**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Comparative accounts of probiotic properties of spore and vegetative cells of** *Bacillus clausii* **UBBC07 and in silico analysis of probiotic function**

**J. J. Ahire[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1142-8630) · M. S. Kashikar<sup>1</sup>  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6139-5777) R. S. Madempudi[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1819-722X)**

Received: 19 December 2020 / Accepted: 27 January 2021 / Published online: 6 February 2021 © King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology 2021

#### **Abstract**

In this study, the spores and vegetative cells of *B. clausii* were independently evaluated for probiotic properties such as acid, gastric juice, bile, and intestinal fuid tolerance, adhesion to solvents/mucin and zeta potential. In addition, in silico identifcation of genome features contributing to probiotic properties were investigated. The results showed that spores were highly stable at gastric acidity and capable to germinate and multiply under intestinal conditions as compared to vegetative cells. The higher hydrophobicity of spores, compared to vegetative cells, is advantageous for colonization and persistence in the intestine. Furthermore, the presence of  $F_0F_1$  ATP synthase, amino acid decarboxylase, bile acid symporter, mucin/collagen/ fbronectin-binding proteins, heat/cold shock proteins, and universal stress proteins suggests that the strain is able to survive stress. In conclusion, the results demonstrate that *B. clausii* UBBC07 spores show signifcantly higher survival and adhesion in in vitro gastrointestinal conditions as compared to vegetative cells. Besides, this study provides a comparative analysis of the in vitro probiotic properties of spores and vegetative cells of *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07.

**Keywords** *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 · Spores · Vegetative cells · Probiotics properties · Zeta potential

# **Introduction**

Probiotics are defned as live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health beneft on the host (Hill et al. [2014](#page-7-0)). To date, *Lactobacillus* and *Bifdobacteria* are the most investigated probiotic cultures as compared to *Bacillus* (Bhushan et al. [2019\)](#page-7-1). As per the recommendations of health institutions of Canada and Italy, the use of  $1 \times 10^9$  colony forming units (cfu) of these bacteria were permitted per serving for nonstrain-specifc claims (Hill et al. [2014](#page-7-0)). There are several probiotic products on the market, but only a few fulfll criteria of labelled concentration claims at the end of the shelf-life (Vecchione et al. [2018](#page-8-0)). Besides this, the ability of bacteria to tolerate gastric and intestinal conditions is imperative for the delivery of health benefts to the host (Bhushan et al. [2020\)](#page-7-2). In

 $\boxtimes$  J. J. Ahire jayesh@uniquebiotech.com; jjahire@gmail.com comparison to vegetative cells, spores are highly stable at various industrial, environmental, and gastro-intestinal conditions, thus ensuring the delivery of recommended probiotic dose to the gut (Patel et al. [2009](#page-8-1); Ahire et al. [2020c\)](#page-7-3).

Comparative probiotic properties of spores and vegetative cells of spore-formers have rarely been investigated (Bernardeau et al. [2017](#page-7-4)). Cenci et al. [\(2006\)](#page-7-5) showed the ability of *Bacillus clausii* spores to germinate during gastrointestinal transit and the possibility for vegetative cells to survive in the intestinal tract. Patel et al. ([2009](#page-8-1)) evaluated probiotic properties of a mixture of spores and vegetative cells of *B. megaterium.* Recently, Sharma et al. ([2020](#page-8-2)) characterized the probiotic properties of the ambiguous biotype i.e. spores or vegetative cells of *Bacillus* spp., isolated from fermented food. Moreover, probiotic properties of spore-formers were either reported for spores or vegetative cells or mixture.

*Bacillus clausii* is a Gram-positive, aerobic, sporeforming, motile, rod-shaped, facultative alkaliphilic soil bacterium (Cenci et al. [2006\)](#page-7-5). It is one of the human probiotics, which is able to survive gastrointestinal transit and colonize the gut even in the presence of antibiotics (Duc et al. [2004;](#page-7-6) Ianiro et al. [2018](#page-7-7)). Preclinical and clinical studies suggest that *B. clausii* probiotic is efective in



