

HHS Public Access

Neuropharmacology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 February 15.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Neuropharmacology. 2021 February 15; 184: 108409. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2020.108409.

The Sigma-2 Receptor / Transmembrane protein 97 ($\sigma_2 R$ / TMEM97) Modulator JVW-1034 Reduces Heavy Alcohol Drinking and Associated Pain States in Male Mice

Sema G Quadir, B.S.¹, Sean M Tanino, M.S.¹, Christian D Rohl, M.S.¹, James J Sahn, Ph.D.², Emily J Yao, B.A.¹, Luíza dos Reis Cruz, Ph.D.², Pietro Cottone, Ph.D.¹, Stephen F Martin, Ph.D.², Valentina Sabino, Ph.D.¹

¹Laboratory of Addictive Disorders, Departments of Pharmacology and Psychiatry, Boston University School of Medicine, 72 E. Concord St., Boston, MA

²Department of Chemistry and Waggoner Center for Alcohol and Addiction Research, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX

Abstract

Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD) is a chronic relapsing disorder characterized by compulsive alcohol intake, loss of control over alcohol intake, and a negative emotional state when access to alcohol is prevented. AUD is also closely tied to pain, as repeated alcohol drinking leads to increased pain sensitivity during withdrawal. The sigma-2 receptor, recently identified as transmembrane protein 97 (σ_2 R/TMEM97), is an integral membrane protein involved in cholesterol homeostasis and lipid metabolism. Selective σ_2 R/Tmem97 modulators have been recently shown to relieve mechanical hypersensitivity in animal models of neuropathic pain as well as to attenuate alcohol withdrawal signs in *C. elegans* and to reduce alcohol drinking in rats, suggesting a potential key role for this protein in alcohol-related behaviors. In this study, we tested the effects of a potent and selective σ_2 R/TMEM97 ligand, JVW-1034, on heavy alcohol drinking and alcohol-induced heightened pain states in mice using an intermittent access model. Administration of JVW-1034 decreased both ethanol intake and preference for ethanol, without affecting water intake, total fluid intake, or food

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed: Valentina Sabino, Ph.D., Laboratory of Addictive Disorders, Departments of Pharmacology and Psychiatry, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118, T. 617-358-1311, vsabino@bu.edu or, Stephen F Martin, Ph.D., Department of Chemistry, Waggoner Center for Alcohol and Addiction Research, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, T. 512-471-3915, sfmartin@mail.utexas.edu. Author contributions

<u>Sema G Quadir:</u> Conceptualization, Validation, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft. <u>Sean M. Tanino:</u> Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. <u>Christian D Rohl:</u> Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. <u>James J. Sahn:</u> Conceptualization, Validation, Resources, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. <u>Emily Yao:</u> Validation, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing.

Luiza dos Reis Cruz: Validation, Resources, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing.

<u>Pietro Cottone:</u> Conceptualization, Validation, Supervision, Resources, Formal analysis, Writing - Review & Editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Stephen F. Martin: Conceptualization, Validation, Supervision, Resources, Formal analysis, Writing - Review & Editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Valentina Sabino: Conceptualization, Validation, Supervision, Resources, Formal analysis, Writing - Review & Editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

intake. Notably, this effect was specific for alcohol, as JVW-1034 had no effect on sucrose intake. Furthermore, JVW-1034 reduced both thermal hyperalgesia and mechanical hypersensitivity in ethanol withdrawn mice. Our data provide important evidence that modulation of $\sigma_2 R$ /TMEM97 with small molecules can mediate heavy alcohol drinking as well as chronic alcohol-induced heightened pain sensitivity, thereby identifying a promising novel pharmacological target for AUD and associated pain states.

Keywords

Ethanol; Addiction; Drinking; Dependence; Alcoholism; Pain; Hyperalgesia; Sigma-2 Receptor; Transmembrane Protein 97

1. Introduction

Alcoholism represents a serious global public health problem. In the United States, the number of alcohol-related deaths has nearly doubled between 1999 and 2017 (White et al., 2020), and the total cost of alcohol problems is estimated at \$249 billion a year (Sacks et al., 2015). According to the 2018 NSDUH, in the United States 14.4 million adults ages 18 and older (5.8%) had alcohol use disorders (AUD) (2020).

AUD is a chronic relapsing disorder characterized by compulsive alcohol intake, loss of control over consumption, and a negative emotional state when access to alcohol is prevented (Becker and Koob, 2016; Koob, 2013). An exacerbating feedback between alcohol consumption and pain exists; people suffering from chronic pain self-medicate with alcohol to get relief (Angst and Clark, 2006; Brennan et al., 2005; Gatch, 2009; Riley and King, 2009), which in turn leads to increased pain sensitivity during withdrawal, thus sustaining a negatively reinforced vicious cycle (Avegno et al., 2018; Egli et al., 2012; Koob, 2008). Pain hypersensitivity in chronic alcohol drinkers arises from the emergence of alcoholic neuropathy and manifests as both allodynia (when a non-noxious stimulus induces a nociceptive response) and hyperalgesia (when a noxious stimulus produces a heightened nociceptive response) (Arout et al., 2016; Egli et al., 2012; Koike et al., 2001). Even though pain is most commonly regarded as a peripheral pathology, a central sensitization of ascending nociceptive signaling is evident in chronic pain states including those produced by chronic alcohol (Apkarian et al., 2013; Latremoliere and Woolf, 2009; Viswanath et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020), and neurocircuitries of AUD and neuropathic pain have been found to overlap substantially (Egli et al., 2012; Robins et al., 2019). Therefore, therapeutic strategies that could successfully treat both excessive drinking and heightened pain sensitivity in individuals with AUD could be of particular value.

The two subtypes of sigma receptors (σ Rs) have been proposed to be promising therapeutic targets for AUD (Schmidt and Kruse, 2019; Smith, 2017). The sigma-1 receptor (σ ₁R) is a unique pharmacologically regulated molecular chaperone that serves as a scaffolding protein and modulates the activity of several associated proteins (Hayashi and Su, 2007; Oyer et al., 2019). What had historically been referred to as the sigma-2 receptor (σ ₂R) has recently been identified as transmembrane protein 97 (TMEM97), which is an integral membrane protein involved in cholesterol homeostasis and lipid metabolism (Alon et al., 2017; Bartz et

al., 2009; Ebrahimi-Fakhari et al., 2016; Matsumoto, 2009; Wilcox et al., 2007). The identification of $\sigma_2 R$ as TMEM97, which will be referred to herein as $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ for the murine protein that is relevant to this study, will greatly facilitate efforts aimed at probing its function in cellular and physiological processes and disease. $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ has long been referred to as a receptor, although no endogenous ligand has been definitively identified, and no intrinsic enzymatic or signaling activity is known. Nevertheless, terms such as agonist and antagonist have been commonly used in the literature, but because reliable functional assays for $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ are not available (Oyer et al., 2019; Zeng et al., 2019), these descriptors may not be appropriate. Hence, compounds that target $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ will be referred to herein as "modulators."

