

Response to Perspectives on the Classical Enzyme Carbonic Anhydrase and the Search for Inhibitors

In a recent paper by Jonsson and Liljas (1), some inhibition data from the supporting information of several papers from the Supuran group were considered where carbonic anhydrase (CA, EC 4.2.1.1) inhibitors (CAIs) were reported (2–10). The concentrations of the enzyme and substrate concentrations used in some experiments were questioned, and the authors assumed that the measurements were performed incorrectly (1). For example, Jonsson and Liljas state that “inhibition curves [from the supporting information in a few of our papers] do not show normal inhibition.” This and other statements from this paper (1) are fully inconsistent and are based on conceptual errors, which will be detailed here.

1) “An inhibitor of an enzyme should at some concentration abolish the enzyme activity almost completely”.

Jonsson and Liljas (1) based their analysis on a “theoretical curve” and assumed that at increasing concentrations of an effective inhibitor, the enzyme activity should reach zero. This is conceptually erroneous because the reaction between an enzyme (E) and an inhibitor (I) is an equilibrium process ($E + I \rightleftharpoons EI$) and even for very tight binding inhibitors, there will always be free enzyme available to perform catalysis. This is particularly relevant for the CAs, enzymes that are highly efficient at catalyzing the hydration of CO_2 to form bicarbonate and protons (11). In most of our papers we use two different CA assays: the first based on a stopped-flow CO_2 hydrase assay, reported in 1971 by Khalifah (12) and validated by others as a rapid method for determining the enzyme kinetics and inhibitory constants of various classes of compounds against different CAs (13,14); and the second is the esterase assay, which involves 4-nitrophenyl acetate as a substrate and is based on the method developed by Pocker (15). By using both assays and a variety of human and non-human CA isoforms, an activity of zero, even in the presence of high concentrations of inhibitor, has never been reported in the literature.

2) “A low-molecular-weight inhibitor cannot inhibit more than one enzyme molecule.”

We agree with this statement and we have never claimed otherwise.

3) “The inhibition by a strongly binding inhibitor has to be measured at a correspondingly low enzyme concentration.”

The criticism from Jonsson and Liljas (1) is based on their statement that the enzyme and substrate concentrations used in some of our experiments were not mentioned in the paper or were exceedingly high. We should note that many of the stopped flow assay papers cited above (13,14) which are not from Supuran’s group but from several well-established laboratories, provided exactly the same level of information found in our papers—the range of CO_2 concentrations at which the experiments were performed was reported, without detailing enzyme concentrations (13,14). However, in many of our papers the enzyme concentrations was reported either for the CO_2 hydrase or esterase assays (16–18) and they always ranged between 3.5 and 14 nM. The analysis performed by Jonsson and Liljas (1) is based on supplementary information that was reported in six of our papers (2–7). Two of the other papers (8,9) reported CA inhibitory data as well which was obtained in a different laboratory. They also erroneously cited the wrong paper (10) for the development of SLC-0111, which is a compound that is currently in Phase I/II clinical trials as an antitumor agent. The presumed lack of accuracy could result from typographical errors for enzyme concentrations in a single paper’s supplementary information (3); i.e., a concentration of 10^{-7} M was reported, which is, in actuality, the enzyme concentration of the stock solution and not the concentration at which the assay was performed. As mentioned above, in most of our experiments, we work at enzyme concentrations ranging from 3.5 and 14 nM and sometimes even lower when exceedingly strong inhibitors are analyzed.

Jonsson and Liljas (1) also questioned the enzyme inhibition curves from the supplementary information of another paper (4). For those figures, the uncatalyzed reaction was not subtracted in the curves plotted in the supplementary figures. However, the background activity from the uncatalyzed reaction is always subtracted when IC_{50} values are

Submitted October 13, 2020, and accepted for publication November 5, 2020.

*Correspondence: claudiu.supuran@unifi.it

Editor: Elizabeth Komives.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2020.11.011>

© 2020 Biophysical Society.

