

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Bone Marrow Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 February 08.

Published in final edited form as:

Bone Marrow Transplant. 2017 November; 52(11): 1580-1582. doi:10.1038/bmt.2017.180.

Monozygotic twins with GATA2 deficiency: same haploidenticalrelated donor, different severity of GvHD

NN Shah¹, M Parta², K Baird^{1,3}, H Rafei⁴, K Cole⁵, SM Holland⁶, DD Hickstein⁷

¹Pediatric Oncology Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA;

²Clinical Research Directorate/Clinical Monitoring Research Program, Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc., NCI Campus at Frederick, Frederick, MD, USA;

³Office of Tissues and Advanced Therapies, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD, USA;

⁴George Washington School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington, DC, USA;

⁵Office of the Clinical Director, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA;

⁶Laboratory of Clinical Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

⁷Experimental Transplantation and Immunology Branch, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Twin studies, especially those involving monozygotic twins, are one of the oldest and most powerful methods for separating heritable from non-heritable traits. Recently, monozygotic twin studies have been used to study the frequencies of major immune cell populations.^{1,2} However, even monozygotic twins can diverge somatically, genetically, epigenetically and environmentally. Here we describe monozygotic twins with GATA2 deficiency^{3–5} who both had nearly complete absence of NK cells and B-cells, monocytopenia (20 K/µL) and CD3 counts < 300/µL prior to transplant, who underwent allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) from the same haploidentical 8/10 HLA-matched sibling donor using the identical conditioning regimen and identical GvHD prophylaxis. The first twin developed acute grade II GvHD (skin and gastrointestinal involvement) requiring systemic corticosteroids for 6 months, oral budesonide for 2 months and tacrolimus for 1 and 1.5 years post transplant. In contrast, the second twin developed only grade I aGvHD (stage 1 skin), never required systemic steroids, and stopped tacrolimus 6 months following HSCT. This difference in GvHD highlights the complex role of host genetic and other factors in determining the incidence and severity of GvHD.

Nirali.Shah@nih.gov. CONFLICT OF INTEREST The authors declare no conflict of interest. Shah et al.

Twin 1: A 27-year-old female monozygotic twin with a heterozygous (c.988 C>T, p.R330X) mutation in GATA2 in the blood and skin fibroblasts presented for allogeneic HSCT. The GATA2 mutation was not present in either parent or an older sister, consistent with a *de novo* germline mutation. Her pre-transplant clinical course, HSCT regimen, and post-transplant course are described (Table 1). Her day 28 donor chimerisms were all 100% including myeloid, CD3 and natural killer (NK) subsets. On day +28, she developed a skin rash comprising 25–50% of her body surface area, nausea, vomiting and diarrhea. She underwent upper and lower gastrointestinal endoscopy for evaluation of GvHD. Biopsies from the upper GI tract were unremarkable; however, lower GI tract biopsies from the rectum were consistent with acute GvHD, which in conjunction with the clinical manifestations was considered grade II. Although the maximum aGvHD grade was limited to grade II, in addition to tacrolimus she required both systemic and topical steroids to control her skin and GI symptoms (oral budesonide). Steroids were required for 6 months and tacrolimus for 18 months post transplant. Bone marrow studies on day +100 demonstrated 96% donor chimerism with complete eradication of the trisomy eight cytogenetic abnormality present prior to transplant. Day 100 immune reconstitution studies (performed while on prednisone 20 mg daily and tacrolimus) demonstrated CD3⁺ cells of 285/µL, NK cells of 139/µL, CD19⁺ cells of 21/µL and resolution of monocytopenia to a count of 440 K/µL