The role of $\sigma_1 R$ in various disease states including alcohol addiction has been investigated for a number of years (Cottone et al., 2012; Quadir et al., 2019; Sabino et al., 2011; Sabino et al., 2009a; Sabino et al., 2009b). However, because $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ had long been only a pharmacologically defined entity, progress toward exploring the effects of engaging this target have been slow, although it has been implicated in cancer (Abate et al., 2018; Baiamonte et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2014). This situation began to change several years ago when it was shown that small molecules that are known to bind selectively to this receptor have neuroprotective properties and beneficial effects in transgenic animal models of Alzheimer's disease (Izzo et al., 2014a; Izzo et al., 2014b; Yi et al., 2017). Indeed, compounds with high affinity for $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ are currently being tested in clinical trials for imaging in breast cancer as well as for treatment of Alzheimer's disease and schizophrenia (Clinical-Trial-NCT02284919, 2019; Clinical-Trial-NCT02907567, 2018; Clinical-Trial-NCT03397134, 2020). Several compounds that bind to $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ have recently been shown to relieve mechanical sensitivity in the spared nerve injury model of neuropathic pain (Sahn et al., 2017). In another study, a $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ modulator was found to improve cognitive performance and reduce axonal degeneration in a blast model of traumatic brain injury (TBI) as well as to improve survival of cortical neurons and oligodendrocytes in controlled cortical impact injury model of TBI (Vazquez-Rosa et al., 2019). As a prelude to the present study, we have recently shown that JVW-1034, a small molecule modulator of $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$, attenuates alcohol withdrawal signs in *C. elegans* specifically via $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ and reduces alcohol drinking in ethanol-dependent rats, suggesting a potential key role for this protein in alcohol-related behaviors (Scott et al., 2018). Here, we now investigated the effect of JVW-1034 on both heavy alcohol drinking and alcohol-induced heightened pain states in mice using a chronic, intermittent access to alcohol model.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subjects

Male C57BL/6J mice (8 weeks old upon arrival, N=49) were purchased from Jackson laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Mice were individually-housed in a humidity and temperature-controlled AAALAC-approved vivarium on a 12 h reverse light/dark cycle (lights off at 10:00 AM) with access to food (Teklad Diet 2918, Envigo) and water *ad libitum* unless otherwise noticed. Procedures adhered to the National Institutes of Health

Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care, and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Boston University. The experimental timeline is shown in Figure 1.

2.2. Drugs

JVW-1034 (structure in Figure 2A) was synthesized as previously described (Scott et al., 2018) and dissolved in (2-hydroxypropyl)- β -cyclodextrin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in saline (20% *w/v*) and administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) in a volume of 10 mL/kg 30 min prior to the ethanol session. JVW-1034 is 10 fold more selective for σ_2 R/TMEM97 than for σ_1 R (σ_2 R/TMEM97 *K*_i: 23 nM, σ_1 R *K*_i: 248 nM) (Scott et al., 2018); the binding affinities for JVW-1034 at non σ R sites are shown in Supplemental Table 1. Doses (10 and 30 mg/kg) were based on our previous report in rats and adjusted for the increased metabolism of mice (Scott et al., 2018). 20% Ethanol (*v/v*) was prepared from 190-proof ethanol and tap water. 1.15% (*w/v*) sucrose (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was diluted in tap water.

2.3. Voluntary Ethanol Intake: Intermittent Access Two Bottle Choice

Upon arrival, mice were habituated to drink water out of bottles made of Corning falcon 50 mL conical-bottom centrifuge tubes (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) equipped with #6R rubber stoppers with 2.5" long straight metal double ball bearing sipper tubes (Ancare, Bellmore, NY). Mice were then given intermittent access using a two bottle choice (IA2BC) paradigm for the entire duration of the experiments (24 weeks, see Fig. 1), during which time one water bottle was replaced with a bottle containing 20% (v/v) ethanol on alternating days for 24 h, as done previously (Hwa et al., 2011; Navarro et al., 2019; Quadir et al., 2020). Briefly, at 12 PM (two h into the dark cycle), pre-weighed bottles (one ethanol, one water) were provided and 24 h later both bottles were removed and weighed again to calculate intake. Additional cages and sets of bottles were used to ensure negligible spillage during cage handling. Drug testing began after 15 weeks of drinking. On drug injection days, food was removed at injection time and returned (pre-weighed) along with the two bottles after pretreatment time (30 min). Alcohol, water, and food weights were recorded at 2 h, 6 h and 24 h, common time points in the literature (Newman et al., 2018; Sabino et al., 2013). Water controls received identical treatment, except that the bottles were filled with tap water.

2.4. Voluntary Sucrose Intake: Intermittent Access Two Bottle Choice to Sucrose

In a separate cohort of (ethanol-naïve) mice, the above IA2BC procedure was performed in an identical way, except that the bottles contained 1.15% (*w/v*) sucrose instead of ethanol.

2.5. Mechanical Sensitivity (von Frey) Testing

After 19 weeks of IA2BC drinking, mechanical sensitivity was assessed using a Dynamic Plantar Anethesiometer (Ugo Basile, Gemonio, Italy), using a previously described method (Quadir et al., 2020). Mice were habituated to the apparatus the day before the test for 3 h and then for 1 h on test day. On test day, after habituation, mice were injected with either vehicle or JVW-1034 (30 mg/kg). After 30 min, mice were tested for mechanical sensitivity using the von Frey test. Briefly, the experimenter applied a blunt filament (0.5 mm diameter)

to the plantar region of the hind paw with increasing force until the mouse exhibited a pain response (defined as pulling, licking or withdrawing the paw). Consistent with other studies, mice were tested at increasing applied forces (4 g, 6 g and 8 g) with a 2 sec ramp up force; withdrawal forces were averaged for each paw and then averaged for the animal (Btesh et al., 2013; Clapper et al., 2010; Quadir et al., 2020). Tests began at 12:00 PM, 72 h after the end of the last alcohol session, when allodynia is most robust in this model (Quadir et al., 2020).

2.6. Thermal Sensitivity Testing

Thermal sensitivity was assessed after 19 weeks of IA2BC as well, in a separate set of mice using a Plantar Test Analgesia Meter (Hargreaves method) equipped with a Heat-Flux Infrared Radiometer (IITC, Woodland Hills, CA). The glass was heated to 32 °C. The artificial intensity of the radiometer was set to 30, a setting that resulted in ~10 sec latency to withdraw the paw in non-experimental mice. Consistent with other studies, a cut-off of 20 sec was used to prevent thermal damage to the paw (Baiamonte et al., 2014; Cheah et al., 2016; Itoga et al., 2016; Saika et al., 2015; Tsiklauri et al., 2017). Mice were habituated to the room and the heated glass for 3 h every other day for the week before the test. On test day, mice were habituated for 1 h before being administered either vehicle or JVW-1034 (30 mg/kg). After 30 min, the experimenter shined the infrared light on alternating paws of the mouse (3–5 times per paw) and recorded the latency for the mouse to withdraw its paw. Latencies to withdraw were averaged per paw and then averaged together for each animal. Tests began at 12:00 PM, 24 h after the end of the last alcohol session, when hyperalgesia is most robust in this model (Kang et al., 2019; Li et al., 2017).

2.7. Locomotor Activity

The effects of JVW-1034 on locomotor activity were tested after 22 weeks of IA2BC, as previously described (Dore et al., 2013; Iemolo et al., 2015; Moore et al., 2020). Briefly, mice were habituated in their home cages to the testing room for 3 h prior to the test day with red light and white noise. On test days, mice were habituated, again in their home cages, to the apparatus for 1 h prior to being injected with either vehicle or JVW-1034 (counterbalanced within-subject, Latin square design). Beam breaks were recorded for 2.5 h using an Opto-M3 activity system (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH) starting 30 min after injection (pretreatment time) to match the intake and pain sensitivity experiments. Tests began at 12:00 PM, 72 h after the end of the last alcohol session. Mice underwent two treatment-free alcohol sessions between locomotor test days.

