



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Original Article

Factors associated with viral clearance periods from patients with COVID-19: A retrospective observational cohort study



Nobuyasu Hirai ^{a,*}, Yuichi Nishioka ^b, Takahiro Sekine ^a, Yuji Nishihara ^a, Nao Okuda ^a, Tomoko Nishimura ^a, Hiroyuki Fujikura ^a, Natsuko Imakita ^a, Tatsuya Fukumori ^a, Taku Ogawa ^a, Naokuni Hishiya ^c, Yuki Suzuki ^c, Ryuichi Nakano ^c, Hisakazu Yano ^c, Masatoshi Sato ^d, Koichi Maeda ^d, Tomoaki Imamura ^b, Kei Kasahara ^a

^a Center for Infectious Diseases, Nara Medical University, Shijo-cho, Kashihara, Nara, Japan

^b Department of Public Health, Health Management and Policy, Nara Medical University, Shijo-cho, Kashihara, Nara, Japan

^c Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Nara Medical University, Shijo-cho, Kashihara, Nara, Japan

^d Department of Infectious Diseases, Nara Prefecture General Medical Center, Shichijo-nishimachi, Nara City, Nara, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 November 2020

Received in revised form

6 February 2021

Accepted 12 February 2021

Available online 18 February 2021

Keywords:

Viral clearance periods

COVID-19

Comorbid conditions

Age

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Knowledge is limited on the virologic course of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, particularly the time taken for viral clearance and the optimal time to discontinue isolation. This study aims to identify the clinical and demographic factors influencing the time taken for viral clearance in patients with COVID-19 to determine the optimal isolation period. **Methods:** This two-center retrospective observational cohort study was conducted between March 1 and June 31, 2020. Patients with COVID-19, which was confirmed by real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction, were included. Data were extracted from medical records. The positive duration, which was defined as the period from the day of symptom onset to the negative conversion day, was assessed using a generalized linear model.

Results: We included 63 patients. The mean positive duration was 20 days. The positive duration was significantly shorter for patients younger than 30 years of age and those between 30 and 60 years of age than for patients older than 60 years of age. We observed a more scattered distribution of the positive duration in older patients than in younger patients.

Conclusions: Younger patients who recovered from COVID-19 took less time to clear SARS-CoV-2 than older patients; thus, a classification of the isolation periods based on age could be considered. A uniform viral clearance period for older patients may be difficult to determine because of biases such as underlying medical conditions. Further surveillance measures are recommended to determine the viral clearance time and the optimal isolation period.

© 2021 Japanese Society of Chemotherapy and The Japanese Association for Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is an emerging infectious disease that has spread rapidly worldwide, thus causing major concerns about transmission. COVID-19 is transmitted by inhalation or contact with droplets from an infected individual [1]. To prevent transmission, the isolation of infected

patients is recommended. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in the United States recommends that patients discontinue isolation three days after the fever has receded and respiratory symptoms have improved and 10 days after the onset of symptoms once the viral load has decreased [2]. Cheng et al. [3] reported that they found no epidemiological evidence of transmission approximately one week after the infection of an individual but did not provide molecular evidence to support these findings.

Recently, an increasing number of studies have reported on the clinical course of SARS-CoV-2. Comorbid conditions such as hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and diabetes

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: nobuyasuhirai1986@naramed-u.ac.jp (N. Hirai).

are recognized as risk factors for severe COVID-19 [4,5]. By contrast, knowledge about the virologic course of SARS-CoV-2 infection, particularly the time taken for viral clearance and the optimal time to discontinue isolation, is far more limited. In the current study, we aimed to identify the clinical characteristics and factors that influence viral clearance from patients with COVID-19 and to determine the positive duration on an individual basis.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study design and setting

This double-center retrospective observational cohort study was conducted at Nara Medical University and Nara Prefecture General Medical Center in Nara, Japan. These medical centers are hospitals designated to treat patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia. Almost all of the patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 in the Nara Prefecture were transferred to these hospitals. We retrospectively analyzed data from all patients who were diagnosed as positive for SARS-CoV-2 between March 1, 2020, and June 31, 2020. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Nara Medical University (Project identification code: No. 2726). The requirement to obtain informed consent was waived because this study was retrospective.

