

RESEARCH

Open Access



Anakinra treatment in critically ill COVID-19 patients: a prospective cohort study

Emma J. Kooistra^{1,2†}, Nicole J. B. Waalders^{1,2†}, Inge Grondman^{2,3}, Nico A. F. Janssen^{2,3}, Aline H. de Nooijer^{2,3}, Mihai G. Netea^{2,3,4}, Frank L. van de Veerdonk^{2,3}, Esther Ewalds⁵, Johannes G. van der Hoeven^{1,2}, Matthijs Kox^{1,2†} and Peter Pickkers^{1,2*†} on behalf of the RCI-COVID-19 Study Group

Abstract

Background: A subset of critically ill COVID-19 patients develop a hyperinflammatory state. Anakinra, a recombinant interleukin-1 receptor antagonist, is known to be effective in several hyperinflammatory diseases. We investigated the effects of anakinra on inflammatory parameters and clinical outcomes in critically ill, mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients with clinical features of hyperinflammation.

Methods: In this prospective cohort study, 21 critically ill COVID-19 patients treated with anakinra were compared to a group of standard care. Serial data of clinical inflammatory parameters and concentrations of multiple circulating cytokines were determined and aligned on start day of anakinra in the treatment group, and median start day of anakinra in the control group. Analysis was performed for day -10 to +10 relative to alignment day. Clinical outcomes were analyzed during 28 days. Additionally, three sensitivity analyses were performed: (1) using propensity score-matched groups, (2) selecting patients who did not receive corticosteroids, and (3) using a subset of the control group aimed to match the criteria (fever, elevated ferritin) for starting anakinra treatment.

Results: Baseline patient characteristics and clinical parameters on ICU admission were similar between groups. As a consequence of bias by indication, plasma levels of aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT) ($p = 0.0002$), ferritin ($p = 0.009$), and temperature ($p = 0.001$) were significantly higher in the anakinra group on alignment day. Following treatment, no relevant differences in kinetics of circulating cytokines were observed between both groups. Decreases of clinical parameters, including temperature ($p = 0.03$), white blood cell counts ($p = 0.02$), and plasma levels of ferritin ($p = 0.003$), procalcitonin ($p = 0.001$), creatinine ($p = 0.01$), and bilirubin ($p = 0.007$), were more pronounced in the anakinra group. No differences in duration of mechanical ventilation or ICU length of stay were observed between groups. Sensitivity analyses confirmed these results.

Conclusions: Anakinra is effective in reducing clinical signs of hyperinflammation in critically ill COVID-19 patients. A randomized controlled trial is warranted to draw conclusion about the effects of anakinra on clinical outcomes.

Keywords: Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist protein, Coronavirus disease 2019, SARS-CoV-2, Critical care, Immunity, Cytokines

*Correspondence: peter.pickkers@radboudumc.nl

†Emma J. Kooistra and Nicole J. B. Waalders share first authorship, Matthijs Kox and Peter Pickkers share last authorship.

¹ Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Radboud University Medical Center, 6500HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background

In December 2019, the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was identified in Wuhan, China, which led to a pandemic in 2020. The clinical spectrum of SARS-CoV-2 infection ranges from asymptomatic cases to severe viral sepsis leading to



organ dysfunction and high mortality. In the absence of a vaccine, effective treatments for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are highly warranted.

Inflammation plays a key role in the pathophysiology of COVID-19. Initial reports have shown that patients exhibit strongly elevated concentrations of several circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines [1, 2]. Additionally, critically ill COVID-19 patients display higher plasma concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines than mild cases [3], suggestive of a relationship between inflammation and disease severity. A subset of severe COVID-19 patients may even develop severe hyperinflammation, showing similarities with macrophage activation syndrome (MAS), which is characterized by features such as hyperferritinemia, fever, pancytopenia, hepatobiliary dysfunction (HBD), and diffuse intravascular coagulation (DIC) [4–7]. The pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin (IL)-1 β plays a critical role in MAS through enhancing production of cytokines, including IL-1 β itself, activation of endothelium with fluid extravasation, hypotension, and even death [4]. This positive feedback loop creates a vicious circle of inflammation and tissue pathology [4].

Anakinra, a recombinant IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) which is currently used in the treatment of patients with rheumatoid arthritis, cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome (CAPS), and Still's disease, has been shown to be effective for the treatment of a subgroup of severe bacterial sepsis patients that demonstrate features of MAS [8]. Recently, small studies suggest that anakinra may also be effective in treating COVID-19 patients with features of a MAS-like syndrome, including persistent high fever and elevated plasma levels of ferritin. COVID-19 patients that received treatment with anakinra showed improvement of respiratory function and a decreased mortality risk compared to patients that did not receive anakinra [9–13]. Although these results are promising, limitations related to the retrospective comparison with historical control data are clear. Also, the number of treated patients remains small and mainly focussed on non-critically ill patients. Finally, data of the putative immunomodulatory effects of anakinra are limited.

