



# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

*J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 December 14.

Published in final edited form as:

*J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2020 July ; 91(7): 740–749. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2020-322875.

## Clinical Trials in REM Sleep Behavior Disorder – Challenges and Opportunities

**Aleksandar Videnovic,**

Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 165 Cambridge Street, Suite 600, Boston, MA 02114

**Yo-Ei S Ju,**

Department of Neurology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, USA

**Isabelle Arnulf,**

Sleep Disorders Unit, Pitie-Salpetriere Hospital, Paris, France

**Valérie Cochen-De Cock,**

Department of Neurology, Clinique Beau Soleil, Montpellier, France

**Birgit Högl,**

Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

**Dieter Kunz,**

Clinic for Sleep and Chronomedicine, St. Hedwing-Krankenhaus, Berlin, Germany

**Federica Provini,**

Institute of Neurological Sciences of Bologna, University of Bologna, Bologna; Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences University of Bologna, Italy

**Pietro-Luca Ratti,**

Neurocenter of Southern Switzerland, Lugano, Switzerland

**Mya Schiess,**

Department of Neurology, University of Texas Medical School at Houston, Houston, USA

---

**Corresponding Author:** Aleksandar Videnovic, MD, MSc, Phone: +1-617-724-3837, [avidenovic@mgh.harvard.edu](mailto:avidenovic@mgh.harvard.edu).

Contributorship

AV - planning, conduct and reporting of the study; responsible for the overall content as guarantor

YEJ - planning, conduct and reporting of the study

IA - planning, conduct and reporting of the study

VCDC - planning, conduct and reporting of the study

BH - planning, conduct and reporting of the study

FP - planning, conduct and reporting of the study

PLR - planning, conduct and reporting of the study

MS - planning, conduct and reporting of the study

CS - planning, conduct and reporting of the study

CT - planning, conduct and reporting of the study

Competing of Interest

Dr. Videnovic reports personal fees from Acorda, Acadia, Pfizer, Theranexus, Jazz, Wilson's therapeutics.

Dr. Arnulf reports personal fees from Roche Pharma and UCB Pharma.

Dr. Högl reports personal fees from Jazz, Ono, Axovant, Benevolent Bio, Mundipharma, Roche, Takeda, AoP Orphan, Jazz, Abbvie, AoP, Lundbeck, Eli Lilly, Otsuka, Janssen Cilag, Inspire, Habel Medizintechnik.

Dr. Provini reports personal fees from Vanda Pharmaceutical, Sanofi, Zambon, Fidia, Bial, Eisai Japan, Italfarmaco.

Dr. Schenck reports personal fees from Axovant.

Dr. Trenkwalder reports personal fees from Britannia, Roche, UCB, Gruenthal, Otsuka.

**Carlos H Schenck,**

Department of Psychiatry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

**Claudia Trenkwalder**

Paracelsus Elena Klinik, Kassel, Department of Neurosurgery, University Medical Center, Goettingen, Germany

**on behalf of the Treatment and Trials Working Group of the International RBD Study Group**

## Abstract

The RBD population is an ideal study population for testing disease-modifying treatments for synucleinopathies, since RBD represents an early prodromal stage of synucleinopathy when neuropathology may be more responsive to treatment. While clonazepam and melatonin are most commonly used as symptomatic treatments for RBD, clinical trials of symptomatic treatments are also needed to identify evidence-based treatments. A comprehensive framework for both disease-modifying and symptomatic treatment trials in RBD is described, including potential treatments in the pipeline, cost-effective participant recruitment and selection, study design, outcomes, and dissemination of results. For disease-modifying treatment clinical trials, the recommended primary outcome is phenoconversion to an overt synucleinopathy, and stratification features should be used to select a study population at high risk of phenoconversion, to enable more rapid clinical trials. For symptomatic treatment clinical trials, objective polysomnogram-based measurement of RBD-related movements and vocalizations should be the primary outcome measure, rather than subjective scales or diaries. Mobile technology to enable objective measurement of RBD episodes in the ambulatory setting, and advances in imaging, biofluid, tissue, and neurophysiological biomarkers of synucleinopathies, will enable more efficient clinical trials but are still in development. Increasing awareness of RBD among the general public and medical community coupled with timely diagnosis of these diseases will facilitate progress in the development of therapeutics for RBD and associated neurodegenerative disorders.

## 1. Introduction

REM Sleep Behavior Disorder (RBD) is characterized by “acting out” of dreams and is diagnosed by video-polysomnography (vPSG) demonstrating a loss of muscle atonia that normally accompanies REM sleep. Isolated RBD (iRBD) is the most reliable clinical marker of prodromal synucleinopathies, a group of neurodegenerative disorders including Parkinson’s disease (PD), Dementia with Lewy Bodies (DLB) and Multiple System Atrophy (MSA) [1]. The vast majority of individuals with iRBD are diagnosed with any synucleinopathy within 20 years of onset of iRBD [2]. Therefore, the iRBD population can serve as an ideal study group for testing agents that may modify synuclein-specific neurodegeneration, *i.e.* disease-modifying treatments to delay or prevent phenoconversion to an overt synucleinopathy. Furthermore, since the symptoms of RBD may cause sleep disruption and injury, and existing treatments are not always effective, there is also a need for clinical trials of new symptomatic treatments for RBD.

In 2013, the International RBD Study Group (IRBDSG) published a consensus statement on devising symptomatic and disease-modifying clinical trials in RBD [3]. Since then, scientific

progress regarding the pathophysiology and biomarkers of synucleinopathies has provided an exciting and timely platform for clinical trials. In this manuscript, we update the current knowledge on RBD treatments and discuss pivotal considerations for both symptomatic and disease-modifying clinical trials in RBD.

## 2. Current approaches to RBD treatment

### 2.1 Disease-modifying treatments

There are currently no disease-modifying treatments for RBD. Regular surveillance for symptoms of an overt synucleinopathy, including parkinsonism, cognitive decline, and autonomic dysfunction is recommended, so that they can be addressed promptly [4]. However, there is an obvious need for clinical trials for disease-modifying treatments, and if feasible, patients should be referred to RBD patient registries and resources where they can obtain up-to-date information on any clinical trials that arise (Table 1).

### 2.2 Symptomatic treatments for RBD

Symptomatic treatment is required when the symptoms of RBD cause potential injury or sleep disruption to the patient or bedpartner. All individuals with RBD must take environmental precautions, such as removing weapons from the bedroom, removing or moving sharp furniture/objects, lowering bed height, padding the floor next to the bed, and other changes to minimize the chance of injury during an RBD episode. Medications known to exacerbate RBD, including serotonin reuptake inhibitors, serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, and tricyclic antidepressants, should be discontinued or avoided if possible [5]. Heavy alcohol use may also increase RBD episodes [6], and should be limited in individuals who have exhibited correlations between alcohol use and RBD episodes.

Clonazepam and melatonin are most frequently used for symptomatic treatment of RBD, largely based on case series, and a smattering of other medications has also been reported to be effective. Clonazepam reduces the frequency of unpleasant dreams and decreasing violent dream enactment behavior [7 8], with complete resolution of symptoms observed in more than half of patients in large case series [9–11]. Data on dose increases of clonazepam or treatment failure over long-term follow-up are conflicting [8 12]. Side effects (morning sedation, confusion, dizziness and falls) may limit clonazepam utility, especially among older adults and/or in RBD coexistent with overt neurodegenerative disorders [13]. Unfortunately, a recent placebo-controlled trial in RBD occurring with PD was negative, with only a trend of RBD symptom improvement with clonazepam compared to placebo, as measured by clinical global impression of change (CGI) [14].

Melatonin, for its more favorable side effect profile, is frequently preferred as initial therapy for RBD [15 16]. In higher doses (6 to 18 mg at bedtime) melatonin improved frequency and severity of RBD symptoms in up to 70 percent of patients, as documented in several observational studies [15 17]. Lower doses (2 mg slow release and 3 mg immediate release) in conjunction with a “30 minutes prior to bedtime, always at the same clock-time” dosing regimen showed improvement in over 90 percent of iRBD patients in open-label trials [18 19]. Unfortunately, a recent placebo-controlled trial using extended-release melatonin was

also negative [20]. The mechanism of action of melatonin in RBD is unclear, but the persistent effect after melatonin discontinuation is hypothesized to be due to action on the circadian system [18]. Clinical synucleinopathies are mostly accompanied by a substantial dysfunction of the circadian system [21–22]. Considering that endogenous melatonin signaling is dampened in synucleinopathies, one can hypothesize that melatonin may improve RBD via a re-structuring and resynchronization of circadian rhythmicity [23], a hypothesis that needs to be further studied.

Other medications for RBD are used less frequently, typically if melatonin and clonazepam have failed to adequately symptoms or have intolerable side effects. Randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind studies showed that rivastigmine reduced reported number of RBD episodes in the setting of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) [24] or PD [25] resistant to clonazepam and melatonin, and that memantine reduced subjective physical activity during sleep in individuals with probable RBD in the setting of DLB or PD with dementia [26]. Scattered case reports indicate that some individuals with RBD have symptomatic benefit from donepezil (although some cases did not have vPSG-confirmed RBD) [27], levodopa, dopaminergic medications, imipramine, carbamazepine, sodium oxybate, triazolam, zopiclone, quetiapine, and clozapine [7, 13, 28–31].

