



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Associations between vaping and Covid-19: Cross-sectional findings from the HEBECO study

Dimitra Kale^{a,*}, Aleksandra Herbec^{a,b}, Olga Perski^a, Sarah E. Jackson^a, Jamie Brown^a, Lion Shahab^a

^a Department of Behavioural Science and Health, University College London, UK

^b Department of Clinical, Educational, and Health Psychology, University College London, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Vaping
e-cigarette
Covid-19
UK

ABSTRACT

Aims: To explore i) associations between vaping and self-reported diagnosed/suspected Covid-19; ii) changes in vaping since Covid-19 and factors associated with these changes; iii) whether Covid-19 motivated current or recent ex-vapers to quit.

Methods: Cross-sectional online survey of 2791 UK adults recruited 30/04/2020–14/06/2020. Participants self-reported data on sociodemographic characteristics, diagnosed/suspected Covid-19, vaping status, changes in vaping and motivation to quit vaping since Covid-19.

Results: There were no differences in diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 between never, current and ex-vapers. Bayes factors indicated there was sufficient evidence to rule out small negative (protective) associations between vaping status and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19. Among current vapers (n = 397), 9.7 % (95 % CI 6.8–12.6 %) self-reported vaping less than usual since Covid-19, 42.0 % (37.2–46.9 %) self-reported vaping more, and 48.3 % (43.4–53.2 %) self-reported no change. In adjusted analyses, vaping less was associated with being female (aOR = 3.40, 95 % CI 1.73–6.71), not living with children (aOR = 4.93, 1.15–21.08) and concurrent smoking (aOR = 8.77, 3.04–25.64), while vaping more was associated with being younger (aOR = 5.26, 1.37–20.0), living alone (aOR = 2.08, 1.14–3.85), and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 (aOR = 4.72, 2.60–8.62). Of current vapers, 32.2 % (95 % CI 27.5–36.8 %) were motivated to quit vaping since Covid-19, partly motivated by Covid-19, and 21.0 % (10.5–31.4 %) of recent ex-vapers quit vaping due to Covid-19.

Conclusions: Among UK adults, self-reported diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 was not associated with vaping status. Half of current vapers changed their vaping consumption since Covid-19, with the majority reporting an increase, and a minority was motivated to quit due to Covid-19.

Registration: The analysis plan was pre-registered, and it is available at <https://osf.io/6j8z3/>.

1. Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) declared the Covid-19 outbreak a global pandemic in March 2020. Covid-19 is an infectious disease that attacks the human respiratory system and can cause mild to severe illness and death (World Health Organization, 2020). Using e-cigarettes, or vaping, is not harmless to lung function, but there has been little research on its impact on Covid-19. Tobacco smoking is more harmful to lungs than vaping (Denholm et al., 2010; Feldman and Anderson, 2013) but some research suggests that smokers may be at reduced risk of Covid-19 infection (Guan et al., 2020; Miyara et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). While there remains uncertainty regarding the

associated risk of Covid-19 hospitalisation, disease severity and mortality among smokers (Simons et al., 2020; Usman et al., 2020), some researchers have argued that the unexpected associations observed between smoking and Covid-19 outcomes may in part reflect either a protective effect of nicotine on Covid-19 initial infection, via interaction of nicotine with the ACE-2 receptor (Farsalinos et al., 2020a, 2020b), or on more severe disease progression after the infection, via the impact of nicotine on the anti-inflammatory cytokine pathway (Farsalinos et al., 2020a, 2020b; Kloc et al., 2020). In the absence of clear evidence, public health messaging has focused on the general benefits of quitting tobacco smoking (World Health Organization, 2020; Public Health England, 2020a, 2020b). Some public health organisations have also urged people

* Corresponding author at: Department of Behavioural Science and Health, University College London, 1-19 Torrington Place, London, WC1E 7HB, UK.
E-mail address: dimitra.kale.09@ucl.ac.uk (D. Kale).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.108590>

Received 1 December 2020; Received in revised form 14 January 2021; Accepted 15 January 2021

Available online 13 February 2021

0376-8716/© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

to stop vaping, claiming that vaping may increase susceptibility to Covid-19, despite little evidence to support this (Rizzo, 2020).

Irrespective of discussions surrounding potential protective effects of nicotine and whether there are substantial concerns about inhaling and exhaling vapour during a respiratory virus pandemic, it seems likely that the Covid-19 pandemic will have affected rates of vaping. On the one hand, an increase in attempts to stop smoking during the Covid-19 pandemic has been documented (Jackson et al., 2020a, 2020b; Niedzwiedz et al., 2020), which could have increased uptake of vaping as a cessation aid. On the other hand, vape shops were shut during the UK lockdown, which might have reduced vaping. Data thus far are contradictory: some UK studies have reported reductions in vaping (Niedzwiedz et al., 2020), and increasing attempts to quit vaping due to concerns about Covid-19 (Tattan-Birch et al., 2020). Yet, another study in Italy, where vape shops were open during the lockdown, reported no change in vaping (Caponnetto et al., 2020).

Given that e-cigarettes deliver nicotine without many of the harmful toxicants and carcinogens found in cigarette smoke (Shahab et al., 2017), investigating the association between vaping and Covid-19 infection may help delineate some of the proposed mechanisms for any potential protective or harmful effects of nicotine on Covid-19 outcomes. Further, understanding the impact of Covid-19 on vaping rates can help identify targets for intervention during future periods of social distancing and lockdown measures.

1.1. Aims

To the best of our knowledge, most of the available research focuses on the relationship between Covid-19 and cigarette smoking, while a limited number of existing studies examine the relationship between vaping and Covid-19 outcomes and behaviour change. Thus, using cross-sectional data from a survey of UK adults, this study aimed to investigate differences in diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 between vapers, ex-vapers and never vapers as well as self-reported changes in vaping during the Covid-19 pandemic and factors associated with these changes.