In the present study, our primary objective was to investigate the effects of anakinra on the inflammatory response in critically ill COVID-19 patients with features of hyperinflammation, compared to a contemporary control group receiving standard care. The secondary objective was to explore differences in clinical outcomes between these groups. In addition, we performed three sensitivity analyses: (1) using propensity score-matched groups, (2) selecting patients who did not receive corticosteroids and (3) using a subset of the control group

aimed to match the criteria (fever, elevated ferritin) for starting anakinra treatment.

Methods

Study design and participants

In this prospective cohort study, consecutive mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) in the Radboud University Medical Center (Nijmegen, The Netherlands) and Bernhoven Hospital (Uden, The Netherlands) between March 11 and April 27 were screened for inclusion. COVID-19 was diagnosed by a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test in nasopharyngeal and throat swabs and by typical chest CT-scan findings. Patients with a pre-existing immunosuppressed status or other comorbidities that could strongly influence prognosis were excluded. Indication for starting treatment with anakinra was based on clinical judgment of features of hyperinflammation (including persistent high fever and/or a high plasma level of ferritin and/or progressive organ dysfunction with no apparent reason apart from hyperinflammation). Patients who were not treated with anakinra were designated to the control group. Treatment was started with 300 mg anakinra intravenously (i.v.), followed by 100 mg i.v. every six hours. Blood sampling was carried out as part of a cohort study in critically ill COVID-19 patients, which was carried out in accordance with the applicable rules concerning the review of research ethics committees and informed consent in the Netherlands. All patients or legal representatives were informed about the details of this cohort study and could decline to participate.

All included patients who received anakinra ($n=21$) were compared to included patients who received standard care (control group, $n=39$). We also performed three sensitivity analyses: one in which we compared all anakinra-treated patients to a propensity score-matched control group receiving standard care (matched on baseline demographic characteristics), another in which only patients who did not receive corticosteroids were included, and a third in which the anakinra group was compared to a subgroup of the control group aimed to match criteria used to start treatment with anakinra (either fever >38.5 °C for at least two days or high ferritin plasma levels [>1800 $\mu\text{g/L}$]). Details are provided in Additional File 1.

Data collection

Details on collection of clinical data are provided in Additional File 1. In the anakinra group, serial data were aligned on the day anakinra treatment was started, which was designated day 0. Data of the control group were aligned on the median day anakinra was started in the anakinra group (day 12 after ICU admission).

Inflammatory parameters

The analysis methods for circulating cytokines and inflammatory proteomics are provided in Additional File 1. Body temperature, as well as circulating white blood cell counts and blood levels of ferritin, C-reactive protein (CRP), and procalcitonin were recorded between 10 days before and after alignment day (day - 10 until day 10). In case patients were actively cooled when they had a fever of >40 °C, body temperature was imputed as 40 °C. In addition, serial values of creatinine, bilirubin, platelets, norepinephrine infusion rates, and PaO₂/FiO₂ (P/F) ratio were collected to calculate the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score. As all patients were sedated, the Glasgow Coma Score was omitted from the SOFA score. Because a relevant proportion of patients were transferred to the regular ward at day 7 after alignment day, PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio and SOFA score data were not recorded. To prevent case-mix-related effects, data of these parameters are shown until day 6 after alignment day. Use of corticosteroids, remdesivir, and chloroquine was recorded between 10 days before and after alignment day.

Clinical outcome data

Clinical outcomes (development of secondary infections, time on mechanical ventilation, ICU length of stay (LOS), and mortality) were recorded until 28 days after alignment day. Secondary infection was defined as 'any infectious episode' evidenced by the presence of positive culture(s) and time-stamped at the day the culture was performed. Infectious episodes were independently determined by two ICU physicians. In case of incongruency, a third ICU physician was consulted.

Statistical analysis

Details are provided in Additional File 1. Briefly, data are displayed as median with interquartile range (IQR) or geometric mean with 95% confidence interval (CI). Between-group differences were assessed using Fisher's exact tests, Mann-Whitney *U* tests, linear mixed effects model analysis on log-transformed data, log-rank tests, and *t* tests (indicated in legends).

Results

Patient characteristics

Seventy-eight consecutive critically ill patients with proven COVID-19 were screened. Nine patients were excluded because of a pre-existing immunocompromising condition. One patient refused participation. On alignment day, eight control patients were no longer in the ICU and were therefore excluded. Of the remaining 60 patients, 21 received anakinra (Additional File

2: Fig. 1). Median age was 63 [55–71] and 67 [59–72] years in the anakinra and control groups, respectively ($p=0.42$). No significant differences in other patient characteristics, medical history, and laboratory and clinical parameters were present on ICU admission (Table 1). All patients required mechanical ventilation and sedation. On the alignment day, as a result of indication bias, patients in the anakinra group exhibited higher levels of ASAT (96 [67–142] vs. 64 [46–97] U/L, $p=0.009$), and ferritin (2365 [1272–3713] vs. 1410 [713–1680] µg/L, $p=0.001$, respectively) and a higher temperature (39.1 [38.3–40.0] °C) compared to the control group (37.8 [37.0–38.3] °C, $p=0.0002$). No other significant differences between groups were present on alignment day (Table 1). APACHE II scores for the anakinra and control group on the day of alignment were 12 [9–13] and 10 [7–14], respectively ($p=0.41$).

