# The Association Between Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease, Stroke, and Extrahepatic Cancers



Nicolette Veracruz\*, Bilal Hameed<sup>†</sup>, Sammy Saab<sup>‡</sup>, Robert J. Wong<sup>§</sup>

\*College of Medicine, Central Michigan University, Mount Pleasant, MI, USA, <sup>†</sup>Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA, <sup>‡</sup>Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA and <sup>§</sup>Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Alameda Health System, Highland Hospital, Oakland, CA, USA

Background & aims: Although primarily a disease with liver-specific complications, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a systemic disease with extrahepatic complications. We aim to evaluate the association between NAFLD and cardiovascular disease (CVD), stroke and cerebrovascular disease, and extrahepatic cancers. Methods: We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane Systematic Review Database from January 1, 2000 to July 1, 2019 to identify peer-reviewed English language literature using predefined keywords for NAFLD, CVD, stroke and cerebrovascular disease, and extrahepatic cancers among adults. Two reviewers independently selected studies for inclusion. Measures of association between NAFLD and CVD, stroke and cerebrovascular disease, and extrahepatic cancers were extracted. Quality assessed using Newcastle-Ottawa scale and Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE). Results: Thirty studies were included evaluating CVD, 16 studies evaluating stroke or cerebrovascular disease, and 13 studies evaluating extrahepatic cancers. On pooled meta-analysis assessment, NAFLD was associated with increased risk of CVD (risk ratio [RR]: 1.78; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.52-2.08) and stroke or cerebrovascular disease (RR: 2.08, 95% CI: 1.72-2.51). Significant heterogeneity in assessing extrahepatic cancers prevented applying meta-analysis methods, but NAFLD seemed to be associated with increased risk of breast and colorectal cancers. Overall level of quality of studies were very low by GRADE. Conclusions: NAFLD is associated with increased risks of CVD and stroke or cerebrovascular disease among adults. There appears to be increased risk of breast and colorectal cancers. Given low quality of evidence, it is premature to make any strong conclusions to modify CVD, stroke, or cancer screening policies in patients with NAFLD. (J CLIN EXP HEPATOL 2021;11:45-81)

nated to affect up to 25% of the general adult population worldwide.<sup>1-4</sup> The overall prevalence of NAFLD is projected to rise dramatically over the next decade along with the aging population, as well as the increasing prevalence of metabolic disorders (e.g. obesity, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia) that are associated with significantly higher risks of developing NAFLD.<sup>3,5</sup>

Although NAFLD primary affects the liver, with potential to progress to severe liver disease, it is generally accepted that NAFLD is a syndrome in a larger spectrum of metabolic disorders and that NAFLD should be considered more of a systemic disease.<sup>6</sup> Strong evidence supports

E-mail: robertwong123@gmail.com

that the clinical and economic burden of NAFLD is not only restricted to severe liver-related complications but also includes major extrahepatic diseases such as cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) and extrahepatic cancers.<sup>7</sup> Most of the morbidity and mortality observed in patients with NAFLD are caused by the increased risk of these diseases. Indeed, it is known that CVD is the leading cause of mortality among patients with NAFLD, followed by extraheliver-related complications.<sup>7–10</sup> cancers and patic However existing studies evaluating the association between NAFLD and CVDs as well as extrahepatic cancers are primarily observational cohort studies, with many based on single-center cohort samples. Previous meta-analyses evaluating the association between NAFLD and CVD have been conducted through January 2016.<sup>11</sup> Our present study provides an update to include more recently published literature, as well as to incorporate not only CVDs but also cerebrovascular disease or stroke and extrahepatic cancers as an outcome measure. Thus our study aimed to perform an updated comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of the existing literature among adults with NAFLD.

NAFLD

*Keywords:* nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, cardiovascular disease, extrahepatic cancer, meta-analysis

Received: 24.3.2020; Accepted: 24.4.2020; Available online 20 May 2020 Address for correspondence:.

*Abbreviations*: CV: Cardiovascular disease; NAFLD: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jceh.2020.04.018

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Data sources

We performed a systematic literature search to identify English language published studies that evaluated the association of NAFLD with CVD, stroke or cerebrovascular disease, and extrahepatic solid cancers. We searched MED-LINE, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Systematic Review Database from January 1, 2000 to July 1, 2019. Search terms for NAFLD included "NAFLD", "nonalcoholic fatty liver disease", "NASH", "nonalcoholic steatohepatitis", or "hepatic steatosis"; for CVD included "heart attack", "cardiovascular outcomes", "CVD", "myocardial infarct", "myocardial ischemia", "coronary calcification", "left ventricular hypertrophy", "cardiac hypertrophy", "cardiac dysfunction", or "ventricular dysfunction"; for stroke included "stroke", "CVA", "cerebrovascular accident", or "transient ischemic attack"; for extrahepatic solid cancers included "cancer", "breast cancer", or "colorectal cancer". References of relevant articles were additionally reviewed to identify any additional studies meeting inclusion criteria that were not identified on the initial query.

#### Study selection

Our inclusion criteria included full length studies in the English language literature that included adults (age  $\geq$  18 years). Studies were excluded if the primary study population did not have NAFLD or NASH or the primary outcome was not the ones aforementioned as focuses of our study. In particular, the present study was specifically focused on evaluation of NAFLD and its association with extrahepatic cancers, and thus studies that focused solely

on evaluating risk of hepatocellular carcinoma only, were excluded as well.

#### Data extraction and quality assessment

Study identification and data abstraction from identified articles were performed independently by two investigators (N.V. and R.W.). The Newcastle-Ottawa scale was used to assess the quality of observational studies.<sup>12</sup> The Newcastle-Ottawa scale incorporates a standardized method for assessing the quality of studies via an assessment of three main study characteristics: selection of study groups, comparability of groups, and ascertainment of exposure/outcome. On a scale of 0-9, those studies achieving 8 or more points were categorized as high quality, those with 5-7 points were fair quality, and those with 4 or fewer points were poor quality. After this initial assessment, we applied the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) method to determine the overall certainty of the evidence as it relates to the association of NAFLD with CVD and stroke separately.<sup>13</sup> GRADE was not applied for the evaluation of NAFLD and extrahepatic cancers (as detailed in the following context), given significant heterogeneity of the outcomes of the included studies that prevented the application of meta-analysis methods. The GRADE method is used to evaluate the overall evidence of all studies for each association assessed and is categorized as high, moderate, low, or very low. The domains that are incorporated into the GRADE assessment include study design, consistency, precision, directness, and potential for other biases (e.g. publication bias).<sup>13</sup>



Figure 1 PRISMA flow diagram for selection of included studies.

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
A. Cardiovascular Dise	eases						
Golabi (2019) <sup>22</sup>	USA	Cross-sectional study	Individuals from 2011 to 2016	3197 individuals (816 Asian American adults and 2381 Non-Hispanic Whites)	US-Fatty Liver Index ≥ 30	Excessive alcohol consumption ( $\geq$ 20g/day in men and $\geq$ 10g/day in women), positive hepatitis C virus RNA, positive hepatitis B surface antigen, iron overload (defined as serum transferrin saturation $\geq$ 50%)	Prevalence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease using ASCVD: 10-year ASCVD risk score ≥7.5%
Viglino (2018) <sup>39</sup>	France	Single-center prospective cohort study	Individuals from 2007 to 2012	111 individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	FibroMax algorithm, which incorporates 3 non-invasive tests: FibroTest, SteatoTest, and NashTest	Active pulmonary infection, chronic heart failure, left ventricular ejection fraction <45%, active smoking >10 cigarettes per day, neoplasia, prior antioxidant treatment, pregnancy, alcohol $\geq$ 20g for women and $\geq$ 30 g for men daily, viral hepatitis	Incidence of new cardiovascular events during follow-up, which include acute myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral arterial disease or acute limb ischemia, venous thromboembolic disease and/or pulmonary embolism, and new-onset arrhythmias
Chinnadurai (2019) <sup>17</sup>	England	Retrospective Cohort Study	Individuals from 1/ 2000–12/2014 with follow-up through 12/2015	149 individuals with diabetic kidney disease	Hepatic ultrasonography	Concurrent renal replacement therapy, excessive alcohol intake, hepatitis and other chronic liver diseases	Incidence of nonfatal cardiovascular events: myocardial infarctions, acute coronary syndromes, nonfatal cardiac arrest, congestive cardiac failure, peripheral vascular disease and cerebrovascular disease
Vanjiappan (2018) <sup>38</sup>	India	Single-center prospective cohort study	Individuals from 4/ 2014–5/2016	300 individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus	Hepatic ultrasonography	hepatitis B & C infections, chronic liver disease, those on hepatotoxic drugs	Incidence of cardiovascular disease
Allen (2018) <sup>14</sup>	USA	Retrospective cohort study	Individuals from 1997 to 2014 with follow-up through 10/1/2016	19,078 individuals	Hospital International Classification of Diseases Adapted (HICDA) codes and ICD codes	Other liver diseases such as viral hepatitis, alcoholic liver disease, alcohol use, cholestatic liver disease, short follow-up time of less than 1 year	Incidence of clinical cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, angina/ischemic heart disease, atrial fibrillation, cardiac arrest, congestive heart failure and stroke) (Continued on pext page)

#### Table 1 Main Characteristics of the Included Studies Evaluating Association Between NAFLD and (A) Cardiovascular Diseases, (B) Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases, and (C) Extrahepatic Cancers.

NAFLD

# Table 1 (Continued)

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Mantovani (2016) <sup>28</sup>	Italy	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Individuals enrolled from 1999 to 2001	286 individuals with type 1 diabetes	Findings on liver ultrasound which include diffuse hyperechogenicity of the liver relative to kidneys, beam attenuation, poor visualization of the intrahepatic vessel border and diaphragm	Missing liver ultrasound data, concurrent end-stage renal disease or malignancy, cirrhosis, and liver diseases due to secondary causes, such as excessive alcohol consumption, viral hepatitis, iron overload or use of steatogenic medications	Incidence of cardiovascular events: combined endpoint of nonfatal ischemic heart disease, nonfatal ischemic stroke, carotid endarterectomy, coronary or lower extremity artery revascularization
Zeb (2016) <sup>43</sup>	USA	Multicenter retrospective cohort study	Not clearly stated, but MESA study started enrolling July 2000	4119 individuals	Computed tomography	Heavy alcohol intake (defined as >14 drinks per week for men and >7 drinks per week for women), use of oral steroids, cirrhosis	Incidence of nonfatal coronary heart disease: myocardial infarction, resuscitated cardiac arrest, angina with or without coronary revascularization
Stolic (2016) <sup>32</sup>	Serbia	Single-center observational study	Not stated	72 individuals over the age of 65 years on chronic hemodialysis	Findings on liver ultrasound demonstrating increased hepatic parenchyma echogenicity compared to right kidney cortex	Hemodialysis, patients hospitalized in the past 6 months, infection with hepatotropic virus, diabetes mellitus, hepatobiliary surgery, body mass index higher than 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , concurrent use of statins or glucocorticosteroids	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease
Fracanzani (2016) <sup>21</sup>	Italy	Prospective cohort study	Individuals from 6/ 2002–12/2004	273 individuals	Liver ultrasound assessment of hepatorenal echo contrast, liver brightness, deep attenuation, and vascular blurring	Chronic viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, hereditary hemochromatosis, Wilson's disease, and drug-induced liver disease were excluded in NAFLD patients; Controls were negative for hepatitis B and C and had normal liver function tests	Incidence of major cardiovascular events
Wong (2016) <sup>41</sup>	Hong Kong	Prospective cohort study	Individuals from 10/ 2007–11/2008	612 consecutive individuals who underwent coronary angiogram	Liver ultrasound findings including diffusely increased liver echogenicity compared to kidney or spleen, vascular blurring, and deep attenuation of the ultrasound signal	Excessive alcohol intake (>20 g/day in men and >10 g/day in women), secondary causes of fatty liver (e.g., systemic steroids or methotrexate), HBV or HCV, or antinuclear antibody titer >1/160	Incidence of cardiovascular events: cardiovascular deaths, nonfatal myocardial infarction, heart failure, coronary interventions, and congestive heart failure

VERACRUZ ET AL

## Table 1 (Continued)

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Mellinger (2015) <sup>29</sup>	USA	Cross-sectional study from a large prospective longitudinal cohort study	Individuals from 2002 to 2005	3014 individuals	CT scan liver-phantom ratio with a liver-phantom ratio of 0.33 or lower representing the presence of thirty percent or more of hepatic steatosis	Pregnancy, weight >160 kg, CT scan results uninterpretable for hepatic steatosis, missing a complete profile	Prevalent cardiovascular disease: composite of nonfatal myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke, transient ischemic attack, or peripheral arterial disease
Pisto (2014) <sup>30</sup>	Finland	Population-based prospective cohort study	Individuals from 1991 to 2009	988 individuals	Liver ultrasound assessment of hepatic steatosis.	Previous hospital-diagnosed myocardial infarction or stroke	Incidence of cardiovascular disease: major coronary heart disease event and stroke based on ICD-10 coding.
Lai (2013) <sup>25</sup>	Taiwan	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Individuals from 7/ 1998 to 10/2012	278 individuals undergoing hemodialysis	Liver ultrasound assessment that includes increased hepatic echogenicity compared to kidneys, blurring of the gallbladder wall, hepatic veins, or portal vein	Chronic drug or alcohol abuse, cirrhosis, history of CVD, malignancies, medications known to cause hepatic steatosis, insufficient medical records	Development of nonfatal cardiovascular events: angina pectoris, nonfatal myocardial infarction, acute pulmonary edema, congestive heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease
Choi (2013) <sup>18</sup>	Korea	Single-center cross-sectional study	Individuals from 1/ 2009–6/27/2011	134 individuals undergoing elective coronary angiography	Liver ultrasound with characteristic echo patterns such as a diffuse increase in hepatic echogenicity compared to the kidney	Viral hepatitis, history of heavy alcohol ingestion, medications reported to affect hepatic steatosis within 3 months of enrollment, or other history of chronic liver disease.	Prevalence of coronary artery disease: at least 50% stenosis in at least one major coronary artery
Dunn (2013) <sup>19</sup>	USA	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Individuals from 1/ 1/2002 to 12/31/ 2003 with follow-up through the end of 2008	2343 individuals with type 2 diabetes	CT scan assessment of liver and spleen attenuation difference of −10 or less (indicating ≥30% steatosis)	Patients with ICD-9 diagnostic codes for alcohol abuse, alcoholic liver disease, chronic hepatitis B and C, autoimmune hepatitis, biliary cirrhosis, Wilson disease, hemochromatosis, alpha-1 antitrypsin disease, or a prior liver transplant.	Development of cardiovascular outcomes: cardiovascular deaths, myocardial infarctions, strokes, angina, arrhythmias and congestive heart failure based on ICD-9 coding

