Published in final edited form as:

J Urol. 2021 February; 205(2): 539–544. doi:10.1097/JU.000000000001367.

Erectile Dysfunction in a Sample of Sexually Active Young Adult Men from a US Cohort: Demographic, Metabolic, and Mental Health Correlates

Jerel P. Calzo, PhD^{a,b,c}, S. Bryn Austin, ScD^{c,d,e,f}, Brittany M. Charlton, ScD^{c,d,f,g}, Stacey Missmer, ScD^{f,g,h}, Martin Kathrins, MDⁱ, Audrey Gaskins, ScD^{f,j,k}, Jorge E. Chavarro, MD, ScD^{f,g,j}

^aDivision of Health Promotion and Behavioral Science, San Diego State University School of Public Health

bInstitute for Behavioral and Community Health

^cDepartment of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School

^dDivision of Adolescent and Young Adult Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital

eDepartment of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health

^fChanning Division of Network Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School

⁹Department of Epidemiology, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health

^hDepartment of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, Michigan State University

Department of Urology, The Brigham and Women's Hospital

Department of Nutrition, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health

^kDepartment of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University

Abstract

Purpose: Little is understood about physiologic and psychologic correlates of erectile dysfunction (ED) among younger men. This study examined prevalence and correlates of ED in a large US sample of 18–31 year old men.

Materials and Methods: ED prevalence and severity (defined using the International Index of Erectile Function-5 scale) were examined in cross-sectional survey data from 2,660 sexually active men, aged 18–31 years, from the 2013 Growing Up Today Study. ED medication and supplement usage was self-reported. Multivariable models estimated associations of moderate-to-severe ED with demographic (age; marital status), metabolic (body mass index; waist circumference; history of diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia), and mental health (depression; anxiety; 7antidepressant, tranquilizer use) variables.

Results: Among sexually active men, 11.3% reported mild ED; 2.9% reported moderate-to-severe ED. Married/partnered men had 65% lower odds of ED compared to single men. Adjusting for history of depression, antidepressant use was associated >3 times the odds of moderate-to-severe ED. Anxiety was associated with greater odds of moderate-to-severe ED, as was tranquilizer use. Few men (2%) reported using ED medication or supplements; however, among them, 29.7% misused prescription ED medication. Limitations include reliance upon cross-sectional data and the sample's limited racial/ethnic and socioeconomic diversity.

Conclusion: Erectile dysfunction was common in a large sample of sexually active young adult men from a US cohort and was associated with relationship status and mental health. Health providers should screen for ED in young men, and monitor use of prescription ED medications and supplements for sexual functioning.

Keywords

Anxiety; Depression; Erectile dysfunction; Marital status; Prescription drug misuse

INTRODUCTION

Erectile dysfunction (ED)— the inability to maintain an erection sufficient to engage in sexual intercourse—often induces distress and decreases quality of life of men and their sexual and romantic partners. 1,2 ED is typically identified as a condition affecting men over 40 years old;^{3,4} less is known about ED among younger men. According to the 2001–2002 US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, the prevalence of ED in men ages 20-39 years old is 5.1% (in contrast to 14.8% at ages 40-59 years old, and 44% at ages 60-69 years old). These estimates are similar to those identified in the UK based on the 2010– 2012 British National Surveys of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles, where 7.7% of males ages 16–34 years reported ED.⁶ Data from clinical and community-based samples indicate that the number of men younger than 40 years old reporting ED may be substantial.^{7–9} For example, one study of undergraduate students in the southern US found that up to 13% of students may meet the criteria for ED, ¹⁰ and data from one Italian clinic indicated that 25% of men seeking treatment for ED are under 40 years old. Understanding the prevalence and correlates of ED among young adult men is paramount given its profound effects on fundamental aspects of men's identities (e.g., feelings about masculinity, self-confidence), ¹ mental health (e.g., depression), 11 and sexual satisfaction. 12