Twin 2: The 27-year-old female monozygotic twin sister of twin 1 had the identical (c.988 C>T (p.R330X) mutation in GATA2 and presented for allogeneic HSCT 6 months after her sister (Table 1). She underwent a haploidentical-related donor bone marrow HSCT from the same GATA2 wild-type 29-year-old sister. Conditioning and GvHD prophylaxis were identical to those used in her twin. Her post-transplant clinical course was uneventful. She mild skin GvHD (stage 1, grade 1) on day +28, which responded topical steroids and she did not require any additional immunosuppression. Tacrolimus was stopped by 6 months transplant. Day 30 chimerism studies demonstrated 100% in the whole blood and 100% donor cells in the subcompartments, including myeloid, CD3 and NK cells. Bone marrow studies performed on day +35 demonstrated 96% donor chimerism with complete eradication of trisomy 8 on cytogenetics. Day 100 immune reconstitution studies (performed while on alone) demonstrated CD3⁺ cells of 375/µL, NK cells of $10^{6}/\mu$ L, CD19⁺ cells of 262/mµL and resolution of monocytopenia to a count of 700 K/µL

Heritable conditions in monozygotic twins provide the opportunity to study the interplay between genetic and environmental factors that determine both the disease manifestations and outcomes of therapy. This is the first report of haploidentical transplantation of twins using the same conditioning regimen and the same donor. Although cryptic and minor histocompatibility antigens may contribute to different outcomes in transplant,⁶ presumably these factors were identical in this set of monozygotic twins, allowing for an isolated study of the impact of non-heritable factors. Previous case reports of monozygotic twins using the same allogeneic source are variable and provide limited information regarding the heterogeneity of transplant outcomes,^{7–9} and other studies of second transplants using the same donor and similar conditioning regimens provide some data regarding disparate GvHD, with potential confounders of change in conditioning regimen, donor source (marrow vs peripheral blood) or GvHD prophylaxis.^{10–12} Our twins experienced disparate GvHD, despite using the same donor, similar cell product parameters, the same conditioning

Bone Marrow Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 February 08.

Shah et al.

regimen and the same GvHD prophylaxis. Despite these commonalities, we observed different strengths of alloresponsiveness from the same haploidentical graft.

Brodin *et* al.¹³ recently examined the variation in the human immune system using both mono- and dizygotic twins. They studied 95 different immune cell subsets and demonstrated that heritable factors strongly influenced naive, CD27⁺ and central memory T cells. However, heritable factors were undetectable in 61% of all cell populations, including adaptive T and B cells and innate cell types (monocytes, neutrophils and NK cells). Their findings demonstrated that the immune system varied between monozygotic twins presumably as a consequence of non-heritable factors and with limited influence of heritable ones. Some parameters became more variable with age, suggesting the cumulative influence of environmental exposure. These findings were especially notable in monozygotic twins discordant for CMV infection, confirming that the immune system in healthy individuals is largely reactive and adaptive. Given the apparent role of non-heritable factors, they speculated that the many different microbes that an individual may have encountered over their lifetime may play an even more significant role in shaping the immune system of healthy individuals, particularly as it relates to adaptive immunity.^{13,14}

The different previous exposures in our twins, particularly infections, likely contributed to their differences in alloreactivity and post-transplant GvHD outcomes. The first twin had a significant history of *Salmonella* gastroenteritis causing significant clinical disease years prior to transplant. She also had *Clostridium difficile* infection in the stool 2 months prior to transplant. She remained on oral vancomycin for prophylaxis during the transplant, although she was PCR negative at the time of transplant. She had also received 2 weeks of amoxicillinclavulanate prior to transplant for a recent pneumonia. Post transplant, she developed grade II GvHD requiring 6 months of systemic corticosteroids, as well as oral budesonide for 3 months post-HSCT and continuation of tacrolimus for 1.5 years post-HSCT. The second twin had no antecedent history of gastrointestinal infection. She developed only grade I skin involvement, which did not require systemic steroids; tacrolimus was stopped 6 months post HSCT without complications. Of unclear importance is that during neutropenia, twin 1 received ceftazidime while twin 2 received ceftazidime plus daptomycin (added for a transient PICC line infection with Staphylococcus hominis was identified in twin 2 on day +1, which led to removal of the line and no further positive cultures). These regimens are of low intensity with regard to an effect on the fecal microbiota, which has a major influence on the incidence of gastrointestinal GvHD.¹⁵ Additionally, neither twin had significant infectious complications during the transplant course.