In summary, there are no symptomatic treatments with a high level of supporting clinical trial evidence for RBD, particularly iRBD. Clonazepam and melatonin are most commonly used for symptomatic treatment of RBD based on large case series, however recent placebo-controlled trials were negative [20]. An important note of discussion is whether these trials used the best endpoints for evaluating RBD severity. The CGI, although recommended by the IRBDSG previously [3], is highly subjective and dependent on the patient and/or bedpartner, neither of whom may be aware of or recall all RBD episodes [14]. Sleep logs or event diaries face similar problems [20]. For RBD occurring with overt neurodegenerative diseases, placebo-controlled studies have shown that rivastigmine and memantine are effective, although outcome measures were not optimal in these studies also. All other RBD symptomatic treatments are based on open-label studies or case reports. Clearly, clinical trials that can overcome factors that have hampered development [32] of better symptomatic RBD treatments are needed, and we outline recommendations for such trials in the following sections.

### 3. Study populations for RBD clinical trials

Study participants for clinical trials in RBD should have RBD based on the criteria established by the International Classification of Sleep Disorders (ICSD-3) [33], the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5<sup>th</sup> edition (DSM-V) [34], or the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) Manual for the Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events [35]. Critically, RBD diagnosis requires confirmation by vPSG, as there are many mimics.

#### 3.1 Study populations for disease-modifying treatment trials

Selection of the study population for disease-modifying clinical trials in RBD should align with the following general principles: 1) defining a group for which there is a greater chance

of detecting a possible difference between the compared interventions; 2) isolating a homogeneous group to reduce the variability of response and potential confounders; 3) obtaining a representative sample of the disease to be able to extrapolate results; 4) defining realistic recruitment goals (sample size, time and cost); and 5) maintaining ethical standards by choosing patients who are likely to benefit.

Ideally, individuals with isolated RBD would be the “most pure” study cohort for trials of disease-modifying treatments. This, however, needs to be placed in the context of feasibility of such an approach. Individuals with isolated RBD may take up to 10 years before the phenoconversion takes place, which would make trial duration too long. In contrast, at the time of RBD manifestation, the aggregated alpha-synuclein has likely already reached the brainstem and the “phenoconversion” process has begun.

For disease-modifying clinical trials, it would be critical to select a study population at appropriate risk of phenoconversion to overt synucleinopathy, to enable smaller and more rapid clinical trials. We will need to strike the right balance of enrolling individuals with RBD and co-existent reasonable presence of other markers of neurodegenerative process. In a multi-center study through the IRBDSG, clinical or demographic features such as older age, family history of dementia, motor symptoms, autonomic symptoms, pesticide exposure, olfactory function and others increased risk of phenoconversion [36]. Table 2 [36–49] summarizes potential criteria to select an RBD study population with highest risk of phenoconversion. Rapidly accumulating research on RBD and particularly biomarkers will refine these criteria in coming years. For example, genetic risk variance may be an important element for balancing clinical trial arms [50]. Additionally, any clinical trial will need to balance the opposing forces of requiring a study population at high risk of phenoconversion and the overall low prevalence of RBD.

### 3.2 Study populations for symptomatic treatment trials

For symptomatic treatment trials, study participant selection need not be as stringent as for disease modifying trials. Individuals with RBD and a clinical need for improvement of RBD episode severity or frequency could be enrolled in symptomatic treatment trials. There are still some considerations to ensure efficient symptomatic treatment trials. Study participants should demonstrate sufficient severity of RBD prior to treatment, such that a clinically meaningful improvement can be detected. Ideally, study populations should be tailored to match the putative mechanism of action of a study drug. Individuals with untreated or sub-optimally treated obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) or other sleep disorders should be excluded, because arousals related to other sleep disorders may increase the perceived severity of RBD symptoms. In individuals with co-existent RBD and OSA, the employment of continuous positive airway pressure may be considered to minimize the confounding effect of OSA on arousal instability and phasic and tonic increases of muscle tone. If subjective report will be part of the outcome measure of RBD severity, then the presence of a bed partner should be mandatory or used as a stratification feature. Optimally, the study group should be homogeneous in RBD etiology and subtype, such as iRBD, RBD associated with narcolepsy, or RBD associated with a specific synucleinopathy. Considering that treatment with antidepressants may worsen or provoke RBD symptoms, clinical studies that allow for

withdrawal of these medications, when possible, would provide additional insight into the relationship between medications use and RBD.

### 3.3 Screening and recruitment for RBD clinical trials

Recruiting participants for clinical trials for RBD faces several fundamental methodological issues. While RBD is present in ~1% of middle-aged and older adults with no differences of frequency in the sexes, only a small proportion of people with RBD, mostly male, come to clinical attention [51]. Individuals with more severe or injurious RBD episodes are more likely to come to clinical attention, and are unlikely to be representative of the whole RBD population. Furthermore, since vPSG is required for RBD diagnosis, access to sleep subspecialty expertise is required, and additionally hampers potential recruitment for clinical trials.

Given the relatively low prevalence of RBD, screening and recruitment methods for RBD clinical trials must be carefully planned. Effective screening methods with excellent accuracy will be a critical step in disease modifying trials targeting the RBD population. Several structured screening questionnaires for RBD are available [52–56]. However, there are no screening tools for RBD that have been validated in community-dwelling subjects unselected for sleep complaints. The Mayo Sleep Questionnaire yields very high sensitivity and good specificity in discriminating RBD from other sleep disorders in the community-based [54] and in aging and dementia populations[57]. However, as it relies on bed partner's report, its use is limited to individuals not sleeping alone. Note that current RBD questionnaires (e.g., RBD1Q [56] and RBDSQ [52]) do not reliably differentiate RBD from NREM parasomnias and other mimics such as excessive periodic limb movements [58], hence additional information on ambulation, history of the parasomnia, and other clinical features must be collected for improved accuracy. The Innsbruck RBD Inventory has the highest sensitivity (85–91%) and good specificity (84–86%) [53] in patients referred to sleep clinics, and does not rely on bed partner's information, but is not yet validated in a community setting. Evidence about the usefulness of other questionnaires as screening tools for RBD in de novo PD is conflicting [59–60], and insufficient for other patient populations. A questionnaire that bed-partners use to rate the severity of RBD was developed and utilized in the development of the RBD Polysomnographic Score among individuals affected by synucleinopathies [61].

Potential participants with positive screens will need to undergo vPSG to determine if they fulfill criteria for RBD. Due to the low prevalence of RBD compared to the relatively high prevalence of periodic limb movement disorder, OSA, and other sleep disorders causing “pseudo-RBD”, a substantial number of RBD-screen-positive individuals will be false-positives, meaning they do not have RBD. Since vPSG is costly, additional steps to narrow the pool of potential trial participants may be necessary to make a clinical trial feasible. For example, for a disease-modifying trial, a multistep approach that combines a screening questionnaire, clinical interview, and clinical testing for features of higher risk of phenoconversion to synucleinopathies (as in Table 2), followed by vPSG, would be more efficient. In contrast, for a symptomatic clinical trial, individuals with PD, or other diagnoses in which the prevalence of RBD is high, could directly undergo vPSG if a screening

questionnaire is positive. Development of more reliable devices in the home environment, such as actigraphy [62 63] or home sleep recordings, would further facilitate recruitment by narrowing the potential participant pool to those at highest likelihood of true RBD.

#### 4. Clinical trial design

Several trial designs have been employed in disease-modifying trials in PD, including washout design, delayed-start design, futility designs, as well as designs that assess either time to an event or a change in rating scale over time as endpoints [64]. Each of these designs had advantages but also disadvantages that resulted in difficulties with the interpretation of study findings. A majority of trials in PD utilized clinical end points and/or surrogate markers, and choice of these outcome metrics may have influenced negative trial results. Several PD trials employed surrogate imaging markers of the nigrostriatal system, specifically PET imaging as a measure of dopa decarboxylation, and SPECT imaging of the dopamine transporter [65 66]. While initially promising, dopaminergic medications interacted with imaging radioligands thus affecting the interpretation of results. Novel techniques such as clinical trial simulation and disease modeling may be applicable to RBD trials [67].

An important issue to consider is how to distinguish a potential symptomatic effect (on the outcome measure, such as motor function) from a disease-modifying effect, if the agent has any symptomatic effect. Three designs have been proposed to address this concern: 1) delayed-start trial designs, 2) longitudinal studies with repeated assessments to perform meaningful slope analysis, and 3) trials measuring outcomes following a wash-out period. Currently, there is no evidence for any agent causing a symptomatic effect (on neurological function) in RBD, therefore this discussion is a theoretical one.

Overall, the recommended design for a disease-modifying clinical trial in RBD is a prospective, randomized, parallel-group, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial [68]. An adaptive trial design may be considered to reduce number of participants or study duration. A wash-out period or other design feature to account for the possibility of a neurological symptomatic effect should be considered also. For trials centered on symptomatic treatments of RBD, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-arm or cross-over trial designs should be considered.