2.8. Statistics

Ethanol, water, food and sucrose intakes and preference were analyzed using within-subject two-way ANOVAs with Time and Dose as factors. Locomotor beam breaks were analyzed using mixed design two-way or three-way ANOVAs with Dose (and Time when applicable) as a within-subject factor and ethanol as a between-subjects factor. Thermal sensitivity data was analyzed using a between-subjects two-way ANOVA with Dose and Ethanol as factors. Mechanical sensitivity data was analyzed using a mixed design three-way ANOVA with Dose and Applied force as a within-subject factor and Ethanol as a between-subjects factor. Post-hoc comparisons were performed using student's Newman-Keuls test.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of JVW-1034 on Ethanol Intake

We found a statistically significant effect of JVW-1034, the structure of which is shown in Fig. 2A, on ethanol intake [Dose: R(2,16)=6.08, p<0.05; Time x Dose: R(2,16)=0.78, n.s.]; post-hoc analysis showed that the 30 mg/kg dose reduced alcohol intake by 55% at the 2 h time point and 38% after 6 h, as shown in Fig. 2B. Water intake was not significantly affected by JVW-1034 (Fig. 2C) [Dose: R(2,16)=1.68, n.s.; Time x Dose: R(2,16)=1.05, n.s.]. Preference for ethanol was significantly affected by JVW-1034 [Dose: R(2,16)=2.28, n.s.], as shown in Fig. 2D; post-hoc analysis showed that the 30 mg/kg dose decreased preference by 49% at 2 h and 30% at the 6 h timepoints. No effect of JVW-1034 on fluid intake was observed [Dose: R(2,16)=0.14, n.s.; Time x Dose: R(2,16)=0.63, n.s.] (not shown) nor on food intake [Dose: R(2,16)=2.08, n.s.; Time x Dose: R(2,16)=2.23, n.s.], as shown in Fig. 2E. The effects of drug on ethanol intake and preference did not last until the 24 h time point [ethanol intake, Dose: R(2,16)=1.67, n.s.; Preference, Dose: R(2,16)=0.37, data not shown].

3.2. Effect of JVW-1034 on Sucrose Intake

We found no effect of JVW-1034 on sucrose intake [Dose: F(2,12)=0.45, n.s.; Time x Dose: F(2,12)=1.26, n.s.] (Fig. 3A). We found an effect of JVW-1034 on water intake [Time x Dose: F(2,12)=1.56 n.s.; Dose: F(2,12)=4.96, p<0.05] with 10 mg/kg JVW-1034 increasing water intake by 270% at the 2 h timepoint and 150% at the 6 h timepoint (Fig. 3B). There was no effect at 24 h on sucrose intake, while the effect on water persisted [Sucrose, dose: F(2,12)=1.31, n.s.; Water, dose: F(2,12)=12.6, p<0.05, data not shown].

3.3. Effect of JVW-1034 on Mechanical Pain Sensitivity

Alcohol experienced mice showed higher mechanical pain sensitivity in the von Frey test during withdrawal, compared to control mice (Ethanol: F(1,10)=9.53, p=0.011). We also found a significant effect of JVW-1034 on mechanical pain sensitivity [Dose x Ethanol x Force: F(2,20)=6.67 p<0.01; Dose x Ethanol: F(1,10)=37.67 p<0.001; Dose: F(1,10)=25.03 p<0.001], as shown in Fig. 4A. At 72 h withdrawal, a 10%, 35% and 28% reduction in paw withdrawal force (at applied forces of 4 g, 6 g and 8 g respectively) was observed in vehicle-treated mice, which was fully reversed by the administration of JVW-1034 30 mg/kg (the dose effective on alcohol intake). On the other hand, JVW-1034 did not affect mechanical pain sensitivity in water-drinking mice, indicating the effect is specific to the ethanol-drinking mice.

3.4. Effect of JVW-1034 on Thermal Pain Sensitivity

Alcohol experienced mice showed higher thermal pain sensitivity in the Hargreaves test during withdrawal, compared to control mice (Ethanol: R(1,16)=102.88, p<0.001). JVW-1034 significantly affected paw withdrawal latency in this test [Dose: R(1,16)=197, p<0.001; Dose x Ethanol: R(1,16)=11.40, p<0.01], as shown in Fig. 4B. EtOH-Veh mice showed, 24 h into withdrawal, a 24% decrease in latency to paw withdrawal compared to Ctrl-Veh mice. When JVW-1034 30 mg/kg (the dose effective on alcohol intake) was

administered 30 min prior to the test, this resulted in a 49% increase in paw withdrawal

latency in EtOH-JVW-1034 mice, compared to EtOH-Veh mice. Interestingly, when administered to Control, ethanol-naïve mice, JVW-1034 also increased paw withdrawal latency by 61% compared to Ctrl-Veh mice.

3.5. Effect of JVW-1034 on Locomotor Activity

We found no effect of JVW-1034 on locomotor activity across the 10-min bins [Dose: R(1,16)=0.62, n.s.; Dose x Ethanol x Time: R(11,176)=1.00, n.s.; Dose x Ethanol: R(1,16)=0.00, n.s.] (Fig. 5A). We also saw no effect when examining total beam breaks during the entire 2h period of observation [Dose: F(1,16)=0.62, n.s.; Dose x Ethanol: R(1,16)=0.00, n.s.], as shown in Fig. 5B.

4. Discussion

We have previously shown that the σ_2 R/TMEM97 modulator, JVW-1034, improves behavioral impairments in C. elegans that were withdrawn from chronic exposure to ethanol (Scott et al., 2018), and the activity of this compound was shown to depend upon both the TMEM97 ortholog in the worm as well as its partner protein progesterone receptor membrane component 1 (PGRMC1) (Riad et al., 2018). Furthermore, JVW-1034 significantly reduced voluntary ethanol intake in rats made dependent via the exposure to chronic ethanol vapors, but it did not affect water intake in dependent animals or the lower ethanol intake of non-dependent animals (Sahn et al., 2017; Scott et al., 2018). These pioneering discoveries showed that small molecules that selectively bind to $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ can mitigate behaviors resulting from chronic exposure to alcohol. In an extension of those investigations, we utilized JVW-1034 in the present series of experiments to probe the role of σ_2 R/TMEM97 in excessive alcohol drinking and associated pain states using an intermittent, two bottle choice access to ethanol mouse model. We found that JVW-1034 decreased both ethanol intake and preference without affecting water, total fluid or food intake. Notably, this effect was specific for alcohol, as JVW-1034 had no effect on sucrose intake. JVW-1034 also reduced both thermal and mechanical pain hypersensitivity caused by ethanol withdrawal.