Specifically, we aimed to address the following research questions (RQs):

RQ1 Among adults in the UK, is vaping associated with self-reported diagnosed/suspected Covid-19, after adjustment for sociodemographic characteristics, smoking status and health conditions?

RQ2 Among current vapers, what proportion self-report vaping more, about the same, or less than usual since Covid-19; which characteristics are associated with self-reported changes, if any; what are the self-reported reasons for changes, and what proportion of current vapers are motivated to quit vaping because of Covid-19?

RQ3 Among recent ex-vapers, what proportion were motivated to quit vaping because of Covid-19; what proportion are considering taking up vaping again since Covid-19 and what are their self-reported reasons for this?

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

Analysis of cross-sectional data from the baseline wave of an ongoing longitudinal online study of UK adults; the HEalth BEhaviour during the COVID-19 pandemic (HEBECO) study (<https://osf.io/sbgru/>). Recruitment was online and through a number of channels including paid and unpaid advertisements on social media (including vaping forums) and through relevant mailing lists. HEBECO study data are collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at University College London (Harris et al., 2009, 2019).

2.2. Study sample

UK-based adults aged 18 and over who completed the baseline

survey of the HEBECO study between 30/04/2020 and 14/06/2020 (covering the period of the first national Covid-19-related lockdown in the UK). The UK Coronavirus Action Plan was published on 03/03/2020, followed by government advice to practice social distancing on 16/03/2020 and behavioural restrictions enforceable by law ('lockdown') on 23/03/2020 (Gov.uk, 2020). On 15/06/2020, most behavioural restriction measures were eased until the autumn.

2.3. Measures

For the full wording of all measures see Supplementary Materials 1.

2.3.1. Outcomes

Diagnosed or suspected Covid-19: Participants were told that the key symptoms for Covid-19 are high temperature/fever or a new, continuous cough (consistent with UK official messaging about symptomatology at the time). They were then asked which of the following statements best applies to them: (i)I definitely have Covid-19 (I tested positive), (ii)I think I have Covid-19, (iii)I definitely had Covid-19 (I tested positive), (iv)I think I had Covid-19, (v)I do not have or think I have had Covid-19, (vi)Don't know, and (vii)Prefer not to say. Those who replied (i, iii) were coded as 'diagnosed' and those who replied (ii, iv) as 'suspected' Covid-19 cases. For RQ1 we created a binary variable with answers (i) to (iv) were combined into 'diagnosed/suspected Covid-19' vs all other.

Changes in vaping (current vapers only) assessed with the question: 'Has Covid-19 impacted on how much you vape?' with the response options (i)I vape much less, (ii)I vape somewhat less, (iii)No change, (iv)I vape somewhat more, (v)I vape a lot more. We coded responses as: decrease vaping (i, ii), increase vaping (iv, v) and no change(iii).

Reasons for changes in vaping (current vapers) included the options: (1)Feeling stressed, (2)anxious/depressed, (3)relaxed, (4)lonely, (5)Out of boredom, (6)Changes in how much e-liquid I can buy, (7)Influence of others, (8)Staying mostly at home where there are fewer/no restrictions, (9)Other.

Motivation to quit vaping (current vapers only) assessed with the question 'Which of the following best describes you?' (adapted from Kotz et al., 2013) with the options '(i)I REALLY want to stop using e-cigs and intend to in the next month, (ii)I REALLY want to stop using e-cigs and intend to in the next 3 months, (iii)I want to stop using e-cigs and hope to soon, (iv)I REALLY want to stop using e-cigs but I don't know when I will, (v)I want to stop using e-cigs but haven't thought about when, (vi)I think I should stop using e-cigs but don't really want to, (vii)I don't want to stop using e-cigs'. Those who selected (i-v) were considered motivated to quit vaping.

Reasons for motivation to quit vaping included: (1)Rules around social distancing/self-isolation due to Covid-19, (2)Children/parents moved back home due to Covid-19, (3) Money is tighter due to Covid-19, (4)Decided it was too expensive, (5)Health problems/concerns related to Covid-19, (6)Health problems/concerns unrelated to Covid-19, (7) Advice from a GP, (8)Government/Tv/radio/press advert, (9)Social campaign, (10)Being contacted by local NHS Stop Smoking Services, (11)Being faced with restrictions already before Covid-19, (12)I knew someone else who was stopping, (13)Seeing a health warning on a packet, (14)Something said by family/friends/children, (15)Improve fitness, (16)Other. Participants who selected at least one of reasons 1,2,3, or 5 were classified as motivated to quit vaping for Covid-19-related reasons.

Reasons for stopping vaping (recent ex-vapers only) (RQ3) included the same options as described above in relation to reasons for motivation to quit vaping. Participants who selected at least one of the Covid-19-related reasons were classified as stopping vaping due to Covid-19-related reasons.

Consideration of taking up vaping again (recent ex-vapers only) (RQ3) dichotomized to no vs all other.

Reasons for considering taking up vaping again (RQ3) included:

(1)Feeling stressed, (2)anxious/depressed, (3)relaxed, (4)lonely, (5)Out of boredom, (6)Struggling with cravings, (7)Influence of others, (8)To control weight, (9)Missing vaping, (10)Other.

Questions on reasons for changes in vaping, motivation to quit vaping, stopping vaping and taking up vaping again were based on the Smoking Toolkit Study (Fidler et al., 2011)

2.3.2. Explanatory variables

Vaping status was assessed with the question ‘Which statement about vaping best describes you?’ (adapted from Fidler et al., 2011). Participants were classified as current vapers (daily and non-daily), never vapers, ex-vapers (stopped vaping) and recent ex-vapers (stopped vaping ≤ 1 year). The latter two groups were not mutually exclusive. We also assessed when recent ex-vapers last vaped.

