

REVIEW

[Mitochondrial isolation: when size matters](https://wellcomeopenresearch.org/articles/5-226/v1) [version 1; peer

review: 1 approved]

Alexander G. Bury \mathbb{D}^{1-3} \mathbb{D}^{1-3} \mathbb{D}^{1-3} \mathbb{D}^{1-3} \mathbb{D}^{1-3} , Amy E. Vincent $\mathbb{D}^{1,4}$, Doug M. Turnbull^{1,4}, Paolo Actis \mathbb{D}^3 , Gavin Hudson^{1,2}

¹Wellcome Trust Centre for Mitochondrial Research, Medical School, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK ²Biosciences Institute, Medical School, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK ³Pollard Institute, School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK ⁴Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Medical School, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK

First published: 01 Oct 2020, **5**:226 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16300.1> **Latest published:** 02 Dec 2020, **5**:226 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16300.2> **v1**

Abstract

Mitochondrial vitality is critical to cellular function, with mitochondrial dysfunction linked to a growing number of human diseases. Tissue and cellular heterogeneity, in terms of genetics, dynamics and function means that increasingly mitochondrial research is conducted at the single cell level. Whilst, there are several single-cell technologies that are currently available, each with their advantages, they cannot be easily adapted to study mitochondria with subcellular resolution. Here we review the current techniques and strategies for mitochondrial isolation, critically discussing each technology's limitations for future mitochondrial research. Finally, we highlight and discuss the recent breakthroughs in sub-cellular isolation techniques, with a particular focus on nanotechnologies that enable the isolation of mitochondria, from subcellular compartments, with unprecedented spatial precision with minimal disruption to mitochondria and their immediate cellular environment.

Keywords

Mitochondria, mtDNA, mitochondrial isolation, heterogeneity, subcellular, nanoprobes, nanobiopsy, nanotweezers.

London, UK

Open Peer Review

London Centre for Nanotechnology, London, UK

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding authors: Paolo Actis (p.actis@leeds.ac.uk), Gavin Hudson [\(gavin.hudson@ncl.ac.uk](mailto:gavin.hudson@ncl.ac.uk))

Author roles: Bury AG: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Vincent AE**: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Turnbull DM**: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Actis P**: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Hudson G**: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Wellcome Turst through a Sir Henry Wellcome Postdoctoral Fellowship to AV [215888, [https://doi.org/10.35802/215888\]](https://doi.org/10.35802/215888) and a Wellcome Trust Centre grant to the Wellcome Centre for Mitochondrial Research [203105]. This work was also supported by the Medical Research Council [OSR/0200/2018/BURY] and the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research [ID-15643].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2020 Bury AG *et al*. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Bury AG, Vincent AE, Turnbull DM *et al.* **Mitochondrial isolation: when size matters [version 1; peer review: 1 approved]** Wellcome Open Research 2020, **5**:226 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16300.1>

First published: 01 Oct 2020, **5**:226 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16300.1>

Introduction

Mitochondrial genetics

The mitochondrial genome consists of multiple double-stranded, circular DNA molecules (Anderson *et al*[., 1981; Andrews](#page-11-0) *et al*., [1999\)](#page-11-0). In healthy individuals the default state of the mitochondrial genome is that of homoplasmy, where only wild-type mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) exists. Low levels of mtDNA heterogeneity as a result of *de novo* mutations, termed heteroplasmy, is not deleterious since the polyploid nature of the mitochondrial genome buffers low levels of heteroplasmy ([Payne](#page-12-0) *et al*[., 2013](#page-12-0)). However, clonal expansion of mutant mtDNA above a threshold level, results in biochemical defects and disease (Payne *et al*[., 2013;](#page-12-0) [Rossignol](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2003). Over a life-course it is believed that clonal expansion of mtDNA point mutations occurs through random genetic drift in both germline and mitotic somatic cells ([Greaves](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2014); as well as in post-mitotic cells, facilitated by relaxed mtDNA replication, which can explain the longtime required for a threshold level to be reached as observed in age-related disease (Elson *et al*[., 2001](#page-11-0)). In comparison, it is suggested that some degree of selection influences clonal expansion of mtDNA deletions, acting in conjunction or independently of random genetic drift [\(Lawless](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2020). Asides from random genetic drift, two alternative theories of clonal expansion of mtDNA deletions are the 'negative feedback loop' and 'perinuclear niche' theories ([Kowald & Kirkwood, 2014;](#page-12-0) [Vincent](#page-13-0) *et al.*, [2018\)](#page-13-0). The non-uniform nature of mtDNA deletions suggests deletion of a single locus, leading to impaired feedback of polymerase γ activity, is unlikely to be solely responsible for clonal expansion of mtDNA deletions ([Damas](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2014), whilst the contribution of retrograde signalling within the 'perinculear theory' requires further investigation ([Lawless](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2020). To elucidate the mechanism of clonal expansion of mtDNA mutations, further investigation of mtDNA heterogeneity at the tissue, single-cell and subcellular level is necessary [\(Lawless](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2020).

Mitochondrial disease and heterogeneity

Clonally expanded mtDNA mutations cause mitochondrial disease in one of two forms: primary, due to inherited mtDNA mutations and acquired, due to nuclear mutations that lead to mtDNA mutation formation throughout life. Primary mitochondrial disease, caused by inherited mtDNA mutations, has a variable age of onset and has a range of different presentations [\(Gorman](#page-12-0) *et al*[., 2016\)](#page-12-0). In contrast, acquired mitochondrial disease, resulting from clonal expansion of sporadic mtDNA mutations, typically begins in adult life and causes progressive external ophthalmoplegia often with additional myopathic and neurodegenerative symptoms (Alston *et al*[., 2017\)](#page-11-0); or neurodegeneration associated with advanced age ([Bender](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2006).

Mitochondrial disease often expresses mosaicism in OXPHOS deficiency at the organ and tissue level, caused by cellular heterogeneity in mtDNA [\(Ahmed](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2018). Mitochondrial heterogeneity exists as either genetic or non-genetic heterogeneity ([Aryaman](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2019). Sources of genetic heterogeneity associated with mitochondrial disease include mtDNA copy number, which is depleted in OXPHOS deficient skeletal muscle fibres ([Lehmann](#page-12-0) *et al.*[, 2019](#page-12-0)) and in Parkinson's disease (PD) substantia nigra (SN) neurons but elevated in aged control SN neurons, possibly indicative of a neuroprotective mechanism that is overwhelmed in PD (Chen *et al*[., 2020;](#page-11-0) [Lehmann](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2019). Genetic heterogeneity is also observed in elevated intercellular heteroplasmy, corresponding with oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) deficiency between skeletal muscle fibres, colonic crypt cells and PD SN neurons [\(Lehmann](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2019; [Greaves](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2014 and [Bender](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2006) but also localised intracellular heteroplasmy within skeletal muscle fibres and PD SN neurons (Reeve *et al*[., 2018; Vincent](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2018).

Non-genetic heterogeneity is observed in morphology, membrane potential and dynamics ([Kuznetsov & Margreiter, 2009](#page-12-0)). Mitochondrial heterogeneity can be physiological, due to different subcellular roles of mitochondrial subpopulations. In skeletal muscle, intermyofibrillar mitochondria have a more complex structure, likely to facilitate the contractile movement of muscle fibres ([Kuznetsov & Margreiter, 2009;](#page-12-0) [Vincent](#page-13-0) *et al*., [2019\)](#page-13-0). In contrast, subsarcolemmal mitochondria are more punctate mitochondria and have a lower capacity for OXPHOS (Lai *et al*[., 2019](#page-12-0)), but are likely to be involved in mitonuclear signalling ([Paszkiewicz](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2017). It is also suggested synaptic mitochondria subpopulations have different proteomic profiles compared with non-synaptic mitochondria, notably in complex I expression, resulting in a smaller, punctate mitochondrial morphology and may modulate ATP supply for synaptic transmission and Ca2+ buffering ([Graham](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2017). This proteomic heterogeneity, however, may also make synaptic mitochondria more vulnerable to OXPHOS deficiency and increased synaptic mitochondria density may serve as a compensatory mechanism, as observed in neurodegenerative disease ([Graham](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2017; Reeve *et al*[., 2018\)](#page-13-0). Membrane potential (Ψm) also expresses intercellular heterogeneity based on specific cell functions, such as in pancreatic β cells in response to elevated glucose and ATPase activity. Highly metabolic cells also tend to have a higher Ψm and likewise cellular regions with variable metabolic demands express localised Ψm heterogeneity ([Wikstrom](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2009). In neurodegeneration, it has been proposed that dysfunctional, depolarised mitochondria are selectively transported towards the cell body for degradation, whilst healthy mitochondria populate areas of greatest ATP demand [\(Miller & Sheetz, 2004](#page-12-0)). Genetic heterogeneity is suggested to impact on non-genetic heterogeneity and that mtDNA might locally modulate cristae remodelling and respiratory chain complex structure (Busch *et al*[., 2014\)](#page-11-0), equally nongenetic factors may affect mtDNA heterogeneity through mitochondrial dynamics and Ψm depolarisation modulating selective degradation of mitochondria harbouring high levels of heteroplasmy [\(Wikstrom](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2009). Precise sampling of mitochondria from within subcellular localisations, to supplement existing data from studies at the single-cell and tissue level, would provide insight not only into mtDNA heterogeneity but also how this influences heterogeneity in mitochondrial structure, function and dynamics in health and disease.