Centre for Research and Development, Unique Biotech Ltd, Plot No. 2, Phase-II, Alexandria Knowledge Park, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

the treatment of diarrhea, recurrent respiratory infections and acute gastroenteritis (Marseglia et al. [2007](#page-7-8); Ianiro et al. [2018;](#page-7-7) Paparo et al. [2020](#page-7-9)). Currently tested strain, *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 (MTCC 5472) is a non-toxic, spore-forming probiotic bacterium available on the Indian market since 2005 (Upadrasta et al. [2016](#page-8-3); Lakshmi et al. [2017\)](#page-7-10). A daily dose of 4 billion cfu of UBBC07 spores is recommended to alleviate diarrhea in children and adults (Sudha et al. [2013,](#page-8-4) [2019\)](#page-8-5). Recently, *B. clausii* UBBC07 has been reported for the production of lantibiotic clausin and reduction of uremic toxins in acetaminophen-induced uremic rats (Patel et al. [2019;](#page-8-6) Ahire et al. [2020b\)](#page-7-11). In this study, for the frst time, we describe the comparative probiotic properties of spores and vegetative cells of *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 and in silico identifcation of genome features contributing to probiotic properties.

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Preparation of vegetative cells and spores**

*Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 (MTCC 5472) was obtained from the Unique Biotech culture collection, Hyderabad, India. The strain was cultivated aerobically in BHI broth (HiMedia, India) at 37 °C for 24 h and purity confrmed by plating on BHI agar. A single colony was inoculated in 10 ml BHI broth and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with shaking (180 rpm). Vegetative cells were harvested by centrifugation at  $11,000 \times g$  for 10 min at 4 °C (Sorvall Legend XTR, Thermo Scientifc, USA) and washed twice with phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH 7.3). The cell pellet obtained was resuspended in PBS and investigated for probiotic properties. Simultaneously, the strain was cultivated aerobically in BHI broth at 37 °C for 96 h to sporulate. Spores were harvested by centrifugation, washed twice with PBS, and heat-treated at 80 °C for 20 min to kill vegetative cells. The resultant spore suspension was evaluated for probiotic properties.

## **Survival of spores and vegetative cells under in vitro GIT conditions**

#### **Acid tolerance**

The 100 µl of *B. clausii* UBBC07 vegetative cells and spore suspension was inoculated separately in 900 µl PBS pH (1.0, 2.0 and 3.0) and incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 0, 1, 2 and 3 h (Ahire [2012\)](#page-7-12). Survivability was determined by plating on BHI agar.



#### **Synthetic gastric juice tolerance**

Spores or vegetative cells of UBBC07 were diluted 1:10 in flter sterilized (0.2 µm cellulose acetate; Sartorius, Germany) synthetic gastric juice [g  $l^{-1}$ : pepsin ( $\geq$  3000 NFU mg−1), 0.0133; lysozyme (≥40,000 U mg−1), 0.1; bile, 0.05; proteose peptone, 8.3; glucose, 3.5; KCl, 0.37; NaCl, 2.05; CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.11;  $KH_2PO_4$ , 0.6; pH 2.5] and incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 3 h (Pedersen et al. [2004](#page-8-7)). Survival was determined at 0, 30 and 180 min time intervals by plating appropriate dilutions on BHI agar plates.

#### **Bile salt tolerance**

The UBBC07 suspension (vegetative cells or spores) was inoculated 1:10 in BHI broth supplemented with 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0% (w/v) bile (HiMedia, India). The tubes were incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 24 h. Tolerance was evaluated by determining optical density at 600 nm (Ahire [2012](#page-7-12)).

#### **Intestinal fuid tolerance**

The UBBC07 suspension (vegetative cells or spores) was diluted 1:10 in filter sterilized intestinal fluid  $[1 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}]$ pancreatin (amylase 100 U mg−1; lipase 8 U mg−1; protease 100 U mg−1: Sisco Research Laboratory, India) prepared in  $0.85\%$  (w/v) NaCl supplemented with  $0.3\%$  bile (w/v); pH 8.0], and incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 6 h. Survivability was determined at 0 and 6 h by plating on BHI agar.

#### **Microbial adhesion to solvents**

The cell pellet or spores obtained from *B. clausii* UBBC07 were washed twice with PBS (pH7.3) and dissolved in 50 ml 0.1 mol l<sup>-1</sup> KNO<sub>3</sub> (pH 6.2). Absorbance of suspensions was measured at 600 as  $A_0$  using a UV–visible spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientifc, USA). To every 3 ml of this suspension, 1 ml solvent (xylene, chloroform, and ethyl acetate) was added and left standing for 10 min at 37 °C. Thereafter, the two phases were mixed by vortexing for 2 min and incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 30 min. The aqueous phase was removed and the absorbance (600 nm) measured as *A*<sup>1</sup> (Ahire et al. [2013](#page-7-13)). The percentage of microbial adhesion was calculated as  $(A_0 - A_1/A_0) \times 100$ .

