

ISSN 2053-230X

Received 14 December 2020 Accepted 8 February 2021

Edited by J. Newman, Bio21 Collaborative Crystallisation Centre, Australia

Keywords: SSGCID; structural genomics; Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease; oxidoreductase; *Rickettsia*; acetoacetyl-CoA reductase; PhaB.

**PDB reference**: acetoacetyl-CoA reductase from *Rickettsia felis*, 4kms

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/f



# Crystal structure of acetoacetyl-CoA reductase from *Rickettsia felis*

Justas V. Rodarte,<sup>a</sup> Jan Abendroth,<sup>b,c</sup> Thomas E. Edwards,<sup>b,c</sup> Donald D. Lorimer,<sup>b,c</sup> Bart L. Staker,<sup>b,d</sup> Sunny Zhang,<sup>b,d</sup> Peter J. Myler<sup>b,d</sup> and Krystle J. McLaughlin<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Vassar College, 124 Raymond Avenue, Poughkeepsie, New York, USA, <sup>b</sup>Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease (SSGCID), Seattle, Washington, USA, <sup>c</sup>UCB Biosciences Inc., 7869 Day Road West, Bainbridge Island, Washington, USA, and <sup>d</sup>Seattle Children's Research Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA. \*Correspondence e-mail: kmclaughlin@vassar.edu

*Rickettsia felis*, a Gram-negative bacterium that causes spotted fever, is of increasing interest as an emerging human pathogen. *R. felis* and several other *Rickettsia* strains are classed as National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases priority pathogens. In recent years, *R. felis* has been shown to be adaptable to a wide range of hosts, and many fevers of unknown origin are now being attributed to this infectious agent. Here, the structure of acetoacetyl-CoA reductase from *R. felis* is reported at a resolution of 2.0 Å. While *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase shares less than 50% sequence identity with its closest homologs, it adopts a fold common to other short-chain dehydrogenase/ reductase (SDR) family members, such as the fatty-acid synthesis II enzyme FabG from the prominent pathogens *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus anthracis*. Continued characterization of the *Rickettsia* proteome may prove to be an effective means of finding new avenues of treatment through comparative structural studies.

#### 1. Introduction

Rickettsia felis, an obligate intracellular bacterium that has emerged as a human pathogen in the last two decades, is the causative agent of flea-borne spotted fever (Abdad et al., 2011; Angelakis et al., 2016; Blanton & Walker, 2017; Legendre & Macaluso, 2017; Pérez-Osorio et al., 2008). Recent research has classed R. felis into a third transitional group of Rickettsia that is distinguishable from the typhus and spotted fever groups, sharing genetic characteristics of both (Angelakis et al., 2016; Ogata et al., 2005). R. felis has been shown to have a wider range of hosts than most Rickettsiae, having been found in several species of fleas, ticks and mites (Angelakis et al., 2016; Brown & Macaluso, 2016; Legendre & Macaluso, 2017). Additionally, Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes, which are the primary malarial vector in sub-Saharan Africa, have been shown to support R. felis infection (Abdad et al., 2011; Angelakis et al., 2016; Dieme et al., 2015; Socolovschi et al., 2012). This host adaptability, combined with geographical expansion in recent years and the capacity for further expansion with climate change, makes R. felis of particular interest as a mounting global health risk.

The Gram-negative *R. felis* has a genome of nearly 1.6 million base pairs spread across a 1.49 million base-pair circular chromosome and a conjugative plasmid, which is found in two forms (Ogata *et al.*, 2005). Only one other *Rickettsia* strain has been isolated with a conjugative plasmid (Angelakis *et al.*, 2016). Among a total of 1512 recognized *R. felis* ORFs, the *phbB* gene encodes acetoacetyl-CoA reductase, a key protein in several biosynthetic pathways



including glyoxylate/dicarboxylate metabolism and butanoate metabolism (EC 1.1.1.36). Acetoacetyl-CoA reductase catalyzes the following general chemical reaction (KEGG reaction R01779):