Inflammatory response

In both groups, concentrations of all circulating cytokines decreased from ICU admission until alignment day (Fig. 1). Expectedly, administration of anakinra significantly increased circulating IL-1RA concentrations following treatment ($p<0.0001$, Fig. 1g). After alignment day, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 showed a significant difference between the anakinra and control groups ($p=0.03$ and $p=0.049$, respectively, Fig. 1a, f). However, a clear direction of these significant differences was absent. The kinetics of the other measured cytokines did not reveal significant differences between the anakinra group and control group (Fig. 1). Subgroups used for inflammatory proteomic analysis revealed no relevant differences in patient characteristics and clinical parameters on admission day (Additional File 3: Table 1). Of the 75 inflammatory mediators measured, 17 pro-inflammatory proteins decreased in the anakinra group post-treatment, whereas no changes were observed in the control group (Fig. 2a). Because of the large number of measured proteins and correction for multiple testing, statistical significance was not reached.

During the 10-day period before start of anakinra treatment, body temperature significantly diverged between the two groups, with higher temperatures in the anakinra group ($p=0.02$, Fig. 2b). After anakinra treatment, temperature curves converged ($p=0.03$, Fig. 2b). Similar effects of anakinra were observed for ferritin and procalcitonin plasma levels (Fig. 2c–d). White blood cell count decreased in the anakinra group after treatment, whereas a further increase was observed in the control group ($p=0.02$, Fig. 2e). No significant differences in the kinetics of CRP plasma levels were present between both groups, although the

Table 1 Patient characteristics and clinical parameters at intensive care unit admission and on alignment day

	Anakinra (n = 21)	Control (n = 39)	p value
Sex, male	14 (67)	33 (85)	0.19
Age, years	63 [55–71]	67 [59–72]	0.42
Body mass index, kg/m ²	27.7 [25.9–29.9]	26.8 [24.3–31.1]	0.50
APACHE II	15 [13–18]	16 [12–20]	0.46
Time from first COVID symptoms until ICU admission, days	13 [9–14]	10 [7–15]	0.33
Medical history			
Cardiovascular insufficiency	4 (19)	10 (26)	0.75
Hypertension	8 (38)	22 (56)	0.28
Respiratory insufficiency	1 (5)	2 (5)	1
Renal insufficiency	0 (0)	1 (3)	1
Metastatic neoplasm	2 (10)	2 (5)	0.61
Immunological insufficiency	0 (0)	1 (3)	1
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	0 (0)	5 (13)	0.15
Diabetes mellitus	7 (33)	7 (18)	0.21
Hematologic malignancy	1 (5)	0 (0)	0.35
Clinical parameters on admission day			
D-dimer, ng/mL	3380 [2028–18343]	2950 [1605–4610]	0.28
Creatinine, μmol/L	84 [68–96]	82 [65–110]	0.70
Alanine transaminase, U/L	47 [21–62]	39 [30–56]	0.95
Aspartate transaminase, U/L	55 [35–76]	51 [39–63]	0.96
Bilirubin, μmol/L	8 [6–13]	7 [5–14]	0.53
Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L	380 [322–493]	406 [324–507]	0.92
White blood cells, × 10 ⁹ /L	8.2 [7.0–12.0]	9.8 [6.0–11.4]	0.95
Thrombocytes, × 10 ⁹ /L	247 [189–324]	244 [181–325]	0.61
C-reactive protein, mg/L	254 [188–297]	198 [133–292]	0.22
Procalcitonin, μg/L	0.66 [0.18–1.39]	0.86 [0.32–2.88]	0.44
Ferritin, μg/L	1842 [1313–2767]	1439 [815–2396]	0.19
Temperature, °C	38.4 [37.8–38.9]	38.6 [37.5–39.3]	0.56
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio, mmHg	138 [105–199]	139 [101–178]	0.94
SOFA score	7 [4–7]	6 [5–8]	0.27
Clinical parameters on alignment day			
D-dimer, ng/mL	4063 [2585–6285]	3918 [2349–5943]	0.70
Creatinine, μmol/L	92 [76–106]	79 [58–148]	0.55
Alanine transaminase, U/L	89 [55–119]	67 [49–114]	0.24
Aspartate transaminase, U/L	96 [67–142]	64 [46–97]	0.009
Bilirubin, μmol/L	6 [5–12]	5 [4–9]	0.18
Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L	371 [317–450]	348 [256–406]	0.11
White blood cells, × 10 ⁹ /L	12.4 [10.4–15.9]	13.5 [11.4–16.6]	0.49
Thrombocytes, × 10 ⁹ /L	351 [314–494]	366 [263–453]	0.34
C-reactive protein, mg/L	130 [90–237]	92 [59–170]	0.13
Procalcitonin, μg/L	0.66 [0.39–1.88]	0.48 [0.23–0.78]	0.07
Ferritin, μg/L	2365 [1272–3713]	1410 [713–1680]	0.001
Temperature, °C	39.1 [38.3–40.0]	37.8 [37.0–38.3]	0.0002
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ , mmHg	188 [133–268]	157 [128–212]	0.18
SOFA score	6 [4–8]	5 [4–7]	0.75
APACHE II	12 [9–13]	10 [7–14]	0.41
Time from first COVID symptoms until alignment day, days	22 [19–27]	21 [18–26]	0.62

