

doi: 10.1093/jnci/djaa031 First published online March 2, 2020 Brief Communication

Radiation Treatment, ATM, BRCA1/2, and CHEK2*1100delC Pathogenic Variants and Risk of Contralateral Breast Cancer

Anne S. Reiner, MPH , Mark E. Robson, MD, Lene Mellemkjær, PhD, Marc Tischkowitz, MD, PhD, Esther M. John, PhD , Charles F. Lynch, MD, PhD, Jennifer D. Brooks, PhD, John D. Boice, ScD, Julia A. Knight, PhD, Sharon N. Teraoka, PhD, Xiaolin Liang, MS, Meghan Woods, MPH, Ronglai Shen, PhD, Roy E. Shore, PhD, Daniel O. Stram, PhD, Duncan C. Thomas, PhD , Kathleen E. Malone, PhD, Leslie Bernstein, PhD, Madeem Riaz, MD, MSc, Wendy Woodward, MD, PhD, Simon Powell, MD, PhD, David Goldgar, PhD, Patrick Concannon, PhD, The WECARE Study Collaborative Group, Jonine L. Bernstein, PhD

¹Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; ²Weill Cornell Medical College, Cornell University, New York, NY, USA; ³Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁴Department of Medical Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; ⁵Stanford University, School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA; ⁶University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA; ⁷University of Toronto, Dalla Lana School of Public Health Science, Toronto, ON, Canada; ⁸Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Bethesda, MD, USA; ⁹Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Sinai Health System, Toronto, ON, Canada; ¹⁰Genetics Institute and Department of Pathology, Immunology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; ¹¹NYU School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA; ¹²University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ¹³Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA; ¹⁴Beckman Research Institute, City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA, USA; ¹⁵MD Anderson Cancer Center, The University of Texas, Houston, TX, USA and ¹⁶University of Utah, School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

*Correspondence to: Anne S. Reiner, MPH, MSKCC, 485 Lexington Ave, 2nd Floor, New York, NY 10017, USA (e-mail: reinera@mskcc.org).

Abstract

Whether radiation therapy (RT) affects contralateral breast cancer (CBC) risk in women with pathogenic germline variants in moderate- to high-penetrance breast cancer–associated genes is unknown. In a population-based case-control study, we examined the association between RT; variants in ATM, BRCA1/2, or CHEK2*1100delC; and CBC risk. We analyzed 708 cases of women with CBC and 1399 controls with unilateral breast cancer, all diagnosed with first invasive breast cancer between 1985 and 2000 and aged younger than 55 years at diagnosis and screened for variants in breast cancer–associated genes. Rate ratios (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using multivariable conditional logistic regression. RT did not modify the association between known pathogenic variants and CBC risk (eg, BRCA1/2 pathogenic variant carriers without RT: RR = 3.52, 95% CI = 1.76 to 7.01; BRCA1/2 pathogenic variant carriers with RT: RR = 4.46, 95% CI = 2.96 to 6.71), suggesting that modifying RT plans for young women with breast cancer is unwarranted. Rare ATM missense variants, not currently identified as pathogenic, were associated with increased risk of RT-associated CBC (carriers of ATM rare missense variants of uncertain significance without RT: RR = 0.38, 95% CI = 0.09 to 1.55; carriers of ATM rare missense variants of uncertain significance with RT: RR = 2.98, 95% CI = 1.31 to 6.80). Further mechanistic studies will aid clinical decision-making related to RT.

Radiation therapy (RT) improves breast cancer survival, but women treated with RT for a first primary breast cancer are at an increased risk of developing contralateral breast cancer (CBC) (1,2), making accurate estimation of late effects paramount. Pathogenic variants in several genes whose products regulate the cellular response to DNA damage induced by ionizing radiation (eg, BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, PALB2, and CHEK2) are associated with increased CBC risk. However, the extent to which these pathogenic variants, given their potential impact on DNA damage responses, may interact with ionizing radiation

exposure occurring during RT is unknown but of clinical concern. In this study, we examine the interaction of RT and genetic variants in the ATM, BRCA1/2, and CHEK2 genes and its effect on cumulative CBC risk.