3-oxoacyl-CoA+NADPH + H<sup>+</sup>  $\leftrightarrow$ (3*R*)-hydroxyacyl-CoA + NADP<sup>+</sup>. (1)

In both glyoxylate/dicarboxylate metabolism (KEGG pathway ec00630) and butanoate metabolism (KEGG pathway ec00650), acetoacetyl-CoA reductase catalyzes the production of (3R)-3-hydroxybutanoyl-CoA (KEGG reaction R01977) (Kanehisa, 2019; Kanehisa et al., 2012, 2016). In glyoxylate/dicarboxylate metabolism, this metabolite is next converted to crotonyl-CoA, which is an intermediate in lysine and tryptophan metabolism as well as in fatty-acid synthesis. In butanoate metabolism, (3R)-3-hydroxybutanoyl-CoA can be converted into the polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) polybetahydroxybutrate (PHB). The PHAs are natural polyesters (Chen, 2010; Sagong et al., 2018; Verlinden et al., 2007). The synthesis of PHAs occurs in many bacteria under physiological stress, serving as an energy- and redox-storage material and allowing the organism to survive harsher environmental conditions (Chen, 2010; Lam et al., 2019; Sagong et al., 2018). PHAs are of interest industrially as a biodegradable, biologically sourced substitute to traditional petroleum-based plastics (Chen, 2010; Sagong et al., 2018; Verlinden et al., 2007). Many of the metabolites produced downstream of acetoacetyl-CoA ultimately feed into the principal energy pathways of the cell, including the citrate cycle and gluconeogenesis.

Targeting microbial enzymes from basic biosynthetic pathways remains a promising approach in the development of new antibiotics. Recent studies have shown success, such as the identification of amycomicin, a novel, potent inhibitor of the fatty-acid synthesis II (FAS-II) enzyme FabH (Pishchany *et al.*, 2018). Other inhibitors of FAS-II enzymes such as FabI and FabG have also shown promise (Lu & Tonge, 2008; Waller *et al.*, 2003; Wang & Ma, 2013; Zhang *et al.*, 2006). However, there are many challenges to surmount before drugs are clinically approved; thus, increased characterization of metabolic enzymes is necessary to inform further drug development.

Although the structural coverage of *Rickettsia* proteomes has grown in the last decade, only four of the >100 000 entries in the Protein Data Bank (Berman *et al.*, 2000) are from *R. felis*. As part of the structural genomic studies at the Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease, here we present the expression, purification, crystallization and structural analysis of *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase at 2.0 Å resolution.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Protein purification and expression

Acetoacetyl-CoA reductase from *R. felis* was cloned, purified and crystallized by the Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease (SSGCID; Myler *et al.*, 2009; Stacy et al., 2011) following a previously described established protocol (Bryan et al., 2011; Choi et al., 2011). The 241-residue sequence (UniProt ID Q4UN54; GenBank ID AAY61004.1) was cloned into the pBG1861 vector via LIC cloning (Alexandrov et al., 2004; Aslanidis & de Jong, 1990), producing a construct with a noncleavable N-terminal 6×His tag. Transformed Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) cells were grown in 21 auto-induction medium at 20°C for 72 h in a LEX Bioreactor (Harbinger, Markham, Ontario, Canada). The cell pellet was flash-frozen for storage, thawed and resuspended in 200 ml lysis buffer [20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 30 mM imidazole, 0.5% CHAPS, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>,  $3 \text{ m}M \beta$ -mercaptoethanol,  $1.3 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  protease-inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), 0.05 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> lysozyme]. The suspension was sonicated and then incubated with 20 µl Benzonase nuclease (EMD Chemicals, Gibbstown, New Jersey, USA) for 40 min prior to clarification by centrifugation at 10 000 rev min<sup>-1</sup> for 60 min in a F14S Rotor (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). The clarified solution was filtered with a 0.45 µm filter prior to affinity chromatography. The sample was run over a HisTrap FF 5 ml column (GE Biosciences, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA) equilibrated with binding buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 30 mM imidazole, 1 mM DTT) and the bound protein was eluted using the same buffer with 500 mM imidazole. The peak fractions were pooled, concentrated and further purified on a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 75 column (GE Biosciences) in SEC buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 1 mM TCEP). After peak analysis with SDS-PAGE, the pure fractions were pooled, concentrated to a final concentration of  $69.7 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  and frozen using liquid nitrogen. The purified protein and/or the clone can be obtained at https://apps.sbri.org/SSGCIDTargetStatus/Target/ RifeA.00170.a.