Studying mitochondrial subpopulations

There is still much we do not understand about mitochondrial heterogeneity. Investigating mitochondrial heterogeneity at the inter- and intracellular level, will provide insight into

other key outstanding questions within the mitochondrial field ([Aryaman](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2019; [Lawless](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2020). What is the physiological role of intracellular heterogeneity? What differences exist between inherited and acquired mtDNA mutations? What interplay exists between genetic and non-genetic heterogeneity? Historically, mitochondrial fractionation techniques were used and remain popular due to being well characterised and reliable; despite being laborious, resource intensive and potentially damaging to mitochondria (Liao *et al*[., 2020](#page-12-0)). When selecting a method of mitochondrial isolation, in addition to mitochondrial purity, function and yield; efficiency, downstream analyses and sample should be considered. Whilst cell lines are more readily manipulatable and enable longitudinal studies ([Lawless](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2020), disease burden is better characterised in tissue, which also has greater clinical relevance [\(Ahmed](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2018; Chen *et al*[., 2020;](#page-11-0) Grady *et al*[., 2018;](#page-12-0) [Spinazzi](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2012). In tissue, some foci of deficiency measure as little as 10µm in diameter ([Vincent](#page-13-0) *et al*., [2018\)](#page-13-0) and accurate sampling of individual foci would be challenging using current techniques. The purpose of this review is to critically discuss the advancement and application of different techniques for mitochondrial isolation. This review will also highlight the recent development of micro- and nanoscale techniques, allowing isolation of mitochondria at the cellular and subcellular level.

Macroscale mitochondrial isolation

Macroscale mitochondrial isolation entails the release of mitochondria from organs or large tissue samples through physical disruption. This is achieved through manual homogenisation, although low reproducibility and the degree of skill required affect mitochondrial integrity and yield of mitochondria ([Lai](#page-12-0) *et al*[., 2019](#page-12-0)). Subcellular fractionation, through homogenisation followed by centrifugation, is a traditional and well-established means of reliably acquiring a high-yield mitochondrial fraction. To overcome some of the noted limitations of centrifugation-based methods of mitochondrial isolation, a number of alternative macroscale techniques have been developed for use with, or instead of, differential or density gradient centrifugation ([Gauthier &](#page-11-0) [Lazure, 2014](#page-11-0)).

Centrifugation

Differential centrifugation (DC) and density gradient centrifugation (DGC) are used to fractionate organelles, including mitochondria, based on mass and sedimentary characteristics. Cell or tissue homogenate is centrifuged at increasing velocities and, in DGC, through a series of increasingly dense media bands (Liao *et al*[., 2020\)](#page-12-0). DGC produces a purer fraction but a lower mitochondrial yield, relative to DC, though yield and mitochondrial integrity may be improved using detergents and reducing the homogenisation and centrifugation speed (Lai *et al*[., 2019](#page-12-0)). These methods of 'mitochondrial fractionation' are both reliable, acquire highly functional mitochondria and are well characterised in the literature but have a high resource and time cost. Dependent on the nature of the study, using DC alone may be preferable in obtaining a higher yield despite sacrificing mitochondrial purity. Centrifugation methods do remain the most popular methods of large-scale mitochondrial isolation however post-centrifugation methods are seeing an increase in usage, such as affinity purification (Liao *et al*[., 2020\)](#page-12-0).

Affinity purification

Affinity purification (AP) captures mitochondria, through the adhesion of mitochondrial surface proteins (Ru *et al*[., 2012\)](#page-13-0), to magnetic bead-conjugated antibodies which are retained within a magnetic field. In addition to favourable yield and purity, AP allows isolation of mitochondrial subpopulations, even without centrifugation (Ahier *et al*[., 2018;](#page-11-0) Hornig-Do *et al*[., 2009; Hubbard](#page-12-0) *et al*[., 2019\)](#page-12-0) and has a low sample requirement and run time (Ru *et al*[., 2012](#page-13-0)). AP does have a high reagent cost and is less well established than DC or DGC but with continued development it is suggested that AP could succeed DGC as the preeminent method of large-scale mitochondrial isolation and AP is particularly advantageous for isolating mitochondrial subpopulations from homogenate [\(Afanasyeva](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2018; [Hubbard](#page-12-0) *et al*., [2019\)](#page-12-0).

Flourescence activated organelle sorting

Following detection of a fluorescent label, including dyes or reporter genes (Ashley *et al*[., 2005; Cohen & Fox, 2001;](#page-11-0) [Floros](#page-11-0) *et al*., [2018\)](#page-11-0), fluorescence activated organelle sorting (FAOS) is able to isolate mitochondria from other organelles or mitochondrial subpopulations by assigning mitochondria, separated into individual droplets, a specific charge [\(Cavelier](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2000). FAOS enables isolation of a higher yield of functional, purified mitochondrial subpopulations reducing the starting material requirement ([Daniele](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2016; [Gauthier & Lazure, 2014\)](#page-11-0). A consideration when using FAOS is dye cytotoxicity, however, the increasing number of available dyes should allow substitution of potentially toxic dyes ([Barteneva](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2014); nevertheless, fluorescent dyes may still lead to mitochondrial aggregation and overestimation of mtDNA copy number [\(Pflugradt](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2011). FAOS is best utilised for high-throughput and high-yield mitochondrial isolation from larger samples (Poe *et al.*[, 2006](#page-12-0)).

Electrophoresis and field-flow fractionation

Capillary electrophoresis (CE), free-flow electrophoresis (FFE) and field-flow fractionation (FFF) techniques also separate subpopulations of organelles based on sedimentary characteristics. Samples are carried along a channel by a laminar flow and in CE and FFE, organelles are separated based on their isoelectric point, through application of an electric field (Poe *et al.*[, 2006;](#page-12-0) [Zischka](#page-13-0) *et al.*, 2006), whilst FFF utilises a perpendicular cross flow to separate particles based on size and mass (Yang *et al*[., 2015](#page-13-0)). FFE is shown to be more time efficient and able to produce a purer but smaller yield, however, equipment cost was higher compared with DGC ([Hartwig](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2009). There is consensus in the literature that FFE is optimally used in conjunction with DGC and for use in studies where high-throughput and mitochondrial fraction purity are more desirable than a higher mitochondrial yield ([Islinger](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2018; [Zischka](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2006). Compared with FFE, CE-LIF has a lower throughput but requires less starting material, and has a better signal-to-noise ratio compared with FAOS (Kostal *et al*[., 2009;](#page-12-0) Poe *et al*[., 2006\)](#page-12-0). A limitation of CE-LIF is mitochondria retention within the fine capillary tube, which can impact on yield and, like FFE, is best used when purity is preferable to high yield (Poe *et al*[., 2010](#page-12-0)). FFF has the highest working range of the techniques reviewed and does not require mitochondrial labelling (Kang *et al*[., 2008;](#page-12-0) [Reschiglian](#page-13-0) *et al.*, 2005; Yang *et al*[., 2015\)](#page-13-0). Whilst isolation of

mitochondrial subpopulations was demonstrated with FFF, isolated fractions were highly contaminated and with no direct comparison of yield relative to DGC, it is difficult to determine the practicability of FFF for mitochondrial isolation. Unlike FFE and CE, FFF is best used when rapid acquisition of a high mitochondrial yield is required at the expense of purity (Kang *et al*[., 2008\)](#page-13-0).

