




Multirater Validation of Peripapillary Hyperreflective Ovoid Mass-like Structures (PHOMS)

Axel Petzold ^{a,b}, Valerie Biousse^c, Lulu Burszty^d, Fiona Costello^e, Alison Crum^f, Kathleen Digre^g, Clare Fraser ^h, J. Alex Fraserⁱ, Bradley Katz^f, Neringa Jurkute^j, Nancy Newman^k, Jette Lautrup-Battistini^l, Mitchell Lawlor^h, Petra Liskova^m, Birgit Lorenzⁿ, Lasse Malmqvist^o, Jason Peragallo^c, Patrick Sibony^p, Prem Subramanian^q, Robert Rejdak ^r, Katarzyna Nowomiejska^r, Valerie Touitou^s, Judith Warner^t, Marianne Wegener^o, Sui Wong^u, Patrick Yu-Wai-Man^{v,w}, and Steffen Hamann^x

^aMoorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Queen Square, Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK; ^bNeurology and Ophthalmology, Amsterdam UMC, Locatie VUMC, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ^cOphthalmology, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; ^dWestern University, London, Ontario, Canada; ^eClinical Neurosciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada; ^fUtah System of Higher Education, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA; ^gDepartment of Neurology-Ophthalmology and Visual Science, John Moran Eye Center, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA; ^hSave Sight Institute, The University of Sydney, Sydney, North South Wales, Australia; ⁱDepartment of Clinical Neurological Sciences and Department of Ophthalmology, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada; ^jInstitute of Ophthalmology, UCL, London, UK; ^kDepartment of Neuro-Ophthalmology, Emory University, School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; ^lCopenhagen University Hospital Glostrup, Denmark; ^mOphthalmology, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic; ⁿOphthalmology, Justus Liebig University, Giessen, Germany; ^oOphthalmology, Rigshospitalet Glostrup, Denmark; ^pStony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, USA; ^qSue Anschutz-Rodgers UC Health Eye Center, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado, USA; ^rDepartment of General Ophthalmology, Medical University, Lublin, Poland; ^sGroupe Hospitalier La Pitié Salpêtrière-Charles Foix, DHU Vision Et Handicaps, Paris, France; ^tDepartment of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Utah Health Sciences Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA; ^uMoorfields Eye Hospital City Road Campus, London, UK; ^vCambridge University, Cambridge, UK; ^wMoorfields Eye Hospital NHS, Foundation Trust, Medical Retina, London, UK; ^xOphthalmology, ODDS Consortium and ERN-EYE Rigshospitalet Glostrup, Denmark

ABSTRACT

Peripapillary hyperreflective ovoid mass-like structures (PHOMS) are a new retinal optical coherence tomography (OCT) finding. The *Optic Disc Drusen Studies Consortium* had made recommendations to distinguish PHOMS from true optic disc drusen (ODD) in 2018. While publications on PHOMS have increased since then, the accuracy of the definition of PHOMS and reliability of detection is unknown. In this multi-rater study, we demonstrate that the 2018 definition of PHOMS resulted in a poor multi-rater kappa of 0.356. We performed a Delphi consensus process to develop a consistent and refined definition of PHOMS with clear principles around the nature of PHOMS and how they differ from normal anatomy. Fifty explanatory teaching slides, provided as supplementary material, allowed our expert group of raters to achieve a good level of agreement (kappa 0.701, 50 OCT scans, 21 raters). We recommend adopting the refined definition for PHOMS.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 7 March 2020
Revised 18 April 2020
Accepted 22 April 2020

KEYWORDS

OCT; ODD; PHOMS

To the Editor: In 2018 a consortium of ophthalmologists and neurologists reported a novel optical coherence tomography (OCT) finding, peripapillary hyperreflective ovoid mass-like structures (PHOMS).¹ The early definition of PHOMS was made in the context that they often occur alongside optic disc drusen (ODD), but there are several features to demonstrate that PHOMS are distinct from ODD and should not be confused with ODD.^{2,3} This definition did not define PHOMS in other contexts or distinguish PHOMS from normal anatomical variants. The definition of PHOMS has traditionally

been one of exclusion.^{1,3} In the present multirater validation exercise, we determined the accuracy of our definition. A total of 100 OCT optic disc scans were reviewed for the presence of PHOMS by 15 independent raters. There were three possible answers: (i) yes; (ii) no; (iii) possible. The level of agreement was determined by Fleiss multi-rater kappa in R. The overall multi-rater kappa was poor at 0.356.

We reviewed the disagreements and conducted a Delphi approach amongst the authors to create an internally consistent definition of

PHOMS. At the end of this process we defined PHOMS by:

- (1) *Location*: strictly peripapillary and sitting on top of Bruch's membrane in the OCT B-scan. Frequently; a gap can be observed in the B-scan scans of PHOMS aligned through the centre of the optic disc;
- (2) *Effect on adjacent retinal layers*: there is typically an upward deflection (like a ski slope, see supplementary material) of at least two of the other retinal layers;
- (3) *Signal appearance*: which is similar to the reflectivity to the retinal nerve fibre and ganglion cell layers because there is evidence that they may represent axonal stasis,⁴ and because the normal egress of axons at the optic disc can resemble PHOMS. This downward slope of essentially normal axons can easily be mistaken for PHOMS and can be observed in tilted discs, discs with an elevated border and myopia.⁵

After this process a repeat two-rater assessment (AP, SH) was performed using this refined definition of PHOMS on 106 OCT optic nerve B-scans and revealed an excellent kappa of 0.811. Six scans remained ambiguous even after discussion among raters (for example slides #33 and #34 in the [supplementary material](#)). We then created 50 teaching slides to explain the new consensus definition ([supplementary material](#)) and after reviewing these slides our 21 expert raters were then asked to review 50 more OCT B-scans.

A good level of agreement was achieved with a kappa of 0.701.

In conclusion, a good inter-rater agreement can be achieved for PHOMS even on a single B-scan on

optic nerve OCT. Future studies should test joint access to the entire volume scans on a shared OCT viewer platform which will have to meet contemporary Information Governance and Data Protection requirements. Importantly, the image characteristics of PHOMS have been defined more succinctly and teaching slides are provided (see [supplementary material](#)). As PHOMS are increasingly being recognised in a range of pathologies, we recommend adopting our proposed definition.

ORCID

Axel Petzold  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0344-9749>

Clare Fraser  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0379-7743>

Robert Rejdak  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3321-2723>

References

1. Malmqvist L, Bursztyn L, Costello F. et al. The optic disc drusen studies consortium recommendations for diagnosis of optic disc drusen using optical coherence tomography. *J Neuroophthalmol.* 2018;38:299–307. doi:10.1097/WNO.0000000000000585.
2. Lee KM, Woo SJ, Hwang J-M. Peripapillary hyperreflective ovoid mass-like structures: is it optic disc drusen or not? *J Neuroophthalmol.* 2018;38:567–568. doi:10.1097/WNO.0000000000000676.
3. Malmqvist L, Bursztyn L, Costello F, et al. Peripapillary hyperreflective ovoid mass-like structures: is it optic disc drusen or not?: response. *J Neuroophthalmol.* 2018;38:568–570. doi:10.1097/WNO.0000000000000674.
4. Wang X, Lou N, Eberhardt A, et al. An ocular glymphatic clearance system removes β -amyloid from the rodent eye. *Sci Transl Med.* 2020;12(536):eaaw3210. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aaw3210.
5. Sibony P, Biousse V, Bursztyn L, et al. Comment on: morphologic features of buried optic disc drusen on en face optical coherence tomography and optical coherence tomography angiography. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2020. in press