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL HEPATOLOGY

NAFLD

# ទ **Table 1** (Continued)

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Feitosa (2013) <sup>20</sup>	USA	Multicentered cross-sectional study	Individuals recruited from 1992 to 1996	2756 individuals in 510 extended random and high coronary heart disease risk families recruited from the Framingham Heart Study, the Utah Family Tree Study, and the North Carolina and Minnesota sites of the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study.	CT scan liver attenuation of 40 Hounsfield units predicted hepatic steatosis,	Alcohol consumption over 21 drinks/week for men and >14 drinks/week) for women; Amiodarone use; HCV antibody positive.	Prevalence of coronary heart disease: coronary bypass, myocardial infarction, coronary angioplasty, balloon angioplasty, atherectomy, stent, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, or percutaneous coronary intervention
Wong (2011) <sup>42</sup>	Hong Kong	Single-center prospective cohort study	Individuals from 10/ 2007 to 11/2008	612 individuals who underwent coronary angiogram	Liver ultrasound showing diffusely increased hepatic echogenicity compared to kidney or spleen, vascular blurring and deep attenuation of the ultrasound signal	Contraindications to coronary angiogram, excessive alcohol intake, and secondary causes of fatty liver (e.g., chronic use of systemic corticosteroids or methotrexate), positive hepatitis B surface antigen, antibody against hepatitis C virus and antinuclear antibody titer >1/160	Incidence of coronary artery disease: presence of at least 50% stenosis at one or more coronary arteries
Hamaguchi (2007) <sup>24</sup>	Japan	Prospective cohort study	Individuals from 1/ 1998 to 12/1998 with follow-up through 12/2004	1647 individuals who completed health checkups on an annual or biennial basis	Abdominal ultrasonography evidence of hepatorenal contrast and liver brightness	Previous myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, ischemic stroke, cerebral hemorrhage or cancer, alcohol intake of more than 20 g/d, concurrent HBV or HCV, other liver diseases	Incidence of cardiovascular disease via self- administered questionnaire: coronary heart disease, ischemic stroke, cerebral hemorrhage, unstable angina, acute MI, silent MI
Targher (2006) <sup>34</sup>	Italy	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Not stated	800 individuals with type 2 diabetes	Liver ultrasonography evidence of characteristic echo patterns such as increased echogenicity of the liver compared to kidneys	Heavy alcohol use, other known causes of chronic liver disease (e.g. viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, use of hepatotoxic medications such as glucocorticoids, antibiotics, amiodarone, methotrexate, tamoxifen or other anti- neoplastic drugs)	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease: coronary artery disease (myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, heart failure or revascularization procedures), cerebrovascular disease, or peripheral vascular disease

VERACRUZ ET AL

#### Table 1 (Continued)

<ul> <li>wn Prevalence of NAFLD in patients with and without any nonfatal coronary heart</li> <li>disease, ischemic stroke, or</li> <li>cardiovascular death.</li> </ul>
al Incidence of cardiovascular events: all-cause death (death mainly cause by cardiovascular disease), ol nonfatal myocardial infarction and stroke
<ul> <li>Incidence of cardiovascular</li> <li>outcomes: first event of</li> <li>either acute ischemic heart</li> <li>disease or stroke (ischemic or hemorrhagic)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Incident/recurrent cardiovascular disease: ICD- y 9/10 codes for acute</li> <li>coronary syndrome,</li> <li>myocardial infarction,</li> <li>to stroke, heart failure, coronary revascularization procedure and carotid revascularization procedure</li> </ul>
er Prevalence of ischemic heart disease: previous admission for acute rr. coronary syndrome, previous coronary intervention, previous coronary angiography showing coronary artery disease, or under follow-up and treatment for ischemic heart disease
ז פייר און אוז stF

**UAFLD** 

ក្តុ **Table 1** (Continued)

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Stepanova (2012) <sup>31</sup>	USA	Population-based cross-sectional study	Individuals from 1988 to 1994	11,613 individuals from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III (NHANES III)	Abdominal ultrasonography evidence of moderate to severe hepatic steatosis	Excessive alcohol, iron overload, or positive for HBV or HCV	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease: self- reported history of congestive heart failure, stroke, angina, or myocardial infarction.
Targher (2012) <sup>37</sup>	Italy	Single-center cross-sectional study	Individuals from 2008 to 2010	343 individuals with type 1 diabetes	Liver ultrasonography evidence of diffuse hyperechogenicity of the liver relative to the kidneys, ultrasound beam attenuation and poor visualization of the intrahepatic vessel borders and diaphragm	Unavailable liver ultrasound exam, history of end-stage renal disease, cirrhosis, malignancy, known causes of chronic liver disease (alcohol-induced or drug- induced liver disease, hemochromatosis, autoimmune or viral hepatitis)	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease composite endpoint including coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, or peripheral vascular disease
Sun (2011) <sup>33</sup>	China	Cross-sectional study	Individuals from 9/ 2008 to 9/2009	542 consecutive individuals with suspected coronary artery disease	Abdominal CT scan evidence of liver attenuation less than the spleen, blurred intrahepatic vessels, or markedly reduced attenuation of the liver with evident contrast between the liver and the intrahepatic vessels	Heavy alcohol consumption; other chronic liver diseases; medications known to induce fatty liver disease such as steroids, estrogens, amiodarone, tamoxifen, or other chemotherapeutic agents within the previous 6 months; creatinine >2 mg/ dl; history of acute coronary syndrome or heart failure	Significant coronary artery disease: >70% stenosis of lumen diameter
Targher (2010) <sup>35</sup>	Italy	Cross-sectional study	Individuals from 1/ 2009 to 12/2009	301 individuals with type 1 diabetes	Liver ultrasonography evidence of diffuse hyperechogenicity of liver relative to kidneys, ultrasound beam attenuation and poor visualization of intrahepatic vessel borders and diaphragm	Excessive alcohol consumption, other known causes of chronic liver disease, missing liver ultrasound data	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease composite endpoint including coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, or peripheral vascular disease
Lu (2009) <sup>27</sup>	China	Cross-sectional study	Individuals from 1/ 2002 to 1/2009	560 individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus	Liver ultrasonography	Alcohol intake more than 20 g/day, no known etiologies of liver disease and negative tests for the presence of viral hepatitis	Prevalence of coronary heart disease

# Table 1 (Continued)

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Arslan (2007) <sup>15</sup>	Turkey	Cross-sectional study	Not stated	92 consecutive individuals undergoing first coronary angiography	Liver ultrasound findings of diffuse increase in the echogenicity of the liver compared to kidney	coronary artery disease, or with a history of percutaneous surgical revascularization, prior acute coronary syndrome, chronic alcohol consumption (more than 20 g/day), HBV or HCV, systemic diseases that might cause fatty liver, use of drugs like statins and insulin-sensitizing agents (metformin and glitazones)	Prevalence of coronary artery disease: presence of at least 50% stenosis in at least one major coronary artery
B. Stroke and cerebrov	ascular dise	ases					
Hagstrom (2019) <sup>23</sup>	Sweden	Retrospective cohort study	Individuals from 1971 to 2009 with biopsy proven NAFLD	6872 individuals	Liver biopsy assessment of NAFLD activity score	Other causes of steatosis, low liver biopsy quality, CVD at baseline, liver outcome within 6 months	Incidence of cardiovascular outcomes: first event of either acute ischemic heart disease or stroke (ischemic or hemorrhagic)
Alexander (2018) <sup>44</sup>	USA	Retrospective case cohort study	Individuals from 2003 to 2007 with follow-up through 9/ 1/2011	1676 individuals (572 with incident ischemic stroke and a stratified stroke- free cohort random sample of 1017)	Fatty Liver Index > 60	Medical conditions that precluded involvement, prior stroke, excessive alcohol consumption (14 drinks/ week for men, 7 drinks/ week for women)	Incidence of ischemic stroke
Mantovani (2016) <sup>28</sup>	Italy	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Individuals enrolled from 1999 to 2001	286 individuals with type 1 diabetes	Liver ultrasound showing diffuse hyperechogenicity of the liver compared to kidneys, beam attenuation, poor visualization of the intrahepatic vessel border and diaphragm	Missing ultrasound data end-stage renal disease or malignancy, cirrhosis or other chronic liver diseases, excessive alcohol consumption, viral hepatitis, iron overload hepatitis or use of steatogenic medications	Incidence of cardiovascular events: combined endpoint of nonfatal ischemic heart disease, nonfatal ischemic stroke, carotid endarterectomy, coronary or lower extremity artery revascularization
Moshayedi (2014) <sup>45</sup>	Iran	Cross-sectional study	Individuals from 5/ 2012 to11/2013	220 individuals (110 brain magnetic resonance imaging confirmed ischemic stroke patients and 110 age- and sex- matched controls)	Liver ultrasonography assessment of increased hepatic echogenicity and visualization of the diaphragm and intrahepatic vessel borders	Chronic HBV or HCV, heavy alcohol consumption more than 20 g/day and chronic hepatotoxic drug use	Prevalence of NAFLD in patients with and without imaging confirmed ischemic stroke

53

(Continued on next page)

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL HEPATOLOGY

**UAFLD** 

Table 1 (Continued)

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Pisto (2014) <sup>30</sup>	Finland	Population-based prospective cohort study	Individuals from 1991 to 2009	988 individuals	Liver ultrasound assessment of steatosis	Previous hospital-diagnosed myocardial infarction or stroke	Incidence of cardiovascular disease: major coronary heart disease event and stroke based on ICD-10 coding.
Dunn (2013) <sup>19</sup>	USA	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Individuals from 1/ 1/2002 to 12/31/ 2003 with follow-up through the end of 2008	2343 individuals with type 2 diabetes	Abdominal CT Scan assessment of liver and spleen attenuation difference of $-10$ or less (indicating $\geq$ 30% steatosis)	Patients with ICD-9 diagnostic codes for alcohol abuse, alcoholic liver disease, chronic hepatitis B and C, autoimmune hepatitis, biliary cirrhosis, Wilson disease, hemochromatosis, alpha-1 antitrypsin disease, or a prior liver transplant.	Development of stroke based on ICD-9 coding
Hamaguchi (2007) <sup>24</sup>	Japan	Prospective cohort study	Individuals from 1/ 1998 to 12/1998 with follow-up through 12/2004	1647 individuals who completed health checkups on an annual or biennial basis	Abdominal ultrasonography evidence of hepatorenal contrast and liver brightness	Previous myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, ischemic stroke, cerebral hemorrhage or cancer, alcohol intake of more than 20 g/d, HBV or HCV positive, or other chronic liver diseases	Incidence of cardiovascular disease via self- administered questionnaire: coronary heart disease, ischemic stroke, cerebral hemorrhage, unstable angina, acute MI, silent MI
Targher (2005) <sup>36</sup>	Italy	Prospective nested case- control study	Individuals from 1/ 1/2000 to12/31/ 2000 with follow-up through 5/31/2005	248 cases with cardiovascular outcomes and 496 controls (without cardiovascular outcomes)	Liver ultrasound findings of increased diffuse hyperechogenicity compared to kidneys, ultrasound beam attenuation, and poor visualization of intrahepatic structures	Alcohol abuse, other known causes of chronic liver disease (viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, use of hepatotoxic medications)	Prevalence of NAFLD in patients with and without cardiovascular outcomes (any nonfatal coronary heart disease, ischemic stroke, or cardiovascular death)
Viglino (2018) <sup>39</sup>	France	Single-center prospective cohort study	Individuals from 2007 to 2012	111 individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	FibroMax algorithm, which incorporates 3 non-invasive tests: FibroTest, SteatoTest, and NashTest	Active pulmonary infection, chronic heart failure, left ventricular ejection fraction <45%, active smoking >10 cigarettes per day, neoplasia, antioxidant treatment, pregnant women, a daily consumption of alcohol $\geq$ 20g for women and $\geq$ 30 g for men, viral hepatitis	Incidence of new cardiovascular events during follow-up: acute myocardial infarction; stroke; new diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease or acute limb ischemia; venous thromboembolic disease and/or pulmonary embolism and new-onset arrhythmias

# Table 1 (Continued)

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Mellinger (2015) <sup>29</sup>	USA	Cross-sectional study from a large prospective longitudinal cohort study	Individuals from 2002 to 2005	3014 individuals	CT scan liver-phantom ratio with a liver-phantom ratio of 0.33 or lower representing the presence of thirty percent or more of hepatic steatosis	Pregnancy, weight >160 kg, CT scan results uninterpretable for hepatic steatosis, missing a complete profile	Prevalent cardiovascular disease: composite of non- fatal myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke, transient ischemic attack, or peripheral arterial disease
Targher (2006) <sup>34</sup>	Italy	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Not stated	800 individuals with type 2 diabetes	Liver ultrasonography evidence of characteristic echo patterns such as increased echogenicity of the liver compared to kidneys	Heavy alcohol use, other known causes of chronic liver disease (e.g. viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, use of hepatotoxic medications such as glucocorticoids, antibiotics, amiodarone, methotrexate, tamoxifen or other anti- neoplastic drugs)	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease: coronary artery disease, cerebrovascular disease,or peripheral vascular disease
Liu (2019) <sup>26</sup>	China	Matched case- control study	Individuals from 3/ 2011 to7/2016 who underwent coronary angiography for evaluation of angina- like chest pain and/ or positive treadmill exercise test and/or significant stenosis by coronary computer tomography	324 individuals with stable, new-onset coronary artery disease	Liver ultrasound evidence of diffusely increased liver echogenicity compared to kidney or spleen, vascular blurring, and deep attenuation of the ultrasound signal	Patients without abdominal ultrasound examination, HBV or HCV; autoimmune hepatitis; hereditary liver disease; excessive alcohol consumption; secondary causes of fatty liver (e.g., chronic use of systemic corticosteroids or methotrexate) or drug- induced liver disease	Incidence of cardiovascular events: all-cause death (death mainly cause by cardiovascular disease), non-fatal myocardial infarction and stroke
Wild (2018) <sup>40</sup>	Scotland	Retrospective cohort study	Individuals from 1/ 1/2004 to12/31/ 2013	132,661 hospitalized individuals with type 2 diabetes	NAFLD ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes: 571.8, K76, K75.8	Viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, hemochromatosis, and any cirrhosis, fibrosis, sclerosis, or portal hypertension with no mention of ALD or NAFLD	Incident/recurrent cardiovascular disease: ICD- 9/10 codes for acute coronary syndrome, myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, coronary revascularization procedure and carotid revascularization procedure
Stepanova (2012) <sup>31</sup>	USA	Population-based cross-sectional study	Individuals from 1988 to 1994	11,613 individuals from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III (NHANES III)	Abdominal ultrasonography evidence of moderate to severe hepatic steatosis	Excessive alcohol, iron overload, or positive for HBV or HCV	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease: self- reported history of congestive heart failure, stroke, angina, or myocardial infarction. (Continued on next page)