TABLE 1 Measured Dissociation and Inhibition Constants of Six Sulfonamides to hCA I and hCA II Obtained Using Native Mass Spectrometry and a Stopped Flow Kinetic Inhibition Assay, Respectively

Inhibitor	hCA I		hCA II	
	Native MS	Stopped Flow	Native MS	Stopped Flow
Ethoxzolamide	0.014 ± 0.002 (19)	0.025 ^a (21), 0.009 ^b (22)	0.010 ± 0.001 (19)	0.008 ^a (22)
Brinzolamide	1.06 ± 0.05 (19)	0.73 ± 0.04 ^a (23)	0.005 ± 0.001 (19)	0.003 ^a (23)
Furosemide	0.055 ± 0.005 (19)	0.062 ^a (19)	0.098 ± 0.009 (19)	0.065 ^a (23)
Dichlorophenamide	1.3 ± 0.1 (19)	1.2 ^a (24)	0.027 ± 0.002 (19)	0.038 ^a (24)
Indapamide	9.2 ± 0.3 (19)	51.9 ^a (25)	3.22 ± 0.20 (19)	2.52 ^a (25)
Acetazolamide	0.24 ± 0.02 (19)	0.25 ^a (21)	0.015 ± 0.001 (19)	0.012 ^a (21)

^aUniversity of Florence stopped flow kinetic inhibition constant measurements (μM) (21,23–25).

^bUniversity of Florida buffer indicator kinetic method measurements (22).

obtained by using the data analysis software for the stopped flow method. Errata regarding these errors have been submitted to both journals to correct the supplementary information.

Furthermore, inhibition constants (K_i s) of more than 30 CAIs obtained by stopped flow kinetic measurements at the University of Florence have been compared to the dissociation constants (K_d s) measured by native mass spectrometry (MS) in a different laboratory (19,20). Data obtained by both methods for six well-known sulfonamide CAIs with hCA I and II are shown in Table 1. For inhibitors that bind at the site of the substrate, K_d and K_i values were found to be equivalent and consequently, the results obtained by both native MS and the stopped flow kinetic method are in excellent agreement. For example, the measured K_d values of all nanomolar inhibitors were within an average of 30% of the K_i values. More recently, 30 K_d and K_i values were obtained for 15 perfluoroalkyl substances interacting with either carboxylate, sulfate, or sulfonamide zinc binding groups of hCA I and hCA II by use of the stopped flow and native MS methods (20), all of which also resulted in excellent agreement; i.e., the K_d values were within an average of 37% of the K_i values.

These results provide additional support that K_i values can be accurately obtained using the stopped flow approach for identifying CAIs for drug development applications.

4) “In the calculation of the K_i from an estimated IC_{50} , the numeric value of the K_i must be smaller than the IC_{50} .”

We also agree with this statement of Jonsson and Liljas (1). In fact, in our papers, either the K_i or the IC_{50} was reported. As mentioned above, there were two papers in which enzyme assays were done in other laboratories (8,9), and these papers reported both K_i and IC_{50} values as well. Our co-authorship on these papers involved organic synthesis and not the enzyme assays, which were the responsibility of other authors.

5) “In a scientific work, the primary data should be provided in the main manuscript or the supplement so that the experiments can be reproduced and the calculations can be checked.”

We have measured the inhibition constants for more than 10,000 different compounds belonging to a range of diverse

classes, such as sulfonamides, sulfamates, sulfamides, coumarins, sulfocoumarins, homosulfocoumarins, homocoumarins, thiocoumarins, phosphonamides, dithiocarbamates, monothiocarbamates, xanthates, trithiocarbonates, selenols, carboxylates hydroxamates, benzoxaboroles, carbamates, and polyamines (review in 26). Jonsson and Liljas criticize that “the data are often provided in tables, in which important information and the original measurements are lacking.” In all medicinal chemistry journals, data are provided in tables, and the primary inhibition data for compound screening is not normally included. As mentioned above, in the experimental part of our papers, detailed information on the assays are provided. Furthermore, extensive kinetic, crystallographic, mass spectrometry, NMR, and synthetic efforts led to a thorough understanding of several innovative CA inhibition mechanisms (26,27), including the anchoring of CAIs to the zinc coordinated water molecule, occlusion of the active site entrance, and even binding outside the active site cavity (26). Any additional primary data that is required can be readily obtained upon request from the corresponding author.

