$ more gradient power to achieve the same spatial resolution.^[5, 22] Third, clinical-scale 13 C and 129 Xe hyperpolarization techniques have been demonstrated using dissolution Dynamic Nuclear Polarization (d-DNP)^[23] and Spin-Exchange Optical Pumping $(SEOP)$, $[24]$ respectively, which have limited production throughput (approximately 4 doses per hour) and highly complex and expensive

instrumentation (\$0.5-\$2M cost, *circa* 2020).^{[8, 23, 25-29] More affordable methods compared} to clinical-scale d-DNP as well as new indirect detection schemes may eventually emerge for hyperpolarization of $[1-13C]$ -pyruvate^[30–33] and other agents,^[34] although alternatives for HP 129 Xe gas as an inhalable HP contrast agent are still rather limited.^[35]

Levitt and co-workers have provided numerous examples of the existence of long-lived singlet states (LLS),^[36–39] with T_{LLS} values significantly greater than corresponding T_1 .^[39] This new concept rekindled the interest in HP proton contrast agents,^[40–44] yet no LLS have been translated to *in vivo* demonstration on biologically suitable carriers. Lately, we have demonstrated the existence of LLS in parahydrogen-hyperpolarized propane gas at low magnetic fields, *i.e.*, in the strong coupling regime where the spin-spin coupling J between the protons of interest is greater than the difference between their chemical shifts. $[45, 46]$ The LLS of HP propane was found immune to O_2 , indicating that it may be a useful contrast agent for pulmonary imaging applications^[47]—efforts are in progress in our laboratory to demonstrate the utility of HP propane in a large animal model.

Here we report on a simple and fast approach to prepare HP diethyl ether (DE), the first anesthetic produced on a commercial scale. We employ parahydrogen-induced polarization (PHIP) for pairwise parahydrogen $(p-H₂)$ addition to ethyl vinyl ether (EVE) to form HP DE in the gas and liquid phases (Scheme 1). DE was on the World Health Organization (WHO) essential list of drugs until 2005.^[48] The substrates EVE (a.k.a. vinamar) and divinyl ether (a.k.a. vinethene) have also been employed as inhalable anesthetics in clinical anesthesiology.^[49] Although these ethers have been phased out in the US and other countries due to the availability of nonflammable alternatives (desflurane, isoflurane, sevoflurane, etc.), they remain approved for medical use as anesthetics in many countries^[50] including Russia.

Results and Discussion

Liquid-phase hyperpolarization studies were performed with solutions of \sim 200 mM of EVE (Sigma Aldrich, 99%) in CD_3OD and 4 mM of rhodium catalyst (1,4bis(diphenylphosphino)butane(1,5-cyclooctadiene) rhodium(I) tetrafluoroborate, STREM, 79255-71-3). 0.5 mL samples were placed in 5 mm NMR tubes under argon atmosphere. NMR tubes were pressurized with $p-H_2$ at ~ 8 bar and heated at 80 °C for 30 seconds. $p-H_2$ (enriched at $>99\%$ ^[51]) was bubbled through the solution at a flow rate of 150 standard cubic centimeters per minute (sccm). Pairwise $p-H_2$ addition to EVE was performed in the Earth's magnetic field followed by detection at 1.4 T via a benchtop NMR spectrometer (SpinSolve Carbon60, Magritek), corresponding to ALTADENA condition.[52] 1H NMR spectra of neat thermally polarized ethyl acetate-1-¹³C (EA-1-¹³C) were used as signal reference to evaluate polarization levels (Figure 1a,b). For each sample, the chemical conversion and residual DE concentration in CD3OD (liquid fraction) were evaluated from the thermal NMR spectra acquired before and after the reaction (Figure S1). ¹H polarization levels were corrected accordingly (see calculations in the SI).

After cessation of $p-H_2$ flow, the NMR sample was left for additional 15 seconds in the Earth's magnetic field (\sim 50 μ T) before insertion in the 1.4 T spectrometer to collect NMR

spectrum using 8° excitation pulse. This delay was necessary to avoid strong radio amplification by stimulated emission radiation (RASER, Figure 1c).^[53, 54] This recently discovered phenomena, due to the coherent coupling of the inductive detector with the magnetization pool of HP proton spins, is manifested here by the appearance of non-linear effects such as multi-mode RASER with both H_A and H_B emitting (Figure 1c). RASER activity can last for more than 30 s in molecules hyperpolarized by $p-H_2$,^[55] preventing polarization level measurement immediately after the reaction completion. The observation of PHIP-RASER indicates the production of highly magnetized DE samples and may be useful in the context of future imaging studies. HP DE polarization levels were backcalculated to account for the relaxation losses (in the Earth's magnetic field).