2.3.3. Covariates

Nicotine dependence (current vapers only) based on the Heaviness of vaping index; Heatherton et al., 1989 (light vs heavy vapers) **Sociodemographic information** included age (18–24, 25–34, 35–44, 45–54, 55–64, ≥ 65), gender (female vs all other), education (post-16 qualification vs not), household income pre-Covid-19 ($< \pounds 50,000$, $\geq \pounds 50,000$, prefer not to say), ethnicity (White vs other), occupation (employed vs not), living alone (vs not), and living with children (vs not). Sociodemographic variables were included as covariates in our analyses given evidence that severe Covid-19 outcomes are disproportionately high among older, male, lower socioeconomic status and ethnic minorities (Public Health England, 2020a, 2020b; Whittle and Diaz-Artiles, 2020). Presence of any **health condition** (yes/no). Health condition was used as a covariate across analyses as people with health condition may be more likely to experience severe symptoms of Covid-19 (Onder et al., 2020; Wu and McGoogan, 2020). **Perceived risk of Covid-19** (major/significant risk vs not). **Smoking status**, smokers (including smoking cigarettes or using any other tobacco product, daily or non-daily) vs not.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Analyses were conducted on complete cases using SPSS v.26. To account for the non-random nature of the sample, all data were weighted to the proportions of sex, age, ethnicity, education, household income and country of living obtained from the Office for National Statistics (2020).

RQ1: Logistic regression was used to examine associations between vaping status (never vapers (referent), ex-vapers and current vapers) and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19. We constructed three models: i) unadjusted; ii)with adjustment for sociodemographic characteristics; and iii)with additional adjustment for smoking status and health conditions. To assess possible interactions between cigarette smoking and vaping status this was checked before running the first model.

Where there were non-significant associations between vaping status and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19, Bayes factors (BFs) were used to distinguish inconclusive data from evidence for large, medium or small effects. Large effects in either direction were defined as having an odds ratio OR = 4 (positive association) or for lower estimates 1/4 (negative association), based on evidence that current smoking rates among patients hospitalised for Covid-19 in China are four times lower than would be expected from the smoking prevalence in the country (Farsalinos et al., 2020a, 2020b). We defined a medium effect size as OR = 2 (positive association) or 1/2 (negative association) and a small effect size as OR = 1.5 (positive association) or 2/3 (negative association).

RQ2: Among current vapers, we report self-reported changes in vaping since Covid-19 (i.e. the proportion who report vaping more, less, or about the same). We used multinomial logistic regression to examine associations of vaping characteristics, sociodemographic characteristics, perceived Covid-19 risk, health conditions, smoking status, and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 with (i) vaping less, and (ii) vaping more, vs

no change (referent). We analysed unadjusted associations (bivariate models) and independent associations (multivariable model) between each variable and outcome. Among current vapers who reported changes in vaping since Covid-19, we also report reasons for changes in vaping and calculated the proportion (and 95 % Confidence Interval (CI)) of current vapers who are motivated to quit and describe their reasons (Covid-19-related vs. non-Covid-19-related) for considering stopping vaping.

RQ3: In recent ex-vapers (those who had stopped vaping in the past 6 months), we calculated the proportion (and 95 % CI) who reported quitting vaping due to Covid-19-related reasons. We also calculated the proportion (and 95 % CI) of recent ex-vapers who reported considering taking up vaping again and their reasons for considering taking up vaping.

2.4.1. Departures from the pre-registered protocol

The pre-registered protocol for the data analysis of RQ2 specified that logistic regressions would be used to examine associations of sociodemographic and vaping characteristics, perceived Covid-19 risk, health conditions, smoking status, and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 with (i)vaping less (vs not [referent]) and (ii)vaping more (vs not [referent]). After analysing the data (reported on OSF, for transparency), we observed that a large proportion of vapers did not change their vaping since Covid-19. As a result, in a logistic regression the observed differences were primarily driven by the group who had not changed their vaping. Thus, we decided to conduct and report in the present manuscript additional analyses involving a multinomial regression analysis where the dependent variable had three levels: an increase, decrease and no change (reference category) in vaping status. Logistic regressions are presented in Supplementary Materials 2 (Table 4). We also amended the age categories to account for the observation that the number of participants in the category ≥ 70 years was small.

3. Results

A total of 2994 (weighted 2792) participants met the inclusion criteria for the study (complete cases) and were included in the present analyses. All the results presented below are weighted (for unweighted results see Supplementary Materials 2). Sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. Of the analytic sample, three quarters were never smokers and the rest were current or ex-vapers. Overall, one third of participants were aged 55–64 years, half were female, the majority were of White ethnicity, one third had no post-16 educational qualifications and three quarters had an annual income of less than $\pounds 50,000$.

3.1. RQ1: Diagnosed/suspected Covid-19

Of the total sample, 1% (95 % CI 0.7–1.4 %) reported having a diagnosis of Covid-19 and a further 21.5 % (95 %CI 19.9–23.0 %) reported suspected Covid-19. Table 2 shows the prevalence of diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 by vaping status. Both unadjusted and adjusted analyses showed no significant differences between vaping status and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19. The calculation of Bayes Factors (BFs) with expected effect sizes set to indicate a positive association (harmful effect) of ex- and current vaping compared with never vaping with diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 indicated that there was insufficient evidence to rule out small, medium (Supplementary Table 1) and large associations (Table 2). However, in adjusted analyses, there was sufficient evidence to rule out large differences in diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 for current compared with never vapers (Table 2). The calculation of BFs with expected effect sizes set to indicate a negative association (protective effect) of ex- and current vaping compared with never vaping with diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 indicated that there was sufficient evidence to rule out small, medium and large associations (Supplementary Table 2).

Table 1
Sample characteristics (weighted data).