Overview of macroscale mitochondrial isolation techniques

Macroscale methods, incorporating centrifugation, remain the gold standard for high throughput, large-scale mitochondrial isolation but are limited by high time and resource demands, coupled with limited separation of mitochondrial subpopulations (Lai *et al*[., 2019; Liao](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2020) [\(Table 1](#page-5-0)). Combining DGC or DC with post-fractionation purification, isolation of purified mitochondrial subpopulations is possible but at the risk of increasing the run time, sacrificing purity or impacting on mitochondrial vitality or function. Additionally, these techniques cannot provide information on cellular provenance or allow longitudinal studies, due to the necessity of cell lysis. Whilst macroscale techniques excel at investigating mitochondrial heterogenity at the tissue level, to study patterns of mitochondrial heterogeneity and dysfunction at the cellular and sub-cellular level, low-throughput analysis of smaller samples is likely to be more appropriate ([Picard](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2011).

Microscale and nanoscale mitochondrial isolation

Whilst mitochondrial heterogeneity does exist at the single and subcellular level, until relatively recently there has been a distinct lack of techniques capable of effective subcellular manipulation. Previous methods enabling mechanical penetration of cells relied on micropipettes which are inclined to cause physical trauma to the cell and lack the spatio-temporal control required for subcellular sampling. Use of microfluidics and nanoprobes offer a less damaging and more controlled means of sampling of organelles such as mitochondria (Kang *et al*[., 2016](#page-12-0)) ([Table 2](#page-6-0) and [Table 3](#page-7-0)).

Microfluidics

Microfluidic analogues of macro-techniques, including micro-AP, micro-FFF, and micro-FFE, have been developed for the isolation of mitochondria (Kostal *et al*[., 2009; Kayo](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2013; [Lu](#page-12-0) *et al*[., 2004](#page-12-0)). Microfluidic isolation methods also exist that trap mitochondria through geometric traps, such as the nanohole array (Kumar *et al*[., 2015\)](#page-12-0). Not only are microfluidic assays more resource efficient, they are less disruptive to the physiological organelle environment, cause less physical trauma to cells and organelles and provide more representative results [\(Kang](#page-12-0) *et al*., [2016;](#page-12-0) [Moutaux](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2018). Whilst **'** Mito-magento', a micro-AP variant, forgoes the need for immunoprecipitation and minimises the risk of clogging, which can impact on yield, nanoparticle toxicity to cells and mitochondria is not ruled out [\(Banik](#page-11-0) *et al*., [2016;](#page-11-0) [Tesauro](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2017; [Yarjanli](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2017). Microfluidic techniques have a much lower yield and do not allow insight into the subcellular location of mitochondrial subpopulations or facilitate longitudinal analysis. Microfluidic techniques are best suited to high-throughput isolation of pure and highly functional

mitochondrial fractions from a limited amount of starting material.

Nano-fluorescence activated mitochondrial sorting

FAOS is generally optimised to isolate mitochondria from larger samples ([Daniele](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2016). Nano-fluorescence activated mitochondrial sorting (nFAMS) utilises a microfluidic chip device with confocal microscopy. Application of a small voltage allows sorting of mitochondrial subpopulations with minimal impact to viability whilst achieving a high throughput, yield and purity ([MacDonald](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2019; Schiro *et al*[., 2012](#page-13-0)). It is noted single molecule PCR may be more applicable to enrichment of smaller mtDNA concentrations, obtained using nFAMS and similar formats, to avoid PCR errors ([Kraytsberg](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2008; [MacDonald](#page-12-0) *et al*[., 2019\)](#page-12-0). Respiratory function assays did show that isolated mitochondria were highly functional, however, like the other techniques discussed so far, obligatory cell lysis also means that obtaining information on cell provenance and serial sampling are not possible with nFAMS [\(MacDonald](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2019).

Laser-capture microdissection and optical tweezers

Laser capture microdissection (LCM) allows for single-cell isolation by cutting around a delinated cell using a 'train' of sequential nanosecond pulses from a nitrogen laser. Translocation and capture is either gravity assisted or through laser pulse induced propulsion into a collection vessel (Vogel *et al.*[, 2007](#page-13-0)). LCM was used to isolate mitochondria from single cells and from subcellular foci, to investigate the spread of clonal expansion of mtDNA mutations a ([Trifunov](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2018; [Vincent](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2018).

Optical tweezers (OT) are capable of simultaneously lysing cells, whilst trapping subcellular organelles within a focused laser beam, with nanoscale precision (König *et al*[., 2005;](#page-12-0) [Reiner](#page-13-0) *et al*[., 2010](#page-13-0)). LCM and OT are exciting techniques for isolating mitochondria within a specific cell, demonstrating not only the means to retain cellular provenance but also demonstrating cellular and subcellular precision (König *et al*[., 2005;](#page-12-0) [Vincent](#page-13-0) *et al.*[, 2018](#page-13-0)). However, laser induced damage to mitochondrial and mtDNA damage when targeting smaller areas limits the effectiveness of LCM and OT in serial sampling and isolating mitochondria from smaller subcellular foci ([Botchway](#page-11-0) *et al*., 2010; [Vincent](#page-13-0) *et al.*, 2018). Alongside flow cytometry based methods, LCM and OT are gold standard techniques used for mitochondrial isolation for understanding mitochondrial heterogeneity at the single-cell level.

Nanoprobe based techniques

Nanotechnologies show great promise to enable mitochondrial isolation at the subcellular level ([Laforge](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2007). Whilst micromanipulators incorporating micropipettes have been shown to successfully isolate and transplant nuclei ([Hyslop](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2016), a challenge for this technology has been the ability to sample smaller subcellular structures [\(Jeffries](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2007). Nanoscale probes can allow the manipulation of biomolecules and organelles in a non-invasive manner with greater spatial precision compared to their microscale counterparts. Nanoprobes cause minimal perturbation to cell viability or their natural cellular environment,

of mitochondrial isolation, incorporating either density gradient centrifugation (DGC), differential centrifugation (DC) or none. Techniques compared relative to the performance of DGC
alone*: ++ = higher; + = slightly hig alone*: ++ = higher; + = slightly higher or similar; - = lower; ± = lower and/or higher; 2D-PAGE = two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; AP = affinity purification; CE = capillary electrophoresis; FAOS = fluorescence activated organelle sorting; FFE = free flow electrophoresis; FFF = field-flow fractionation; LC-MS = liquid chromatography mass spectrometry; SEM = of mitochondrial isolation, incorporating either density gradient centrifugation (DGC), differential centrifugation (DC) or none. Techniques compared relative to the performance of DGC Table 1. Summary of mitochondrial isolation methods (Macroscale). A table highlighting the relative benefits and disadvantages of different 'macroscale' mitochondrial methods **Table 1. Summary of mitochondrial isolation methods (Macroscale).** A table highlighting the relative benefits and disadvantages of different 'macroscale' mitochondrial methods Scanning Electron Microscopy; TEM = transmission electron microscopy.

Table 2. Summary of mitochondrial isolation methods (Microscale). A table highlighting the relative benefits and disadvantages of different microscale' mitochondrial methods
of mitochondrial isolation, incorporating either of mitochondrial isolation, incorporating either density gradient centrifugation (DGC), differential centrifugation (DC) or none. Techniques compared relative to the performance of DGC alone*: ++ = higher; + = slightly higher or similar; - = lower; ddPCR = digital droplet PCR; LCM = laser capture microdissection; NGS = next generation sequencing; OT = optical tweezers; **Table 2. Summary of mitochondrial isolation methods (Microscale).** A table highlighting the relative benefits and disadvantages of different 'microscale' mitochondrial methods SEM = Scanning Electron Microscopy.

allowing for longitudinal sampling of mitochondria and enabling the comparison of mitochondrial heterogeneity between and within foci of deficiency ([Actis, 2018](#page-11-0)). Four key methods for nanomanipulation of cytoplasmic contents have been developed: nanobiopsy, where cellular contents are aspirated within a nanopipette using an applied voltage; fluid-force microscopy (FluidFM), where an atomic force microscope (AFM) probe, containing a nanofluidic channel, enables pressure-driven sampling from living cells; dielectrophoreticnanoprobes, where an applied high-frequency AC is used to trap and manipulate organelles and biomolecules within living cells; and nanoelectroporation, where cells are grown on an array of channels and a transient electrical current causes temporary pore formation in the cellular membrane, allowing sampling from the cytoplasm (Ino *[et al](#page-12-0)*., [2018\)](#page-12-0). So far only nanobiopsy and nanotweezers (NT), which harnesses dielectrophoresis, have been demonstrated to isolate mitochondria (Actis *et al*[., 2014a;](#page-11-0) [Nadappuram](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2019).