A maximum polarization of 8.4% was obtained in the liquid phase after bubbling $p-H_2$ for 10 s (Figures 1a and 2a). At that time, the chemical conversion of EVE to DE was complete and more than 80% of DE remained in the liquid phase (Figure 2b). $\rm{^1H}$ polarization levels were fitted to:

$$
P_H(t) = \frac{P_{max}}{T_{cat}/T_{LLS} - 1} \Big(exp\Big(- \frac{t - t_0}{T_{cat}} \Big) - exp\Big(- \frac{t - t_0}{T_{LLS}} \Big) \Big)
$$
 Eq. 1

to determine P_{max} , the theoretical maximum polarization neglecting relaxation, and T_{cat} , the time constant for the catalytic reaction (or polarization build-up), while leaving T_{LLS} and t_0 fixed to 14 s and 1 s, respectively. These latter values correspond to independent measurements performed at 50 μ T (Figure 2c). % P_{max} of 12.8±0.6% and T_{cat} of 4.5±0.6 s were obtained, indicating that highly polarized DE can be prepared via PHIP with production speed significantly exceeding relaxation decay. $%P_{\text{max}}$ can be potentially further improved via the rational design of a PHIP catalyst; nevertheless, the polarization levels of HP DE and the speed of the reaction derived here are promising for *in vivo* applications. Moreover, the lifetime of HP state can be further extended at higher magnetic field: the T_1 values are 29 ± 1 s and 24 ± 1 s for H_A and H_B protons at 1.4 T, respectively.

For gas-phase relaxation experiments, $p-H_2$ was bubbled in a glass column filled with \sim 5– 10 mL of neat EVE at a flow rate of 4000 sccm. The resulting gas mixture comprising $p-H_2$ saturated with EVE vapor was directed through a $Rh/TiO₂$ catalytic reactor (300 mg of Rh/TiO₂ in 42 g of Cu particles) maintained at 170 °C for heterogeneous pairwise $p-H_2$ addition^[56] (Figure S2), and collected at 3.3 bar and ~35 °C in (i) a 5 mm NMR tube for NMR detection using 1.4 T benchtop NMR device (Figure 3a), (ii) a 17 mL phantom sphere for NMR detection with a 47.5 mT Kea2-based NMR spectrometer (Magritek, New Zealand) (Figure S2). The concentration of DE in the gas phase (22 mM) was evaluated at 1.4 T against reference spectra of neat ethyl acetate. Inversion recovery experiments with thermally polarized DE vapor yielded $T_1 = 1.21 \pm 0.03$ s at 1.4 T (Figure 3c), a typical value under these low partial pressure conditions (0.5 bar) .^[57] Even though the LLS of HP DE is NMR invisible at 47.5 mT, it can be converted into observable magnetization by singlet-totriplet conversion with the spin-lock induced crossing (SLIC) radio-frequency pulse sequence (Figure 3b).^[58]

SLIC was optimized by tuning pulse amplitude, power, duration and frequency in the gas and liquid phases (Figures S3 and S4). T_{LLS} was determined by applying a "partial" (200 ms duration) SLIC pulse every second to a batch of HP DE vapor and recording the produced signal—corresponding data points were employed for mono-exponential fitting (Figure 3d), yielding $T_{LLS} = 2.8 \pm 0.4$ s. The "partial" SLIC approach is known to induce an underestimation of the T_{LLS} value, because some fraction of the magnetization is lost in each SLIC transformation.^[57] Comparative partial SLIC experiments on HP DE in CD₃OD suggest correcting the measured T_{LLS} by a factor of 1.4 in our case (Figure S4). Our estimate is $T_{LLS} = 4.0 \pm 0.7$ s for HP DE at 0.5 bar partial pressure, *i.e.*, \sim 3 times greater than the corresponding T_1 at high field—in line with the overall trends of LLS lifetime in HP propane.^[46, 57]

