Shared learning from national to international contexts: a research and innovation collaboration to enhance education for patient safety

Alison Steven
Associate Professor, Department of Nursing Midwifery and Health, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, UK

Susanna Tella
Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Health Care and Social Services, Saimaa University of Applied Sciences, Finland

Hannele Turunen
Professor of Nursing, Department of Nursing Science, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Finland

M Flores Vizcaya-Moreno and Rosa M Pérez-Cañaveras ⓘ
Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Alicante, Spain

Jari Porras
Professor, Lappeenranta University of Technology, Finland

Annamaria Bagnasco and Loredana Sasso
Professor, Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa, Italy

Kristin Myhre
Associate Professor, Faculty of Health and Welfare Sciences, Østfold University College, Norway

Arja Sara-aho
Saimaa University of Applied Sciences, Finland

Corresponding author:
Pauline Pearson, Professor of Nursing, Department of Nursing Midwifery and Health, Northumbria University, Coach Lane Campus, Coach Lane, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE7 7XA, UK.
Email: pauline.pearson@northumbria.ac.uk

Øystein Ringstad

Associate Professor, Faculty of Health and Welfare Sciences, Østfold University College, Norway

Pauline Pearson 🟢

Professor of Nursing, Department of Nursing Midwifery and Health, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, UK

Abstract

Background: Patient safety is key for healthcare across the world and education is critical in improving practice. We drew on existing links to develop the Shared LearnIng from Practice to improve Patient Safety (SLIPPS) group. The group incorporates expertise in education, research, healthcare, healthcare organisation and computing from Norway, Spain, Italy, the UK and Finland. In 2016 we received co-funding from the Erasmus + programme of the European Union for a 3-year project.

Aim: SLIPPS aims to develop a tool to gather learning events related to patient safety from students in each country, and to use these both for further research to understand practice, and to develop educational activities (virtual seminars, simulation scenarios and a game premise).

Study outline: The SLIPPS project is well underway. It is underpinned by three main theoretical bodies of work: the notion of diverse knowledge contexts existing in academia, practice and at an organisational level; the theory of reflective practice; and experiential learning theory. The project is based on recognition of the unique position of students as they navigate between contexts, experience and reflect on important learning events related to patient safety. To date, we have undertaken the development of the SLIPPS Learning Event Recording Tool (SLERT) and have begun to gather event descriptions and reflections.

Conclusions: Key to the ongoing success of SLIPPS are relationships and reciprocal openness to view things from diverse perspectives and cultures.

Keywords

experiential learning, international collaboration, inter-professional learning, knowledge contexts, patient safety, reflection, shared learning

Introduction

Errors cause suffering for patients, their families and significant others. They also impact upon healthcare organisations and their staff.

Patients and their families expect safe high-quality healthcare. Patient safety is a significant challenge across the world. Patient safety can be compromised by any unintended incident which could (near miss) or does (adverse event) lead to harm. The World Health Organization (WHO, 2018) suggests there are approximately 43 million patient safety events globally every year across the world, and around 1 in 10 patients suffers avoidable harm. Vlayen et al (2012) state the majority of adverse care episodes and near misses are preventable.

In a social context which is rapidly changing with the development of enhanced and increasingly powerful technologies, shifting population demographics and rising

healthcare costs, healthcare and social care practice must develop and innovate (Keown et al., 2014). Education, research and collaboration are crucial to developing health and social care practice and improving patient safety (Pearson and Steven, 2009).

Research and education which draw on a range of situated perspectives, disciplines and theories can enrich practice innovation and add otherwise neglected dimensions. We teach students that practice should be evidence based where possible. However, while we seek to integrate empirical evidence with situated cultural and practitioner expertise and knowledge (Wieringa et al., 2017), the perspectives of those learning to become health professionals are often overlooked. In addition, there is a need to move education beyond a reliance on reflection on action to promote greater reflexivity or reflection *in situ* to enhance patent safety practice.

Therefore, in developing research and educational resources to enhance patient safety it is crucial that we build on existing bodies of work, while also engaging multiple perspectives and promoting greater reflexivity. These are further enhanced if different national and cultural viewpoints are brought to bear. Collaboration is fundamental to developing and undertaking such work.

This paper focuses on Sharing LearnIng from Practice for Patient Safety (SLIPPS), a collaborative international patient safety project co-funded by the Erasmus + programme of the European Union. SLIPPS draws on students' practice experiences and shares patient safety learning across professional and national boundaries.

Background

Healthcare work takes place in diverse healthcare systems. In the countries involved in the SLIPPS project, healthcare systems are mainly publicly financed with a smaller proportion of the population having private health insurance (Mossialos et al., 2016). In large parts of Europe, healthcare is primarily financed by insurance and run by private enterprises/NGOs (Braut and Holmboe, 2015).

Patient safety has traditionally been viewed as a responsibility for individual professionals, expressed in codes of ethics, and concern for the authorisation of healthcare professionals. However, with growing recognition of unintended patient harm, more attention is being given to educational, psychological and organisational challenges in the healthcare environment. In 2000, the report *To Err Is Human: Building a safer health system* recommended that healthcare organisations should develop a culture of patient safety, and that this should be a leadership responsibility (Kohn et al., 2000). In a literature review, Sammer et al. identified seven 'subcultures' of a patient safety culture, including leadership, teamwork, communication, learning and patient-centredness. (Sammer et al., 2010), Individual responsibility is broadened to include participation in effective teamwork, demanding communication and information sharing between professions and understanding and respect for each other's roles and perspectives. Psychological barriers, such as professional borders and hierarchies need to be addressed, as well as organisational challenges such as geographically distributed teams (Weller et al., 2014).

As specification of goals for patient safety and implementation of routines becomes a responsibility for leaders, national and regional governments need to engage directly in developing a safety culture by formally enacted legislation. In models of healthcare based on public financing, such goals and routines should be part of ordinary activities. In a model with private enterprise, it becomes a task for its leaders to demonstrate an organisational safety culture that meets the requirements of reliability.