Data are presented as n (%) or median [IQR]. p values were calculated using Fisher's exact tests and Mann-Whitney-U tests

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Circulating cytokine concentrations. Concentrations of circulating **a** tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , **b** interleukin (IL)-6, **c** IL-8, **d** IL-10, **e** interferon gamma-induced protein (IP)-10, **f** monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, and **g** IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) on day of intensive care unit (ICU) admission and serial data within six days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0). Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *p* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 6 until day 6). Between-group *p* values for day – 6 until day 0 and day 0 until day 6 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively

decrease in CRP post-treatment appeared to be more pronounced in the anakinra group (Fig. 2f).

The decrease in plasma levels of creatinine and bilirubin was significantly more pronounced in the anakinra group compared to the control group after start of treatment ($p=0.01$ and $p=0.007$, respectively, Fig. 3a–b). Norepinephrine infusion rate was significantly higher in the anakinra group before treatment ($p=0.005$, Fig. 3e), whereas no significant differences were present afterward ($p=0.61$, Fig. 3e). No significant differences in thrombocyte counts, $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratio, or total SOFA score were observed (Fig. 3).

The use of corticosteroids tended to be lower in the anakinra group (5%) compared to the control group (26%) before alignment day ($p=0.08$, Additional File 4: Fig. 2a). This difference became smaller after start of treatment (anakinra group 14% and control group 28%, $p=0.14$, Additional File 4: Fig. 2a). No differences between both groups were present pertaining to the use of remdesivir or chloroquine (Additional File 4: Fig. 2b, c).

Clinical outcomes

A total of seven patients (33%) of the anakinra group developed a secondary infection during the first 28 days after alignment day versus nine patients (23%) of the control group ($p=0.54$). Time on mechanical ventilation was 23 [10–29] days in the anakinra group and 17 [7–29] days in the control group ($p=0.79$). ICU length of stay was 24 [10–29] days in the anakinra group and 17 [6–29] days in the control group ($p=0.59$). In the anakinra group, 28-day mortality was 19% ($n=4$) vs. 18% ($n=7$) in the control group ($p=0.87$). Kaplan–Meier curves are shown in Fig. 4.

Sensitivity analyses


The propensity score-matched analysis, the analysis restricted to patients who did not receive corticosteroids, and the analysis using a subgroup of control patients aimed to match criteria to start anakinra all yielded comparable results as the primary analysis, as detailed in the additional results (Additional Files 5–21: Tables 2–4, Figs. 3–15).


Discussion

In the present study, the effects of anakinra in critically ill COVID-19 patients with clinical features of MAS/hyperinflammation were compared to critically ill COVID-19 patients that did not display these features. Upon start of treatment, body temperature and plasma levels of ferritin and ASAT were significantly higher in the anakinra group, which supports the indications to initiate this therapy. Anakinra treatment resulted in attenuation of the clinical inflammatory response compared with the control group, including a decrease in body temperature, ferritin, white blood cell counts, and procalcitonin plasma levels. Furthermore, a more pronounced improvement in kidney and liver function was observed in the anakinra group. No significant difference was found for the overall SOFA score, likely due to limited statistical power. These findings on clinical parameters of inflammation support anti-inflammatory effects of anakinra in critically ill COVID-19 patients with features of hyperinflammation. No differences in clinical outcome parameters were observed.

Apart from IL-1RA, no relevant between-group differences in circulating IL-6 or other cytokines over time were observed. It is likely that the significant increase in plasma IL-1RA levels after start of anakinra treatment is due to detection of the drug compound itself, as anakinra is recombinant IL-1RA and was administered intravenously. Although anakinra blocks IL-1 β signaling, this cytokine is of little therapeutic use, because of its short half-life which renders it undetectable in the circulation in most cases [14]. Based on our current findings, circulating concentrations of other cytokines appear to be a poor reflection of the immunomodulating effects of anakinra as well, and are therefore not likely to be useful as biomarkers to start treatment and/or monitor the effects of anakinra in critically ill COVID-19 patients.