Conclusion

In summary, hyperpolarization of DE anesthetic was successfully achieved. High levels of proton polarization (>8%) were obtained on two hydrogen sites along with 100% conversion of the EVE precursor—the produced magnetization was sufficiently high for inducing RASER activity. We also report on the existence of LLS in gas phase HP DE under clinically relevant conditions. Alternative precursor divinyl ether could be potentially employed to double the payload of $p-H_2$ -derived polarization. All three compounds: DE, EVE and divinyl ether have very safe toxicity profile, which, combined with the ease and scalability of HP DE preparation and existence of long-lived states in the gas phase, bode well for future bioimaging applications—especially for functional 3D pulmonary imaging in a manner similar to that with HP 129 Xe. The flammability of HP DE should be addressed in the context of potential biomedical use as an inhalable contrast agent: for example, through the use of small inhalation doses or by capturing the exhaled gas with carbon filters. Alternatively, the use of partially fluorinated PHIP precursors $(e.g.,$ fluroxene) can possibly mitigate some or all flammability issues.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant CHE-1904780, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute under grant R21HL154032, and by DOD CDMRP under grant W81XWH-15-1-0271. The Russian team thanks the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grants 17-54-33037, 19-53-12013, 19-33-60045) and the Russian Ministry of Science and Higher Education (Grant AAAA-A16-116121510087-5). V.I.B., I.V.K. and L.M.K. thank the Russian Science Foundation (Grant 19-13-00172) for the support of hydrogenation with parahydrogen.

References

- [1]. Hoult DI, Richards RE, J. Magn. Reson 1976, 24, 71–85.
- [2]. Nikolaou P, Goodson BM, Chekmenev EY, Chem. Eur. J 2015, 21, 3156–3166. [PubMed: 25470566]
- [3]. Goodson BM, Whiting N, Coffey AM, Nikolaou P, Shi F, Gust BM, Gemeinhardt ME, Shchepin RV, Skinner JG, Birchall JR, Barlow MJ, Chekmenev EY, Emagres 2015, 4, 797–810.

- [4]. Kovtunov KV, Pokochueva EV, Salnikov OG, Cousin S, Kurzbach D, Vuichoud B, Jannin S, Chekmenev EY, Goodson BM, Barskiy DA, Koptyug IV, Chem. Asian J 2018, 13, 1857–1871.
- [5]. Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Brindle K, Chekmenev EY, Comment A, Cunningham CH, DeBerardinis RJ, Green GG, Leach MO, Rajan SS, Rizi RR, Ross BD, Warren WS, Malloy CR, Neoplasia 2011, 13, 81–97. [PubMed: 21403835]
- [6]. Golman K, Ardenkjær-Larsen JH, Petersson JS, Månsson S, Leunbach I, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 2003, 100, 10435–10439. [PubMed: 12930896]
- [7]. Golman K, in't Zandt R, Thaning M, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 2006, 103, 11270–11275. [PubMed: 16837573]
- [8]. Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, J. Magn. Reson 2016, 264, 3–12. [PubMed: 26920825]
- [9]. Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Bankson JA, Brindle K, Cunningham CH, Gallagher FA, Keshari KR, Kjaer A, Laustsen C, Mankoff DA, Merritt ME, Nelson SJ, Pauly JM, Lee P, Ronen S, Tyler DJ, Rajan SS, Spielman DM, Wald L, Zhang X, Malloy CR, Rizi R, Neoplasia 2019, 21, 1–16. [PubMed: 30472500]
- [10]. Mugler JP, Altes TA, J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 2013, 37, 313–331. [PubMed: 23355432]
- [11]. Goodson BM, J. Magn. Reson 2002, 155, 157–216. [PubMed: 12036331]
- [12]. Driehuys B, Martinez-Jimenez S, Cleveland ZI, Metz GM, Beaver DM, Nouls JC, Kaushik SS, Firszt R, Willis C, Kelly KT, Wolber J, Kraft M, McAdams HP, Radiology 2012, 262, 279–289. [PubMed: 22056683]
- [13]. Nelson SJ, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Larson PEZ, Harzstark AL, Ferrone M, van Criekinge M, Chang JW, Bok R, Park I, Reed G, Carvajal L, Small EJ, Munster P, Weinberg VK, Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Chen AP, Hurd RE, Odegardstuen LI, Robb FJ, Tropp J, Murray JA, Sci. Transl. Med 2013, 5, 198ra108.
- [14]. Brindle KM, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 6418–6427. [PubMed: 25950268]
- [15]. Walkup LL, Woods JC, NMR Biomed. 2014, 27, 1429–1438. [PubMed: 24953709]
- [16]. He M, Robertson SH, Kaushik SS, Freeman MS, Virgincar RS, Davies J, Stiles J, Foster WM, McAdams HP, Driehuys B, Magn. Reson. Imaging 2015, 33, 877–885. [PubMed: 25936684]
- [17]. Branca RT, He T, Zhang L, Floyd CS, Freeman M, White C, Burant A, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 2014, 111, 18001–18006. [PubMed: 25453088]
- [18]. Barskiy DA, Coffey AM, Nikolaou P, Mikhaylov DM, Goodson BM, Branca RT, Lu GJ, Shapiro MG, Telkki V-V, Zhivonitko VV, Koptyug IV, Salnikov OG, Kovtunov KV, Bukhtiyarov VI, Rosen MS, Barlow MJ, Safavi S, Hall IP, Schröder L, Chekmenev EY, Chem. Eur. J 2017, 23, 725–751. [PubMed: 27711999]
- [19]. Rao MR, Stewart NJ, Griffiths PD, Norquay G, Wild JM, Radiology 2018, 286, 659–665. [PubMed: 28858563]
- [20]. Albert MS, Schepkin VD, Budinger TF, Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography 1995, 19, 975–978. [PubMed: 8537536]
- [21]. Choquet P, Hyacinthe J-N, Duhamel G, Grillon E, Leviel J-L, Constantinesco A, Ziegler A, Magn. Reson. Med 2003, 49, 1014–1018. [PubMed: 12768578]
- [22]. Coffey AM, Kovtunov KV, Barskiy D, Koptyug IV, Shchepin RV, Waddell KW, He P, Groome KA, Best QA, Shi F, Goodson BM, Chekmenev EY, Anal. Chem 2014, 86, 9042–9049. [PubMed: 25162371]
- [23]. Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Leach AM, Clarke N, Urbahn J, Anderson D, Skloss TW, NMR Biomed. 2011, 24, 927–932. [PubMed: 21416540]
- [24]. Walker TG, J. Phys. Conf. Ser 2011, 294, 012001.
- [25]. Ruset IC, Ketel S, Hersman FW, Phys. Rev. Lett 2006, 96, 053002. [PubMed: 16486926]
- [26]. Zook AL, Adhyaru BB, Bowers CR, J. Magn. Reson 2002, 159, 175–182. [PubMed: 12482697]
- [27]. Nikolaou P, Coffey AM, Walkup LL, Gust BM, Whiting N, Newton H, Barcus S, Muradyan I, Dabaghyan M, Moroz GD, Rosen M, Patz S, Barlow MJ, Chekmenev EY, Goodson BM, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 2013, 110, 14150–14155. [PubMed: 23946420]
- [28]. Driehuys B, Cates GD, Miron E, Sauer K, Walter DK, Happer W, Appl. Phys. Lett 1996, 69, 1668–1670.