The study of monozygotic twins has a long history in the endeavor to separate heritable from non-heritable traits. With growing recognition of familial genetic diseases in which HSCT is the primary therapeutic option, it is likely that the use of a single family donor for more than one sibling will become more common. Accordingly, our report demonstrates that variability in outcomes may be expected, and that these outcomes may depend on prior infectious exposures.

Bone Marrow Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 February 08.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the study participants and their families, referring medical care teams, the faculty and staff of the NIH. This project has been funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, under Contract No. HHSN261200800001E. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products or organizations imply endorsement by the US Government. This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research and by the Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, NIH.

REFERENCES

- Clementi M, Forabosco P, Amadori A, Zamarchi R, De Silvestro G, Di Gianantonio E et al. CD4 and CD8 T lymphocyte inheritance. Evidence for major autosomal recessive genes. Hum Genet 1999; 105: 337–342. [PubMed: 10543402]
- Evans DM, Zhu G, Duffy DL, Frazer IH, Montgomery GW, Martin NG. A major quantitative trait locus for CD4-CD8 ratio is located on chromosome 11. Genes Immun 2004; 5: 548–552. [PubMed: 15306848]
- 3. Hsu AP, Sampaio EP, Khan J, Calvo KR, Lemieux JE, Patel SY et al. Mutations in GATA2 are associated with the autosomal dominant and sporadic monocytopenia and mycobacterial infection (MonoMAC) syndrome. Blood 2011; 118: 2653–2655. [PubMed: 21670465]
- Dickinson RE, Griffin H, Bigley V, Reynard LN, Hussain R, Haniffa M et al. Exome sequencing identifies GATA-2 mutation as the cause of dendritic cell, monocyte, B and NK lymphoid deficiency. Blood 2011; 118: 2656–2658. [PubMed: 21765025]
- Hahn CN, Chong CE, Carmichael CL, Wilkins EJ, Brautigan PJ, Li XC et al. Heritable GATA2 mutations associated with familial myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Genet 2011; 43: 1012–1017. [PubMed: 21892162]
- McCarroll SA, Bradner JE, Turpeinen H, Volin L, Martin PJ, Chilewski SD et al. Donor-recipient mismatch for common gene deletion polymorphisms in graft-versus-host disease. Nat Genet 2009; 41: 1341–1344. [PubMed: 19935662]
- Rao AA, Gourde JA, Marri P, Galardy PJ, Khan SP, Rodriguez V. Congenital amegakaryocytic thrombocytopenia: a case report of pediatric twins undergoing matched unrelated bone marrow transplantation. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2015; 37: 304–306. [PubMed: 25171451]
- Vo PT, Pantin J, Ramos C, Cook L, Cho E, Kurlander R et al. Conditioning with rabbit versus horse ATG dramatically alters clinical outcomes in identical twins with severe aplastic anemia transplanted with the same allogeneic donor. J Hematol Oncol 2015; 8: 78. [PubMed: 26113077]
- 9. Shinkoda Y, Ijichi O, Tanabe T, Ishikawa S, Kamitamari A, Nishikawa T et al. Identical reconstitution after bone marrow transplantation in twins who received fresh and cryopreserved grafts harvested at the same time from their older brother. Clin Transplant 2004; 18: 743–747. [PubMed: 15516255]
- 10. Orti G, Sanz J, Bermudez A, Caballero D, Martinez C, Sierra J et al. Outcome of second allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation after relapse of myeloid malignancies following allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation: a retrospective cohort on behalf of the grupo espanol de trasplante hematopoyetico. Biol Blood M arrow Transplant 2016; 22: 584–588.
- Ruutu T, de Wreede LC, van Biezen A, Brand R, Mohty M, Dreger P et al. Second allogeneic transplantation for relapse of malignant disease: retrospective analysis of outcome and predictive factors by the EBMT. Bone M arrow Transplant 2015; 50: 1542–1550.
- Weisdorf D The role of second transplants for leukemia. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol 2016; 29: 359–364. [PubMed: 27890260]
- Brodin P, Jojic V, Gao T, Bhattacharya S, Angel CJ, Furman D et al. Variation in the human immune system is largely driven by non-heritable influences. Cell 2015; 160: 37–47. [PubMed: 25594173]
- Casanova JL, Abel L. Disentangling inborn and acquired immunity in human twins. Cell 2015; 160: 13–15. [PubMed: 25594170]