*Nanobiopsy***.** Nanopipettes are nanoprobes that are readily and reproducibly fabricated from glass capillaries using a laser puller ([Laforge](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2007). Nanopipettes can be integrated within nanomanipulators to comprise a scanning ion conductance microscope (SICM), which allows for the high resolution topographical mapping of living cells and tissues (Novak *et al*[., 2009](#page-12-0)). The nanobiopsy approach relies on electrowetting, within a

nanopipette, to extract cytoplasmic material from living cells. Electrowetting is a physical effect where the wetting, or interaction of a liquid with another surface, across two interfaces, can be controlled via an externally applied voltage. If a nanopipette is filled with an organic solution and immersed in an aqueous solution a liquid-liquid interface is formed at the nanopipette tip ([Laforge](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2007). Depending on the voltage applied, aqueous solution can be drawn or expelled from the nanopipette (Dale and Unwin, 2008). Electrowetting within the cytoplasm of a living cell allows cytosol and organelles to be aspirated [\(Actis](#page-11-0) *et al*., [2014a;](#page-11-0) Figure 1). Successful aspiration of mitochondria has been reported using nanobiopsy. This was demonstrated by the presence of MitoTracker green, a mitochondrial matrix specific fluorescent dye, in the nanopipette tip, a reduction in fluorescence in the biopsied area and successful sequencing of mtDNA acquired from the biopsy (Actis *et al*[., 2014a\)](#page-11-0). Dependent on the target cell and the pipette geometry, fine tuning of the electrowetting settings is necessary (Actis *et al*[., 2014b;](#page-11-0) Seger *et al*[., 2012\)](#page-13-0). The precise volume aspirated or expelled can be determined, and therefore controlled, by measuring the nanopipette resistance during nanobiopsy. A drawback of nanobiopsy is that the use of an organic solvent within the nanopipette does not allow the acquisition of topographical images because of the low signal-noise ratio. To be able to properly take advantage of the fine placement of the nanopipette, a double barrel pipette - filled with aqueous and

Figure 1. Mitochondrial nanobiopsy. Nanobiopsy is carried out using a nanopipette, filled with organic solvent, that is incorporated into a Scanning Ion Conductance Microscope (SICM) system which consists of an amplifier, that modulates and detects extremely small changes in voltage and current, as well as piezo motors that allow for highly precise movement of the nanopipette to and within the area of interest. This can be used with cultured cells (**a**–**c**) or in tissue (**d**–**f**). (**a**, **d**) To function, the SICM requires the nanopipette to be immersed in an aqueous solution. Whilst being lowered, the SICM system constantly measures the current through the nanopipette tip. If the current magnitudes drops below a predetermined threshold, this will result in negative feedback causing the nanopipette to stop typically within 1µm of the cell of interest. At this point the nanopipette be lowered at high speed to penetrate the cell membrane, whilst a small positive voltage (200mV) is applied to prevent premature aspiration of the cytosolic components. (**b**,**e**) Once within the cell and at the area of interest, application of a small voltage (-200mV) allows for the aspiration of mitochondria within the cytosol as a result of the phenomenon of electrowetting. (**c**,**f**) After successful aspiration of mitochondria, reapplication of a positive voltage (100mV) prevents further aspiration but allows retention of the collected sample. The sample can then be transferred to a collection vessel where the sample can be expelled at a higher voltage (1V).

organic solutions respectively, would be required so that scanning and electrowetting could be performed simultaneously [\(Seger](#page-13-0) *et al*[., 2012](#page-13-0)). For biopsies taken from tissue, a possible alternative could be the post-biopsy topographical scanning of the area of interest. Nanobiopsy is highly optimised for the highly-precise isolation of small mitochondrial subpopulations either from subcellular foci or for longitudinal sampling of mitochondria from the same cell. Whilst this has been demonstrated in cultured cells this has yet to be demonstrated in tissue.

*Nanotweezers***.** Dielectrophoretic nanotweezers (DENT) were first introduced as an adaption of AFM, where by employing dielectrophoresis, mRNA could be selectively targeted and captured with minimal impact to cell viability (Li *et al*[., 2018](#page-12-0)). DENT utilises a nanoprobe consisting of a closely distanced silicon core and a metal alloy layer which serve as inner and outer electrodes ([Nawarathna](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2009). Application of an AC between the inner and outer electrodes results in an electrical field sufficient to prompt polarisable subcellular molecules to become induced dipoles that are trapped at the probe tip [\(Ramos](#page-13-0) *et al*., [1999\)](#page-13-0). A dielectrophoretic force can be intensified by increasing the voltage or decreasing the distance between the two electrodes, since the force is proportionate to V^2d^{-3} (*V* being voltage; *d* being distance). An inter-electrode distance of <20nm enabled authors to achieve a dielectrophoretic force sufficient to capture

a single mitochondrion at a physiologically viable ionic strength, with a NT probe incorporated into a micromanipulator system. Successful acquisition of healthy mitochondria was shown through a reduction in localised tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester fluorescent dye post-acquisition [\(Nadappuram](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2019; Figure 2). Like nanobiopsy, NT are capable of sampling subcellular biomolecules with high spatial resolution with minimal impact on cell and organelle viability. A potential disadvantage of the NT format could be the manner in which samples are retained at the end of the nanotweezers probe, similar to a holding pipette. Without protection from the pipette tip, the sample would be exposed and prone to physical trauma or loss when being transferred from the cell to collection vessel (Imura *et al.*[, 2002; Lu](#page-12-0) *et al.*[, 2004\)](#page-12-0). Loss of nucleic acid samples can be circumvented through use of specific oligonucleotide probes at the tip of the nanotweezers, to hybridised and trap mRNA or DNA isolated through dielectrophoresis and leading to the suggestion that this technique has a greater propensity for mRNA isolation or even cell-free mtDNA, though nanotweezers still offer great promise for mitochondrial isolation (Li *et al.*[, 2018; Nawarathna](#page-12-0) *et al.*, [2009\)](#page-12-0).

*Fluid-Force Microscopy***.** FluidFM is another adaptation of AFM. AFM works using a cantilever, which moves relative to the changes in depth of a given surface either through direct contact, tapping

Figure 2. Nanotweezers. The nanotweezers tip is inserted into a cell and then application of an alternating current (A.C.) results in a localised electric field that traps biomolecules at the probe tip through dielectrophoretic (DEP). This DEP force is strong enough to capture nucleic acids and mitochondria in solution. Once captured the molecules can then we withdrawn from out of the cell of interest with the removal of the nanotweezers and transferred to a collection vessel. The applied DEP force is reversible and turning off the A.C. results in the release of the captured biomolecules.

or oscillating just above the surface ([Verbiest & Rost, 2016](#page-13-0)). The movement of the cantilever is measured by a laser which produces a 3-D image of the surface and negative feedback control of a piezo motor allows adjustment of the probe position ([Guillaume-Gentil](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2016; [Uehara](#page-13-0) *et al*., 2004). The unique feature of FluidFM is that it utilizes a hollow AFM tip coupled with a pressure regulator that allows the manipulation of liquid in and around cells with high precision. During FluidFM, the AFM tip is lowered into the cell and held with a predetermined force (~550nN) to allow capture of cellular contents by applying negative pressure (-800mbar) ([Guillaume-Gentil](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2016). Whilst Guillaume-Gentil and colleagues did test whether mitochondria could be isolated using FluidFM, a negligible reduction in MitoTracker Green likely indicates that this was unsuccessful. Authors concede that lack of success could have been because of probe aperture size was insufficient to capture mitochondria within an interconnected network. Like nanobiopsy and NT, FluidFM minimally impacts on cell viability. Also, like nanobiopsy, AFM allows precise placement of the AFM probe in a cellular localisation, though not with the same precision afforded by SICM. A 'snap' phenomenon, caused by the intermolecular forces acting on the AFM probe as it approaches the cellular membrane, can cause loss of control of the probe, reducing sampling accuracy (Uehara *et al*[., 2004; Verbiest & Rost, 2016](#page-13-0)). FluidFM also prioritises high sampling resolution above yield and should successful isolation of mitochondria be demonstrated using Fluid FM, it would fit into a similar niche to nanobiopsy and NT isolating mitochondria within subcellular foci.