Purified protein acquired from the SSGCID was run on 12% SDS–PAGE using Precision Plus Unstained Protein Standards, and a standard curve was generated using previously described methods (https://www.bio-rad.com/webroot/ web/pdf/lsr/literature/Bulletin\_3133.pdf; Merril, 2000). Sizeexclusion chromatography with a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg column (GE Lifesciences) was used to verify the oligomerization state and the column was calibrated according to the manufacturer's protocols with the Gel Filtration Calibration HMW Kit (https://www.cytivalifesciences.com/en/ us/shop/chromatography/prepacked-columns/size-exclusion/ gel-filtration-calibration-kits-lmw-hmw-p-05801; Irvine, 2000). Macromolecule-production information is summarized in Table 1.

#### 2.2. Crystallization

Purified *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase at 23 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> was crystallized using a previously described standardized SSGCID approach (Subramanian *et al.*, 2011). Single crystals were obtained by vapor diffusion in sitting drops at 290 K from Microlytic MCSG1 screen condition G1: 10%(w/v) PEG 8000, 8%(v/v) ethylene glycol, 100 mM HEPES–NaOH pH

# research communications

Source organism	R. felis (strain ATCC VR-1525/		
DNA source	GenBank ID AAY61004.1 pBG1861		
Cloning vector			
Expression vector	pBG1861		
Expression host	E. coli BL21(DE3)		
Complete amino-acid sequence of the construct produced	MAHHHHHMSEIAIVTGGTRGIGKATALEL KNKGLTVVANFFSNYDAAKEMEEKYGIK TKCWNVADFEECRQAVKEIEEEFKKPVS ILVNNAGITKDKMLHRMSHQDWNDVINV NLNSCFNMSSSVMEQMRNQDYGRIVNIS SINAQAGQVGQTNYSAAKAGIIGFTKAL ARETASKNITVNCIAPGYIATEMVGAVP EDVLAKIINSIPKKRLGQPEEIARAVAF LVDENAGFITGETISINGGHNMI		
Table 2Crystallization.			
Method	Vapor diffusion, sitting drop		
Temperature (K)	290		
Protein concentration (mg ml $^{-1}$ )	23		
Buffer composition of protein solution	20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 1 mM TCEP		
Composition of reservoir solution	n 10% PEG 8000, 8% ethylene glycol, 100 m <i>M</i> HEPES–NaOH pH 7.5		
Protein:precipitant ratio	1:1		
Volume of reservoir (ul)	80		

7.5. The crystal was cryoprotected in a mixture of reservoir solution and 20%(v/v) ethylene glycol and vitrified in liquid nitrogen. Crystallization information is summarized in Table 2.

# 2.3. Data collection and processing

A 2.0 Å resolution data set was collected on beamline BL7-1 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) at 100 K using a wavelength of 1.12709 Å. Diffraction data were processed with *XDS/XSCALE* (Kabsch, 2010), and the diffraction images are available from the Integrated Resource for Reproducibility in Macromolecular Crystallography (http://proteindiffraction.org/; Grabowski *et al.*, 2016) at https://doi.org/10.18430/M34KMS. Data-collection details are summarized in Table 3.

#### 2.4. Structure solution and refinement

Molecular replacement using the *CCP*4 program *Phaser* (McCoy *et al.*, 2007) with PDB entry 3ezl (Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease, unpublished work) as the search model was used to solve the structure. The structure was modeled in *Coot* (Emsley *et al.*, 2010) and refinement of the structure was completed in *REFMAC5* (Murshudov *et al.*, 2011). The final refinement statistics are provided in Table 4. *PyMOL* was used to generate all structure figures (http://www.pymol.org).