N (weighted)	Whole sample	Never vapers	Ex-vapers	Current vapers	p-value ¹
	2792	2128 (76.2 %)	209 (7.5 %)	455 (16.3 %)	
Age in years, % (n)					
18–24	12.1 (338)	10.5 (223)	16.3 (34)	17.8 (81)	<0.001
25–34	10.6 (295)	10.4 (221)	13.9 (29)	9.9 (45)	
35–44	13.1 (367)	12.6 (268)	15.8 (33)	14.5 (66)	
45–54	18.8 (526)	16.9 (360)	21.4 (45)	26.6 (121)	
55–64	27.7 (774)	29.0 (617)	18.7 (39)	25.9 (118)	
≥65	17.6 (492)	20.6 (439)	13.9 (29)	5.3 (24)	
Female sex, % (n)	52.0 (1453)	57.9 (1229)	39.0 (82)	31.1 (142)	<0.001
White ethnicity, % (n)	89.5 (2491)	89.4 (1896)	86.7 (182)	91.2 (413)	0.206
Employed, % (n)	47.3 (1322)	47.4 (1008)	44.8 (94)	48.2 (220)	0.702
No post-16 qualifications, % (n)	32.7 (913)	31.7 (673)	36.7 (77)	35.7 (163)	0.107
Living alone, % (n)	19.1 (533)	17.8 (379)	24.3 (51)	22.6 (103)	0.009
Living with children, % (n)	16.8 (469)	16.0 (341)	20.0 (42)	18.9 (86)	0.148
Income, % (n)					
≥£50,000	17.8 (496)	19.2 (409)	11.8 (25)	13.6 (62)	<0.001
<£50,000	73.5 (2052)	71.4 (1517)	82.5 (174)	79.2 (361)	
Prefer not to say	8.7 (243)	9.3 (198)	5.7 (12)	7.2 (33)	
Current tobacco smoker, % (n)	26.0 (725)	17.6 (375)	70.5 (148)	44.3 (202)	<0.001
Health problems, % (n)	44.3 (1214)	43.4 (910)	41.7 (85)	49.5 (219)	0.045
Perceived high risk of Covid-19, % (n)	26.9 (739)	27.6 (579)	29.3 (60)	22.5 (100)	0.068

¹ p values are for comparisons across the 3 subgroups.

3.2. RQ2: changes in vaping since Covid-19

Among current vapers, 38 (9.7 %, 95 %CI 6.8–12.6 %) self-reported vaping less than usual since Covid-19, 167 (42.0 %, 95 %CI 37.2–46.9 %) self-reported vaping more, and 192 (48.3 %, 95 %CI 43.4–53.2 %) self-reported no change. Vaping less was independently associated with being female, not living with children and being a current tobacco smoker, while vaping more was independently associated with being

Table 2
Associations of vaping status with diagnosed/suspected Covid-19.

Vaping status	% [95 %CI]	Model 1	Bayes Factor		Model 2	Bayes Factor		Model 3	Bayes Factor	
		OR [95 % CI] (p)	BF _{OR=4}	BF _{OR=1/4}	aOR [95 % CI] (p)	BF _{OR=4}	BF _{OR=1/4}	aOR [95 % CI] (p)	BF _{OR=4}	BF _{OR=1/4}
Never vapers	21.5 [19.8–23.3]	1 (ref)			1 (ref)			1 (ref)		
Ex-vapers	26.7 [20.6–32.9]	1.33 [0.96–1.84] (0.087)	0.91	0.05	1.21 [0.87–1.70] (0.259)	0.38	0.06	1.24 [0.88–1.74] (0.225)	0.45	0.06
Current vapers	25.0 [20.9–29.0]	1.21 [0.95–1.54] (0.115)	0.56	0.04	1.12 [0.87–1.44] (0.368)	0.22	0.05	1.15 [0.87–1.50] (0.327)	0.26	0.05

Note: All data are weighted to the proportions of sex, age, ethnicity, education and country of living obtained from the Office for National Statistics (2018). CI, confidence interval. OR, odds ratio. aOR, adjusted odds ratio.

Model 1 is unadjusted. Model 2 is adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, post-16 qualifications, living alone, living with children and income. Model 3 is adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, post-16 qualifications, living alone, living with children, income, smoking status and health problems.

BF = Bayes Factors.

younger, living alone, and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 (Table 3).

The most common reason for vaping more was ‘out of boredom’, followed by ‘staying mostly at home where there are fewer/no restrictions’ (Table 4). Among vapers who reported vaping less, the most commonly specified reason was ‘staying at home where there are fewer/no restrictions’. In our sample, there were 128 (32.2 %, 95 % CI 21.7–37.9 %) current vapers who reported being motivated to quit vaping due to Covid-19, 37 (29.8 %, 95 % CI 20.7–33.4 %) of whom were motivated to do so for Covid-19-related reasons.

3.3. RQ3: Reasons for quitting vaping in the last year and considerations of taking up vaping again since Covid-19

There were 62 recent ex-vapers who had quit vaping in the last 6 months and reported reasons for quitting vaping. Of these, 13 (21.0 %, 95 %CI 10.5–31.4 %) had quit vaping due to Covid-19-related reasons.

There were also 35 (40.7 %, 95 % CI 30.1–51.2 %) recent ex-vapers who were considering taking up vaping again since Covid-19, and their most common reasons were ‘struggling with cravings’ (35.7 %, 95 % CI 22.9–48.5 %) and ‘feeling stressed’ (25.2 %, 95 % CI 13.6–36.8 %) (Supplementary Table 3).