Epidemiologic studies typically assess ED with single items,^{5,6} limiting understanding of the context and frequency of ED, the characterization of severity of ED (i.e., as mild, moderate, and severe),¹³ and potentially contributing to discrepancies in estimated prevalence among young adult males. Clearer delineation regarding severity of ED may be of substantive relevance in distinguishing the correlates of ED, given that ED may be caused by multiple factors (i.e., neurogenic, psychogenic, metabolic, vascular).^{3,4} Among young adults, ED was previously thought to be psychogenic in origin,¹⁴ with erectile difficulties stemming from factors such as anxiety, depression, stress, trauma, or potentially psychopharmacological treatment.¹⁵ Recently, clinicians have advocated for examination of whether cardiovascular pathways—which account for high ED prevalence in older adult males—may also explain ED in young adult males, as measured by metabolic factors and markers of elevated

metabolic risk, such as diabetes, body mass index (BMI), hypertension and hypercholesterolemia. 5,8,15 However, most research identifying correlates for ED are focused on men ages 40+ years. 16,17

In addition, there is limited understanding regarding the use of ED medication (e.g., PDE5 inhibitors) among the general young adult male population. Prior research has examined the recreational use of ED medication in the context of other drugs (e.g., methamphetamines) to facilitate prolonged sexual activity among men who have sex with men. ^{18,19} One study of college students found that 4% of males reported using ED medication for recreational purposes. ¹⁰ Few studies have examined the prevalence of supplements purported to address ED (e.g., Epimedium/horny goat weed) among young adult men. Medically supervised use of prescription medication for ED can be safe, but prescription drug misuse and use of under-regulated or adulterated dietary supplements can have dangerous and potentially lethal health consequences. ²⁰

The goals of the current study were to examine the prevalence and correlates of ED in a large study of sexually active young adult males in the US and use of prescription drugs and supplements to treat ED.

METHODS

Participants

Study participants were drawn from the Growing Up Today Study (GUTS), a large US prospective cohort. Participants, all children of women in the Nurses' Health Study II (NHSII), were enrolled at ages 9–16 years in 1996 and 2004 and subsequently followed. After obtaining parental consent, participants were invited to enroll in GUTS, with return of the baseline questionnaire considered as assent. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health. Demographic information on NHSII and GUTS are described elsewhere. Cross-sectional data for the current study were based on males who completed the 2013 questionnaire (n=4,482), when ED was assessed and when participants were ages 18–31 years old. Analyses were restricted to participants who were sexually active in the past year and with available data on self-reported ED (n=2,660).

Measures

Sexual activity.—Past-year engagement in any sexual activity—to further validate assessment of ED—was measured with a single item, "Were you sexually active in the past 12 months?" (yes/no).

Severity of erectile dysfunction (ED).—Past-year ED was measured using the 5-item International Index of Erectile Functioning (IIEF-5) Questionnaire, ²² a validated self-report instrument that assesses context and frequency of erectile function and sexual activity satisfaction (rating responses to each item on unique 5-point scales). Items on the index are summed, with scores ranging from 5 to 25, and categorized into levels of severity of ED: 22–25=No ED; 17–21=Mild ED; 12–16=Mild-to-moderate ED; 8–11=Moderate ED; 5–7=Severe ED). In the current study, moderate-to-severe ED was defined as scores ranging

from 5–16 (i.e., mild-moderate, moderate, or severe ED; no ED and mild ED [IIEF-5 scores >16] was the referent).

Use of ED prescription medications or supplements.—Participants indicated the frequency of ED medications and supplements use by responding to the question, "During the past 12 months, how often did you use medications or supplements to correct or enhance the quality and/or duration of your erections? (e.g., Viagra, Cialis, L-Arginine, Epimedium/horny goat weed, etc.)" (Response options: 0=Never, 1=Less than once/mo, 2= Once/mo, 3= 2–3 times/mo, 4= 1/wk, 5= 2+ times/wk). Responses of "1" to "5" were coded as 1=Ever use and 0=Never (Referent). For ever use, a follow up question asked, "How did you get the product?" (Response options: Product was prescribed to me by a health provider; From someone else [family member, friend] to whom the product was prescribed; Purchased abroad or online without proof of prescription; Over the counter [no prescription required]; Specialty health or natural food store [e.g., GNC]).

Demographic correlates.—Participant age in years was calculated based on birth year and date of survey return. Marital status was based on self-report to the question, "What is your current status?" (Response options categorized: Never married, Separated, Divorced, or Widowed= Referent, vs. Married or Living with Partner).