The timing and development of patient safety policy and the underlying evidence base varies across the world and between our partner countries. In the UK the Department of Health (2000) published a report which highlighted the failure of healthcare to learn from its mistakes, in stark contrast to industries such as aviation. In 2001, Vincent et al. (2001) published a retrospective review of adverse events recorded in British hospitals. In April 2001, the UK government outlined a programme of work to improve patient safety. This involved the establishment of a National Patient Safety Agency to lead change and monitor outcomes, and the creation of improvement targets. At the same time, a national Patient Safety Research Programme was set up to promote the development of an evidence base for patient safety. Over the next decade 51 studies were undertaken. Only one of these (PS/030) related to education about patient safety. In 2012, the functions of the National Patient Safety Agency transferred to NHS England, as part of NHS Improvement. Reporting of patient safety incidents continues through the National Reporting and Learning System.

In Finland moves towards an explicit Patient Safety Strategy began in 2006 with the establishment of a Steering Group for the Promotion of Patient Safety. The first Finnish 'Patient Safety Strategy' was published in January 2009. It aimed to embed patient safety in all the structures and processes of the health system. It looked at incident reporting, risk management and patient involvement. One of the key objectives of the 2010 Finnish Health Care Act (Ministry of Social Affairs and Health, 2010) was to improve the quality and patient safety of care. To implement this, healthcare units have to produce a plan for quality management and ensuring patient safety. Sahlström et al (2014) found that treatment, medication and device safety were mostly regarded as excellent or very good by Finnish hospital patients ($N = 175$), although 20% of patients reported they had experienced errors at some time during their care and 29% didn't receive an updated medication list on discharge. The second Finnish strategy – the Patient and Client Safety Strategy 2017–2021 – recognises the risks of patient safety incidents, which cannot be totally avoided even though health and social care staff are competent, committed and regulated. The strategy sets out an action plan focused on safety culture and resourcing (Ministry of Social Affairs and Health, 2017).

The Spanish Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality developed a Patient Safety Strategy from 2005 with the creation of a national network of patient safety stakeholders. This facilitated engagement across regions, enabled professionals and their organisations to contribute, and ensured participation by patients' associations. The objectives of the strategy included promoting patient safety culture, education about patient safety for professionals, the development of reporting systems and patient and public involvement. The strategy was updated for 2015–2020, with an emphasis on decision making about patient safety across the Spanish system (Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality, 2015).

In Norway, a Patient Safety Programme called 'In Safe Hands' was launched in 2011 by the Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services. This is now focused on measuring patient safety culture and developing a reporting system.

In Italy, work on regional and national patient safety strategies began around 2008 with an agreement between national government and regions to require patient safety reporting systems in each healthcare organisation, and the development of a repository of safe practice. Most recently a decree of the Italian Ministry of Health in February 2018 put in place the innovative Law 24/2017 known colloquially as the Gelli Law which is focused on the safety of care and the occupational accountability of practitioners in health professions.

Whilst timelines differ, several common threads can be seen: attention to systematic reporting and the importance of a patient safety culture which is not focused on blame.

Underpinning education for patient safety must be a theoretical model of patient safety practice. One such model is that developed from Rasmussen's framework model of system migration (Rasmussen, 1997) and extended by Amalberti into a theory of migration and transgression of practices (Amalberti, 2001). This model incorporates three phases: an initial safe space of action as defined by professional codes and standards; a borderline zone of tolerated conditions of use; a forbidden or deviant space, which may usually be inhabited by reckless or aberrant individuals but may become normalised when a system is under extreme pressure. The UK study mentioned above (PS/030) funded by the Patient Safety Research Programme (Cresswell et al., 2013; Pearson and Steven, 2009; Steven et al., 2014) investigated the formal and informal ways pre-registration students from four healthcare professions learnt about patient safety to become safe practitioners. The aim was to understand some of the issues which impact upon teaching, learning and practising patient safety in academic, organisational and practice 'knowledge' contexts, drawing on work by Eraut (1994) and Stewart (2006). Patient safety in the curriculum was largely implicit rather than explicit. All students very much valued the practice context for learning about patient safety. However, resource issues, peer pressure and client factors could influence safe practice. Variations existed in students' experience and the quality of the supervision available. The role model offered by the mentor or clinical educator and the relationship established affected how confident students felt to challenge unsafe practice in others. Clinicians were conscious of the tension between their responsibilities as clinicians (keeping patients safe), and as educators (allowing students to learn under supervision). Incident reporting was not incorporated to any great extent in undergraduate curricula. Newly qualified staff were aware of the need to practise in an evidence-based way, and, for some, the need to modify 'the standard' way of doing things to do 'what's best for the patient'. This suggests that as they moved from an idealised or imagined way of working into practical experience, individuals tested the boundaries of the initial safe space and the transition into borderline tolerated conditions of use.

Tella's doctoral work (supervised by Turunen, 2015) developed aspects of this thinking further, in particular comparing Finnish and British students' experience. Tella explored and compared Finnish and British pre-registration nursing students' evaluations of their learning about patient safety in academic and clinical settings as part of a Finnish–British research group. Students identified important learning events about patient safety from their work placements in healthcare organisations. The learning events related to the complex situations and mistakes that occurred in care processes. Reflecting enabled students' positive learning about patient safety by reinforcing the importance of the topic and learning from errors. Two key themes emerged: prevention of errors and safe actions after errors. In both groups, events included medication errors, but only British students described events related to falls risk assessments. Overall, students made important observations, which were underutilised. Reflection enabled students to critically appraise their positioning in relation to safe practice or, as they pursued enhanced performance, the borderline tolerated conditions of use. Such reflections facilitate discussion of the implications of progressive drift in practice. The links initiated and developed during this work became the foundation of the partnership which developed SLIPPS.