Our study did not show an obvious clinical benefit of the anti-inflammatory effects of anakinra treatment. However, it is of paramount importance to realize that this was not a randomized controlled trial, and that patients in the anakinra and control group may not have had the same prognosis at the moment of alignment. Patients that were treated with anakinra clearly exhibited signs of hyperinflammation, while this was not the case for patients in the control group. It is well known





that hyperinflammation/MAS in sepsis patients is associated with impaired clinical prognosis [15]. In accordance, in the post hoc analysis of the anakinra trial in bacterial sepsis patients, the presence of MAS was associated with a mortality of 65% [8]. Treatment with anakinra in this subgroup of MAS patients was associated with a significantly reduced mortality of 35%, which was similar to the 30% mortality observed in sepsis patients without MAS in the same trial. In line with these results, clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients treated with anakinra in the present study were comparable with those of the control group, who did not display hyperinflammation and may have had a better a priori prognosis. Nevertheless, our study design does not allow for conclusions of possible clinical benefits of anakinra treatment.

While the use of corticosteroids between the two arms of the study did not reach statistical significance, it was fivefold more prevalent in the group without anakinra before the start of the intervention, and remained twice as high after start of anakinra therapy. Because corticosteroids can affect inflammatory parameters and were

recently reported to decrease mortality in COVID-19 [16, 17], we performed a sensitivity analysis in patients who did not receive corticosteroids during the study period. This analysis yielded similar results to our primary analysis, ruling out corticosteroids as an important confounder.

The absence of significant respiratory improvement and/or reduced mortality in the anakinra group contrasts previous findings [9–12]. This might be due to the fact that we included critically ill mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients, whereas previous studies were performed in less severe cases with either no ventilatory support or non-invasive ventilation [9–12]. Possibly, earlier or preventive treatment with anakinra may be more effective and/or disease severity is of relevance for the therapeutic efficacy of anakinra. Also, circulating concentrations of all measured cytokines in our study were markedly lower on alignment day than on ICU admission in both groups. It might be speculated that anakinra is more effective in an earlier, more pro-inflammatory stage of COVID-19 pre-ICU admission, during which





Fig. 3 Individual parameters of sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score and total SOFA score. Plasma levels of **a** creatinine, **b** bilirubin, and **c** thrombocytes and **d** PaO₂/FiO₂ (P/F) ratio, **e** infusion rate of norepinephrine, and **f** SOFA score over time within 10 days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0). PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio and SOFA score were presented until day 6. Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *p* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day - 10 until day 6 or 10). Between-group *p* values for day - 10 until day 0 and day 0 until day 6 or 10 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively

higher concentrations of cytokines are present. Furthermore, the previously reported clinical improvements in COVID-19 patients treated with anakinra may be due

to notable differences in patient characteristics between treatment groups in earlier studies. For example, anakinra was started in case of mild hyperinflammation



(e.g., ferritin ≥ 900 ng/ml or CRP ≥ 100 mg/L, or both), whereas the control group had substantially higher levels of both ferritin and CRP compared to the anakinra group [9] or were compared to historical data of bacterial sepsis patients with MAS in the absence of a COVID-19 control group [10]. These issues might have confounded the clinical outcome results demonstrated in these studies. So, in the absence of a prospective controlled trial randomizing patients that fulfill a hyperinflammatory profile, we feel it is too early to judge any possible clinical effects and to decide what would be the optimal timing to start treatment with anakinra in critically ill COVID-19 patients.

This study has several limitations. First, as inclusion criteria were applied to start treatment with anakinra and these criteria were not present in the control group, bias by indication was clearly present. This difference is likely of importance for the prognosis of the patients, so as a consequence, no direct link can be deduced between the use of anakinra and the clinical results. Nevertheless, we did use a contemporary control group, which was not the case in previous studies [9–12]. Also, we performed propensity score matching based on patient characteristics which yielded similar results. Additionally, aimed to address this possible bias by indication, an additional sensitivity analysis using a subgroup of control patients who partially met the criteria to start anakinra treatment was performed. Although this matching was not perfect (as the presence of fever was shorter, ferritin was somewhat less elevated and patients had no signs of progressing organ failure), this group was better matched to the anakinra group than the control group used for the main analyses. This additional sensitivity analysis also showed a more pronounced decrease in clinical inflammatory markers in the anakinra group compared to the control group. Of relevance, and confirming the main analyses,

no trends toward differences in clinical outcome parameters were found. Second, limited statistical power because of the relatively small number of patients preclude conclusions related to the presence or absence of efficacy of anakinra on clinical outcome parameters. Nevertheless, the inflammation-related clinical endpoints show a clear signal in accordance with the mechanism of action of anakinra and kidney and liver function appear to improve with anakinra therapy.

Conclusions

Anakinra reduces clinical inflammatory parameters in severe COVID-19 patients with features of hyperinflammation. Results of this study, including three sensitivity analyses, do not indicate efficacy of anakinra on clinical outcome parameters. A larger multicenter randomized controlled trial is warranted to demonstrate the presence/absence of clinical efficacy of anakinra in severely ill COVID-19 patients.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-03364-w>.

Additional file 1. Additional description of study methods.

Additional file 2: Figure 1. Patient flowchart.