#### **Adhesion to porcine mucin**

The 6-well tissue culture plates (Thermo Scientifc, Denmark) were coated at 4  $\degree$ C for 24 h with 100 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> of porcine stomach mucin (Sigma Aldrich, USA) dissolved in 0.05 mol  $1^{-1}$  Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (pH 9.7). After incubation, the coating solution was discarded and each well was treated with 2 ml

PBS containing  $1\%$  (w/v) Tween 20 for 1 h. Finally, each well was washed with PBS containing 0.05% (w/v) Tween 20 and inoculated with 2 ml vegetative cells and or spore solution  $(0.5 \text{ OD})$  prepared in PBS  $(0.05\%$  (w/v) Tween 20; pH 7.3) buffer. The plates were incubated overnight at 4 °C (Pedersen et al. [2004](#page-8-7)). After incubation, wells were washed with PBS containing 0.05% (w/v) Tween 20 and visualized using an inverted microscope (CKX53, Olympus, Japan). Adhesion was quantitatively determined by staining the wells with  $0.1\%$  (w/v) crystal violet (Ahire et al. [2014](#page-7-14)). Experiments were performed in triplicate.

## **Determination of zeta potential**

The zeta potential of *B. clausii* UBBC07 (vegetative cells or spores) prepared in PBS (pH 7.3) was measured using the Zetasizer Nano-ZS (Malvern, UK). The DTS1070 capillary cell was used as per the procedure described by Ahire et al. [\(2020a](#page-7-15)).

## **In silico identifcation of genome features contributing to probiotic properties**

*Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 whole genome (GenBank accession no. LATY00000000) was investigated for the presence of genes or specifc domains involved in acid tolerance, bile salt tolerance, adhesion to gut mucosa and environmental stress resistance as described by Khatri et al. ([2019](#page-7-16)). The RAST (Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology; Brettin et al. [2015](#page-7-17)) and SEED (Overbeek et al. [2014\)](#page-7-18) viewer comparative blast search tool was used along with NCBI standard protein BLAST.

## **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (USA). The statistical diferences among means were determined using Tukey's multiple comparison test and *t*-test. Data were presented as the mean and standard deviation. The *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

# **Results**

## **Acid tolerance**

The exposure of spores (~7.48 log <sub>10</sub> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>) to pH 1–3 for 3 h did not show any signifcant (*p*>0.05) loss in survival (pH 1:  $95.93 \pm 2.02$ ; pH 2:  $94.92 \pm 2.31$ ; pH 3: 94.91  $\pm$  2.30; pH 7.3: 94.79  $\pm$  2.31%) as compared control (pH 7.3) (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). On the contrary, the exposure of  $\sim$  9.87 log  $_{10}$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> vegetative cells to pH 1 and 2 significantly (*p* < 0.0001) reduced survivability within an hour, with no vegetative cells surviving. At pH 3 the vegetative cells showed significant  $(p < 0.0001)$  reduction in survival up to 3 h (1 h:  $88.02 \pm 1.80$ ; 2 h:  $55.62 \pm 0.75$ ; 3 h:  $38.80 \pm 0.70\%$ ) (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). Similar results were recorded when cells were exposed to pH 7.3, however, the decreased in survivability  $(1 \text{ h}: 97.07 \pm 0.30; 2 \text{ h}: 95.57 \pm 0.75; 3 \text{ h}: 91.1 \pm 5.56%)$  was less as compared to pH 3 (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). The diference recorded in viability between 0 to 3 h were significant  $(p < 0.05)$ .

## **Synthetic stomach juice tolerance**

In synthetic gastric juice, the spore count was deceased significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ) from 0 (7.62±0.06 log <sub>10</sub> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>) to 180 min (7.30 ± 0.07 log  $_{10}$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>) of incubation (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a). The percentage survival was determined as  $95.75 \pm 1.00\%$ . Survival of vegetative cells were significantly  $(p < 0.0001)$ reduced to zero during the incubation (0 min:  $9.7 \pm 0.05$ ; 30 min:  $8.2 \pm 0.09$ ; 180 min: 0 log <sub>10</sub> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>) in gastric juice (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a).