Heavy drinking can be modeled by exposing rodents to repeated cycles of voluntary access to ethanol followed by withdrawal. The model used here is the intermittent access two bottle choice originally proposed by Wise (Wise, 1973), which yields high levels of ethanol intake, as well as behavioral, neurochemical, and molecular adaptations (Bloodgood et al., 2020; Carnicella et al., 2008; Carnicella et al., 2014; Newman et al., 2018; Simms et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2017). We observed that mice treated with JVW-1034 showed a selective reduction of alcohol intake. These results are consistent with our prior work in which we found promising anti-ethanol effects of this $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ modulator using a different species (Scott et al., 2018). The current observations that JVW-1034 reduces voluntary ethanol in a mouse model of heavy drinking imply that the findings are generalizable to multiple species, thus supporting our hypothesis that $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ represents a new and highly promising therapeutic target for AUD. The reduction of ethanol intake is selective, as sucrose solution intake or concurrent food or water intake are not affected, and there is no

reduction of motor activity. These notable findings suggest that JVW-1034 does not produce malaise-like, sedative, or other nonspecific behavior-impairing effects.

Several lines of pharmacological evidence have suggested a possible role for $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ in addiction. For example, (±)-SM 21 and SN79, which are known compounds with high and preferential affinity for $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ relative to the $\sigma_1 R$ subtype, attenuate behavioral as well as toxic effects of cocaine (Kaushal et al., 2011; Lever et al., 2014; Matsumoto and Mack, 2001; Matsumoto et al., 2007; Mesangeau et al., 2008). The highly selective $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ ligand siramesine (Lu-28–179) also reverses the behavioral and molecular effects of cocaine (Kaushal et al., 2011; Klawonn et al., 2017; Matsumoto et al., 2007; Nuwayhid and Werling, 2006).

Even though our study employed systemic injection of JVW-1034, we hypothesize the actions are occurring centrally, and there are several studies that suggest modulation of dopaminergic systems might be involved. For example, within-system neuroadaptations occur in the dopaminergic system as a consequence of chronic alcohol drinking, and these changes may result in a hypodopaminergic state (Koob, 2013). Activation of σ_2 R/TMEM97 has been shown to lead to dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens (Garces-Ramirez et al., 2011), and rats trained to lever-press for cocaine will maintain lever pressing rates when cocaine is substituted with the σ_1 R- and σ_2 R/TMEM97-binding ligand ditolylguanidine (DTG) (Hiranita et al., 2010). An alternative mechanism of action might involve σ_2 R/TMEM97-mediated inhibition of dopamine D₁ signaling, since σ_2 R/TMEM97 activation has been shown to attenuate D₁ agonist-induced increases in cAMP levels via a physical interaction (Aguinaga et al., 2018).

Increases in both mechanical and thermal sensitivity have been shown in alcohol withdrawal (Avegno et al., 2018; Dina et al., 2006; Edwards et al., 2012; Robins et al., 2019; Roltsch Hellard et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2017). Medications currently available for AUD focus on reducing alcohol intake and/or relapse to it, but they rarely target the negative states present during alcohol withdrawal, which include intense pain states (Anton et al., 2006; Garbutt, 2009; Garbutt et al., 1999). Hence, therapeutic strategies that successfully treat not only the excessive drinking but also the heightened pain sensitivity that arises during alcohol withdrawal are of particular value (Egli et al., 2012), whether they act through the same or different mechanisms (*e.g.*, central vs. peripheral or same vs. different brain regions). Interesting follow-up studies could determine whether pretreatment with σ_2 R/TMEM97 modulators are able to counteract other aversive states associated with the absence of alcohol, such as affective signs of withdrawal.

Our finding of the anti-hyperalgesic and anti-allodynic effects of σ_2 R/TMEM97 modulation in alcohol withdrawal is consistent with a previous study in non-alcohol pain models. For example, the σ_2 R/TMEM97 modulators siramesine, DKR-1005, DKR-1051 and UKH-1114 reduce mechanical sensitivity in a spared nerve injury model of neuropathic pain (Sahn et al., 2017). Interestingly, neither DKR-1051 nor UKH-1114 improves behavioral impairments in *C. elegans* that have been withdrawn from chronic exposure to ethanol (Scott et al., 2018). These collective observations suggest that the anti-alcohol behavior induced by JVW-1034 and the anti-pain effects produced by DKR-1051 and UKH-1114 may occur

following the binding of these compounds to $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ in distinct poses, thereby resulting in the activation of different downstream pathways.

The specific site of the analgesic and anti-neuropathic effects of small molecule interactions with $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ may be the spinal cord or the dorsal root ganglion (DRG), where $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ TMEM97 is heavily expressed and where $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ may modulate the N-methyl-Daspartate receptor and protein kinase C epsilon activation (Dina et al., 2000; Roh et al., 2010; Sahn et al., 2017). However, a central mechanism of action cannot be ruled out, considering that central sensitization of ascending nociceptive signaling is evident in chronic pain states, including those produced by chronic alcohol exposure (Apkarian et al., 2013; Latremoliere and Woolf, 2009; Viswanath et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). In particular, $\sigma_2 R/$ TMEM97 is highly expressed in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), one of the cortical areas most frequently linked to the emotional reaction to pain and whose hyperexcitability results in pain-induced aversion (Bouchard and Quirion, 1997; Lieberman and Eisenberger, 2015; Meda et al., 2019; Moisset and Bouhassira, 2007; Price, 2000; Sahn et al., 2017). Thus, JVW-1034 may exert its antinociceptive effects by modulating $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ in the ACC. Interestingly, while the effect of JVW-1034 on mechanical sensitivity was selective for alcohol experienced mice because it normalized the lower pain threshold in alcohol withdrawn mice but did not affect it in controls. JVW-1034 also affected thermal sensitivity in ethanol-naïve mice. This observation suggests that while $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ may be tonically involved in the transmission of thermal pain, it only plays a role in mechanical pain when allodynia develops.

These studies coupled with our previous report (Scott et al., 2018) show that JVW-1034 helps control heavy alcohol drinking and reduces chronic alcohol-induced pain sensitivity. Although little is known about the function of σ_2 R/TMEM97, we can speculate that these effects arise because binding of JVW-1034 to $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ selectively modulates the interaction of $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ with other proteins. Indeed, $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ is known to associate with a number of membrane proteins, including PGRMC1 and the low density lipoprotein receptor (Riad et al., 2018), Nieman-Pick C1 protein (NPC1) (Bartz et al., 2009; Ebrahimi-Fakhari et al., 2016) as well as $\sigma_1 R$ and the D₁ receptor (Aguinaga et al., 2018). In addition, we have shown that structurally similar, yet distinct, compounds known to bind selectively to $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ can have different, sometimes opposing, effects in animal and cell-based models of neurodegenerative and neurological conditions (Yi et al., 2017; Sahn et al., 2017; Scott et al., 2018). Based upon these observations, we can hypothesize that structurally distinct ligands interact differently with the putative binding site of $\sigma_2 R/$ TMEM97, thereby stabilizing one of multiple active conformational states that is in turn specifically recognized by other associated proteins. Variations in the specificity and nature of these protein-protein interactions then lead to defined downstream activities and outcomes. Other mechanisms of action are of course possible and further studies will be needed to elucidate how modulation of $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ with JVW-1034 influences alcoholinduced effects.

One limitation of our study is that it was only performed in male mice; to date, there is no evidence suggesting sex differences with respect to $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ receptor expression or response to its modulators, but future studies will be needed to address this in the context of

alcohol drinking and pain sensitivity. In addition, this study did not measure blood alcohol levels in mice treated with JVW-1034 and, therefore, potential effects on the pharmacokinetics of alcohol cannot be completely ruled out.