Additional file 3: Table 1. Description of data: Characteristics and clinical parameters at ICU admission and on alignment day for patients included in the inflammatory proteomics analysis. Data are presented as *n* (%) or median [IQR]. *P* values were calculated using Fisher's exact tests and Mann–Whitney *U* tests.

Additional file 4: Figure 2. Description of data: Use of medication. Differences of use of (a) corticosteroids, (b) remdesivir, and (c) chloroquine between anakinra group and control group during 10 days before and 10 days after alignment day (day 0). *P* values were calculated using Fisher's exact tests.

Additional file 5: Description of additional results.

Additional file 6: Table 2. Description of data: Patient characteristics and clinical parameters at ICU admission and on alignment day in propensity score-matched groups. Data are presented as *n* (%) or median [IQR]. *P* values were calculated using Fisher's exact tests and Mann–Whitney *U* tests.

Additional file 7: Table 3. Description of data: Patient characteristics and clinical parameters at ICU admission and on alignment day for the subgroup analysis in patients who received no corticosteroids. Data are presented as *n* (%) or median [IQR]. *P* values were calculated using Fisher's exact tests and Mann–Whitney *U* tests.

Additional file 8: Table 4. Description of data: Patient characteristics and clinical parameters at ICU admission and on alignment day for the subgroup analysis with control patients who partially met the criteria to start anakinra treatment. Data are presented as *n* (%) or median [IQR]. *P* values were calculated using Fisher's exact tests and Mann–Whitney *U* tests.

Additional file 9: Figure 3. Description of data: Circulating cytokine concentrations in propensity score-matched groups. Concentrations of circulating (a) tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , (b) interleukin (IL)-6, (c) IL-8, (d) IL-10, (e) interferon gamma-induced protein (IP)-10, (f) monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, and (g) IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) on day of intensive care unit (ICU) admission and serial data within 6 days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0). Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *p* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 6 until day 6). Between-group *p* values for day – 6 until day 0 and day 0 until day 6 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively.

Additional file 10: Figure 4. Description of data: Inflammation parameters over time in propensity score-matched groups. (a) Body temperature and plasma levels of (b) ferritin, (c) procalcitonin, (d) white blood cell counts, and (e) C-reactive protein (CRP) over time within 10 days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0) in propensity score-matched groups (*n* = 21 both). Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *P* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 10 until day 10). Between-group *p* values for day – 10 until day 0 and day 0 until day 10 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively.

Additional file 11: Figure 5. Description of data: Individual parameters of sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score and total SOFA score in propensity score-matched groups. Plasma concentrations of (a) creatinine, (b) bilirubin, and (c) thrombocytes and (d) PaO₂/FiO₂ (P/F)-ratio, (e) infusion rate of norepinephrine, and (f) SOFA score over time within 10 days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0) in propensity score-matched groups (*n* = 21 both). PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio and SOFA score were presented until day 6. Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *P* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 10 until day 6 or 10). Between-group *p* values for day – 10 until day 0 and day 0 until day 6 or 10 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively.

Additional file 12: Figure 6. Description of data: Use of medication in propensity score-matched groups. Differences of use of (a) corticosteroids, (b) remdesivir, and (c) chloroquine between anakinra group and control group during 10 days before and 10 days after alignment day (day 0). *p* values were calculated using Fisher's exact tests.

Additional file 13: Figure 7. Description of data: Clinical outcomes in propensity score-matched groups. Kaplan–Meier graphs of (a) time on mechanical ventilator, (b) length of stay in the intensive care unit (ICU), and (c) mortality for propensity score-matched groups. Data are presented for the first 28 days after anakinra alignment day. Patients who were no longer mechanically ventilated on alignment day were not included in time on ventilator graph. *P* values were calculated using log-rank tests. Numbers at risk on each timepoint per group are shown below graphs.

Additional file 14: Figure 8. Description of data: Circulating cytokine concentrations for the subgroup analysis in patients who did not receive corticosteroids. Concentrations of circulating (a) tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , (b) interleukin (IL)-6, (c) IL-8, (d) IL-10, (e) interferon gamma-induced protein (IP)-10, (f) monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, and (g) IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) on day of intensive care unit (ICU) admission and serial data within 6 days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0). Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *P* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 6 until day 6). Between-group *p* values for day – 6 until day 0 and day 0 until day 6 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively.

Additional file 15: Figure 9. Description of data: Inflammation parameters over time for the subgroup analysis in patients who did not receive corticosteroids. (a) Body temperature and plasma levels of (b) ferritin, (c) procalcitonin, (d) white blood cell counts, and (e) C-reactive protein (CRP) over time within 10 days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0). Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *P* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 10 until day 10). Between-group *p* values for day – 10 until day 0 and day 0 until day 10 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively.