NAFLD

# ទួ **Table 1** (Continued)

Author (Year)	Country/	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
	Region						
Targher (2012) <sup>37</sup>	Italy	Single-center cross-sectional study	Individuals from 2008 to 2010	343 individuals with type 1 diabetes	Liver ultrasonography evidence of diffuse hyperechogenicity of the liver relative to the kidneys, ultrasound beam attenuation and poor visualization of the intrahepatic vessel borders and diaphragm	Unavailable liver ultrasound exam, known history of end- stage renal disease, cirrhosis, malignancy, known causes of chronic liver disease (alcohol- induced or drug-induced liver disease, hemochromatosis, autoimmune or viral hepatitis)	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease composite endpoint including coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, or peripheral vascular disease
Targher (2010) <sup>35</sup>	Italy	Cross-sectional study	Individuals from 1/ 2009 to12/2009	301 individuals with type 1 diabetes	Liver ultrasonography evidence of diffuse hyperechogenicity of liver relative to kidneys, ultrasound beam attenuation and poor visualization of intrahepatic vessel borders and diaphragm	Excessive alcohol consumption, other known causes of chronic liver disease, missing liver ultrasound data	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease composite endpoint including coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, or peripheral vascular disease
C. Extrahepatic Cancer	s						
Kim (2017) <sup>49</sup>	Korea	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Individuals from 9/ 1/2004 to 12/31/ 2005 with follow-up through 12/31/ 2015	25,947 individuals who underwent a comprehensive health checkup	Liver ultrasound evidence of hepatic steatosis	Excessive alcohol consumption; HBV or HCV; HIV; cancer or diagnosis of cancer at baseline; prior organ transplantation; cirrhosis; chronic kidney disease; subjects who had not visited hospital for > 2 years but later returned after cancer diagnosis;	Incidence of all cancers
Chang (2018) <sup>47</sup>	Taiwan	Single-center cross-sectional study	Individuals diagnosed with pancreatic cancer between 1/2009 and 12/2013	143 individuals with pancreatic cancer and 414 randomly selected control patients without pancreatic cancer	Unenhanced CT scanning evidence of hepatic steatosis	HBV, HCV, or cirrhosis; history of alcohol drinking; prior major operation involving abdominal organ; history of medications that may have contribute to hepatotoxicity	Prevalence of NAFLD in cases and controls

VERACRUZ ET AL

## Table 1 (Continued)

Wild (2018) <sup>160</sup> Sortiand cohort study of patients with spe 2 diabetes between population-based	Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Neeir (2017) <sup>53</sup> Israel         Single-center cross sectional study         Individuals referred for a mammography maligneatine threats screening exam from aligneatine threats screening exam from diagnosis and 73 controls with normal screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging data with screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging data with normal screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging data with normal screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging data with normal screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging data with screening mammography and breast         Ever biopsy findings of states in S% or more of hepatitis, for marking and the screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging with 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging with 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging with 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging with 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging with 3 months of screening mammography who had abdominal CT imaging with 3 months of screening with 3 m	Wild (2018) <sup>40</sup>	Scotland	Retrospective cohort study of national population-based registry of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus	Individuals diagnosed with type 2 diabetes between 1/1/2004 and 12/ 31/2013	132,661 adults age 40–89 with type 2 diabetes mellitus	Presence of the ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes for NAFLD: 571.8, K76, K75.8	ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes for viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, hemochromatosis, and any cirrhosis, fibrosis, sclerosis, or portal hypertension with no mention of ALD or NAFLD	Incident or recurrent cancer, excluding HCC
Seko (2015)JapanSingle-center retrospective cohort studyIndividuals from 1/ 1999 to 4/2013312 individuals with liver biopsy confirmed NAFLDLiver biopsy findings of steatosis in 5% or more of hepatocytesPresence of viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, drug- induced liver disease, primary biliary cirhosis, biliary obstruction, hemochromatosis, Wilson's disease and $\alpha$ -1-antitypsin- deficiency-associated liver disease, heavy alcohol use; decompensated cirrhosis or HCCIndividuals from 1/ 2008 to 5/2017270 patients with breast cancer scening and 270 controls with normal breast consumption (defined as >200 g/d for women)Prevalence of NAFLD in cases and controlsPrevalence of NAFLD in cases and controls	Nseir (2017) <sup>53</sup>	Israel	Single-center cross-sectional study	Individuals referred for a mammography screening exam from 1/2008 to 12/2011	73 patients with malignant breast cancer with abdominal CT imaging data within one month of diagnosis and 73 controls with normal screening mammography and breast ultrasonography who had abdominal CT imaging within 3 months of screening examinations	Presence of hepatic steatosis on abdominal CT exam	For NAFLD diagnosis: alcohol consumption > 20 g per day, positive serology for hepatitis B, hepatitis C, positive autoimmune hepatitis antibodies, or any history of another known liver disease	Prevalence of NAFLD in cases and controls
Kwak (2019) <sup>50</sup> Korea       Single-center case-control study       Individuals from 1/ 2008 to 5/2017       270 patients with breast cancer diagnosed by screening and 270 controls with normal breast       Hepatic steatosis by ultrasonography       Other chronic liver disease, including HBV or HCV, significant alcohol consumption (defined as breast mammography and/or ultrasonography       Prevalence of NAFLD in cases and controls	Seko (2015) <sup>55</sup>	Japan	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Individuals from 1/ 1999 to 4/2013	312 individuals with liver biopsy confirmed NAFLD	Liver biopsy findings of steatosis in 5% or more of hepatocytes	Presence of viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, drug- induced liver disease, primary biliary cirrhosis, biliary obstruction, hemochromatosis, Wilson's disease and $\alpha$ -1-antitrypsin- deficiency-associated liver disease, heavy alcohol use; decompensated cirrhosis or HCC	Incidence of all cancers
	Kwak (2019) <sup>50</sup>	Korea	Single-center case-control study	Individuals from 1/ 2008 to 5/2017	270 patients with breast cancer diagnosed by screening and 270 controls with normal breast mammography and/ or ultrasonography	Hepatic steatosis by ultrasonography	Other chronic liver disease, including HBV or HCV, significant alcohol consumption (defined as >20 g/d for women)	Prevalence of NAFLD in cases and controls

57

NAFLD

58

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Cho (2019) <sup>48</sup>	Korea	Single-center cross-sectional study	Individuals from 1/ 2013 to 11/2018	476 individuals with biopsy proven NAFLD	Liver biopsy in which at least 5% of hepatocytes displayed macrovesicular steatosis	Hepatitis B or C virus infection; autoimmune hepatitis or primary biliary cholangitis; drug-induced liver injury or steatosis; Wilson disease or hemochromatosis; excessive alcohol consumption; diagnosis of malignancy within the prior year; family history of CRC in first-degree relatives; having an inherited syndrome (e.g. Lynch syndrome, Peutz-Jeghers syndrome, MYH-associated polyposis or familial adenomatous polyposis); past medical history of colorectal neoplasm; inflammatory bowel disease; bowel symptoms (e.g. hematochezia, melena, or bowel habit change); patients who underwent polypectomy within the last 5 years; patients who declined to undergo colonoscopy	Prevalence of advanced colorectal neoplasm (defined as adenomatous polyp 10 mm or larger and/ or with a feature of villous adenoma, and/or high grade dysplasia or adenocarcinoma
Yang (2017) <sup>56</sup>	Korea	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Individuals from 1/ 2009 to 12/2013 who underwent surveillance colonoscopy after index colonoscopy	441 patients with NAFLD and 441 patients without NAFLD – propensity score matched cohorts	Liver ultrasound or CT scan showing diffuse increased hepatic echogenicity compared to kidney, blurring of vascular structure, lower hepatic parenchymal attenuation than that of the spleen.	Prior history of colorectal surgery or colorectal disease; inflammatory bowel disease; an incomplete index colonoscopy; any colonoscopy within the previous 3 years of the index colonoscopy; chronic liver disease, including HBV, HCV or cirrhosis; significant alcohol consumption; no diagnostic examination for NAFLD within 3 months of the index colonoscopy; incomplete clinical information (missing variables $\geq 2$ )	Incidence of advanced colorectal neoplasm (defined as cancer or adenomatous polyp 10 mm or larger, any adenoma with tubulovillous or villous histology, high grade dysplasia)

### Table 1 (Continued)

Author (Year)	Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Pan (2017) <sup>54</sup>	China	Single-center cross-sectional study	Individuals from 1/ 2011-to 11/2015	1793 individuals undergoing colonoscopy as part of routine health checkup (27 with colorectal cancer and 1767 without colorectal cancer)	Hepatic ultrasonography with features including hepatomegaly, diffusely increased echogenicity, and blurring of vasculature	viral hepatitis; cirrhosis; liver cancer or other liver disease; excess alcohol consumption	Prevalence of NAFLD in cases and controls
Ahn (2017) <sup>46</sup>	Korea	Single-center cross-sectional study	Individuals from 1/ 2003 to 12/2012	26,540 adults undergoing routine comprehensive health check up	Liver ultrasound showing increased parenchymal brightness, liver-to-kidney contrast, deep beam attenuation and bright vessel walls during examination	Cirrhosis, HBV or HCV, excess alcohol consumption >30g/day in men or 20g/ day in women	Incidence of advanced colorectal neoplasia, defined as an invasive cancer or adenoma that was at least 10 mm in diameter, had high grade dysplasia, villous or tubulovillous histology or any combination thereof
Lin (2014) <sup>52</sup>	China	Single-center cross-sectional study	Individuals enrolled from 10/2007–12/ 2011	2315 individuals undergoing routine screening colonoscopy	Ultrasonography features including hepatomegaly, diffusely increased echogenicity of liver parenchyma, and blurring of vasculature	History of colorectal cancer, adenoma and polyp; history of other extraintestinal malignancies; contraindications to colonoscopy; viral hepatitis, cirrhosis, liver cancer or other liver diseases; heavy alcohol consumption	Prevalence of colorectal malignant neoplasm (adenocarcinoma)
Lee (2012) <sup>51</sup>	Korea	Single-center retrospective cohort study	Individuals enrolled from 7/1/2002–6/ 30/2006 with follow- up through 12/31/ 2008	5517 women aged 35–80 years undergoing life insurance health examinations	Abdominal ultrasonography assessment of hepatorenal contrast, liver brightness, deep attenuation, and blurring of the vasculature	HBV or HCV positive, alcohol consumption of more than 40 g/week; history of chronic liver disease, including viral, toxic, and autoimmune liver diseases; history of receiving previous medical insurance benefits	Incidence of colorectal cancer
							(Continued on next page)

Т

Т

#### Data synthesis and analysis

Aggregate data on the association between NAFLD and CVD and stroke from included studies were evaluated with meta-analysis. Pooled risk ratios were generated using random effects models. Heterogeneity was assessed using  $\chi^2$  and  $I^2$  tests with  $I^2 > 50\%$  indicating significant heterogeneity between studies. Potential publication bias was assessed using funnel plots. Analyses were performed using Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program], version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014. Meta-analysis was not performed for evaluating the association between NAFLD and extrahepatic cancers given variation in the outcome between different studies. For example, one study focused on pancreatic cancers, three focused on all cancers, two studies focused on breast cancers, and seven studies focused on colorectal cancers. Thus we did not proceed with a meta-analysis to determine pooled effects after completing our systematic review.

# RESULTS

#### Cardiovascular disease

Our initial query identified 5309 studies, among which 4832 were excluded based on title review, 371 additionally excluded based on abstract review, and 76 studies were excluded based on full-length manuscript review. The final study cohort included 30 studies that met inclusion and exclusion criteria for further analyses (Figure 1).<sup>14–43</sup>

#### Study characteristics and outcomes

The study characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. All studies were observational studies including 9 retrospective cohort studies, 12 cross-sectional studies, 7 prospective observational studies, one nested case-control study, and one case-control study. The majority of studies were conducted in the USA (n=7), Italy (n=6), and China (n=5). Table 1 also includes details regarding the determination of NAFLD diagnosis in the various studies, which included methods such as the Fatty Liver Index, the Fibro-Max algorithm, liver biopsy, ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes, as well as imaging-based modalities (Table 1). Most studies excluded individuals with excessive alcohol consumption, hepatitis and other chronic liver diseases, those on hepatotoxic medications, or history of CVD. Table 1 also provides specific details on how each study defined the CVD outcome assessed.

Table 2 shows the main outcomes of the studies. Although not all studies included adjusted regression analyses in evaluating the association between NAFLD and CVD, there was a consistent positive signal demonstrating increased risk of CVD in patients with NAFLD. The majority of patients among the included studies were middleaged individuals and predominantly of male sex. Among studies that did include multivariate analyses, the majority

Country/ Region	Study Design	Study Period	Study Population	NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes Assessed
Hong Kong	Multicenter cross-sectional study	Individuals enrolled from 1/2008–7/ 2010 (two cohorts: community subjects and hospital patients)	380 individuals	Liver biopsy (hospital cohort) and proton-magnetic resonance spectroscopy (community cohort)	HBV or HCV positive; excess alcohol consumption (men >30g/day and women >20g/day; history of colorectal cancers or polyps; inflammatory bowel disease; bowel symptoms including per rectal bleeding and altered bowel habit; prior colorectal cancer screening; contraindications to colonscoov	Prevalence of advanced colorectal neoplasms (cancer or adenoma with high grade dysplasia or villous architecture)
	Country/ Region Hong Kong	Country/ Study Design Region Hong Kong Multicenter cross-sectional study	Country/ Region     Study Design     Study Period       Hong Kong     Multicenter     Individuals enrolled from 1/2008–7/ study       Study     2010 (two cohorts: community subjects and hospital patients)	Country/ Region     Study Design     Study Porliation       Rugion     Study Porliation     Study Population       Hong Kong     Multicenter     Individuals enrolled     380 individuals       cross-sectional     from 1/2008–7/     2010 (two cohorts:     and hospital       study     2010 (two cohorts:     community subjects     and hospital       patients)     patients)     patients)     patients)	Country/ Region     Study Design     Study Period     Study Population     NAFLD Diagnosis Critteria       Region     Nation     Nation     Nation     Nation     Nation       Hong Kong     Multicenter     Individuals enrolled     380 individuals     Inver biopsy (hospital cohort)       Hong Kong     Multicenter     Individuals enrolled     380 individuals     Inver biopsy (hospital cohort)       Study     2010 (two cohorts:     2010 (two cohorts:     resonance spectroscopy       and hospital     patients)     patients)	Country/ Region       Study Design       Study Period       Study Population       NAFLD Diagnosis Criteria       Exclusion Criteria         Hong Kong       Multicenter       Individuals enrolled       380 individuals       Liver biopsy (hospital cohort)       HBV or HCV positive; excess and proton-magnetic       alcohol consumption (men sconssectional         Hong Kong       Multicenter       Individuals enrolled       380 individuals       Liver biopsy (hospital cohort)       HBV or HCV positive; excess and proton-magnetic         2010 (two cohorts:       2010 (two cohorts:       community subjects       >30g/day and women colorectal concers or polyps; inflammatory bowel         and hospital       patients)       community subjects       scote of not concers or polyps; inflammatory bowel         patients)       patients)       community cohort)       colorectal concers or polyps; inflammatory bowel