#### 5. Clinical trial duration

It is quite challenging to predict the ideal duration of a disease modifying trial in RBD. This concept continues to evolve as we work to improve dynamic biomarkers of neurodegeneration progression. Based on the current understanding of RBD progression, it is reasonable to propose the time range up to 2 years. Most published disease-modification efficacy trials in PD also have an at least 6–10 months of placebo-controlled phase or delayed start phase, followed by a 1.5 to 2 years open label phase. Regardless of the duration of the primary clinical trial, a long-term open-label phase is recommended, to collect safety and efficacy data.

On the other hand, for a symptomatic treatment, clinical trial duration can be quite short (weeks). However, a longer open-label phase is recommended to collect safety and efficacy data long-term, since most RBD patients will take symptomatic treatments for years.

## 6. Agent selection for clinical trials in RBD

### 6.1 Disease-modifying treatment

Disease-modifying treatments in RBD should ideally halt or slow formation and spread of abnormal synuclein deposits, prevent neuronal death, and/or diminish subsequent circuit-level deficits. There are multiple treatments in the pipeline for early PD or DLB that could be considered for neuroprotective trials in RBD. While all disease-modifying treatments in PD have failed in clinical trials thus far, it is possible that one of these treatments may be effective in iRBD, which represents a much earlier and potentially reversible stage of neuropathology. Additionally, some “symptomatic” treatments for RBD may have possible neuroprotective effects [19–69]. Furthermore, it may be worthwhile to assess any disease-modifying benefit of behavioral or lifestyle changes that have been reported to be associated with decreased PD, DLB, or MSA risk. Table 3 [18–70–99] summarizes possible existing disease-modifying treatments and interventions, although we anticipate new potential therapies to emerge in coming years.

### 6.2 Symptomatic treatment

The available symptomatic treatments for RBD lack clear high-quality evidence for use or disuse, particularly in iRBD. There are no additional agents that we are aware of that are promising for symptomatic benefit in RBD. Therefore, we recommend symptomatic treatment trials with medications such as clonazepam, melatonin/melatonin agonists with careful planning to overcome some of the obstacles that have hampered symptomatic clinical trials in RBD thus far [32].

## 7. Outcome Measures

### 7.1 Outcome measures for neuroprotective trials

Phenoconversion, or progression to overt synucleinopathy, should be the primary outcome measure in a neuroprotective trial. A major challenge is the rate of phenoconversion in the isolated RBD population as a whole, estimated at 41% by 5 years [36] and at 50% at 8 years [2], which translates to a prohibitively long and expensive clinical trial. This may even be an overestimate, as only the most violent or injury-prone phenotypes of iRBD are likely to come to clinical attention at academic sleep centers with large RBD cohorts. Therefore, markers that can serve as either 1) a selection marker for a sub-population of RBD patients at highest risk of phenoconversion, or 2) a dynamic marker that tracks reliably with synuclein pathology during the prodromal phase and can be used as a surrogate outcome measure, would allow for more rapid clinical trials.

There is evidence for the presynaptic dopamine imaging, particularly  $^{123}\text{I}$ -Ioflupane ( $^{123}\text{I}$ -FP-CIT, or DaTScan) SPECT as a reliable biomarker. In the largest study with 87 subjects showing isolated RBD, abnormal  $^{123}\text{I}$ -Ioflupane binding predicted symptomatic



phenoconversion by 3 and 5 years [101]. Thus, dopamine transporter imaging could be used as a selection marker. As for whether dopamine imaging alone can be used as a dynamic marker, there are insufficient data. A longitudinal study of N=20 iRBD patients and 20 control participants found that iRBD patients had decreased  $^{123}\text{I}$ -FP-CIT binding at baseline, and that mean values decreased at 1.5 years and 3 years [48]. The three patients with lowest putamenal  $^{123}\text{I}$ -FP-CIT binding at baseline developed PD, however, the rate of change in binding was no different between patients starting with normal or abnormal binding levels, therefore predicting phenoconversion may still be difficult according to these data. A meta-analysis combining data from  $^{123}\text{I}$ -Ioflupane SPECT,  $^{123}\text{I}$ -IPT PET,  $^{11}\text{C}$ -DTBZ PET, and  $^{18}\text{F}$ -DOPA PET found that tracer uptake was decreased in RBD compared to controls, and further decreased in PD [102]. Therefore, it might be assumed that dopamine binding would decrease within an individual from prodromal to overt symptomatic synucleinopathy. However, there are insufficient data regarding rate of change, particularly regarding the subgroups of RBD that are on trajectories toward DLB or MSA, to recommend use of dopamine imaging as a dynamic marker at this time.

Other potential selection markers include REM sleep without atonia (RSWA) and some clinical features. RSWA, quantified during PSG, is associated with clinical severity measures such as gait freezing and cognitive impairment in PD [103–104], and RSWA increases or progresses over time, with high RSWA amounts shown to be a risk factor for developing a symptomatic synucleinopathy [105]. Multiple methods for quantifying RSWA by manual visual scoring have been developed, with varying combinations of metrics such as EMG signal from different muscles; “tonic,” “phasic,” or “any” RSWA quantification; cutoff values; inclusion or exclusion of RSWA associated with apneas; and other factors [106–110]. Additionally, automated methods have been developed and tested against visual methods [62–111–114], and substantially reduced processing time. Recent developments in ambulatory sleep monitoring devices and signal analysis methods may allow for measurement of RSWA at home over multiple nights or longitudinally [115]. There is no clearly superior method of RSWA quantification [116], but consistent application of a single method—particularly one with multi-center comparisons and validations—would be required to use RSWA as an outcome marker.

Poor olfaction, impaired color vision, and subtle motor dysfunction, particularly in combination, also predict faster phenoconversion [36–117]. Sequential biomarker assessment can identify prodromal PD efficiently, and by adding male sex and constipation, the percentage of a positive prediction of DAT-Scan can be significantly increased [118]. On the other hand, there are currently insufficient data to support using any clinical markers or RSWA as dynamic markers. Of note, other cognitive and neurological functions may not be useful: a clinical battery—which did not include olfaction or autonomic function—was not predictive of abnormal dopamine transporter imaging in RBD [119].

There are no reliable fluid biomarkers for distinguishing RBD from controls. Tissue biomarkers such as  $\alpha$ -synuclein deposits in skin [120–121], submandibular glands [122], enteric nervous system via colonic biopsies [123], particularly phosphorylated  $\alpha$ -synuclein are promising, but these markers require validation against clinical diagnosis and progression, and especially no data as dynamic markers are currently available.

Various imaging modalities have demonstrated abnormalities in iRBD. MRI studies in RBD have shown an abnormal susceptibility weighted imaging signal in the substantia nigra [124], but correlative clinical data are lacking. Transcranial sonography shows substantia nigra hyperechogenicity in RBD as a group, and this trait predicts risk of phenoconversion, but with poor sensitivity and specificity, and does not change over time [125]. Metabolic imaging with <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose (<sup>18</sup>F-FDG) PET has shown a “PD motor related pattern” in RBD, with correlation with RBD disease duration, but there are insufficient clinical staging and prognostic data [126 127]. Cardiac <sup>123</sup>I-MIBG scans show decreased sympathetic activity in RBD [128], however there are no correlated clinical prognostic data, this marker has a non-linear trajectory, and there are significant differences between MSA and PD. There are limited or conflicting data regarding RBD and postsynaptic dopaminergic imaging, cerebral blood flow imaging, structural MRI, diffusion tensor imaging by MRI, resting state functional MRI, and magnetic resonance spectroscopy;[for review see [129]]. There are currently insufficient data to support using any of these imaging modalities as selection or even dynamic biomarkers of synucleinopathy in RBD.

There are emerging techniques and new biomarkers that may be applicable to RBD treatment trials in the future. For example, different conformations of -synuclein can now be quantified. A recent study found that CSF total -synuclein was decreased in DLB and PD compared to AD, but oligomeric -synuclein was higher [130]. Real-Time Quaking-Induced Conversion (RT-QuIC), which assesses the rate of synuclein aggregation rather than absolute levels, can distinguish PD and DLB from Alzheimer’s Disease, other tauopathies, and controls [131], but still does not predict phenoconversion. A combination of biomarkers may be more effective. A recent study showed that CSF amyloid -42, which is decreased in AD, was decreased in early PD patients with RBD and was associated with cognitive decline [132]. Therefore, AD biomarkers such as tau, amyloid-, and others may be useful alone or in combination with biomarkers specific to synucleinopathies. Recent data show that serum neurofilament light chain NFLE may be a progression marker in PD, and may be useful in RBD (Mollenhauer et al, submitted). Other techniques such as -synuclein quantification in plasma exosomes [133], isolating brain-derived extracellular vesicles from blood [134], and proteomic approaches are all in development in synucleinopathies, and may be useful markers in the future.