Metabolic syndrome risk indicators.—Five metabolic syndrome indicators were measured to approximate metabolic risk factors for ED (Scored: No= Referent, Yes): overweight or obese weight status (BMI. >25 kg/m², calculated from current self-reported height and weight), waist circumference > 40 inches (measured via self-report using a tape measure provided to survey participants), and diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia (scored via a self-report health conditions check-list if they indicated that a health provider diagnosed them with the condition since 2006, and/or if they indicated taking medication for the condition in the past year).

Mental health indicators.—Participants indicated whether they received a diagnosis of depression or whether they received a diagnosis of anxiety from a health provider since 2006 via a self-report health conditions check-list (Scored: No= Referent vs. Yes). Psychopharmacological therapy was assessed via self-report on past-year use (Scored: Non= Referent vs. Any use) of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) antidepressants (e.g., Prozac), other antidepressants (e.g., Elavil), and minor tranquilizers (e.g., Valium).

Analysis

Descriptive frequencies and means were calculated for all key variables, including the prevalence of mild (IIEF-5 scores ranging from 17–21) and moderate-to-severe ED (IIEF-5 scores 16). Prevalence of ED medication and supplement use by level of ED was calculated. Finally, cross-sectional univariate and grouped bivariate regression models examined the associations of demographic, metabolic, and mental health correlates of moderate-to-severe ED (with mild and no ED [IIEF-5 scores >16] as the referent). Missing on demographic, metabolic, and mental health correlates was handled using multivariate

imputation by chained equations. nalyses were performed in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

A total of 11.3% (n=300) of participants reported mild ED; 2.9% (n=77) reported moderate-to-severe ED (Table 1). Few participants (2%, n=64) reported using ED medication or supplements; among men who reported use, 17.2%–29.7% reported potential misuse of prescription drugs (i.e., using drugs prescribed to someone else; drugs purchased abroad or without a prescription). Descriptive analysis of participants reporting any use of ED medication or supplements (Table 2) suggest that males reporting mild-moderate, moderate, or severe ED may use ED medication prescribed by a medical provider (11/29 cases), whereas males who report no or mild ED report using ED medication that was prescribed to someone else or purchased abroad or without a prescription (18/29 cases).

Logistic regression models examining demographic, metabolic, and mental health correlates of ED indicate that married/partnered men had 65% (OR=0.35, 95% CI= 0.19, 0.65) lower odds of moderate-to-severe ED compared to single men (Table 3). Grouped bivariate models indicate that, adjusting for depression, men reporting a history of any antidepressant use had elevated odds (OR= 3.45; 95% CI=1.87, 6.36) of reporting moderate-to-severe ED. Men reporting a history of anxiety (OR= 2.07, 95% CI= 1.19, 3.60) or any tranquilizer use (OR= 2.72, 95% CI= 1.31, 5.64) had elevated odds of moderate-to-severe ED. Age and metabolic factors were not associated with ED. Results were similar when these associations were analyzed using the full IIEF scale as a continuous variable (Table S1).

DISCUSSION

Among sexually active men ages 18–31 years old in the current study, approximately 11% reported mild ED and 3% reported moderate-to-severe ED. The combined prevalence was comparable to other community-based and clinic-based survey estimates of ED, which found that up to 13% of young adult men may meet the criteria for ED.^{7,10} The prevalence of participants reporting moderate-to-severe ED was slightly lower than US and UK studies examining the prevalence of ED among similarly aged samples, but that utilized single-item assessments.^{5,6} The divergent estimates of moderate-to-severe ED in the current study relative to other studies could potentially be attributed to the use of the validated IIEF-5, which enables detection of varying degrees of ED severity.