4. Discussion

In this sample of UK adults, current vaping was not associated with diagnosed/suspected Covid-19. There was sufficient evidence to rule out a negative (i.e. protective) association between vaping status and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19, but the evidence was insufficient to rule out a small or medium positive (i.e. harmful) association. Half of current vapers changed their vaping consumption, with most of those who changed reporting an increase in their vaping since Covid-19 and doing so out of boredom or because they were staying home where there are fewer restrictions. One-third of current vapers were motivated to quit vaping since Covid-19; however, most of them were motivated to do so for non-Covid-19-related reasons. Our results also indicate that 21.0 % of recent ex-vapers had quit vaping because of Covid-19, while 40.7 % of recent ex-vapers were considering taking up vaping again since Covid-19.

Similar to research conducted in a representative sample of adults in England (Tattan-Birch et al., 2020), our findings indicate that there is no difference in self-reported Covid-19 infection between never, current and ex-vapers. In contrast, research on young adults (13–24 years old) in the US suggests that vaping is associated with a diagnosis of Covid-19 (Gaiha et al., 2020). This study has linked ever but not current vaping to Covid-19, and it was conducted among teens who are more prone than adults to take other risks related to Covid-19. Our study suggests that large effects of vaping on the risk of acquiring Covid-19 can be excluded but remains agnostic as to smaller effects.

It has been theorised that there may be a potential protective effect of

Table 3
Correlates of vaping less and vaping more than usual since Covid-19 among current vapers n = 397 (Multinomial logistic regression analyses).

	Vaping less n = 38				Vaping more n = 167			
	Bivariate Whole sample		Multivariable Whole sample		Bivariate Whole sample		Multivariable Whole sample	
	OR [95 % CI]	p	aOR [95 % CI]	P	OR [95 % CI]	p	aOR [95 % CI]	P
Age 18–24	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
25–34	0.28 [0.06–1.33]	0.110	0.27 [0.04–1.95]	0.193	0.55 [0.24–1.27]	0.164	0.34 [0.12–0.98]	0.045
35–44	1.11 [0.37–3.31]	0.857	2.36 [0.39–14.35]	0.353	0.57 [0.25–1.28]	0.171	0.24 [0.07–0.74]	0.014
45–54	0.29 [0.10–0.88]	0.029	0.42 [0.08–2.25]	0.314	0.37 [0.19–0.73]	0.004	0.25 [0.09–0.65]	0.005
55–64	0.26 [0.08–0.80]	0.019	0.28 [0.06–1.38]	0.122	0.34 [0.17–0.68]	0.002	0.22 [0.09–0.58]	0.002
≥65	0.36 [0.07–2.04]	0.250	0.88 [0.11–6.894]	0.905	0.29 [0.10–0.87]	0.027	0.19 [0.05–0.73]	0.016
Sex: Other	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
Female	2.98 [1.47–6.04]	0.003	4.13 [1.66–10.28]	0.002	0.74 [0.47–1.18]	0.208	1.00 [0.58–1.74]	0.990
Ethnicity: Other	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
White	1 [0.29–3.42]	0.999	1.55 [0.31–7.75]	0.596	1.10 [0.52–2.34]	0.803	1.67 [0.62–4.48]	0.306
Post 16 qualifications: yes	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
No	0.91 [0.44–1.87]	0.792	0.68 [0.25–1.84]	0.449	0.78 [0.50–1.20]	0.258	0.79 [0.45–1.37]	0.395
Employed: yes	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
Other	0.64 [0.32–1.29]	0.216	0.54 [0.18–1.60]	0.265	0.97 [0.64–1.47]	0.890	0.61 [0.35–1.05]	0.075
Living alone: yes	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
No	1.58 [0.58–4.35]	0.373	1.26 [0.32–4.98]	0.741	0.54 [0.33–0.87]	0.012	0.48 [0.26–0.88]	0.018
Living with children: yes	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
No	1.96 [0.66–5.84]	0.227	4.93 [1.15–21.08]	0.032	0.84 [0.50–1.40]	0.499	0.29 [0.09–0.93]	0.347
Income: ≥£50,000	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
<£50,000	0.65 [0.25–1.72]	0.385	0.98 [0.28–3.41]	0.971	0.77 [0.42–1.43]	0.409	0.92 [0.46–1.85]	0.812
Prefer not to say	1.05 [0.25–4.36]	0.952	0.80 [0.12–5.38]	0.816	0.59 [0.21–1.63]	0.307	0.49 [0.13–1.83]	0.288
Smoking status: Non-smoker	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
Smoker	10.42 [4.20–26.32]	<0.001	8.77 [3.04–25.64]	<0.001	1.26 [0.82–1.93]	0.299	0.84 [0.49–1.44]	0.524
Health problems: No	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
Yes	0.84 [0.42–1.68]	0.615	0.74 [0.28–1.93]	0.533	0.90 [0.60–1.37]	0.636	0.91 [0.54–1.55]	0.739
Perceived high risk of Covid-19: no	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
Yes	1.30 [0.60–2.85]	0.504	1.56 [0.58–4.26]	0.380	1.04 [0.63–1.69]	0.889	1.47 [0.81–2.67]	0.208
Diagnosed/suspected Covid-19: no	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
Yes	1.61 [0.61–4.26]	0.338	3.19 [0.96–10.64]	0.059	5.65 [3.25–9.80]	<0.001	4.72 [2.60–8.62]	<0.001
Light vaper	1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)		1 (ref)	
Heavy vaper	0.02 [0–4.38]	0.057	0.03 [0–1.94]	0.101	1.20 [0.73–1.97]	0.461	1.19 [0.66–2.13]	0.565

Reference category: no change.

Note: All data are weighted to the proportions of sex, age, ethnicity, education and country of living obtained from the Office for National Statistics (2018). CI, confidence interval. OR, odds ratio. aOR, adjusted odds ratio.

Multivariable model: age, sex, ethnicity, post-16 qualifications, living alone, living with children, income, smoking status, health problems, perceived risk of Covid-19 and confirmed/suspected Covid-19.