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Cardiovascula	r diseases						
Golabi (2019) <sup>22</sup>	N/A	Asian Americans: Age (mean, SE): 48.90, 1.72 (NAFLD); 42.68, 0.93 (No NAFLD); Male: 58.99% (NAFLD), 44.66% (No NAFLD); Non-Hispanic Whites: Age (mean, SE): 53.42, 0.59 (NAFLD); 47.08, 0.66 (no NAFLD); Male: 56.28% (NAFLD), 44.56% (no NAFLD)	Total N = 967 (Asian Americans N = 159 and Non-Hispanic Whites N = 808)	Total N = 2230 (Asian Americans N = 657 and Non- Hispanic Whites N = 1573)	ASCVD risk score >7.5%: Asian Americans: $35.39\%$ (NAFLD) vs. $17.85\%$ (no NAFLD), p < 0.05; non- Hispanic whites: $46.75\%$ (NAFLD) vs. $24.58\%$ (no NAFLD), p < 0.05	N/A	N/A
Viglino (2018) <sup>39</sup>	Not stated	Age (median, range): 65.3, 61.1–70.9 (NAFLD), 55.4, 51–64.8 (no liver disease); Male: 85.5% (NAFLD), 53.6% (no liver disease)	N = 83	N = 28	Total cardiovascular events: 32.5% (NAFLD) vs. 14.3% (no liver disease), $p = 0.09$ ; Univariate 5-year composite outcome: HR 3.06, 95% Cl 1.08–8.63, $p = 0.035$	Cardiovascular events and death at 5-years: Steatosis vs. no steatosis: HR 1.66, 95% Cl 0.72–3.84, p = 0.236; NASH vs. no NASH: HR 0.80, 95% Cl 0.34–1.85, $p = 0.596$ ; Fibrosis vs. no fibrosis: HR 2.94, 95% Cl 1.18– 7.33, $p = 0.02$	Age, BMI, gender, inhaled corticosteroids, dyslipidemia and diabetes
Chinnadurai (2019) <sup>17</sup>	69 months	Age (median, range): 65, 56–71 (NAFLD); 65, 56–72 (no NAFLD); Male: 72.9% (NAFLD), 65.8% (no NAFLD)	N = 48	N = 101	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 3.48, 95% Cl 1.59–7.6, p = 0.002	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 2.95, 95% Cl 1.31– 6.60, p = 0.01	ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular accident, age
Vanjiappan (2018) <sup>38</sup>	Not stated	Age (mean, SD): 53.8 $\pm$ 10.5 (NAFLD), 53.9 $\pm$ 10.4 (no NAFLD); Male: 58.6% (NAFLD), 41.3% (no NAFLD)	N = 183	N = 117	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: 58/ 183 (31.6%) vs. 47/117 (40.1%)	N/A	N/A
							(Continued on next page

 Table 2 Main Outcomes of the Included Studies Evaluating the Association Between NAFLD and Cardiovascular Disease, Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases, and Extrahepatic Cancers.

NAFLD

NAFLD

62

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Allen (2018) <sup>14</sup>	7 years	Age (median, IQR): 53, 42– 63 (NAFLD); 53, 43–64 (no NAFLD); Female: 52% (NAFLD), 52% (no NAFLD)	N = 3869	N = 15,209	Cardiovascular events at baseline: 28% (NAFLD) vs. 18% (no NAFLD), p < 0.0001; Cardiovascular events after 10 years: 34% (NAFLD) vs. 22% (no NAFLD), $p < 0.0001$ ; Cardiovascular events in patients with no comorbidities (NAFLD vs. no NAFLD): RR: 1.96, 95% CI = 1.35–2.86, $p < 0.001$ ; Cardiovascular events in patients with 1 comorbidity (NAFLD vs. no NAFLD): RR: 1.21, 95% CI: 0.96–1.53, p = 0.10; Cardiovascular events in patients with 2 comorbidities (NAFLD vs. no NAFLD): RR 1.24, 95% CI 1.05–1.47, $p = 0.01$ ; Cardiovascular events in patients with 3 comorbidities (NAFLD vs. no NAFLD): RR 1.02, 95% CI 0.86–1.21, $p = 0.76$	N/A	Outcomes compared with age and sex- matched controls
Mantovani (2016) <sup>28</sup>	mean $\pm$ SD: 5.3 $\pm$ 2.1 years	Age (mean, SD): $47.5 \pm 14.2$ (NAFLD), $38.8 \pm 13.1$ (no NAFLD); Male: 48% (NAFLD), 36% (no NAFLD)	N = 150	N = 136	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 8.16, 95% Cl 1.9–35.1, p < 0.005	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 5.86, 95% Cl 1.1–30.5, p = 0.035	Age, sex, diabetes duration, smoking history and temporal changes in BMI, hemoglobin A1c, eGFR, hypertension and dyslipidemia
Zeb (2016) <sup>43</sup>	7.6 years	Age (mean, SD): 61 $\pm$ 9 (NAFLD), 63 $\pm$ 10 (no NAFLD)	N = 728	N = 3391	No data provided	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: Adjusted HR 1.74, 95% Cl 1.25–2.41, p = 0.01	Age, sex, ethnicity, and MESA study sites
Stolic (2016) <sup>32</sup>	Not stated	Age: No data; Male: 51% (NAFLD), 63% (no NAFLD)	N = 37	N = 35	Cardiovascular disease: 22/37 (56%) in NAFLD vs. 11/35 (31%) in no NAFLD, p = 0.017	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 3.01, 95% Cl 1.08–8.4, p = 0.035	aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, c- reactive protein

Table 2 (Continued)

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Fracanzani (2016) <sup>21</sup>	Not stated	Age at baseline (mean, SD): 51 $\pm$ 11 (NAFLD), 52 $\pm$ 12 (no NAFLD)	N = 125	N = 250	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 2.43, 95% Cl 1.25–4.73, p = 0.009	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 1.99, 95% Cl 1.01– 3.94, p = 0.04	sex, hypertension, smoking habits, diabetes, presence of carotid plaque
Wong (2016) <sup>41</sup>	3679 patient- years	Age (mean, SD): $63 \pm 10$ (NAFLD), $63 \pm 12$ (no NAFLD); Male: 74.2% (NAFLD), 66% (no NAFLD)	N = 356	N = 256	All cardiovascular events: 36.5% (NAFLD) vs. 37.1% (no NAFLD); Cardiovascular deaths: 2.5% (NAFLD) vs. 7.0% (no NAFLD)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: All cardiovascular events (HR 0.90, 95% Cl 0.69– 1.18, $p = 0.46$ ); Cardiovascular deaths (HR 0.33, 95% Cl 0.15– 0.73, $p = 0.007$ )	age and sex
Mellinger (2015) <sup>29</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): 62.3 $\pm$ 10.2 (CVD), 50.4 $\pm$ 10.1 (no CVD); Male: 62.7% (CVD), 48.7% (no CVD)	N = 512	N = 2502	No data provided	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR: 1.06, 95% Cl: 0.90– 1.25, p = 0.494	Clinical covariate profile: Composite of the following: age, sex, alcohol use, smoking, menopause, HRT use, diabetes, BMI, HDL, total cholesterol, HTN, and presence of lipid- lowering medications
Pisto (2014) <sup>30</sup>	212 months	Age (mean, SD): $50.9 \pm 6.0$ (no fatty liver), $51.9 \pm 6.1$ (moderate fatty liver), $51.5 \pm 5.5$ (severe fatty liver); Male: 44.3% (no fatty liver), 65.3% (moderate fatty liver), 59.9% (severe fatty liver)	N = 268 (124 with moderate fatty liver, 144 with severe fatty liver)	N = 720	Total cardiovascular events: 13.5% (no fatty liver), 24.2% (moderate fatty liver), 29.2% (severe fatty liver)	Compared to no fatty liver: moderate fatty liver (OR: 1.49, 95% CI: 0.99 to 2.26), severe fatty liver (OR 1.76, 95% CI, 1.21 to 2.56).	study group, age, gender, smoking, alcohol consumption, systolic blood pressure, LDL cholesterol level, BMI, waist circumference, alcohol consumption, triglycerides, systolic blood pressure, fasting insulin, fasting glucose, alanine aminotransferase, type 2 diabetes, c-reactive protein, lipid lower treatment, antihypertensive treatment

ΝΑΓLD

 Table 2 (Continued)

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Lai (2013) <sup>25</sup>	2245 patient- years	Age (mean, SD): $60.12 \pm 12.46$ (NAFLD), $59.86 \pm 12.71$ (no NAFLD); Male: 41% (NAFLD), 49% (no NAFLD)	N = 78	N = 200	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR: 1.84, 95% Cl: 1.10–3.07, p = 0.021	HR: 2.82, 95% CI: 1.51– 5.86, p = 0.001	BMI, ALT, HDL, triglyceride levels, age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, obesity, smoking, dyslipidemia, Kt/V, Ca $\times$ P, albumin level, and hs-CRP level
Choi (2013) <sup>18</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $62.5 \pm 10.8$ (no significant CAD), $65.2 \pm 9.2$ (significant CAD); Male: 22.7% (no significant CAD), 37% (significant CAD)	N = 82	N = 52	Prevalence of NAFLD: 51.2% (no significant CAD) vs. 78.3% (significant CAD), p = 0.002	OR 1.685, 95% Cl 1.051–2.702, p = 0.030	age, total cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein levels, presence of NAFLD, glucose, HbA1c, BMI
Dunn (2013) <sup>19</sup>	Not stated	Age (mean, SD): $66.6 \pm 15.1$ (no NAFLD), $58.1 \pm 13.7$ (NAFLD); Female: 54% (no NAFLD), 58% (NAFLD)	N = 233	N = 2110	Cardiovascular-related death: 1% (NAFLD) vs. 5% (no NAFLD), $p = 0.35$ ; MI: 23% (NAFLD) vs. 28% (no NAFLD), $p = 0.11$ ; Stroke: 2% (NAFLD) vs. 3% (no NAFLD), $p = 0.66$ ; Angina: 6% (NAFLD) vs 8% (no NAFLD), $p = 0.53$ ; Arrhythmia: 22% (NAFLD) vs. 32% (no NAFLD), $p = 0.001$ ; CHF: 23% (NAFLD) vs. 34% (no NAFLD), $p = 0.001$ .	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: Cardiovascular deaths (HR 0.30, 95% Cl 0.07– 1.23, $p = 0.09$ ); MI (HR 0.77, 95% Cl 0.58– 1.02, $p = 0.07$ ); CHF (HR 0.87, 95% Cl 0.65– 1.16, $p = 0.33$ ); Angina (HR: 0.72, 95% Cl: 0.42–1.22, $p = 0.22$ ); Arrhythmia (HR 0.80, 95% Cl 0.60–1.07, p = 0.14)	Age, sex, BMI, LDL, triglyceride, AST, hemoglobin A1C levels, cirrhosis
Feitosa (2013) <sup>20</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $68.1 \pm 9.1$ (with CHD), $55.6 \pm 13.1$ (without CHD); Male: $71.3\%$ (with CHD), $41.3\%$ (without CHD)	8.0% (with CHD); 6.5% (without CHD)	92.0% (with CHD); 93.5% (without CHD)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 1.116, 95% CI 1.043– 1.329, p = 0.0084	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR: 0.996, 95% CI: 0.980-1.012, p = 0.598	Age, sex, alanine aminotransferase, BMI, diabetes, insulin resistance, smoking, alcohol intake
Wong (2011) <sup>42</sup>	$87 \pm 22$ weeks	Age (mean, SD): $63 \pm 10$ (fatty liver), $63 \pm 12$ (no fatty liver); Male: 74.2% (fatty liver), 66.0% (no fatty liver)	N = 356	N = 256	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: Coronary artery disease (OR 3.07, 95% Cl 2.09–4.51, p < 0.001)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: Coronary artery disease (OR: 2.31, 95% CI: 1.46–3.64, p < 0.001)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, diabetes, waist circumference, fasting glucose, HDL cholesterol, alanine aminotransferase
Hamaguchi (2007) <sup>24</sup>	7115 person- years	Age (mean, SD): $49.1 \pm 8.7$ (NAFLD), $47.8 \pm 8.6$ (no NAFLD); Male: $80.1\%$ (NAFLD), $54.7\%$ (no NAFLD)	N = 312	N = 1335	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 5.37, 95% Cl 2.29–12.58, p < 0.001	OR: 4.12, 95% CI: 1.58– 10.75, p = 0.004	age, smoking, LDL cholesterol, metabolic syndrome

65

Table 2	(Continued)
---------	-------------

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Targher (2006) <sup>34</sup>	Not stated	Age (mean, SD): $58 \pm 4$ (NAFLD), $59 \pm 4$ (without NAFLD); Male: $54\%$ (NAFLD), 54% (no NAFLD)	N = 400	N = 400	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.82, 95% Cl 1.5–2.0, p = 0.001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.1, 95% Cl 0.9–1.4, p = 0.21	smoking history, diabetes duration, duration, HbA1c, LDL cholesterol, GGT levels and use of medications (i.e. oral hypoglycemic, antihypertensive, lipid- lowering or antiplatelet drugs), metabolic syndrome
Targher (2005) <sup>36</sup>	5 years	Age (mean, SD): $66 \pm 4$ (coronary heart disease), $65 \pm 3$ (no coronary heart disease); Male: $62\%$ (coronary heart disease), 62% (no coronary heart disease)	Coronary heart disease: n = 233; no coronary heart disease: 278	Coronary heart disease: n = 15; no coronary heart disease: n = 218	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.91, 95% Cl 1.4–2.2, p = 0.001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.53, 95% Cl 1.1–1.7, p = 0.02	Age and sex, smoking history, diabetes duration, A1C, LDL cholesterol, GGT levels, and use of medications, metabolic syndrome
Liu (2019) <sup>26</sup>	11,484 patient- years	Age (mean, SD): $61.2 \pm 9.1$ (CV events), $61.1 \pm 8.8$ (no CV events); Male: $64.8\%$ (CV events), $64.8\%$ (no CV events)	CV events: n = 41; no CV events: n = 23	CV events: n = 121; no CV events: n = 139	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 1.66, 95% Cl 1.15–2.42, p = 0.007	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 1.62, 95% Cl 1.09– 2.39, p = 0.017	age, sex, MS, Gensini score, left ventricular ejection fraction, creatinine, and high- sensitivity C-reactive protein
Hagstrom (2019) <sup>23</sup>	18.6 years	Age (mean, SD): 47.4 $\pm$ 13.4 (NAFLD); Male: 63% (NAFLD); Non-NAFLD cases were age and sexmatched to NAFLD cases	N = 603	N = 6269	27.9% in NAFLD vs. 21.1% in non-NAFLD, p < 0.001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR: 1.54, 95% Cl: 1.30– 1.83, p < 0.001	Age, sex, BMI, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, type 2 diabetes, smoking
Wild (2018) <sup>40</sup>	4.3 years	Age (mean, SD): $58.7 \pm 11$ (NAFLD), $62.7 \pm 12$ (no liver disease); Male: $47.2\%$ (NAFLD), $54.9\%$ (no liver disease)	N = 1452	N = 131,209	Incident/recurrent CVD: 51.5 events per 1000 person-years (NAFLD) vs. 38.6 events per 1000 person-years (no liver disease)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR: 1.70, 95% CI: 1.52– 1.90	Age, sex, socioeconomic status, smoking status, hypertension/ antihypertensive treatment, high cholesterol/lipid- lowering treatment, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), and record of CVD history before T2DM diagnosis (Continued on next page)