Overview of micro- and nanoscale mitochondrial isolation techniques

Microfluidic techniques are promising, being high-throughput and the microfluidic devices themselves are inexpensive. However, adapting existing platforms for microfluidics is less cost effective and has resulted in slower development and adoption of microfluidic techniques [\(Sonker](#page-13-0) *et al.*, 2017). Of the more developed micro- and nanoscale techniques, flow cytometry based methods appear quicker, higher throughput and demonstrate the greatest yield, though may impair mitochondrial viability ([Pflugradt](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2011). Whilst LCM and OT can potentially result in photodamage to mtDNA, careful consideration of cutting parameters can negate this (Keloth *et al*[., 2018\)](#page-12-0). Some macro- and microscale techniques may be able to separate mitochondrial subpopulations but lack the spatio-temporal range to isolate and contextualise mitochondrial subpopulations in their natural cellular environment, which affects our ability to fully understand the cellular conditions that contribute to mitochondrial heterogeneity and dysfunction ([Lanza & Nair, 2009;](#page-12-0) [Moutaux](#page-12-0) *et al*[., 2018](#page-12-0)).

Nanoprobes allow a means of isolating mitochondria with superior sampling specificity whilst being minimally invasive to the cell – preserving the viability of live cells and the native environment of the mitochondria (Actis *et al.*[, 2014a;](#page-11-0) [Nadappuram](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2019). It is suggested that nanobiopsy lacks specificty in the cytoplasmic contents sampled (Actis *et al*[., 2014a;](#page-11-0) [Nadappuram](#page-12-0) *et al*., 2019). However, positional placement using an SICM system guided by topographical scanning rather that just optical confirmation alone, would suggest that nanobiopsy would have a superior sampling specificity (Seger *et al.*[, 2012](#page-13-0)). 'Microbiospy' of mitochondria *in vivo* has been demonstrated using a micropipette incorporated into a micromanipulator (Morris *et al*[., 2017\)](#page-12-0). Whilst the data from this study is certainly valuable, the method was limited by poor control of aspirated volumes and the inability to resolve fluorescently labelled mitochondria, without a secondary mode of visualising the location of the pipette relative to labelled mitochondria. This indeed is a common limitation of both NT and other micromanipulator based methods of mitochondrial isolation. Interestingly, since mitochondria can be as large 2µm in diameter [\(Imura](#page-12-0) *et al*., [2002\)](#page-12-0), incorporation of micropipettes within an SICM system may enable nanoscale precision but also guards against sampling bias favouring smaller mitochondrial subpopulations.

NT preferentially captures polarisable molecules. Whilst this enables a degree of selectivity, this may also lead to increased contamination, especially from non-specific capture of nucleic acids ([Nadappuram](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2019). Use of a 'physiologically safe' current, stated as being less than the total current of all ion channels in a given cell, also prevents direct damage to the sampled mitochondria ([Laforge](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2007). Whilst not necessarily a disadvantage, nanobiopsy and NT both require samples to be immersed in ionic solution [\(Actis, 2018\)](#page-11-0). FluidFM, conversely, can be adapted to faciliate high-throughput sampling by connecting the AFM probe to a microchannel and can be carried out in or out of solution (Kang *et al.*[, 2016; Meister](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2009). Whilst as of yet, there has only been a modest number of examples demonstrating isolation of mitochondria using nanotechnologies, pioneering proof-of-principle study data does make the future development of nanotechnologies for mitochondrial isolation an exciting prospect. Whilst sampling of mitochondria, from within foci of deficiency in tissue, has yet to be demonstrated this provides the next challenge for nanotechnologies going forward.

Concluding remarks

Mitochondrial isolation can be organised into three general categories based on their sampling resolution: macroscale, microscale and nanoscale. Macroscale and microfluidic techniques are most useful for respective large or small-scale studies investigating mitochondrial heterogeneity, or a particular mechanism in mitochondrial dysfunction, at the tissue and organ level ([Brand &](#page-11-0) [Nicholls, 2011\)](#page-12-0); LCM, OT and nanoprobe based techniques, however, are designed to acertain information on mitochondrial heterogeneity at the cellular and subceullar level. The latter will ultimately help us to understand how mitochondrial dysfunction may originate and spread (Picard *et al.*[, 2011;](#page-12-0) [Vincent & Picard,](#page-13-0) [2018](#page-13-0)). Whilst mitochondrial isolation has only been demonstrated using nanobiopsy and NT, asides FluidFM, other nanoprobe techniques have potential utility for mitochondrial isolation. The "mille-feuille" probe, like its namesake, contains alternating aqueous and organic phase layers, allowing continuous sampling through nano-electrophoresis (Ito *et al.*[, 2017\)](#page-12-0). Nanoneedles and nanostraws offer the potential for longitudinal analysis of mitochondria from cells, cultured directly on top of these nanostructures, and sampling is achieved through laser-induced poration or electroporation of the cell membrane and high

throughput isolation with a similar level of precision (Cao *et al.*, 2019; Chiappini *et al*., 2015).

The usefulness of nanoprobe techniques is not limited to isolating mitochondria. The ability to aspirate and inject using nanoprobes has great therapeutic potential, including the injection of mitochondria at precise cellular locations for the purposes of experimentation but also the eventual possibility for use in mitochondrial targeted therapies, building upon existing mitochondrial transfer techniques whilst avoiding 'mitochondrial carryover' (Hudson *et al.*[, 2019; Hyslop](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2016; [Seger](#page-13-0) *et al.*, [2012\)](#page-13-0). Mitochondrial fractionationation based techniques are still the most extensively used, but other technologies are catching up in terms of popularity and development. This is in part driven by the need to better understand mitochondrial physiology and pathophysiology with subcellular precision

([Picard](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2011), but also coincides with the transition of cellular biology from era of 'single-cell omics' to that of 'subceullar omics'. No single technique is all-encompassing, in aiding our understanding of mechanisms of mitochondrial dysfunction, instead each has objective superiority. Nanoprobe based technologies are a great addition to the arsenal of mitochondrial isolation methods and their continued development is opening up an entirely new avenue of research into the spread of mitochondrial dysfunction at the subcellular level. This will ultimately help us better understand the bigger picture of mitochondrial heterogeneity and dysfunction.

Data availability

Underlying data No data are associated with this article.

References

Actis P: **Sampling from Single Cells.** *Small Methods.* 2018; **2**(3): 1700300. **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smtd.201700300)** Actis P, Maalouf MM, Kim HJ, *et al.*: **Compartmental genomics in living cells revealed by single-cell nanobiopsy.** *ACS nano.* 2014a; **8**(1): 546–553. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24279711)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn405097u)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/3946819)** Actis P, Tokar S, Clausmeyer J, *et al.*: **Electrochemical nanoprobes for singlecell analysis.** *ACS Nano.* 2014b; **8**(1): 875–884. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24377306)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn405612q)** Afanasyeva MA, Ustiugova AS, Golyshev SA, *et al.*: **Isolation of Large Amounts of Highly Pure Mitochondria for "Omics" Studies.** *Biochemistry (Mosc).* 2018; **83**(1): 76–85. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29534672)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0006297918010108)** Ahier A, Dai CY, Tweedie A, *et al.*: **Affinity purification of cell-specific mitochondria from whole animals resolves patterns of genetic mosaicism.** *Nat Cell Biol.* 2018; **20**(3): 352–360. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29358705)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41556-017-0023-x)** Ahmed ST, Craven L, Russell OM, *et al.*: **Diagnosis and Treatment of Mitochondrial Myopathies.** *Neurotherapeutics.* 2018; **15**(4): 943–953. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30406383)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13311-018-00674-4)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6277287)** Alston CL, Rocha MC, Lax NZ, *et al.*: **The genetics and pathology of mitochondrial disease.** *J Pathol.* 2017; **241**(2): 236–250. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27659608)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/path.4809)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5215404)** Anderson S, Bankier AT, Barrell BG, *et al.*: **Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome.** *Nature.* 1981; **290**(5806): 457–65. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7219534)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/290457a0)** Andrews RM, Kubacka I, Chinnery PF, *et al.*: **Reanalysis and revision of the Cambridge reference sequence for human mitochondrial DNA.** *Nat Genet.* 1999; **23**(2): 147. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10508508)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/13779)** Aryaman J, Johnston IG, Jones NS: **Mitochondrial Heterogeneity.** *Front Genet.* 2019; **9**: 718. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30740126)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00718)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6355694)** Ashley N, Harris D, Poulton J: **Detection of mitochondrial DNA depletion in living human cells using PicoGreen staining.** *Exp Cell Res.* 2005; **303**(2): 432–446. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15652355)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.10.013)** Banik B, Askins BW, Dhar S: **Mito-magneto: a tool for nanoparticle mediated mitochondria isolation.** *Nanoscale.* 2016; **8**(47): 19581–19591. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27735003)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c6nr05882e)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5133166)** Barteneva NS, Ponomarev ED, Tsytsykova A, *et al.*: **Mitochondrial staining allows robust elimination of apoptotic and damaged cells during cell sorting .** *J Histochem Cytochem.* 2014; **62**(4): 265–75. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24394470)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1369/0022155413520404)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/3966287)** Bender A, Krishnan KJ, Morris CM, *et al.*: **High levels of mitochondrial DNA deletions in substantia nigra neurons in aging and Parkinson disease.** *Nat*