2.2. Sample collection

Nasopharyngeal samples were collected from all study participants. The samples were collected by the medical personnel of the two medical centers. Total RNA was extracted from each specimen by using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) or Maxwell RSC Viral Total Nucleic Acid Purification Kit (Promega Corporation, Wisconsin, USA). Quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays were conducted using primers and probes targeting the ORF1ab, N, S, or E genes and a positive reference gene. The reaction system and amplification conditions were set, and test results were assessed according to the manufacturer's specifications (F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd., Switzerland), and the protocol developed by the National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan [6], was followed. A SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed by a positive SARS-CoV-2 result from the qRT-PCR assay.

2.3. Data collection

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of the patients and collected data on the following variables: age, sex, body mass index, smoking history, chronic medical history (chronic pulmonary disease, diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease), angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) medication history, symptoms (fever, cough, sputum, dyspnea, joint pain and muscle pain, and loss of smell and taste), radiological examinations on admission, treatment regimen (need for oxygen or intubation, antiviral agents, antibacterial agents, and steroids), onset day, first qRT-PCR positive day, last qRT-PCR positive day, and negative conversion day. When two consecutive samples were negative for SARS-CoV-2 using the qRT-PCR testing method, the day on which the first sample was collected was determined to be the negative conversion day. The time to diagnosis was defined as the period from the day of symptom onset to the first qRT-PCR positive day. The positive duration was defined as the period from the day of symptom onset to the negative conversion day. The negative conversion lag was defined as the period from the last qRT-PCR positive day to the qRT-PCR negative conversion day. Symptoms were classified into three

categories: mild symptoms (no need for oxygen), moderate symptoms (need for oxygen), and severe symptoms (need for intubation). Oxygen administration was initiated when the SpO₂ in patients with COVID-19 was less than 90–93%, and the need for intubation was decided by an infectious disease physician and an intensive care physician. A diagnosis of pneumonia was made by two infectious disease physicians and was confirmed using chest radiography or computed tomography.

2.4. Statistical analysis

We calculated the positive duration by using a generalized linear model with a canonical link. The independent variables included age group, sex, smoking history, chronic pulmonary disease, diabetes, hypertension, fever, negative conversion lag, radiological findings on admission, and treatment. We performed two-tailed statistical tests and considered $p < 0.05$ statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows, Version 26.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). There were no missing data.

3. Results

3.1. Participant characteristics

A total of 85 patients with COVID-19 were admitted to the participating hospitals: 18 were asymptomatic, two died during treatment, and two were transferred to isolation facilities after admission. We included the remaining 63 patients in the analysis, comprising four children and 59 adults. The clinical and demographic characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the study participants was 47 years (range, 3–90 years), and 38 (60%) were male. The most common underlying medical conditions were hypertension (17%) and diabetes (6%). Moreover, 21 patients (33%) had a history of smoking. The mean age

Table 1
Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients with coronavirus disease (N = 63).

Variable	
Age in years, mean ± SD ^a	47 ± 19.9
Age > 60 years, n (%)	15 (24)
Sex (male), n (%)	38 (60)
BMI ^b , mean ± SD ^a	23.1 ± 4.95
Comorbidities, n (%)	
Hypertension	11 (17)
Diabetes mellitus	4 (6)
Cardiovascular disease	3 (5)
Chronic pulmonary disease	3 (5)
Clinical presentation, n (%)	
Fever	43 (68)
Cough	33 (52)
Loss of taste and smell	28 (44)
Joint pain and muscle pain	17 (27)
Dyspnea	10 (16)
Sputum	8 (13)
Pneumonia	26 (41)
Symptom severity, n (%)	
Mild symptoms	52 (83)
Moderate symptoms	7 (11)
Severe symptoms	4 (6)
Treatment, n (%)	
Antiviral therapy	10 (16)
Antibacterial therapy	9 (14)
Steroids	2 (3)
Positive duration, days, mean ± SD ^a	20 ± 9.2

^a SD: Standard deviation.