- [29]. Norquay G, Collier GJ, Rao M, Stewart NJ, Wild JM, Phys. Rev. Lett 2018, 121, 153201. [PubMed: 30362785]
- [30]. Chukanov NV, Salnikov OG, Shchepin RV, Kovtunov KV, Koptyug IV, Chekmenev EY, ACS Omega 2018, 3, 6673–6682. [PubMed: 29978146]
- [31]. Iali W, Roy SS, Tickner BJ, Ahwal F, Kennerley AJ, Duckett SB, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2019, 58, 10271–10275.
- [32]. Reineri F, Boi T, Aime S, Nat. Commun 2015, 6, 5858. [PubMed: 25556844]
- [33]. Cavallari E, Carrera C, Sorge M, Bonne G, Muchir A, Aime S, Reineri F, Sci. Rep 2018, 8, 8366. [PubMed: 29849091]
- [34]. Hövener J-B, Pravdivtsev AN, Kidd B, Bowers CR, Glöggler S, Kovtunov KV, Plaumann M, Katz-Brull R, Buckenmaier K, Jerschow A, Reineri F, Theis T, Shchepin RV, Wagner S, Bhattacharya P, Zacharias NM, Chekmenev EY, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2018, 57, 11140–11162.
- [35]. Lilburn DML, Pavlovskaya GE, Meersmann T, J. Magn. Reson 2013, 229, 173–186. [PubMed: 23290627]
- [36]. Carravetta M, Johannessen OG, Levitt MH, Phys. Rev. Lett 2004, 92, 153003. [PubMed: 15169282]
- [37]. Carravetta M, Levitt MH, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004, 126, 6228–6229. [PubMed: 15149209]
- [38]. Pileio G, Levitt MH, J. Chem. Phys 2009, 130, 214501. [PubMed: 19508070]
- [39]. Levitt MH, J. Magn. Reson 2019, 306, 69–74. [PubMed: 31307892]
- [40]. Franzoni MB, Buljubasich L, Spiess HW, Munnemann K, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 10393– 10396. [PubMed: 22690781]
- [41]. Franzoni MB, Graafen D, Buljubasich L, Schreiber LM, Spiess HW, Munnemann K, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys 2013, 15, 17233–17239. [PubMed: 24018735]
- [42]. Graafen D, Franzoni MB, Schreiber LM, Spiess HW, Münnemann K, J. Magn. Reson 2016, 262, 68–72. [PubMed: 26754064]
- [43]. Zhang YN, Soon PC, Jerschow A, Canary JW, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2014, 53, 3396–3399.
- [44]. Zhang Y, Duan X, Soon PC, Sychrovský V, Canary JW, Jerschow A, ChemPhysChem 2016, 17, 2967–2971. [PubMed: 27460052]
- [45]. Kovtunov KV, Truong ML, Barskiy DA, Koptyug IV, Coffey AM, Waddell KW, Chekmenev EY, Chem. Eur. J 2014, 20, 14629–14632. [PubMed: 25263795]
- [46]. Barskiy DA, Salnikov OG, Romanov AS, Feldman MA, Coffey AM, Kovtunov KV, Koptyug IV, Chekmenev EY, J. Magn. Reson 2017, 276, 78–85. [PubMed: 28152435]
- [47]. Kovtunov KV, Truong ML, Barskiy DA, Salnikov OG, Bukhtiyarov VI, Coffey AM, Waddell KW, Koptyug IV, Chekmenev EY, J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 28234–28243.
- [48]. Essential Medicines WHO Model List (revised April 2003) (13th ed.), World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.
- [49]. Slater HM, Can. Anaes. J 1957, 4, 5–12.
- [50]. Chang CY, Goldstein E, Agarwal N, Swan KG, BMC Anesthesiol 2015, 15, 149–149. [PubMed: 26475128]
- [51]. Feng B, Coffey AM, Colon RD, Chekmenev EY, Waddell KW, J. Magn. Reson 2012, 214, 258– 262. [PubMed: 22188975]
- [52]. Pravica MG, Weitekamp DP, Chem. Phys. Lett 1988, 145, 255–258.
- [53]. Suefke M, Lehmkuhl S, Liebisch A, Blumich B, Appelt S, Nat. Phys 2017, 13, 568–572.
- [54]. Appelt S, Kentner A, Lehmkuhl S, Blümich B, Prog. Nucl. Mag. Res. Spectrosc 2019, 114–115, 1–32.
- [55]. Joalland B, Ariyasingha NM, Lehmkuhl S, Theis T, Appelt S, Chekmenev EY, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2020, 132, 8732–8738.
- [56]. Salnikov OG, Nikolaou P, Ariyasingha NM, Kovtunov KV, Koptyug IV, Chekmenev EY, Anal. Chem 2019, 91, 4741–4746. [PubMed: 30855132]
- [57]. Ariyasingha NM, Salnikov OG, Kovtunov KV, Kovtunova LM, Bukhtiyarov VI, Goodson BM, Rosen MS, Koptyug IV, Gelovani JG, Chekmenev EY, J. Phys. Chem. C 2019, 18, 11734–11744.
- [58]. DeVience SJ, Walsworth RL, Rosen MS, Phys. Rev. Lett 2013, 111, 173002-173001-173005.