Genet. 2006; **38**(5): 515–517. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16604074)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng1769)**

Botchway SW, Reynolds P, Parker AW, *et al.*: **Use of near infrared femtosecond lasers as sub-micron radiation microbeam for cell DNA damage and repair**

studies. *Mutat Res.* 2010; **704**(1–3): 38–44. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20079460)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2010.01.003)**

Brand MD, Nicholls DG: **Assessing mitochondrial dysfunction in cells.** *Biochem J.* 2011; **435**(2): 297–312.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21726199) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BJ20110162)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/3076726)**

Busch KB, Kowald A, Spelbrink JN: **Quality matters: how does mitochondrial network dynamics and quality control impact on mtDNA integrity?** *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2014; **369**(1646): 20130442. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24864312)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0442)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/4032518)**

Cao H, Zhou X, Zeng Y: **Microfluidic Exponential Rolling Circle Amplification for Sensitive microRNA Detection Directly from Biological Samples.** *Sens Actuators B Chem.* 2019; **279**: 447–457.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30533973) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.09.121)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6284813)**

Cavelier L, Johannisson A, Gyllensten U: **Analysis of mtDNA copy number and composition of single mitochondrial particles using flow cytometry and PCR.** *Exp Cell Res.* 2000; **259**(1): 79–85. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10942580)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/excr.2000.4949)**

Chen C, Vincent A, Blain A, *et al.*: **Investigation of mitochondrial biogenesis defects in single substantia nigra neurons using post-mortem human tissues.** *Neurobiol Dis.* 2020; **134**: 104631. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31689514)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2019.104631)**

Chiappini C, De Rosa E, Martinez J, *et al.*: **Biodegradable silicon nanoneedles delivering nucleic acids intracellularly induce localized** *in vivo* **neovascularization.** *Nat Mater.* 2015; **14**(5): 532–539.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25822693) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat4249)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/4538992)**

Cohen JS, Fox TD: **Expression of green fluorescent protein from a recoded gene inserted into** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* **mitochondrial DNA.** *Mitochondrion.* 2001; **1**(2): 181–189. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16120277)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1567-7249(01)00012-5)**

Dale SEC, Unwin PR: **Polarised liquid/liquid micro-interfaces move during charge transfer.** *Electrochem commun.* 2008; **10**(5): 723–726. **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2008.02.023)**

Damas J, Samuels DC, Carneiro J, *et al.*: **Mitochondrial DNA rearrangements in health and disease--a comprehensive study.** *Hum Mutat.* 2014; **35**(1): 1–14. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24115352)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/humu.22452)**

Daniele JR, Heydari K, Arriaga EA, *et al.*: **Identification and Characterization of Mitochondrial Subtypes in** *Caenorhabditis elegans* **via Analysis of Individual Mitochondria by Flow Cytometry.** *Anal Chem.* 2016; **88**(12): 6309–6316. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27210103)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b00542)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5287395)**

Elson JL, Samuels DC, Turnbull DM, *et al.*: **Random intracellular drift explains the clonal expansion of mitochondrial DNA mutations with age.** *Am J Hum Genet.* 2001; **68**(3): 802–806.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11179029) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/318801)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/1274494)**

Floros VI, Pyle A, Dietmann S, *et al.*: **Segregation of mitochondrial DNA heteroplasmy through a developmental genetic bottleneck in human embryos.** *Nat Cell Biol.* 2018; **20**(2): 144–151. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29335530)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41556-017-0017-8)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6551220)**

Gauthier DJ, Lazure C: **Complementary methods to assist subcellular fractionation in organellar proteomics.** *Expert Rev Proteomics.* 2014; **5**(4):

603–617.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18761470) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1586/14789450.5.4.603)**

Gorman GS, Chinnery PF, Dimauro S, *et al.*: **Mitochondrial diseases.** *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2016; **2**: 16080.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27775730) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2016.80)**

Grady JP, Pickett SJ, Ng YS, *et al.*: **mtDNA heteroplasmy level and copy number indicate disease burden in m.3243A>G mitochondrial disease.** *EMBO Mol Med.* 2018; **10**(6): e8262.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29735722) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201708262)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5991564)**

Graham LC, Eaton SL, Brunton PJ, *et al.*: **Proteomic profiling of neuronal mitochondria reveals modulators of synaptic architecture.** *Mol Neurodegener.* 2017; **12**(1): 77.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29078798) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13024-017-0221-9)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5659037)**

Greaves LC, Nooteboom M, Elson JL, *et al.*: **Clonal Expansion of Early to Mid-Life Mitochondrial DNA Point Mutations Drives Mitochondrial Dysfunction during Human Ageing.** *PLOS Genetics.* 2014; **10**(9): e1004620.
<mark>[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25232829) | [Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004620) | [Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/4169240)</mark>

Guillaume-gentil O, Grindberg RV, Kooger R, *et al.*: **Tunable Single-Cell Extraction for Molecular Analyses.** *Cell.* 2016; **166**(2): 506–516. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27419874)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.025)**

Hartwig S, Feckler C, Lehr S, *et al.*: **A critical comparison between two classical and a kit-based method for mitochondria isolation.** *Proteomics.* 2009; **9**(11): 3209–3214.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19415664) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200800344)**

Hornig-do HT, Günther G, Bust M, *et al.*: **Isolation of functional pure mitochondria by superparamagnetic microbeads.** *Anal Biochem.* 2009; **389**(1): 1–5.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19285029) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2009.02.040)**

Hubbard WB, Harwood CL, Prajapati P, *et al.*: **Fractionated mitochondrial magnetic separation for isolation of synaptic mitochondria from brain tissue.** *Sci Rep.* 2019; **9**(1): 9656. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31273236)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45568-3)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6609636)**

Hudson G, Takeda Y, Herbert M: **Reversion after replacement of mitochondrial DNA.** *Nature.* 2019; **574**(7778): E8–E11. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31619779)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1623-3)**

Hyslop LA, Blakeley P, Craven L, *et al.*: **Towards clinical application of pronuclear transfer to prevent mitochondrial DNA disease.** *Nature.* 2016; **534**(7607): 383–6.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27281217) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature18303)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5131843)**

Imura F, Nakada A, Egashira Y, *et al.*: **Development of nano-surgery system for cell organelles.** *Proceedings of the 41st SICE Annual Conference. SICE.* 2002; **5**: 3236–3241.

[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SICE.2002.1195633)

Ino K, Nashimoto Y, Taira N, *et al.*: **Intracellular Electrochemical Sensing.** *Electroanalysis.* 2018; **30**(10): 2195–2209. **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elan.201800410)**

Islinger M, Wildgruber R, Völkl A: **Preparative free-flow electrophoresis, a versatile technology complementing gradient centrifugation in the isolation of highly purified cell organelles.** *Electrophoresis.* 2018; **39**(18): 2288–2299.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29761848) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elps.201800187)**

Ito H, Tanaka M, Zhou Y, *et al.*: **Continuous collection and simultaneous detection of picoliter volume of nucleic acid samples using a mille-feuille probe.** *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2017; **409**(4): 961–969. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27838750)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-0006-y)**

Jeffries GDM, Edgar JS, Zhao Y, *et al.*: **Using polarization-shaped optical vortex traps for single-cell nanosurgery.** *Nano Lett.* 2007; **7**(2): 415–420. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17298009)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl0626784)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/2519128)**

Kang D, Oh S, Reschiglian P, *et al.*: **Separation of mitochondria by flow fieldflow fractionation for proteomic analysis.** *Analyst.* 2008; **133**(4): 505–15. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18365121)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b716851a)**

Kang W, Mcnaughton RL, Espinosa HD: **Micro- and Nanoscale Technologies for Delivery into Adherent Cells.** *Trends Biotechnol.* 2016; **34**(8): 665–678. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27287927)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.05.003)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/4958582)**

Kayo S, Bahnemann J, Klauser M, *et al.*: **A microfluidic device for immuno-affinity-based separation of mitochondria from cell culture.** *Lab Chip.* 2013; **13**(22): 4467–4475.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24084793) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c3lc50739d)**

Keloth A, Anderson O, Risbridger D, *et al.*: **Single Cell Isolation Using Optical Tweezers.** *Micromachines (Basel).* 2018; **9**(9): 434.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30424367) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/mi9090434)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6187562)**

König K, Riemann I, Stracke F, *et al.*: **Nanoprocessing with nanojoule near-infrared femtosecond laser pulses.** *Medical Laser Application.* 2005; **20**(3): 169–184.