In summary, the primary outcome measure for a neuroprotective trial should be phenoconversion using clinical diagnostic criteria of PD, MSA or DLB, as there are no validated dynamic biomarkers. Presynaptic dopamine transporter imaging should be used as a selection marker to enrich the population at risk for phenoconversion, following an initial pre-selection based on less costly markers such as poor olfaction, constipation, or RSWA severity, as described above and Table 2. At this time, there are insufficient data to support using any biomarkers as dynamic outcome markers for synucleinopathy.

## 7.2 Outcome measures for symptomatic trials

In the prior consensus statement [3], the CGI was recommended as a primary outcome measure, for a clinician to estimate a holistic change in RBD severity. However, RBD symptom severity reporting is highly subjective, with violent movements more likely to be

recalled and reported by patients and bedpartners. Furthermore, other factors unrelated to RBD, such as frailty, anticoagulation medication, potential for injury, bed partner's presence, bed partner's quality of sleep, patient's/bed partner's ability to perceive and recall RBD-related behaviors, environment, and other factors unrelated to RBD will affect any subjective outcome measures, including the CGI.

Repeated assessment of symptoms severity according to bedpartners is another possible outcome measure. However, we would not recommend this method alone, as it relies on the presence of a bedpartner and, once present, is also influenced by external factors such as arousal threshold of the bedpartner, sleeping in separate bedrooms, and so on. A questionnaire that bed-partners use to rate the severity of RBD was developed and utilized in the development of the RBD Polysomnographic Score among individuals affected by synucleinopathies [61], yet it has not been employed so far to our knowledge for symptom monitoring in ecological settings in clinical trials.

In contrast, RBD severity may be objectively quantified by vPSG. Although it is difficult to quantify the high complexity of motor activities and vocalizations in RBD, the RBD Severity Scale (RBDSS) is a validated method to assess both frequency and severity of motor and vocalization events during REM sleep [135]. Due to high night-to-night variation in RBD symptom severity [135], however, two or more nights of vPSG are recommended to reduce variance and therefore improve effect size and therefore reduces the practical application of this measure. The major challenges of using objective vPSG-based severity measures are the cost of vPSG as well as any effect of sleeping in unfamiliar surroundings on RBD behaviors. However, cost of vPSG is not prohibitively high, as demonstrated by clinical trials that have assessed RBDSS before and during drug treatment [136–138]. It is also possible to exclude the first night's data as an adaptation night [137].

Portable, home-based methods to quantify RBD events such as home video analysis, home vPSG, or activity sensors such as actigraphy [63 139] are under development or have already been shown to be useful to detect or at least screen for RBD, but sensitivity to treatment has not been demonstrated in any of these tools [63]. These types of methods will allow for lower cost as well as improve feasibility of multiple nights (even daily) of data collection. It will be critical to validate any such methods against vPSG as a gold standard.

RSWA could also be considered as an outcome measure for RBD severity. However, the degree of change in disruptive RBD behaviors may not necessarily correlate with change in RSWA. For example, clonazepam does not seem to significantly decrease RSWA, even while decreasing phasic movements [136]. However, for potential symptomatic treatments whose mechanism of action is to affect skeletal muscle control during REM sleep, RSWA would be a key objective symptomatic outcome measure, particularly if RSWA can be monitored in the ambulatory setting.

Additionally, a “clinically meaningful” level of change needs to be determined. For instance, a small change in small movements or vocalizations imperceptible to the patient or bedpartner is not clinically meaningful. Therefore, we recommend the addition of measures of number of events, falls, injuries, sleep disruption, and daytime functioning as secondary

outcomes along with vPSG-based measures, such that we have data to define a minimum clinically meaningful change objectively.

## 8. Increasing awareness of RBD

The association between RBD and synucleinopathy has been increasingly disseminated. However, there is still substantial work needed to increase the awareness of RBD both in the general population and health care professionals. General medical practitioners and geriatric specialists, who are most likely to encounter individuals with RBD symptoms, may not be aware of the neurological implications of RBD or the importance of screening for RBD. Moreover, since sleep medicine specialists have primary specialization in a variety of fields such as pulmonology, psychiatry, otolaryngology, internal medicine, and others, not all individuals with RBD being assessed by sleep medicine physicians may be evaluated or treated for RBD, since vPSG may not be routinely performed or vPSG may not be scored for RBD. RBD currently lacks a patient advocacy group, and organized research efforts (such as IRBDSG or NAPS Consortium) are based on existing RBD cohorts followed at subspecialty centers. Coordinated multidisciplinary efforts among sleep experts, neurologists, and healthcare professionals will be required to educate the public and medical professionals about RBD. Ethical considerations should be emphasized in all dissemination campaigns, given the neurological implications of RBD and the current lack of disease-modifying treatments, therefore personalized counseling should be the first priority when diagnosing RBD, and resources (such as in Table 1) to promote RBD clinical trials should be emphasized [140–142]. Based on the rapid pace of ongoing RBD research, we anticipate in the very near future to engage a larger group of experts, patients, industry leaders, and stakeholders who will enable highly impactful clinical trials to advance the field of RBD therapeutics and synucleinopathies.

## Funding Statement

This study was supported by these research grants from the National Institutes of Health:

K23NS089922

R21 NS108022

R34AG056639

UL1RR024992 Sub-Award KL2TR000450,

UL1TR000448

## References:

1. Hogl B, Stefani A, Videnovic A. Idiopathic REM sleep behaviour disorder and neurodegeneration - an update. *Nature reviews. Neurology* 2018;14(1):40–55 doi: 10.1038/nrneuro.2017.157[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 29170501]
2. Postuma RB, Iranzo A, Hu M, et al. Risk and predictors of dementia and parkinsonism in idiopathic REM sleep behaviour disorder: a multicentre study. *Brain : a journal of neurology* 2019;142(3):744–59 doi: 10.1093/brain/awz030[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 30789229]

3. Schenck CH, Montplaisir JY, Frauscher B, et al. Rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder: devising controlled active treatment studies for symptomatic and neuroprotective therapy--a consensus statement from the International Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder Study Group. *Sleep medicine* 2013;14(8):795–806 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2013.02.016[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23886593]
4. Arnaldi D, Antelmi E, St Louis EK, et al. Idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder and neurodegenerative risk: To tell or not to tell to the patient? How to minimize the risk? *Sleep medicine reviews* 2017;36:82–95 doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2016.11.002[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28082168]
5. Schenck CH, Mahowald MW. Rapid eye movement sleep parasomnias. *Neurologic clinics* 2005;23(4):1107–26 doi: 10.1016/j.ncl.2005.06.002[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 16243618]
6. Ma C, Pavlova M, Li J, et al. Alcohol consumption and probable rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *Annals of clinical and translational neurology* 2018;5(10):1176–83 doi: 10.1002/acn3.630[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 30349852]
7. Aurora RN, Zak RS, Maganti RK, et al. Best practice guide for the treatment of REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD). *Journal of clinical sleep medicine : JCSM : official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine* 2010;6(1):85–95 [PubMed: 20191945]
8. Li SX, Lam SP, Zhang J, et al. A prospective, naturalistic follow-up study of treatment outcomes with clonazepam in rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep medicine* 2016;21:114–20 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2015.12.020[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 27448481]
9. Schenck CH, Hurwitz TD, Mahowald MW. Symposium: Normal and abnormal REM sleep regulation: REM sleep behaviour disorder: an update on a series of 96 patients and a review of the world literature. *Journal of sleep research* 1993;2(4):224–31 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2869.1993.tb00093.x[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 10607098]
10. Olson EJ, Boeve BF, Silber MH. Rapid eye movement sleep behaviour disorder: demographic, clinical and laboratory findings in 93 cases. *Brain : a journal of neurology* 2000;123 (Pt 2):331–9 doi: 10.1093/brain/123.2.331[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 10648440]
11. Fernandez-Arcos A, Iranzo A, Serradell M, et al. The Clinical Phenotype of Idiopathic Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder at Presentation: A Study in 203 Consecutive Patients. *Sleep* 2016;39(1):121–32 doi: 10.5665/sleep.5332[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 26940460]
12. Gugger JJ, Wagner ML. Rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *The Annals of pharmacotherapy* 2007;41(11):1833–41 doi: 10.1345/aph.1H587[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 17925503]
13. Anderson KN, Shneerson JM. Drug treatment of REM sleep behavior disorder: the use of drug therapies other than clonazepam. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine : JCSM : official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine* 2009;5(3):235–9 [PubMed: 19960644]
14. Shin C, Park H, Lee WW, et al. Clonazepam for probable REM sleep behavior disorder in Parkinson's disease: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of the neurological sciences* 2019;401:81–86 doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2019.04.029[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 31035190]
15. McCarter SJ, Boswell CL, St Louis EK, et al. Treatment outcomes in REM sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep medicine* 2013;14(3):237–42 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2012.09.018[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23352028]
16. McGrane IR, Leung JG, St Louis EK, et al. Melatonin therapy for REM sleep behavior disorder: a critical review of evidence. *Sleep medicine* 2015;16(1):19–26 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2014.09.011[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25454845]
17. Boeve BF, Silber MH, Ferman TJ. Melatonin for treatment of REM sleep behavior disorder in neurologic disorders: results in 14 patients. *Sleep medicine* 2003;4(4):281–4 doi: 10.1016/s1389-9457(03)00072-8[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 14592300]
18. Kunz D, Mahlberg R. A two-part, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of exogenous melatonin in REM sleep behaviour disorder. *Journal of sleep research* 2010;19(4):591–6 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2869.2010.00848.x[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 20561180]