## **Bile salt tolerance**

Increasing concentrations of bile salts showed no adverse efects on the survivability of spores (bile 0.1%:  $91.93 \pm 3.76$ ; 0.3%:  $100.24 \pm 3.05$ ; 0.5%:  $109.05 \pm 1.70$ ; 1.0%:  $112.95 \pm 5.13$ ). In addition, 2.0% bile salt levels enhanced growth (147.43 ± 3.89%; *p* < 0.01) (Fig. [2b](#page-4-0)). Survivability of vegetative cells decreased (bile 0.3%:  $72.56 \pm 0.78$ ; 0.5%:  $51.80 \pm 2.30$ ; 1.0%:  $41.04 \pm 0.52$ ) significantly  $(p < 0.01)$  when bile salt concentration was increased from 0.1% (Fig. [2b](#page-4-0)). No significant  $(p > 0.05)$  changes in survivability was recorded at 0.1% bile as compared with the control.

## **Intestinal fuid tolerance**

In synthetic intestinal juice, the spore count increased significantly ( $p < 0.0001$ ) from 0 (7.69 ± 0.08 log<sub>10</sub> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>) to 360 min  $(8.51 \pm 0.07 \log_{10} \text{cfu} \text{ ml}^{-1})$  of incubation (Fig. [3a](#page-4-1)). The survival was recorded as  $110.66 \pm 0.94\%$ . On the contrary, the vegetative cell counts decreased signifcantly (*p* < 0.0001) from  $7.59 \pm 0.11$  log <sub>10</sub> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> (0 min) to  $5.77 \pm 0.07 \log_{10}$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> (360 min) (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)a). Moreover, the vegetative cells showed  $76.05 \pm 0.96\%$  survivability.

## **Microbial adhesion to solvents**

*Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 spores had higher adhesion to chloroform (98.33  $\pm$  0.57%) and ethyl acetate (94.66  $\pm$  0.58%) as compared to xylene  $(65.66 \pm 2.51\%)$  (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)b). Whereas vegetative cells adhered greater to chloroform  $(50.33 \pm 2.08\%)$ as compared with xylene  $(22.66 \pm 4.72\%)$  and ethyl acetate  $(25.66 \pm 4.16\%)$  (Fig. [3b](#page-4-1)).





<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Acid tolerance of spores and vegetative cells of *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07. Panel **a** pH 1.0; **b** pH 2.0; **c** pH 3.0; **d** pH 7.3 (control). The primary *y*-axis indicates vegetative cell count and

**Adhesion to porcine mucin**

Spores had significantly  $(p < 0.01)$  higher crystal violet optical density readings  $(0.084 \pm 0.004)$  as compared to vegetative cells  $(0.065 \pm 0.005)$ . Figure [4](#page-5-0) describes the adhesion of spores and vegetative cells to mucin-coated wells.

#### **Determination of zeta potential**

Spores had significantly  $(p < 0.05)$  higher zeta potential  $(-28.3 \pm 1.04 \text{ mV}; 7.95 \pm 0.04 \text{ log}_{10} \text{ cftu} \text{ m}^{-1})$  as compared to vegetative cells  $(-23.4 \pm 2.23 \text{ mV}; 8.01 \pm 0.05 \text{ m})$  $\log_{10}$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>).

#### **In silico identifcation of genome features contributing to probiotic properties**

The in silico analysis of *B. clausii* UBBC07 genome revealed the presence of 10 domains for acid tolerance, three for bile tolerance, 11 for adhesion to gut mucosa, and 15 for environmental stress resistance (Table [1](#page-6-0)).



secondary *y*-axis for spores. All data are represented as mean $\pm$ SD. \**p*<0.05; \*\*\*\**p*<0.0001: signifcant diference compared to initial or 0 time point

#### **Discussion**

The ability of probiotics to reach the gut in sufficient numbers is imperative in order for cells to confer health benefts. As per recommendations, most probiotic products contain billions of cells and the benefts they confer is dependent on the strains ability to survive transit through the gut. There are several factors which contribute to the success of probiotic, such as the stability at various industrial processes and tolerance to the gastrointestinal tract stress (Ahire [2012](#page-7-12)). The use of spore probiotic is advantageous over the vegetative cells since spore's unique intrinsic makeup (dipicolinic acid, proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates) and extremely low permeability provides high tolerance to the stomach acidity, bile salt and intestinal conditions (Bernardeau et al. [2017](#page-7-4)). In this study, the *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 spores demonstrated high resistance to acidic conditions (pH 1, 2, and 3) and synthetic gastric juice (pH 2.5) as compared to vegetative cells. These in vitro results suggests that spores are probably able to survive and deliver prerequisite quantities to the small intestine. Cenci et al. ([2006](#page-7-5)) has shown that *B. clausii* spores tolerated pH 2 and vegetative cells  $pH \leq 4$ . Recently, the in vitro investigation of *B. clausii* spore germination in the Simulator of Human Intestinal Microbial