Moderate-to-severe ED was more prevalent among men who were not married or living with a partner, who reported using antidepressants, or who reported anxiety or using tranquilizers. Metabolic factors such as high BMI, diabetes, hypertension, or hypercholesterolemia were not associated with ED, and depression was not associated with ED after adjusting for antidepressant use. Given the overall young age range of the sample, it is possible that metabolic factors were not associated with ED because such conditions were not established long enough within individuals to cause vascular damage. Although the current study did not examine all potential correlates of ED (e.g., neurogenic factors), the results suggest that, among young adult men in the current study, ED may be associated more with demographic

and psychogenic factors, rather than physiologic determinants. The current study cannot determine directionality of associations. However, the findings are consistent with other research on the social and psychological impacts of ED on men's quality of life. ^{1,8} The findings indicate that ED could interfere with the pursuit or maintenance of relationships among young men, and that ED may be associated with considerable psychological distress. Given the high prevalence of mild to severe self-reported ED in the current study, results may help health providers counsel young adult male patients on the prevalence of ED within their age bracket. Health providers may consider asking young adult male patients about erectile difficulties and their impact on quality of life. Additionally, health providers may consider asking patients who are receiving pharmacological treatment for depression or anxiety about potential ED. Although the majority of ED cases in the current study were in the mild range, a recent clinic-based study of 765 patients being treated for ED indicated that psychological impact of mild ED may be greater among younger men (i.e., younger than 50 years old) relative to older men, and that treating ED and associated psychological health among younger men may produce greater benefits for sexual satisfaction.²³

Descriptive analysis indicate that only 2% (n=64) of men in the current study reported pastyear use of ED medication or supplements, yet approximately 30% (n=20) of those reporting such use potentially engaged in some form of prescription drug misuse (i.e., using medication prescribed to someone else; purchasing medication without prescription). These prevalence estimates are low, yet consistent with estimates of recreational ED medication use among young adult male college students. 10 Prevalence estimates of ED medication use and misuse in the current study may be underestimated, as the analysis was restricted to sexually active young adult men, and did not also analyze other medications men may use for ED (e.g., testosterone, anabolic-androgenic steroids). More detailed data collection on ED medication and supplement use among young adult males in general is warranted as prescription drug misuse can result in physical harms associated with incorrect dosage and contraindications.²⁴ Furthermore, recent studies have highlighted the dangers of medically unsupervised use of dietary supplements for sexual functioning; such supplements are under-regulated and may be adulterated with dangerous substances or drug analogs. 20,25,26 Examining adverse events among adolescents and young adults, data from the US Food and Drug Administration Adverse Events database reveals that, compared to vitamins, supplements sold for sexual functioning are two and half times more likely to be associated with severe adverse events or medical complications.²⁷

The study analyzed varying degrees of ED in a large sample of young adult, sexually active men from a US national cohort study, yet there are several limitations that warrant attention. First, the study relied on self-report of ED symptoms, medication and supplement use, and clinical health correlates, without clinician assessment. In addition, although the survey design permitted analysis of several demographic, metabolic, and psychological factors that are known correlates of ED, the scope of analyses meant that we did not examine additional demographic and behavioral factors that have been explored in previous research (e.g., sexual orientation, consumption of alcohol, tobacco). In addition, the survey did not include assessment of neurogenic or additional physical health conditions that could contribute to ED among young adult men (e.g., hypogonadism; concurrent testosterone supplementation), or other psychosocial and behavioral factors that may be implicated in ED risk (e.g., sexual

trauma; pornography consumption). In our analyses, we report only grouped bivariate analyses to retain power for the binary outcome. However, ancillary analyses utilized a fully adjusted multivariable model and examined the degree of ED as a continuous score, and yielded a similar pattern of effects. The cross-sectional design prevents analysis of directionality of associations. Men with more severe ED may not have been sexually active in the past year, and thus could have been excluded from the analytic sample. Finally, the sample's limited racial/ethnic and socioeconomic diversity may limit the generalizability of the results.

CONCLUSIONS

Cross-sectional data from a large US national prospective cohort reveal that approximately 14% of young sexually active men ages 18–31 years reported mild to severe ED. Moderate-to-severe ED was more prevalent among men who were not married or living with a partner, who use antidepressants, report anxiety, or use tranquilizers. Approximately 30% of men who use ED medication and supplements reported misuse of ED medication. Given the high prevalence of mild to severe ED, research examining additional demographic, psychological, behavioral, and physical correlates of ED in diverse samples of young men is needed.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements and Funding:

The Growing Up Today Study (GUTS) was funded by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants U01-HL145386, DA033974, HD066963, OH0098003, and DK084001. Dr. Calzo was supported by K01DA034753 from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of NIH. The authors would like to thank the GUTS team of investigators and staff, and the thousands of people across the country participating in GUTS.