5. Conclusions

In summary, the results of the present study provide persuasive evidence that modulation of $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ controls heavy alcohol drinking and blunts the increased hyperalgesia and allodynia that result from excessive alcohol consumption. These findings are highly significant; the $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ -mediated pathway is becoming increasingly associated with the effects of alcohol and small molecule modulators of $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ have, therefore, the potential to be developed into novel drug candidates for the millions of people suffering from AUD and associated pain states.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Marci Iadarola and Yasmine Sami for their technical help. This publication was made possible thanks to grant numbers AA024439 (VS), AA025038 (VS), and AA026051 (PC) from the National Institute on Alcohol and Alcoholism (NIAAA), the Peter Paul Career Development Professorship (PC), the Boston University's Undergraduate Research Opportunities Program (UROP), The Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0652) (SFM), and the Dell Medical School's Texas Health Catalyst Program (SFM). We are also grateful to the National Institute of Mental Health's Psychoactive Drug Screening Program, Contract # HHSN-271-2018-00023-C (NIMH PDSP), which is directed by Bryan L. Roth at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and Jamie Driscoll, project officer at NIMH in Bethesda MD, for determining the binding profile of JVW-1034. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 2020 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH). www.samhsa.gov, pp. Table 5.4A— Alcohol Use Disorder in Past Year among Persons Aged 12 or Older, by Age Group and Demographic Characteristics: Numbers in Thousands, 2017 and 2018.
- Abate C, Niso M, Berardi F, 2018 Sigma-2 receptor: past, present and perspectives on multiple therapeutic exploitations. Future Med Chem 10, 1997–2018. [PubMed: 29966437]
- Aguinaga D, Medrano M, Vega-Quiroga I, Gysling K, Canela EI, Navarro G, Franco R, 2018 Cocaine Effects on Dopaminergic Transmission Depend on a Balance between Sigma-1 and Sigma-2 Receptor Expression. Front Mol Neurosci 11, 17. [PubMed: 29483862]
- Alon A, Schmidt HR, Wood MD, Sahn JJ, Martin SF, Kruse AC, 2017 Identification of the gene that codes for the sigma2 receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114, 7160–7165. [PubMed: 28559337]
- Angst MS, Clark JD, 2006 Opioid-induced hyperalgesia: a qualitative systematic review. Anesthesiology 104, 570–587. [PubMed: 16508405]
- Anton RF, O'Malley SS, Ciraulo DA, Cisler RA, Couper D, Donovan DM, Gastfriend DR, Hosking JD, Johnson BA, LoCastro JS, Longabaugh R, Mason BJ, Mattson ME, Miller WR, Pettinati HM, Randall CL, Swift R, Weiss RD, Williams LD, Zweben A, 2006 Combined pharmacotherapies and behavioral interventions for alcohol dependence: the COMBINE study: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 295, 2003–2017. [PubMed: 16670409]
- Apkarian AV, Neugebauer V, Koob G, Edwards S, Levine JD, Ferrari L, Egli M, Regunathan S, 2013 Neural mechanisms of pain and alcohol dependence. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 112, 34–41. [PubMed: 24095683]

- Arout CA, Perrino AC Jr., Ralevski E, Acampora G, Koretski J, Limoncelli D, Newcomb J, Petrakis IL, 2016 Effect of Intravenous Ethanol on Capsaicin-Induced Hyperalgesia in Human Subjects. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 40, 1425–1429. [PubMed: 27218476]
- Avegno EM, Lobell TD, Itoga CA, Baynes BB, Whitaker AM, Weera MM, Edwards S, Middleton JW, Gilpin NW, 2018 Central Amygdala Circuits Mediate Hyperalgesia in Alcohol-Dependent Rats. J Neurosci 38, 7761–7773. [PubMed: 30054393]
- Baiamonte BA, Valenza M, Roltsch EA, Whitaker AM, Baynes BB, Sabino V, Gilpin NW, 2014 Nicotine dependence produces hyperalgesia: role of corticotrophin-releasing factor-1 receptors (CRF1Rs) in the central amygdala (CeA). Neuropharmacology 77, 217–223. [PubMed: 24107576]
- Bartz F, Kern L, Erz D, Zhu M, Gilbert D, Meinhof T, Wirkner U, Erfle H, Muckenthaler M, Pepperkok R, Runz H, 2009 Identification of cholesterol-regulating genes by targeted RNAi screening. Cell Metab 10, 63–75. [PubMed: 19583955]
- Becker JB, Koob GF, 2016 Sex Differences in Animal Models: Focus on Addiction. Pharmacol Rev 68, 242–263. [PubMed: 26772794]
- Bloodgood DW, Hardaway JA, Stanhope CM, Pati D, Pina MM, Neira S, Desai S, Boyt KM, Palmiter RD, Kash TL, 2020 Kappa opioid receptor and dynorphin signaling in the central amygdala regulates alcohol intake. Mol Psychiatry.
- Bouchard P, Quirion R, 1997 [3H]1,3-di(2-tolyl)guanidine and [3H](+)pentazocine binding sites in the rat brain: autoradiographic visualization of the putative sigma1 and sigma2 receptor subtypes. Neuroscience 76, 467–477. [PubMed: 9015331]
- Brennan PL, Schutte KK, Moos RH, 2005 Pain and use of alcohol to manage pain: prevalence and 3year outcomes among older problem and non-problem drinkers. Addiction 100, 777–786. [PubMed: 15918808]
- Btesh J, Fischer MJM, Stott K, McNaughton PA, 2013 Mapping the binding site of TRPV1 on AKAP79: implications for inflammatory hyperalgesia. J Neurosci 33, 9184–9193. [PubMed: 23699529]
- Carnicella S, Kharazia V, Jeanblanc J, Janak PH, Ron D, 2008 GDNF is a fast-acting potent inhibitor of alcohol consumption and relapse. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105, 8114–8119. [PubMed: 18541917]
- Carnicella S, Ron D, Barak S, 2014 Intermittent ethanol access schedule in rats as a preclinical model of alcohol abuse. Alcohol 48, 243–252. [PubMed: 24721195]
- Cheah M, Andrews MR, Chew DJ, Moloney EB, Verhaagen J, Fassler R, Fawcett JW, 2016 Expression of an Activated Integrin Promotes Long-Distance Sensory Axon Regeneration in the Spinal Cord. J Neurosci 36, 7283–7297. [PubMed: 27383601]
- Clapper JR, Moreno-Sanz G, Russo R, Guijarro A, Vacondio F, Duranti A, Tontini A, Sanchini S, Sciolino NR, Spradley JM, Hohmann AG, Calignano A, Mor M, Tarzia G, Piomelli D, 2010 Anandamide suppresses pain initiation through a peripheral endocannabinoid mechanism. Nat Neurosci 13, 1265–1270. [PubMed: 20852626]
- Clinical-Trial-NCT02284919, 2019 18F ISO-1 PET/CT in Breast Cancer. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/ show/NCT02284919.
- Clinical-Trial-NCT02907567, 2018 Clinical Trial of CT1812 in Mild to Moderate Alzheimer's Disease. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT02907567.
- Clinical-Trial-NCT03397134, 2020 Study to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety of Roluperidone (MIN-101) in Adult Patients With Negative Symptoms of Schizophrenia. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT03397134.
- Cottone P, Wang X, Park JW, Valenza M, Blasio A, Kwak J, Iyer MR, Steardo L, Rice KC, Hayashi T, Sabino V, 2012 Antagonism of sigma-1 receptors blocks compulsive-like eating. Neuropsychopharmacology 37, 2593–2604. [PubMed: 22713906]
- Dina OA, Barletta J, Chen X, Mutero A, Martin A, Messing RO, Levine JD, 2000 Key role for the epsilon isoform of protein kinase C in painful alcoholic neuropathy in the rat. J Neurosci 20, 8614–8619. [PubMed: 11069970]
- Dina OA, Messing RO, Levine JD, 2006 Ethanol withdrawal induces hyperalgesia mediated by PKCepsilon. Eur J Neurosci 24, 197–204. [PubMed: 16800864]

