#### RESEARCH PAPER

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

Check for updates

# **The G-patch activators Pfa1 and PINX1 exhibit different modes of interaction with the Prp43 RNA helicase**

Saïda Mouffok<sup>[\\*](#page-0-0)</sup>, Régine Capeyrou<sup>\*</sup>, Kamila Belhabich-Baumas<sup>\*</sup>, Clément Joret, Anthony K. Henras, Odile Humbert, and Yves Henry

Laboratoire de Biologie Moléculaire Eucaryote, Centre de Biologie Intégrative (CBI), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, Toulouse, France

#### **ABSTRACT**

Prp43 is a DEAH-box RNA helicase involved in both splicing and ribosome biogenesis. Its activities are directly stimulated by several co-activators that share a G-patch domain. The substrates of Prp43, its mechanism of action and the modes of interaction with and activation by G-patch proteins have been only partially characterized. We investigated how Pfa1 and PINX1, two G-patch proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis, interact with Prp43. We demonstrate that a protruding loop connecting the β4 and β5 strands of Prp43 OB fold is crucial for the binding of the G-patch domain of Pfa1. However, neither this loop nor the entire OB fold of Prp43 is essential for PINX1 binding. We conclude that the binding modes of Pfa1 and PINX1 G-patches to Prp43 are different. Nevertheless, stimulation of the ATPase and helicase activities of Prp43 by both full-length Pfa1 and PINX1 requires the β4-β5 loop. Moreover, we show that disruption of this loop completely abrogates Prp43 activity during yeast ribosome biogenesis but does not prevent its integration within pre-ribosomal particles. We propose that the β4-β5 loop plays a crucial role in the transmission of conformational changes induced by binding of the G-patch to Prp43 active site and substrate RNA.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 29 May 2020 Revised 28 August 2020 Accepted 30 August 2020

#### **KEYWORDS**

RNA helicase; ATPase; G-patch protein; OB fold; ribosome synthesis

# **Introduction**

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span>Proteins of the DExD/H family play crucial roles in all processes involving RNA-protein complexes, including translation, pre-mRNA splicing, mRNP transport, ribosome biogenesis and RNA decay [[1–7\]](#page-11-0). They are thought to remodel RNA/RNA and/or RNA/protein interactions using nucleotide triphosphate (usually ATP) hydrolysis and are often referred to as RNA helicases because a subset can unwind base-paired RNA strands *in vitro* [\[8–10\]](#page-11-1). They exhibit a conserved core that contains the catalytic centre and often feature additional domains that may regulate their subcellular localization, target them to their specific substrates and/or modulate their activity [\[11\]](#page-11-2). DExD/H proteins can be involved in more than one process. One good example is the Prp43 helicase intervening in pre-mRNA splicing, ribosome biogenesis and probably other processes occurring in the cytoplasm. Prp43 belongs to the DEAH protein family, named from the amino-acid sequence of conserved motif II within the core region. In addition to motif II, DEAH proteins share a similar domain organization. They feature an N-terminal extension specific to each protein, followed by the core region, a helix-turn-helix motif of the winged helix category, a ratchet domain constituted by a bundle of seven alpha helices and an OB fold close to the C-terminus adopting <span id="page-0-4"></span>a five-stranded β-barrel topology [\[12–18\]](#page-11-3). The core region comprises two RecA-like domains, termed RecA1 and RecA2, involved in ATP binding and hydrolysis as well as nucleic acid binding.

<span id="page-0-6"></span><span id="page-0-5"></span>During splicing, yeast Prp43 is required for the release of the U6 snRNA as well as the U2 and U5 snRNPs from the spliced out intron lariat allowing snRNA/snRNP recycling as well as lariat linearization and degradation [\[19–22](#page-11-4)]. Prp43 also performs a proofreading function during splicing by promoting the dissociation of stalled spliceosomes assembled on suboptimal or mutated pre-mRNAs [[23](#page-11-5)[,24](#page-11-6)]. During ribosome biogenesis in yeast, Prp43 is required for the production of both small and large ribosomal subunits and interacts with most pre-ribosomal particles [[25–27\]](#page-11-7). Finally, Prp43 could intervene in the remodelling of cytoplasmic RNPs (other than pre-ribosomal particles), that remain to be identified [\[28](#page-11-8)].