Missing data is due to attrition from the survey.

Table 4
Self-reported reasons for changes in vaping since Covid-19 in current vapers who reported changes since Covid-19 (n = 205).

Reason for changes in vaping	Vaping more (n = 167) % [95 % CI]	Vaping less (n = 38) % [95 % CI]
Out of boredom	56.9 [49.3–64.4]	18.8 [5.9–31.8]
Staying mostly at home where there are fewer or no restrictions	50.3 [42.6–57.9]	23.5 [9.5–37.6]
Feeling anxious	23.1 [16.7–29.6]	18.9 [5.9–31.8]
Feeling stressed	23.2 [16.7–29.6]	13.1 [19.2–24.2]
Other	9.6 [5.1–14.1]	35.4 [19.6–51.3]
Feeling lonely	14.4 [8.9–19.7]	6.4 [0–14.5]
Feeling relaxed	9.2 [4.8–13.7]	4.4 [0–11.1]
Influence of other people	6.5 [2.7–10.2]	4.8 [0–11.8]
Changes in how much e-liquid /how many e-cigarettes I can buy	2.1 [0–4.4]	9.1 [0–18.6]

Note: All data are weighted to the proportions of sex, age, ethnicity, education and country of living obtained from the Office for National Statistics (2018). CI, confidence interval.

nicotine on Covid-19 infection as there are biologically plausible pathways through which nicotine may impact Covid-19 cell entry (Farsalinos et al., 2020a, 2020b; Kloc et al., 2020). Our findings do not support such a protective effect in line with some (e.g Grundy et al., 2020; Williamson et al., 2020) but not other (e.g Guan et al., 2020; Miyara et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020) studies examining the association between cigarette

smoking and Covid-19. While evidence regarding the impact of smoking on disease severity is equally mixed (e.g. Patanavanich & Glantz, 2020; Simons et al., 2020), the current study was not able to address whether nicotine may be protective against the development of more severe symptoms of Covid-19 among people who become infected.

Similar proportions of current vapers self-reported vaping more or the same since Covid-19, and only a minority self-reported vaping less. Reduced vaping consumption was associated with being a smoker. This finding may indicate that dual users (those who are both cigarette smokers and vapers) have decreased their vaping since Covid-19, possibly because they instead increased their cigarette smoking. This is consistent with observed increases in cigarette consumption in current smokers in England and other countries since the start of the Covid-19 pandemic (Jackson et al., 2020a, 2020b; Malta et al., 2020; Vanderburggen et al., 2020). Our data also suggest that some people have reduced their vaping because of staying at home where there are fewer restrictions, with more opportunities to smoke cigarettes. Being female was also associated with reductions in vaping. During the first national UK lockdown, women reported being busier than ever with an increase in home-schooling, childcare, and care for older people (Engender, 2020). As such, women had less leisure time, which may result in vaping reductions.

Of current vapers, 42 % self-reported an increase in vaping since Covid-19. We found that younger vapers were more likely than older vapers to report vaping more. Similarly, research in other addictive behaviours has found that younger people were more likely to increase their cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption since Covid-19

(Jackson et al., 2020a, 2020b; Garnett et al., 2020; Malta et al., 2020; Vanderburgen et al., 2020). Research has shown that younger people have been most affected by behavioural restrictions with large declines in their mental health and well-being (Etheridge and Spantig, 2020). Younger people have also reported worries about the impact of lockdown on their studies, work and relationships (ONS, 2020) and during lockdown, loneliness levels have been the highest and life satisfaction levels the lowest among younger adults (Fancourt and Steptoe, 2020). Indeed, our data indicated that the main reasons for increase in vaping were out of boredom and because people were staying at home.

Our analyses also showed that vapers with diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 had higher odds of reporting vaping more than usual since Covid-19, which may suggest a link between vaping consumption and Covid-19 infection. However, it should be highlighted that our data were cross-sectional and we did not prospectively examine this relationship. Thus, our findings may also suggest that vapers who believe they have/had Covid-19 started vaping more because of stress or believing that nicotine is protective against Covid-19. It is also possible, especially given the small number of confirmed cases of Covid-19 in our sample, that participants may have misinterpreted their symptoms as many other respiratory infections share symptoms with Covid-19.

One third of current vapers reported being motivated to quit vaping and were partly motivated to do so because of Covid-19. However, for most of vapers, their main reason for wanting to quit vaping was unrelated to Covid-19. This is in agreement with research conducted in Italy, which suggests that vapers did not consider stopping vaping during the first Italian lockdown (Caponnetto et al., 2020). It has also been documented that among cigarette smokers, attempts to quit were triggered by non-Covid-19 related reasons (Tattan-Birch et al., 2020). It is possible that contradictory media reports on the possibility of nicotine being protective against Covid-19 have partly discouraged people to quit vaping and smoking, while influencing recent ex-vapers to consider taking up vaping again. In our sample, 40.7 % of recent ex-vapers were considering taking up vaping again since Covid-19 and one of the most common self-reported reasons was because of feeling stressed.