- Dore R, Iemolo A, Smith KL, Wang X, Cottone P, Sabino V, 2013 CRF mediates the anxiogenic and anti-rewarding, but not the anorectic effects of PACAP. Neuropsychopharmacology 38, 2160–2169. [PubMed: 23657440]
- Ebrahimi-Fakhari D, Wahlster L, Bartz F, Werenbeck-Ueding J, Praggastis M, Zhang J, Joggerst-Thomalla B, Theiss S, Grimm D, Ory DS, Runz H, 2016 Reduction of TMEM97 increases NPC1 protein levels and restores cholesterol trafficking in Niemann-pick type C1 disease cells. Hum Mol Genet 25, 3588–3599. [PubMed: 27378690]
- Edwards S, Vendruscolo LF, Schlosburg JE, Misra KK, Wee S, Park PE, Schulteis G, Koob GF, 2012 Development of mechanical hypersensitivity in rats during heroin and ethanol dependence: alleviation by CRF(1) receptor antagonism. Neuropharmacology 62, 1142–1151. [PubMed: 22119954]
- Egli M, Koob GF, Edwards S, 2012 Alcohol dependence as a chronic pain disorder. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 36, 2179–2192. [PubMed: 22975446]
- Garbutt JC, 2009 The state of pharmacotherapy for the treatment of alcohol dependence. J Subst Abuse Treat 36, S15–23; quiz S24–15. [PubMed: 19062347]
- Garbutt JC, West SL, Carey TS, Lohr KN, Crews FT, 1999 Pharmacological treatment of alcohol dependence: a review of the evidence. JAMA 281, 1318–1325. [PubMed: 10208148]
- Garces-Ramirez L, Green JL, Hiranita T, Kopajtic TA, Mereu M, Thomas AM, Mesangeau C, Narayanan S, McCurdy CR, Katz JL, Tanda G, 2011 Sigma receptor agonists: receptor binding and effects on mesolimbic dopamine neurotransmission assessed by microdialysis. Biol Psychiatry 69, 208–217. [PubMed: 20950794]
- Gatch MB, 2009 Ethanol withdrawal and hyperalgesia. Curr Drug Abuse Rev 2, 41–50. [PubMed: 19630736]
- Hayashi T, Su TP, 2007 Sigma-1 receptor chaperones at the ER-mitochondrion interface regulate Ca(2+) signaling and cell survival. Cell 131, 596–610. [PubMed: 17981125]
- Hiranita T, Soto PL, Tanda G, Katz JL, 2010 Reinforcing effects of sigma-receptor agonists in rats trained to self-administer cocaine. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 332, 515–524. [PubMed: 19892920]
- Huang YS, Lu HL, Zhang LJ, Wu Z, 2014 Sigma-2 receptor ligands and their perspectives in cancer diagnosis and therapy. Med Res Rev 34, 532–566. [PubMed: 23922215]
- Hwa LS, Chu A, Levinson SA, Kayyali TM, DeBold JF, Miczek KA, 2011 Persistent escalation of alcohol drinking in C57BL/6J mice with intermittent access to 20% ethanol. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 35, 1938–1947. [PubMed: 21631540]
- Iemolo A, Ferragud A, Cottone P, Sabino V, 2015 Pituitary Adenylate Cyclase-Activating Peptide in the Central Amygdala Causes Anorexia and Body Weight Loss via the Melanocortin and the TrkB Systems. Neuropsychopharmacology 40, 1846–1855. [PubMed: 25649277]
- Itoga CA, Roltsch Hellard EA, Whitaker AM, Lu YL, Schreiber AL, Baynes BB, Baiamonte BA, Richardson HN, Gilpin NW, 2016 Traumatic Stress Promotes Hyperalgesia via Corticotropin-Releasing Factor-1 Receptor (CRFR1) Signaling in Central Amygdala. Neuropsychopharmacology 41, 2463–2472. [PubMed: 27013358]
- Izzo NJ, Staniszewski A, To L, Fa M, Teich AF, Saeed F, Wostein H, Walko T 3rd, Vaswani A, Wardius M, Syed Z, Ravenscroft J, Mozzoni K, Silky C, Rehak C, Yurko R, Finn P, Look G, Rishton G, Safferstein H, Miller M, Johanson C, Stopa E, Windisch M, Hutter-Paier B, Shamloo M, Arancio O, LeVine H 3rd, Catalano SM, 2014a Alzheimer's therapeutics targeting amyloid beta 1–42 oligomers I: Abeta 42 oligomer binding to specific neuronal receptors is displaced by drug candidates that improve cognitive deficits. PLoS One 9, e111898. [PubMed: 25390368]
- Izzo NJ, Xu J, Zeng C, Kirk MJ, Mozzoni K, Silky C, Rehak C, Yurko R, Look G, Rishton G, Safferstein H, Cruchaga C, Goate A, Cahill MA, Arancio O, Mach RH, Craven R, Head E, LeVine H 3rd, Spires-Jones TL, Catalano SM, 2014b Alzheimer's therapeutics targeting amyloid beta 1– 42 oligomers II: Sigma-2/PGRMC1 receptors mediate Abeta 42 oligomer binding and synaptotoxicity. PLoS One 9, e111899. [PubMed: 25390692]
- Kang S, Li J, Zuo W, Chen P, Gregor D, Fu R, Han X, Bekker A, Ye JH, 2019 Downregulation of Mchannels in lateral habenula mediates hyperalgesia during alcohol withdrawal in rats. Sci Rep 9, 2714. [PubMed: 30804373]