ΝΑΓLD

# 8 **Table 2** (Continued)

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Chan (2014) <sup>16</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $66.4 \pm 8.9$ (ischemic heart disease), $61.5 \pm 10.7$ (no ischemic heart disease); Male: $50\%$ (ischemic heart disease), 40.6% (no ischemic heart disease)	N = 198	N = 201	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.19, 95% Cl 0.76–1.86, p = 0.450	No data	N/A
Stepanova (2012) <sup>31</sup>	N/A	35-44 years: 22.04% (NAFLD), 23.91% (no NAFLD); 45-54 years 20.33% (NAFLD), 14.53% (no NAFLD); 55-64 years: 18.78% (NAFLD), 11.81% (no NAFLD); 65-74 years: 15.53% (NAFLD), 10.26% (no NAFLD)	N = 2492	N = 9121	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease: 38.18% $\pm$ 1.68 (NAFLD) vs. 29.26% $\pm$ 0.88 (no NAFLD)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.23; 95% Cl, 1.04– 1.44	age, sex, race/ethnicity, obesity, diabetes mellitus, smoking, family history of cardiovascular disease
Targher (2012) <sup>37</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): 49 ± 15 (NAFLD), 39 ± 13 (no NAFLD); Male: 51.1% (NAFLD), 39.1% (no NAFLD)	N = 182	N = 161	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 10.3, 95% CI 5.7–20.3, p < 0.001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR: 8.2, 95% Cl: 4.3– 22.7, p < 0.001	Age, sex, duration of diabetes, glycated hemoglobin, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity level, family history of cardiovascular disease, LDL cholesterol, BMI, systolic blood pressure, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides and current use of antihypertensive, lipid-lowering or antiplatelet medications
Sun (2011) <sup>33</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $62 \pm 10$ (NAFLD), $58 \pm 10$ (no NAFLD); Male: $62.1\%$ (NAFLD), $68.0\%$ (no NAFLD)	N = 248	N = 294	Significant CAD: 58.1% NAFLD; Non-significant CAD: 16.3%	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 7.585, 95% Cl 4.617– 12.461, p < 0.001	Age, sex, previous history of myocardial infarction, total cholesterol, aspartate aminotransferase

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Targher (2010) <sup>35</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $47 \pm 12$ (NAFLD), $37 \pm 12$ (no NAFLD); Male: $63\%$ (NAFLD), 40% (no NAFLD)	N = 111	N = 91	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 11.7, 95% Cl 4.4–31.2, p < 0.0001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 7.17, 95% Cl 1.6–31.5, p < 0.01	Age, sex, diabetes duration, HbA1c, smoking status, LDL cholesterol, BMI, systolic blood pressure, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides and medication use (i.e., antihypertensive, lipid- lowering or antiplatelet drugs
Lu (2009) <sup>27</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $56.42 \pm 6.57$ (NAFLD), $57.19 \pm 6.61$ (no NAFLD); Male: $62.7\%$ (NAFLD), $46.0\%$ (no NAFLD)	N = 421	N = 139	Prevalence of coronary heart disease: 43.0% (NAFLD) vs. 73.4% (without NAFLD)	No data	N/A
Arslan (2007) <sup>15</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): 56.6 $\pm$ 10.3; Male: 65.0%	N = 65	N = 27	No data provided	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR:7.92, 95% Cl: 1.57– 40.04, p = 0.012	Age, sex, BMI, LDL cholesterol, smoking history, metabolic syndrome
Stroke and cer	rebrovascular disea	se					
Hagstrom (2019) <sup>23</sup>	18.6 years	Age (mean, SD): 47.4 $\pm$ 13.4 (NAFLD); Male: 63% (NAFLD); Non-NAFLD cases were age and sexmatched to NAFLD cases	N = 603	N = 6269	27.9% in NAFLD vs. 21.1% in non-NAFLD, p < 0.001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 1.54, 95% Cl 1.30– 1.83, p < 0.001	Age, sex, BMI, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, type 2 diabetes, smoking
Alexander (2018) <sup>44</sup>	5.8 years	Age (mean): 64.7; Male: 45%	N = 447 of non- stroke cohort, no data provided for stroke cohort	N = 193 of non- stroke cohort, no data provided for stroke cohort	No data provided	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 0.65, 95% Cl 0.43– 1.00, p < 0.05	age, race, and age*race, Framingham stroke risk factors (systolic blood pressure (SBP), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), smoking, prevalent CVD, atrial fibrillation, diabetes, and hypertension medication use) (Continued on next page)

ΝΑΓLD

**Table 2** (Continued)

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Mantovani (2016) <sup>28</sup>	mean $\pm$ SD: 5.3 $\pm$ 2.1 years	Age (mean, SD): 47.5 $\pm$ 14.2 (NAFLD), 38.8 $\pm$ 13.1 (no NAFLD); Male: 48% (NAFLD), 36% (no NAFLD)	N = 150	N = 136	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 8.16, 95% Cl 1.9–35.1, p < 0.005	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 5.86, 95% Cl 1.1–30.5, p = 0.035	Age, sex, diabetes duration, smoking history and temporal changes in BMI, hemoglobin A1c, eGFR, hypertension and dyslipidemia
Moshayedi (2014) <sup>45</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $66.42 \pm 11.31$ (stroke), $66.51 \pm 11.27$ (no stroke); Male: $62.7\%$ (stroke), 62.7% (no stroke)	n = 47 in stroke group; n = 25 in no stroke group	n = 63 in stroke group; n = 85 in no stroke group	Prevalence of NAFLD: 42.7% (stroke) vs. 22.7% (no stroke), p = 0.001	OR 1.68, 95% CI 0.42– 6.76, p = 0.460	Age, sex, waist circumference, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, low-density lipoprotein, triglyceride, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, creatine, body mass index, cigarette smoking, and ischemic heart disease
Pisto (2014) <sup>30</sup>	212 months	Age (mean, SD): $50.9 \pm 6.0$ (no fatty liver), $51.9 \pm 6.1$ (moderate fatty liver), $51.5 \pm 5.5$ (severe fatty liver); Male: 44.3% (no fatty liver), $65.3\%$ (moderate fatty liver), $59.9\%$ (severe fatty liver)	N = 268 (124 with moderate fatty liver, 144 with severe fatty liver)	N = 720	Total cardiovascular events: 13.5% (no fatty liver), 24.2% (moderate fatty liver), 29.2% (severe fatty liver)	Compared with no fatty liver: moderate fatty liver (OR 1.49, 95% Cl, 0.99 to 2.26), severe fatty liver (OR 1.76, 95% Cl, 1.21 to 2.56).	Study group, age, gender, smoking, alcohol consumption, systolic blood pressure, LDL cholesterol level, BMI, waist circumference, alcohol consumption, triglycerides, systolic blood pressure, fasting insulin, fasting glucose, alanine aminotransferase, type 2 diabetes, c-reactive protein, lipid lower treatment, antihypertensive treatment
Dunn (2013) <sup>19</sup>	Not stated	Age (mean, SD): 66.6 $\pm$ 15.1 (no NAFLD), 58.1 $\pm$ 13.7 (NAFLD); Female: 54% (no NAFLD), 58% (NAFLD)	N = 233	N = 2110	Stroke: 2% (NAFLD) vs. 3% (no NAFLD), p = 0.66	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 0.69, 95% CI 0.28– 1.75, p = 0.44	Age, sex, race, prior MI, prior stroke

Table 2	(Continued)
---------	-------------

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Hamaguchi (2007) <sup>24</sup>	7115 person- years	Age (mean, SD): 49.1 $\pm$ 8.7 (NAFLD), 47.8 $\pm$ 8.6 (no NAFLD); Male: 80.1% (NAFLD), 54.7% (no NAFLD)	N = 312	N = 1335	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 5.37, 95% Cl 2.29–12.58, p < 0.001	OR 4.12, 95% CI 1.58– 10.75, p = 0.004	age, smoking, LDL cholesterol, metabolic syndrome
Targher (2005) <sup>36</sup>	5 years	Age (mean, SD): $66 \pm 4$ (cardiovascular disease), $65 \pm 3$ (no cardiovascular disease); Male: $62\%$ (cardiovascular disease), 62% (no cardiovascular disease)	Cardiovascular disease: n = 233; no cardiovascular disease: 278	Cardiovascular disease: n = 15; no cardiovascular disease: n = 218	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.91, 95% Cl 1.4–2.2, p = 0.001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.53, 95% Cl 1.1–1.7, p = 0.02	Age and sex, smoking history, diabetes duration, A1C, LDL cholesterol, GGT levels, and use of medications, metabolic syndrome
Viglino (2018) <sup>39</sup>	Not stated	Age (median, range): 65.3, 61.1–70.9 (NAFLD), 55.4, 51–64.8 (no liver disease); Male: 85.5% (NAFLD), 53.6% (no liver disease)	N = 83	N = 28	Total cardiovascular events: 32.5% (NAFLD) vs. 14.3% (no liver disease), $p = 0.09$ ; Univariate 5-year composite outcome: HR 3.06, 95% Cl 1.08–8.63, $p = 0.035$	Cardiovascular events and death at 5-years: Steatosis vs. no steatosis: HR 1.66, 95% Cl 0.72–3.84, p = 0.236; NASH vs. no NASH: HR 0.80, 95% Cl 0.34–1.85, $p = 0.596$ ; Fibrosis vs. no fibrosis: HR 2.94, 95% Cl 1.18– 7.33, $p = 0.02$	Age, BMI, gender, inhaled corticosteroids, dyslipidemia and diabetes
Mellinger (2015) <sup>29</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): 62.3 $\pm$ 10.2 (CVD), 50.4 $\pm$ 10.1 (no CVD); Male: 62.7% (CVD), 48.7% (no CVD)	N = 512	N = 2502	No data provided	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.06, 95% Cl 0.90– 1.25, p = 0.494	Clinical covariate profile: Composite of the following: age, age2, sex, alcohol use, smoking, menopause, HRT use, diabetes, BMI, HDL, total cholesterol, HTN, and presence of lipid-lowering medications
Targher (2006) <sup>34</sup>	Not stated	Age (mean, SD): $58 \pm 4$ (NAFLD), $59 \pm 4$ (without NAFLD); Male: $54\%$ (NAFLD), $54\%$ (no NAFLD)	N = 400	N = 400	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.82, 95% Cl 1.5–2.0, p = 0.001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.1, 95% Cl 0.9–1.4, p = 0.21	Smoking history, diabetes duration, duration, HbA1c, LDL cholesterol, GGT levels and use of medications (i.e. oral hypoglycemic, antihypertensive, lipid- lowering or antiplatelet drugs), metabolic syndrome

ΝΑΓLD

 Table 2 (Continued)

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Liu (2019) <sup>26</sup>	11,484 patient- years	Age (mean, SD): $61.2 \pm 9.1$ (CV events), $61.1 \pm 8.8$ (no CV events); Male: $64.8\%$ (CV events), $64.8\%$ (no CV events)	CV events: n = 41; no CV events: n = 23	CV events: n = 121; no CV events: n = 139	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 1.66, 95% Cl 1.15–2.42, p = 0.007	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 1.62, 95% CI 1.09– 2.39, p = 0.017	Age, sex, MS, Gensini score, left ventricular ejection fraction, creatinine, and high- sensitivity C-reactive protein
Wild (2018) <sup>40</sup>	4.3 years	Age (mean, SD): 58.7 $\pm$ 11 (NAFLD), 62.7 $\pm$ 12 (no liver disease); Male: 47.2% (NAFLD), 54.9% (no liver disease)	N = 1452	N = 131,209	Incident/recurrent CVD: 51.5 events per 1000 person-years (NAFLD) vs. 38.6 events per 1000 person-years (no liver disease)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 1.70, 95% CI 1.52–1.90	Age, sex, socioeconomic status, smoking status, hypertension/ antihypertensive treatment, high cholesterol/lipid- lowering treatment, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), and record of CVD history before T2DM diagnosis
Stepanova (2012) <sup>31</sup>	N/A	35–44 years: 22.04% (NAFLD), 23.91% (no NAFLD); 45–54 years 20.33% (NAFLD), 14.53% (no NAFLD); 55–64 years: 18.78% (NAFLD), 11.81% (no NAFLD); 65–74 years: 15.53% (NAFLD), 10.26% (no NAFLD)	N = 2492	N = 9121	Prevalence of cardiovascular disease: $38.18\% \pm 1.68$ (NAFLD) vs. 29.26% $\pm$ 0.88 (no NAFLD)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.23; 95% Cl, 1.04– 1.44	age, sex, race/ethnicity, obesity, diabetes mellitus, smoking, family history of cardiovascular disease
Targher (2012) <sup>37</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $49 \pm 15$ (NAFLD), $39 \pm 13$ (no NAFLD); Male: $51.1\%$ (NAFLD), $39.1\%$ (no NAFLD)	N = 182	N = 161	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 10.3, 95% Cl 5.7–20.3, p < 0.001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 8.2, 95% CI 4.3–22.7, p < 0.001	Age, sex, duration of diabetes, glycated hemoglobin, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity level, family history of cardiovascular disease, LDL cholesterol, BMI, systolic blood pressure, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides and current use of antihypertensive, lipid-lowering or antiplatelet medications

Table	2	(Continued)