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Oligomeric state of R. felis acetoacetyl-CoA reductase

*R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase migrates as a single band with a molecular weight near 25 kDa on a 12% SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1*a*, inset). With an observed  $R_{\rm f}$  (relative migration



Figure 1

SDS-PAGE and SEC analyses of purified recombinant R. felis acetoacetyl-CoA reductase. (a) Acetoacetyl-CoA reductase eluted as a single peak (blue line) from a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 pg SEC column. The SEC column was calibrated separately (gray line), and the molecular weights of calibration protein standards from a kit are indicated. The elution volume of R. felis acetoacetyl-CoA reductase falls between those of ovalbumin (75 kDa) and aldolase (158 kDa), suggesting a higher oligomeric state. SEC-purified acetoacetyl-CoA reductase was resolved on 12% SDS-PAGE visualized with Coomassie Blue (inset); the monomer runs as a single band (AcAc-CoA reductase) near the 25 kDa protein standard marker (lane M, labeled in kDa). A standard curve for SDS-PAGE (b) and a SEC calibration curve (c) were generated using the known protein standards. In (b), plotting the molecular weights (MW) of the protein standards versus their observed  $R_{\rm f}$  (relative migration; black circles), the MW of the protein monomer was estimated to be 26 kDa (blue circle). In (c), the calibration curve was obtained by plotting  $K_{av}$ (the partition coefficient calculated using individual elution volumes) versus log relative molecular weight  $(M_r)$  of the protein standards. The  $M_r$ of R. felis acetoacetyl-CoA reductase was determined to be approximately 101 kDa (blue circle), indicating a tetrameric form, which is common in the short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (SDR) family.

Table 3Data collection and processing.

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

Diffraction source	SSRL beamline BL7-1
Wavelength (Å)	1.12709
Temperature (K)	100
Detector	ADSC Quantum 315r CCD
Crystal-to-detector distance (mm)	225.0
Space group	P21212
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	77.11, 99.70, 73.37
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	90, 90, 90
Resolution range (Å)	50-2.000 (2.050-2.000)
No. of unique reflections	38871 (2820)
Completeness (%)	99.8 (100.0)
Multiplicity	4.82 (4.87)
$\langle I/\sigma(I)\rangle$	25.78 (3.37)
R <sub>merge</sub>	0.042 (0.5230)
R <sub>meas</sub>	0.048 (0.586)
$CC_{1/2}$ (%)	100 (86.1)
Overall <i>B</i> factor from Wilson plot $(Å^2)$	38.1

distance) of 0.792, the molecular weight of the protein was estimated to be 25.7 kDa using a standard curve generated from the protein ladder (Fig. 1*b*). *ProtParam* (Gasteiger *et al.*, 2005) calculated the molecular weight of acetoacetyl-CoA reductase with the  $6 \times$ His tag uncleaved to be 27.2 kDa, which is within ~5% agreement of the gel-electrophoresis estimate.

The oligomeric state of *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase was probed using size-exclusion chromatography (SEC; HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg). The column was calibrated using the Gel Filtration Calibration HMW Kit (Cytivia; Fig. 1*a*, gray line) and the resulting calibration curve was used to calculate the relative molecular weight ( $M_r$ ) of the protein (Fig. 1*c*). Acetoacetyl-CoA resolved as a single peak (Fig. 1*a*, blue line) with an elution volume of 72.52 ml, corresponding to a partition coefficient ( $K_{av}$ ) of 0.362. The  $M_r$  of *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase was determined to be ~101 kDa

Table 4		
Structure	e refinement.	