The last statement from Jonsson and Liljas (1) refers to the sulfonamide drug candidate SLC-0111 that was discovered in our laboratories and is now in Phase Ib/II clinical trials for the treatment of advanced metastatic solid tumors (28). Although there are no drug design or oncology studies published by Jonsson or Liljas, and although there is no new information or data in their paper (1) regarding SLC-0111, the two authors state that “it is doubtful whether the compound has the required K_i to be advanced into clinical trials” and cite a reference which has no connection with the compound. SLC-0111, the lead clinical CA IX/CA XII inhibitor, was identified by the Supuran group through a program in which several thousands of sulfonamides were prepared and assayed as CAIs toward several physiologically relevant human isoforms (29). It belongs to a family of ureidobenzene sulfonamides, which were assessed for “druggability” criteria, including ADME (Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion) analysis, from which SLC-0111 was selected for further in vitro and in vivo analysis in appropriate cancer models (30). For reasons of space we are unable to cite the large number of drug design studies done

in laboratories all over the world, which used SLC-0111 as a lead compound. The evaluation of CA IX/CA XII inhibitors as anti-cancer compounds takes a different path from the development of other cytotoxic anti-cancer drugs. This is because the targets, CA IX/CA XII are only expressed within the hypoxic niches of solid tumors, and may represent a minor portion of the total tumor cell population, which significantly contribute to resistance to chemo-, radiation-, and immuno-therapies (31). The extensive pre-clinical models carried out by several independent groups with several CA IX/XII inhibitors, including SLC-0111, have demonstrated that the use of such inhibitors in combination with chemotherapy agents, immunotherapy and radiotherapy (31,32) is highly important and desirable for sustained therapeutic response. The extensive studies reported in these and other recent papers, utilizing multiple in depth in vivo models, provided solid positive pre-clinical data to warrant the initiation of Phase 1 clinical trials in 2014, of which a Phase 1 safety trial with SLC-0111 (as a monotherapeutic agent) has been completed (28) and a Phase 1b trial is currently underway to evaluate SLC-0111 in combination with gemcitabine in metastatic pancreatic cancer patients whose tumors are CA IX positive ([ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT03450018) Identifier: NCT03450018).

In conclusion, through rigorous pre-clinical investigations involving crystallographic, spectroscopic, and synthetic efforts, and the screening of tens of thousands of potential inhibitors of CAs by use of a functional, stopped-flow kinetic inhibition assay, lead compounds for CA IX and XII, including the sulfonamide CA inhibitor SLC-0111, have been identified, validated, and developed. The results from the stopped flow kinetic enzyme inhibition assay agree very well with orthogonal native mass spectrometry measurements for directly measuring protein-ligand interactions and kinetic inhibition measurements from other labs that do not involve a stopped flow approach. Overall, the stopped flow kinetic enzyme inhibition method has been used to screen tens of thousands of potential CAIs accurately, enough for medicinal chemistry and pre-clinical pharmacology applications. Given the rich and abundant literature establishing and validating specific CA IX and CA XII inhibitors, such as in pre-clinical models of cancer and in clinical trials, these isoenzymes are promising cancer targets for therapy in advanced, hypoxic tumors. In the future, the use of selective CA IX/XII inhibitors, such as SLC-0111, should be advantageous in combination with chemo-, radiation-, and immuno-therapies to eliminate resistant cancer cell populations and to maximize the suppression of tumor growth and metastasis. A more detailed account of these issues, in the context of targeting the tumor microenvironment will be published elsewhere.