Bone Marrow Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 February 08.

Shah et al.

15. Shono Y, Docampo MD, Peled JU, Perobelli SM, Velardi E, Tsai JJ et al. Increased GVHD-related mortality with broad-spectrum antibiotic use after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in human patients and mice. Sci Transl M ed 2016; 8: 339ra371.

Image: Non-Section of Constraints Num <	Patient, transplant and GvHD characteristics	entration and			
SCT (years) 27 27 T connorbidities $=$ Submandibular abscesses (hisory) $=$ T connorbidities $=$ Submandibular abscesses (hisory) $=$ T connorbidities $=$ Submandibular abscesses (hisory) $=$ Salmonella gastroenteritis (severe) $=$ $=$ Salmonela gastroenteritis (ster, lobod type, $=$, $=$, $=$		Twin 1		Twin 2	
T conorbidities Submatchbular abscesses (history) S IEBV nononucleosis with persistent EBV viemia S Salmonella gastroemetrik (severe) S HVrelated genital and skin warts S HVrelated genital and skin dusper skin shots dusper skin shot dusper skin A^1	Age at HSCT (years)	27		27	
e EBV nononucleosis with persistent EBV viemia • i Salmonella gastroemetrik (severe) • i HPV-related genital and skin warts • i Disseminated Mycobacterium avitur complex • i Disseminated Mycobacterium avitur complex • i Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of metaphases • i Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of metaphases • i Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of metaphases • i Busultan *3.2 mg/modentical sister, blood type: AB* • i TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • • i Busultan *3.2 mg/datentical sister, blood type: AB* • • i TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • • • i Busultan *3.2 mg/datentical sister, blood type: AB* • • i TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • • • i Busulta*5.3 $\times 10^{2}$ celskg • <td>Pre-HSCT comorbidities</td> <td>•</td> <td>Submandibular abscesses (history)</td> <td>•</td> <td>EBV mononucleosis with persistent EBV viremia</td>	Pre-HSCT comorbidities	•	Submandibular abscesses (history)	•	EBV mononucleosis with persistent EBV viremia
e Salmonella gastroenteritis (severe) • i Meningecoccal meningitides • i HPV-related genital and skin warts • i HPV-related genital and skin warts • i Disseminated Mycobacterium arium complex • i Disseminated Mycobacterium arium complex • i Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of meaphases • i Fludambine 30 mg/m2/d (days -6 to -2) • • i TBis 200 cGy on day -1 • • • i TBis 200 cGy on day -1 • • • • i R30 HLA-matched haploidenical sister, blood type: AB ⁱ • • • i R30 A -3, -2): AUC target 4800 • • • i TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • • • i R30 HLA-matched haploidenical sister, blood type: AB ⁱ • • • • i TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • TBI: 200 cGy on day +1 • • • i TDI •		•	EBV mononucleosis with persistent EBV viremia	•	HPV-related genital and skin warts
 Meringecoccal meningtides HPV-related genital and skin warts HPV-related genital and skin warts Disseminated <i>Mycobacterium arium</i> complex Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of meaphases Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of meaphases Cyclophosphanide 14.5 mg/g (days – 6 and –5) Hudanbine 30 mg/D2d (days – 6 to –2) Hudanbine 30 mg/D2d (days – 6 to –2) Busultan *3.2 mg/g/day (days – 4, –3, –2): AUC target 4800 Busultan *3.2 mg/g/day (days – 4, –3, –2): AUC target 4800 TBI: 200 cGy on day –1 TBI: 200 cGy on day –1 TBI: 200 cGy on day –1 Robin *4 TBI: 200 cGy on day –1 Robin *4 TBI: 200 cGy on day –1 TBI: 200 cGy on day –1 TBI: 200 cGy on day –1 TDI: 200 cGy on day –1<!--</td--><td></td><td>•</td><td>Salmonella gastroenteritis (severe)</td><td>•</td><td>Infectious mononucleosis</td>		•	Salmonella gastroenteritis (severe)	•	Infectious mononucleosis