REFERENCES

- Tomlinson J, Wright D. Impact of erectile dysfunction and its subsequent treatment with sildenafil: qualitative study. BMJ. 2004;328(7447):1037. doi:10.1136/bmj.38044.662176.EE [PubMed: 15051618]
- O'Connor EJ, McCabe MP, Conaglen HM, et al. Attitudes and experiences: qualitative perspectives on erectile dysfunction from the female partner. J Health Psychol. 2012;17(1):3–13. doi:10.1177/1359105311404723 [PubMed: 21586642]
- 3. Lue TF. Erectile Dysfunction. N Engl J Med. 2000;342(24):1802–1813. doi:10.1056/NEJM200006153422407 [PubMed: 10853004]
- Shamloul R, Ghanem H. Erectile dysfunction. Lancet. 2013;381(9861):153–165. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(12)60520-0 [PubMed: 23040455]
- 5. Selvin E, Burnett AL, Platz EA. Prevalence and risk factors for erectile dysfunction in the US. Am J Med. 2007;120(2):151–157. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2006.06.010 [PubMed: 17275456]
- Mitchell KR, Prah P, Mercer CH, et al. Medicated sex in Britain: evidence from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles. Sex Transm Infect. June 2015. doi:10.1136/ sextrans-2015-052094
- Capogrosso P, Colicchia M, Ventimiglia E, et al. One Patient Out of Four with Newly Diagnosed Erectile Dysfunction Is a Young Man—Worrisome Picture from the Everyday Clinical Practice. J Sex Med. 2013;10(7):1833–1841. doi:10.1111/jsm.12179 [PubMed: 23651423]

8. Rastrelli G, Maggi M. Erectile dysfunction in fit and healthy young men: psychological or pathological? Transl Androl Urol. 2017;6(1):79–90. doi:10.21037/tau.2016.09.06 [PubMed: 28217453]

- 9. Musacchio NS, Hartrich M, Garofalo R. Erectile Dysfunction and Viagra Use: What's up with College-Age Males? J Adolesc Heal. 2006;39(3):452–454. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2005.12.021
- Harte CB, Meston CM. Recreational use of erectile dysfunction medications in undergraduate men in the United States: characteristics and associated risk factors. Arch Sex Behav. 2011;40(3):597– 606. doi:10.1007/s10508-010-9619-y [PubMed: 20358273]
- Feldman HA, Goldstein I, Hatzichristou DG, et al. Impotence and Its Medical and Psychosocial Correlates: Results of the Massachusetts Male Aging Study. J Urol. 1994;151(1):54–61. doi:10.1016/S0022-5347(17)34871-1 [PubMed: 8254833]
- Costa P, Grandmottet G, Mai H, et al. Impact of a first treatment with phosphodiesterase inhibitors on men and partners' quality of sexual life: results of a prospective study in primary care. J Sex Med. 2013;10(7):1850–1860. [PubMed: 23679019]
- 13. Rosen RC, Cappelleri JC, Gendrano N. The International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF): a state-of-the-science review. Int J Impot Res. 2002;14(4):226–244. doi:10.1038/sj.ijir.3900857 [PubMed: 12152111]
- 14. Papagiannopoulos D, Khare N, Nehra A. Evaluation of young men with organic erectile dysfunction. Asian J Androl. 2015;17(1):11–16. doi:10.4103/1008-682X.139253 [PubMed: 25370205]
- Francis ME, Kusek JW, Nyberg LM, et al. The contribution of common medical conditions and drug exposures to erectile dysfunction in adult males. J Urol. 2007;178(2):591–596; discussion 596. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2007.03.127 [PubMed: 17570434]
- Feldman HA, Johannes CB, Derby CA, et al. Erectile dysfunction and coronary risk factors: prospective results from the Massachusetts male aging study. Prev Med (Baltim). 2000;30(4):328–338. doi:10.1006/pmed.2000.0643
- Bacon CG, Mittleman MA, Kawachi I, et al. A Prospective Study of Risk Factors for Erectile Dysfunction. J Urol. 2006;176(1):217–221. doi:10.1016/S0022-5347(06)00589-1 [PubMed: 16753404]
- Halkitis PN, Green KA. Sildenafil (Viagra) and Club Drug Use in Gay and Bisexual Men: The Role of Drug Combinations and Context. Am J Mens Health. 2007;1(2):139–147. doi:10.1177/1557988307300450 [PubMed: 19482790]
- 19. Mimiaga MJ, Reisner SL, Vanderwarker R, et al. Polysubstance Use and HIV/STD Risk Behavior among Massachusetts Men Who Have Sex with Men Accessing Department of Public Health Mobile Van Services: Implications for Intervention Development. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2008;22(9):745–751. doi:10.1089/apc.2007.0243 [PubMed: 18754704]
- Cohen PA, Venhuis BJ. Adulterated sexual enhancement supplements: more than mojo. JAMA Intern Med. 2013;173(13):1169–1170. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.854 [PubMed: 23699734]
- 21. Rockett HRH, Berkey CS, Field AE, et al. Cross-sectional measurement of nutrient intake among adolescents in 1996. Prev Med (Baltim). 2001;33(1):27–37. doi:10.1006/pmed.2001.0850
- 22. Rosen RC, Cappelleri JC, Smith MD, et al. Development and evaluation of an abridged, 5-item version of the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF-5) as a diagnostic tool for erectile dysfunction. Int J Impot Res. 1999;11(6):319–326. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10637462. Accessed May 24, 2015. [PubMed: 10637462]
- 23. Capogrosso P, Ventimiglia E, Boeri L, et al. Should we tailor the clinical management of erectile dysfunction according to different ages? J Sex Med. 2019;16(7):999–1004. [PubMed: 31053559]
- Blanco C, Alderson D, Ogburn E. Changes in the prevalence of non-medical prescription drug use disorders in the United States: 1991–1992 and 2001–2002. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2007;90(2– 3):252–260. doi:doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2007.04.005 [PubMed: 17513069]
- 25. Cohen PA, Maller G, DeSouza R, et al. Presence of banned drugs in dietary supplements following FDA recalls. JAMA. 2014;312(16):1691–1693. [PubMed: 25335153]
- Low M-Y, Zeng Y, Li L, et al. Safety and quality assessment of 175 illegal sexual enhancement products seized in red-light districts in Singapore. Drug Saf. 2009;32(12):1141–1146. doi:10.2165/11316690-000000000-00000 [PubMed: 19916581]