The Women's Environmental Cancer and Radiation Epidemiology (WECARE) study is a population-based study of 708 CBC cases and 1399 matched controls with unilateral breast cancer, all diagnosed with first invasive breast cancer between 1985 and 2000 and younger than 55 years of age at diagnosis. Eligible women were identified through 5 population-based

Table 1. Mutation status and radiation therapy for first primary breast cancer and association with CBC risk in the WECARE Study

Mutation	Carriership and radiation	CBC No.* (%)	UBC [†] No.* (weighted % [‡])	Adjusted RR (95% CI [§])	₽ [§]	$P_{ m het}^{ m S,\parallel}$
ATM pathogenic/likely pathogenic	Noncarrier	694 (98.0)	1382 (98.4)	1.00 (Referent)		
	Carrier	14 (2.0)	15 (1.6)	1.68 (0.75 to 3.76)	.20	N/A
BRCA1/2 [¶]	Noncarrier	596 (84.5)	1322 (94.9)	1.00 (Referent)		
	Carrier	109 (15.5)	76 (5.1)	4.23 (2.92 to 6.12)	<.001	N/A
CHEK2*1100delC	Noncarrier	701 (99.0)	1385 (99.1)	1.00 (Referent)		
	Carrier	7 (1.0)	10 (0.9)	2.17 (0.72 to 6.55)	.17	N/A
ATM rare missense VUS#	Noncarrier	694 (98.0)	1373 (98.3)	1.00 (Referent)		
	Carrier	14 (2.0)	24 (1.7)	1.67 (0.78 to 3.58)	.19	N/A
ATM pathogenic/likely pathogenic	Noncarrier	694 (98.0)	1382 (98.4)	1.00 (Referent)		
, , .	Carrier without RT	7 (1.0)	4 (0.8)	1.33 (0.36 to 4.99)	.67	.67
	Carrier with RT	7 (1.0)	11 (0.7)	1.91 (0.71 to 5.12)	.20	
BRCA1/2 [¶]	Noncarrier	596 (84.5)	1322 (94.9)	1.00 (Referent)		
	Carrier without RT	46 (6.5)	12 (2.2)	3.52 (1.76 to 7.01)	<.001	.55
	Carrier with RT	63 (8.9)	64 (3.0)	4.46 (2.96 to 6.71)	<.001	
CHEK2*1100delC	Noncarrier	701 (99.0)	1385 (99.1)	1.00 (Referent)		
	Carrier without RT	2 (0.3)	2 (0.4)	0.76 (0.09 to 6.29)	.80	.28
	Carrier with RT	5 (0.7)	8 (0.5)	3.00 (0.90 to 9.99)	.07	
ATM rare missense VUS [#]	Noncarrier	694 (98.0)	1373 (98.3)	1.00 (Referent)		
	Carrier without RT	3 (0.4)	8 (1.1)	0.38 (0.09 to 1.55)	.18	.008
	Carrier with RT	11 (1.6)	16 (0.6)	2.98 (1.31 to 6.80)	.009	

^{*}Two women with UBC were not screened for ATM variants. Three women with CBC and one woman with UBC were not screened for BRCA1/2 mutations. Four women with UBC were not screened for CHEK2*1100delC. CBC = contralateral breast cancer; CI = confidence interval; RR = rate ratio; RT = radiation therapy; UBC = unilateral breast cancer; VUS = variants of uncertain significance; WECARE = Women's Environmental Cancer and Radiation Epidemiology.

§Adjusted for age at first primary breast cancer diagnosis, age at menarche, age at menopause, chemotherapy and hormonal therapy, histology of the first primary breast cancer, stage of the first primary breast cancer, and number of full-term pregnancies. ATM and CHEK2*1100delC results are also adjusted for BRCA1/2 mutation carrier status.

||Two-sided P values for heterogeneity of RT status within carriers of a specific mutation. A two-sided P value for heterogeneity of RT status with ATM rare missense VUS carriers was also calculated using a permutation test. This resulted in a two-sided P value of .009.

Some BRCA1/2 variants previously classified as VUS were updated as pathogenic mutations since our 2010 publication.(4)

#All of the ATM rare missense VUS identified had allele frequencies of < 0.0001 in the genome aggregation database.

cancer registries in Denmark and the United States (Iowa state, Los Angeles County and the Orange County-San Diego regions of California, and western Washington state). The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board at each site and the Denmark ethics committee, and all participants provided written informed consent. Inclusion criteria (1,3,4), individual matching (1,3,4) of participants on birth and diagnosis years, registry and race and ethnicity, countermatching of participants on radiation (1), and mutation screening of BRCA1/2 (4), ATM (5), and CHEK2*1100delC (6) are described elsewhere.