<span id="page-0-9"></span><span id="page-0-8"></span><span id="page-0-7"></span><span id="page-0-3"></span>In yeast, Prp43 is assisted by several co-factors that directly bind to the enzyme, increase its ATPase activity and are required for the enzyme to function as a helicase, as demonstrated *in vitro* [\[28–31\]](#page-11-8). These co-factors all feature a G-patch domain, characterized by the presence of conserved glycine residues, hence the name [\[11](#page-11-2)[,32](#page-11-9)[,33\]](#page-11-10). In human also, several G-patch co-factors of human PRP43 (DHX15) have been identified [[34–39\]](#page-11-11). The yeast G-patch protein Ntr1 (also called

<span id="page-0-0"></span>CONTACT Yves Henry **۞** yves.henry@univ-tlse3.fr; Odile Humbert **۞** odile.humbert@univ-tlse3.fr **●** Laboratoire de Biologie Moléculaire Eucaryote, Centre de Biologie Intégrative (CBI), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse cedex 09, France

<sup>\*</sup>The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first three authors should be regarded as joint First Authors.

<sup>#</sup> Present address: Laboratoire des Interactions Plantes Micro-organismes, Université de Toulouse, INRA, CNRS, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France Supplemental data for this article can be accessed [here](https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2020.1818458).

<sup>© 2020</sup> Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

<span id="page-1-7"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>Spp382) specifically activates Prp43 for intron lariat spliceosome (ILS) disassembly [[22](#page-11-12),[31](#page-11-13),[40–42\]](#page-12-0). Two other yeast G-patch co-factors, Pfa1 (also known as Sqs1) and Gno1 (also called Pxr1) interact with Prp43 during ribosome biogenesis [[29](#page-11-14),[30](#page-11-15),[43](#page-12-1),[44](#page-12-2)]. Prp43 activation by Pfa1 seems required for efficient 20S pre-rRNA conversion into mature 18S rRNA by the Nob1 endonuclease within cytoplasmic pre-40S preribosomal particles [[30,](#page-11-15)[44](#page-12-2)]. Gno1 is required for normal accumulation of both small and large ribosomal subunits [\[43](#page-12-1)]. It is associated with early 90S pre-ribosomal particles as well as early pre-60S pre-ribosomal particles within which it could activate Prp43, thus driving further maturation of pre-60S and pre-40S particles [[29](#page-11-14),[45](#page-12-3)] (for reviews on ribosome biogenesis see [\[46](#page-12-4)[,47\]](#page-12-5)).

<span id="page-1-10"></span><span id="page-1-8"></span><span id="page-1-6"></span><span id="page-1-5"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span>The mechanism of action of Prp43, the conformational rearrangements it elicits and its direct molecular targets remain a subject of debate and intense research. Within the spliceosome, Prp43 targets the U2 snRNP/intron interaction and can be cross-linked to the pre-mRNA [[41\]](#page-12-6). Prp43 may translocate on the pre-mRNA/intron and disrupt the interaction between U2 snRNA and the branch point region. Prp43 could also bind to U6 snRNA [\[48](#page-12-7)[,49](#page-12-8)]. Within pre-ribosomal particles, Prp43 likely has several substrates and roles. It is involved in the release of a subset of box C/D snoRNAs from 25S rRNA sequences [[50\]](#page-12-9). Moreover, a major Prp43 interaction site was identified on 18S rRNA helix 44, close to 18S rRNA 3ʹ end [[50](#page-12-9)]. Apart from the disruption of some snoRNA/pre-rRNA interactions, the remodelling events driven by Prp43 in the 90S, pre-60S and pre-40S pre-ribosomal particles remain totally unknown.

<span id="page-1-11"></span><span id="page-1-9"></span>Another key aspect being currently intensely investigated is the way DEAH proteins interact with and are activated by their G-patch partners. Until very recently, no detailed structural information on DEAH protein/G-patch interactions was available. In the cryo-EM structure of the yeast intron lariat spliceosome, the Ntr1 protein is present but the structure of its G-patch domain that mediates the interaction with Prp43 could not be resolved [\[48\]](#page-12-7). However, the crystal structures of helicase DHX15 bound to NKRF G-patch and of helicase Prp2 bound to Spp2 G-patch have just been published, providing for the first time detailed molecular insights into DEAH protein/G-patch interactions [\[39](#page-12-10)[,51\]](#page-12-11) (see Fig. S1 for the structure of DHX15 bound to NKRF G-patch). Strikingly, the two G-patches interact with their partner helicase in an almost identical fashion, forming a flexible molecular brace across the helicase surface, which favours the adoption of a conformation with high RNA-binding affinity.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>We have studied in the present work how Prp43 interacts with and is activated by Pfa1 and PINX1, the human orthologue of yeast Gno1 (for a summary table of our main findings, see Table S1). Our results point to a crucial role of the protruding loop connecting the β4 and β5 strands of Prp43 OB fold in Prp43 activation by both Pfa1 and PINX1. Unexpectedly, however, our results also show that the G-patch-containing domains of Pfa1 and PINX1 have different requirements for binding to Prp43. We propose that PINX1 interacts with Prp43 as NKRF or Spp2 do with their

<span id="page-1-4"></span>partner helicase [[39,](#page-12-10)[51](#page-12-11)], while Pfa1 G-patch mode of binding to Prp43 appears significantly different.

