



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

- 1 Bischof E, Wolfe J, Klein SL. Clinical trials for COVID-19 should include sex as a variable. *J Clin Invest* 2020; **130**: 3350–52.
- 2 Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. *Lancet* 2021; **397**: 99–111.
- 3 Flanagan KL, Fink AL, Plebanski M, Klein SL. Sex and gender differences in the outcomes of vaccination over the life course. *Ann Rev Cell Develop Biol* 2017; **33**: 577–99.
- 4 Zimmermann P, Curtis N. Factors that influence the immune response to vaccination. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2019; **32**: e0084–18.
- 5 Engler RJM. Half- vs full-dose trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (2004–2005): age, dose, and sex effects on immune responses. *Arch Intern Med* 2008; **168**: 2405.
- 6 Sudre CH, Murray B, Varsavsky T, et al. Attributes and predictors of long-COVID: analysis of COVID cases and their symptoms collected by the Covid Symptoms Study App. *medRxiv* 2020; published online Oct 21. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.19.20214494> (preprint).
- 7 Ravindran TS, Teerawattananon Y, Tannenbaum C, Vijayasingham L. Making pharmaceutical research and regulation work for women. *BMJ* 2020; **371**: m3808.
- 8 Brady E, Nielsen MW, Andersen JP, Oertelt-Prigione S. Lack of consideration of sex and gender in clinical trials for COVID-19. *medRxiv* 2020; published online Sept 14. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193680> (preprint).
- 9 Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **383**: 2603–15.
- 10 Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **384**: 403–16.

Clinician engineers— the time is now

I read with great interest the Perspective by Roger Kneebone and Claudia Schlegel,¹ and I agree about the pigeon-holed approach to medical education.¹ COVID-19 has shown clinicians and engineers working side by side to ensure health-care worker safety via personal protective equipment and the management of patients through ventilators.

Engineering platforms are used to diagnose and treat patients. Clinicians use the endoscope, the CT scan, dialysis machines, cardiac stents, etc, yet have little understanding about how these devices are made or work.

The Clinician Engineer Hub is a global network aimed at bridging the gap between medicine and engineering. The hub offers workshops, research opportunities, and industry-based opportunities for medical students, and early career doctors to ensure they are given the chance to gain knowledge and skills in engineering. Students within the network are empowered to serve as leaders. To date, we have held summer and winter schools, multiple webinars, a 3-day conference, and offered collaborations with researchers in laboratories or through industry internships. Webinars have included topics such as biomechanics, optics, coding, and aerospace engineering. Our conference featuring academic experts globally and industry members—from Google Health, Microsoft, and Amazon Web Services as well as WHO—gained considerable interest (20 million impressions via Twitter).

Later this year, we will be holding a virtual hackathon—ClinHacks—aimed at innovative engineering solutions to health care.

As Kneebone and Schlegel highlight, medical education is typically funnel based and I fully endorse the need for “funnel perforation”.¹

NS is founder and director of the Clinician Engineer Hub.

Neel Sharma
n.sharma.1@bham.ac.uk

Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TH, UK

- 1 Kneebone R, Schlegel C. Thinking across disciplinary boundaries in a time of crisis. *Lancet* 2021; **397**: 89–90.

Long-haul COVID: heed the lessons from other infection-triggered illnesses

According to the Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center, more than 115 million people worldwide have been infected with SARS-CoV-2 during the COVID-19 pandemic, with

extensive implications for morbidity and mortality. Description of long-term effects of COVID-19 are appearing in the medical literature; the first large cohort study¹ with 6-months' follow-up has been published, and more data are sure to follow. A small number of studies point not only to persistent imaging and testing abnormalities across several organ systems in the postacute period, but to a high frequency of patient-reported symptoms such as fatigue, insomnia, anxiety and depression, autonomic disturbances, cognitive difficulties, pain, and others. The presence of patient support groups, and the rapid expansion of clinics to manage or treat these symptoms, validate further their existence and impact.

Although the frequency, severity, and potentially the etiology of persistent symptoms can vary, sequelae after COVID-19 appears poised to join the range of other postinfectious syndromes described in the field of infectious diseases.² These often share a common symptom phenotype, which might also meet case definitions for myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia, or post-treatment Lyme disease. We hope that researchers and clinicians will draw on these other conditions as they continue to advance scientific understanding of so-called long-haul or persistent COVID-19. We would also argue that there are important lessons to learn and pitfalls to avoid; our specific area of clinical care and research (post-treatment Lyme disease) has remained a fiercely contentious condition for more than 30 years.³

To quantify severity and measure improvements are inherently easier in objective abnormalities than in patient-reported symptoms. Furthermore, a scientific knowledge gap surrounds the cause of persistent symptoms after acute infections, such as fatigue. Both factors contribute to the risk of dismissing patient-reported complaints, particularly those that

For the Clinician Engineer Hub see <https://clinicianengineer.com>

For more on ClinHacks see <https://clinhacks.org>



Steve Parsons/Getty Images



Published Online
March 5, 2021
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00446-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00446-3)

For the Johns Hopkins
Coronavirus Resource Center
see <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/>

might appear vague, difficult to explain, multifactorial, or unexpected. Although peer-reviewed research is needed, patients with persistent symptoms after COVID-19 are already facing illness invalidation and disbelief from health-care providers, family, and friends.⁴ In listening to patients, the resolution of objective abnormalities is often not the whole story of their illness, and symptoms such as fatigue could instead be the most devastating to patients' quality of life. Postinfectious syndromes, including persistent symptoms after COVID-19, present a considerable challenge to clinicians and researchers. However, they also present the opportunity for novel, scientifically rigorous, inclusive, open-minded research with the aim of helping patients with these poorly understood conditions recover their health.

We declare grant funding from the Steven and Alexandra Cohen Foundation.

*John N Aucott, Alison W Rebman
jaucott2@jhmi.edu

The Lyme Disease Research Center, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, 21224, USA

- Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, et al. 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2021; **397**: 220–32.
- Hickie I, Davenport T, Wakefield D, et al. Post-infective and chronic fatigue syndromes precipitated by viral and non-viral pathogens: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2006; **333**: 575.
- Specter M. The Lyme wars. *New Yorker*, July 1, 2013: 20.
- Mervosh S. 'It's not in my head': they survived the coronavirus, but they never got well. Sept 28, 2020. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/09/28/us/coronavirus-long-term-effects.html> (accessed Jan 13, 2021).

Accountability framework to decolonise global health

Lioba Hirsch¹ reinforces the widespread displeasure towards the continued lack of equality, diversity, and inclusion (EDI) in global health. Although global health might have indeed evolved

into “an instrument for a new era of scientific, programmatic, and policy imperialism”,² by design or default, several researchers and institutions in low-income regions have indisputably enjoyed and continue to benefit from purposeful collaboration with colleagues in high-income regions.³

However, simply highlighting dimensions and manifestations of EDI in scholarly journals and the numerous pledges for institutional reform might be insufficient in dismantling this monstrous social epidemic. Mechanisms for internal and external accountability are necessary in global health institutions, such as those described by WHO,⁴ to tackle systemic racism head-on and ensure that individuals that exhibit provable racism in any form are held to account for their actions. If there are no consequences for violating societal norms and commitments in any capacity, progress towards compliance will remain elusive.

The principal actors and victims of racism tend to attract most attention. But collaborators and facilitators including funders ought to be held equally accountable. Additionally, to be effective, the envisioned accountability framework should be representative, independently governed, minimally bureaucratic, trustworthy, and readily accessible to all without fear of reprisal.

The long-term impact of these equity-driven mechanisms might be complicated by politics,⁵ but we can draw inspiration from the words of J F Kennedy—that just because we cannot see clearly the end of the road, that is no reason for not setting out on the essential journey.

I declare no competing interests.

Bolajoko O Olusanya
bolajoko.olusanya@uclmail.net

Centre for Healthy Start Initiative, Lagos, Nigeria

- Hirsch LA. Is it possible to decolonise global health institutions? *Lancet* 2021; **397**: 189–90.
- Horton R. Offline: The case against global health. *Lancet* 2014; **383**: 1705.

- Manabe YC, Mashalla Y, Farquhar C, Sewankambo NK. Leadership training to accelerate progress in public health in sub-Saharan Africa: time for action. *Lancet Glob Health* 2020; **8**: e1253–54.
- Hammonds R, Hanefeld J, Ooms G. Accountability as a driver of health equity. Copenhagen: World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe, 2019.
- Gill S, Benatar S. Global health governance and global power: a critical commentary on the *Lancet*–University of Oslo Commission report. *Int J Health Serv* 2016; **46**: 346–65.

Transformational learning to decolonise global health

We thank Lioba Hirsch¹ for her incisive Comment on efforts to decolonise global health institutions and the exigency of moving beyond tokenistic initiatives and showy commitments to diversity. The flattening of entrenched colonial era power hierarchies is essential to ensure policy, education, and research is informed by perspectives from the very communities they affect. Yet these hierarchies are propped up by historical biases that formed, and remain deeply embedded in the very structures of our institutions.² To invoke a conceptual framework for decolonisation through top-down initiatives is tempting, but such taxonomies should arise from paying attention to the narratives of people who suffer from the prejudices that have arisen through colonial regimes. As the University College London's Decolonising the Medical Curriculum reiterates, these prejudices are not limited to racism but also classism, sexism, ableism, xenophobia, and gender discrimination.

Structural inequalities are designed to reinforce power imbalances. Before systemic change can occur, sources and concentration of that power must first be laid bare through educational reflection that prompts political will and collective action—what Paulo Freire refers

This online publication has been corrected. The corrected version first appeared at [thelancet.com](https://www.thelancet.com) on April 1, 2021

For Decolonising the Medical Curriculum see <https://decolonisingthemedicalcurriculum.wordpress.com/>