19. Kunz D, Bes F. Melatonin effects in a patient with severe REM sleep behavior disorder: case report and theoretical considerations. *Neuropsychobiology* 1997;36(4):211–4 doi: 10.1159/000119383[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 9396020]
20. Jun JS, Kim R, Byun JI, et al. Prolonged-release melatonin in patients with idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Annals of clinical and translational neurology* 2019;6(4):716–22 doi: 10.1002/acn3.753[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 31019996]
21. Videnovic A, Noble C, Reid KJ, et al. Circadian melatonin rhythm and excessive daytime sleepiness in Parkinson disease. *JAMA neurology* 2014;71(4):463–9 doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.6239[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24566763]
22. Breen DP, Vuono R, Nawarathna U, et al. Sleep and circadian rhythm regulation in early Parkinson disease. *JAMA neurology* 2014;71(5):589–95 doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.65[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24687146]
23. Pfeffer M, Korf HW, Wicht H. Synchronizing effects of melatonin on diurnal and circadian rhythms. *General and comparative endocrinology* 2018;258:215–21 doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2017.05.013[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28533170]
24. Brunetti V, Losurdo A, Testani E, et al. Rivastigmine for refractory REM behavior disorder in mild cognitive impairment. *Current Alzheimer research* 2014;11(3):267–73 doi: 10.2174/1567205011666140302195648[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24597506]
25. Di Giacomo R, Fasano A, Quaranta D, et al. Rivastigmine as alternative treatment for refractory REM behavior disorder in Parkinson's disease. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2012;27(4):559–61 doi: 10.1002/mds.24909[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 22290743]
26. Larsson V, Aarsland D, Ballard C, et al. The effect of memantine on sleep behaviour in dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia. *International journal of geriatric psychiatry* 2010;25(10):1030–8 doi: 10.1002/gps.2506[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 20872929]
27. Ringman JM, Simmons JH. Treatment of REM sleep behavior disorder with donepezil: a report of three cases. *Neurology* 2000;55(6):870–1 doi: 10.1212/wnl.55.6.870[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 10994012]
28. Yamauchi K, Takehisa M, Tsuno M, et al. Levodopa improved rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder with diffuse Lewy body disease. *General hospital psychiatry* 2003;25(2):140–2 doi: 10.1016/s0163-8343(03)00003-3[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 12676431]
29. Gagnon JF, Postuma RB, Montplaisir J. Update on the pharmacology of REM sleep behavior disorder. *Neurology* 2006;67(5):742–7 doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000233926.47469.73[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 16966533]
30. Sasai T, Inoue Y, Matsuura M. Effectiveness of pramipexole, a dopamine agonist, on rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *The Tohoku journal of experimental medicine* 2012;226(3):177–81 doi: 10.1620/tjem.226.177[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 22333559]
31. Liebenthal J, Valerio J, Ruoff C, et al. A Case of Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder in Parkinson Disease Treated With Sodium Oxybate. *JAMA neurology* 2016;73(1):126–7 doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.2904[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 26595534]
32. During EH, Schenck CH. Factors Hampering the Discovery of New Therapeutics for Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder. *JAMA neurology* 2019 doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2062[published Online First: Epub Date].
33. AASM. The international classification of sleep disorders. American Academy of Sleep Medicine 2014;3rd ed. Westchester, IL
34. Association AP. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fifth edition 2013
35. AASM. The AASM manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events. 2018
36. Postuma RB, Iranzo A, Hogl B, et al. Risk factors for neurodegeneration in idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder: a multicenter study. *Annals of neurology* 2015;77(5):830–9 doi: 10.1002/ana.24385[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25767079]

37. Postuma RB, Gagnon JF, Bertrand JA, et al. Parkinson risk in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder: preparing for neuroprotective trials. *Neurology* 2015;84(11):1104–13 doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000001364[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25681454]
38. Iranzo A, Fernandez-Arcos A, Tolosa E, et al. Neurodegenerative disorder risk in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder: study in 174 patients. *PLoS one* 2014;9(2):e89741 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089741[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24587002]
39. Iranzo A, Serradell M, Vilaseca I, et al. Longitudinal assessment of olfactory function in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Parkinsonism & related disorders* 2013;19(6):600–4 doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2013.02.009[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23529022]
40. Berg D, Postuma RB, Adler CH, et al. MDS research criteria for prodromal Parkinson's disease. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2015;30(12):1600–11 doi: 10.1002/mds.26431[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 26474317]
41. Gagnon JF, Vendette M, Postuma RB, et al. Mild cognitive impairment in rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder and Parkinson's disease. *Annals of neurology* 2009;66(1):39–47 doi: 10.1002/ana.21680[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 19670440]
42. Terzaghi M, Zucchella C, Rustioni V, et al. Cognitive performances and mild cognitive impairment in idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder: results of a longitudinal follow-up study. *Sleep* 2013;36(10):1527–32 doi: 10.5665/sleep.3050[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24082312]
43. Postuma RB, Lang AE, Gagnon JF, et al. How does parkinsonism start? Prodromal parkinsonism motor changes in idiopathic REM sleep behaviour disorder. *Brain : a journal of neurology* 2012;135(Pt 6):1860–70 doi: 10.1093/brain/aws093[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 22561644]
44. Barber TR, Lawton M, Rolinski M, et al. Prodromal Parkinsonism and Neurodegenerative Risk Stratification in REM Sleep Behavior Disorder. *Sleep* 2017;40(8) doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsx071[published Online First: Epub Date].
45. Ferini-Strambi L, Oertel W, Dauvilliers Y, et al. Autonomic symptoms in idiopathic REM behavior disorder: a multicentre case-control study. *Journal of neurology* 2014;261(6):1112–8 doi: 10.1007/s00415-014-7317-8[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24687894]
46. Postuma RB, Gagnon JF, Vendette M, et al. Markers of neurodegeneration in idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behaviour disorder and Parkinson's disease. *Brain : a journal of neurology* 2009;132(Pt 12):3298–307 doi: 10.1093/brain/awp244[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 19843648]
47. Li Y, Kang W, Yang Q, et al. Predictive markers for early conversion of iRBD to neurodegenerative synucleinopathy diseases. *Neurology* 2017;88(16):1493–500 doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000003838[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28330956]
48. Iranzo A, Valldeoriola F, Lomena F, et al. Serial dopamine transporter imaging of nigrostriatal function in patients with idiopathic rapid-eye-movement sleep behaviour disorder: a prospective study. *The Lancet. Neurology* 2011;10(9):797–805 doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70152-1[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 21802993]
49. Gan-Or Z, Girard SL, Noreau A, et al. Parkinson's Disease Genetic Loci in Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder. *Journal of molecular neuroscience : MN* 2015;56(3):617–22 doi: 10.1007/s12031-015-0569-7[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25929833]
50. Leonard H, Blauwendraat C, Krohn L, et al. Genetic variability and potential effects on clinical trial outcomes: perspectives in Parkinson's disease. *Journal of medical genetics* 2019 doi: 10.1136/jmedgenet-2019-106283[published Online First: Epub Date].
51. Haba-Rubio J, Frauscher B, Marques-Vidal P, et al. Prevalence and determinants of rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder in the general population. *Sleep* 2018;41(2) doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsx197[published Online First: Epub Date].
52. Stiasny-Kolster K, Mayer G, Schafer S, et al. The REM sleep behavior disorder screening questionnaire—a new diagnostic instrument. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2007;22(16):2386–93 doi: 10.1002/mds.21740[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 17894337]