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Targher (2010) <sup>35</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $47 \pm 12$ (NAFLD), $37 \pm 12$ (no NAFLD); Male: $63\%$ (NAFLD), $40\%$ (no NAFLD)	N = 111	N = 91	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 11.7, 95% Cl 4.4–31.2, p < 0.0001	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 7.17, 95% Cl 1.6–31.5, p < 0.01	age, sex, diabetes duration, HbA1c, smoking status, LDL cholesterol, BMI, systolic blood pressure, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides and medication use (i.e., anti-hypertensive, lipid- lowering or antiplatelet drugs
Extrahepatic c	ancers						
Kim (2017) <sup>49</sup>	7.5 years (IQR 3.2–9.3)	Age (mean, SD): $50.1 \pm 9.7$ (NAFLD), $46.9 \pm 10.2$ (No NAFLD); Male: $71.1\%$ (NAFLD), $45.1\%$ (No NAFLD)	N = 8721	N = 17,226	IRR (NAFLD vs. no NAFLD): All Cancers (1.32, 95% Cl 1.17–1.49); Stomach (1.36, 95% Cl 1.00–1.86); Colon and rectum (2.04, 95% Cl 1.30–3.19); Breast (1.77, 95% Cl 1.15–2.74)	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: All} \\ \mbox{cancers (HR 1.08, 95\% \\ Cl 0.94-1.24, p = 0.27);} \\ \mbox{stomach (HR 0.98, 95\% \\ Cl 0.69-1.38, p = 0.91);} \\ \mbox{Colon and Rectum (HR \\ 1.45, 95\% Cl 0.88- \\ 2.38, p = 0.15);} \\ \mbox{Breast} \\ \mbox{(HR 1.92, 1.15-3.20, } \\ p = 0.01) \end{array}$	Age, sex, smoking status, diabetes, hypertension, GGT, HDL, LDL, and triglycerides
Chang (2018) <sup>47</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $64.1 \pm 14.9$ (pancreatic cancer), $64.8 \pm 15.9$ (non cancer); Male: $58.7\%$ (pancreatic cancer), $51.4\%$ (non cancer)	17/143 in pancreatic cancer; 21/414 in patients without pancreatic cancer	126/143 in pancreatic cancer; 393/414 in patients without pancreatic cancer	Prevalence of NAFLD: Pancreatic cancer, $17/143$ (11.9%) vs. non-pancreatic cancer, $21/414$ (5.1%), p = 0.0095	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: Pancreatic cancer, OR 2.63, 95% Cl 1.24– 5.58, p = 0.011	Diabetes, smoking, statin use, aspirin use
Wild (2018) <sup>40</sup>	4.3–4.7 years	Age (mean, SD): $58.7 \pm 11.0$ (NAFLD), $62.7 \pm 12.0$ (no liver disease); Male: $47.2\%$ (NAFLD), $54.9\%$ (no liver disease)	N = 1452	N = 131,209	NAFLD: 23.4 cancers per 1000 person-years; No liver disease: 25.6 per 1000 person-years	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: Incident/recurrent cancers, excluding HCC, HR 1.10, 95% CI 0.94– 1.29	Age, sex, socioeconomic status, smoking status, hypertension, high cholesterol, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), and cardiovascular disease
Nseir (2017) <sup>53</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $54.8\pm12$ (breast cancer), $57.5\pm9.6$ (no breast cancer); Female: 100%	33/73 in breast cancer group; 12/73 in non-breast cancer group	40/73 in breast cancer group; 61/73 in non- breast cancer group	Prevalence of NAFLD: Breast cancer, $33/73$ (45.2%) vs. no breast cancer, $12/73$ (16.4%), p = 0.002	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: Breast cancer (OR 2.82, 95%Cl 1.20–5.50, p = 0.016)	Age at first delivery, estrogen use
							(Continued on next page)

ΝΑΓΓD

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Seko (2015) <sup>55</sup>	4.8 years (range, 0.3–15.7)	Age (median, range): 59 (16–92); Female: 49%, Male: 51%	N = 312 (NAFL = 136, NASH = 176)	No non-NAFLD comparator group	20/312 (6.4%) developed extrahepatic cancers (annual rate of 1.5%), including stomach cancer ( $n = 5$ ), lung cancer ( $n = 4$ ), pancreatic cancer ( $n = 3$ ), colorectal cancer ( $n = 3$ ), breast cancer ( $n = 1$ ), bile duct cancer ( $n = 1$ ), prostate cancer ( $n = 1$ ), malignant lymphoma ( $n = 1$ ), spinal cord cancer ( $n = 1$ )	N/A	N/A
Kwak (2019) <sup>50</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): 51.7 $\pm$ 9.3 (breast cancer), 51.6 $\pm$ 9.3 (no breast cancer); Female: 100%	81/270 in breast cancer group; 54/ 270 in no breast cancer group	189/270 in breast cancer group; 216/270 in no breast cancer group	Prevalence of NAFLD Breast cancer $81/270 (30.0\%)$ vs. no breast cancer $54/270 (20.0\%)$ , p = 0.008	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: Breast cancer (OR 1.63, 95% Cl 1.01–2.62, p = 0.046)	Family history of breast cancer, body mass index, waist circumference, metabolic syndrome, GGT, triglycerides, systolic blood pressure, age at menarche
Cho (2019) <sup>48</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $61.9 \pm 12.5$ (advanced colorectal neoplasm), $53.9 \pm 12.7$ (no colorectal adenoma); Male: 39.6% (advanced colorectal neoplasm), 47.7% (no colorectal adenoma)	Advanced colorectal neoplasm (24/53); no colorectal adenoma (246/323)	Advanced colorectal neoplasm (5/ 53); no colorectal adenoma (77/ 323)	NAFL vs. no NAFLD (OR 2.60, 95% CI 0.96–7.04, p = 0.060); NASH vs. no NAFLD (2.74, 95% CI 1.01– 7.43, p = 0.047)	NASH vs no NAFLD: OR 2.81, 95% CI 1.01– 7.87, p = 0.049	Age, sex, diabetes
Yang (2017) <sup>56</sup>	NAFLD: 52.2 $\pm$ 15.1 months; Without NAFLD: 51.8 $\pm$ 15.2 months	Age (mean, SD): $53.8 \pm 10.4$ (NAFLD), $54.5 \pm 10.6$ (without NAFLD); Male: $59.6\%$ (NAFLD), $51.7\%$ (without NAFLD)	N = 441	N = 441	NAFLD: 16/441 (3.6%); Without NAFLD 14/441 (3.2%)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: HR 1.07, 95% CI 0.51– 2.26, p = 0.85	age, body mass index, hypertension, diabetes, aspirin or NSAID use, lipid-lowering agent, and risk categories based on index colonoscopy findings
Pan (2017) <sup>54</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $55.57 \pm 9.45$ (colorectal cancer), $49.02 \pm 10.76$ (without colorectal cancer); Male: 74.1% (colorectal cancer), 64.3% (without colorectal cancer)	Colorectal cancer (14/27); no colorectal cancer (559/1767)	Colorectal cancer (13/27); no colorectal cancer (1208/1767)	Prevalence of NAFLD: Colorectal cancer 14/27 (51.9%) vs. no colorectal cancer 559/1767 (31.6%)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 2.164, 95% CI 1.289– 3.271, p = 0.005	age, metabolic syndrome

VERACRUZ ET AL

# Table 2 (Continued)

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and         NAFLD         No NAFLD         Univariate Outcomes         Adjusted Outcomes           Sex Distribution		Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses		
Ahn (2017) <sup>46</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $55.0 \pm 8.6$ (advanced colorectal neoplasia), $48.5 \pm 9.0$ (no colorectal neoplasia); Male: 77.9% (advanced colorectal neoplasia), $58.9\%$ (no colorectal neoplasia)	Advanced colorectal neoplasia (263/ 569); no colorectal neoplasia (5893/ 18011)	Advanced colorectal neoplasia (306/ 569); no colorectal neoplasia (12118/18011)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: OR 1.66 (95% Cl 1.41–1.96)	NAFLD vs. no NAFLD: Model 1 (OR 1.32, 95% Cl 1.12–1.57, p = 0.001); Model 2 (OR 1.28, 95% Cl 1.06– 1.54, $p = 0.009$ ); Model 3 (OR 1.21, 95% Cl 0.99–1.47, $p = 0.053$ )	Model 1: age, sex; Model 2: age, sex, smoking, alcohol, body mass index, first-degree family history of colorectal cancer, aspirin use; Model 3: age, sex, smoking, alcohol, body mass index, first-degree family history of colorectal cancer, aspirin use, fasting blood glucose, use of anti-diabetic medication, total cholesterol, triglyceride, use of anti- dyslipidemic medication, systolic blood pressure, and use of anti- hypertensive medication
Lin (2014) <sup>52</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $63.1 \pm 12.8$ (males with NAFLD), $65.4 \pm 13.8$ (males without NAFLD), $64.8 \pm 11.5$ (females with NAFLD), $63.4 \pm 14.3$ (females without NAFLD); Male: 59.2%, Female: 40.8%	N = 263	N = 2052	Malignant colon neoplasm: 29.3% (n = 77) in NAFLD vs. 18.0% (n = 369) in non- NAFLD group (OR 2.043; 95% Cl 1.512–2.761, p = 0.001)	NAFLD vs non-NAFLD: (OR 1.868; 95% Cl 1.360–2.567, p = 0.001)	BMI, history of hypertension, triglycerides, uric acid, alanine aminotransferase, hemoglobin, platelet, albumin
Lee (2012) <sup>51</sup>	up to 7 years	Age (mean, SD): $50.0 \pm 7.7$ (NAFLD), $46.2 \pm 6.4$ (without NAFLD); Female: 100%	N = 831	N = 4686	Incidence rate: 233.6 per 100,000 person-years (NAFLD) vs. 27.0 per 100,000 person-years (without NAFLD); Crude RR NAFLD vs. non-NAFLD: RR 8.71 (95% Cl 3.10–24.48)	Adjusted RR (NAFLD vs. no NAFLD): Colorectal cancer (RR 3.08, 95% Cl 1.02–9.34)	Age, body mass index, blood pressure, fasting glucose, total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, smoking habits
							(Continued on next page)

Author/Year	Median Follow-up	Age and Sex Distribution	NAFLD	No NAFLD	Univariate Outcomes	Adjusted Outcomes	Variables Included in Multivariate Analyses
Wong (2011) <sup>42</sup>	N/A	Age (mean, SD): $50.8 \pm 8.5$ (NAFLD – hospital cohort), $50.3 \pm 5.8$ (NAFLD – community cohort), $48.5 \pm 5.8$ (Non-NAFLD cohort); Male: $54.8\%$ (NAFLD-hospital cohort), 57.8% (NAFLD-community cohort), $36.5\%$ (Non-NAFLD cohort), $36.5\%$ (Non-NAFLD cohort)	N = 199 (135 NAFLD- 1 hospital cohort, 64 NAFLD-community cohort)	V = 181	Advanced colorectal neoplasms: 18.6% (NAFLD) vs. 5.5% (Non-NAFLD); OR 3.91, 95% CI 1.88 to 8.11, p < 0.001	NAFLD vs. non-NAFLD: OR 3.04, 95% Cl 1.29 to 7.20, p = 0.011	Age, sex, smoking, colorectal cancer in first degree relatives, body mass index, diabetes, hypertension
CV, cardiovascu	lar disease; NAFL	D, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease	e; NHANES, National He	alth and Nutrition	n Examination Survey; HCC, her	oatocellular carcinoma; RR,	risk ratio.

included important potential confounders that are commonly considered in affecting the risk of both NAFLD and CVD (e.g. metabolic disease risk factors). On metaanalysis, the pooled risk ratio demonstrated a significantly increased risk of CVD associated with NAFLD diagnosis (overall pooled risk ratio: 1.78; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.52–2.08) (Figure 2). However, significant heterogeneity was present (I<sup>2</sup> of 95%).

# Quality assessment

While accurate assessment of publication bias is limited in the setting of significant study heterogeneity, the funnel plots did not indicate severe publication bias present (Figure 4a). Our quality assessment of the individual studies included in our analysis using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale demonstrated 12 studies meeting good quality, 16 studies meeting fair quality, and 2 studies meeting poor quality. Using the GRADE system for assessing the overall certainty of the evidence, given that all studies were observational in study design, we began with a "low" rating. Although there was some concern for risk of bias, when considered across all studies as a whole, the potential risk of bias was considered to be not serious and no downgrading for bias was given. However, concerns regarding heterogeneity and inconsistency led to downgrading the overall rating to "very low" (Figure 5a).

# Stroke or cerebrovascular disease

Our initial query identified 469 studies, among which 405 were excluded based on title review, 31 additionally excluded based on abstract review, and 17 studies were excluded based on full-length manuscript review. The final study cohort included 16 studies that met inclusion and exclusion criteria for further analyses (Figure 1).<sup>19,23,24,26,28-31,34-37,39,40,44,45</sup>

# Study characteristics and outcomes

The study characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. All studies were observational in study design, including 6 retrospective cohort studies, 5 cross-sectional studies, 3 prospective observational studies, one casecontrol, and one prospective nested case-control study. 5 studies were carried out in Italy, 4 in the USA, and the remaining 7 from various countries. Determination of NAFLD diagnosis was mostly based on radiographic modalities, and most studies excluded individuals with excessive alcohol consumption, hepatitis and other chronic liver diseases, those on hepatotoxic medications. Table 1 also provides specific details on how each study defined stroke or cerebrovascular disease outcomes.

The main outcomes of the studies are shown in Table 2. For the assessment of stroke and cerebrovascular disease outcomes, all studies included in our analyses provided adjusted regression analyses with the majority including relevant variables that may confound the association

**Fable 2** (Continued

# JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL HEPATOLOGY

	NAFL	D	No N/	AFLD		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	I	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Lu (2009)	181	421	102	139	4.3%	0.59 [0.50, 0.68]		-
Zeb (2016)	40	728	253	3391	3.8%	0.74 [0.53, 1.02]		
Choi (2013)	44	82	36	52	3.9%	0.78 [0.59, 1.02]		
Vanjiappan (2018)	58	183	47	117	3.8%	0.79 [0.58, 1.07]		
Chan (2014)	49	198	57	201	3.7%	0.87 [0.63, 1.21]		
Wong (2016)	130	356	96	256	4.1%	0.97 [0.79, 1.20]		+
Feitosa (2013)	25	177	286	2579	3.6%	1.27 [0.87, 1.86]		
Stepanova (2012)	951	2492	2669	9121	4.4%	1.30 [1.23, 1.38]		*
Wong (2011)	301	356	164	256	4.4%	1.32 [1.19, 1.46]		Ŧ
Hagstrom (2019)	168	603	1323	6269	4.3%	1.32 [1.15, 1.51]		-
Wild (2018)	320	1452	21873	131209	4.4%	1.32 [1.20, 1.46]		Ŧ
Liu (2019)	41	64	121	260	4.1%	1.38 [1.10, 1.72]		-
Allen (2018)	1303	3869	3304	15209	4.4%	1.55 [1.47, 1.64]		•
Lai (2013)	25	78	36	200	3.3%	1.78 [1.15, 2.76]		
Targher (2006)	181	400	100	400	4.2%	1.81 [1.48, 2.21]		-
Chinnadurai (2019)	24	48	27	101	3.4%	1.87 [1.22, 2.87]		
Fracanzani (2016)	17	91	18	182	2.7%	1.89 [1.02, 3.49]		
Stolic (2016)	22	37	11	35	2.9%	1.89 [1.08, 3.30]		
Golabi (2019)	434	967	504	2230	4.4%	1.99 [1.79, 2.20]		-
Pisto (2014)	72	268	97	720	3.9%	1.99 [1.52, 2.61]		-
Viglino (2018)	27	83	4	28	1.7%	2.28 [0.87, 5.94]		<u> </u>
Sun (2011)	144	248	48	294	3.9%	3.56 [2.69, 4.70]		
Arslan (2007)	39	65	4	27	1.8%	4.05 [1.60, 10.22]		· · · · ·
Hamaguchi (2007)	12	312	10	1335	2.0%	5.13 [2.24, 11.78]		
Dunn (2013)	76	233	105	2110	4.0%	6.55 [5.04, 8.52]		
Targher (2005)	233	511	15	233	3.1%	7.08 [4.30, 11.66]		
Targher (2012)	98	182	8	161	2.4%	10.84 [5.44, 21.58]		
Targher (2010)	81	111	6	91	2.1%	11.07 [5.07, 24.18]		
Mantovani (2016)	26	150	2	136	1.0%	11.79 [2.85, 48.73]		
Total (95% CI)		14765		177342	100.0%	1.78 [1.52, 2.08]		•
Total events	5122		31326					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = (	0.14; Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 592.3	9, df = 28	B (P < 0.00	0001); l² =	95%	H	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 7.16 (F	P < 0.00	001)				0.01	0.1 1 10 100 Lower Risk of CVD Higher Risk of CVD