^b BMI: Body mass index (N = 55).

of the 16 participants who had underlying medical conditions was 65 years (range, 46–86 years). Two patients had an ACEIs medication history, and four had taken ARBs. The most common symptoms were fever (68%), cough (52%), and loss of taste and smell (44%). Sixteen percent of the patients received antiviral therapy (favipiravir), and 14% received antibacterial therapy. The median negative conversion lag was 3 days.

3.2. Time to diagnosis and positive duration distribution according to age

The time to diagnosis was significantly shorter in participants younger than 60 years of age than in those older than 60 years of age (median difference, 95% confidence interval [CI]: -7.2 days, -10.2 to -4.3 days for those younger than 30 years of age; -5.9 days, -8.4 to -3.4 days for those between 30 and 60 years of age).

The distribution of the positive duration by age group is shown in Fig. 1. The means and standard deviations of the positive durations of patients younger than 30 years of age, those between 30 and 60 years of age, and those older than 60 years of age were 15.3 ± 4.1 days, 20.1 ± 7.3 days, and 26.5 ± 13.5 days, respectively. In older patients, the positive duration had a scattered distribution.

3.3. Factors affecting the positive duration

Table 2 summarizes the results of the generalized linear model analysis of factors associated with the positive duration. The median positive duration was 20 days (range, 4–66 days). The positive duration was significantly shorter in participants younger than 60 years of age than in those older than 60 years of age (median difference, 95% confidence interval [CI]: -9.5 days, -15.7 to -3.3 days for those younger than 30 years of age; -6.0 days, -11.3 to -0.7 days for those aged 30–60 years of age). Additionally, the positive duration was significantly shorter in patients with mild symptoms than in those with severe symptoms (median difference, 95% CI: -16.5 days, -26.5 to -6.4 days). Patients with diabetes and fever had a shorter positive duration (median difference, 95% CI: -9.5 days, -18.0 to -1.0 days and -2.7 days, -7.3 to 1.9 days, respectively). By contrast, patients with hypertension had a longer positive duration (median difference, 95% CI: 5.6 days, 0.02–11.3 days). Table 3 shows the association between hypertension and ACEIs or

ARBs medication histories and positive duration. The mean positive duration among patients with hypertension and an ACEIs medication history was 29.5 days, and 18 days in those between 30 and 60 years of age. In contrast, the mean positive duration among patients with hypertension and an ARBs medication history was 21.3 days and 46 days in those older than 60 years, respectively. These results showed a trend in which positive duration in patients who had taken ACEIs was shorter than the average positive duration of the corresponding age groups while positive duration was longer in patients who had taken ARBs. The variance inflation factor was less than two for all variables considered, thus suggesting that there was little effect due to multicollinearity.

4. Discussion

Studies have reported that patients with COVID-19 shed SARS-CoV-2 RNA for 10–20 days [4,7]. Currently, the required isolation period in Japan is a minimum of 10 days after the onset of symptoms and 72 h after their improvement. There is also limited data on the time taken for SARS-CoV-2 clearance in infected patients and the factors that influence this duration. Hattori et al. [8] reported that an older age is associated with a longer duration of SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection; however, this report lacked multivariate analysis and an evaluation of the differences based on age. Thus, little is known about the factors that influence the positive duration.

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to evaluate the positive duration of SARS-CoV-2 infection by using multivariate analysis. It revealed that an older age was associated with a significantly longer positive duration. Patients younger than 30 years of age had a considerably shorter positive duration than patients older than 60 years of age. Zou et al. [9] reported that the viral RNA shedding pattern of patients with COVID-19 resembles that of patients with influenza. We speculate that an older age slows down viral clearance from the nasopharynx, similar to that in influenza [10], thus resulting in a longer positive duration period in older patients. Moreover, our study showed a delayed diagnosis in older patients compared with that in younger patients. It might have been too difficult for elderly patients to access the social system to obtain a diagnosis in the first wave of COVID-19.