53. Frauscher B, Ehrmann L, Zamarian L, et al. Validation of the Innsbruck REM sleep behavior disorder inventory. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2012;27(13):1673–8 doi: 10.1002/mds.25223[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23192924]
54. Boeve BF, Molano JR, Ferman TJ, et al. Validation of the Mayo Sleep Questionnaire to screen for REM sleep behavior disorder in a community-based sample. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine : JCSM : official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine* 2013;9(5):475–80 doi: 10.5664/jcsm.2670[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23674939]
55. Li SX, Wing YK, Lam SP, et al. Validation of a new REM sleep behavior disorder questionnaire (RBDQ-HK). *Sleep medicine* 2010;11(1):43–8 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2009.06.008[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 19945912]
56. Postuma RB, Arnulf I, Hogl B, et al. A single-question screen for rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder: a multicenter validation study. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2012;27(7):913–6 doi: 10.1002/mds.25037[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 22729987]
57. Boeve BF, Molano JR, Ferman TJ, et al. Validation of the Mayo Sleep Questionnaire to screen for REM sleep behavior disorder in an aging and dementia cohort. *Sleep medicine* 2011;12(5):445–53 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2010.12.009[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 21349763]
58. Haridi M, Weyn Banningh S, Cle M, et al. Is there a common motor dysregulation in sleepwalking and REM sleep behaviour disorder? *Journal of sleep research* 2017;26(5):614–22 doi: 10.1111/jsr.12544[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28513054]
59. Nomura T, Inoue Y, Kagimura T, et al. Utility of the REM sleep behavior disorder screening questionnaire (RBDSQ) in Parkinson's disease patients. *Sleep medicine* 2011;12(7):711–3 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2011.01.015[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 21700495]
60. Halsband C, Zapf A, Sixel-Doring F, et al. The REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire is not Valid in De Novo Parkinson's Disease. *Movement disorders clinical practice* 2018;5(2):171–76 doi: 10.1002/mdc3.12591[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 30009211]
61. Consens FB, Chervin RD, Koeppe RA, et al. Validation of a polysomnographic score for REM sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep* 2005;28(8):993–7 doi: 10.1093/sleep/28.8.993[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 16218083]
62. Cesari M, Christensen JAE, Sixel-Doring F, et al. Validation of a new data-driven automated algorithm for muscular activity detection in REM sleep behavior disorder. *Journal of neuroscience methods* 2019;312:53–64 doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2018.11.016[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 30468824]
63. Stefani A, Heidebreder A, Brandauer E, et al. Screening for idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder: usefulness of actigraphy. *Sleep* 2018;41(6) doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsy053[published Online First: Epub Date].
64. Lang AE, Melamed E, Poewe W, et al. Trial designs used to study neuroprotective therapy in Parkinson's disease. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2013;28(1):86–95 doi: 10.1002/mds.24997[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 22927060]
65. Noyes K, Dick AW, Holloway RG, et al. Pramipexole v. levodopa as initial treatment for Parkinson's disease: a randomized clinical-economic trial. *Medical decision making : an international journal of the Society for Medical Decision Making* 2004;24(5):472–85 doi: 10.1177/0272989X04268960[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 15358996]
66. Whone AL, Watts RL, Stoessl AJ, et al. Slower progression of Parkinson's disease with ropinirole versus levodopa: The REAL-PET study. *Annals of neurology* 2003;54(1):93–101 doi: 10.1002/ana.10609[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 12838524]
67. Dorsey ER, Venuto C, Venkataraman V, et al. Novel methods and technologies for 21st-century clinical trials: a review. *JAMA neurology* 2015;72(5):582–8 doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.4524[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25730665]
68. Rascol O "Disease-modification" trials in Parkinson disease: target populations, endpoints and study design. *Neurology* 2009;72(7 Suppl):S51–8 doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318199049e[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 19221315]



69. Kunz D, Bes F. Twenty Years After: Another Case Report of Melatonin Effects on REM Sleep Behavior Disorder, Using Serial Dopamine Transporter Imaging. *Neuropsychobiology* 2017;76(2):100–04 doi: 10.1159/000488893[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 29860260]
70. Schenk DB, Koller M, Ness DK, et al. First-in-human assessment of PRX002, an anti-alpha-synuclein monoclonal antibody, in healthy volunteers. *Mov Disord* 2017;32(2):211–18 doi: 10.1002/mds.26878[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 27886407]
71. Brundin P, Dave KD, Kordower JH. Therapeutic approaches to target alpha-synuclein pathology. *Exp Neurol* 2017;298(Pt B):225–35 doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2017.10.003[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28987463]
72. Perni M, Galvagnion C, Maltsev A, et al. A natural product inhibits the initiation of alpha-synuclein aggregation and suppresses its toxicity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2017;114(6):E1009–E17 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1610586114[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28096355]
73. Xu Y, Zhang Y, Quan Z, et al. Epigallocatechin Gallate (EGCG) Inhibits Alpha-Synuclein Aggregation: A Potential Agent for Parkinson's Disease. *Neurochem Res* 2016;41(10):2788–96 doi: 10.1007/s11064-016-1995-9[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 27364962]
74. Gao X, Chen H, Schwarzschild M, et al. Use of ibuprofen and risk of Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 2011;76:863–9 [PubMed: 21368281]
75. Jucaite A, Svenningsson P, Rinne JO, et al. Effect of the myeloperoxidase inhibitor AZD3241 on microglia: a PET study in Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 2015;138(Pt 9):2687–700 doi: 10.1093/brain/awv184[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 26137956]
76. Siddiqui A, Mallajosyula JK, Rane A, et al. Ability to delay neuropathological events associated with astrocytic MAO-B increase in a Parkinsonian mouse model: implications for early intervention on disease progression. *Neurobiol Dis* 2010;40(2):444–8 doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2010.07.004[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 20655384]
77. Egeberg A, Hansen PR, Gislason GH, et al. Exploring the Association Between Rosacea and Parkinson Disease: A Danish Nationwide Cohort Study. *JAMA Neurol* 2016;73(5):529–34 doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.0022[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 26999031]
78. Paul R, Phukan BC, Justin Thenmozhi A, et al. Melatonin protects against behavioral deficits, dopamine loss and oxidative stress in homocysteine model of Parkinson's disease. *Life Sci* 2018;192:238–45 doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2017.11.016[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 29138117]
79. Coles LD, Tuite PJ, Oz G, et al. Repeated-Dose Oral N-Acetylcysteine in Parkinson's Disease: Pharmacokinetics and Effect on Brain Glutathione and Oxidative Stress. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2018;58(2):158–67 doi: 10.1002/jcph.1008[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28940353]
80. Alvarez-Fischer D, Noelker C, Vulinovic F, et al. Bee venom and its component apamin as neuroprotective agents in a Parkinson disease mouse model. *PLoS One* 2013;8(4):e61700 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061700[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23637888]
81. Devos D, Moreau C, Devedjian JC, et al. Targeting chelatable iron as a therapeutic modality in Parkinson's disease. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2014;21(2):195–210 doi: 10.1089/ars.2013.5593[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24251381]
82. Mittal S, Bjornevik K, Im DS, et al. beta2-Adrenoreceptor is a regulator of the alpha-synuclein gene driving risk of Parkinson's disease. *Science* 2017;357(6354):891–98 doi: 10.1126/science.aaf3934[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28860381]
83. Jenner P, Zeng BY, Smith LA, et al. Antiparkinsonian and neuroprotective effects of modafinil in the mptp-treated common marmoset. *Exp Brain Res* 2000;133(2):178–88 [PubMed: 10968218]
84. Arnulf I, Neutel D, Herlin B, et al. Sleepiness in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder and Parkinson disease. *Sleep* 2015;38:1529–35 [PubMed: 26085299]
85. Athauda D, Maclagan K, Skene SS, et al. Exenatide once weekly versus placebo in Parkinson's disease: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2017;390(10103):1664–75 doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31585-4[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28781108]

86. Gan-Or Z, Mirelman A, Postuma R, et al. GBA mutations are associated with Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2015;2(9):941–5 [PubMed: 26401515]
87. Lee Y, Oh JS, Chung SJ, et al. Does smoking impact dopamine neuronal loss in de novo Parkinson disease? *Annals of neurology* 2017;82(5):850–54 doi: 10.1002/ana.25082[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 29059491]
88. Postuma R, Montplaisir J, Pelletier A, et al. Environmental risk factors for REM sleep behavior disorder - A multicentre case-control study. *Neurology* 2012;79(5):428–34 [PubMed: 22744670]
89. Noyce AJ, Bestwick JP, Silveira-Moriyama L, et al. Meta-analysis of early nonmotor features and risk factors for Parkinson disease. *Annals of neurology* 2012;72(6):893–901 doi: 10.1002/ana.23687[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23071076]
90. Nielsen SS, Franklin GM, Longstreth WT, et al. Nicotine from edible Solanaceae and risk of Parkinson disease. *Annals of neurology* 2013;74(3):472–7 doi: 10.1002/ana.23884[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23661325]
91. Andrieu S, Guyonnet S, Coley N, et al. Effect of long-term omega 3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation with or without multidomain intervention on cognitive function in elderly adults with memory complaints (MAPT): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2017;16(5):377–89 doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30040-6[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28359749]
92. Jiang W, Ju C, Jiang H, et al. Dairy foods intake and risk of Parkinson's disease: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2014;29(9):613–9 doi: 10.1007/s10654-014-9921-4[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24894826]
93. Lannuzel A, Hoglinger GU, Verhaeghe S, et al. Atypical parkinsonism in Guadeloupe: a common risk factor for two closely related phenotypes? *Brain* 2007;130(Pt 3):816–27 [PubMed: 17303592]
94. De Cock VC, Lannuzel A, Verhaeghe S, et al. REM sleep behavior disorder in patients with guadeloupean parkinsonism, a tauopathy. *Sleep* 2007;30(8):1026–32 doi: 10.1093/sleep/30.8.1026[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 17702273]
95. Bernardi A, Ballestero P, Schenk M, et al. Yerba mate (*Ilex paraguariensis*) favors survival and growth of dopaminergic neurons in culture. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2019;34(6):920–22 doi: 10.1002/mds.27667[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 30938849]
96. Gatto EM, Melcon C, Parisi VL, et al. Inverse association between yerba mate consumption and idiopathic Parkinson's disease. A case-control study. *Journal of the neurological sciences* 2015;356(1–2):163–7 doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2015.06.043[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 26148934]
97. Mak MK, Wong-Yu IS, Shen X, et al. Long-term effects of exercise and physical therapy in people with Parkinson disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2017;13(11):689–703 doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2017.128[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 29027544]
98. McCarter SJ, Boeve BF, Graff-Radford NR, et al. Neuroprotection in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder: a role for exercise? *Sleep* 2019;42(6) doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsz064[published Online First: Epub Date].
99. Johnson BP, Westlake KP. Link Between Parkinson Disease and Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder With Dream Enactment: Possible Implications for Early Rehabilitation. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2018;99(2):411–15 doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.08.468[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28890381]
100. Lang AE, Espay AJ. Disease Modification in Parkinson's Disease: Current Approaches, Challenges, and Future Considerations. *Mov Disord* 2018;33(5):660–77 doi: 10.1002/mds.27360[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 29644751]
101. Iranzo A, Santamaria J, Valldeoriola F, et al. Dopamine transporter imaging deficit predicts early transition to synucleinopathy in idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *Annals of neurology* 2017;82(3):419–28 doi: 10.1002/ana.25026[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28833467]
102. Bauckneht M, Chincarini A, De Carli F, et al. Presynaptic dopaminergic neuroimaging in REM sleep behavior disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep medicine reviews*