• HPV-related genital and skin wars • • Disseminated $Mycobacterium avium complex$ • • Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of metaphases • • Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of metaphases • • Fludanthine 30 mg/m2/d (days -6 and -5) • • • Fludanthine 30 mg/m2/d (days -6 and -5) • • • Busulfin *3.2 mg/g/day (days -4, -3, -2); AUC target 4800 • • • Busulfin *3.2 mg/g/day (days -4, -3, -2); AUC target 4800 • • • Busulfin *3.2 mg/g/day (days -4, -3, -2); AUC target 4800 • • • Busulfin *3.2 mg/g/day (days -4, -3, -2); AUC target 4800 • • • TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • • • • • Busulfin *3.2 mg/g/day (days -4, -3, -2); AUC target 4800 • • • • TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • Nathed (-/-) • • • • N N N N N • • • • N N • N • •		•	Meningococcal meningitides	•	Streptococcal pharyngitis
• Dissentinated Mycobacterium arvium complex • • Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of metaphases • • Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of metaphases • • Fludarabine 30 mg/m2/d (days - 6 and -5) • • • Fludarabine 30 mg/m2/d (days - 6 and -5) • • • Busulfin *3.2 mg/kg (days -6 to -2) • • • Busulfin *3.2 mg/kg (days -4, -3, -2): AUC target 4800 • • • TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • • • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺ • •		•	HPV-related genital and skin warts	•	Herpes varicella Zoster infection
 Hypoplastic MDS, trisony 8 in 50% of metaphases trenditioning regimen Cyclophosphamide 14.5 mg/kg (days – 6 and – 5) Fludarabine 30 mg/m2/d (days – 4, – 3, – 2): AUC target 4800 Busulfan * 3.2 mg/kg/day (days –4, – 3, – 2): AUC target 4800 TBI: 200 cGy on day –1 Busulfan * 3.2 mg/kg/day (days s, -4, – 3, – 2): AUC target 4800 TBI: 200 cGy on day –1 8.0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB⁺ ABO A⁺ Natched (-/-) NC: 4.6 × 10⁶ cells/kg TNC: 4.6 × 10⁶ cells/kg TNC: 4.6 × 10⁶ cells/kg Ophylaxis TNC: 4.6 × 10⁶ cells/kg TNC: 4.6 × 10⁶ cells/kg TNC: 4.6 × 10⁶ cells/kg CD3⁺: 5.3 × 10⁶ cells/kg Ophylaxis Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 Tarcolinuus: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5-10) MDF: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5-10) 		•	Disseminated Mycobacterium avium complex	•	Hypoplastic MDS, trisomy 8 in 50% of metaphases
It conditioning regimen • Cyclophosphamide 14.5 mg/kg (days -6 to -2) • • Hudanabine 30 mg/m2/d (days -4, -3, -2): AUC target 4800 • • • Busulfan* 3.2 mg/kg/day (days -4, -3, -2): AUC target 4800 • • • TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical siter, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical siter, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical siter, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical siter, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical siter, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical siter, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical siter, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical siter, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical siter, blood type: AB ⁺ • • • Matched (-/-) • • • • 0 A ⁺ • •		•	Hypoplastic MDS, trisomy 8 in 50% of metaphases		