It has been suggested that we need universal patient safety programmes to identify the risk of adverse events for patients so that we can act to prevent or control risks (Johnstone and

Kanitsaki, 2006). Runciman et al. (2009) indicate that a common international understanding of terms and concepts relevant to patient safety, may be important for the normalisation of patient safety in practice. Numerous international organisations have worked to standardise patient safety terminology and definitions (National Quality Forum, 2009; SP-SQS, 2005; WHO, 2009). Glossaries of patient safety terms have also been created (Battles and Lilford, 2003; SP-SQS, 2005). 'Patient safety' is a relatively recent entrant as a descriptor into Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) in 2011, defined as 'Efforts to reduce risk, to address and reduce incidents and accidents that may negatively impact healthcare consumers' (MeSH, 2018). Other related terms such as 'medical error' were added previously. Patient safety terminology is an important reference for healthcare professionals, students and patients. The use of standardised terms empower best practice by healthcare professionals and enrich the patient safety educational programme for students and providers.

Related to language use are the perspectives which inform practitioner behaviour. Patient safety culture is developing as a new paradigm for healthcare. If the present approach to patient safety has been labelled as Safety-I, healthcare *should* focus on 'the concerted effort to enable things to go right more often', Safety-II (Braithwaite et al., 2015). The SLIPPS project has adopted this idea and focuses on learning with students how to 'make things go right' with today's problems. One of the main objectives has been to develop a variety of learning resources which can assist students and healthcare organisations in learning about and from patient safety incidents and good practice, as well as developing individual and organisational resilience.

Among the key ways in which healthcare students learn safe and effective working is through exposure to practice, including the use of role play and simulation. Students and healthcare professionals may acquire clinical, communication and information technology skills to a specific level of competence before coming into direct contact with patients or acquire and update new competencies during their professional life.

Simulation has been shown to improve students' critical thinking and clinical reasoning (Camp and Legge, 2018). This is important in today's complex healthcare environments and in managing patients with multimorbidity. Simulation is an effective support for the development of students' self-efficacy and confidence in clinical skills.

Technically advanced simulation environments offer healthcare students the opportunity to generate, develop and enhance their communication skills and confidence in their clinical ability without the risk of compromising patient safety (Bagnasco et al., 2014). Simulation also gives students the opportunity to practise and correct mistakes in real time. It has also been shown to improve teamwork behaviour in a variety of clinical contexts, with improved team performance in critical situations (Oxelmark et al., 2017).

Interprofessional cooperation is also essential for modern health care because the body of knowledge is growing rapidly and no single profession has a complete overview of the necessary knowledge and skills (Barr, 2012). Interprofessional learning can be used to develop higher levels of patient safety, building from simulation lab sessions, through clinical training, to real clinical practice.

Project design

This project aims to use learning events experienced in practice placements to develop tools and resources which assist students in learning about types of patient safety incident and

developing 'resilience', greater understanding of how witnessing or involvement in incidents influences students' learning (and potentially future practice and culture), greater understanding of incident diversity and frequency across professions, nations and healthcare systems and to support high-quality research into patient safety incidents, safety culture and professional working.

The SLIPPS project builds on previous incrementally linked work of the UK and Finnish authors (Bradley et al., 2011; Cresswell et al., 2013; Pearson and Steven, 2009, 2010; Smith et al., 2009; Steven et al., 2014; Tella, 2015; Tella et al., 2015a, 2015b,2016; Turunen et al., 2013). Building on that and areas of expertise brought by the other partners (FM, RP, KM, LS, AB, JP), SLIPPS is underpinned by three main bodies of educational theory: experiential and situated learning (Boud and Garrick, 1999; Boud et al., 1993; Eraut, 1994, 2000, 2007; Kolb, 1984; Lave and Wenger, 1991); the notion of learning across professional knowledge 'contexts' (Eraut, 1994, 2000, 2007; Steven, 2002, 2009; Stewart, 2006); and reflection and reflexivity (Boud and Walker, 1998; Rolfe, 2014; Schon, 1987, 1991).

Experiential and situated learning theories are part of the underpinning logic of SLIPPS which posits that students in health and social care professions learn much both formally and informally from their experiences in 'practice' or 'work' placements (Eraut, 1994, 2000; Pearson et al., 2009; Steven, 2002, 2009; Tella, 2015). Experience can be conceptualised as involving the 'whole person' (Jarvis, 2006; Rogers and Freiberg, 1994). The complex relationship between experience, knowledge construction and learning has been extensively explored and theorised (Bergsteiner and Avery, 2014; Boud et al., 1993; Dewey, 1958; Dyke, 2017; Eraut, 1994, 2000, 2007; Jarvis, 2012; Kolb, 1984; Lave and Wenger, 1991; Schon, 1987, 1991). Kolb's (1984) experiential learning cycle drew on earlier works by Dewey (1958), Lewin (1951) and others. Kolb (1984) emphasised that experience alone was not sufficient and required transformation into learning through reflection upon action, with 'reflection' referring to cognitive and affective processes of consideration (Ng et al., 2015). However, Jarvis (1987: 18) criticises Kolb's 'cycle' as over-simplistic, proposing a more complex picture including 'reflection-in-action'. Around the same time Schön (1987, 1991) drew on the work of Dewey (1958) and others to conceptualise 'reflective practice' and critique technical rationality (Kinsella, 2007).

Schön (1987, 1991) highlighted contrasting positions on practitioner knowledge, theorising that a technical rational approach privileged 'scientific' knowledge, while practitioner knowledge was grounded in action and complex day-to-day realities. These positions can be seen as linking different disciplinary or professional 'contexts' to views and values placed upon certain knowledge types (Steven, 2002, 2009; Stewart, 2006). The popular notion of the theory–practice gap common across nursing (Monaghan, 2015) tends to heighten the dichotomy between these knowledge types and may function to maintain professional sub-group positions (Steven, 2009). While a strict dichotomy between (scientific) technical rationality and (practitioner) experience may be overdrawn (Kinsella, 2007) the notion of different 'knowledge contexts', not necessarily physical locations but ways of viewing the world, remains pertinent (Eraut, 1994, 2000, Steven, 2002, 2009; Stewart, 2006). Such knowledge contexts may also have implications for patient safety (Bradley et al., 2011; Cresswell et al., 2013; Pearson and Steven, 2009, 2010a, 2010b; Steven et al., 2014). Indeed nursing students move between such contexts during their educational programmes while traversing academic, practice and organisational settings and often report and reflect on these differences (Pearson and Steven, 2009, 2010a, 2010b; Steven et al., 2014).