2018;41:266–74 doi: 10.1016/j.smr.2018.04.001[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 29784534]

103. Videnovic A, Marlin C, Alibiglou L, et al. Increased REM sleep without atonia in Parkinson disease with freezing of gait. *Neurology* 2013;81(12):1030–5 doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182a4a408[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23946301]
104. Alibiglou L, Videnovic A, Planetta PJ, et al. Subliminal gait initiation deficits in rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder: A harbinger of freezing of gait? *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2016;31(11):1711–19 doi: 10.1002/mds.26665[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 27250871]
105. Postuma RB, Gagnon JF, Rompre S, et al. Severity of REM atonia loss in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder predicts Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 2010;74(3):239–44 doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181ca0166[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 20083800]
106. Lapierre O, Montplaisir J. Polysomnographic features of REM sleep behavior disorder: development of a scoring method. *Neurology* 1992;42(7):1371–4 doi: 10.1212/wnl.42.7.1371[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 1620348]
107. Bliwise DL, Rye DB. Elevated PEM (phasic electromyographic metric) rates identify rapid eye movement behavior disorder patients on nights without behavioral abnormalities. *Sleep* 2008;31(6):853–7 doi: 10.1093/sleep/31.6.853[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 18548830]
108. Cygan F, Oudiette D, Leclair-Visonneau L, et al. Night-to-night variability of muscle tone, movements, and vocalizations in patients with REM sleep behavior disorder. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine : JCSM : official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine* 2010;6(6):551–5 [PubMed: 21206543]
109. Frauscher B, Iranzo A, Gaig C, et al. Normative EMG values during REM sleep for the diagnosis of REM sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep* 2012;35(6):835–47 doi: 10.5665/sleep.1886[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 22654203]
110. McCarter SJ, St Louis EK, Duwell EJ, et al. Diagnostic thresholds for quantitative REM sleep phasic burst duration, phasic and tonic muscle activity, and REM atonia index in REM sleep behavior disorder with and without comorbid obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep* 2014;37(10):1649–62 doi: 10.5665/sleep.4074[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25197816]
111. Burns JW, Consens FB, Little RJ, et al. EMG variance during polysomnography as an assessment for REM sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep* 2007;30(12):1771–8 doi: 10.1093/sleep/30.12.1771[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 18246986]
112. Mayer G, Kesper K, Ploch T, et al. Quantification of tonic and phasic muscle activity in REM sleep behavior disorder. *Journal of clinical neurophysiology : official publication of the American Electroencephalographic Society* 2008;25(1):48–55 doi: 10.1097/WNP.0b013e318162acd7[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 18303560]
113. Ferri R, Gagnon JF, Postuma RB, et al. Comparison between an automatic and a visual scoring method of the chin muscle tone during rapid eye movement sleep. *Sleep medicine* 2014;15(6):661–5 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2013.12.022[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24831249]
114. Frauscher B, Gabelia D, Biermayr M, et al. Validation of an integrated software for the detection of rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep* 2014;37(10):1663–71 doi: 10.5665/sleep.4076[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25197814]
115. Levendowski DJ, St Louis EK, Strambi LF, et al. Comparison of EMG power during sleep from the submental and frontalis muscles. *Nature and science of sleep* 2018;10:431–37 doi: 10.2147/NSS.S189167[published Online First: Epub Date].
116. Cesari M, Christensen JAE, Kempfner L, et al. Comparison of computerized methods for rapid eye movement sleep without atonia detection. *Sleep* 2018;41(10) doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsy133[published Online First: Epub Date].
117. Iranzo A, Stefani A, Serradell M, et al. Characterization of patients with longstanding idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Neurology* 2017;89(3):242–48 doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000004121[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28615430]

118. Jennings D, Siderowf A, Stern M, et al. Imaging prodromal Parkinson disease: the Parkinson Associated Risk Syndrome Study. *Neurology* 2014;83(19):1739–46 doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000000960[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25298306]
119. Chahine LM, Iranzo A, Fernandez-Arcos A, et al. Basic clinical features do not predict dopamine transporter binding in idiopathic REM behavior disorder. *NPJ Parkinson's disease* 2019;5:2 doi: 10.1038/s41531-018-0073-1[published Online First: Epub Date].
120. Doppler K, Jentschke HM, Schulmeyer L, et al. Dermal phospho-alpha-synuclein deposits confirm REM sleep behaviour disorder as prodromal Parkinson's disease. *Acta neuropathologica* 2017;133(4):535–45 doi: 10.1007/s00401-017-1684-z[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28180961]
121. Antelmi E, Donadio V, Incensi A, et al. Skin nerve phosphorylated alpha-synuclein deposits in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Neurology* 2017;88(22):2128–31 doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000003989[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28468843]
122. Vilas D, Iranzo A, Tolosa E, et al. Assessment of alpha-synuclein in submandibular glands of patients with idiopathic rapid-eye-movement sleep behaviour disorder: a case-control study. *The Lancet. Neurology* 2016;15(7):708–18 doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(16)00080-6[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 27039162]
123. Sprenger FS, Stefanova N, Gelpi E, et al. Enteric nervous system alpha-synuclein immunoreactivity in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Neurology* 2015;85(20):1761–8 doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000002126[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 26475692]
124. Frosini D, Cosottini M, Donatelli G, et al. Seven tesla MRI of the substantia nigra in patients with rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *Parkinsonism & related disorders* 2017;43:105–09 doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2017.08.002[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 28781201]
125. Iranzo A, Stockner H, Serradell M, et al. Five-year follow-up of substantia nigra echogenicity in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2014;29(14):1774–80 doi: 10.1002/mds.26055[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25384461]
126. Wu P, Yu H, Peng S, et al. Consistent abnormalities in metabolic network activity in idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behaviour disorder. *Brain : a journal of neurology* 2014;137(Pt 12):3122–8 doi: 10.1093/brain/awu290[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 25338949]
127. Holtbernd F, Gagnon JF, Postuma RB, et al. Abnormal metabolic network activity in REM sleep behavior disorder. *Neurology* 2014;82(7):620–7 doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000000130[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24453082]
128. Miyamoto T, Miyamoto M, Suzuki K, et al. 123I-MIBG cardiac scintigraphy provides clues to the underlying neurodegenerative disorder in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep* 2008;31(5):717–23 doi: 10.1093/sleep/31.5.717[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 18517041]
129. Heller J, Brcina N, Dogan I, et al. Brain imaging findings in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD) - A systematic review on potential biomarkers for neurodegeneration. *Sleep medicine reviews* 2017;34:23–33 doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2016.06.006[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 27542516]
130. van Steenoven I, Majbour NK, Vaikath NN, et al. alpha-Synuclein species as potential cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers for dementia with lewy bodies. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2018;33(11):1724–33 doi: 10.1002/mds.1111[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 30440090]
131. Fairfoul G, McGuire LI, Pal S, et al. Alpha-synuclein RT-QuIC in the CSF of patients with alpha-synucleinopathies. *Annals of clinical and translational neurology* 2016;3(10):812–18 doi: 10.1002/acn3.338[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 27752516]
132. Ba M, Yu G, Kong M, et al. CSF Abeta1–42 level is associated with cognitive decline in early Parkinson's disease with rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *Translational neurodegeneration* 2018;7:22 doi: 10.1186/s40035-018-0129-5[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 30338062]