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

Resolution range (A)	50-2.00 (2.05-2.00)
Completeness (%)	99.8
$\sigma$ Cutoff	$F > 0.0\sigma(F)$
No. of reflections, working set	38831 (2676)
No. of reflections, test set	1949 (140)
Final R <sub>cryst</sub>	0.173 (0.236)
Final $R_{\text{free}}$	0.210 (0.288)
No. of non-H atoms	
Protein	3478
Water	266
Total	3744
R.m.s. deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.015
Angles (°)	1.296
Average B factors $(Å^2)$	
Overall	39.98
Protein	39.80
Water	42.28
Ramachandran plot	
Favored regions (%)	98
Additionally allowed (%)	2

(Fig. 1*c*, blue circle), indicating that it is likely to form a tetramer. Many other short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (SDR) family members have been observed to form tetramers.

#### 3.2. Structural overview

*R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase has an overall similar structure to other bacterial SDR family members, including the recently solved structure of *R. prowazekii* 3-ketoacyl-(ACP) reductase (FabG; Subramanian *et al.*, 2011; Fig. 2). The acetoacetyl-CoA reductase monomer adopts a Rossmann fold with a twisted seven-stranded parallel  $\beta$ -sheet flanked by eight  $\alpha$ -helices. Two  $\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$  motifs comprise the core, with a short



#### Figure 2

Crystal structure of *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase. (a) Ribbon diagram of the *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase tetramer. Helices are colored in magenta and strands in green, with a representative monomer highlighted in violet. Acetoacetyl-CoA reductase crystallized with two molecules per asymmetric unit, and a tetramer was generated by crystallographic symmetry. (b) Representative monomer of *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase with secondary-structure elements labeled. The active-site residues (Ser135, Tyr148 and Lys152) are grouped in helix  $\alpha 6$  and the connecting loop to  $\beta 5$ .

# research communications

C-terminal  $\beta$ -strand completing the sheet. Additionally, there are three 3<sub>10</sub>-helices preceding  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\alpha 7$  and  $\beta 7$ . The conserved Ser–Tyr–Lys active-site triad, identified as residues Ser135, Tyr148 and Lys152, is located in helix  $\alpha 6$  and the loop region connecting it to  $\beta 5$ . Two monomers are present in the asymmetric unit; however, *PISA* (Krissinel & Henrick, 2007) analysis suggests a tetrameric assembly with a total buried surface area of 10 699 Å<sup>2</sup>, supporting the SEC results. The monomers have an r.m.s.d. of 0.073 Å over 227 residues, with differences localized in two loops (residues 136–144 and 185–195) where some residues could not be resolved due to flexibility. The acetoacetyl-CoA reductase tetrameter was generated by crystallographic symmetry (Fig. 2).

#### 3.3. Comparison with structurally similar proteins

*PDBeFold* analysis, run with the default threshold cutoffs, was used to identify similar structures (Krissinel & Henrick, 2004). The structures most similar to *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA

reductase were other SDR family 3-ketoacyl reductases, many of which were from pathogenic Gram-negative microbes. The most similar protein to R. felis acetoacetyl-CoA reductase was Burkholderia pseudomallei acetoacetyl-CoA reductase (PDB entry 3ezl; Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease, unpublished work), with 45% sequence identity and an r.m.s.d. of 1.13 Å over the  $C^{\alpha}$  atoms of 229 residues. Other top matches included Staphylococcus aureus FabG (PDB entry 3osu; Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease, unpublished work), with 42% sequence identity and an r.m.s.d. of 1.35 Å over 227 residues, and Bacillus anthracis FabG (PDB entry 2uvd; Zaccai et al., 2008), with 45% sequence identity and an r.m.s.d. of 1.22 Å over 224 residues (Fig. 3). Alignment of symmetry-generated tetramers demonstrates that the overall architecture is also conserved (Supplementary Fig. S1). The superposition of R. felis acetoacetyl-CoA reductase with the NADPH-bound structure of B. pseudomallei acetoacetyl-CoA reductase (PDB entry 5vt6;



#### Figure 3

Primary-sequence alignment of acetoacetyl-CoA reductases from *R. felis* (PDB entry 4kms) and *B. pseudomallei* (PDB entry 3ezl) and of FabGs from *S. aureus* (PDB entry 3osu) and *B. anthracis* (PDB entry 2uvd). Secondary-structural elements shown include  $\alpha$ -helices ( $\alpha$ ),  $\beta_{10}$ -helices ( $\eta$ ),  $\beta$ -strands ( $\beta$ ) and  $\beta$ -turns (TT). Identical residues are shown in white on a red background, while conserved residues are shown in red and related residues are outlined in blue. Asterisks indicate conserved residues implicated in functional specificity. The image was generated using *ESPript* (Robert & Gouet, 2014).

Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease, unpublished work) demonstrates the conformational rearrangements to the active-site region that are known to occur in many 3-ketoacyl reductases to accommodate catalysis, as Ser135 in the unbound state clashes with the nicotinamide ring (Fig. 4). *B. pseudomallei*, *B. anthracis* and *S. aureus* are the infectious agents responsible for melioidosis, anthrax and MRSA, respectively. Along with *R. felis*, they are all classified as National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) priority pathogens, with *B. anthracis* in the highest risk category (NIAID Category A).

As demonstrated above, acetoacetyl-CoA reductases (EC 1.1.1.36) share many structural similarities with FabGs (EC 1.1.1.100). Notably, FabG catalyzes an analogous reaction to acetoacetyl-CoA reductase, instead acting on acetoacetyl-ACP substrates and producing (3*R*)-3-hydroxyacyl-(ACP), also with NADP<sup>+</sup> as a cofactor (KEGG reaction R02767; Chan & Vogel, 2010; White *et al.*, 2005). FabG is the third enzyme in the fatty-acid synthase II (FAS-II) pathway (KEGG pathway ec00061). Acetoacetyl-ACPs, the substrates of FabG in the FAS-II pathway, are structurally similar to acetoacetyl-CoA, as acyl-carrier proteins (ACPs) possess the same phosphopantetheine moiety as present in CoA bound to



#### Figure 4

Active site of *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase. The catalytic triad of *R. felis* (Ser135, Tyr148 and Lys152) found in helix  $\alpha$ 6 and the connecting loop to  $\beta$ 5 is shown as sticks (magenta, top panel). Alignment of *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase with NADP<sup>+</sup>-bound *B. pseudomallei* aceto-acetyl-CoA reductase (green; catalytic triad shown as sticks) demonstrates necessary rearrangements to the active site, including movement of Ser135 and reorientation of Lys152, to accommodate substrates (lower panel).

acetoacetyl (Liu *et al.*, 2015). Previous research has shown that FabGs have a broader substrate range and can substitute for acetoacetyl-CoA reductase in reactions, although with lower activity on CoA substrates (Ren *et al.*, 2000; Taguchi *et al.*, 1999; Zhang *et al.*, 2017). Consequently, the inhibitors of FabG that are being investigated (Zhang & Rock, 2004) may also be relevant to acetoacetyl-CoA reductase.

Owing to their potential use in the manufacture of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs), which are biodegradable naturally occurring plastics, there is interest in bioengineering more efficient PHA pathway enzymes, including acetoacetyl-CoA reductases (Chen, 2010; Sagong et al., 2018). One study used the structural differences between FabG and acetoacetyl-CoA reductase from Synechocystis, a flexible loop in FabG to allow the binding of large ACP substrates versus a structured  $\alpha$ -helix in acetoacetyl-CoA reductase, to create a FabG with near-wild-type acetoacetyl-CoA reductase activity (Liu et al., 2015). Four key residues that were identified by the researchers as accounting for much of the substrate specificity in acetoacetyl-CoA reductase were swapped into FabG, enabling the change in activity (Liu et al., 2015). Both the R. felis and B. pseudomallei acetoacetyl-CoA reductases have at least three of these residues conserved (Gly10, Phe/Val143 and Tyr180; marked with asterisks in Fig. 3), while the S. aureus and B. anthracis FabGs have different residues. Although members of a protein family may have the same conserved overall fold, this study demonstrates how increasing structural characterization can expose differences that may be useful for many applications, including bioengineering or improved drug development.