This study has a number of strengths. Data were collected in real-time during the first phase of the Covid-19 pandemic, which reduces the risk of recall bias. The variety of measures collected is another advantage, permitting a detailed analysis of a broad range of potential confounders of the relationship between vaping and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19, and correlates of changes in vaping since Covid-19. However, the study also had several limitations. First, diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 was self-reported and not confirmed with a viral or antibody test. As many other respiratory infections share symptoms with Covid-19, some participants may have misinterpreted their symptoms. In addition, it is likely that many participants experienced asymptomatic infection (Oran and Topol, 2020) and therefore did not report being infected. Second, our measure of vaping less likely underestimated the proportion reducing their vaping as those who quit vaping altogether since Covid-19 were not included in the analyses, while we did not measure attempts to change vaping that were not successful. Additionally, some of those who quit vaping in the last 6 months might have done so before Covid-19 was a serious concern in the UK. Third, other factors which may affect Covid-19 risk, such as compliance with lockdown orders, mask wearing, were not assessed. Fourth, for some analyses the sample size was small, resulting in wide confidence intervals meaning that we likely lacked sufficient power to detect differences (confirmed by BFs, indicating data insensitivity). Fifth, while we weighted the sample to be representative of the UK adult population, it was self-selected and not random which affects the generalisability of the results. Sixth, most of the questions used were adapted from previous research and not validated, while changes in vaping were self-reported. Finally, the data were cross-sectional, and we did not measure the prospective relationship between changes in vaping and potential predictor variables. Longitudinal data following changes in vaping over time as the pandemic continues would be useful in evaluating the extent

to which any initial changes in vaping behaviour are maintained over time.

4.1. Conclusions

When assessed by self-report in a UK population sample, diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 was not associated with vaping status. Among current vapers, half did not change their vaping consumption since Covid-19, with about 40 % reporting an increase in vaping and 10 % reporting a decrease. Vaping less was associated with being female, not living with children and concurrent smoking, while vaping more was associated with being younger, living alone, and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19. Motivation to quit vaping was partly related to Covid-19. A small number of recent ex-vapers quit vaping due to Covid-19, while nearly half of recent ex-vapers were considering taking up vaping again. Unlike previous work on cigarette smoking, there appears not to be a strong association between vaping and diagnosed/suspected Covid-19 in the present study. In addition, Covid-19 may have contributed to reinforcing different behavioural patterns (as observed for cigarette smoking and alcohol) such that a proportion of people have stopped completely since Covid-19, with others vaping more.

Governance and ethics

The study has been approved by UCL Research Ethics Committee at the UCL Division of Psychology and Language Sciences (PaLS) (CEHP/2020/579) as part of the larger programme 'The optimisation and implementation of interventions to change behaviours related to health and the environment'. All participants provided fully informed consent. The study is GDPR compliant.

Authors disclosure

DK, AH, OP, SJ declare no conflicts of interest. JB has received unrestricted research grants from Pfizer related to smoking cessation. LS has received honoraria for talks, an unrestricted research grant and travel expenses to attend meetings and workshops from Pfizer and has acted as paid reviewer for grant awarding bodies and as a paid consultant for health care companies.

Authors' contributions

LS, AH, OP, DK and JB conceived and designed the study. DK and LS analysed the data. DK wrote the first draft. LS, AH, OP, SJ and JB provided critical revisions. All authors read and approved the submitted manuscript.

Funding

DK, AH, OP and SJ receive salary support from Cancer Research UK (C1417/A22962). Funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data; preparation, review or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Declaration of Competing Interest

JB has received unrestricted research funding from Pfizer, who manufacture smoking cessation medications. LS has received honoraria for talks, an unrestricted research grant and travel expenses to attend meetings and workshops from Pfizer and has acted as paid reviewer for grant awarding bodies and as a paid consultant for health care companies. All authors declare no financial links with tobacco companies or e-cigarette manufacturers or their representatives.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the

online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.108590>.