The concept of reflective practice, generally incorporating the use of reflection *on* action, has become key to nursing (Goulet et al., 2016; Hayes et al., 2017; Rolfe, 2014). While there is broad consensus regarding the positive impact of reflection on personal and professional development and care (Goulet et al., 2016) and links made to patient safety (Hayes et al., 2017), there are calls for caution. Some highlight the over simplification of 'reflection' (Ng et al., 2015) and warn against uncritical adoption (Boud and Walker, 1998; Rolfe, 2014; Usher et al., 1997). The unreflexive nature of Schön's work and much of the ensuing reflective practice movement has been noted (Edwards and Nicholl, 2006; Iedema, 2011; Usher et al., 1997). Unlike reflection, reflexivity is collaborative and the capacity to 'monitor and affect events, conducts and contexts *in situ*' thus 'monitoring... the safety gradient of practice' (Iedema, 2011: 84). Suggestions for moving beyond individual retrospective reflection and building reflexive capacity include sharing patient stories (Iedema, 2011). We suggest that the sharing of student accounts may also assist in this.

According to EU directive (2013/55/EU) at least half of a nursing programme must be in clinical practice (90 ECTS). The design of this study therefore engaged partner universities offering nursing, allied health and social care education, as well as some contacts with medicine, and for each health and social care organisation. The group spans five countries (UK, Finland, Norway, Italy and Spain), and seven universities. The five intellectual outputs described below were drawn into work packages, each led by one or two partners, and supported by representatives of all the others. UNN takes overall responsibility for project management with a transnational management group (TMG) that has members from each partner organisation. This group meets monthly on a virtual basis by Skype and around 6 monthly face to face. The latter opportunities are important in building and sustaining rapport and developing effective communication/collaboration mechanisms.

Project protocol

This section describes the five intellectual outputs which form the substance of the SLIPPS project, outlining our planned work packages. Fundamental to the whole programme is the development of SLIPPS Learning Event Recording Tools (SLERT) for students to record, reflect on and learn from important learning events about patient safety observed while in practice placements. This work draws on a review of relevant literature and the existing expertise of the team. The tools are for students to complete, describing a patient safety event (positive or negative) and writing a reflective account. Guidance and illustrations are being developed to assist the students. Initially developed on paper, the SLERT will then be made available to students online for data collection. SLERT data will then be stored and made available nationally and internationally to underpin research and educational development.

The SLIPPS project provides tools for the management of SLERTs; for selected faculty for the SLIPPs team only and for international use. This division is based on the idea that selected faculty members and/or SLIPPs team members monitor SLERs, potentially use them in educational activities and select the SLERs that could be shared internationally. The faculty tool forms a local database for faculty members involved and allows them to analyse and anonymise students' learning events, if necessary, before submission to the secure SLIPPs team only and international database. The SLIPPS international database offers a collection of anonymised learning events, some accessible to the SLIPPs team only

and others accessible all around the world. Access to these SLERs will be through a Virtual Learning Centre website. This database will offer various search options that will enable users to find SLERs suited to their interest.

Two further planned outcomes will be a series of simulation scenarios and the underpinning propositions of, and scenarios for, a patient safety game. The simulation and patient safety scenarios created in this project are based on the multinational experiences collected via the students' SLERTs about patient safety. Researchers and educators work with diverse stakeholders (students and practice colleagues) to analyse events and create simulations. Simulation scenarios can be presented to students in real or virtual seminars.

The conceptual framework adopted for the development of the SLIPPS scenarios is the Nursing Education Simulation Framework (NESF) (Jeffries, 2005). This model can be applied to all kinds of scenarios, from those with low realism (low fidelity) to those with high realism (high fidelity). In the SLIPPS project, scenarios are drawn from real situations that students experienced during their clinical placements, using the SLERs.

Thematic analysis of early SLERs found three themes predominating: communication, infection control and medication errors, across three main contexts, critical care (A&E and Operating Theatre), hospital wards (routine non-critical care) and the community (home-based care). The aim of this element of the SLIPPS project is to design a maximum of nine scenarios, one for each developing theme, across the three contexts.

The final planned output will be a series of virtual open access seminars. From the analyses of the SLERs we will develop a virtual seminar on a specific topic. Each seminar will cover a topic developed from learning and insights gained from analysis of the SLERs, with implications and suggestions for the future. Partners paired with healthcare organisation (HCO) associates will analyse learning event reports (SLERs) in line with their specific expertise and output aim. Where possible this analysis will involve students working under the supervision of partners thus facilitating learning about patient safety research for a further cohort of professionals. It is intended to develop four virtual seminars. Each will cover a topic developed from insights gained from analysis of the SLERs, reflection on their implications and suggestions for the future.

Discussion

At present this programme is developing towards the outcomes described above. In that process, we have identified a number of areas where we are learning about collaboration.

The first and perhaps the most obvious area for learning relates to collaboration across countries – in which we experience both cultural and contextual similarities and differences. Cross-cultural research is important in the health sciences. It enables researchers to test, modify and disseminate theories in an international context. We also need to recognise the emotional link that exists between culture, language and patient safety for health professionals and patients (Johnstone and Kanitsaki, 2006). Currently, patient safety is one of the most monitored parameters for healthcare professionals around the world (Ammouri et al, 2015). Previous studies using the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture to assess the culture of patient safety have shown as common areas of strength 'Teamwork within units' and 'Organisational learning-continuous improvement'. Areas

requiring improvement have been noted as 'Non-punitive response to error', 'Staffing' and 'Communication openness' for healthcare professionals (nurses and physicians, mainly) (Bagnasco et al., 2010; Kriestensen et al., 2015; Turunen et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2013). These results demonstrate similarities and differences in patient safety culture in healthcare institutions across countries, and the importance of improving patient safety culture and education.