Figure 1.

a) ¹H NMR spectrum of HP DE in CD₃OD solution acquired using 8° pulse angle with polarization of 8.4% after correction for evaporation and the Earth's field relaxation. b) Corresponding NMR spectrum of neat thermally polarized ethyl-acetate-1-13C. c) ALTADENA RASER signal of HP DE recorded without excitation pulse (red), along with Fourier transform (FT) spectra of the regions outlined by purple (H_B / H_A two-mode RASER) and orange (H_B single-mode RASER) boxes.

Figure 2.

a) ¹H polarization levels of HP DE in CD₃OD as a function of reaction time in the Earth's magnetic field (50 μT). Dashed lines indicate 95% confidence boundaries of the fit b) DE liquid fraction (pink crosses) and chemical conversion (magenta circles) of EVE to DE as a function of reaction time. c) Exponential decays of HP DE NMR signals at 1.4 T (black circles and squares) and 50 μT (blue circles and squares).

Figure 3.

a) ¹H spectrum at 1.4 T of 22 mM [DE] / 110 mM [p -H₂] at 3.3 bar total pressure. b) ¹H spectrum at 47.5 mT of 22 mM [DE] $/ 110$ mM [$p-H₂$] at 3.3 bar using SLIC. c) Inversion recovery of thermal DE vapor at 1.4 T. d) Exponential decay of LLS of HP DE at 47.5 mT. Not the NMR spectra are not calibrated with respect to the chemical shift (ppm) reference.

Scheme 1.

Pairwise parahydrogen addition to ethyl vinyl ether (EVE) to form HP diethyl ether (DE). Note the symmetry breaking of protons $\rm H_A$ and $\rm H_B.$