Additional file 16: Figure 10. Description of data: Individual parameters of sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score and total SOFA score for the subgroup analysis in patients who did not receive corticosteroids. Plasma concentrations of (a) creatinine, (b) bilirubin, and (c) thrombocytes and (d) PaO₂/FiO₂ (P/F)-ratio, (e) infusion rate of norepinephrine, and (f) SOFA score over time within 10 days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0). PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio and SOFA score were presented until day 6. Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *P* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 10 until day 6 or 10). Between-group *p* values for day – 10 until day 0 and day 0 until day 6 or 10 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively.

Additional file 17: Figure 11. Description of data: Clinical outcomes for the subgroup analysis in patients who did not receive corticosteroids. Kaplan–Meier graphs of (a) time on mechanical ventilator, (b) length of stay in the intensive care unit (ICU), and (c) mortality. Data are presented for the first 28 days after anakinra alignment day. Patients who were no longer mechanically ventilated on alignment day were not included in time on ventilator graph. *p* values were calculated using log-rank tests. Numbers at risk on each timepoint per group are shown below graphs.

Additional file 18: Figure 12. Description of data: Circulating cytokine concentrations for the subgroup analysis with control patients who partially met the criteria to start anakinra treatment. Concentrations of circulating (a) tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , (b) interleukin (IL)-6, (c) IL-8, (d) IL-10, (e) interferon gamma-induced protein (IP)-10, (f) monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, and (g) IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) on day of intensive care unit (ICU) admission and serial data within four days pre- and 6 days post-alignment day (day 0). Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *p* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 6 until day 6). Between-group *p* values for day – 6 until day 0 and day 0 until day 6 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively.

Additional file 19: Figure 13. Description of data: Inflammation parameters over time for the subgroup analysis with control patients who partially met the criteria to start anakinra treatment. (a) Body temperature and plasma levels of (b) ferritin, (c) procalcitonin, (d) white blood cell counts, and (e) C-reactive protein (CRP) over time within 10 days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0). Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *P* values

under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 10 until day 10). Between-group *p* values for day – 10 until day 0 and day 0 until day 10 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively.

Additional file 20: Figure 14. Description of data: Individual parameters of sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score and total SOFA score for the subgroup with control patients who partially met the criteria to start anakinra treatment. Plasma concentrations of (a) creatinine, (b) bilirubin, and (c) thrombocytes and (d) PaO₂/FiO₂ (P/F)-ratio, (e) infusion rate of norepinephrine, and (f) SOFA score over time within 10 days pre- and post-alignment day (day 0). PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio and SOFA score were presented until day 6. Data are presented as geometric mean with 95% confidence intervals and were analyzed using mixed-models analysis (time*group interaction factor) to evaluate differences between groups over time. *P* values under graph titles reflect overall between-group differences (day – 10 until day 6 or 10). Between-group *p* values for day – 10 until day 0 and day 0 until day 6 or 10 are shown on the left and right of each panel, respectively.

Additional file 21: Figure 15. Description of data: Clinical outcomes for the subgroup with control patients who partially met the criteria to start anakinra treatment. Kaplan–Meier graphs of (a) time on mechanical ventilator, (b) length of stay in the intensive care unit (ICU), and (c) mortality. Data are presented for the first 28 days after anakinra alignment day. Patients who were no longer mechanically ventilated on alignment day were not included in time on ventilator graph. *p* values were calculated using log-rank tests. Numbers at risk on each timepoint per group are shown below graphs.

Abbreviations

CAPS: Cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome; CI: Confidence interval; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; CRP: C-reactive protein; DIC: Diffuse intravascular coagulation; GCP: Good clinical practice; HBD: Hepatobiliary dysfunction; ICU: Intensive care unit; IL: Interleukin; IL-1RA: Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist; IP: Interferon gamma-induced protein; IQR: Interquartile ranges; i.v.: Intravenously; LOS: Length of stay; MCP: Monocyte chemoattractant protein; MAS: Macrophage activation syndrome; P/F: PaO₂/FiO₂; SARS-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SOFA: Sequential organ failure assessment; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor.

Acknowledgements

In addition to the authors of this manuscript, the RCI-COVID-19 study group consists of Emma J. Kooistra, Nicole J. B. Waalders, Inge Grondman, Nico A. F. Janssen, Aline H. de Nooijer, Mihai G. Netea, Frank L. van de Veerdonk, Esther Ewalds, Johannes G. van der Hoeven, Matthijs Kox, Peter Pickers, Pleun Hemelaar, Remi Beunders, Niklas Bruse, Tim Frenzel, Jeroen Schouten, Hugo Touw, Sjef van der Velde, Hetty van der Eng, Noortje Roovers, Margreet Klop-Riehl, Jelle Gerretsen, Wout Claassen, Hidde Heesakkers, Tirsia van Schaik, Leonie Buijsse, Leo Joosten, Quirijn de Mast, Martin Jaeger, Ilse Kouijzer, Helga Dijkstra, Heidi Lemmers, Reinout van Crevel, Josephine van de Maat, Gerine Nijman, Simone Moorlag, Esther Taks, Priya Debisarun, Heiman Wertheim, Joost Hopman, Janette Rahamat-Langendoen, Chantal Bleeker-Rovers, Hans Koenen, Esther Fasse, Esther van Rijssen, Manon Kolkman, Bram van Cranenbroek, Ruben Smeets, Irma Joosten. All of these authors are affiliated to the Radboud Center of Infectious Diseases. The names of the individual members of the group should be searchable through their individual PubMed records. PP, the corresponding author, had full access to all data in the study and had final responsibility for the submission of the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