# 4. Conclusion

The structure of *R. felis* acetoacetyl-CoA reductase at 2.0 Å resolution aligns closely with other previously solved structures of SDR family members, several of which are from well known human pathogens. As structural coverage of SDR family 3-ketoacyl reductases from various microbes grows, the knowledge gleaned can reveal unique, exploitable features. The transmission of *R. felis* to humans continues to grow, necessitating new treatments, thus broadening our understanding of essential enzymatic microbial pathways and facilitating targeted drug design. It also allows us to harness the studied biosynthetic processes, such as the biological production of naturally occurring plastics. Increased structural and biochemical knowledge of *Rickettsia* and other microbial proteomes remains a crucial resource.

# Acknowledgements

KJM acknowledges the support of Vassar College. We thank the SSGCID cloning and protein production groups at Seattle Children's Research Institute.

# **Funding information**

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health/ National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (contract Nos. HHSN272201700059C and HHSN272201200025C to PJM).

References

- Abdad, M. Y., Stenos, J. & Graves, S. (2011). *Emerg. Health Threats J.* **4**, 7168.
- Alexandrov, A., Vignali, M., LaCount, D. J., Quartley, E., de Vries, C., De Rosa, D., Babulski, J., Mitchell, S. F., Schoenfeld, L. W., Fields, S., Hol, W. G. J., Dumont, M. E., Phizicky, E. M. & Grayhack, E. J. (2004). *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, **3**, 934–938.
- Angelakis, E., Mediannikov, O., Parola, P. & Raoult, D. (2016). Trends Parasitol. 32, 554–564.
- Aslanidis, C. & de Jong, P. J. (1990). Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 6069-6074.
- Berman, H. M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T. N., Weissig, H., Shindyalov, I. N. & Bourne, P. E. (2000). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28, 235–242.
- Blanton, L. S. & Walker, D. H. (2017). Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 96, 53– 56.
- Brown, L. D. & Macaluso, K. R. (2016). *Curr. Trop. Med. Rep.* **3**, 27–39.
- Bryan, C. M., Bhandari, J., Napuli, A. J., Leibly, D. J., Choi, R., Kelley, A., Van Voorhis, W. C., Edwards, T. E. & Stewart, L. J. (2011). Acta Cryst. F67, 1010–1014.
- Chan, D. I. & Vogel, H. J. (2010). Biochem. J. 430, 1-19.
- Chen, G.-Q. (2010). *Plastics from Bacteria: Natural Functions and Applications*, edited by G.-Q. Chen, pp. 17–37. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- Choi, R., Kelley, A., Leibly, D., Nakazawa Hewitt, S., Napuli, A. & Van Voorhis, W. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* F67, 998–1005.
- Dieme, C., Bechah, Y., Socolovschi, C., Audoly, G., Berenger, J. M., Faye, O., Raoult, D. & Parola, P. (2015). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **112**, 8088–8093.
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 486–501.
- Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Duvaud, S., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D. & Bairoch, A. (2005). *The Proteomics Protocols Handbook*, edited by J. M. Walker, pp. 571–607. Totowa: Humana Press.
- Grabowski, M., Langner, K. M., Cymborowski, M., Porebski, P. J., Sroka, P., Zheng, H., Cooper, D. R., Zimmerman, M. D., Elsliger, M.-A., Burley, S. K. & Minor, W. (2016). Acta Cryst. D72, 1181– 1193.
- Irvine, G. B. (2000). Curr. Protoc. Cell Biol. 6, 5.5.1-5.5.16.
- Kabsch, W. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 133-144.
- Kanehisa, M. (2019). Protein Sci. 28, 1947-1951.
- Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Sato, Y., Furumichi, M. & Tanabe, M. (2012). Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D109–D114.
- Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M., Furumichi, M. & Tanabe, M. (2016). Nucleic Acids Res. 44, D457–D462.
- Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. (2004). Acta Cryst. D60, 2256-2268.
- Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. (2007). J. Mol. Biol. 372, 774-797.