133. Shi M, Liu C, Cook TJ, et al. Plasma exosomal alpha-synuclein is likely CNS-derived and increased in Parkinson's disease. *Acta neuropathologica* 2014;128(5):639–50 doi: 10.1007/s00401-014-1314-y[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24997849]
134. Fiandaca MS, Kapogiannis D, Mapstone M, et al. Identification of preclinical Alzheimer's disease by a profile of pathogenic proteins in neurally derived blood exosomes: A case-control study. *Alzheimer's & dementia : the journal of the Alzheimer's Association* 2015;11(6):600–7 e1 doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.06.008[published Online First: Epub Date].
135. Sixel-Doring F, Schweitzer M, Mollenhauer B, et al. Intraindividual variability of REM sleep behavior disorder in Parkinson's disease: a comparative assessment using a new REM sleep behavior disorder severity scale (RBDSS) for clinical routine. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine : JCSM : official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine* 2011;7(1):75–80 [PubMed: 21344049]
136. Ferri R, Marelli S, Ferini-Strambi L, et al. An observational clinical and video-polysomnographic study of the effects of clonazepam in REM sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep medicine* 2013;14(1):24–9 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2012.09.009[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 23098778]
137. Wang Y, Yang Y, Wu H, et al. Effects of Rotigotine on REM Sleep Behavior Disorder in Parkinson Disease. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine : JCSM : official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine* 2016;12(10):1403–09 doi: 10.5664/jcsm.6200[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 27568909]
138. Esaki Y, Kitajima T, Koike S, et al. An Open-Labeled Trial of Ramelteon in Idiopathic Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine : JCSM : official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine* 2016;12(5):689–93 doi: 10.5664/jcsm.5796[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 26857053]
139. Louter M, Arends JB, Bloem BR, et al. Actigraphy as a diagnostic aid for REM sleep behavior disorder in Parkinson's disease. *BMC neurology* 2014;14:76 doi: 10.1186/1471-2377-14-76[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 24708629]
140. Sixel-Doring F Prognostic Counseling for Patients With Idiopathic/Isolated Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder: Should We Tell Them What's Coming? No. *Movement disorders clinical practice* 2019;6(8):669–71 doi: 10.1002/mdc3.12813[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 31745476]
141. St Louis EK. Prognostic Counseling for Patients With Idiopathic/Isolated REM Sleep Behavior Disorder: Should We Tell Them What's Coming? Yes. *Movement disorders clinical practice* 2019;6(8):667–68 doi: 10.1002/mdc3.12814[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 31745475]
142. Feinstein MA, Sharp RR, Sandness DJ, et al. Physician and patient determinants of prognostic counseling in idiopathic REM sleep-behavior disorder. *Sleep medicine* 2019;62:80–85 doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2019.03.010[published Online First: Epub Date]. [PubMed: 31581066]

**Table 1**

RBD registries and resources for RBD clinical investigations

<b>Name</b>	<b>Website</b>	<b>Description</b>
International RBD Study Group	<a href="http://IRBDSG.com">IRBDSG.com</a>	Organization of RBD researchers representing registries of RBD patients across the world
North American Prodromal Synucleinopathy Consortium (NAPS Consortium)	<a href="http://naps-rbd.org">naps-rbd.org</a>	Research participant registry at 10 sites in the US and Canada, with the goal of forming a trial-ready cohort for disease-modifying clinical trials in RBD.
Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI)	<a href="http://ppmi-info.org">ppmi-info.org</a>	PD biomarkers study which previously enrolled participants with iRBD; currently closed to enrollment. Next phase (PPMI2) to start in 2020.
<a href="http://ClinicalTrials.gov">ClinicalTrials.gov</a>	<a href="http://clinicaltrials.gov">clinicaltrials.gov</a>	Database of privately and publicly funded clinical studies conducted around the world, a resource provided by the U.S. National Library of Medicine.
Fox Trial Finder from the Michael J Fox Foundation	<a href="http://foxtrialfinder.michaeljfox.org">foxtrialfinder.michaeljfox.org</a>	Database of PD-related clinical trials that matches potential participants to actively- enrolling studies.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

**Table 2**

Potential features to select study populations at high risk for phenoconversion, for disease-modifying clinical trials in RBD.

Age	Equal or older than 65 years; or consider range between 55–75 years old [36 37]
RBD features	Longer disease duration [38]
	iRBD ( <i>i.e.</i> not due to narcolepsy, not due to brainstem lesion, not due to other known brain pathology)
	RBD not occurring in temporally association with antidepressant medications
Olfaction	Dysfunction measured by the cross-cultural 12-item test [37 39 40]
Cognitive	Abnormal performance assessed by neuropsychological testing [41 42]
Motor	Subtle motor dysfunction: parkinsonism, ataxia and/or dysmetria measured by the part III of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale [37 43 44]
	Abnormal motor performance assessed by objective testing (e.g. Purdue Pegboard)
Color vision	Abnormal color vision assessed by FM-100
Autonomic	Autonomic dysfunction: constipation, urinary symptoms, erectile dysfunction, orthostatic hypotension [36 45 46]
Dopamine imaging	DAT SPECT: reduced nigrostriatal dopaminergic binding in the putamen and striatum [47 48]
Genetic	GBA mutation [49] or other genotype that increases phenoconversion risk
Other	See section 7.2 for discussion of imaging, biofluid, and other potential selection biomarkers in development

**Table 3**

Potential approaches for disease modification in PD and I RBD (*In italics*: human trials in PD; **in bold**: data in iRBD) Most details can be found in a recent review [100]. As for lifestyle changes, details can be obtained from a recent review on RBD risk factors [4].

Mechanisms	Agents	Comments
Immunization	Monoclonal antibodies directed toward the toxic conformations of synuclein	Several ongoing phase I and II trials of passive and active immunization ( <i>R07046015, PASADENA trial; BIIB054, SPARK trial</i> ) [70]
Neuroinflammation modifiers	Blockers of synuclein misfolding or aggregation	<i>NPT088</i> , glycerol phenylbutyrate, squalamine [71] Nilotinib, selective c-Abl Inhibitors [72], epigallocatechin gallate [73]
Anti-inflammatory	Ibuprofen	Lower PD risk in people receiving nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents [74]; alpha-synuclein increases inflammation
	AZD3241 (selective, irreversible myeloperoxidase inhibitor)[75]	<i>Benefit on microglia brain imaging in a short term trial in PD</i> [75]
Antibiotics	Doxycycline, tetracycline	Protective in PD animal models[76]; Rosacea is associated with higher PD risk, treatment with doxycycline reduces this risk [77]
Oxidative stress	Melatonin (antioxidant)	<b>Symptomatic effect in RBD</b> [18] Neuroprotective in various animal PD models [78]
	Glutathione (antioxidant)	N acetylcysteine (glutathione precursor) trial [79] <i>Troxamide-quinone trial (NCT02462603)</i>
	Apamin (from bee venom)	Protective in a PD mouse model [80]
Iron	Deferiprone (iron chelator)	FAIRPARKI: small randomized double-blind delayed start trial in treated PD patients [81] SKY and FAIRPARKII ongoing trials
Adrenergic system	Beta-2 adrenergic receptor (B2R) agonist	B2R agonists: lower PD risk [71]; B2R regulates the alpha-synuclein gene [82]
	Modafinil: common and safe stimulant in narcolepsy; NAd reuptake inhibition?	Neuroprotective in MPTP parkinsonism marmosets and cats [83] <b>Some RBD patients are sleepy</b> [84]
Glucagon-like peptide-1	Exenatide (antidiabetics)	May reduce DA neurons apoptosis [85]
Glucocerebrosidase activity (GBA mutation)	glucosylceramide synthase inhibitors; GBA modifying drugs (ambroxol)	Tailored treatment in GBA mutation carriers, or in sporadic PD with low GBA activity ( <i>NCT0294182</i> ) <b>GBA mutations in 10% of patients with iRBD</b> [86]
Reduce -synuclein fibrillation and decrease parthenatos cell-death pathway	poly(adenosine 5'-diphosphate-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1) inhibitors (Kam, Science 2018)	Olaparib, Rucaparib, Niraparib, Talazoparib are all FDA-approved for certain cancers.
Increasing DA activity	Nicotine	Lower PD risk in smokers [87] <b>But higher RBD risk in smokers</b> [88] <i>Trial NIC-PD, N=160: ongoing.</i>
<b>Lifestyle modifiers</b>		
Diet: Selective increased consumption	Caffeine (black tea, coffee)	Lower PD risk in heavy coffee drinkers [89] <b>No lower RBD risk</b> [88]
Diet: Selective increased consumption	Eating edible solanaceae (tomatoes, peppers, potatoes) containing nicotine	Epidemiological evidence for lower PD risk when eating these foods [90]
Diet: Selective increased consumption	Eating more omega 3 polyunsaturated fatty acids	But no neuroprotection in mild cognitive impairment (MAPT study) [91]
Diet: Selective decreased consumption	Reducing milk consumption	Increased PD risk when drinking fresh milk [92]
Diet: Selective decreased consumption	Avoid eating tropical fruits/food containing annonacin (soursop)	Associated with atypical PD [93] <b>RBD in up to 55% of patients with parkinsonism of French West indies</b> [94]



Mechanisms	Agents	Comments
Diet: Selective increased consumption	Yerba mate tea	Inverse association between yerba mate consumption and PD in South America [95 96]
Lifestyle: decreasing exposure (food, air)	Avoid exposure to pesticides	<b>RBD is more frequent in workers using pesticides</b> [88] PD is more frequent in workers using pesticides
Exercise and physical activity	Exercise and physical therapy	Anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, promitochondrial, trophic, and anti-synuclein effects [97–99]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript