

### SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

## Hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in patients with

## coronavirus disease 2019: incidence, risk factors, and

## pathogenesis - a systematic review and meta-analysis [version

## 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Syahrul Syahrul <sup>1,2</sup>, Helnida Anggun Maliga<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Ilmawan <sup>1,2</sup>, Marhami Fahriani <sup>1,4</sup>, Sukamto S. Mamada <sup>1,5</sup>, Jonny Karunia Fajar <sup>3,6</sup>, Andri Frediansyah <sup>1,7</sup>, Faza Nabila Syahrul<sup>1</sup>, Imran Imran<sup>1,2</sup>, Salim Haris<sup>8</sup>, Aldy Safruddin Rambe<sup>9</sup>, Talha Bin Emran <sup>1,0</sup>, Ali A. Rabaan <sup>1,1</sup>, Ruchi Tiwari<sup>12</sup>, Kuldeep Dhama <sup>1,3</sup>, Firzan Nainu <sup>1,5</sup>, Endang Mutiawati <sup>1,2</sup>, Harapan Harapan <sup>1,4,14,15</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Banda Aceh, Aceh, 23111, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Dr. Zainoel Abidin Hospital, Banda Aceh, Aceh, 23111, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, East Java, 65117, Indonesia

possible pathogeneses of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in

individuals with the disease.

<sup>4</sup>Medical Research Unit, School of Medicine, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Banda Aceh, Aceh, 23111, Indonesia

<sup>5</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, South Sulawesi, 90245, Indonesia

<sup>6</sup>Brawijaya Internal Medicine Research Center, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, East Java, 65145, Indonesia

<sup>7</sup>Research Division for Natural Product Technology (BPTBA), Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI), Wonosari, 55861, Indonesia

<sup>8</sup>Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, 10430, Indonesia

<sup>9</sup>Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, North Sumatra, 20155, Indonesia

<sup>10</sup>Department of Pharmacy, BGC Trust University Bangladesh, Chittagong-4381, Bangladesh

<sup>11</sup>Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, Johns Hopkins Aramco Healthcare, Dhahran, 31311, Saudi Arabia

<sup>12</sup>Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Immunology, College of Veterinary Sciences, UP Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhayay Pashu

Chikitsa Vigyan Vishwavidyalay Evum Go-Anusandhan Sansthan (DUVASU), Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, 281 001, India

<sup>13</sup>Division of Pathology, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Uttar Pradesh, 243122, India

<sup>14</sup>Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Banda Aceh, Aceh, 23111, Indonesia

<sup>15</sup>Tropical Disease Centre, School of Medicine, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Banda Aceh, Aceh, 23111, Indonesia

| Open Peer Review      |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Reviewer Status 🗸 🗸 🗸 |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | In                                | vited Review   | ers  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 1                                 | 2  | 3  |  |  |  |  |
| rsion 1               | report                            | report   | report   |  |  |  |  |
| <b>r</b>              | en Peer F<br>viewer St<br>rsion 1 | en Peer Review<br>/iewer Status<br>In<br>1<br>sion 1<br>report | en Peer Review<br>/iewer Status<br>Invited Review<br>1 2<br>rsion 1<br>report report |  |  |  |  |

Methods: We searched the PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science databases for relevant articles published up to November 8, 2020. Data regarding study characteristics, hemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke, and COVID-19 were retrieved in accordance with the PRISMA guidelines. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale was used to assess the guality of the eligible studies. The pooled prevalence and mortality rate of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke were calculated. Results: The pooled estimate of prevalence of hemorrhagic stroke was 0.46% (95% CI 0.40%-0.53%; I<sup>2</sup>=89.81%) among 67,155 COVID-19 patients and that of ischemic stroke was 1.11% (95% CI 1.03%-1.22%; I <sup>2</sup>=94.07%) among 58,104 COVID-19 patients. Ischemic stroke was more predominant (incidence: 71.58%) than hemorrhagic stroke (incidence: 28.42%) in COVID-19 patients who experienced a stroke. In COVID-19 patients who experienced a stroke, hospital admission with respiratory symptoms was more commonly reported than that with neurological symptoms (20.83% for hemorrhagic stroke and 5.51% for ischemic stroke versus 6.94% for hemorrhadic stroke and 5.33% for ischemic stroke, respectively). The pooled mortality rate of COVID-19 patients who experienced a hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke was 44.72% (95% CI 36.73%-52.98%) and 36.23% (95% CI 30.63%-42.24%), respectively.

**Conclusions:** Although the occurrence of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke is low, the mortality rates of both stroke types in patients with COVID-19 are concerning, and therefore, despite several potential pathogeneses that have been proposed, studies aimed at definitively elucidating the mechanisms of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in individuals with COVID-19 are warranted.

PROSPERO registration: CRD42020224470 (04/12/20)

### Keywords

COVID-19, haemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke, meta-analysis, pathogenesis, SARS-CoV-2, systematic review



This article is included in the Disease Outbreaks

gateway.

- 1. Ismail Setyopranoto (D), Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- Guilherme Welter Wendt D, Western
   Paraná State University, Francisco Beltrão,
   Brazil
- 3. **Mahir Gachabayov** (D), Westchester Medical Center, Valhalla, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

#### Corresponding author: Syahrul Syahrul (syahrulSpS@unsyiah.ac.id)

Author roles: Syahrul S: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Maliga HA: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization; Ilmawan M: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Fahriani M: Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Mamada SS: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Validation; Fajar JK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation; Frediansyah A:
 Conceptualization, Investigation, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Syahrul FN: Investigation, Project Administration, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Syahrul FN: Investigation, Project Administration, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Imran I: Project Administration, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Rambe AS: Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Emran TB: Data Curation, Investigation, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Rabaan AA: Project Administration, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Dhama K: Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Nainu F: Conceptualization, Investigation, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Nuervision, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Rutiawati E: Conceptualization, Project Administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Mutiawati E: Conceptualization, Resources, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Harapan H: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Harapan H: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Harapan H:

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

**Copyright:** © 2021 Syahrul S *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Syahrul S, Maliga HA, Ilmawan M *et al.* Hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: incidence, risk factors, and pathogenesis - a systematic review and meta-analysis [version 1; peer review: 3 approved] F1000Research 2021, 10:34 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.42308.1

First published: 19 Jan 2021, 10:34 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.42308.1

#### Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, has become a global human pandemic that is believed to have begun in late December 2019. The disease quickly spread to 217 countries, infected more than 82 million individuals, and has caused more than 1.8 million deaths as of December 30, 2020<sup>1</sup>. Moreover, the second wave of this pandemic remains ongoing in various countries<sup>2</sup>. Numerous treatment strategies and drugs have been proposed3-5; however, a definitive therapy or treatment for COVID-19 has not yet been announced by the World Health Organization<sup>6</sup>. SARS-CoV-2 is a novel coronavirus, which is reported to have originated initially from an animal source7. The mortality rate of SARS-CoV-2 infection is the lowest among the infections caused by other members of the coronavirus family that have previously infected humans, including severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV)<sup>8,9</sup>. However, SARS-CoV-2 has a higher reproduction rate  $(R_0)$  and thus a higher transmission rate than SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV<sup>10</sup>.

The majority of individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 are generally asymptomatic, although the most common symptoms of COVID-19 include dry cough, fever, dyspnea, chest pain, headache, and muscle ache<sup>11,12</sup>. The issue of hypercoagulabilityrelated thrombotic vascular events in those infected with SARS-CoV-2 is emerging<sup>13,14</sup>. Evidence suggests that COVID-19 patients may experience increased rates of thromboembolism, as high as 15%-26%<sup>15</sup>. In addition, another concern is the increased risk of a hemorrhagic stroke among COVID-19 patients<sup>16-18</sup>. The World Stroke Organization has reported that COVID-19 increases the risk for an ischemic stroke by approximately 5% (95% confidence interval (CI) 2.8%-8.7%)<sup>19</sup>. Other possible explanations for the occurrence of ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients include reduced angiotensin (ANG) (1-7) synthesis<sup>20</sup>, cardioembolism<sup>21,22</sup>, hyperviscosity<sup>23,24</sup>, and an induced hypercoagulative state<sup>25,26</sup>. Discussions surrounding the possible mechanism(s) underlying hemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 patients have included the expression of angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2), immunity, inflammation, endothelial dysfunction at the blood-brain-barrier (BBB), aging, stress, and anxiety<sup>27</sup>.

The aims of our present study were to determine the global incidence of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke in patients with COVID-19; determine the mortality rate of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke in individuals with COVID-19; assess the frequency of symptoms that lead to hospital admission among COVID-19 patients who have experienced an ischemic or a hemorrhagic stroke; assess the risk factors for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19; assess the association between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke and mortality in COVID-19. In addition, we also sought to propose possible pathogeneses of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke in individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

#### Methods

#### Registration and protocol

This systematic review and meta-analysis identified the stroke proportion among COVID-19 confirmed cases. We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) recommendation to search electronic databases (see completed checklist<sup>28</sup>)<sup>29</sup>. The protocol of this study was registered in PROSPERO (CRD42020224470) on 4<sup>th</sup> December 2020.