Andrea Angeli,¹ Fabrizio Carta,¹ Alessio Nocentini,¹
Jean- Yves Winum,² Raivis Zalubovskis,^{3,4}

Valentina Onnis,⁵ Wagdy M. Eldehna,⁶
Clemente Capasso,⁷ Simone Carradori,⁸
William A. Donald,⁹ Shoukat Dedhar,¹⁰
and Claudiu T. Supuran^{1,*}

¹*Neurofarba Department, Pharmaceutical and Nutraceutical Section, University of Florence, Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy;*

²*IBMM, University Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France;*

³*Latvian Institute of Organic Synthesis, Riga, Latvia;*

⁴*Institute of Technology of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Materials Science and Applied Chemistry, Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia;*

⁵*Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Unit of Pharmaceutical, Pharmacological and Nutraceutical Sciences, University of Cagliari, University Campus, Monserrato, Cagliari, Italy;*

⁶*Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Scientific Research and Innovation Support Unit, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh, Egypt;*

⁷*Institute of Biosciences and Bioresources, National Research Council, Napoli, Italy;*

⁸*Department of Pharmacy, "G. d'Annunzio" University of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy;*

⁹*School of Chemistry, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia and*

¹⁰*Department of Integrative Oncology, BC Cancer Research Center, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada*

REFERENCES

- Jonsson, B. H., and A. Liljas. 2020. Perspectives on the Classical Enzyme Carbonic Anhydrase and the Search for Inhibitors. *Biophys. J.* 119:1275–1280.
- Bozdag, M., M. Ferraroni, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2019. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors based on sorafenib scaffold: Design, synthesis, crystallographic investigation and effects on primary breast cancer cells. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 182:111600.
- Moi, D., A. Nocentini, ..., V. Onnis. 2019. Structure-activity relationship with pyrazoline-based aromatic sulfamates as carbonic anhydrase isoforms I, II, IX and XII inhibitors: Synthesis and biological evaluation. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 182:111638.
- De Luca, L., F. Mancuso, ..., R. Gitto. 2018. Inhibitory effects and structural insights for a novel series of coumarin-based compounds that selectively target human CA IX and CA XII carbonic anhydrases. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 143:276–282.
- Fragai, M., G. Comito, ..., C. Nativi. 2017. Lipoyl-Homotaurine Derivative (ADM_12) Reverts Oxaliplatin-Induced Neuropathy and Reduces Cancer Cells Malignancy by Inhibiting Carbonic Anhydrase IX (CAIX). *J. Med. Chem.* 60:9003–9011.
- Zengin Kurt, B., F. Sonmez, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2019. Synthesis of coumarin-sulfonamide derivatives and determination of their cytotoxicity, carbonic anhydrase inhibitory and molecular docking studies. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 183:111702.
- Said, M. A., W. M. Eldehna, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2020. Synthesis, biological and molecular dynamics investigations with a series of triazolopyrimidine/triazole-based benzenesulfonamides as novel carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 185:111843.
- Tugrak, M., H. I. Gul, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2019. Synthesis and biological evaluation of some new mono Mannich bases with piperazines as