#### **Results**

# *The loop connecting the* **β***4 and* **β***5 strands of Prp43 OB fold is crucial for the binding of the G-patch containing C-terminal domain of Pfa1*

<span id="page-1-3"></span>How Pfa1 interacts with and activates Prp43 has not been fully elucidated. In particular, the structure of the Prp43/ Pfa1 complex has not been solved yet. We have previously shown that the domain encompassing the C-terminal 193 amino acids of Pfa1 containing the G-patch domain, hereafter termed Pfa1C-ter, can directly bind to Prp43 and stimulate its ATPase and helicase activities [\[30](#page-11-15)]. This C-terminal domain of Pfa1 is crucial for Prp43 stimulation since all truncated forms of Pfa1 that lack this domain are totally devoid of stimulatory potential. Pfa1C-ter fails to bind to a truncated form of Prp43 that lacks the C-terminal 110 amino acids, that we call Prp43ΔC-ter [\[17](#page-11-16)], suggesting that at least part of the binding site of Pfa1C-ter is located within the C-terminal 110 amino acids of Prp43. This region of Prp43 contains an OB fold beta-barrel structure, followed by two C-terminal alpha helices (αC2 and αC3, [Fig. 1A](#page-2-0)). To identify which of these structural elements are crucial for Pfa1C-ter binding, we performed immunoprecipitation experiments with Pfa1C-ter and truncated/ mutated versions of Prp43 (see Fig. S2 for Coomassie and Western analyses of purified proteins used in this work). We tested a form of Prp43 lacking the C-terminal alpha helix αC3 (see [Fig. 1A-B\)](#page-2-0), termed Prp43ΔαC3, while unfortunately, the effect of removing the two C-terminal alpha helices of Prp43 could not be assessed since we failed to express the corresponding protein in *E. coli*. We also assessed the binding to Prp43 variants featuring nested deletions and amino-acid substitutions within loops of the OB fold connecting the  $\beta$  strands 1 and 2 (Prp43mL1-2, [Fig. 1A\)](#page-2-0) or 4 and 5 (Prp43mL4-5, [Fig. 1A\)](#page-2-0) [\[17](#page-11-16)]. We reasoned that since these loops are protruding and solvent exposed in the Prp43 structure [\[17](#page-11-16)], they are prime candidates for constituting direct contact sites for G-patch domains. The mutations introduced in these loops were designed to both shorten them and remove long positively charged or polar amino-acid side chains. To control for the proper folding of these Prp43 variants, we also tested their ability to interact with the N-terminal domain of Pfa1 encompassing amino acids 1 to 202, hereafter termed Pfa1N-ter, which can independently bind to Prp43ΔC-ter [[17\]](#page-11-16). These immunoprecipitation experiments were performed with purified recombinant proteins and polyclonal anti-Prp43 antibodies. As shown in [Fig. 1B](#page-2-0), lane 3, deletion of the C-terminal alpha helix αC3 does not prevent binding of Prp43ΔαC3 to Pfa1Cter, ruling out the possibility that this helix constitutes a crucial Prp43 interaction surface for Pfa1C-ter. A substantial amount of Pfa1C-ter is recovered in the supernatant ([Fig. 1B,](#page-2-0) lane 4), as observed when the



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Effects of deletions and/or amino-acid substitutions within Prp43 C-terminal domain on Pfa1C-ter binding. (A) Top: Schematics of Pfa1 and Prp43 domain organization and mutants used in IPs. Bottom: Structure of Prp43 OB fold and terminal alpha helices. Mutations in loops connecting strands β1 and β2 (mL1-2) and strands β4 and β5 (mL4-5) are highlighted. Mutation mL1-2 corresponds to K663A, R664G amino-acid substitutions and deletion of residues 665 to 668. Mutation mL4-5 corresponds to T702G, S703G amino-acid substitutions and deletion of K704. The structure was drawn using the data deposited in the protein data base (PDB) under the code 2xau [\[17\]](#page-11-16). (B-D) Purified HIS-tagged mutant versions of Prp43, namely Prp43ΔαC3 (B), Prp43mL1-2 (C) or Prp43mL4-5 (D), were mixed with either purified HIS-tagged Pfa1, Pfa1C-ter or Pfa1N-ter prior to immunoprecipitation using anti-Prp43 antibodies. Proteins from the supernatants were then TCA precipitated. Proteins present in the pellets (lanes IP) and in the supernatants (lanes Sup) were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and analysed by Western using HRP-coupled anti-histidine antibodies.