#### Eligibility criteria of studies

The inclusion criteria were articles written in English which identified stroke as a comorbidity among randomly sampled COVID-19 cases. All case reports, case series, editorials, reviews, commentaries, and studies in targeted specific groups, such as in children were excluded.

#### Information sources and search strategy

The systematic searches were conducted in three databases (PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science) to identify the potential articles as of November 8th, 2020. The search criteria were as follows. Scopus (TITLE("SARS-CoV-2" OR "COVID-19" OR "Wuhan coronavirus" OR "Wuhan virus" OR "novel coronavirus" OR "nCoV" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" OR "coronavirus disease 2019 virus" OR "2019nCoV" OR "2019 novel coronavirus" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" OR "coronavirus" OR "coronaviruses" OR "SARS 2" OR "2019-nCoV acute respiratory disease" OR "novel coronavirus pneumonia" OR "COVID") AND ALL("Stroke " OR "cerebrovascular disorders" OR "brain ischemia" OR "brain haemorrhage" OR "cerebrovascular accident" OR "intracerebral haemorrhage" OR "subarachnoid haemorrhage" OR "transient ischemic attack" OR "brain attack" OR "cerebral embolism" OR "cerebral thrombosis" OR "cerebral haemorrhage" OR "cerebrovascular insult" OR "intraparenchymal haemorrhage" OR "intraventricular haemorrhage" OR "cerebral hypoperfusion" OR "brain infarct" OR "cerebral infarct"). Web of Science (TITLE("SARS-CoV-2" OR "COVID-19" OR "Wuhan coronavirus" OR "Wuhan virus" OR "novel coronavirus" OR "nCoV" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" OR "coronavirus disease 2019 virus" OR "2019-nCoV" OR "2019 novel coronavirus" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" OR "coronavirus" OR "coronaviruses" OR "SARS 2" OR "2019-nCoV acute respiratory disease" OR "novel coronavirus pneumonia" OR "COVID") AND ALL=("stroke" OR "cerebrovascular Disorders" OR "brain ischemia" OR "brain haemorrhage" OR "cerebrovascular accident" OR "intracerebral haemorrhage" OR "subarachnoid haemorrhage" OR "transient ischemic attack" OR "brain attack" OR "cerebral embolism" OR "cerebral thrombosis" OR "cerebral haemorrhage" OR "cerebrovascular insult" OR "intraparenchymal haemorrhage" OR "intraventricular haemorrhage" OR "cerebral hypoperfusion" OR "brain infarct" OR "cerebral infarct"). PubMed (TITLE ("SARS-CoV-2" OR "COVID-19" OR "Wuhan coronavirus" OR "Wuhan virus" OR "novel coronavirus" OR "nCoV" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" OR "coronavirus disease 2019 virus" OR "2019-nCoV" OR "2019 novel coronavirus" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2"

OR "coronavirus" OR "coronaviruses" OR "SARS 2" OR "2019nCoV acute respiratory disease" OR "novel coronavirus pneumonia" OR "COVID") AND ("stroke " OR "cerebrovascular Disorders" OR "brain ischemia" OR "brain haemorrhage" OR "cerebrovascular accident" OR "intracerebral haemorrhage" OR "subarachnoid haemorrhage" OR "transient ischemic attack" OR "brain attack" OR "cerebral embolism" OR "cerebral thrombosis" OR "cerebral haemorrhage" OR "cerebrovascular insult" OR "intraparenchymal haemorrhage" OR "intraventricular haemorrhage" OR "cerebral hypoperfusion" OR "brain infarct" OR "cerebral infarct").

Data from the articles and the supplementary materials were extracted. Reference lists from the eligible articles were retrieved for further relevant studies.

#### Study selection and data extraction

EndNote X9 (Thompson Reuters, Philadelphia, PA, USA) was used to import all titles and abstracts of the identified articles, and duplicated records were removed. Potentially eligible articles were identified through screening of the titles and abstracts. The full texts of the resulting studies were then thoroughly reviewed by two authors (HAM and MI) and the eligibility of each study was decided. Any disagreements between the investigators were solved by consulting with another investigator (MF).

#### Data extraction

Collected information included study characteristics (author, study site, study design), number of patients with ischemic stroke or haemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 cases and their mortality cases, the chronological order of patient admission to hospital based on symptoms (COVID-19 first, ischemic or haemorrhagic stroke first) and the COVID-19 characteristics (number of patients, severity, and mortality).

#### Role of the funding source

This study received no external funding.

#### Outcomes

The primary outcomes were: (a) global incidence of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 patients; (b) mortality rate of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19; (c) the frequency of symptoms related to hospital admission among COVID-19 patients with ischemic or haemorrhagic stroke; (d) risk factors of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19; (e) association of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke with COVID-19 severity; and (f) association of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke with mortality of COVID-19. The possible pathogenesis mechanisms of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke in SARS-CoV-2 infection were also explained in this review.

#### Data synthesis

The global prevalence of ischemic stroke was calculated as the number of COVID-19 patients who experienced ischemia divided by the total number of COVID-19 patients with or without ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, expressed as frequency (%) and 95% CI. The frequency of symptoms that led to hospital admission was calculated as the total number of COVID-19 patients presenting with either respiratory or neurological symptoms first, divided by the total number of ischemic stroke cases among COVID-19 patients, and expressed as percentage and 95% CI. The mortality rate was calculated as the number of deaths of COVID-19 patients who experienced ischemic stroke divided by the total number of COVID-19 patients who experienced ischemic stroke. The same calculations were performed for hemorrhagic stroke.

#### Risk of bias assessment

The Newcastle-Ottawa scale  $(NOS)^{30}$  was used to critically assess the quality of the studies included in the meta-analysis. The Q test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity and potential publication bias of the data gathered from the studies.

#### Statistical analysis

The association between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke and the occurrence of COVID-19 was calculated and expressed as the cumulative odds ratio and 95% CI using the Z test; differences with p < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Heterogeneity among studies was assessed using the Q test, and heterogeneous data were analyzed using a random effects model. Publication bias was assessed using Egger's test and funnel plots (p < 0.05 was considered to indicate potential for publication bias). The data were analyzed using Review Manager version 5.3 (The Cochrane Collaboration)<sup>31</sup>.

#### Results

#### Study eligibility

A total of 1915 articles were retrieved via a literature search, with 1416 citations remaining after the duplicates were removed. An additional 685 articles were excluded after screening the titles and abstracts, leaving 731 studies (Figure 1), the full texts of which were reviewed for eligibility, with an additional 713 excluded. Exclusions included reviews, irrelevant studies, case series, case reports, and studies with insufficient data. This process resulted in 18 studies being included in the final analysis.

All 18 studies were included in the meta-analysis to calculate the global prevalence of hemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 patients and the frequency of symptoms leading to hospital admission<sup>32–49</sup>. Data from nine studies were included to calculate the mortality rate of hemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 patients<sup>32,33,35–37,40,45,48,49</sup>, while the other nine studies did not report relevant data. The 18 studies and the prevalence of hemorrhagic stroke reported in each of them are summarized in Table 1.

Only 16 studies were included in the meta-analysis to calculate the global prevalence of ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients and the frequency of symptoms leading to hospital admission<sup>34–49</sup>. Data from six studies were included to calculate the mortality rate of ischemic stroke in COVID-19



Figure 1. Flowchart of the literature search according to PRISMA.

patients<sup>35–37,40,48,49</sup>, as no relevant data was reported in the remaining 12 studies. The prevalences of ischemic stroke reported in each of these studies are summarized in Table 2.

# Prevalence of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients

Hemorrhagic stroke was reported in 18 studies including 67,155 COVID-19 patients, with a pooled estimate of prevalence of 0.46% (95% CI 0.40%–0.53%), *P*=89.81% (Figure 2).

Ischemic stroke was identified in 544 of 58,104 COVID-19 patients in 16 studies, which corresponded to a pooled prevalence estimate of 1.11% (95% CI 1.03%–1.22%),  $l^2$ =94.07% (Figure 3). The incidence of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients was 28.42% (216/760) and 71.58% (544/760), respectively.

Among COVID-19 patients who experienced hemorrhagic stroke, 45 of 216 patients (20.83%; 95% CI 15.41%–26.24%)

| Study Design                    | Country            | NOS | COVID          | -19                                   |        | Chronology of the symptoms               |                   |                  |    |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--|-------------------|------------------|----|--|
|                                 |                    |     | Haem<br>Stroke | aemorrhagic Total f<br>troke COVID-19 |        | Not Respiratory Neurolo symptoms symptom |                   |                  |    |  |
|                                 |                    |     | Case           | Death                                 |        |  | first (%)         | first (%)        |    |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 35             | 16                                    | 5227   | 35 (16.2)                                | -                 | -                | 32 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 33             | 14                                    | 3824   | -  | 29 (13.4)         | 4 (1.85)         | 33 |  |
| Prospective cross-<br>sectional | Spain              | 7   | 1              | -                                     | 2000   | -  | 1 (0.46)          | -                | 34 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Spain              | 8   | 5              | 2                                     | 1683   | -  | 4 (1.85)          | 1 (0.46)         | 35 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 9              | 5                                     | 3218   | -  | 5 (2.31)          | 4 (1.85)         | 36 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | UEA                | 8   | 12             | 4                                     | 591    | 12 (5.55)                                | -                 | -                | 37 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Turkey             | 7   | 2              | -                                     | 239    | 2 (0.93)                                 | -                 | -                | 38 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 14             | -                                     | 10,596 | 14 (6.48)                                | -                 | -                | 39 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | China              | 8   | 1              | 1                                     | 219    | -  | 1 (0.46)          | -                | 40 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 1              | -                                     | 509    | 1 (0.46)                                 | -                 | -                | 41 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Italy              | 7   | 2              | -                                     | 213    | 2 (0.93)                                 | -                 | -                | 42 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 8              | -                                     | 650    | -  | 2 (0.93)          | 6 (2.78)         | 43 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Italy              | 8   | 11             | -                                     | 1760   | 11 (5.09)                                | -                 | -                | 44 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | UK                 | 8   | 14             | 4                                     | 3403   | 14 (6.48)                                | -                 | -                | 45 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Multi-<br>national | 8   | 27             | -                                     | 17,799 | 27 (12.5)                                | -                 | -                | 46 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 7   | 3              | -                                     | 90     | -  | 3 (1.39)          | -                | 47 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Multi-<br>national | 8   | 28             | 16                                    | 14,483 | 28 (12.96)                               | -                 | -                | 48 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | China              | 7   | 10             | 4                                     | 651    | 10 (4.63)                                | -                 | -                | 49 |  |
| Total                           |                    |     | 216            | 66                                    | 67,155 | 156/216<br>(72.2)                        | 45/216<br>(20.83) | 15/216<br>(6.94) |    |  |

Table 1. The prevalence of haemorrhagic stroke among COVID-19 patients around the globe.

NOS = Newcastle-Ottawa scale score, COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 19

complained of respiratory symptoms before neurological symptoms, while neurological symptoms preceded respiratory symptoms in 15 of 216 patients (6.94%; 95% CI 3.55%–10.33%). No clear onset of either neurological or respiratory symptoms in COVID-19 patients was reported in 11 studies (156/216, 72.22%) (Table 1).

Among COVID-19 patients who experienced ischemic stroke, 30 of 544 patients (5.51%; 95% CI 3.59%–7.43%) experienced respiratory symptoms before neurological symptoms, while 29 of 544 patients (5.33%; 95% CI 3.44%–7.21%) complained of neurological symptoms before respiratory symptoms. No clear onset of either neurological or respiratory symptoms in COVID-19 patients was reported in 11 studies (485/544, 89.15%) (Table 2).

# Mortality rate of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients

The mortality rate of COVID-19 patients who experienced hemorrhagic stroke was 44.72% (95% CI 36.73%–52.98%), and the rate was slightly lower in those who experienced ischemic stroke (36.23%; 95% CI 30.63%–42.24%) (Figure 4).

# Association between hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke and the severity and mortality of COVID-19

There was a lack of data regarding the association between hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke and the severity of the disease and mortality rate of patients with COVID-19. One study reported that 63% of COVID-19 patients who experienced ischemic stroke required admission to the intensive care unit (ICU)<sup>50</sup>.

| Study design                    | Country            | NOS | COVID            | -19                         |        | Chronology of the symptoms |                         |                       |    |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----|------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----|--|
|                                 |                    |     | Ischer<br>stroke | nemic Total<br>oke COVID-19 |        | Not clear<br>(%)           | Respiratory<br>symptoms | Neurology<br>symptoms |    |  |
|                                 |                    |     | Case             | Death                       |        |                            | (%)                     | (%)                   |    |  |
| Prospective cross-<br>sectional | Spain              | 7   | 10               | -                           | 2000   | -                          | 6 (1.10)                | 4 (0.74)              | 34 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Spain              | 8   | 17               | 5                           | 1683   | 17 (3.13)                  | -                       | -                     | 35 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 26               | 10                          | 3218   | -                          | 9 (1.65)                | 17 (3.13)             | 36 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | UEA                | 8   | 19               | 4                           | 591    | 19 (3.49)                  | -                       | -                     | 37 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Turkey             | 7   | 7                | -                           | 239    | 7 (1.29)                   | -                       | -                     | 38 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 72               | -                           | 10,596 | 72 (13.2)                  | -                       | -                     | 39 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | China              | 8   | 10               | 5                           | 219    | -                          | 7 (1.29)                | 3 (0.55)              | 40 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 7                | -                           | 509    | 7 (1.29)                   | -                       | -                     | 41 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Italy              | 7   | 2                | -                           | 213    | 2 (0.37)                   | -                       | -                     | 42 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 8   | 12               | -                           | 650    | -                          | 7 (1.29)                | 5 (0.92)              | 43 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Italy              | 8   | 37               | -                           | 1760   | 37 (6.80)                  | -                       | -                     | 44 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | UK                 | 8   | 6                | -                           | 3403   | 6 (1.10)                   | -                       | -                     | 45 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Multi-<br>national | 8   | 123              | -                           | 17,799 | 123 (22.6)                 | -                       | -                     | 46 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | US                 | 7   | 1                | -                           | 90     | -                          | 1 (0.18)                | -                     | 47 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | Multi-<br>national | 8   | 156              | 54                          | 14,483 | 156<br>(28.68)             | -                       | -                     | 48 |  |
| Retrospective cohort            | China              | 7   | 39               | 18                          | 651    | 39 (7.17)                  | -                       | -                     | 49 |  |
| Total                           |                    |     | 544              | 96                          | 58,104 | 485/544<br>(89.1)          | 30/544 (5.5)            | 29/544 (5.3)          |    |  |

|--|

NOS = Newcastle-Ottawa scale score, COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 19

#### Discussion

#### Hemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 patients

The cumulative incidence of hemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 patients in the present study was 0.3% (216 of 67,155). This result is lower than a previously reported incidence (0.7%; 95% CI 0.50%–0.9%)<sup>51</sup>. The incidence of hemorrhagic stroke among all stroke types in COVID-19 patients fluctuated, with 12.2% in May 2020<sup>52</sup>, 9.7% in June 2020<sup>53</sup>, 17.2% in July 2020<sup>54</sup>, 11.6% in September 2020<sup>55</sup>, and 28.42% in the present systematic review.

Among 216 COVID-19 patients who experienced hemorrhagic stroke, 20.83% were admitted to a hospital owing to respiratory symptoms and developed a brain hemorrhage during hospitalization, while 6.94% (15/216) were admitted owing to neurological symptoms. The disease course is important for predicting the severity of the systemic disease as COVID-19 in the former group was more severe with abnormal vital sign(s), elevated inflammatory and coagulopathy markers, altered mental status, and the patients likely required mechanical ventilation and ICU care<sup>43</sup>. Diffuse microhemorrhages have been observed previously in COVID-19 patients, via brain imaging, and such microhemorrhages are scattered mostly in the juxtacortical white matter, corpus callosum, and brain stem<sup>56–61</sup>. The fatality rate of COVID-19 patients who experienced hemorrhagic stroke was 44.72% in our study, which is slightly lower than the rate reported previously (48.6%)<sup>51</sup>.

#### Ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients

The pooled prevalence of ischemic stroke among COVID-19 patients in our systematic review was 0.94% (544 of 58,104). This result is much lower than that described in another report in which acute ischemic stroke was observed in 1.6% of the patients who presented with or were hospitalized owing to



**Figure 2. Forest plot of the prevalence of haemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 patients.** The pooled estimate of haemorrhagic stroke prevalence is 0.46% with 95% CI 0.40%–0.53%, p<0.0001; p-value for Egger and heterogeneity is 0.882 and <0.0001, respectively with *I*<sup>2</sup> 89.81%.

| Study name              | Events/Total |       | Event ra | ate and 95 | % CI |      |       |       |       |          |         |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|---------|
|                         |              |       |          |            |      |      | Event | Lower | Upper |          |         |
|                         |              |       |          |            |      |      | rate  | limit | limit | Z-Value  | p-Value |
| García et al (2020)     | 10 / 2000    |       |          | -          |      |      | 0,005 | 0,003 | 0,009 | -16,697  | 0,000   |
| Herna´ndez et al (2020) | 17 / 1683    |       |          | +          |      |      | 0,010 | 0,006 | 0,016 | -18,809  | 0,000   |
| Jain et al (2020)       | 26 / 3218    |       |          | -          |      |      | 0,008 | 0,006 | 0,012 | -24,429  | 0,000   |
| John et al (2020)       | 19 / 591     |       |          | -          | -    |      | 0,032 | 0,021 | 0,050 | -14,600  | 0,000   |
| Karadas et al (2020)    | 7 / 239      |       |          | — —        |      |      | 0,029 | 0,014 | 0,060 | -9,126   | 0,000   |
| Katz et al (2020)       | 72 / 10596   |       |          |            |      |      | 0,007 | 0,005 | 0,009 | -42,153  | 0,000   |
| Li et al (2020)         | 10 / 219     |       |          | · · ·      |      | -    | 0,046 | 0,025 | 0,083 | -9,391   | 0,000   |
| Liotta et al (2020)     | 7 / 509      |       |          |            | .    |      | 0,014 | 0,007 | 0,029 | -11,226  | 0,000   |
| Luigetti et al (2020)   | 2/213        |       |          |            | -    |      | 0,009 | 0,002 | 0,037 | -6,557   | 0,000   |
| Pinna et al (2020)      | 12 / 650     |       |          |            | -    |      | 0,018 | 0,011 | 0,032 | -13,637  | 0,000   |
| Rifino et al (2020)     | 37 / 1760    |       |          |            | .    |      | 0,021 | 0,015 | 0,029 | -23,116  | 0,000   |
| Sawlani et al (2020)    | 6 / 3403     |       |          | ŀ          |      |      | 0,002 | 0,001 | 0,004 | -15,513  | 0,000   |
| Shahjouei et al (2020)  | 123 / 17799  |       |          |            |      |      | 0,007 | 0,006 | 0,008 | -54,905  | 0,000   |
| Shehkar et al (2020)    | 1 / 90       |       |          |            |      | .    | 0,011 | 0,002 | 0,075 | -4,464   | 0,000   |
| Siegler et al (2020)    | 156 / 14483  |       |          |            |      |      | 0,011 | 0,009 | 0,013 | -56,150  | 0,000   |
| Zhang et al (2020)      | 39 / 651     |       |          |            |      | -    | 0,060 | 0,044 | 0,081 | -16,671  | 0,000   |
| Overall                 | 544 / 58104  |       |          | •          |      |      | 0,011 | 0,010 | 0,012 | -103,601 | 0,000   |
|                         |              | -0,10 | -0,05    | 0,00       | 0,05 | 0,10 |       |       |       |          |         |

**Figure 3. Forest plot of the prevalence of ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients.** The pooled estimate of ischemic stroke prevalence is 1.11% with 95% CI 1.03%–1.22%, p<0.0001; p-value for Egger and heterogeneity is 0.730 and <0.0001, respectively with *P* 94.07%.



**Figure 4.** Forest plot of the mortality rate of haemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients. (A) The pooled mortality rate of haemorrhagic stroke is 44.72% (95% CI 36.73%-52.98%, p=0.2099); heterogeneity p=0.7343, *P*=0%, and Egger's p=<0.0001. (B) The pooled mortality rate of ischemic stroke is 36.23% (95% CI 30.63%-42.24%, p<0.0001); heterogeneity p=0.438, *P* 0%, and Egger's p<0.0001.

COVID-19<sup>62</sup>. Another study also reported increased incidence of ischemic stroke among COVID-19 patients compared to that in the non-COVID-19 group  $(81.4\% \ vs. \ 74.6\%)^{63}$ . The incidence of ischemic stroke in our investigation was significantly lower compared with that in another study  $(71.58\% \ vs. \ 87.4\%, \text{ respectively})^{55}$ .

COVID-19 patients who were admitted with respiratory symptoms and later experienced acute brain infarct comprised 5.51% (30 of 544) of the sample. Meanwhile, 5.33% were admitted owing to neurological symptoms related to ischemic stroke and had a positive result on real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) testing for SARS-CoV-2 after screening; there was no clear explanation for hospital admission in the remainder (89.15%). This result is lower than that reported in a previous study, in which ischemic stroke was the reason for hospital admission in 26% of the COVID-19 patients<sup>62</sup>.

The cause of ischemic stroke is multifactorial in those with SARS-CoV-2 infection, and it may be due to systemic embolization and diffuse microvascular thrombosis (attributed to a significant increase in prothrombotic factors)<sup>53</sup>. In our systematic review, the mortality rate of COVID-19 patients who experienced ischemic stroke was 36.23%. This rate is higher than that in two previous studies, which reported inpatient mortality rates of 32% and 22.8%<sup>50</sup>. among COVID-19 patients who experienced ischemic stroke.

# Possible pathogenesis of hemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 patients

Hemorrhagic stroke is caused by the rupture of cerebral vessels, leading to the extravasation of blood components into the surrounding brain tissue. However, the molecular mechanism by which SARS-CoV-2 infection causes hemorrhagic

stroke remains unclear. The ACE2 receptor occupied by the virus appears to be the primary culprit, which then induces subsequent damage to host cells<sup>64</sup>. Dysfunction of the ACE2 receptor is linked to the elevation of Ang II levels. Ang II is produced from Ang I, and this reaction is catalyzed by the action of ACE. Ang II-related effects are generated after being bound to the AT1 receptor. To counteract the dangerous effects caused by the excessive level of ACE/Ang II/AT1R axis, the ACE2/Ang (1-7)/Mas axis is activated<sup>65</sup>.

*SARS-CoV-2 infection increases Ang II levels.* SARS-CoV-2 uses the ACE2 receptor as a portal to enter host cells<sup>66</sup>. Along with a protease, i.e., TMPRSS2, this receptor assists the virus in infecting cells<sup>66</sup>. Viral occupation of the ACE2 receptor affects the normal physiological function of the receptor, which is to degrade Ang II, resulting in the accumulation of Ang II in the blood. Elevated Ang II levels are associated with damage linked to the occurrence of hemorrhagic stroke<sup>67</sup>.

A previous study proposed four modes of action used by Ang II to exert its effects (i.e., direct impact on the vascular system) causing vasoconstriction, promotion of platelet aggregation, increased free radical production, and a reduction in insulin sensitivity<sup>68</sup>. These actions of Ang II are associated with the occurrence of hemorrhagic stroke. Vasoconstriction is a vital physiological alteration that occurs in hypertension, which is recognized as one of the major risk factors for hemorrhagic stroke<sup>67</sup>. Ang II is also related to the activation of thrombogenic factors. This may explain the elevation of D-dimer levels, which are monitored in COVID-19 patients, particularly in those with severe infection<sup>69,70</sup>. Consequently, the activation of a procoagulant state may induce a hemorrhagic stroke<sup>71</sup>. Ang II is also known to inhibit the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway, which regulates the secretion of insulin, leading to lowered insulin

sensitivity<sup>68,72</sup>, which is another risk factor for hemorrhagic stroke. A study using a rat model confirmed that diabetes could degrade tight junction (TJ) proteins mediated by the action of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs)<sup>73</sup>. The correlation between junctional disruption, MMPs, and hemorrhagic stroke is described in the next section.

SARS-CoV-2 infection causes a cytokine storm that induces degradation of the extracellular matrix. When SARS-CoV-2 infects the body, the immune system produces massive amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines in response. An excessive amount of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin (IL)-1 $\beta$ , and IL-6, has been reported in most COVID-19 patients74. This phenomenon-known as the "cytokine storm"-results in the failure of multiple organs and contributes to COVID-19-related death. Ang II is strongly linked to the activation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase, which is responsible for the development of oxidative stress, a condition that has been known to be correlated with the excessive production of pro-inflammatory cytokines67,75. An in vitro study using a human BBB model revealed that the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 could elevate the levels of IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-6<sup>76</sup>. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the role of these cytokines in weakening vessel walls and the subsequent increase in the risk of hemorrhagic stroke, including its effect in the degradation of the extracellular matrix (ECM), which is the primary structure responsible for maintaining the integrity of vascular endothelial cells.

Degradation of the ECM caused by MMPs increases BBB permeability, promotes extravasation of blood components, and contributes to hemorrhagic brain injury77. Many studies have reported that TNF- $\alpha$  can induce the production of MMPs, which are proteolytic enzymes that degrade the ECM. For example, a study reported that TNF-a administered intravenously to mice produced an elevation in the MMP-9 levels, followed by a significant increase in BBB permeability<sup>78</sup>. Another study demonstrated that MMP-3 expression was upregulated in porcine choroid plexus epithelial cells, which was followed by a reduction in transepithelial electrical resistance, indicating decreased cellular tightness<sup>79</sup>. Another pro-inflammatory cytokine, IL-1 $\beta$ , is also involved in the induction of MMPs, resulting in the destruction of the ECM. The expression and activity of MMP-2 in cardiac microvascular endothelial cells were induced by IL-1 $\beta^{80}$ . After experimenting with chondrocytes, a study has also revealed that IL-1ß exposure leads to MMP-1 upregulation<sup>81</sup>. This action is suggested to involve various signaling pathways (i.e., ERK1/2, JNKs) and protein kinase C (PKC)<sup>80-82</sup>. Studies have also reported the upregulation of MMP expression and activity in various models after exposure to IL-6. This cytokine increases MMP-9 activity during aortic aneurysms and ruptures in mice83. MMP-2 and MMP-9 levels have also been found to be increased in COVID-19 patients, which is consistent with the elevation of IL-6 expression in patients with lymphoma<sup>84</sup>. A STAT3 signaling pathway has been proposed as the pathway used by IL-6 to upregulate MMPs<sup>83,85</sup>.

Moreover, the impairment of ECM caused by those pro-inflammatory cytokines may be significantly associated with the action of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide and singlet oxygen, and reactive nitrogen species, such as nitrogen oxide and peroxynitrite<sup>86,87</sup>. A study found that TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 administration in human brain microvascular endothelial cells (HBMVEC) induced increased levels of ROS<sup>75</sup>. Oxidative stress-related BBB disruption leading to the incidence of stroke is strongly related to the activation of MMPs<sup>88–91</sup>.

Interestingly, MMPs could alter the regulation of junctional proteins. A study using a rat model demonstrated that TJ damage in cerebral vessels was mediated by MMP-2 and MMP-9, and that this action could be inhibited by the MMP inhibitor BB-1101<sup>92</sup>. A study found that the degradation of occludin, a transmembrane protein of the TJ, in BBB model bEnd3 monolayer was mediated by MMP-2<sup>93</sup>, while another study confirmed that MMP-9 mediated the destruction of TJ protein in a BBB model hCMEC/D3<sup>94</sup>.

SARS-CoV-2 infection induces a cytokine storm that causes disturbance in junctional protein formation. Elevation of cytokine levels caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection could weaken vessel walls and ultimately increase the incidence of hemorrhagic stroke by impairing cellular junctional proteins, which is also the primary structure responsible for maintaining vascular endothelial cell integrity. The integrity of vascular endothelial cells is, in large part, determined by the presence of junctional proteins. In general, three major junctions are located in the BBB: TJs, adherens junctions (AJs), and gap junctions<sup>95</sup>. Any disturbances occurring in any of these structures will ultimately lead to vascular endothelial dysfunction. A previous study proposed that serum levels of TJ proteins may be used to predict the incidence of a hemorrhagic event(s) following ischemic stroke<sup>96</sup>.

Disruption of junctional proteins could be caused by pro-inflammatory cytokines. Using bEnd.3 endothelial cells as the BBB model, a previous study demonstrated that TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 exposure produced a significant increase in cellular permeability, which could be attributed to the decreased expression of ZO-1 and claudins<sup>97</sup>. These findings are supported by a study investigating primary cerebral microvessels isolated from sheep, which revealed that 100 ng/mL of IL-6 reduced the expression of occludin98. The expression of cadherin, occludin, and claudin-5 led to a dose-dependent decrease in a HBMVEC model after treatment with TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6<sup>75</sup>. Using human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), another study reported that the expression of occludin and E-cadherin was downregulated following exposure to interferon (IFN)- $\gamma^{99}$ . TNF-α treatment to HUVECs caused a change in localization of claudin-5 and JAM-A, while this cytokine also reduced the expression of occludin<sup>100</sup>.

Damage to junctional proteins could also be associated with the disruption of polarity complex proteins. Polarity proteins work by regulating many aspects of cellular differentiation and proliferation, including junctional protein formation and localization<sup>101,102</sup>. Although the understanding of polarity complexes is mainly supported by a wide range of experiments involving epithelial cells, the complexes also play pivotal roles in endothelial cells<sup>103,104</sup>. Thus, impairments to polarity complexes could subsequently result in damage to transmembrane and cytoplasmic junctional proteins. For example, PATJ knockdown Caco2 cells affect the localization of occludin and ZO-3 in TJ formation<sup>105</sup>. Another study reported that VE-cadherin, the major transmembrane protein of AJ, connected to Pals1 during the formation of vascular lumen indicating the specific role of this polarity protein in regulating junctional formation in endothelial cells<sup>106</sup>. Interestingly, SARS-CoV-2 has been suggested to interact with the Pals1 protein in host cells through its envelope (E) protein<sup>107</sup>. A study demonstrated that another betacoronavirus-SARS-also uses this mode of interaction with host cells<sup>108</sup>.

The action of cytokines in downregulating junctional proteins could be mediated by the activation of NADPH oxidase<sup>75</sup>. This enzyme is one of the main sources of ROS in the vascular system, along with mitochondrial enzymes and xanthin oxidase<sup>109</sup>. It should be noted that the activation of NADPH oxidase is also linked to endothelial dysfunction leading to COVID-19-related thrombotic events<sup>110</sup>. It has been proposed that SARS-CoV-2 induces a thrombosis event by stimulating various tissue factors that are dependent on the activation of NADPH oxidase following its attack on endothelial cells<sup>111</sup>.

Collectively, hemorrhagic stroke in COVID-19 patients may be associated with the elevation of Ang II levels, which is an event subsequent to SARS-CoV-2 occupation of the ACE2 receptor. The cytokine storm is also responsible for the degradation of some important components of cerebral vessels, such as MMPs and TJ, triggering cerebral vascular rupture.

# Possible pathogeneses of ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients

SARS-CoV-2 infection could cause ischemic stroke through the induction of a hypercoagulative state, endothelial injury, cytokine storm, and/or cardiogenic embolism<sup>21</sup>. Dysfunction of endothelial cells (induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection) may increase thrombin formation and fibrinolysis<sup>112</sup>. Coagulopathy due to a thrombosis event has been observed in COVID-19 patients, with elevated D-dimer and fibrinogen, although with no significant prolonged prothrombin time and activated partial thromboplastin time<sup>25,26,113</sup>. Increased fibrinogen levels also contribute to hyperviscosity, which is consistently found in COVID-19 patients, in whom viscosity varies between 1.9 to 4.2 centipoise (normal range, 1.4–1.8 centipoise)<sup>23</sup>. Hyperviscosity is not only caused by increases in fibrinogen level, but also by the cytokine storm, which plays an important role in increasing viscosity levels in those with COVID-19 by inducing the excessive release of IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha^{114,115}$ .

Systemic inflammation, which activates the complement pathway, induces the excessive release of inflammatory cytokines, causing venous thromboembolism by platelets and also inducing a hypercoagulative state<sup>116-118</sup>. This hypercoagulability could lead to macro- and microthrombus formation, which ultimately leads to cerebrovascular incidents<sup>119,120</sup>.

The ACE2 receptor also plays an important role in the neurological manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection. ACE2 converts Ang II into ANG (1-7), which plays an essential role as a neuroprotector. Administration of ANG (1-7) in animal models resulted in a decrease in neurological deficits and infarct size in rats with ischemic stroke<sup>121,122</sup>. Therefore, in COVID-19, the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein binds to the ACE2 receptor, resulting in decreased ANG (1-7) synthesis<sup>123</sup>. Cardioembolic (19.21%) and atherothrombotic (7.39%) events have also been reported to contribute to the etiology of ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients<sup>124</sup>.

#### Conclusion

Although the prevalence of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke is low in COVID-19 patients, this systematic review may increase awareness among clinicians regarding the potentially high mortality rate of individuals with this infection who experience a stroke, especially those with severe infection.

#### **Data availability**

#### Underlying data

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.

#### **Reporting guidelines**

Figshare: PRISMA checklist for 'Hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: Incidence, risk factors, and pathogenesis - A systematic review and meta-analysis', https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13513509<sup>28</sup>.

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).

#### Acknowledgement

Authors would like to thank HT Editorial Service in assisting during manuscript writing processes.

#### **Ethics statement**

Not required.

#### References

- Worldometers: COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic. [cited 2020 November 14]. Reference Source
- Fan G, Yang Z, Lin Q, et al.: Decreased Case Fatality Rate of COVID-19 in the Second Wave: A study in 53 countries or regions. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2020.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Frediansyah A, Nainu F, Dhama K, et al.: Remdesivir and its antiviral activity against COVID-19: A systematic review. Clin Epidemiol Glob Health. 2021; 9: 123–127.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Frediansyah A, Tiwari R, Sharun K, et al.: Antivirals for COVID-19: a critical review. Clin Epidemiol Glob Health. 2021; 9: 90–98.
   Publisher Full Text
- Mudatsir M, Yufika A, Nainu F, et al.: Antiviral Activity of Ivermectin Against SARS-CoV-2: An Old-Fashioned Dog with a New Trick—A Literature Review. Sci Pharm. 2020; 88(3): 36.
   Publisher Full Text
- 6. WHO: Solidarity clinical trial for COVID-19 treatments. 2020. Reference Source
- Harapan H, Itoh N, Yufika A, et al.: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A literature review. J Infect Public Health. 2020; 13(5): 667–673.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Dhama K, Patel SK, Pathak M, et al.: An update on SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 with particular reference to its clinical pathology, pathogenesis, immunopathology and mitigation strategies. *Travel Med Infect Dis.* 2020; 37: 101755.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Dhama K, Khan S, Tiwari R, *et al.*: Coronavirus Disease 2019-COVID-19. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2020; 33(4): e00028-20.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Petersen E, Koopmans M, Go U, et al.: Comparing SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-CoV and influenza pandemics. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020; 20(9): e238–e244. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Wang Y, Wang Y, Chen Y, *et al.*: Unique epidemiological and clinical features of the emerging 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) implicate special control measures. *J Med Virol*. 2020; 92(6): 568–76.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Cardona-Ospina JA, Gutiérrez-Ocampo E, et al.: Clinical, laboratory and imaging features of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2020; 34: 101623.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Rothstein A, Oldridge O, Schwennesen H, et al.: Acute cerebrovascular events in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Stroke. 2020; 51(9): e219–e22.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Dorgalaleh A, Dabbagh A, Tabibian S, et al.: Patients with Congenital Bleeding Disorders Appear to be Less Severely Affected by SARS-CoV-2: Is Inherited Hypocoagulability Overcoming Acquired Hypercoagulability of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)? Thieme Medical Publishers. Semin Thromb Hemost. 2020; 46(7): 853–855.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Malas MB, Naazie IN, Elsayed N, et al.: Thromboembolism risk of COVID-19 is high and associated with a higher risk of mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. EClinicalMedicine. 2020; 29: 100639.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

   16.
   Poyiadji N, Shahin G, Noujaim D, et al.: COVID-19-associated Acute

   Hemorrhagic Necrotizing Encephalopathy: Imaging Features. Radiology.
- 2020; 296(2): E119–E120.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
   Muhammad S, Petridisa A, Cornelius JF, et al.: Letter to editor: Severe brain haemorrhage and concomitant COVID-19 Infection: A neurovascular complication of COVID-19. Brain Behav Immun. 2020; 87: 150–151.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
   18. Al Saiegh F, Ghosh R, Leibold A, et al.: Status of SARS-CoV-2 in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with COVID-19 and stroke. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2020; 91(8): 846–848.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Qureshi AI, Abd-Allah F, Al-Senani F, et al.: Management of acute ischemic stroke in patients with COVID-19 infection: Report of an international panel. Int J Stroke. 2020; 15(5): 540–554.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Sardu C, Gambardella J, Morelli M, et al.: Is COVID-19 an Endothelial Disease? Clinical and Basic Evidence. Preprints. 2020; 2020040204. Publisher Full Text
- Markus HS, Brainin M: COVID-19 and stroke—A global World Stroke Organization perspective. Int J Stroke. 2020; 15(4): 361–4.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lodigiani C, Iapichino G, Carenzo L, et al.: Venous and arterial thromboembolic complications in COVID-19 patients admitted to an academic hospital in Milan, Italy. Thromb Res. 2020; 191: 9–14.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Maier CL, Truong AD, Auld SC, et al.: COVID-19-associated hyperviscosity: a link between inflammation and thrombophilia? Lancet. 2020; 395(10239): 1758–1759.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, et al.: Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. *J Clin Invest*. 2020; 130(5): 2620–2629.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Tang N, Bai H, Chen X, et al.: Anticoagulant treatment is associated with decreased mortality in severe coronavirus disease 2019 patients with coagulopathy. J Thromb Haemost. 2020; 18(5): 1094–1099.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, et al.: High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. Intensive Care Med. 2020; 46(6): 1089–98.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Johansson K, Jansson JH, Johansson L, et al.: D-dimer is associated with firstever intracerebral hemorrhage: A nested case-control study. *Stroke*. 2018; 49(9): 2034–2039.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Harapan H: Hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: Incidence, risk factors, and pathogenesis - A systematic review and meta-analysis. *figshare*. Journal contribution. 2021. http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13513509.v1
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al.: Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009; 6(7): e1000097.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Stang A: Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2010; 25(9): 603–5.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Cochrane T: Review Manager (RevMan) 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre. 2008; 373. Reference Source
- Altschul DJ, Unda SR, de La Garza Ramos R, et al.: Hemorrhagic presentations of COVID-19: Risk factors for mortality. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2020; 198: 106112.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Dogra S, Jain R, Cao M, et al.: Hemorrhagic stroke and anticoagulation in COVID-19. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2020; 29(8): 104984.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- García-Moncó JC, Cabrera-Muras A, Collía-Fernández A, et al.: Neurological reasons for consultation and hospitalization during the COVID-19 pandemic. Neurol Sci. 2020; 41(11): 3031–8.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hernández-Fernández F, Sandoval Valencia H, Barbella-Aponte RA, et al.: Cerebrovascular disease in patients with COVID-19: neuroimaging, histological and clinical description. Brain. 2020; 143(10): 3089–3103. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Jain R, Young M, Dogra S, et al.: COVID-19 related neuroimaging findings: A signal of thromboembolic complications and a strong prognostic marker of poor patient outcome. J Neurol Sci. 2020; 414: 116923. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- John S, Hussain SI, Piechowski-Jozwiak B, et al.: Clinical characteristics and admission patterns of stroke patients during the COVID 19 pandemic: A single center retrospective, observational study from the Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2020; 199: 106227. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Karadaş Ö, Öztürk B, Sonkaya AR: A prospective clinical study of detailed neurological manifestations in patients with COVID-19. *Neurol Sci.* 2020; 41(8): 1991–1995.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Katz JM, Libman RB, Wang JJ, et al.: Cerebrovascular Complications of COVID-19. Stroke. 2020; 51(9): e227–e231.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
   40. Li Y, Li M, Wang M, et al.: Acute cerebrovascular disease following COVID-19: a single center, retrospective, observational study. Stroke Vasc Neurol. 2020; 5(3): 279–284.
  - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Liotta EM, Batra A, Clark JR, et al.: Frequent neurologic manifestations and encephalopathy-associated morbidity in Covid-19 patients. Ann Clin Transl Neurol. 2020; 7(11): 2221–2230.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Luigetti M, Iorio R, Bentivoglio AR, et al.: Assessment of neurological manifestations in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Eur J Neurol. 2020; 27(11): 2322-8.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 43. Pinna P, Grewal P, Hall JP, et al.: Neurological manifestations and COVID-19: Experiences from a tertiary care center at the Frontline. J Neurol Sci. 2020;

**415**: 116969.

- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Rifino N, Censori B, Agazzi E, et al.: Neurologic manifestations in 1760 COVID-19 patients admitted to Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo, Italy. J Neurol. 2020; 1–8.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Sawlani V, Scotton S, Nader K, et al.: COVID-19-related intracranial imaging findings: a large single-centre experience. Clin Radiol. 2021; 76(2): 108–116. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Shahjouei S, Naderi S, Li J, et al.: Risk of stroke in hospitalized SARS-CoV-2 infected patients: A multinational study. EBioMedicine. 2020; 59: 102939. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Shekhar R, Sheikh AB, Suriya SS, et al.: Neurological Complications Among Native Americans with COVID-19: Our Experience at a Tertiary Care Academic Hospital in the U.S. / Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2020; 29(12): 105260. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Siegler JE, Cardona P, Arenillas JF, et al.: Cerebrovascular events and outcomes in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: The SVIN COVID-19 Multinational Registry. Int J Stroke. 2020; 1747493020959216.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Zhang LJ, Sun WW, Wang YJ, et al.: Clinical Course and Mortality of Stroke Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. Stroke. 2020; 51(9): 2674–82.
  - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Cagnazzo F, Arquizan C, Derraz I, et al.: Neurological manifestations of patients infected with the SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review of the literature. J Neurol. 2020; 1–10.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Cheruiyot I, Sehmi P, Ominde B, et al.: Intracranial hemorrhage in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients. Neurol Sci. 2020; 1–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Bhatia R, Pedapati R, Komakula S, et al.: Stroke in Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Systematic Review. J Stroke. 2020; 22(3): 324–35.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Lee KW, Yusof Khan AHK, Ching SM, et al.: Stroke and Novel Coronavirus Infection in Humans: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Neurol. 2020; 11(1196): 579070. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Siow I, Lee KS, Zhang JJY, et al.: Stroke as a Neurological Complication of COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Incidence, Outcomes and Predictors. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2020; 30(3): 105549.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Nannoni S, de Groot R, Bell S, *et al.*: Stroke in COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Stroke*. 2020; 1747493020972922.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Vattoth S, Abdelhady M, Alsoub H, et al.: Critical illness-associated cerebral microbleeds in COVID-19. Neuroradiol J. 2020; 33(5): 374–6.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Gupta NA, Lien C, Iv M: Critical illness-associated cerebral microbleeds in severe COVID-19 infection. *Clin Imaging*. 2020; 68: 239–41.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Fitsiori A, Pugin D, Thieffry C, et al.: COVID-19 is Associated with an Unusual Pattern of Brain Microbleeds in Critically Ill Patients. J Neuroimaging. 2020; 30(5): 593–7.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Cannac O, Martinez-Almoyna L, Hraiech S: Critical illness-associated cerebral microbleeds in COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Neurology.* 2020; 95(11): 498–499.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Dixon L, McNamara C, Gaur P, et al.: Cerebral microhaemorrhage in COVID-19: a critical illness related phenomenon? *Stroke Vasc Neurol*. 2020; 5(4): 315–322.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Fitsiori A, Pugin D, Thieffry C, et al.: COVID-19 is Associated with an Unusual Pattern of Brain Microbleeds in Critically III Patients. J Neuroimaging. 2020; 30(5): 593–597.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Merkler AE, Parikh NS, Mir S, et al.: Risk of Ischemic Stroke in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) vs Patients With Influenza. JAMA
- Neurol. 2020; 77(11): 1366–72. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Benussi A, Pilotto A, Premi E, et al.: Clinical characteristics and outcomes of inpatients with neurologic disease and COVID-19 in Brescia, Lombardy, Italy. Neurology. 2020; 95(7): e910–e920.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Spence JD, de Freitas GR, Pettigrew LC, et al.: Mechanisms of Stroke in COVID-19. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2020; 49(4): 451–8.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Regenhardt RW, Bennion DM, Sumners C: Cerebroprotective action of angiotensin peptides in stroke. Clin Sci (Lond). 2014; 126(3): 195–205. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 66. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, et al.: SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry

Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell.* 2020; **181**(2): 271–80.e8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Qureshi AI, Abd-Allah F, Al-Senani F, et al.: Management of acute ischemic stroke in patients with COVID-19 infection: Report of an international panel. Int J Stroke. 2020; 15(5): 540–54.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Dandona P, Dhindsa S, Ghanim H, et al.: Angiotensin II and inflammation: the effect of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition and angiotensin II receptor blockade. J Hum Hypertens. 2007; 21(1): 20–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Paliogiannis P, Mangoni AA, Dettori P, et al.: D-Dimer Concentrations and COVID-19 Severity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Public Health. 2020; 8: 432.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Yu HH, Qin C, Chen M, et al.: D-dimer level is associated with the severity of COVID-19. Thromb Res. 2020; 195: 219–225.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- An SJ, Kim TJ, Yoon BW: Epidemiology, Risk Factors, and Clinical Features of Intracerebral Hemorrhage: An Update. J Stroke. 2017; 19(1): 3–10. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Huang X, Liu G, Guo J, et al.: The PI3K/AKT pathway in obesity and type 2 diabetes. Int J Biol Sci. 2018; 14(11): 1483–96.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hawkins BT, Lundeen TF, Norwood KM, et al.: Increased blood-brain barrier permeability and altered tight junctions in experimental diabetes in the rat:contribution of hyperglycaemia and matrix metalloproteinases. *Diabetologia*. 2007; 50(1): 202–11.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Song P, Li W, Xie J, et al.: Cytokine storm induced by SARS-CoV-2. Clin Chim Acta. 2020; 509: 280–287.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 75. Rochfort KD, Collins LE, Murphy RP, et al.: Downregulation of Blood-Brain Barrier Phenotype by Proinflammatory Cytokines Involves NADPH Oxidase-Dependent ROS Generation: Consequences for Interendothelial Adherens and Tight Junctions. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(7): e101815. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Buzhdygan TP, DeOre BJ, Baldwin-Leclair A, et al.: The SARS-CoV-2 spike protein alters barrier function in 2D static and 3D microfluidic in-vitro models of the human blood-brain barrier. Neurobiol Dis. 2020; 146: 105131. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Lapchak PA, Wu Q: Vascular Dysfunction in Brain Hemorrhage: Translational Pathways to Developing New Treatments from Old Targets. *J Neurop Neurophysiol.* 2011; 2011: 51–e001. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Tsuge M, Yasui K, Ichiyawa T, et al.: Increase of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in the blood induces early activation of matrix metalloproteinase-9 in the brain. Microbiol Immunol. 2010; 54(7): 417–24.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Zeni P, Doepker E, Schulze-Topphoff U, et al.: MMPs contribute to TNF-alphainduced alteration of the blood-cerebrospinal fluid barrier in vitro. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2007; 293(3): C855–64.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Mountain DJ, Singh M, Menon B, et al.: Interleukin-1beta increases expression and activity of matrix metalloproteinase-2 in cardiac microvascular endothelial cells: role of PKCalpha/beta1 and MAPKs. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2007; 292(2): C867–75. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Raymond L, Eck S, Mollmark J, et al.: Interleukin-1 beta induction of matrix metalloproteinase-1 transcription in chondrocytes requires ERKdependent activation of CCAAT enhancer-binding protein-beta. J Cell Physiol. 2006; 207(3): 683-8.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Bauer J, Huy C, Brenmoehl J, et al.: Matrix metalloproteinase-1 expression induced by IL-1β requires acid sphingomyelinase. FEBS Lett. 2009; 583(5): 915-20.
  - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Ju X, Ijaz T, Sun H, et al.: IL-6 regulates extracellular matrix remodeling associated with aortic dilation in a fibrillin-1 hypomorphic mgR/mgR mouse model of severe Marfan syndrome. J Am Heart Assoc. 2014; 3(1): e000476.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kossakowska AE, Edwards DR, Prusinkiewicz C, et al.: Interleukin-6 regulation of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-2 and MMP-9) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP-1) expression in malignant non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Blood. 1999; 94(6): 2080–9.
   PubMed Abstract
- Huang X, Dai S, Dai J, et al.: Luteolin decreases invasiveness, deactivates STAT3 signaling, and reverses interleukin-6 induced epithelialmesenchymal transition and matrix metalloproteinase secretion of pancreatic cancer cells. *Onco Targets Ther*. 2015; 8: 2989–3001.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 86. Siwik DA, Colucci WS: Regulation of Matrix Metalloproteinases by Cytokines

and Reactive Oxygen/Nitrogen Species in the Myocardium. *Heart Fail Rev.* 2004; **9**(1): 43–51. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 Yang C, Hawkins KE, Doré S, et al.: Neuroinflammatory mechanisms of bloodbrain barrier damage in ischemic stroke. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2019; 316(2): C135–c53.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Freeman LR, Keller JN: Oxidative stress and cerebral endothelial cells: regulation of the blood-brain-barrier and antioxidant based interventions. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2012; 1822(5): 822–9.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Gu Y, Dee CM, Shen J: Interaction of free radicals, matrix metalloproteinases and caveolin-1 impacts blood-brain barrier permeability. Front Biosci (Schol Ed). 2011; 3: 1216-31.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Jian Liu K, Rosenberg GA: Matrix metalloproteinases and free radicals in cerebral ischemia. Free Radic Biol Med. 2005; 39(1): 71–80.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lakhan SE, Kirchgessner A, Tepper D, et al.: Matrix metalloproteinases and blood-brain barrier disruption in acute ischemic stroke. Front Neurol. 2013; 4: 32.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Yang Y, Estrada EY, Thompson JF, et al.: Matrix metalloproteinase-mediated disruption of tight junction proteins in cerebral vessels is reversed by synthetic matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor in focal ischemia in rat. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2007; 27(4): 697–709.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Liu J, Jin X, Liu KJ, et al.: Matrix metalloproteinase-2-mediated occludin degradation and caveolin-1-mediated claudin-5 redistribution contribute to blood-brain barrier damage in early ischemic stroke stage. J Neurosci. 2012; 32(9): 3044–57.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 94. Brilha S, Ong CWM, Weksler B, *et al.*: Matrix metalloproteinase-9 activity and a downregulated Hedgehog pathway impair blood-brain barrier function in an in vitro model of CNS tuberculosis. *Sci Rep.* 2017; **7**(1): 16031. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Keep RF, Andjelkovic AV, Xiang J, et al.: Brain endothelial cell junctions after cerebral hemorrhage: Changes, mechanisms and therapeutic targets. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2018; 38(8): 1255–1275.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kazmierski R, Michalak S, Wencel-Warot A, et al.: Serum tight-junction proteins predict hemorrhagic transformation in ischemic stroke patients. Neurology. 2012; 79(16): 1677–85.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Voirin AC, Perek N, Roche F: Inflammatory stress induced by a combination of cytokines (IL-6, IL-17, TNF-a) leads to a loss of integrity on bEnd.3 endothelial cells in vitro BBB model. *Brain Research*. 2020; 1730: 146647. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Cohen SS, Min M, Cummings EE, et al.: Effects of Interleukin-6 on the Expression of Tight Junction Proteins in Isolated Cerebral Microvessels from Yearling and Adult Sheep. Neuroimmunomodulation. 2013; 20(5): 264–73. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Feng J, Ito M, Ichikawa K, et al.: Inhibitory phosphorylation site for Rhoassociated kinase on smooth muscle myosin phosphatase. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274(52): 37385–90.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Ozaki H, Ishii K, Horiuchi H, et al.: Cutting edge: combined treatment of TNF-alpha and IFN-gamma causes redistribution of junctional adhesion molecule in human endothelial cells. J Immunol. 1999; 163(2): 553–7. PubMed Abstract
- 101. Assémat E, Bazellières E, Pallesi-Pocachard E, et al.: Polarity complex proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2008; 1778(3): 614–30. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Bauer HC, Krizbai IA, Bauer H, et al.: "You Shall Not Pass"—tight junctions of the blood brain barrier. Front Neurosci. 2014; 8: 392.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 103. Kruse C, Kurz ARM, Pálfi K, et al.: Polarity Protein Scrib Facilitates Endothelial Inflammatory Signaling. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2015; 35(9): 1954–62. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lizama CO, Zovein AC: Polarizing pathways: balancing endothelial polarity, permeability, and lumen formation. *Exp Cell Res.* 2013; 319(9): 1247–54.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 105. Michel D, Arsanto JP, Massey-Harroche D, et al.: PATJ connects and stabilizes

apical and lateral components of tight junctions in human intestinal cells. J Cell Sci. 2005; **118**(Pt 17): 4049–57. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text** 