We observed a scattered distribution for the positive duration with increasing age, especially in patients older than 60 years of age. In our study, an 80-year-old female patient with hypertension, cerebral infarction, atrial fibrillation, and aortic dissection continued to have positive qRT-PCR results for 66 days. By contrast, an 86-year-old male patient with diabetes and hypertension had positive qRT-PCR results for only four days. Considering that older patients with COVID-19 are more likely to have underlying diseases, such as diabetes, hypertension, and chronic pulmonary disease, than younger patients with COVID-19 [11], these factors may influence viral clearance from the nasopharyngeal cavities. In our study, diabetes was associated with a shorter positive duration; by contrast, hypertension was associated with a longer positive duration. According to Trump et al. [12], hypertension delayed viral clearance, and antihypertensive treatment with ARBs, but not ACEIs, is associated with delayed viral clearance. Our report also shows the same association, but more surveillance is needed because the number of patients with ACEIs and ARBs medication histories in our study was low. Although it is unclear why diabetes is associated with a shorter positive duration, more large-scale surveillance is needed to assess the risk factors accurately.

The variability in the positive duration with increasing age, especially in patients older than 60 years of age makes it difficult to determine standard viral clearance periods in this group of




Fig. 1. Positive duration in study participants by age group. This figure shows the relationship between positive duration and age. Positive duration was 15.3 ± 4.1 days (mean \pm standard deviation) in patients under 30 years of age, 20.0 ± 7.3 days in patients 30–60 years of age, and 26.5 ± 13.5 days in those over 60 years of age, showing more scattered results in older patients.

Table 2
Results of multivariate generalized linear model analysis of factors associated with the positive duration in patients with coronavirus disease (N = 63).

Variable	B	Standard error	95% Wald confidence interval		P value
			Minimum	Maximum	
Age, < 30 years	-9.552	3.1576	-15.741	-3.364	0.002
Age, 30–60 years	-6.031	2.6923	-11.308	-0.754	0.025
Age, > 60 years	Ref.	—	—	—	—
Male	-0.772	2.0970	-4.882	3.338	0.713
Fever	-2.724	2.3737	-7.377	1.928	0.251
Mild symptoms	-16.542	5.1249	-26.586	-6.497	0.001
Moderate symptoms	-12.560	5.2900	-22.928	-2.192	0.018
Severe symptoms	Ref.	—	—	—	—
Pneumonia	-1.063	2.4311	-5.828	3.702	0.662
Chronic pulmonary disease	2.180	4.5719	-6.781	11.141	0.634
Diabetes	-9.529	4.3315	-18.018	-1.039	0.028
Hypertension	5.695	2.8944	0.022	11.368	0.049
Smoking history	-2.686	2.1709	-6.941	1.569	0.216
Negative conversion lag	-0.185	0.2130	-0.603	0.232	0.385
Intercept	45.198	6.3939	32.666	57.730	<0.001

Table 3
Association between the positive duration in patients with hypertension and ACEIs and ARBs medication histories (N = 63).

Variable	Hypertension	ACEIs intake	ARBs intake	N	Mean duration (days)	SD ^a
Age, < 30 years	No	No	No	15	15.3	4.08
Age, 30–60 years	No	No	No	27	18.7	4.63
	Yes	No	No	2	29.5	10.6
	Yes	Yes	No	2	18	5.65
	Yes	No	Yes	2	30	24.0
Age, > 60 years	No	No	No	10	24.1	5.91
	Yes	No	No	3	21.3	16.1
	Yes	No	Yes	2	46.5	27.5

^a SD: Standard deviation.

patients. Therefore, in this group of patients, a uniform isolation period may be difficult to determine.

The duration of viral RNA shedding in patients with influenza has been shown to be shorter in asymptomatic individuals [13]. By contrast, we observed that patients with COVID-19 without fever or pneumonia had a longer positive duration than patients with fever and pneumonia. However, in view of the small sample size and the exclusion of the 18 asymptomatic patients who were not admitted to the hospital, we were unable to determine whether individuals with asymptomatic infection have a longer positive duration.