• Fludarabine 30 mg/m2/d (days -6 to -2) • • • Busulfan *3.2 mg/g/day (days -4, -3, -2); AUC target 4800 • • • TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 • • • • 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺ • • · 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺ • • · 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺ • • · Natched (-/-) A ⁺ A ⁺ · · Matched (-/-) Matched (-/-) Matched (-/-) · ne marrow) · TNC: 4.6×10^8 cells/kg • • · TNC: 4.6×10^8 cells/kg • • • · TNC: 4.6×10^8 cells/kg • • • · CD34 ⁺ : 5.3×10^7 cells/kg • • • • ophylaxis • Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 • • • • POSt-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 • • • • • Post-HSCT cyc	Transplant conditioning regimen	•	Cyclophosphamide 14.5 mg/kg (days -6 and -5)	•	Cyclophosphamide 14.5 mg/kg (days –6 and –5)
•Busulfan * 3.2 mg/kg/day (days -4, -3, -2): AUC target 4800••TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 ••TBI: 200 cGy on day -1 ••8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺ ••Natched (-/-)A ⁺ A ⁺ /donor CMVMatched (-/-)•Matched (-/-)ne marrowi)•TNC: 46×10^8 cells/kg••TNC: 46×10^8 cells/kg•••TNC: 46×10^8 cells/kg•••TNC: 45×10^6 cells/kg•••TNC: 46×10^8 cells/kg•••Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4••Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4••Post-HSCT stores days +5 to 4ay+180 (goal level 5-10)••Post-HSCT stores days +5 to 4ay+180 (goal level 5-10)••Post-HSCT stores days +5 to 4ay+180 (goal level 5-10)••Post-HSCT•Post-HSCT•Post-HSCT•Post-HSCT•Post-HSCT•••Post-HSCT•••Post-HSCT•••Post-HSCT••<		•	Fludarabine 30 mg/m2/d (days –6 to –2)	•	Fludarabine 30 mg/m2/d (days -6 to -2)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		•	Busulfan $*3.2 \text{ mg/kg/day}$ (days $-4, -3, -2$): AUC target 4800	•	Busulfan $^*3.2 \text{ mg/kg/day}$ (days $-4, -3, -2$): AUC target 4700
 8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB⁺ ABO A⁺ ABO A⁺ <li< td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td>TBI: 200 cGy on day –1</td><td>•</td><td>TBI: 200 cGy on day –1</td></li<>		•	TBI: 200 cGy on day –1	•	TBI: 200 cGy on day –1
 ABO A⁺ (donor CMV Matched (-/-) Matched (-/-) Matched (-/-) Matched (-/-) re TNC: 4.6 × 10⁸ cells/kg TNC: 4.6 × 10⁸ cells/kg CD34⁺: 5.3 × 10⁶ cells/kg CD34⁺: 5.3 × 10⁶ cells/kg CD3⁺: 5.3 × 10⁷ cells/kg CD3⁺: 5.3 × 10⁷ cells/kg Pophylaxis CD3⁺: 5.3 × 10⁷ cells/kg Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 Tacrolimus: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5-10) MMF: days +5 to +35 <l< td=""><td>Donor</td><td>•</td><td>8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB⁺</td><td>•</td><td>8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB⁺</td></l<>	Donor	•	8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺	•	8/0 HLA-matched haploidentical sister, blood type: AB ⁺