- Lam, K. N., Alexander, M. & Turnbaugh, P. J. (2019). Cell Host Microbe, 26, 22–34.
- Legendre, K. & Macaluso, K. (2017). Trop. Med. Infect. Dis. 2, 64.
- Liu, Y., Feng, Y., Cao, X., Li, X. & Xue, S. (2015). FEBS Lett. 589, 3052–3057.
- Lu, H. & Tonge, P. J. (2008). Acc. Chem. Res. 41, 11-20.
- McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C. & Read, R. J. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 658–674.
- Merril, C. R. (2000). Anal. Biochem. 280, 333.
- Murshudov, G. N., Skubák, P., Lebedev, A. A., Pannu, N. S., Steiner, R. A., Nicholls, R. A., Winn, M. D., Long, F. & Vagin, A. A. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* D67, 355–367.
- Myler, P., Stacy, R., Stewart, L., Staker, B., Van Voorhis, W., Varani, G. & Buchko, G. (2009). *Infect. Disord. Drug Targets*, 9, 493–506.
- Ogata, H., Renesto, P., Audic, S., Robert, C., Blanc, G., Fournier, P.-E. E., Parinello, H., Claverie, J. M. & Raoult, D. (2005). *PLoS Biol.* **3**, e248.
- Pérez-Osorio, C. E., Zavala-Velázquez, J. E., Arias León, J. J. & Zavala-Castro, J. E. (2008). *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 14, 1019–1023.
- Pishchany, G., Mevers, E., Ndousse-Fetter, S., Horvath, D. J., Paludo, C. R., Silva-Junior, E. A., Koren, S., Skaar, E. P., Clardy, J. & Kolter, R. (2018). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 115, 10124–10129.
- Ren, Q., Sierro, N., Witholt, B. & Kessler, B. (2000). J. Bacteriol. 182, 2978–2981.
- Robert, X. & Gouet, P. (2014). Nucleic Acids Res. 42, W320-W324.
- Sagong, H., Son, H. F., Choi, S. Y., Lee, S. Y. & Kim, K.-J. (2018). Trends Biochem. Sci. 43, 790–805.
- Socolovschi, C., Pages, F., Ndiath, M. O., Ratmanov, P. & Raoult, D. (2012). *PLoS One*, **7**, e48254.
- Stacy, R., Begley, D. W., Phan, I., Staker, B. L., Van Voorhis, W. C., Varani, G., Buchko, G. W., Stewart, L. J. & Myler, P. J. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* F67, 979–984.
- Subramanian, S., Abendroth, J., Phan, I. Q. H., Olsen, C., Staker, B. L., Napuli, A., Van Voorhis, W. C., Stacy, R. & Myler, P. J. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* F67, 1118–1122.
- Taguchi, K., Aoyagi, Y., Matsusaki, H., Fukui, T. & Doi, Y. (1999). FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 176, 183–190.
- Verlinden, R. A. J., Hill, D. J., Kenward, M. A., Williams, C. D. & Radecka, I. (2007). J. Appl. Microbiol. 102, 1437–1449.
- Waller, R. F., Ralph, S. A., Reed, M. B., Su, V., Douglas, J. D., Minnikin, D. E., Cowman, A. F., Besra, G. S. & McFadden, G. I. (2003). Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47, 297–301.
- Wang, Y. & Ma, S. (2013). ChemMedChem, 8, 1589-1608.
- White, S. W., Zheng, J., Zhang, Y.-M. & Rock, C. O. (2005). Annu. Rev. Biochem. 74, 791–831.
- Zaccai, N. R., Carter, L. G., Berrow, N. S., Sainsbury, S., Nettleship, J. E., Walter, T. S., Harlos, K., Owens, R. J., Wilson, K. S., Stuart, D. I. & Esnouf, R. M. (2008). *Proteins*, **70**, 562–567.
- Zhang, H., Liu, Y., Yao, C., Cao, X., Tian, J. & Xue, S. (2017). Bioengineered, 8, 707–715.
- Zhang, Y.-M. & Rock, C. O. (2004). J. Biol. Chem. 279, 30994-31001.
- Zhang, Y.-M., White, S. W. & Rock, C. O. (2006). J. Biol. Chem. 281, 17541–17544.