EJK, NJBW, MK, and PP designed the study. EJK, NJBW, and EE were responsible for data collection and management. IG, NAFJ, and AHdN were responsible for sample collection and laboratory processing. EJK and NJBW performed statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. IG, NAFJ, AHdN, MGN, FLvdV, EE, JGvdH, MK, and PP critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The study was internally funded by the participating departments. EK was funded by a Radboudumc COVID-19 grant (Radboudfonds). MGN was

supported by an ERC Advanced Grant (#833247) and a Spinoza Grant of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was carried out in the Netherlands in accordance with the applicable rules concerning the review of research ethics committees and informed consent. All patients or legal representatives were informed about the study details and could decline to participate.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest or competing interest.

Author details

¹ Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Radboud University Medical Center, 6500HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ² Radboud Center for Infectious Diseases, Radboud University Medical Center, 6500HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ³ Department of Internal Medicine, Radboud University Medical Center, 6500HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁴ Department of Immunology and Metabolism, Life and Medical Sciences Institute (LIMES), University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ⁵ Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Bernhoven Hospital, 5406PT Uden, The Netherlands.

Received: 9 September 2020 Accepted: 29 October 2020

Published online: 10 December 2020

References

- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan. *China Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):497–506.
- Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, Jiang L, Song J. Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan. *China Intensive Care Med*. 2020;46:846–8.
- Henry BM, de Oliveira MHS, Benoit S, Plebani M, Lippi G. Hematologic, biochemical and immune biomarker abnormalities associated with severe illness and mortality in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a meta-analysis. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2020;58(7):1021–8.
- Schulert GS, Grom AA. Pathogenesis of macrophage activation syndrome and potential for cytokine-directed therapies. *Annu Rev Med*. 2015;66:145–59.
- Zhang C, Wu Z, Li JW, Zhao H, Wang GQ. Cytokine release syndrome in severe COVID-19: interleukin-6 receptor antagonist tocilizumab may be the key to reduce mortality. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2020;55(5):105954.
- Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Netea MG, Rovina N, Akinosoglou K, Antoniadou A, Antonakos N, et al. Complex immune dysregulation in COVID-19 patients with severe respiratory failure. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2020;27(6):992.e3–1000.e3.
- Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10229):1033–4.
- Shakoory B, Carcillo JA, Chatham WW, Amdur RL, Zhao H, Dinarello CA, et al. Interleukin-1 receptor blockade is associated with reduced mortality in sepsis patients with features of macrophage activation syndrome: reanalysis of a prior phase III trial. *Crit Care Med*. 2016;44(2):275–81.
- Cavalli G, De Luca G, Campochiaro C, Della-Torre E, Ripa M, Canetti D, et al. Interleukin-1 blockade with high-dose anakinra in patients with COVID-19, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and hyperinflammation: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol*. 2020;2(6):e325–31.
- Dimopoulos G, de Mast Q, Markou N, Theodorakopoulou M, Komnos A, Mouktaroudi M, et al. Favorable anakinra responses in severe COVID-19 patients with secondary hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2020;28:117.e1–123.e1.

11. Huet T, Beaussier H, Voisin O, Jouveshomme S, Dauriat G, Lazareth I, et al. Anakinra for severe forms of COVID-19: a cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol*. 2020;2:e393–400.
12. Navarro-Millán I, Sattui SE, Lakhanpal A, Zisa D, Siegel CH, Crow MK. Use of anakinra to prevent mechanical ventilation in severe COVID-19: a case series. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.41422>.
13. Aouba A, Baldolli A, Geffray L, Verdon R, Bergot E, Martin-Silva N, et al. Targeting the inflammatory cascade with anakinra in moderate to severe COVID-19 pneumonia: case series. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2020;79:1381–2.
14. Lopez-Castejon G, Brough D. Understanding the mechanism of IL-1 β secretion. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev*. 2011;22(4):189–95.
15. Kyriazopoulou E, Leventogiannis K, Norrby-Teglund A, Dimopoulos G, Pantazi A, Orfanos SE, et al. Macrophage activation-like syndrome: an immunological entity associated with rapid progression to death in sepsis. *BMC Med*. 2017;15(1):172.
16. Ramiro S, Mostard RLM, Magro-Checa C, van Dongen CMP, Dormans T, Buijs J, et al. Historically controlled comparison of glucocorticoids with or without tocilizumab versus supportive care only in patients with COVID-19-associated cytokine storm syndrome: results of the CHIC study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2020;79:annrheumdis-2020-218479.
17. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19—preliminary report. *N Engl J Med*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2021436>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