- 106. Brinkmann BF, Steinbacher T, Hartmann C, et al.: VE-cadherin interacts with cell polarity protein Pals1 to regulate vascular lumen formation. Mol Biol Cell. 2016; 27(18): 2811–21. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 107. De Maio F, Lo Cascio E, Babini G, et al.: Improved binding of SARS-CoV-2 Envelope protein to tight junction-associated PALS1 could play a key role in COVID-19 pathogenesis. Microbes Infect. 2020; 22(10): 592-7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Teoh KT, Siu YL, Chan WL, et al.: The SARS coronavirus E protein interacts with PALS1 and alters tight junction formation and epithelial morphogenesis. Mol Biol Cell. 2010; 21(22): 3838–52.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 109. Rajendran P, Rengarajan T, Thangavel J, et al.: The vascular endothelium and human diseases. Int J Biol Sci. 2013; 9(10): 1057–69. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Violi F, Oliva A, Cangemi R, et al.: Nox2 activation in Covid-19. Redox Biol. 2020; 36: 101655.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
   111. DiNicolantonio JJ, McCarty M: Thrombotic complications of COVID-19 may reflect an upregulation of endothelial tissue factor expression that is contingent on activation of endosomal NADPH oxidase. Open Heart. 2020; 7(1): e001337.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- 112. Schmitt FCF, Manolov V, Morgenstern J, *et al.*: Acute fibrinolysis shutdown occurs early in septic shock and is associated with increased morbidity and mortality: results of an observational pilot study. *Ann Intensive Care.* 2019; 9(1): 19. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Guan Wj, Ni Zy, Hu Y, et al.: Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382(18): 1708–1720. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 114. Hirano T, Murakami M: COVID-19: A New Virus, but a Familiar Receptor and Cytokine Release Syndrome. *Immunity.* 2020; 52(5): 731–733. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 115. Hu B, Huang S, Yin L: The cytokine storm and COVID-19. J Med Virol. 2020; 10: 1002.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text 116. Vazquez-Garza E, Jerjes-Sanchez C, Navarrete A, *et al.*: Venous thromboembolism: thrombosis, inflammation, and immunothrombosis for clinicians. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2017; 44(3): 377–385. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 117. Bucciarelli P, Martinelli I, Artoni A, et al.: Circulating microparticles and risk of venous thromboembolism. Thromb Res. 2012; 129(5): 591–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Magro C, Mulvey JJ, Berlin D, et al.: Complement associated microvascular injury and thrombosis in the pathogenesis of severe COVID-19 infection: A report of five cases. Transl Res. 2020; 220: 1–13.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 119. Qi X, Keith KA, Huang JH: COVID-19 and stroke: A review. Brain Hemorrhages. 2020.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Bikdeli B, Madhavan MV, Jimenez D, et al.: COVID-19 and Thrombotic or Thromboembolic Disease: Implications for Prevention, Antithrombotic Therapy, and Follow-Up: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020; 75(23): 2950–2973.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Mecca AP, Regenhardt RW, O'Connor TE, et al.: Cerebroprotection by angiotensin-(1-7) in endothelin-1-induced ischaemic stroke. Exp physiol. 2011; 96(10): 1084–96.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 122. Sampaio WO, Nascimento AAS, Santos RAS: Systemic and regional hemodynamic effects of angiotensin-(1-7) in rats. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol, 2003; 284(6): H1985–94. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 123. Sweid A, Hammoud B, Bekelis K, et al.: Cerebral ischemic and hemorrhagic complications of coronavirus disease 2019. Int J Stroke. 2020; 15(7): 733–742. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 124. Fraiman P, Junior CG, Moro E, et al.: COVID-19 and Cerebrovascular Diseases: A Systematic Review and Perspectives for Stroke Management. Front Neurol. 2020; 11: 574694. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

# **Open Peer Review**

### Current Peer Review Status: 💙

Version 1

Reviewer Report 24 May 2021

### https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.45374.r80516

© **2021 Gachabayov M.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



### Mahir Gachabayov 匝

Department of Surgery, Westchester Medical Center, Valhalla, NY, USA

This is a well-conducted systematic review and a well-written manuscript evaluating the prevalence, clinical presentations, and mortality rates of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke among COVID-19 patients. In addition, the authors have attempted to describe the pathogenesis behind stroke in such patients.

The research question makes sense. The objective of this systematic review was to shed light on a question with limited evidence in the current literature. The Introduction is well written and details the gap and controversy in the current literature.

The protocol of the review was prospectively registered in PROSPERO. No deviations from the original protocol were observed. The report complies with the PRISMA guidelines. The search strategy is comprehensive and was reported in detail. Major databases were utilized as data sources. The methodology of eligibility screening and study selection, quality assessment, and data extraction is adequate. Statistical methods are adequate as well.

Results are well-presented in tables summarizing included studies and forest plots. The prevalence of hemorrhagic and ischemic strokes were found to be low at 0.5% and 1%, respectively. Nonetheless, the mortality rates of these entities were quite high at 45% and 36%. These findings are clinically relevant and add to the literature.

Discussion is comprehensible. Interpretation of the statistical findings is logical and the conclusions are justified.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

### Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

*Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** Clinical outcomes and Evidence Synthesis

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 04 March 2021

### https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.45374.r80518

© **2021 Wendt G.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



### Guilherme Welter Wendt 匝

Faculty of Medicine, Western Paraná State University, Francisco Beltrão, Brazil

This is the report of a registered systematic review (SR;

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?RecordID=224470). The authors correctly deployed the methodology proposed, in addition to adding a few more objectives - such as the chronological order of symptoms. In summary, the study not only updated a previous SR on this specific topic but largely expanded the mechanisms underpinning both ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke. The authors also followed the PRISMA guidelines and assessed risk of bias using a well-known scale. The statistical analyses are sound and based on best practices for conducting SR and meta-analyses.

Some questions and suggestions that emerged while reading the paper include:

- It would be sensible to adopt American (i.e., hemorrhagic) or British (i.e., haemorrhagic) English in the manuscript, including subheadings and figures.
- The flowchart presents "excluded studies" in the last stage, in which authors are supposed to present studies that were included. I suggest a revision of this last step to avoid confusion.
- It is not clear if all patients were diagnosed by RT-PCR as suggested in the registration (CRD42020224470). I assume this was done at some stage during the screening/study eligibility stages. Nonetheless, if suspected cases were also included, this information needs to be clearly stated.

• Was there any cut-off score or other criteria for including/excluding studies due to risk of

bias assessments?

- Figures 2 to 4 are misspelling "Hernández".
- In the discussion, I think it is always a good idea to be precise as possible. As such, I would always refer to COVID-19 patients when making comparisons (i.e., "This result is lower than a previously reported incidence", which appears in the first paragraph of the discussion).
- In the second paragraph of the discussion, when the authors mention 216 COVID-19 patients, are we really relying on RT-PCR confirmed cases? In other passages, the authors are more clear about the method of diagnosis ("Meanwhile, 5.33% were admitted owing to neurological symptoms related to ischemic stroke and had a positive result on real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) testing for SARS-CoV-2 after screening; there was no clear explanation for hospital admission in the remainder (89.15%)".
- The authors could briefly expand or give examples of neurological symptoms. For instance, the next sentence says altered mental status, albeit I do believe more objective symptoms would be of extreme value.

Other than that, I believe the contribution is original, relevant and has substantial contribution to the field.

# Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated? $\gamma_{\text{PS}}$

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

### Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

# Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

*Reviewer Expertise:* Quantitative research methods; Systematic reviews and meta-analyses; Epidemiology; Public health.

# I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 24 February 2021

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.45374.r77660

© **2021 Setyopranoto I.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



### Ismail Setyopranoto 匝

Department of Neurology, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

This article is very good and relevant to the conditions of the COVID-19 pandemic, maybe it only needs to add differences in imaging examinations, namely head CT scans between intracerebral hemorrhages due to degenerative diseases, for example due to hypertension and those caused by COVID-19 infection.

I agree that this article can be indexed with some additions that I have written above.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Neurologist

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000 Research