Figure 2 Forest plot diagram evaluating the association between NAFLD and cardiovascular diseases. NAFLD, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

NAFL	.D	No N/	AFLD		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
5	233	63	2110	3.1%	0.72 [0.29, 1.77]	
951	2492	2669	9121	10.2%	1.30 [1.23, 1.38]	•
168	603	1323	6269	9.7%	1.32 [1.15, 1.51]	Ŧ
320	1452	21873	131209	10.0%	1.32 [1.20, 1.46]	+
41	64	121	260	9.0%	1.38 [1.10, 1.72]	-
47	72	63	148	8.7%	1.53 [1.19, 1.97]	-
447	798	251	791	9.9%	1.77 [1.57, 1.99]	-
181	400	100	400	9.2%	1.81 [1.48, 2.21]	-
72	268	97	720	8.5%	1.99 [1.52, 2.61]	-
27	83	4	28	2.8%	2.28 [0.87, 5.94]	
12	312	10	1335	3.4%	5.13 [2.24, 11.78]	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
233	511	15	231	6.0%	7.02 [4.27, 11.56]	
98	182	8	161	4.3%	10.84 [5.44, 21.58]	
81	111	6	91	3.7%	11.07 [5.07, 24.18]	
26	150	2	136	1.5%	11.79 [2.85, 48.73]	
	7731		153010	100.0%	2.08 [1.72, 2.51]	•
2709		26605				
0.09; Chi²	= 163.4	41, df = 1	4 (P < 0.0	00001); l² =	= 91% -	
z = 7.61 (l	P < 0.00	0001)				Lower Risk of Stroke Higher Risk of Stroke
	NAFL Events 5 951 168 320 41 47 447 181 72 277 12 233 98 81 26 2709 0.09; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7.61 (f	NAFLU           Events         Total           5         233           951         2492           168         603           320         1452           41         64           47         72           447         798           181         400           72         268           312         312           233         511           98         182           81         111           26         150           7731           2709	NAFLD         No N/           Events         Total         Events           5         233         63           951         2492         2669           168         603         1323           320         1452         21873           41         64         121           47         72         63           447         798         251           181         400         100           233         511         101           233         511         101           233         511         166           26         150         2           98         182         88           111         66         2           260         150         2           2709         Z6005         2           2709         2605         3           209; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 163.41, df = 1         4	NAFL         No NAFL           Events         Total         Events         Total           5         233         63         2110           951         2492         2669         9121           168         603         1323         6269           320         1452         21873         131209           41         64         121         2600           47         728         251         791           181         400         100         400           72         268         97         720           233         511         1352         231           181         400         100         400           72         268         97         720           233         511         155         231           98         182         8         161           81         111         6         91           240         125         233         161           81         111         6         91           250         2         2665         2           929         263.1, df = 1.4 (P < 0.00)	NAFLD         No NAFLD           Events         Total         Weight           5         233         63         2110         3.1%           951         2492         2669         9121         10.2%           168         603         1323         6269         9.7%           320         1452         21873         131209         9.0%           41         64         121         2609         9.0%           41         64         121         260         9.0%           41         64         121         260         9.0%           41         64         121         260         9.0%           41         64         121         260         9.0%           417         798         251         791         9.9%           181         400         100         400         9.2%           123         261         1310         2.8%         141           243         131         15         2.31         6.1%           253         511         155         231         6.1%           264         15         2         136         1.5%	NAFL         Risk Ratio           Events         Total         Events         Total         Veright         M-H, Random, 95% Cl           5         233         633         2110         3.1%         0.72 [0.29, 1.77]           951         2492         2669         9121         10.2%         1.30 [1.23, 1.38]           168         603         1323         6269         9.7%         1.32 [1.5, 1.51]           320         1452         21873         131209         10.0%         1.32 [1.20, 1.46]           41         64         121         260         9.0%         1.33 [1.01, 1.72]           47         72         63         148         8.7%         1.53 [1.19, 1.97]           447         798         251         791         9.9%         1.77 [1.57, 1.99]           181         400         100         400         9.2%         1.81 [1.48, 2.21]           172         268         97         720         8.5%         1.99 [1.52, 2.61]           123         312         10         1335         3.4%         5.13 [2.24, 11.78]           233         511         15         2.3%         11.07 [5.07, 24.18]           98         182

Figure 3 Forest plot diagram evaluating the association between NAFLD and stroke and cerebrovascular diseases. NAFLD, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.



# **B.Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases**



**Figure 4** Funnel plot diagram for association between NAFLD and (a) Cardiovascular diseases and (b) Stroke and cerebrovascular diseases. NAFLD, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

between NAFLD and risk of stroke and cerebrovascular disease outcomes. Across all studies, there was a positive signal indicating increased risk of stroke and cerebrovascular disease in patients with NAFLD, and on meta-analysis the pooled outcome assessment demonstrated more than doubling the risk of stroke or cerebrovascular disease in patients with NAFLD (overall pooled risk ratio: 2.08, 95% CI: 1.72–2.51) (Figure 3). However, significant heterogeneity was observed between studies (I<sup>2</sup> of 91%).

#### Quality assessment

Significant heterogeneity was also observed when evaluating stroke outcomes, which makes assessment of publication bias challenging. Review of the funnel plots did seem to demonstrate some mild publication bias (Figure 4b). Our quality assessment of the individual studies included in our analysis using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale demonstrated 7 studies meeting good quality and 9 studies meeting fair quality. Using the GRADE system for assessing the overall certainty of the evidence, given that all studies were observational in study design, we began with a "low" rating. The overall certainty of evidence was further downgraded for inconsistency (given significant heterogeneity between studies), as well as for potential publication bias. Given the overall magnitude of the pooled effect measure from our meta-analysis, we allowed a one level upgrade, but the final level of evidence remained "very low" (Figure 5b).

#### Extrahepatic cancer

Our initial query identified 4469 studies, among which 4411 were excluded based on title review, 31 additionally excluded based on abstract review, and 14 studies were excluded based on full-length manuscript review. The final study cohort included 13 studies that met inclusion and exclusion criteria for further analyses (Figure 1).<sup>40,42,46-56</sup>

#### Study characteristics and outcomes

The study characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. All studies were observational in study design, including 5 retrospective observation studies, 7 crosssectional studies, and one case-control study. Six studies were conducted in Korea, three in China, one in Japan, one in Israel, one in Scotland, and one in Taiwan. Similar to the aforementioned studies, the determination of NAFLD used different modalities including liver biopsy, presence of ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes for NAFLD in hospital admission records, abdominal ultrasonography, and abdominal CT scan. Exclusion criteria varied, but individuals in all studies were excluded if they had a history of excessive alcohol consumption, hepatitis or other chronic liver diseases. Unlike CVD and stroke or cerebrovascular disease, there was significant heterogeneity in the outcomes assessed in this category. For example, one study focused on pancreatic cancers, three focused on all cancers, two studies focused on breast cancers, and seven studies focused on colorectal cancers.

In the one cross-sectional study with pancreatic cancer as an outcome, the investigators did observe a significant association between NAFLD and pancreatic cancer on adjusted regression analyses (OR: 2.63, 95% CI: 1.24–5.58, p = 0.011) (Table 2). Two single-center cross-sectional studies evaluated association between imaging modality determined NAFLD and risk of breast cancer. Both studies demonstrated a significantly increased association between NAFLD and breast cancer (OR: 2.82, 95%CI: 1.20-5.50, p = 0.016 and OR: 1.63, 95% CI: 1.01-2.62, p=0.046) (Table 2).50,53 Among the three studies that focused on all cancers overall, no significant association was observed. However in the study by Kim, et  $al^{49}$  although there was no increased risk for all cancers, the investigators did perform a stratified analysis and found a significant association between NAFLD and breast cancer (hazard ratio [HR]: 1.92, 1.15-3.20, p=0.01). Among the 7 studies that evaluated colorectal cancer outcomes, 6 studies reported a significant association and one did not observe a significant association (Table 2).

## A.Cardiovascular Diseases

Newcastle Ottawa Assessment

Study	Selection	Comparability	Outcome/Exposure	Final Score
Golabi (2019)	***		***	6
Viglino (2018)	***			5
Chinnadurai (2019)	***		**	6
Vanjiappan (2018)	***			4
Allen (2018)	****		***	8
Mantovani (2016)	***		**	7
Zeb (2016)	****		**	7
Stolic (2016)	**			4
Fracanzani (2016)	***	**	***	8
Wong (2016)	****		***	8
Mellinger (2015)	****	**	**	8
Pisto (2014)	***	**	**	7
Lai (2013)	***	**	**	7
Choi (2013)	****	**		8
Dunn (2013)	***		***	8
Feitosa (2013)	***	**	***	8
Wong (2011)	***	**	***	8
Hamaguchi (2007)	****	**	**	8
Targher (2006)	***	**		6
Targher (2005)	****	**		8
Liu (2019)	****	**	**	8
Hagstrom (2019)	****	**		8
Wild (2018)	***	**	**	7
Chan (2014)	***		**	5
Stepanova (2012)	***	**	**	7
Targher (2012)	***	**		6
Sun (2011)	**	**		6
Targher (2010)	***	**		6
Lu (2009)	***		**	5
Arslan (2007)	**	**		5
Good Quality: 8-9 stars tot	al. Fair Quality	: 5-7 stars total. A	Poor Quality: four or few	ver stars total

. . .

#### GRADE Assessment

Study	Starting level		Reasons fo	r decreasing the	e level of evidence		Reasons to increase	Final level of
	of evidence	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication bias	level of evidence (strong association, plausible confounding, and bias adjustment)	evidence
30 Observational Studies	LOW	$\longleftrightarrow$	$\bigvee$	$\longleftrightarrow$	$\longleftrightarrow$	$\longleftrightarrow$	$\longleftrightarrow$	VERY LOW

#### **B.Stroke or Cerebrovascular Diseases**

Newcastle Ottawa Assessment <u>Study</u> Hagstrom (2019) Alexander (2018) Selection Comparability Outcome/Exposure Final Score \*\* ... 8 .... 8 Mantovani (2016) \*\*\* \*\* \*\* 7 Moshayedi (2014) \*\* \*\* \*\* 6 Pisto (2014) \*\*\* \*\* \*\* 7 Dunn (2013) \*\*\* \*\* \*\*\* 8 Hamaguchi (2007) 8 Targher (2005) Viglino (2018) ..... .... •• : 8 5 ..... Mellinger (2015) \*\* 8 Targher (2006) 6 Liu (2019) Wild (2018) \*\*\*\* \*\* \*\* 8 \*\*\* \*\* \*\* Stepanova (2012) \*\*\* \*\* \*\* 7 Targher (2012) Targher (2010) \*\*\* \*\* . \*\*\* 6 Good Quality: 8-9 stars total. Fair Quality: 5-7 stars total. Poor Quality: four or fewer stars total

GRADE Assessm	ent							
Study	Starting level		Reasons for decreasing the level of evidence				Reasons to increase	Final level of
	or evidence	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication bias	(strong association, plausible confounding, and bias adjustment)	evidence
16 Observational Studies	LOW	$\longleftrightarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\longleftrightarrow$	$\longleftrightarrow$	$\downarrow$	Î	VERY LOW

Figure 5 Quality assessment of included studies evaluating the association between NAFLD and (A) Cardiovascular diseases, (B) Stroke or cerebrovascular diseases, and (C) Extrahepatic cancers. NAFLD, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

.

#### Quality assessment

As noted previously, given the heterogeneity of the outcomes in the articles assessing extrahepatic cancers, we did not perform meta-analysis or GRADE assessment. Our quality assessment of the individual studies included in our analysis using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale demonstrated 8 studies meeting good quality and 5 studies meeting fair quality (Figure 5c).

## DISCUSSION

Although NAFLD contributes to significant liver disease burden worldwide, growing evidence supports that NAFLD is strongly correlated with and perhaps a manifestation of systemic metabolic diseases. Our current systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to comprehensively evaluate the association between NAFLD and three of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality – CVDs, stroke or cerebrovascular diseases, and extrahepatic cancers.

Meta-analysis of data from observational studies demonstrated a nearly doubling of CVD risk in patients with NAFLD compared with those without NAFLD. This observation supports clinical observations that underlying metabolic diseases are associated with increased risk of NAFLD and NAFLD progression,<sup>57</sup> and it is these same metabolic disease risk factors that are also associated with increased risk of CVDs. Although all the studies included in our analyses were observational in nature, thereby only suggesting association without clear causation, it is important to note that significant heterogeneity was present and GRADE assessment of the quality of evidence overall was very low. Nevertheless, our observations along with existing studies demonstrating the leading cause of death in NAFLD patients is in fact CVD-related, emphasizing the importance of optimizing management of metabolic disease risk factors in patients with NAFLD.<sup>10</sup> The clinical implications of these observations further highlight the need for refining CVD risk assessment in patients with NAFLD and earlier and consistent implementation of CVD risk assessment that allows for early preventative care to reduce long-term risk of CVD-related morbidity and mortality.

Our study also demonstrated a significantly increased risk of stroke or cerebrovascular disease associated with NAFLD. Although there was significant overlap in articles with the CVD section, there were additional studies that were identified in this query that specifically focused on stroke outcomes. The risk of stroke and cerebrovascular disease was more than double in patients with NAFLD compared with patients with non-NAFLD. As with CVD, this observation is clinically relevant given that the majority of strokes and cerebrovascular disease outcomes assessed were ischemic in nature, and thus subject to the same metabolic disease risk factors aforementioned.