27. Or F, Kim Y, Simms J, et al. Taking stock of dietary supplements' harmful effects on children, adolescents, and young adults. J Adolesc Heal. 2019;E pub ahea.

Table 1

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Descriptive Statistics for Self-Reported Erectile Dysfunction and Potential Demographic, Metabolic Risk, and Mental Health Correlates in 18-31 Year-

Old Males Reporting Past-Year Sexual Activity, 2013 Growing Up Today Study (n= 2,660) 2,283 ∞ 20 200 300 52 25 4 23 Ξ 4 1,062 539 250 28 168 205 899 Z 82.8% 11.3% 2.0% 35.9% 17.2% 12.5% 39.9% 1.1% 6.3% 33.8% 2.0% 0.9% 20.3% 9.4% 7.7% 7.5% 31.3% % 2.54 3.33 \mathbf{SD} 23.47 25.82 Σ Any Past Year Use of Erectile Dysfunction Prescription Medication or Supplement Purchased abroad or online without proof of prescription Moderate (8-11) or Severe (5-7) Erectile Dysfunction International Index of Erectile Function-5 (IIEF-5) Scores Number of Metabolic Syndrome Risk Indicators Mild-Moderate Erectile Dysfunction (12-16) Over the counter (no prescription required) Level of Erectile Dysfunction (Score Range) 2 metabolic syndrome risk indicators 1 metabolic syndrome risk indicator Specialty health or natural food store Source of the medication or supplement Prescribed by a health care provider Currently Married/Living with a Partner Mild Erectile Dysfunction (17-21) No Erectile Dysfunction (22–25) Metabolic Syndrome Risk Indicators Overweight or obese weight status Waist circumference >40 inches Prescribed to someone else Overall IIEF-5 Scale Score Hypercholesterolemia Erectile Dysfunction Hypertension Demographics Age in Years Diabetes

Calzo et al.