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2 a** Synthetic gastric juice; **b** bile salt tolerance of spores and vegetative cells of *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07. The primary *y*-axis indicates optical density for vegetative cell and secondary *y-*axis for spores. All data are represented as mean $\pm$ SD. \*\**p*<0.01; \*\*\*\**p*<0.0001: signifcant diference compared to initial or 0 time point for gastric juice and 0% concentration for bile

Ecosystem (SHIME) indicated the survival of spores and accompanied vegetative cells under SHIME-fed stomach simulations (Ahire et al. [2020b\)](#page-7-11). Besides this, none of the studies evaluated the comparative probiotic properties of spore and vegetative cells.

Bile acid is the major component of bile, which acts as an emulsifer to facilitate the digestion of lipids and lipidsoluble-vitamins in the intestine. In higher concentrations, the bile acid is toxic to the bacterial cells by causing membrane damage, protein denaturation, and oxidative damage to the DNA (Prete et al. [2020\)](#page-8-8). Therefore, the investigation of probiotic bacteria to survive bile acids is important to predict their persistence in the gut. In this study, the bile tolerance observed in UBBC07 spores was higher than the vegetative biotype, which is due to the intrinsic resistance of spores to the bile. However, the increased  $\log_{10}$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> from *B*. *clausii* spores at higher bile levels suggested bile-induced spore germination (Giel et al. [2010\)](#page-7-19). The capabilities of *B. clausii* spores to germinate under fed and fasted in vitro intestinal-SHIME-conditions have recently been reported (Ahire et al. [2020b\)](#page-7-11). Ghelardi et al. ([2015\)](#page-7-20) showed that the *B. clausii* spores germinate and undergoes multiplication



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 3 a** Intestinal fuid tolerance; **b** Adhesion to solvents of spores and vegetative cells of *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07. All data are represented as mean $\pm$ SD. \*\*\*\**p*<0.0001: significant difference compared to initial or 0 time point

under stimulated in vivo human intestinal environments. Moreover, bile tolerance is a strain-specifc trait (Hyronimus et al. [2000\)](#page-7-21).

The tolerance of probiotics to the intestinal fuid containing pancreatin and bile under alkaline conditions is a good model to estimate their survivability in the gut. In the present investigation, *B. clausii* spores replicated in simulated intestinal conditions as compared with vegetative cells. This fnding indicates the germination and multiplication ability of *B. clausii* spores in the intestinal fuid. The 76% viability of vegetative cells to the intestinal fuid assures the persistence of the strain in the gut. Furthermore, it has been reported that *B. clausii* survival and persistence in alkaline conditions might be due to the alkaliphilic nature of this species (Nielsen et al. [1995](#page-7-22); Vecchione et al. [2018](#page-8-0)). Overall, these results corroborate well with previous in-vitro and -vivo fndings that *B. clausii* spores germinate and multiply in human intestinal conditions (Cenci et al. [2006](#page-7-5); Ghelardi et al. [2015](#page-7-20); Ahire et al. [2020b](#page-7-11)).

Like stomach and intestinal stress tolerance, the adhesion of probiotics is an important property for successful colonization in the gut. In the present study, we investigated the

![](_page_4_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Representative image of adhesion of spores and vegetative cells of *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 to mucin. Panel **a** Control; **b** Spores; **c** Vegetative cells after crystal violet strain

adhesion of spores and vegetative cells of *B. clausii* using adhesion to -solvents, -mucin and zeta potential. In adhesion to solvents, the adhesion to xylene is an indication of hydrophobic surface properties (Bellon-Fontaine et al. [1996\)](#page-7-23). The high percent affinity of spores to xylene as compared with vegetative cells indicated higher surface hydrophobicity of spores. This may be due to the relative abundance of protein in the outer coat or exosporium of spore compared with peptidoglycan on the vegetative cell surface (Jindal and Anand [2018\)](#page-7-24). High adhesion of spores to chloroform and ethyl acetate as compared with vegetative cells indicated the electron-donating and electron-accepting properties of biological surfaces (Bellon-Fontaine et al. [1996\)](#page-7-23). The strong adhesion of spores to porcine mucin and signifcantly higher net negative zeta potential value over vegetative cells further confrmed the fndings. Overall, these results show that spores are highly hydrophobic and more capable of adhering to gut epithelial lining as compared to vegetative cells.