Although the mechanisms behind the association between NAFLD and CVD are not well-understood, several explanations have been proposed. One explanation involves the role of NAFLD in mediating chronic low-grade systemic inflammation on the circulatory system. Chronic low-grade inflammation may lead to increased circulation of proinflammatory cytokines, which in turn can affect the electrophysiology and structural substrates of the myocardium. These series of effects may ultimately affect left ventricular structure and function. Patients with NAFLD may also have increased levels of inflammatory biomarkers such as C-reactive protein.58,59 Another hypothesis involves the mechanistic pathway of altered endothelial function and increased platelet activation, as well as dysregulation of fibrinolytic pathways, all of which have been observed in NAFLD. These mechanistic pathways may also contribute to increased risks of CVD.<sup>60,61</sup> Insulin resistance is a common co-occurrence in patients with NAFLD, and insulin resistance has been associated with alterations in diastolic function. While the exact mechanism is not known, insulin signaling is a critical determinant of adult cardiac size and plays a role in regulating myosin gene expression and substrate use by the heart, and suppression of fatty acid oxidation and increased glucose use can be affected by development of insulin resistance.<sup>62</sup>

Existing literature has raised some concern with increased risk of extrahepatic malignancies associated with NAFLD. Our study demonstrated significant heterogeneity in the existing literature. Although there was a single study demonstrating strong association with pancreatic cancer, it is difficult to make definitive conclusions given the observational nature of this study and the overall fair quality of the study design. However, our study did demonstrate an association between NAFLD and breast cancer and NAFLD and colorectal cancer. Several possible mechanisms may explain the association between NAFLD and breast cancer. The first is that NAFLD is closely associated with increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines, as mentioned earlier.<sup>63</sup> These cytokines may promote cancer through tumor cell proliferation, antiapoptotic effects, and angiogenesis.<sup>64</sup> As previously mentioned, insulin resistance is often a key feature of NAFLD, and insulin may cross-bind to IGF-1 receptors expressed on breast cells, leading to proliferation.<sup>65</sup> Elevated circulating insulin may also increase hepatic IGF-I synthesis and decrease expression of IGF-I binding proteins, leading to high levels of free IGF-I.<sup>53,65,66</sup> These changes in NAFLD may contribute to the development of breast cancer, although the evidence does not support a clear strong causative pathway.<sup>67-70</sup> Other studies have shown that insulin resistance may also promote the occurrence and development of colorectal cancer. NAFLD patients have reduced expression of adiponectin, and in human studies, low levels of adiponectin is associated with increased risk of colorectal adenomas.<sup>71</sup> Despite these data, the current paucity of data and overall poor-fair quality of existing observational studies in our analysis limit any strong conclusions to modify cancer screening policies in patients with NAFLD.

Our analysis has some important limitations that should be mentioned. All of the existing studies included in our analyses were observational in nature, and thus while aforementioned meta-analyses do in fact demonstrate significantly increased risk of CVD and stroke or cerebrovascular disease outcomes in patienst with NAFLD, the study designs only allows us to determine associations without definite causation. Furthermore, as noted in our quality assessment, given significant heterogeneity, the overall GRADE assessment of the quality of included studies was very low. In addition, while we were comprehensive to ensure a broad representation and capture of all relevant studies, it should be noted that definitions of NAFLD and outcomes of interest (CVD, stroke or cerebrovascular disease, extrahepatic cancers) were not uniform across all studies, and thereby may have contributed bias or inconsistency to our final assessments. Although almost all studies included in the meta-analysis have adjusted the results for age, sex, smoking, body mass index and preexisting diabetes (or metabolic syndrome), the possibility of residual confounding by some unmeasured factors cannot be ruled out. The interpretation of some results of this meta-analysis requires some caution, given the high heterogeneity observed in the analysis of these studies. It is plausible to assume that the high heterogeneity of the observational studies likely reflects differences in the characteristics of study populations, in the study country, as well as in the methods used for diagnosing NAFLD and defining the outcomes. Notwithstanding these limitations, our meta-analysis has also important strengths. As previously discussed, the present meta-analysis provides the most comprehensive assessment to date on the association between NAFLD and CVD, stroke or cerebrovascular disease, and extrahepatic solid cancers. We used strict quality tools to assess the quality of individual studies, and for those in which we were able to perform meta-analyses, we used GRADE assessment on the overall quality of evidence.

In conclusion, the findings of this comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies suggest that NAFLD is associated with significantly increased risk of CVD, stroke and cerebrovascular disease. While the association between NAFLD and extrahepatic cancers is more heterogeneous, there appears to be an increased risk, particularly for breast cancer and colorectal cancer in patients with NAFLD, which is clinically relevant given that breast and colorectal cancers are the leading cancers among men and women in the USA and many regions worldwide. However, follow-up studies are needed to further explore this association and to determine whether existing breast and colorectal cancer screening protocols need to be adjusted for those with NAFLD. This study has important clinical implications given that nearly 90 million U.S. adults are affected with NAFLD and nearly 25% of the global population is estimated to have NAFLD. Our study highlights the need for early assessment of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease risk and the importance of optimizing metabolic disease risk factors that would contribute to these outcomes.

# CREDIT AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Nicolette Veracruz: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Bilal Hameed: Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing. Sammy Saab: Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing. Robert J. Wong: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

All authors have none to declare.

## FUNDING

None.

#### REFERENCES

- Wong RJ, Liu B, Bhuket T. Significant burden of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with advanced fibrosis in the US: a cross-sectional analysis of 2011-2014 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2017;46:974–980.
- Younossi Z, Anstee QM, Marietti M, et al. Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;15:11–20.
- Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Younossi Y, et al. Epidemiology of chronic liver diseases in the USA in the past three decades. *Gut.* 2020;69:564–568.
- Rinella ME. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review. J Am Med Assoc. 2015;313:2263–2273.
- Aguilar M, Bhuket T, Torres S, et al. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the United States, 2003-2012. J Am Med Assoc. 2015;313:1973–1974.
- Reccia I, Kumar J, Akladios C, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a sign of systemic disease. *Metabolism*. 2017;72:94–108.
- Younossi ZM, Blissett D, Blissett R, et al. The economic and clinical burden of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the United States and Europe. *Hepatology*. 2016;64:1577–1586.
- Adams LA, Anstee QM, Tilg H, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and its relationship with cardiovascular disease and other extrahepatic diseases. Gut. 2017;66:1138–1153.
- 9. Byrne CD, Targher G. NAFLD: a multisystem disease. *J Hepatol.* 2015;62:S47–S64.
- Kim D, Kim WR, Kim HJ, et al. Association between noninvasive fibrosis markers and mortality among adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the United States. *Hepatology*. 2013;57:1357–1365.
- **11.** Targher G, Byrne CD, Lonardo A, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of incident cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. *J Hepatol.* 2016;65:589–600.

- **12.** Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2010;25:603–605.
- **13.** Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*. 2008;336:924–926.
- 14. Allen AM, Therneau TM, Larson JJ, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease incidence and impact on metabolic burden and death: a 20 year-community study. *Hepatology*. 2018;67:1726–1736.
- Arslan U, Turkoglu S, Balcioglu S, et al. Association between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and coronary artery disease. *Coron Artery Dis.* 2007;18:433–436.
- Chan WK, Tan AT, Vethakkan SR, et al. Ultrasonography-diagnosed non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is not associated with prevalent ischemic heart disease among diabetics in a multiracial Asian hospital clinic population. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2014;38:284–291.
- Chinnadurai R, Chrysochou C, Kalra PA. Increased risk for cardiovascular events in patients with diabetic kidney disease and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nephron*. 2019;141:24–30.
- Choi DH, Lee SJ, Kang CD, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with coronary artery disease in Koreans. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19:6453–6457.
- Dunn MA, Behari J, Rogal SS, et al. Hepatic steatosis in diabetic patients does not predict adverse liver-related or cardiovascular outcomes. *Liver Int.* 2013;33:1575–1582.
- Feitosa MF, Reiner AP, Wojczynski MK, et al. Sex-influenced association of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with coronary heart disease. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013;227:420–424.
- 21. Fracanzani AL, Tiraboschi S, Pisano G, et al. Progression of carotid vascular damage and cardiovascular events in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients compared to the general population during 10 years of follow-up. *Atherosclerosis*. 2016;246:208–213.
- 22. Golabi P, Paik J, Hwang JP, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) among Asian American adults in the United States. *Liver Int*. 2019;39:748–757.
- 23. Hagstrom H, Nasr P, Ekstedt M, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Liver Int*. 2019;39:197–204.
- 24. Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Takeda N, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is a novel predictor of cardiovascular disease. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2007;13:1579–1584.
- Lai YC, Cheng BC, Hwang JC, et al. Association of fatty liver disease with nonfatal cardiovascular events in patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis. *Nephron Clin Pract.* 2013;124:218– 223.
- 26. Liu HH, Cao YX, Sun D, et al. Impact of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with stable coronary artery disease: a matched case-control study. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol*. 2019;10e00011.
- Lu H, Zeng L, Liang B, et al. High prevalence of coronary heart disease in type 2 diabetic patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Arch Med Res. 2009;40:571–575.
- 28. Mantovani A, Mingolla L, Rigolon R, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is independently associated with an increased incidence of cardiovascular disease in adult patients with type 1 diabetes. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;225:387–391.
- 29. Mellinger JL, Pencina KM, Massaro JM, et al. Hepatic steatosis and cardiovascular disease outcomes: an analysis of the Framingham Heart Study. *J Hepatol*. 2015;63:470–476.
- **30.** Pisto P, Santaniemi M, Bloigu R, et al. Fatty liver predicts the risk for cardiovascular events in middle-aged population: a population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4e004973.
- **31.** Stepanova M, Younossi ZM. Independent association between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and cardiovascular disease in the US population. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2012;10:646–650.

- **32.** Stolic RV, Trajkovic GZ, Kostic MM, et al. Correlation between nonalcoholic fatty liver and cardiovascular disease in elderly hemodialysis patients. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2016;48:883–889.
- Sun L, Lu SZ. Association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and coronary artery disease severity. *Chin Med J.* 2011;124:867– 872.
- **34.** Targher G, Bertolini L, Padovani R, et al. Increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease in Type 2 diabetic patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Diabet Med*. 2006;23:403–409.
- **35.** Targher G, Bertolini L, Padovani R, et al. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and its association with cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes. *J Hepatol.* 2010;53:713–718.
- **36.** Targher G, Bertolini L, Poli F, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of future cardiovascular events among type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes*. 2005;54:3541–3546.
- Targher G, Pichiri I, Zoppini G, et al. Increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease in Type 1 diabetic patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J Endocrinol Invest. 2012;35:535–540.
- **38.** Vanjiappan S, Hamide A, Ananthakrishnan R, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and its association with cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* 2018;12:479–482.
- **39.** Viglino D, Plazanet A, Bailly S, et al. Impact of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease on long-term cardiovascular events and death in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8:16559.
- 40. Wild SH, Walker JJ, Morling JR, et al. Cardiovascular disease, cancer, and mortality among people with type 2 diabetes and alcoholic or nonalcoholic fatty liver disease hospital admission. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41:341–347.
- Wong VW, Wong GL, Yeung JC, et al. Long-term clinical outcomes after fatty liver screening in patients undergoing coronary angiogram: a prospective cohort study. *Hepatology*. 2016;63:754– 763.
- 42. Wong VW, Wong GL, Yip GW, et al. Coronary artery disease and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gut*. 2011;60:1721–1727.
- **43.** Zeb I, Li D, Budoff MJ, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and incident cardiac events: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;67:1965–1966.
- 44. Alexander KS, Zakai NA, Lidofsky SD, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, liver biomarkers and stroke risk: the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke cohort. *PloS One*. 2018;13e0194153.
- 45. Moshayedi H, Ahrabi R, Mardani A, et al. Association between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and ischemic stroke. *Iran J Neurol*. 2014;13:144–148.
- Ahn JS, Sinn DH, Min YW, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases and risk of colorectal neoplasia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;45:345–353.
- Chang CF, Tseng YC, Huang HH, et al. Exploring the relationship between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and pancreatic cancer by computed tomographic survey. *Intern Emerg Med*. 2018;13:191–197.
- Cho Y, Lim SK, Joo SK, et al. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is associated with a higher risk of advanced colorectal neoplasm. *Liver Int*. 2019;39:1722–1731.
- Kim GA, Lee HC, Choe J, et al. Association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and cancer incidence rate. *J Hepatol.* 2017. S0168-8278(17)32294-8.
- 50. Kwak MS, Yim JY, Yi A, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with breast cancer in nonobese women. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2019;51:1030–1035.
- 51. Lee YI, Lim YS, Park HS. Colorectal neoplasms in relation to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Korean women: a retrospective cohort study. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2012;27:91–95.

### JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL HEPATOLOGY

- Lin XF, Shi KQ, You J, et al. Increased risk of colorectal malignant neoplasm in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a large study. *Mol Biol Rep.* 2014;41:2989–2997.
- 53. Nseir W, Abu-Rahmeh Z, Tsipis A, et al. Relationship between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and breast cancer. *Isr Med Assoc J*. 2017;19:242–245.
- 54. Pan S, Hong W, Wu W, et al. The relationship of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and metabolic syndrome for colonoscopy colorectal neoplasm. *Medicine (Baltim)*. 2017;96e5809.
- 55. Seko Y, Sumida Y, Tanaka S, et al. Predictors of malignancies and overall mortality in Japanese patients with biopsy-proven non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatol Res.* 2015;45:728–738.
- Yang YJ, Bang CS, Shin SP, et al. Clinical impact of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease on the occurrence of colorectal neoplasm: propensity score matching analysis. *PloS One*. 2017;12e0182014.
- 57. Wong RJ, Tran T, Kaufman H, et al. Increasing metabolic co-morbidities are associated with higher risk of advanced fibrosis in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *PloS One*. 2019; 14e0220612.
- **58.** Ndumele CE, Nasir K, Conceicao RD, et al. Hepatic steatosis, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome are independently and additively associated with increased systemic inflammation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2011;31:1927–1932.
- **59.** Targher G, Bertolini L, Rodella S, et al. NASH predicts plasma inflammatory biomarkers independently of visceral fat in men. *Obesity*. 2008;16:1394–1399.
- **60.** Haukeland JW, Damas JK, Konopski Z, et al. Systemic inflammation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is characterized by elevated levels of CCL2. *J Hepatol*. 2006;44:1167–1174.
- **61.** Hui JM, Hodge A, Farrell GC, et al. Beyond insulin resistance in NASH: TNF-alpha or adiponectin? *Hepatology*. 2004;40:46–54.

- **62.** Belke DD, Betuing S, Tuttle MJ, et al. Insulin signaling coordinately regulates cardiac size, metabolism, and contractile protein isoform expression. *J Clin Invest*. 2002;109:629–639.
- **63.** Adolph TE, Grander C, Grabherr F, et al. Adipokines and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: multiple interactions. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2017;18.
- 64. Sanna C, Rosso C, Marietti M, et al. Non-Alcoholic fatty liver disease and extra-hepatic cancers. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2016;17.
- **65.** Laudisio D, Muscogiuri G, Barrea L, et al. Obesity and breast cancer in premenopausal women: current evidence and future perspectives. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2018;230:217–221.
- 66. Khan S, Shukla S, Sinha S, et al. Role of adipokines and cytokines in obesity-associated breast cancer: therapeutic targets. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2013;24:503–513.
- 67. Giovannucci E. Insulin, insulin-like growth factors and colon cancer: a review of the evidence. *J Nutr*. 2001;131:3109S–3120S.
- 68. Giovannucci E. Modifiable risk factors for colon cancer. Gastroenterol Clin N Am. 2002;31:925–943.
- **69.** Giovannucci E. Metabolic syndrome, hyperinsulinemia, and colon cancer: a review. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2007;86:s836–s842.
- **70.** Komninou D, Ayonote A, Richie Jr JP, et al. Insulin resistance and its contribution to colon carcinogenesis. *Exp Biol Med.* 2003;228:396–405.
- Yamaji T, Iwasaki M, Sasazuki S, et al. Interaction between adiponectin and leptin influences the risk of colorectal adenoma. *Canc Res.* 2010;70:5430–5437.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jceh.2020.04.018.