ATM variants were classified as pathogenic or likely pathogenic (PLP) or of uncertain significance using ClinVar (7), a clinical genetics database with 5 levels of function: pathogenic, likely pathogenic, uncertain significance, likely benign, and benign (Supplementary Table 1, available online). Rare ATM or BRCA1/2 missense variants were defined as those resulting in a single amino acid substitution with a frequency of less than 0.01 in the WECARE study and for which no homozygous individuals were listed in the genome aggregation database (8). Although not used as an explicit threshold, in the genome aggregation database, these variants all had allele frequencies less than 0.0001. All were classified as variants of uncertain significance (VUS) in ClinVar.

Multivariable rate ratios (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated as previously described (4,6) by fitting

conditional logistic regression models adjusted for age at first primary breast cancer, age at menarche, age at menopause, chemotherapy and hormonal therapy, histology of the first primary breast cancer, stage of the first primary breast cancer, number of full-term pregnancies, and for ATM and CHEK2*1100delC models, known BRCA1/2 pathogenic mutation status. Heterogeneity tests were performed using the likelihood ratio test for 2 nested regression models. Five- and 10-year cumulative risks of CBC by RT and mutation status were estimated by combining frequencies and estimates from the WECARE study with population-based incidence rates from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program (9) using previously described methodology (4). Analyses were performed in SAS v9.4. All statistical tests were two-sided, and a P of less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

Women with pathogenic variants in BRCA1/2 had an increased CBC risk (RR = 4.23, 95% CI = 2.92 to 6.12) (Table 1) and a 10-year cumulative CBC risk of 19.3% (95% CI = 13.2% to 28.2%) (Table 2). This statistically significant increase in cumulative CBC risk for carriers of BRCA1/2 pathogenic variants remained regardless of treatment with RT (BRCA1/2 pathogenic variant carriers without RT: RR = 3.52, 95% CI = 1.76 to 7.01; BRCA1/2pathogenic variant carriers with RT: RR = 4.46, 95% CI = 2.96 to 6.71). Carriers of an ATM PLP variant, an ATM rare missense

[†]UBC controls must not have undergone prophylactic mastectomy of the contralateral breast prior to their reference date. The reference date for controls was defined by adding the interval between the first breast cancer and CBC for the matched case to the date of UBC for the control.

[‡]Weighted proportions to reflect the countermatched study design of WECARE.(3) Proportions cannot be directly calculated from numbers of UBC controls. Ratios cannot be directly calculated from numbers in this table.

Table 2. Cumulative risks of CBC by mutation status and radiation therapy for first primary breast cancer

Mutation	Carriership and radiation	5-year CBC risk, % (95% CI)	10-year CBC risk, % (95% CI)
SEER benchmark	_	2.9	5.8
ATM pathogenic/likely pathogenic	Carrier	4.8 (2.1 to 10.7)	9.3 (4.1 to 20.7)
BRCA1/2*	Carrier	10.2 (7.0 to 14.9)	19.3 (13.2 to 28.2)
CHEK2*1100delC	Carrier	6.1 (2.0 to 18.5)	11.8 (3.9 to 35.8)
ATM rare missense VUS [†]	Carrier	4.7 (2.2 to 10.2)	9.2 (4.3 to 19.8)
ATM pathogenic/likely pathogenic	Carrier without RT	3.8 (1.0 to 14.2)	7.4 (2.0 to 27.8)
	Carrier with RT	5.4 (2.0 to 14.5)	10.5 (3.9 to 28.2)
BRCA1/2*	Carrier without RT	8.6 (4.3 to 17.1)	16.4 (8.2 to 32.7)
	Carrier with RT	10.7 (7.1 to 16.3)	20.3 (13.4 to 30.7)
CHEK2*1100delC	Carrier without RT	2.2 (0.3 to 18.0)	4.3 (0.5 to 35.5)
	Carrier with RT	8.4 (2.5 to 28.0)	16.0 (4.8 to 53.5)
ATM rare missense VUS [†]	Carrier without RT	1.1 (0.3 to 4.5)	2.2 (0.5 to 9.0)
	Carrier with RT	8.4 (3.7 to 19.1)	16.0 (7.0 to 36.5)

*Some BRCA1/2 variants previously classified as VUS were updated as pathogenic mutations since our 2010 publication. (4) — = SEER does not provide reliable treatment information or any information on mutation carrier status; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; CI = confidence interval; RT = radiation therapy; SEER = Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results; VUS = variants of uncertain significance.