The primary limitation of our study is that we could not distinguish between viable and nonviable viruses because the qRT-PCR method used herein detects both viable and nonviable viral particles. Therefore, a positive nasopharyngeal swab result does not necessarily indicate an infectious virus. However, the duration of viral shedding is likely to be strongly correlated with the positive duration. Our results suggest that older age can be a factor in prolonging virus shedding. A second limitation of this study is that the qRT-PCR method used may not have been uniform because this study was conducted in two hospitals. Hence, there may have been differences in the sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 detection by qRT-PCR between the two hospitals, which, in turn, may have resulted in measurement errors. A third limitation is the small sample size. Because our region experienced a small COVID-19 epidemic compared to that seen in urban areas such as Tokyo, we were limited in the number of participants that could be recruited for our study.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, our results suggest that viral clearance periods from patients with COVID-19 can depend on age; thus, a

classification of the isolation periods based on age could be considered. A uniform viral clearance period for older patients may be difficult to determine because of biases such as underlying medical conditions. Further surveillance and molecular studies, as well as larger cohort studies, are recommended to determine the viral clearance time and the optimal isolation period.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Authorship statement

Nobuyasand Hirai wrote the manuscript; Yuichi Nishioka, Takahiro Sekine, Yuji Nishihara, Nao Okuda, Tomoko Nishimura, Hiroyuki Fujikura, Natsuko Imakita, Tatsuya Fukumori, Masatoshi Sato, Koichi Maeda, and Taku Ogawa treated the patients and collected data on the samples; Naokuni Hishiya, Yuki Suzuki, Ryuichi Nakano, and Hisakazu Yano conducted the laboratory work; Yuichi Nishioka and Tomoaki Imamura interpreted the results statistically; Kei Kasahara contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the writing of the final manuscript and the management or administration of this study.

Declaration of competing interest

None.

References

[1] Singhal T. A review of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19). *Indian J Pediatr* 2020;87:281–6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-020-03263-6>.

- [2] Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, Seilmaier M, Zange S, Müller MA, et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature* 2020;581:465–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x>.
- [3] Cheng H-Y, Jian S-W, Liu DP, Ng T-C, Huang W-T, Lin H-H. Taiwan COVID-19 Outbreak Investigation Team. Contact tracing assessment of COVID-19 transmission dynamics in Taiwan and risk at different exposure periods before and after symptom onset. *JAMA Intern Med* 2020:e202020. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2020>.
- [4] Hu X, Xing Y, Jia J, Ni W, Liang J, Zhao D, et al. Factors associated with negative conversion of viral RNA in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Sci Total Environ* 2020;728:138812. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138812>.
- [5] Yang J, Zheng Y, Gou X, Pu K, Chen Z, Guo Q, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020;94:91–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.017>.
- [6] Shirato K, Nao N, Katano H, Takayama I, Saito S, Kato F, et al. Development of genetic diagnostic methods for detection for novel coronavirus 2019 (nCoV-2019) in Japan. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2020;73(4):304–7. <https://doi.org/10.7883/yoken.JJID.2020.061>.
- [7] Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020;395:1054–62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30566-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3).
- [8] Hattori T, Amishima M, Morinaga D, Kamada K, Nakakubo S, Yamashita Y, et al. Older age is associated with sustained detection of SARS-CoV-2 in nasopharyngeal swab samples. *J Infect* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.06.046>.
- [9] Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, Liang L, Huang H, Hong Z, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load in upper respiratory specimens of infected patients. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:1177–9. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2001737>.
- [10] Lee N, Chan PKS, Hui DSC, Rainer TH, Wong E, Choi K-W, et al. Viral loads and duration of viral shedding in adult patients hospitalized with influenza. *J Infect Dis* 2009;200:492–500. <https://doi.org/10.1086/600383>.
- [11] Liu K, Chen Y, Lin R, Han K. Clinical features of COVID-19 in elderly patients: a comparison with young and middle-aged patients. *J Infect* 2020;80:e14–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.005>.
- [12] Trump S, Lukassen S, Anker MS, Chua RL, Liebig J, Thürmann L, et al. Hypertension delays viral clearance and exacerbates airway hyperinflammation in patients with COVID-19. *Nat Biotechnol* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-00796-1>.
- [13] Ip DKM, Lau LLH, Leung NHL, Fang VJ, Chan K-H, Chu DKW, et al. Viral shedding and transmission potential of asymptomatic and paucisymptomatic influenza virus infections in the community. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017;64:736–42. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw841>.