[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mla.2005.07.009)

Kostal V, Fonslow BR, Arriaga EA, *et al.*: **Fast determination of mitochondria electrophoretic mobility using micro free-flow electrophoresis.** *Anal Chem.* 2009; **81**(22): 9267–9273.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19908903) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac901508x)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/2779522)**

Kowald A, Kirkwood TBL: **Transcription could be the key to the selection advantage of mitochondrial deletion mutants in aging.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014; **111**(8): 2972–2977.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24569805) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314970111)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/3939916)**

Kraytsberg Y, Nicholas A, Caro P, *et al.*: **Single molecule PCR in mtDNA mutational analysis: Genuine mutations vs. damage bypass-derived artifacts.** *Methods.* 2008; **46**(4): 269–273. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18955147)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2008.10.005)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/2654379)**

Kumar S, Wolken GG, Wittenberg NJ, *et al.*: **Nanohole Array-Directed Trapping of Mammalian Mitochondria Enabling Single Organelle Analysis.** *Anal Chem.*

2015; **87**(24): 11973–11977. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26593329)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b03604)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/4809531)**

Kuznetsov A, Margreiter R: **Heterogeneity of mitochondria and mitochondrial function within cells as another level of mitochondrial complexity.** *Int J Mol Sci.* 2009; **10**(4): 1911–1929. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19468346)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms10041911)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/2680654)**

Laforge FO, Carpino J, Rotenberg SA, *et al.*: **Electrochemical attosyringe.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2007; **104**(29): 11895–11900. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17620612)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0705102104)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/1924598)**

Lai N, Kummitha CM, Rosca MG, *et al.*: **Isolation of mitochondrial subpopulations from skeletal muscle: Optimizing recovery and preserving integrity.** *Acta Physiol (Oxf).* 2019.; **225**(2): e13182. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30168663)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apha.13182)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6335164)**

Lanza IR, Nair KS: **Functional assessment of isolated mitochondria** *in vitro***.** *Methods Enzymol.* 2009; **457**: 349–372.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19426878) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(09)05020-4)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/2782617)**

Lawless C, Greaves L, Reeve AK, *et al.*: **The rise and rise of mitochondrial DNA mutations.** *Open Biol.* 2020; **10**(5): 200061. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32428418)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsob.200061)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/7276526)**

Lehmann D, Tuppen HAL, Campbell GE, *et al.*: **Understanding mitochondrial DNA maintenance disorders at the single muscle fibre level.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019; **47**(14): 7430–7443.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31147703) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz472)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6698645)**

Li Z, Yang B, Sekine S, *et al.*: **Alignment and counting of mitochondria based on capillary electrophoresis.** *Sens Actuators B Chem.* 2018; **265**: 110–114. **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.03.032)**

Liao PC, Bergamini C, Fato R, *et al.*: **Isolation of mitochondria from cells and tissues.** *Methods Cell Biol.* 2020; **155**: 3–31. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32183964)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.mcb.2019.10.002)**

Lu H, Gaudet S, Schmidt MA, *et al.*: **A Microfabricated Device for Subcellular Organelle Sorting.** *Anal Chem.* 2004; **76**(19): 5705–5712. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15456289)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac049794g)**

MacDonald JA, Bothun AM, Annis SN, *et al.*: **A nanoscale, multi-parametric flow cytometry-based platform to study mitochondrial heterogeneity and mitochondrial DNA dynamics.** *Commun Biol.* 2019; **2**: 258. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31312727)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0513-4)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6624292)**

Meister A, Gabi M, Behr P, *et al.*: **FluidFM: Combining Atomic Force Microscopy and Nanofluidics in a Universal Liquid Delivery System for Single Cell Applications and Beyond.** *Nano Lett.* 2009; **9**(6): 2501–2507. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19453133)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl901384x)**

Miller KE, Sheetz MP: **Axonal mitochondrial transport and potential are correlated.** *J Cell Sci.* 2004; **117**(Pt 13): 2791–2804. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15150321)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jcs.01130)**

Moutaux E, Christaller W, Scaramuzzino C, *et al.*: **Neuronal network maturation differently affects secretory vesicles and mitochondria transport in axons.** *Sci Rep.* 2018; **8**(1): 13429. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30194421)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31759-x)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6128875)**

Morris J, Na YJ, Zhu H, *et al.*: **Pervasive within-Mitochondrion Single-Nucleotide Variant Heteroplasmy as Revealed by Single-Mitochondrion Sequencing.** *Cell Rep.* 2017; **21**(10): 2706–2713.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29212019) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.11.031)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5771502)** Nadappuram BP, Cadinu P, Barik A, *et al.*: **Nanoscale tweezers for single-cell biopsies.** *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2019; **14**(1): 80–88.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30510280) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41565-018-0315-8)**

Nawarathna D, Turan T, Wickramasinghe HK: **Selective probing of mRNA expression levels within a living cell.** *Appl Phys Lett.* 2009; **95**(8): 83117–83117. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19777090)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3213343)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/2749444)**

Novak P, Li C, Shevchuk AI, *et al.*: **Nanoscale live-cell imaging using hopping probe ion conductance microscopy.** *Nat Methods.* 2009; **6**(4): 279–281. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19252505)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1306)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/2702483)**

Paszkiewicz G, Gualberto JM, Benamar A, *et al.*: **Arabidopsis Seed Mitochondria Are Bioenergetically Active Immediately upon Imbibition and Specialize via Biogenesis in Preparation for Autotrophic Growth.** *Plant Cell.* 2017; **29**(1): 109–128.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28062752) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00700)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5304351)**

Payne BA, Wilson IJ, Yu-Wai-Man P, *et al.*: **Universal heteroplasmy of human mitochondrial DNA.** *Hum Mol Genet.* 2013; **22**(2): 384–90. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23077218)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/hmg/dds435)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/3526165)**

Pflugradt R, Schmidt U, Landenberger B, *et al.*: **A novel and effective separation method for single mitochondria analysis.** *Mitochondrion.* 2011; **11**(2): 308–314.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21147269) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mito.2010.12.009)**

Picard M, Taivassalo T, Gouspillou G, *et al.*: **Mitochondria: isolation, structure and function.** *J Physiol.* 2011; **589**(Pt 18): 4413–21. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21708903)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011.212712)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/3208215)**

Poe BG 3rd, DUFFY CF, Greminger MA, *et al.*: **Detection of heteroplasmy in individual mitochondrial particles.** *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2010; **397**(8):

3397–3407.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20467729) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-3751-3)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/3035356)** Poe BG, Navratil M, Arriaga EA: **Analysis of subcellular sized particles: Capillary electrophoresis with post-column laser-induced fluorescence detection versus flow cytometry.** *J Chromatogr A.* 2006; **1137**(2): 249–255. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17070532)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2006.10.011)**

Ramos A, Morgan H, Green NG, *et al.*: **The role of electrohydrodynamic forces in the dielectrophoretic manipulation and separation of particles.** *J Electrostat.* 1999; **47**: 71–81.