VUS, or the CHEK2*1100delC allele did not have a statistically significantly elevated CBC risk (Table 1), and therefore, cumulative CBC risks were not different from SEER benchmark risks (Table 2). RT statistically significantly modified CBC risk by ATM rare missense VUS status (ATM rare missense VUS carriers without RT: RR = 0.38, 95% CI = 0.09 to 1.55; ATM rare missense VUS carriers with RT: RR = 2.98, 95% CI = 1.31 to 6.80; Pheterogeneity = .008) but not by BRCA1/2 rare missense VUS (data not shown) (Table 1). The cumulative CBC risks for carriers of ATM rare missense VUS were statistically significantly elevated only among those who received RT for their first primary breast cancer (10-year risk = 16.0%, 95% CI = 7.0% to 36.5%).

Next-generation sequencing and multigene panel testing for hereditary cancer genes have been integrated into clinical practice, creating both opportunities and challenges with regard to how to best incorporate this information into treatment decisions. To our knowledge, this is the first study to estimate cumulative CBC risks for women carrying pathogenic variants in breast cancer risk genes who received RT. Whereas carriers of PLP variants in ATM, pathogenic variants in BRCA1/2, or CHEK2*1100delC were not more likely to develop CBC after RT, we unexpectedly found that women with ATM rare missense VUS had an increased CBC risk compared with the SEER benchmark risk.

Our findings extend prior but smaller, less comprehensive studies reporting no demonstrable elevated CBC risk among BRCA1/2 mutation carriers treated with RT (10,11). We found a nonstatistically significant, but elevated, CBC risk for CHEK2*1100delC carriers treated with RT. This finding is consistent with the only prior study of CBC risk in CHEK2 mutation carriers treated with RT (12). Although our population-based study was large with long-term follow-up, the relatively small number of carriers with pathogenic variants precluded risk estimation within certain subgroups, including by RT-absorbed dose to the contralateral breast and variant-specific risks for BRCA1/2 or ATM.

The present study extends knowledge of the relationship between allelic variation in ATM and CBC risk, showing that some individual rare ATM missense variants, currently classified as VUS in ClinVar, act jointly with RT to substantially increase cumulative CBC risks. Previous work has proposed a distinction between null alleles (ie, PLP) at ATM that make little or no detectable protein and missense alleles that potentially produce a defective protein that could be incorporated into cellular

complexes, disrupting their function (13). Our data, although preliminary, suggest that this distinction may have functional importance that is not discernable from current definitions of pathogenicity or the positions of variants in the ATM protein (Supplementary Figure 1, available online). Understanding the mechanism(s) of action of these ATM variants is necessary before this observation can inform clinical practice.

In summary, we report that women who carry ATM variants classified as PLP in ClinVar, pathogenic mutations in BRCA1/2, or CHEK2*1100delC may not be at increased risk of radiationassociated CBC. The increased RT-related risk for women with ATM rare missense VUS highlights the need for improved tools and approaches to resolve the functional impact of such variants, their interaction with RT, and subsequent CBC risk.

Funding

This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health (CA129639, CA083178, CA097397, CA114236, CA168339, CA206464(R01), CA008748).

Notes

Role of the funder: The funder had no role in the design of the study; the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; the writing of the manuscript; and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Acknowledgements: The Women's Environmental, Cancer and Epidemiology (WECARE) Study Collaborative Group includes Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (Coordinating Center) investigators and staff: Jonine L. Bernstein, PhD (WECARE Study principal investigator); Marinela Capanu, PhD; Xiaolin Liang, MD; Irene Orlow, PhD; Anne S. Reiner, MPH; Mark Robson, MD; and Meghan Woods, MPH. Collaborative Site Investigators: Leslie Bernstein, PhD; John D. Boice Jr, ScD; Jennifer Brooks, PhD; Patrick Concannon, PhD; Dave V. Conti, PhD; David Duggan, PhD; Robert W. Haile, DrPH; Esther M. John, PhD; Julia A. Knight, PhD; Charles F. Lynch, MD, PhD; Kathleen E. Malone, PhD; Lene Mellemkjær, PhD; Jørgen H. Olsen, MD, DMSc; Roy E. Shore, PhD, DrPH; Marilyn Stovall, PhD; Daniel O. Stram, PhD; Marc

[†]All of the ATM rare missense VUS identified had allele frequencies of < 0.0001 in the genome aggregation database.