/donor CMV Matched (-/-) Matched (-/-) ne marrow) • TNC: 4.6 × 10 ⁸ cells/kg • ne marrow) • CD34*: 5.3 × 10 ⁶ cells/kg • • ophylaxis • CD3*: 5.37×10 ⁷ cells/kg • • ophylaxis • CD3*: 5.37×10 ⁷ cells/kg • • ophylaxis • CD3*: 5.37×10 ⁷ cells/kg • • ophylaxis • Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 • ophylaxis • Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 • of WHP • Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 • of GVHD manifestations • Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 • of GVHD manifestations • MMF: days +5 to +35 • • of GVHD manifestations Day +28 (skin rash and diarrhea) Day +28 (skin rash and diarrhea) • of GvHD manifestations Day +28 (skin rash and diarrhea) 0 • of adde I • 1 •	Recipient ABO	\mathbf{A}^+		\mathbf{A}^+	
ne marrow) • TNC: 4.6 ×10 ⁸ cells/kg • • • • CD34*: 5.3 × 10 ⁶ cells/kg • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Recipient/donor CMV	Matched (-	(-/	Matched (-	(-/-
 CD3⁴: 5.3 × 10⁶ cells/kg CD3⁴: 5.3 × 10⁶ cells/kg CD3⁴: 5.3 × 10⁷ cells/kg CD3⁴: 5.3 × 10⁷ cells/kg CD3⁴: 5.3 × 10⁷ cells/kg Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 Tacrolimus: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5-10) MMF: days +5 to +35 MMF: days +5 to +35 MMF: days +5 to +36 Tacrolimus: days +5 to +36 Stand +4 Tacrolimus: days +5 to +36 Tacrol	Graft (bone marrow)	•	TNC: 4.6×10^8 cells/kg	•	TNC: 5.5 X10 ⁸ cells/kg
 CD3⁺: 5.37×10⁷cells/kg Ophylaxis Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 Tacrolimus: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5-10) MMF: days +5 to+35 MMF: days +5 to+35 MMF: days +5 to+35 MMF: days +5 to+35 Tacrolimus: days +3 and +4 Tacrolimus: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5-10) MMF: days +5 to+35 Tacrolimus: days +3 to day+180 (goal level 5-10) Tacrolimus: days +5 to+35 Tacrolimus: days +5 to+35<!--</td--><td></td><td>•</td><td>$CD34^+$: 5.3×10^6 cells/kg</td><td>•</td><td>$CD34^+$: 6.13×10^6 cells/kg</td>		•	$CD34^+$: 5.3×10^6 cells/kg	•	$CD34^+$: 6.13×10^6 cells/kg
ophylaxis • Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4 • • Tacrolimus: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5–10) • • MMF: days +5 to+35 • of GVHD manifestations Day +28 (skin rash and diarrhea) Day +28 (skin rash and diarrhea) n grade GvHD Grade II 0 e 2 1 1 (bioxy proven) 0		•	CD3 ⁺ : 5.37×10 ⁷ cells/kg	•	CD3+:5.92×10 ⁷ cells/kg
 Tacrolimus: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5–10) MMF: days +5 to+35 MMF: days +5 to+35 MMF: and far the above to the above	GvHD prophylaxis	•	Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days +3 and +4	•	Post-HSCT cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days $+3$ and $+4$
 MMF: days +5 to+35 MMF: days +5 to+35 Day +28 (skin rash and diarrhea) Day +28 (skin rash and diarrhea)		•	Tacrolimus: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5-10)	•	Tacrolimus: days +5 to day+180 (goal level 5-10)
of GvHD manifestations Day +28 (skin rash and diarrhea) n grade GvHD Grade II e 2 1 (biobsy proven)		•	MMF: days +5 to+35	•	MMF: days +5 to+35
n grade GvHD Grade II e 2 1 (biopsy proven)	First day of GvHD manifestations	Day +28 (s)	kin rash and diarrhea)	Day +28 (s	kin rash)
e 2 1 (biosv proven)	Maximum grade GvHD	Grade II		Grade 1	
1 (bionsy proven)	Skin stage	2		1	
	Gl stage	1 (biopsy p	roven)	0	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Abbreviations: EBV = Epstein-Barr virus; HPV = human papilloma virus; HSCT = hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; MDS = myelodysplastic syndrome; MMF = Mycophenolate mofetil.

 $_{\rm Identical}^{*}$ conditioning regimen with exception that the targeted AUC for busulfan for twin 2 was 4700 (vs 4800 for twin 1).