Participants in the SLIPPS Project are healthcare students involved in clinical practice in five European countries. In this international context, for the SLIPPS team, students' experiences during practice training and their reflections about adverse events, near misses, or good practice during care episodes are crucial to a better understanding and improvement of patient safety culture. We hope that we can learn from students' experiences and design patient safety courses and learning resources according to relevant cross-cultural similarities or differences.

A second area in which we are modelling collaboration in this work is in collaboration between technical and service users. Service users within the project currently include academic staff, healthcare practitioners and students. The technical team have taken time to participate in discussions from the beginning, and to understand the purposes of each element of the work, to inform development and design. The development of both 'Faculty' tools as well as the databases and virtual learning centre has been done in close collaboration with the people who will use them. The faculty tool has been linked with multiple survey engines that are used by project partners. Tests of these revealed that the SLER data, although using the same frame, are presented in different formats. This needed to be taken into account in development. Linkage between the faculty tool and the international databases was simpler as the faculty tool creates the same format for all SLERs. The virtual learning centre then offers an interface for users to access the international databases. As soon as there are enough data in the databases, we hope that a wider group will be able to start using it.

In the SLIPPS project we aim to strengthen collaboration between higher education and healthcare organisations in designing, implementing and evaluating healthcare students' patient safety education. Clinical placements are important patient safety learning environments for healthcare students (Steven et al., 2014; Tella et al., 2015b; Vaismoradi et al., 2014) and one of the 'knowledge contexts' which students must learn to work across (Steven, 2009). Indeed students often report and reflect on the differences they encounter between academic, practice and organisational contexts (Pearson and Steven, 2009, 2010a, 2010b; Steven et al., 2014). A high level of patient safety culture in the learning environment supports students' learning of patient safety competence (Nekouei et al., 2017), whereas low patient safety culture may lead to unsafe practice (Liukka et al., 2018). One of the key elements facilitating effective collaboration in this project is the presence around our tables (real and virtual) of colleagues from healthcare organisations with a patient safety remit alongside academics. As we work through the steps for each outcome, they keep our thinking grounded and assist in helping us understand the respective knowledge contexts students encounter.

It was envisaged that SLIPPS might also generate opportunities for the engagement of students at all levels in service innovation, teaching quality and research. This has already begun, with positive engagement by computing students from Lappeenranta University of Technology, Finland, and students from all countries participating in our Quality Assurance Group. We hope also to realise the potential of this programme for complementary research

studentships and projects which draw on the data we gather, or examine the processes involved and build capacity within the workforce.

Conclusion

At present SLIPPS work continues. The programme has already increased dialogue between higher education institutions (HEIs) and HCOs, building on our international collaboration. The initial, collected learning events have provided examples which have been the starting point of some fruitful discussions and plans for improvement. We believe that key to the ongoing success of the project are strong relationships and a reciprocal openness to view things from diverse perspectives and cultures.

Key points for policy, practice and/or research

- Patient safety innovation and research in education and healthcare practice must build on existing work, and engage multiple perspectives.
- Students' experiences during clinical training and their reflections about learning events are crucial to understanding and improving patient safety culture.
- Sharing patient stories about care helps participants to move beyond individual reflection and builds reflexive capacity.
- When developing learning technologies, technical and healthcare staff should participate in discussions from the beginning and understand the purposes of each element of the work.
- Dialogue between staff from academic and practice environments facilitates creativity grounded in experience.

ORCID iD

Rosa M Pérez-Cañaveras http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8541-2412
Pauline Pearson http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4854-8211

References

Amalberti R (2001) The paradoxes of almost totally safe transportation systems. *Safety Science* 37: 109–26.

Ammouri AA, Tailakh AK, Muliira JK, et al. (2015) Patient safety culture among nurses. *International Nursing Review* 62(1): 102–110.

Bagnasco A, Pagnucci N, Tolotti A, et al. (2014) The role of simulation in developing communication and gestural skills in medical students. *BMC Medical Education* 14: 106.

Bagnasco A, Tibaldi L, Chirone P, et al. (2010) Patient safety culture: An Italian experience. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 20(7–8): 1188–1195.

Barr H (2012) Integrated and interprofessional care. *International Journal of Integrated Care* 12: e135.

Battles JB and Lilford RJ (2003) Organizing patient safety research to identify risks and hazards. *Quality and Safety in Health Care* 12: 2–7.

Bergsteiner H and Avery GC (2014) The twin-cycle experiential learning model: Reconceptualising Kolb's theory. *Studies in Continuing Education* 36(3): 257–274.

Boud D and Garrick J (1999) *Understanding Learning at Work*. London: Routledge.

Boud D and Walker D (1998) Promoting reflection in professional courses: The challenge of context. *Studies in Higher Education* 23(2): 191–206.

Boud D, Cohen R and Walker D (1993) Understanding learning from experience. In: Boud D, Cohen R and Walker D (eds) *Using Experience for Learning*. Buckingham: SRHE and The Open University Press, pp. 1–17.

Bradley F, Steven A and Ashcroft DM (2011) Learning about patient safety: Recognizing the importance of the hidden curriculum in pharmacy education. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education* 75(7): 1–7.

Braithwaite J, Wears RL and Hollnagel E (2015) Resilient health care: Turning patient safety on its head. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 27(5): 418–420.

Braut GS and Holmboe J (2015) Pasientsikkerhet – dagens strukturer (Patient safety – current structures). In: Aase K (ed.) *Pasientsikkerhet. Teori og praksis*. (*Patient Safety. Theory and practice*). Oslo: Universitetsforlaget, pp. 49–63.

Camp S and Legge T (2018) Simulation as a tool for clinical remediation: An integrative review. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing* 16: 48–61.