In another investigation, we have analyzed the whole genome sequence of *B. clausii* UBBC07 to identify the genome features contributing to probiotic properties. The presence of F0F1 ATP synthase complex indicated the ability of bacteria to resist the acidic environment of the stomach by maintaining  $H<sup>+</sup>$  homeostasis (Cotter and Hill [2003;](#page-7-25) Azcarate-Peril et al. [2004;](#page-7-26) Khatri et al. [2019](#page-7-16)). The ornithine/lysine/arginine decarboxylase family proteins catalyze the decarboxylation of amino acids resulting in the alkalinization of the cytosol and generation of a proton motive force, which can be exploited for acid resistance and/or the production of ATP (Romano et al. [2013](#page-8-9)). The sodium bile acid symporter family proteins contribute to bile resistance and adaptation to the gut environment (Price et al. [2006](#page-8-10)). Besides this, the proteins detected for mucus, collagen, and fbronectin-binding along with sortase, fagellin, and triosephosphate isomerase ensures adhesion to the intestinal mucosal layer and persistence of bacteria to the intestine. Furthermore, *B. clausii* UBBC07 harbors proteins for universal-, oxidative-, hyperosmoticstress, heat resistance, cold and heat shock, Clp protease, and chaperonins (GroEL and GroES) for survival and growth under environmental stress. Overall, these results corroborate well with the previous reports on in silico analysis of proteins involved in probiotic properties of *B. clausii* Enterogermina® (Khatri et al. [2019](#page-7-16)).

In conclusion, *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 spores demonstrated excellent gastro-intestinal resistance as compared with vegetative biotype. No loss in viability, good adhesion, and spore germination under simulated in vitro human intestinal conditions ensures the delivery of the recommended amount of probiotics to the gut. Moreover, in silico analysis revealed the presence of proteins involved in probiotic properties in *B. clausii* UBCC07 genome. Therefore, we recommend that spores of *B. clausii* UBBC07 be used to deliver probiotic to the human and or animal gut where they germinate and colonise to confer intended health benefts.

![](_page_5_Picture_8.jpeg)

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 1** Distribution of proteins involved in probiotic properties in *B. clausii* UBCC07 genome

![](_page_6_Picture_322.jpeg)

\* Located on LATY01000009 with locus tag WZ76\_RS06065 † Located on LATY01000017 with locus tag WZ76\_RS12025

**Author contributions** JJA contributed to the study conception, design, acquisition and analysis of data, drafting and critically revising the manuscript. MSK carried out the material preparation and data collection. RSM contributed to the study conception and review. All authors approved the fnal submitted manuscript.

**Funding** No external funding was received. The fnancial support for the research described in the manuscript was provided by Unique Biotech Limited, Hyderabad, India.

**Data availability** The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualifed researcher.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** JJA, MSK and RSM are employed by Unique Biotech Limited, India, which is a manufacturer of probiotics. This does not alter our adherence to journal policies on sharing data and materials.