- possible anticancer agents and carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. *Bioorg. Chem.* 90:103095.
9. Gul, H. I., M. Tugrak, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2019. New phenolic Mannich bases with piperazines and their bioactivities. *Bioorg. Chem.* 90:103057.
 10. Abo-Ashour, M. F., W. M. Eldehna, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2019. Novel synthesized SLC-0111 thiazole and thiadiazole analogues: Determination of their carbonic anhydrase inhibitory activity and molecular modeling studies. *Bioorg. Chem.* 87:794–802.
 11. Supuran, C. T. 2008. Carbonic anhydrases: novel therapeutic applications for inhibitors and activators. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 7:168–181.
 12. Khalifah, R. G. 1971. The carbon dioxide hydration activity of carbonic anhydrase. I. Stop-flow kinetic studies on the native human isoenzymes B and C. *J. Biol. Chem.* 246:2561–2573.
 13. Hoffmann, K. M., D. Samardzic, ..., R. S. Rowlett. 2011. Co(II)-substituted *Haemophilus influenzae* β -carbonic anhydrase: spectral evidence for allosteric regulation by pH and bicarbonate ion. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 511:80–87.
 14. Tu, C., R. S. Rowlett, ..., D. N. Silverman. 2002. Chemical rescue of proton transfer in catalysis by carbonic anhydrases in the beta- and gamma-class. *Biochemistry.* 41:15429–15435.
 15. Pocker, Y., and D. R. Storm. 1968. The catalytic versatility of erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase. IV. Kinetic studies of enzyme-catalyzed hydrolyses of para-nitrophenyl esters. *Biochemistry.* 7:1202–1214.
 16. Scozzafava, A., L. Menabuoni, ..., C. T. Supuran. 1999. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Synthesis of water-soluble, topically effective, intraocular pressure-lowering aromatic/heterocyclic sulfonamides containing cationic or anionic moieties: is the tail more important than the ring? *J. Med. Chem.* 42:2641–2650.
 17. D'Ascenzio, M., D. Secci, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2020. 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition, HPLC Enantioseparation, and Docking Studies of Saccharin/Isoxazole and Saccharin/Isoxazoline Derivatives as Selective Carbonic Anhydrase IX and XII Inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* 63:2470–2488.
 18. Carta, F., A. Birkmann, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2017. Lead Development of Thiazolylsulfonamides with Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitory Action. *J. Med. Chem.* 60:3154–3164.
 19. Nguyen, G. T. H., T. N. Tran, ..., W. A. Donald. 2019. Nanoscale Ion Emitters in Native Mass Spectrometry for Measuring Ligand-Protein Binding Affinities. *ACS Cent. Sci.* 5:308–318.
 20. Nguyen, G. T. H., A. Nocentini, ..., W. A. Donald. 2020. Perfluoroalkyl Substances of Significant Environmental Concern Can Strongly Inhibit Human Carbonic Anhydrase Isozymes. *Anal. Chem.* 92:4614–4622.
 21. Garaj, V., L. Puccetti, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2005. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors: novel sulfonamides incorporating 1,3,5-triazine moieties as inhibitors of the cytosolic and tumour-associated carbonic anhydrase isozymes I, II and IX. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 15:3102–3108.
 22. Conroy, C. W., and T. H. Maren. 1995. The effect of temperature on the binding of sulfonamides to carbonic anhydrase isoenzymes I, II, and IV. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 48:486–491.
 23. Köhler, K., A. Hillebrecht, ..., G. Klebe. 2007. Saccharin inhibits carbonic anhydrases: possible explanation for its unpleasant metallic aftertaste. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 46:7697–7699.
 24. Cecchi, A., J. Y. Winum, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2004. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors: synthesis and inhibition of cytosolic/tumor-associated carbonic anhydrase isozymes I, II, and IX with sulfonamides derived from 4-isothiocyanato-benzolamide. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 14:5775–5780.
 25. Temperini, C., A. Cecchi, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2008. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Interaction of indapamide and related diuretics with 12 mammalian isozymes and X-ray crystallographic studies for the indapamide-isozyme II adduct. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 18:2567–2573.
 26. Supuran, C. T. 2016. How many carbonic anhydrase inhibition mechanisms exist? *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* 31:345–360.
 27. Luchinat, E., L. Barbieri, ..., L. Banci. 2020. Drug Screening in Human Cells by NMR Spectroscopy Allows the Early Assessment of Drug Potency. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 59:6535–6539.
 28. McDonald, P. C., S. Chia, ..., S. Dedhar. 2020. A Phase 1 Study of SLC-0111, a Novel Inhibitor of Carbonic Anhydrase IX, in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors. *Am. J. Clin. Oncol.* 43:484–490.
 29. Pacchiano, F., F. Carta, ..., C. T. Supuran. 2011. Ureido-substituted benzenesulfonamides potently inhibit carbonic anhydrase IX and show antimetastatic activity in a model of breast cancer metastasis. *J. Med. Chem.* 54:1896–1902.
 30. Lou, Y., P. C. McDonald, ..., S. Dedhar. 2011. Targeting tumor hypoxia: suppression of breast tumor growth and metastasis by novel carbonic anhydrase IX inhibitors. *Cancer Res.* 71:3364–3376.
 31. McDonald, P. C., M. Swayampakula, and S. Dedhar. 2018. Coordinated Regulation of Metabolic Transporters and Migration/Invasion by Carbonic Anhydrase IX. *Metabolites.* 8:E20.
 32. Supuran, C. T. 2018. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors as emerging agents for the treatment and imaging of hypoxic tumors. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs.* 27:963–970.