Cresswell K, Howe A, Steven A, et al. (2013) Patient safety in healthcare pre-registration educational curricula: Multiple case study-based investigations of eight medicine, nursing, pharmacy and physiotherapy university courses. *BMJ Quality & Safety* 22: 843–854.

Department of Health (2000) *An Organisation with a Memory*. London: HMSO.

Dewey J (1958) *Experience and Nature*. New York: Dover Publications.

Dyke M (2017) Paradoxes of a long life learning: An exploration of Peter Jarvis's contribution to experiential learning theory. *International Journal of Lifelong Education* 36(1–2): 23–34.

Edwards R and Nicholl K (2006) Expertise, competence and reflection in the rhetoric of professional development. *British Educational Research Journal* 32(1): 115–1313.

Eraut M (1994) *Developing Professional Knowledge and Competence*. London: Falmer Press.

Eraut M (2000) Non-formal learning, implicit learning and tacit knowledge in professional work. In: Coffield F (ed.) *The Necessity of Informal Learning*. Bristol: The Policy Press, pp. 12–31.

Eraut M (2007) Learning from other people in the workplace. *Oxford Review of Education* 33(4): 403–422.

Goulet M, Larue C and Alderson M (2016) Reflective practice: A comparative dimensional analysis of the concept in nursing and education studies. *Nursing Forum* 51(2): 139–150.

Hayes C, Jackson D, Davidson P, et al. (2018) Pondering practice: Enhancing the art of reflection. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 27(1/2): e345–e353. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13876.

Iedema R (2011) Creating safety by strengthening clinicians' capacity for reflexivity. *BMJ Quality and Safety* 20(1): i83–i86.

Jarvis P (1987) *Adult learning in the social context*. London: Routledge.

Jarvis P (2006) *Adult Education and Lifelong Learning: Theory and practice*. London: Routledge Falmer.

Jarvis P (2012) Learning from everyday life. *Human and Social Studies Research and Practice* 1(1): 1–20.

Jeffries PR (2005) A framework for designing, implementing, and evaluating simulations. *Nursing Education Perspectives* 26(2): 96–103.

Johnstone MJ and Kanitsaki O (2006) Culture, language, and patient safety: Making the link. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 18(5): 383–388.

Keown OP, Parston G, Patel H, et al. (2014) Diffusing Innovation In Health Care. *Health Affairs* 33(9): https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0382.

Kinsella EA (2007) Technical rationality in Schon's reflective practice: dichotomous or non-dualistic epistemological position. *Nursing Philosophy* 8: 102–113.

Kohn LT, Corrigan JM and Donaldson MS (2000) *To Err is Human: Building a safer health system*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Kolb DA (1984) *Experiential Learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Kristensen S, Hammer A, Bartels P, et al. (2015) Quality management and perceptions of teamwork and safety climate in European hospitals. *International journal for quality in health care* 27(6): 499–506. DOI: 10.1093/intqhc/mzv079.

Lave L and Wenger E (1991) *Situated Learning: legitimate peripheral participation*. University Press, Cambridge.

Lewin K (1951) *Field Theory in Social Sciences*. New York: Harper and Row.

Liukka M, Hupli M and Turunen H (2018) How transformational leadership appears in action with adverse events? A study for Finnish nurse manager. *Journal of Nursing Management* 26: 639–646.

Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality (2015) *Patient Safety Strategy for the National Health System 2015–2020 Period*. Available at: https://www.seguridaddelpaciente.es/en/information/publicaciones/2015/estrategia-seguridad-del-paciente-2015-2020 (accessed 18 April 2018).

Ministry of Social Affairs and Health (2010) The Health Care Act (1396/2010). Available at: http://www.finlex.fi/en/laki/kaannokset/2010/en20101326.pdf (accessed 18 April 2018).

Ministry of Social Affairs and Health (2017) *Government resolution: Patient and client safety strategy 2017–2021*. Ministry of Social Affairs and Health. Available at: http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-00-3963-9 (accessed 18 April 2018).

Monaghan T (2015) A critical analysis of the literature and theoretical perspectives on theory–practice gap amongst newly qualified nurses within the United Kingdom. *Nurse Education Today* 35(8): e1–e7.

Mossialos E, Wenzl M, Osborn R, et al. (2016) *2015 International Profiles of Health Care Systems*. Commonwealth Fund, NewYork. Available at https://www.commonwealthfund.org/sites/default/files/documents/___media_files_publications_fund_report_2016_jan_1857_-mossialos_intl_profiles_2015_v7.pdf (accessed 18 January 2019).

National Quality Forum (NQF) (2009) NQF Patient Safety Terms and Definitions. Available at: https://www.qualityforum.org/Topics/Safety_Definitions.aspx (accessed 18 April 2018).

Nekouei Marvi Langari M, Tella S, Smith N-L, et al. (2017) Self-assessment of patient safety competence: A questionnaire survey of final year British and Finnish pre-registration nursing students. *International Journal of Caring Sciences* 10(3): 1212–1223.

Ng SL, Kinsella EA, Friesen F, et al. (2015) Reclaiming a theoretical orientation to reflection in medical education research: a critical narrative review. *Medical Education* 49: 461–475.

Oxelmark L, Amorøe TN, Carlzon L, et al. (2017) Students' understanding of teamwork and professional roles after interprofessional simulation: A qualitative analysis. *Advances in Simulation* 2: 8.

Pearson P and Steven A (2009) *Patient Safety in Health Care Professional Educational Curricula: Examining the learning experience*. London: HMSO.

Pearson P, Steven A, Howe A, et al. (2010) Learning about patient safety: organisational context and culture in the education of health care professionals. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy* 15(Supplement 1): 4–10.

Rasmussen J (1997) Risk management in a dynamic society. *Safety Science* 27: 183–214.

Rogers C and Freiberg HJ (1994) *Freedom to Learn*, 3rd edn. New York: Merrill.

Rolfe G (2014) Rethinking reflective education: What would Dewey have done? *Nurse Education Today* 34: 1179–1183.

Runciman W, Hibbert P, Thomson R, et al. (2009) Towards an international classification for patient safety. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 21(1): 18–26.