- Kaushal N, Robson MJ, Vinnakota H, Narayanan S, Avery BA, McCurdy CR, Matsumoto RR, 2011 Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of 6-acetyl-3-(4-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1yl)butyl)benzo[d]oxazol-2(3H)-one (SN79), a cocaine antagonist, in rodents. AAPS J 13, 336– 346. [PubMed: 21494909]
- Klawonn AM, Nilsson A, Radberg CF, Lindstrom SH, Ericson M, Granseth B, Engblom D, Fritz M, 2017 The Sigma-2 Receptor Selective Agonist Siramesine (Lu 28–179) Decreases Cocaine-Reinforced Pavlovian Learning and Alters Glutamatergic and Dopaminergic Input to the Striatum. Front Pharmacol 8, 714. [PubMed: 29066971]
- Koike H, Mori K, Misu K, Hattori N, Ito H, Hirayama M, Sobue G, 2001 Painful alcoholic polyneuropathy with predominant small-fiber loss and normal thiamine status. Neurology 56, 1727–1732. [PubMed: 11425941]
- Koob GF, 2008 A role for brain stress systems in addiction. Neuron 59, 11-34. [PubMed: 18614026]
- Koob GF, 2013 Addiction is a Reward Deficit and Stress Surfeit Disorder. Front Psychiatry 4, 72. [PubMed: 23914176]
- Latremoliere A, Woolf CJ, 2009 Central sensitization: a generator of pain hypersensitivity by central neural plasticity. J Pain 10, 895–926. [PubMed: 19712899]
- Lever JR, Miller DK, Green CL, Fergason-Cantrell EA, Watkinson LD, Carmack TL, Fan KH, Lever SZ, 2014 A selective sigma-2 receptor ligand antagonizes cocaine-induced hyperlocomotion in mice. Synapse 68, 73–84. [PubMed: 24123353]
- Li J, Fu C, Liu H, Fu R, Zuo W, Kang S, Chen P, Gregor D, Paulose R, Bekker A, Ye JH, 2017 Electroacupuncture Attenuates Hyperalgesia in Rats Withdrawn from Chronic Alcohol Drinking via Habenular Mu Opioid Receptors. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 41, 637–643. [PubMed: 28166603]
- Lieberman MD, Eisenberger NI, 2015 The dorsal anterior cingulate cortex is selective for pain: Results from large-scale reverse inference. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112, 15250–15255. [PubMed: 26582792]
- Matsumoto RR, 2009 Targeting sigma receptors: novel medication development for drug abuse and addiction. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol 2, 351–358. [PubMed: 22112179]
- Matsumoto RR, Mack AL, 2001 (+/-)-SM 21 attenuates the convulsive and locomotor stimulatory effects of cocaine in mice. Eur J Pharmacol 417, R1–2. [PubMed: 11301071]
- Matsumoto RR, Pouw B, Mack AL, Daniels A, Coop A, 2007 Effects of UMB24 and (+/–)-SM 21, putative sigma2-preferring antagonists, on behavioral toxic and stimulant effects of cocaine in mice. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 86, 86–91. [PubMed: 17241657]
- Meda KS, Patel T, Braz JM, Malik R, Turner ML, Seifikar H, Basbaum AI, Sohal VS, 2019 Microcircuit Mechanisms through which Mediodorsal Thalamic Input to Anterior Cingulate Cortex Exacerbates Pain-Related Aversion. Neuron 102, 944–959 e943. [PubMed: 31030955]
- Mesangeau C, Narayanan S, Green AM, Shaikh J, Kaushal N, Viard E, Xu YT, Fishback JA, Poupaert JH, Matsumoto RR, McCurdy CR, 2008 Conversion of a highly selective sigma-1 receptor-ligand to sigma-2 receptor preferring ligands with anticocaine activity. J Med Chem 51, 1482–1486. [PubMed: 18278854]
- Moisset X, Bouhassira D, 2007 Brain imaging of neuropathic pain. Neuroimage 37 Suppl 1, S80–88. [PubMed: 17512757]
- Moore CF, Leonard MZ, Micovic NM, Miczek KA, Sabino V, Cottone P, 2020 Reward sensitivity deficits in a rat model of compulsive eating behavior. Neuropsychopharmacology 45, 589–596. [PubMed: 31622973]
- Navarro M, Luhn KL, Kampov-Polevoy AB, Garbutt JC, Thiele TE, 2019 Bupropion, Alone and in Combination with Naltrexone, Blunts Binge-Like Ethanol Drinking and Intake Following Chronic Intermittent Access to Ethanol in Male C57BL/6J Mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 43, 783–790. [PubMed: 30817015]
- Newman EL, Albrechet-Souza L, Andrew PM, Auld JG, Burk KC, Hwa LS, Zhang EY, DeBold JF, Miczek KA, 2018 Persistent escalation of alcohol consumption by mice exposed to brief episodes of social defeat stress: suppression by CRF-R1 antagonism. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 235, 1807–1820. [PubMed: 29696309]
- Nuwayhid SJ, Werling LL, 2006 Sigma2 (sigma2) receptors as a target for cocaine action in the rat striatum. Eur J Pharmacol 535, 98–103. [PubMed: 16480713]

- Oyer HM, Sanders CM, Kim FJ, 2019 Small-Molecule Modulators of Sigma1 and Sigma2/TMEM97 in the Context of Cancer: Foundational Concepts and Emerging Themes. Front Pharmacol 10, 1141. [PubMed: 31695608]
- Price DD, 2000 Psychological and neural mechanisms of the affective dimension of pain. Science 288, 1769–1772. [PubMed: 10846154]
- Quadir SG, Cottone P, Sabino V, 2019 Role of Sigma Receptors in Alcohol Addiction. Front Pharmacol 10, 687. [PubMed: 31258483]
- Quadir SG, Rohl CD, Zeabi A, Moore CF, Cottone P, Sabino V, 2020 Effect of Different Standard Rodent Diets on Ethanol Intake and Associated Allodynia in Male Mice. Alcohol.
- Renteria R, Buske TR, Morrisett RA, 2018 Long-term subregion-specific encoding of enhanced ethanol intake by D1DR medium spiny neurons of the nucleus accumbens. Addict Biol 23, 689–698. [PubMed: 28656742]
- Riad A, Zeng C, Weng CC, Winters H, Xu K, Makvandi M, Metz T, Carlin S, Mach RH, 2018 Sigma-2 Receptor/TMEM97 and PGRMC-1 Increase the Rate of Internalization of LDL by LDL Receptor through the Formation of a Ternary Complex. Sci Rep 8, 16845. [PubMed: 30443021]
- Riley JL 3rd, King C, 2009 Self-report of alcohol use for pain in a multi-ethnic community sample. J Pain 10, 944–952. [PubMed: 19712901]
- Robins MT, Heinricher MM, Ryabinin AE, 2019 From Pleasure to Pain, and Back Again: The Intricate Relationship Between Alcohol and Nociception. Alcohol Alcohol 54, 625–638. [PubMed: 31509854]
- Roh DH, Yoon SY, Seo HS, Kang SY, Moon JY, Song S, Beitz AJ, Lee JH, 2010 Sigma-1 receptorinduced increase in murine spinal NR1 phosphorylation is mediated by the PKCalpha and epsilon, but not the PKCzeta, isoforms. Neurosci Lett 477, 95–99. [PubMed: 20417251]
- Roltsch Hellard EA, Impastato RA, Gilpin NW, 2017 Intra-cerebral and intranasal melanocortin-4 receptor antagonist blocks withdrawal hyperalgesia in alcohol-dependent rats. Addict Biol 22, 692–701. [PubMed: 26804193]
- Sabino V, Cottone P, Blasio A, Iyer MR, Steardo L, Rice KC, Conti B, Koob GF, Zorrilla EP, 2011 Activation of sigma-receptors induces binge-like drinking in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. Neuropsychopharmacology 36, 1207–1218. [PubMed: 21346735]
- Sabino V, Cottone P, Zhao Y, Iyer MR, Steardo L Jr., Steardo L, Rice KC, Conti B, Koob GF, Zorrilla EP, 2009a The sigma-receptor antagonist BD-1063 decreases ethanol intake and reinforcement in animal models of excessive drinking. Neuropsychopharmacology 34, 1482–1493. [PubMed: 18946467]
- Sabino V, Cottone P, Zhao Y, Steardo L, Koob GF, Zorrilla EP, 2009b Selective reduction of alcohol drinking in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats by a sigma-1 receptor antagonist. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 205, 327–335. [PubMed: 19440699]
- Sabino V, Kwak J, Rice KC, Cottone P, 2013 Pharmacological characterization of the 20% alcohol intermittent access model in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats: a model of binge-like drinking. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 37, 635–643. [PubMed: 23126554]
- Sacks JJ, Gonzales KR, Bouchery EE, Tomedi LE, Brewer RD, 2015 2010 National and State Costs of Excessive Alcohol Consumption. Am J Prev Med 49, e73–e79. [PubMed: 26477807]
- Sahn JJ, Mejia GL, Ray PR, Martin SF, Price TJ, 2017 Sigma 2 Receptor/Tmem97 Agonists Produce Long Lasting Antineuropathic Pain Effects in Mice. ACS Chem Neurosci 8, 1801–1811. [PubMed: 28644012]
- Saika F, Kiguchi N, Kobayashi Y, Kishioka S, 2015 Peripheral alpha4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor signalling attenuates tactile allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia after nerve injury in mice. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 213, 462–471. [PubMed: 25491757]
- Schmidt HR, Kruse AC, 2019 The Molecular Function of sigma Receptors: Past, Present, and Future. Trends Pharmacol Sci 40, 636–654. [PubMed: 31387763]
- Scott LL, Sahn JJ, Ferragud A, Yen RC, Satarasinghe PN, Wood MD, Hodges TR, Shi T, Prakash BA, Friese KM, Shen A, Sabino V, Pierce JT, Martin SF, 2018 Small molecule modulators of sigma2R/ Tmem97 reduce alcohol withdrawal-induced behaviors. Neuropsychopharmacology 43, 1867– 1875. [PubMed: 29728649]