**Ethics approval** The research conducted for this article did not involve studies on humans or animals.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Ahire JJ (2012) Studies on probiotic microorganism(s) and its biogenic metabolite(s). Dissertation, North Maharashtra University, India.
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>Ahire JJ, Dicks LM (2014) 2, 3-Dihydroxybenzoic acid-containing nanofber wound dressings inhibit bioflm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:2098– 2104.<https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02397-13>
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>Ahire JJ, Mokashe NU, Patil HJ, Chaudhari BL (2013) Antioxidative potential of folate producing probiotic *Lactobacillus helveticus* CD6. J Food Sci Tech 50:26–34. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1319](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-011-0244-0) [7-011-0244-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-011-0244-0)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Ahire JJ, Kashikar MS, Lakshmi SG, Madempudi R (2020a) Identifcation and characterization of antimicrobial peptide produced by indigenously isolated *Bacillus paralicheniformis* UBBLi30 strain. 3 Biotech 10:112.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-2109-6>
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Ahire JJ, Kashikar MS, Madempudi RS (2020b) Survival and germination of *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 spores in in vitro human gastrointestinal tract simulation model and evaluation of clausin production. Front Microbiol 11:1010. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01010) [fmicb.2020.01010](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01010)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Ahire JJ, Neelamraju J, Madempudi RS (2020c) Behavior of *Bacillus coagulans* Unique IS2 spores during passage through the simulator of human intestinal microbial ecosystem (SHIME) model. LWT-Food Sci Technol 124:109196. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109196) [lwt.2020.109196](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109196)
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>Azcarate-Peril MA, Altermann E, Hoover-Fitzula RL, Cano RJ, Klaenhammer TR (2004) Identifcation and inactivation of genetic loci involved with *Lactobacillus acidophilus* acid tolerance. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:5315–5322. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.9.5315-5322.2004) [AEM.70.9.5315-5322.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.9.5315-5322.2004)
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>Bellon-Fontaine MN, Rault J, van Oss CJ (1996) Microbial adhesion to solvents: a novel method to determine the electron-donor/electronacceptor or Lewis acid-base properties of microbial cells. Colloids Surf B 7:47–53. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0927-7765\(96\)01272-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0927-7765(96)01272-6)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Bernardeau M, Lehtinen MJ, Forssten SD, Nurminen P (2017) Importance of the gastrointestinal life cycle of *Bacillus* for probiotic functionality. J Food Sci Technol 54:2570–2584. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-017-2688-3) [org/10.1007/s13197-017-2688-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-017-2688-3)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Bhushan B, Singh BP, Saini K, Kumari M, Tomar SK, Mishra V (2019) Role of microbes, metabolites and effector compounds in hostmicrobiota interaction: a pharmacological outlook. Environ Chem Lett 17:1801–1820.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-019-00914-9>
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Bhushan B, Sakhare SM, Narayan KS, Kumari M, Mishra V, Dicks LM (2020) Characterization of ribofavin-producing strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* as potential probiotic candidate through *in vitro* assessment and principal component analysis. Probiot Antimicro Prot. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-020-09696-x>
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Thomason JA III, Stevens R, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F (2015) RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm

for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. Sci Rep 5:8365. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08365>

- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Cenci G, Caldini TF (2006) Tolerance to challenges miming gastrointestinal transit by spores and vegetative cells of *Bacillus clausii*. J Appl Microbiol 101:1208–1215. [https://doi.org/10.11](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.03042.x) [11/j.1365-2672.2006.03042.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.03042.x)
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>Cotter PD, Hill C (2003) Surviving the acid test: responses of Grampositive bacteria to low pH. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 67:429–453. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.67.3.429-453.2003>
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Duc LH, Hong HA, Barbosa TM, Henriques AO, Cutting SM (2004) Characterization of *Bacillus* probiotics available for human use. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:2161–2171. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.4.2161-2171.2004) [AEM.70.4.2161-2171.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.4.2161-2171.2004)
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Ghelardi E, Celandroni F, Salvetti S, Gueye SA, Lupetti A, Senesi S (2015) Survival and persistence of *Bacillus clausii* in the human gastrointestinal tract following oral administration as spore-based probiotic formulation. J Appl Microbiol 119:552–559. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12848) [org/10.1111/jam.12848](https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12848)
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>Giel JL, Sorg JA, Sonenshein AL, Zhu J (2010) Metabolism of bile salts in mice influences spore germination in *Clostridium difficile*. PLoS ONE 5:e8740. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.00087](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008740) [40](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008740)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, Gibson GR, Merenstein DJ, Pot B, Morelli L, Canani RB, Flint HJ, Salminen S, Calder PC (2014) The international scientifc association for probiotics and prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 11:506–514. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66) [org/10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>Hyronimus B, Le Marrec C, Sassi AH, Deschamps A (2000) Acid and bile tolerance of spore-forming lactic acid bacteria. Int J Food Microbiol 61:193–197. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1605(00)00366-4) [-1605\(00\)00366-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1605(00)00366-4)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Ianiro G, Rizzatti G, Plomer M, Lopetuso L, Scaldaferri F, Franceschi F, Cammarota G, Gasbarrini A (2018) *Bacillus clausii* for the treatment of acute diarrhea in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Nutrients 8:1074. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10081074>
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>Jindal S, Anand S (2018) Comparison of adhesion characteristics of common dairy sporeformers and their spores on unmodifed and modifed stainless steel contact surfaces. J Dairy Sci 101:5799– 5808. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-14179>
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>Khatri I, Sharma G, Subramanian S (2019) Composite genome sequence of *Bacillus clausii*, a probiotic commercially available as Enterogermina®, and insights into its probiotic properties. BMC Microbiol 19:307. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1680-7>
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Lakshmi SG, Jayanthi N, Saravanan M, Ratna MS (2017) Safety assesment of *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07, a spore forming probiotic. Toxicol Rep 4:62–71.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2016.12.004>
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Marseglia GL, Tosca M, Cirillo I, Licari A, Leone M, Marseglia A, Castellazzi AM, Ciprandi G (2007) Efficacy of *Bacillus clausii* spores in the prevention of recurrent respiratory infections in children: a pilot study. Ther Clin Risk Manag 1:13–17. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2147/tcrm.2007.3.1.13) [org/10.2147/tcrm.2007.3.1.13](https://doi.org/10.2147/tcrm.2007.3.1.13)
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>Nielsen P, Fritze D, Priest FG (1995) Phenetic diversity of alkaliphilic *Bacillus* strains: proposal for nine new species. Microbiol 141:1745–1761.<https://doi.org/10.1099/13500872-141-7-1745>
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R (2014) The SEED and the rapid annotation of microbial genomes using subsystems technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res 42:D206–D214. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226>
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Paparo L, Tripodi L, Bruno C, Pisapia L, Damiano C, Pastore L, Canani RB (2020) Protective action of *Bacillus clausii* probiotic strains in an *in vitro* model of *Rotavirus* infection. Sci Rep 10:12636. [https](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69533-7) [://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69533-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69533-7)