	M	SD	W SD %	z
3 metabolic syndrome risk indicators			1.7%	4
Mental Health (History of Clinical Diagnosis)				
Depression			11.1%	296
Anxiety			8.4%	224
Use of Antidepressant Medication (Past Year)			2.6%	149
Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs, e.g., Prozac)			4.5%	119
Other antidepressants (e.g., Elavil, Tofranil)			2.0%	52
Use of Tranquilizers (Past Year; e.g., Valium, Xanax)			2.3%	62

indicated that a health provider diagnosed them with the condition, and/or if they indicated taking medication for the condition. Participants were scored as having depression or anxiety by self-report if a weight. Waist circumference was measured via self-report using a tape measure provided to survey participants. Participants were scored as having diabetes, hypertension, or hypercholesterolemia if they Note: Scores on the IIEF-5 range from 5-25, with lower scores indicating greater degrees of erectile dysfunction. Weight status was based on body mass index calculated from self-reported height and health provider diagnosed them with the condition in the past. Page 11

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Use of Erectile Dysfunction Prescription Medication or Supplements by Degree of Self-Reported Erectile Dysfunction among 18-31 Year-Old, Sexually Active Men in the US Growing Up Today Study, 2013 Data (n= 2,660)

	No Erectile Dysfunction n=2283	Mild Erectile Dysfunction n=300	Mild-Moderate Erectile Dysfunction n=52	Moderate or Severe Erectile Dysfunction n=25
Any Past Year Use of Erectile Dysfunction Prescription Medication or Supplement	28	21	12	3
Source of the medication or supplement				
Prescribed by a health care provider	4	∞	10	
Prescribed to someone else	7	4	0	0
Purchased abroad or online without proof of prescription	S	2	1	0
Over the counter (no prescription required)	13	33	2	2
Specialty health or natural food store	9	4	3	1

Note: Counts of users of prescription medication or supplements for addressing erectile dysfunction and counts of sources of medication and supplements may be discrepant if users are utilizing multiple forms of treatments from multiple sources. Page 12

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3

Results from Multivariable Regression Models Examining Associations between Demographic, Metabolic Risk Indicators, and Mental Health Correlates of Self-Reported Erectile Dysfunction among 18-31 Year-Old, Sexually Active Men in the US Growing Up Today Study, 2013 Data (n= 2,660)

	Model 1		Model 2	
	OR (95% CI)	d	OR (95% CI)	d
Demographic				
Age	0.96 (0.90, 1.03)		1.02 (0.94, 1.10)	
Married/Living with a Partner	0.36 (0.21, 0.63)	0.0004	0.35 (0.19, 0.65)	0.0009
Metabolic Syndrome Risk Indicators				
Overweight or Obese	0.52 (0.26, 1.04)			
Waist Circumference >40 in.	0.39 (0.12, 1.23)			
Diabetes	1.02 (0.14, 7.10)			
Hypertension	0.52 (0.16, 1.63)			
Hypercholesterolemia	1.07 (0.50, 2.31)			
Approx. Metabolic Syndrome Score			0.71 (0.48, 1.07)	
Mental Health Indicators				
Depression	2.61 (1.58, 4.32)	0.0002	1.46 (0.82, 2.60)	
SSRIs	3.56 (1.93, 6.56)	<0.0001		
Other Antidepressants (e.g., Elavil)	5.02 (2.42, 10.38)	<0.0001		
Any Antidepressants	4.42 (2.61, 7.48)	<0.0001	3.45 (1.87, 6.36)	<0.0001
Anxiety	2.63 (1.52, 4.54)	0.0005	2.07 (1.19, 3.60)	0.0105
Tranquilizers (e.g., Valium, Xanax)	4.19 (2.03, 8.65)	<0.0001	2.72 (1.31, 5.64)	0.0073

Model 1: Individual bivariate associations with moderate-to-severe erectile dysfunction (IIEF-5 scores 16; mild and no erectile dysfunction [IIEF-5 scores >16] as the referent).

Model 2: Grouped bivariate (demographic; approximate metabolic syndrome score [count of metabolic risk indicators]; depression and any antidepressants; anxiety and tranquilizers) associations with moderate-to-severe erectile dysfunction (IIEF-5 scores 16; mild and no erectile dysfunction [IIEF-5 scores >16] as the referent).