- Simms JA, Steensland P, Medina B, Abernathy KE, Chandler LJ, Wise R, Bartlett SE, 2008 Intermittent access to 20% ethanol induces high ethanol consumption in Long-Evans and Wistar rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 32, 1816–1823. [PubMed: 18671810]
- Smith ML, Walcott AT, Heinricher MM, Ryabinin AE, 2017 Anterior Cingulate Cortex Contributes to Alcohol Withdrawal- Induced and Socially Transferred Hyperalgesia. eNeuro 4.
- Smith SB, 2017 Introduction to Sigma Receptors: Their Role in Disease and as Therapeutic Targets. Adv Exp Med Biol 964, 1–4. [PubMed: 28315260]
- Tsiklauri N, Nozadze I, Gurtskaia G, Tsagareli MG, 2017 Antinociceptive tolerance to NSAIDs in the rat formalin test is mediated by the opioid mechanism. Pharmacol Rep 69, 168–175. [PubMed: 27923161]
- Vazquez-Rosa E, Watson MR, Sahn JJ, Hodges TR, Schroeder RE, Cintron-Perez CJ, Shin MK, Yin TC, Emery JL, Martin SF, Liebl DJ, Pieper AA, 2019 Neuroprotective Efficacy of a Sigma 2 Receptor/TMEM97 Modulator (DKR-1677) after Traumatic Brain Injury. ACS Chem Neurosci 10, 1595–1602. [PubMed: 30421909]
- Viswanath O, Urits I, Burns J, Charipova K, Gress K, McNally A, Urman RD, Welschmeyer A, Berger AA, Kassem H, Sanchez MG, Kaye AD, Eubanks TN, Cornett EM, Ngo AL, 2020 Central Neuropathic Mechanisms in Pain Signaling Pathways: Current Evidence and Recommendations. Adv Ther.
- White AM, Castle IP, Hingson RW, Powell PA, 2020 Using Death Certificates to Explore Changes in Alcohol-Related Mortality in the United States, 1999 to 2017. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 44, 178–187. [PubMed: 31912524]
- Wilcox CB, Feddes GO, Willett-Brozick JE, Hsu LC, DeLoia JA, Baysal BE, 2007 Coordinate upregulation of TMEM97 and cholesterol biosynthesis genes in normal ovarian surface epithelial cells treated with progesterone: implications for pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. BMC Cancer 7, 223. [PubMed: 18070364]
- Wise RA, 1973 Voluntary ethanol intake in rats following exposure to ethanol on various schedules. Psychopharmacologia 29, 203–210. [PubMed: 4702273]
- Xu X, Tao X, Huang P, Lin F, Liu Q, Xu L, Xu J, Huang Y, 2020 N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor subunit 2B on keratinocyte mediates peripheral and central sensitization in chronic post-ischemic pain in male rats. Brain Behav Immun.
- Yi B, Sahn JJ, Ardestani PM, Evans AK, Scott LL, Chan JZ, Iyer S, Crisp A, Zuniga G, Pierce JT, Martin SF, Shamloo M, 2017 Small molecule modulator of sigma 2 receptor is neuroprotective and reduces cognitive deficits and neuroinflammation in experimental models of Alzheimer's disease. J Neurochem 140, 561–575. [PubMed: 27926996]
- Zeng C, Weng CC, Schneider ME Jr., Puentes L, Riad A, Xu K, Makvandi M, Jin L, Hawkins WG, Mach RH, 2019 TMEM97 and PGRMC1 do not mediate sigma-2 ligand-induced cell death. Cell Death Discov 5, 58. [PubMed: 30701090]
- Zhou Y, Schwartz BI, Giza J, Gross SS, Lee FS, Kreek MJ, 2017 Blockade of alcohol escalation and "relapse" drinking by pharmacological FAAH inhibition in male and female C57BL/6J mice. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 234, 2955–2970. [PubMed: 28730283]

Highlights

- We studied the effects of a $\sigma_2 R$ /TMEM97 ligand in a mouse model of heavy alcohol drinking
- JVW-1034 decreases both alcohol drinking and preference but not water or food intake
- JVW-1034 ameliorates both allodynia and hyperalgesia in ethanol experienced mice
- $\sigma_2 R/TMEM97$ plays an important role in alcohol addiction and associated pain states

Quadir et al.



Figure 1:

Experimental timeline for each cohort of animals. Cohort 1 N=18 and 2 (N=23) (shown in (A) and (B), respectively) were used for the alcohol studies, while Cohort 3 (N=7) (shown in (C)) was used for the sucrose study.

Quadir et al.



Figure 2:

JVW-1034 (A) and its effects on (B) ethanol (EtOH) intake, (C) water intake, (D) ethanol preference, and (E) food intake. N=9. Data represent Mean \pm SEM. * *p*< 0.05, ** *p*< 0.01 vs. Veh (Newman Keul's test).

Quadir et al.



Figure 3:

Effect of JVW-1034 on (A) sucrose intake and (B) water intake. N=7. Data represent Mean \pm SEM. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 vs. Veh (Newman Keul's test).

Quadir et al.

Page 20



Figure 4:

Effect of JVW-1034 on (A) mechanical sensitivity and (B) thermal sensitivity. N=6/group. Data represent Mean \pm SEM. ** p< 0.01, *** p< 0.001 vs. Veh; ### p< 0.001 vs. Ctrl (Newman Keul's test).

Quadir et al.

Page 21



Figure 5:

Effect of JVW-1034 on locomotor activity (A) across time and (B) total. N=9 per group. Data represent Mean \pm SEM.