

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

## Correspondence

## COVID-19 in Spain: view from the eye of the storm

An earlier start to the second COVID-19 epidemic wave in Spain compared with other European countries has raised overt criticism to their public health administrations' response.¹ We want to contribute to this debate constructively, sharing our perspective as public health professionals involved in the response, even if many aspects are outside our direct remit.

Spain greatly increased its response capacities after the first wave of this virus. An improved test-trace-isolate strategy was implemented in May and, by late June, more than 80% of patients suspected to have COVID-19 were PCR-tested within 24-48 h, and 90% of patients had their contacts traced (Monge S, unpublished). PCR capacities were similar to that of other countries2 and have been further strengthened (with a current national weekly testing rate of 2.563 per 100 000 inhabitants),3 and the public health workforce has increased by three times.3 On the basis of a national seroprevalence study,4 we estimate the current detection capacity to be at 60-80% of infected individuals. All strategies and protocols were integrated into an updated early response plan, adapted at the regional level, including provisions for increasing epidemiological surveillance, test-trace-isolate procedures, strategic reserves, and health-care capacity, among others, which was adopted in July. However, weaknesses persist in the system, with chronic underinvestment in primary health care, public health, digitalisation, research and innovation, bureaucratic procedures, and with little availability of trained professionals.

Difficult decisions are being made, weighing scientific evidence, uncertainties, feasibility, and costs. Collaboration between public health

administration and more than 30 scientific societies⁵ has been ongoing since January, and external experts have advised strategic decisions. Multiple interterritorial working groups exist, at levels from technical to highly political, meeting at least once per week, achieving fluent interterritorial dialogue and coordinated decision making. Extensive and transparent information for daily epidemic monitoring is available,3 based on exhaustive individual case information received daily at the national level. The wider availability of detailed data can help to strengthen scientific community engagement and increase public trust; work is ongoing in this direction.

Evaluation is a key component for system improvement. Thus, the WHO-proposed intra-action review has been done at the national level (report under development). Wider evaluations (of which the terms of reference are in progress) and epidemiological research can further elucidate the main factors influencing the progression of the epidemic, and the short-term and long-term changes that are most needed. Factors such as existing susceptible and hard-toreach groups, structural inequalities, population age (among the oldest in the world), limits in welfare policies, cultural and social interactions, and high mobility rates should be accounted for to explain the epidemic

In the current scenario, maintaining and further strengthening response capacities are challenges for all who are involved; community engagement and the effective implementation of control measures need to overcome pandemic fatigue. Politicisation and an unfortunate climate of confrontation permeating different sectors makes effective crisis communication challenging and is likely to impair response efforts.

We declare no competing interests.

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license.

María José Sierra Moros, \*Susana Monge, Berta Suarez Rodríguez, Lucía García San Miguel, Fernando Simón Soria, on behalf of all signatories† smonge@mscbs.es

†Signatories: Silvia Rivera Ariza, Elena Vanessa Martínez Sánchez, Óscar Pérez Olaso, Pello Latasa, Bernardo R Guzmán Herrador, Lidia Redondo-Bravo, Montserrat Gamarra Villaverde, Jesús Pérez Formigó

Centro de Coordinación de Alertas y Emergencias Sanitarias, Ministerio de Sanidad, Madrid 28014, Spain

- COVID-19 in Spain: a predictable storm? Lancet Public Health 2020; **5:** e568.
- Han E, Tan MMJ, Turk E, et al. Lessons learnt from easing COVID-19 restrictions: an analysis of countries and regions in Asia Pacific and Europe. Lancet 2020; **396**: 1525–34.
- 3 Ministerio de Sanidad. Situación actual. https://www.mscbs.gob.es/profesionales/ saludPublica/ccayes/alertasActual/nCov/ situacionActual.htm (accessed Nov 6, 2020).
- 4 Pollán M, Pérez-Gómez B, Pastor-Barriuso R, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Spain (ENE-COVID): a nationwide, population-based seroepidemiological study. *Lancet* 2020; 396: 535-44.
- 5 Ministry of Health. Guidelines for professionals. https://www.mscbs.gob.es/ profesionales/saludPublica/ccayes/ alertasActual/nCov/documentos.htm (accessed Nov 6, 2020).



Published Online
December 7, 2020
https://doi.org/10.1016/
\$2468-2667(20)30286-3

For epidemiological updates on COVID-19 in Spain see https://cnecovid.isciii.es and https://www.mscbs.gob.es/