Sahlström M, Partanen P and Turunen H (2014) Safety as experienced by patients themselves. A Finnish survey of the most recent period of care. *Research in Nursing and Health* 37: 194–203.

Sammer CE, Lykens K, Singh KP, et al. (2010) What is patient safety culture? A review of the literature. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship* 42(2): 156–165.

Schön D (1987) *Educating the Reflective Practitioner*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Schön D (1991) *The Reflective Practitioner*. London: Basic Books.

Smith P, Pearson PH and Ross F (2009) Emotions at work: what is the link to patient and staff safety? Implications for nurse managers in the NHS. *Journal of Nursing Management* 17: 230–237 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2834.2009.00980.x.

Smith P, Pearson PH and Ross F (2009) Emotions at work: what is the link to patient and staff safety? Implications for nurse managers in the NHS. *Journal of Nursing Management* 17: 230–237 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2834.2009.00980.x.

SP-SQS (Committee of Experts on Management of Safety and Quality in Health Care) (2005) Glossary of terms related to patient and medication safety. Expert Group on Safe Medication Practices, October, 1–13. Available at: http://www.who.int/patientsafety/highlights/COE_patient_and_medication_safety_gl.pdf (accessed 18 April 2018).

Steven A (2002) *Education for Nurse Practitioners: Exploring the perceptions of those involved in practice-based and classroom-based clinical skills modules*. PhD Thesis, University of Newcastle, UK.

Steven A (2009) *Knowledge Discourses and Student Views*. Saarbrücken: Verlag.

Steven A, Magnusson C, Smith P, et al. (2014) Patient safety in nursing education: Contexts, tensions and feeling safe to learn. *Nurse Education Today* 34: 277–284.

Stewart J (2006) *Asking for Senior Intervention: Conceptual Insights into the Judgement of Risk by Junior Doctors*. Thesis: Newcastle upon Tyne: Newcastle University, School of Education, Communication and Language Science.

Tella S (2015) *Learning About Patient Safety in Pre-registration Nursing Education: Comparing Finnish and British nursing students' evaluations*. PhD Thesis, University of Eastern Finland, Finland.

Tella S, Smith N, Partanen P, et al. (2015a) Learning patient safety in academic settings: A comparative study of Finnish and British nursing students' perceptions. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing* 12(3): 154–164.

Tella S, Smith N, Partanen P, et al. (2015b) Learning to ensure patient safety in clinical settings: comparing Finnish and British nursing students' perceptions. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 24: 2954–2964.

Tella S, Smith N, Partanen P, et al. (2016) Work placements as learning environments for patient safety: Finnish and British preregistration nursing students' important learning events. *Journal of Vocational Education and Training* 68(1): 51–69.

Turunen H, Partanen P, Kvist T, et al. (2013) Patient safety culture in acute care: A web-based survey of nurse managers' and registered nurses' views in four Finnish hospitals. *International Journal of Nursing Practice* 19: 609–617.

Usher R, Bryant I and Johnstone R (1997) *Adult Education and the Postmodern Challenge: Learning Beyond the Limits*. London: Routledge.

U.S. National Library of Medicine (2018) Medical Subject Headings. Available at: https://meshb.nlm.nih.gov/record/ui?ui=D061214 (accessed 1 September 2018).

Vaismoradi M, Jordan S, Turunen H, et al. (2014) Nursing students' perspectives of the cause of medication errors. *Nurse Education Today* 34: 434–440.

Vincent C, Neale G and Woloshynowych M (2001) Adverse events in British hospitals: preliminary retrospective record review. *British Medical Journal* 322: 517–519 https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.322.7285.517.

Vlayen A, Verelst S, Bekkering GE, et al. (2012) Incidence and preventability of adverse events requiring intensive care admission: a systematic review. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 18(2): 485–497. |https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01612.x.

Wagner C, Smits M, Sorra J, et al. (2013) Assessing patient safety culture in hospitals across countries. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 25: 213–221. https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzt024.

Weller J, Boyd M and Cumin D (2014) Teams, tribes and patient safety: Overcoming barriers to effective teamwork in healthcare. *Postgraduate Medical Journal* 90: 149–154.

WHO (2009) *Glossary of Patient Safety Concepts and References: The Conceptual Framework for the International Classification for Patient Safety*. Available at: https://www.who.int/patientsafety/taxonomy/icps_full_report.pdf.

World Helath Organisation (2018) 10 Facts on patient safety. https://www.who.int/features/factfiles/patient_safety/en/ (accessed 1 September 2018).

Wieringa S, Engebretsen E, Heggen K, et al. (2017) Has evidence-based medicine ever been modern? A Latour-inspired understanding of a changing EBM. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 23: 964–970.

Alison Steven is an Associate Professor/ Reader in Health Professions education at Northumbria University with over 20 years' experience in health services research. She gained her PhD in 2002 from Newcastle university Medical school. Her research focuses on health professions education, learning and wellbeing for the enhancement of safety and quality in professional practice. She has lead or been involved in over 30 funded projects and published in national and international journals. In 2014 she was awarded the British Medical Association Joan Dawkins research award for a study exploring the relationships between involvement in mentoring activities and doctors health and wellbeing. Alison supervises doctorates - with 11 successful PhDs completions to date. Currently Alison leads the 'Sharing learning from practice to improve patient safety' project (SLIPPS) co-funded by the Erasmus + programme of the European union.

Susanna Tella is a Senior Lecturer with main background in nursing and patient safety and patient safety education research. Dr Tella has done her PhD (2015) comparing Finnish and British nursing students learning about patient safety. The Sharing Learning from Practice to Improve Patient Safety (SLIPPS) five country EU project is based on her research and years of work gathering the patient safety education consortium and innovating the international patient safety education project. The Learning Event Recording Tool (LERT) is based on her PhD substudy comparing Finnish and British nursing students' important learning events about patient safety.