References

- Caponnetto, P., Inguscio, L., Saitta, C., Maglia, M., Benfatto, F., Polosa, R., 2020. Smoking behavior and psychological dynamics during COVID-19 social distancing and stay-at-home policies: a survey. *Health Psychol. Res.* 8 (1) <https://doi.org/10.4081/hpr.2020.9124>.
- Denholm, J.T., Gordon, C.L., Johnson, P.D., et al., 2010. Hospitalised adult patients with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza in Melbourne, Australia. *Med. J. Aust.* 192, 84–86.
- Engender, 2020. Sex and Power in Scotland 2020.
- Etheridge, B., Spantig, L., 2020. The Gender Gap in Mental Well-Being During the Covid-19 Outbreak: Evidence from the UK. *Inst Soc Econ Res. ISER Work Pap Ser.* 8:26. 22.
- Fancourt, D., Steptoe, A., 2020. Stress Related to Catching COVID-19 Is Highest Among 30-59 Year Olds. *UCL News*, 2020.
- Farsalinos, K., Barbouni, A., Niaura, R., 2020a. Smoking, vaping and hospitalization for COVID-19. *Qeios*. <https://doi.org/10.32388/z69o8a.13>.
- Farsalinos, K., Niaura, R., Le Houezec, J., et al., 2020b. Editorial: nicotine and SARS-CoV-2: COVID-19 may be a disease of the nicotinic cholinergic system. *Toxicol Reports* 7, 658–663.
- Feldman, C., Anderson, R., 2013. Cigarette smoking and mechanisms of susceptibility to infections of the respiratory tract and other organ systems. *J. Infect.* 67, 169–184.
- Fidler, J.A., Shahab, L., West, O., Jarvis, M.J., McEwen, A., Stapleton, J.A., Vangeli, E., West, R., 2011. The smoking toolkit study: a national study of smoking and smoking cessation in England. *BMC Public Health* 11, 479.
- Gaiha, S.M., Cheng, J., Halpern-Felsher, B., 2020. Association between youth smoking, electronic cigarette use, and Covid-19. *J. Adolesc. Health* 67, 519–523.
- Garnett, C., Jackson, S.E., Oldham, M., Brown, J., Steptoe, A., Fancourt, D., 2020. Factors associated with drinking behaviour during COVID-19 social distancing and lockdown among adults in the UK. *medRxiv* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.22.20199430>, 09.22.20199430.
- Gov.uk, 2020. Public Health. <https://www.gov.uk/health-and-social-care/public-health>.
- Grundy, E.J., Suddek, T., Filippidis, F.T., Majeed, A., Coronini-Cronberg, S., 2020. Smoking, SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a review of reviews considering implications for public health policy and practice. *Tob. Induc. Dis.* 58.
- Guan, W.-J., Ni, Z.-Y., Hu, Y., Liang, W.-H., Ou, C.-Q., He, J.-X., et al., 2020. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 382, 1708–1720.
- Harris, P.A., Taylor, R., Thielke, R., Payure, 2009. (REDCap) A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J. Biomed. Inform.* 42 (2), 377–381.
- Harris, P.A., Taylor, R., Minor, B.L., Elliott, V., Fernandez, M., O'Neal, L., et al., 2019. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *J. Biomed. Inform.* 95, 103208 (December 2018).
- Heatherton, T.F., Kozlowski, L.T., Frecker, R.C., Rickert, W., Robinson, J., 1989. Measuring the heaviness of Smoking: using self-reported time to the first cigarette of the day and number of cigarettes smoked per day. *Br. J. Addict.* 84 (7), 791–799.
- Jackson, S.E., Brown, J., Shahab, L., Steptoe, A., Fancourt, D., 2020a. COVID-19, smoking and inequalities: a study of 53 002 adults in the UK. *Tob. Control*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-055933>.
- Jackson, S.E., Garnett, C., Shahab, L., Oldham, M., Brown, J., 2020b. Association of the Covid-19 lockdown with smoking, drinking, and attempts to quit in England: an analysis of 2019-2020 data. *Addiction*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15295>.
- Kloc, M., Ghobrial, R.M., Kubiak, J.Z., 2020. How nicotine can inhibit cytokine storm in the lungs and prevent or lessen the severity of COVID-19 infection? *Immunol. Lett.* 224, 28–29.
- Kotz, D., Brown, J., West, R., 2013. Predictive validity of the Motivation To Stop Scale (MTSS): a single-item measure of motivation to stop smoking. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 128, 15–19.
- Malta, D.C., Szwarcwald, C.L., de Azevedo Barros, M.B., Gomes, C.S., Machado, I.E., et al., 2020. The COVID-19 pandemic and changes in adult Brazilian lifestyles: a cross-sectional study. *Epidemiol. Serv. Saude.* 29 (4), e2020407.
- Miyara, M., Tubach, F., Pourcher, V., Morelot-Panzini, C., Pernet, J., Haroche, J., et al., 2020. Low incidence of daily active tobacco smoking in patients with symptomatic COVID-19. *Qeios*. Preprint v3.
- Niedzwiedz, C.L., Green, M., Benzeval, M., Campbell, D., Craig, P., Demou, E., Leyland, A.H., Pearce, A., Thomson, R.M., Whitley, E., Vittal Katikireddi, S., 2020. Mental health and health behaviours before and during the COVID-19 lockdown: Longitudinal analyses of the UK Household Longitudinal Study. *medRxiv* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.21.20136820>, 06.21.20136820.
- Office for National Statistics, 2020. How Has Lockdown Affected People of Different Ages?.
- Onder, G., Rezza, G., Brusaferro, S., 2020. Case-fatality rate and characteristics of patients dying in relation to COVID-19 in Italy. *JAMA* [Internet]. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2763667>.
- Oran, D.P., Topol, E.J., 2020. Prevalence of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 173 (5), 362–367.
- Public Health England, 2020a. Disparities in the Risk and Outcomes From COVID-19. Available. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/908434/Disparities_in_the_risk_and_outcomes_of_COVID_August_2020_update.pdf.
- Public Health England, 2020b. Smokers at Greater Risk of Severe Respiratory Disease From COVID-19. Available. <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/smokers-at-greater-risk-of-severe-respiratory-disease-from-covid-19>.
- Rizzo, A., 2020. What You Need to Know About Smoking, Vaping and COVID-19. *American Lung Association*. <https://www.lung.org/blog/smoking-and-covid19>.
- Shahab, L., Goniewicz, M.L., Blount, B.C., Brown, J., et al., 2017. Nicotine, carcinogen, and toxin exposure in long-term E-Cigarette and nicotine replacement therapy users: a cross-sectional study. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 166, 390–400. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-1107>.
- Simons, D., Shahab, L., Brown, J., Perski, O., 2020. The association of smoking status with SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitalisation and mortality from COVID-19: a living rapid evidence review with Bayesian meta-analyses (version 7). *Addiction*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15276>.
- Tattan-Birch, H., Perski, O., Jackson, S.E., Shahab, L., West, R., Brown, J., 2020. COVID-19, smoking, vaping and quitting: a representative population survey in England. *Addiction*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15251>.
- Usman, M.S., Siddiqi, T.J., Khan, M.S., 2020. Is there a smoker's paradox in COVID-19? *Bmj Evid. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjebm-2020-111492>.
- Vanderburggen, N., Matthys, F., Van Laere, S., Zeeuws, D., Santermans, L., Van de Ameerle, S., Crunelle, C.L., 2020. Self-reported alcohol, tobacco and cannabis use during COVID-19 lockdown measures: results from a web-based survey. *Eur Addict Res* 26, 309–315.
- Whittle, R.S., Diaz-Artiles, A., 2020. An ecological study of socioeconomic predictors in detection of COVID-19 cases across neighborhoods in New York City. *BMC Med.* 18, 271. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01731-6>.
- Williamson, E.J., Walker, A.J., Bhaskaran, K., et al., 2020. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using Open SAFELY. *Nature*. 584, 430–436.
- World Health Organization, 2020. Tobacco and Waterpipe Use Increases the Risk of Suffering From COVID-19. Available: <http://www.emro.who.int/tfi/know-the-truth/tobacco-and-waterpipe-users-are-at-increased-risk-of-covid-19-infection.html>.
- Wu, Z., McGoogan, J.M., 2020. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA*.
- Zhou, F., Yu, T., Du, R., Fan, G., Liu, Y., et al., 2020. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 395, 1054–1062.