![](_page_7_Picture_34.jpeg)

- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Patel AK, Ahire JJ, Pawar SP, Chaudhari BL, Chincholkar SB (2009) Comparative accounts of probiotic characteristics of *Bacillus* spp. isolated from food wastes. Food Res Int 42:505–510. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.01.013) [org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.01.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.01.013)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>Patel C, Patel P, Acharya S (2019) Therapeutic prospective of a spore forming probiotic-*Bacillus clausii* UBBC07 against acetaminophen-induced uremia in rats. Probiot Antimicro Prot 12:253– 258.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-019-09540-x>
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Pedersen C, Jonsson H, Lindberg JE, Roos S (2004) Microbiological characterization of wet wheat distillers grain, with focus on isolation of lactobacilli with potential as probiotics. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:1522–1527. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1522-1527.2004) [AEM.70.3.1522-1527.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1522-1527.2004)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Prete R, Long SL, Gallardo AL, Gahan CG, Corsetti A, Joyce SA (2020) Benefcial bile acid metabolism from *Lactobacillus plantarum* of food origin. Sci Rep 10:1165. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58069-5) [s41598-020-58069-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58069-5)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>Price CE, Reid SJ, Driessen AJ, Abratt VR (2006) The *Bifdobacterium longum* NCIMB 702259T ctr gene codes for a novel cholate transporter. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:923–926. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.923-926.2006) [org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.923-926.2006](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.923-926.2006)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>Romano A, Trip H, Lolkema JS, Lucas PM (2013) Three-component lysine/ornithine decarboxylation system in *Lactobacillus*

*saerimneri* 30a. J Bacteriol 195:1249–1254. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02070-12) [org/10.1128/JB.02070-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02070-12)

- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Sharma S, Devi PB, Ragul K, Shetty PH (2020) Probiotic potential of *Bacillus* strains isolated from an acidic fermented food Idli. Probiot Antimicro Prot 12:1502–1513. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-020-09650-x) [s12602-020-09650-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-020-09650-x)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Sudha MR, Bhonagiri S, Kumar MA (2013) Efficacy of *Bacillus clausii* strain UBBC-07 in the treatment of patients sufering from acute diarrhoea. Benef Microbes 4:211–216. [https://doi.org/10.3920/](https://doi.org/10.3920/BM2012.0034) [BM2012.0034](https://doi.org/10.3920/BM2012.0034)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Sudha MR, Jayanthi N, Pandey DC, Verma AK (2019) *Bacillus clausii* UBBC-07 reduces severity of diarrhoea in children under 5 years of age: a double blind placebo controlled study. Benef Microbes 10:149–154.<https://doi.org/10.3920/BM2018.0094>
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Upadrasta A, Pitta S, Madempudi RS (2016) Draft genome sequence of *Bacillus clausii* UBBC07, a spore-forming probiotic strain. Genome Announc 4:e00235-e316. [https://doi.org/10.1128/genom](https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00235-16) [eA.00235-16](https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00235-16)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Vecchione A, Celandroni F, Mazzantini D, Senesi S, Lupetti A, Ghelardi E (2018) Compositional quality and potential gastrointestinal behavior of probiotic products commercialized in Italy. Front Med 5:59.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2018.00059>

![](_page_8_Picture_14.jpeg)