Hannele Turunen, RN, PhD, Professor (Full) and Chair in Nursing, Head of the Department Nursing Science at the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Eastern Finland (UEF). She works also as Nurse Manager (part time) at Kuopio University Hospital. Dr Turunen's PhD Theses published in 2002 focused on critical incidents as a learning method in nurse teacher education in Finland in in the UK.   Currently, professor Turunen teaches and supervises at Master's and Doctoral levels. She has a lot of experience of international research and educational collaboration and she has published more than 100 research articles focusing on patient safety and health promotion. She leads an international PS Outcomes research project and a multidisciplinary mainly web-based Patient Safety course at the University of Eastern Finland

M. Flores Vizcaya-Moreno is an Associate Professor of the Nursing Department, University of Alicante, since 2002. Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), University of Alicante, 2005. Bachelor in Social and Cultural Anthropology, University Miguel Hernández, 2001. Advanced Diploma in Nursing (Nephro-Urology), University of Alicante, 1997. Degree in Nursing (R.N.), 1995. She has experience as a teacher in health sciences in undergraduate, graduate, masters and doctoral levels. She is the Director of Clinical Nursing Research Group at the University of Alicante (since December 2014), and member of the Educational Technology Innovation Group on Health Sciences. She has participated as a researcher in more than 30

research projects funded by international (Erasmus +) and national institutions. Author of 33 articles published in national and international scientific journals. She has been the supervisor of 3 Doctoral Dissertation (Cum Laude) and 6 Master projects; she is supervising 6 other PhD-candidates. She is an author of 3 monographs and 47 book chapters. Author of 84 contributions to national and international scientific conferences. https://cvnet.cpd.ua.es/curriculum-breve/en/vizcaya-moreno-maria-flores/12893; European Commission's Expert number: EX2014D175041; Researcher ID: A-9681-2013; ORCID Code: 0000-0002-8826-9877.

Rosa M Pérez-Caaveras is an Associate Professor of the Nursing Department, University of Alicante, since 1994. Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), University of Alicante, 2005. Bachelor in Social and Cultural Anthropology, University Miguel Hernández, 2001. Degree in Nursing (R.N.), 1986. Secretary of the Health and Safety Committee (1996-2000). She has experience as a teacher in disciplines of health sciences in undergraduate, graduate, masters and doctoral levels. She is member of Clinical Nursing Research Group at the University of Alicante (since December 2014), and a member of the Educational Technology Innovation Group on Health Sciences. She has participated as a researcher in 30 research projects funded by international (Erasmus +) and national institutions. Author of 16 articles published in national and international scientific journals. She has been the supervisor of 6 Doctoral Dissertation (Cum Laude) and 4 Master projects. She is an author of 3 monographs and 49 book chapters. Author of 62 contributions to national and international scientific conferences. https://cvnet.cpd.ua.es/curriculum-breve/en/perez-canaveras-rosa-maria/2942. Researcher ID: N-4108-2014; ORCID Code: 0000-0002-8541-2412.

Jari Porras is a Professor of Software Engineering (especially Distributed Systems) at the Lappeenranta University of Technology (LUT). He has conducted research on parallel and distributed computing, wireless and mobile systems and services as well as sustainable ICT. In last years he has focused his research on human and sustainability aspects of software engineering. He is actively working in international projects and organizations.

Annamaria Bagnasco is an Associate Professor of Nursing in the Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa, Italy. She gained her PhD in 2010 and is a leading nurse academic with a 15-year track record of high quality research with over 100 publications in peer review/national/international journals. She is principal investigator or co-investigator of over 15 funded projects. Her main interests and areas of research include nurse education and practice, patient safety, workforce retention, development and wellbeing.

Loredana Sasso is a Full Professor of Nursing, at the Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa, Italy. She is an internationally known, leading nurse academic with a 20-year track record of high quality research with over 150 publications in peer review/ national/international journals. In 2006 she founded the PhD School of Nursing at the University of Genoa. She collaborates nationally and internationally on nursing research projects and has been responsible for/involved with research grants and awards including European Union, Italian Ministry of Health, The Centre of Excellence for Nursing Scholarship in Rome, Italy on a variety of health topics. Her research covers a range of topics related to nurse education, workforce development and nursing practice.

Kristin Myhre, Associate Professor in nursing science, RN, international coordinator faculty of health and social studies, Østfold University College, Norway Skills and expertise in: Internationalization of higher education, Cultural competences in healthcare , Nursing Research and Evidence Based Practice, Nursing Practice and Education, Pediatric Nursing, Neonatology and Maternal-Child Nursing, Interprofessional Practice and Education.

Arja Sara-aho is a Senior Lecturer in Faculty of Health Care and Social Services in Saimaa University of Applied Sciences. She has special training for conducting simulations and she has been launching simulation education and planning and installing a High tech-simulation environment in Saimaa University of Applied Sciences. Her pedagogical interests include patient and client safety, perioperative nursing, acute care, first aid and disaster training. She educates nursing and emergency nursing students and healthcare professionals and social and health care teachers.

Øystein Ringstad is an Associate Professor at Østfold University College, Norway, Faculty of health and welfare. He is primarily working with nursing education. He worked as a physician for about 30 years, mostly within neurology and part time as a physician at a nursing home based rehabilitation unit. For about 20 years he has been teaching medical and science subjects and ethics for health and welfare students. His PhD degree from 2014 is a qualitative study on patient autonomy in clinical rehabilitation.

Pauline Pearson has been a Professor of Nursing at Department of Nursing Midwifery and Health, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University since 2009. Pauline practised as a health visitor until 1993, completing a part-time PhD in 1988. She has a strong background in health services research, and good links with a range of health and social care providers across the North East. Her interests include care quality and safety, interprofessional working, workforce change and aspects of public health and primary care practice. Pauline led a major UK study on patient safety education for medicine, nursing, physiotherapy and pharmacy (Pearson and Steven 2009), which was the subject of evidence to the UK Health Select Committee's sixth report on Patient Safety in 2009. She has successfully supervised over 20 doctoral students to completion, with othersin progress, and has been external examiner for over 20.