[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3886%2899%2900031-5)

Reiner JE, Kishore RB, Levin BC, *et al.*: **Detection of Heteroplasmic Mitochondrial DNA in Single Mitochondria.** *PLoS One.* 2010; **5**(12): e14359. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21179558)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014359)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/3002942)**

Reeve AK, Grady JP, Cosgrave EM, *et al.*: **Mitochondrial dysfunction within the synapses of substantia nigraneurons in Parkinson's disease.** *NPJ Parkinsons Dis.* 2018; **4**: 9.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29872690) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41531-018-0044-6)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5979968)**

Reschiglian P, Zattoni A, Roda B, *et al.*: **Field-flow fractionation and biotechnology.** *Trends Biotechnol.* 2005; **23**(9): 475–483. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16061297)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2005.07.008)**

Rossignol R, Faustin B, Rocher C, *et al.*: **Mitochondrial threshold effects.** *Biochem J.* 2003; **370**(Pt 3): 751–762. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12467494)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BJ20021594)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/1223225)**

Ru Y, Yin L, Sun H, *et al.*: **A micropreparation of mitochondria from cells using magnetic beads with immunoaffinity.** *Anal Biochem.* 2012; **421**(1): 219–226.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22178913) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2011.11.015)**

Schiro PG, Gadd JC, Yen GS, *et al.*: **High-Throughput Fluorescence-Activated Nanoscale Subcellular Sorter with Single-Molecule Sensitivity.** *J Phys Chem B.* 2012; **116**(35): 10490–10495.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22574902) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp3019233)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/3425706)**

Seger RA, Actis P, Penfold C, *et al.*: **Voltage controlled nano-injection system for single-cell surgery.** *Nanoscale.* 2012; **4**(19): 5843–5846. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22899383)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2nr31700a)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/4406976)**

Sonker M, Sahore V, Woolley AT: **Recent advances in microfluidic sample preparation and separation techniques for molecular biomarker analysis: A critical review.** *Anal Chim Acta.* 2017; **986**: 1–11. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28870312)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2017.07.043)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5657502)**

Spinazzi M, Casarin A, Pertegato V, *et al.*: **Assessment of mitochondrial respiratory chain enzymatic activities on tissues and cultured cells.** *Nat Protoc.* 2012; **7**(6): 1235–46.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22653162) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.058)**

Tesauro C, Ferrando B, Ma X, *et al.*: **Isolation of functional mitochondria by inertial microfluidics – a new method to sort intracellular organelles from a small scale biological sample.** *RSC Adv.* 2017; **7**: 23735–23741. **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C7RA03384B)**

Trifunov S, Pyle A, Valentino ML, *et al.*: **Clonal expansion of mtDNA deletions: different disease models assessed by digital droplet PCR in single muscle cells.** *Sci Rep.* 2018; **8**(1): 11682.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30076399) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30143-z)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6076247)**

Uehara H, Osada T, Ikai A: **Quantitative measurement of mRNA at different loci within an individual living cell.** *Ultramicroscopy.* 2004; **100**(3-4): 197–201. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15231310)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2004.01.014)**

Verbiest GJ, Rost MJ: **Resonance frequencies of AFM cantilevers in contact with a surface.** *Ultramicroscopy.* 2016; **171**: 70–76. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27615394)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2016.07.018)**

Vincent AE, Picard M: **Multilevel heterogeneity of mitochondrial respiratory chain deficiency.** *J Pathol.* 2018; **246**(3): 261–265. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30058194)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/path.5146)**

Vincent AE, Rosa HS, Pabis K, *et al.*: **Subcellular origin of mitochondrial DNA deletions in human skeletal muscle.** *Ann Neurol.* 2018; **84**(2): 289–301. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30014514)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ana.25288)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6141001)**

Vincent A, White K, Davey T, *et al.*: **Quantitative 3D Mapping of the Human Skeletal Muscle Mitochondrial Network.** *Cell Rep.* 2019; **27**(1): 321. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30943412)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.051)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/6519930)**

Vogel A, Lorenz K, Horneffer V, *et al.*: **Mechanisms of Laser-Induced Dissection and Transport of Histologic Specimens.** *Biophys J.* 2007; **93**(12): 4481–4500.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17766336) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.102277)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/2098740)**

Wikstrom JD, Twig G, Shirihai OS: **What can mitochondrial heterogeneity tell us about mitochondrial dynamics and autophagy?** *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2009; **41**(10): 1914–1927.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19549572) | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2009.06.006)**

Yang JS, Lee JY, Moon MH: **High Speed Size Sorting of Subcellular Organelles by Flow Field-Flow Fractionation.** *Anal Chem.* 2015; **87**(12): 6342–6348. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26005782)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01207)**

Yarjanli Z, Ghaedi K, Esmaeili A, *et al.*: **Iron oxide nanoparticles may damage to the neural tissue through iron accumulation, oxidative stress, and protein aggregation.** *BMC Neurosci.* 2017; **18**(1): 51. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28651647)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12868-017-0369-9)** | **[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/5485499)**

Zischka H, Braun RJ, Marantidis EP, *et al.*: **Differential Analysis of** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* **Mitochondria by Free Flow Electrophoresis.** *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2006; **5**(11): 2185–2200. **[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16917120)** | **[Publisher Full Text](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/mcp.T600018-MCP200)**

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 09 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.17912.r40691>

© 2020 Chiappini C. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ciro Chiappini

 1 Centre for Craniofacial and Regenerative Biology, King's College London, London, UK ² London Centre for Nanotechnology, London, UK

The article provides an interesting and insightful overview of the existing and emerging approaches to harvesting mitochondria from cells and tissues. The topic is highly timely and of great interest given recent advances in our ability to extract, manipulate and transfer mitochondria which promise significant improvements in mithocondria-based therapies in the coming future.

The different available and emerging approaches are discussed in sufficient length and well compared, with clear and useful tables to highlight and compare their relative strengths and limitations.

The focus on the microscale and nanoscale isolation techniques is particularly useful, providing a clear overview of these emerging technologies, their state of advancement and their potential for the future.

Only minor suggestions are made on one side to improve the readability of the article and on the other side to provide further insight into future developments and challenges for the emerging techniques reviewed.

- 1. The abstract can be reformulated to improve its flow. "Whilst" should not be followed by a comma. In the same sentence, due to the structure, it's unclear whether single cell technologies refers to mitochondrial isolation technologies or broadly single-cell analysis technologies, and it makes a significant difference if one or the other. Reformulating the entire sentence could help a more unambiguous interpretation. The final sentence is also fairly complex and requires careful reading to extract the correct meaning. Possibly splitting it into two would help a more direct interpretation. Particularly the - from subcellular compartment - interjection is of difficult placement.
- 2. The sentence "due to nuclear mutations that lead to mtDNA mutation formation

throughout life." would benefit from clarification. Is it meant due to nuclear [genetic material/DNA] mutations? Otherwise what other mutations are involved? also, maybe worth adding an explanatory sentence regarding how the nuclear mutations lead to mtDNA mutation (and possibly heterogeneity).

- 3. The term OXPHOS is not defined before it is introduced.
- 4. CE-LIF acronym is not defined before introducing. only CE is defined.
- 5. In Table 1 the lower-and/or higher sign (plus-minus) does not appear to be used in the table, so it would be better to removed from the caption as well.
- 6. Please expand on the following statement by indicating the approach to achieve superior positional placement through SICM in a practical system involving mitochondrial extraction. "positional placement using an SICM system guided by topographical scanning rather that just optical confirmation alone, would suggest that Nano biopsy would have a superior sampling specificity (Seger *et al.*, 2012)."
- Please expand on the following statement by indicating the logistics of integrating 7. SICM with large pipettes and the contrast to conventional micromanipulator-operated micropipettes. Interestingly, since mitochondria can be as large 2µm in diameter (Imura *et al*., 2002), incorporation of micropipettes within an SICM system may enable nanoscale precision but also guards against sampling bias favouring smaller mitochondrial subpopulations.
- 8. It would be very valuable either in the concluding remarks to discuss key early potential applications for nano-isolation techniques, their range of applicability, the limits of such range and the outstanding challenges to achieve the first practical uses. e.g.. in vivo? What downstream analysis would this approach be compatible with? What are the challenges associated with the need to investigate intracellular heterogeneity which by definition would employ very limited amounts of biological materials, and what emerging approaches in the analytical field are emerging to address them? What avenues are accessible to increase yield/purity/throughput for these technologies?
- Further insightful discussions for the conclusion sections would be: In the context of the 9. omics discussion already presented, what could be an avenue for integration of these technologies? Mitochondrial transfer applications are highly exciting. What are potential strategies to achieve them using nano approaches? What barriers still exist to prove the feasibility of mitochondrial injection with a nanoprobe?

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature? Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Nanomedicine

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.