Tischkowitz, MD, PhD; Duncan C. Thomas, PhD. Collaborative Site Staff: Kristina Blackmore, MSc; Anh T. Diep; Judy Goldstein; Irene Harris, BS, CMD; Rikke Langballe, MPH; Cecilia O'Brien; Susan Smith, MPH; Rita Weathers, MS; Michele West, PhD.

Disclosures: MER: consulting or advisory: AstraZeneca, Daiichi-Sankyo (uncompensated), McKesson, Merck (uncompensated), Pfizer (uncompensated); research funding: AbbVie (institution), AstraZeneca (institution), Invitae (institution, in-kind), Medivation (institution), Myriad (institution, in-kind), Pfizer (in-Tesaro (institution); travel, accommodation, expenses: AstraZeneca; other transfer of value: AstraZeneca, Pfizer (editorial services). PC: research funding: 10X Genomics (institution), Cellink (institution), Illumina (institution), Canon (institution); other: Amgen (stockholder). The other authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Stovall M, Smith SA, Langholz BM, et al. Women's Environmental, Cancer, and Radiation Epidemiology Study Collaborative Group. Dose to the contralateral breast from radiotherapy and risk of second primary breast cancer in the WECARE study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2008;72(4):1021-1030.
- 2. Boice JD Jr, Harvey EB, Blettner M, Stovall M, Flannery JT. Cancer in the contralateral breast after radiotherapy for breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 1992; 326(12):781-785.
- 3. Bernstein JL, Langholz B, Haile RW, et alet al. WECARE Study. Study design: evaluating gene-environment interactions in the etiology of breast cancer – the WECARE study. Breast Cancer Res. 2004;6(3):R199-214.

- 4. Malone KE, Begg CB, Haile RW, et al. Population-based study of the risk of second primary contralateral breast cancer associated with carrying a mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(14):2404-2410.
- 5. Bernstein JL, Haile RW, Stovall M, et alet al. WECARE Study Collaborative Group, Radiation exposure, the ATM gene, and contralateral breast cancer in the women's environmental cancer and radiation epidemiology study. I Natl Cancer Inst. 2010:102(7):475-483.
- 6. Mellemkiær L. Dahl C. Olsen IH. et al. Risk for contralateral breast cancer among carriers of the CHEK2*1100delC mutation in the WECARE Study. Br J Cancer. 2008;98(4):728-733.
- 7. Landrum MJ, Kattman BL. ClinVar at five years: delivering on the promise. Hum Mutat. 2018;39(11):1623-1630.
- 8. Karczewski KJ, Laurent FC, Tiao G, et al. Variation across 141,456 human exomes and genomes reveals the spectrum of loss-of-function intolerance across human protein-coding genes (published online January 28, 2019). https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/531210v3. October 3, 2019.
- 9. National Cancer Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program SEER 9 Regs Limited Use, Nov 2006 Sub (1973-2004). National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Surveillance Systems Branch. http:// seer.cancer.gov/data/citation.html, Accessed February 14, 2008.
- 10. Metcalfe K, Gershman S, Lynch HT, et al. Predictors of contralateral breast cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. Br J Cancer. 2011;104(9):
- 11. Drooger J, Akdeniz D, Pignol JP, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy for primary breast cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers and risk of contralateral breast cancer with special attention to patients irradiated at younger age. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2015;154(1):171-180.
- 12. Broeks A, Braaf LM, Huseinovic A, et al. Identification of women with an increased risk of developing radiation-induced breast cancer: a case only study. Breast Cancer Res. 2007;9(2):R26.
- 13. Gatti RA, Tward A, Concannon P. Cancer risk in ATM heterozygotes: a model of phenotypic and mechanistic differences between missense and truncating mutations. Mol Genet Metab. 1999;68(4):419-423.