immunoprecipitation is performed with wild-type Prp43 [[30](#page-11-15)] (see also [Fig. 3B](#page-4-0)), reflecting the lower affinity of Pfa1Cter for Prp43 compared to full-length Pfa1. Prp43mL1-2 could not interact with Pfa1C-ter ([Fig. 1C,](#page-2-0) lane 3). However, Prp43mL1-2 also failed to interact with the

N-terminal domain of Pfa1 (Pfa1N-ter, [Fig. 1C,](#page-2-0) lane 5), rendering interpretation of the data difficult. Indeed, it is not obvious to explain why Prp43mL1-2 cannot interact with Pfa1N-ter while Prp43ΔC-ter can do so [\[17](#page-11-16)], without invoking substantial folding defects. In contrast, Prp43mL4-5 did

not bind to Pfa1C-ter but could interact with both Pfa1 and Pfa1N-ter, arguing that Prp43mL4-5 is properly folded ([Fig.](#page-2-0) [1D](#page-2-0), lanes 1, 3 and 5). Note that the failure to detect Pfa1Cter in the IP lanes 3 of [Fig. 1C and 1D](#page-2-0) and Pfa1N-ter in the IP lane 5 of [Fig. 1C](#page-2-0) indicates that these truncated proteins do not interact on their own with the antibody-coated sepharose beads, as previously demonstrated by us [\[30](#page-11-15)].

On the basis of the above results, we predicted that Pfa1 or Pfa1C-ter should not stimulate the biochemical activities of Prp43mL4-5. This was first tested by performing ATPase assays using Prp43 ([Fig. 2A\)](#page-3-0), Prp43ΔC-ter ([Fig. 2B](#page-3-0)) or Prp43mL4-5 [\(Fig. 2C](#page-3-0)), either alone or together with Pfa1 or Pfa1C-ter. As previously published, Prp43 ATPase activity is strongly stimulated by Pfa1 and to a lesser extent by Pfa1C-ter [\(Fig. 2A](#page-3-0), see also [\[30\]](#page-11-15)). In contrast, Prp43ΔC-ter ATPase activity fails to be stimulated by either Pfa1 or Pfa1C-ter [\(Fig. 2B](#page-3-0), see also [[17](#page-11-16)]). As observed for Prp43ΔC-ter, the ATPase activity of Prp43mL4-5 is not stimulated by Pfa1Cter ([Fig. 2C](#page-3-0)) and exhibits only a very slight increase in the presence of full-length Pfa1 ([Fig. 2C](#page-3-0)). We next analysed the effects of the removal of Prp43 C-terminal domain or mutation of the β4-β5 loop on its helicase activity driven by Pfa1 or Pfa1C-ter [\(Fig. 2D](#page-3-0) and S3A). Strikingly, whereas Pfa1 and Pfa1C-ter confer robust helicase activity to wild-type Prp43 [\(Fig. 2D,](#page-3-0) lanes 5 and 11 and Fig. S3A, lane 5), they hardly or completely fail to stimulate the helicase activity of Prp43ΔC-ter or Prp43mL4-5 ([Fig. 2D,](#page-3-0) lanes 6, 7, 12, 13 and Fig. S3A, lane 7).

We conclude that the integrity of Prp43 β4-β5 loop is crucial for the interaction with the C-terminal domain of Pfa1 and hence for the activation of Prp43 by Pfa1.

# *The N-terminal alpha helix of Prp43 is not required for binding to the N-terminal domain of Pfa1*

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Prp43 contains an N-terminal extension comprising three alpha helices that are not found in other DEAH RNA helicases ([Fig. 3A](#page-4-0)). This domain might function as an interaction surface for specific Prp43 partners. We hypothesized that this N-terminal extension of Prp43 might constitute the binding site for the N-terminal domain of Pfa1, Pfa1N-ter ([Fig. 3A](#page-4-0)), which, as previously stated, can bind on its own to Prp43ΔC-ter [\[17\]](#page-11-16). *In vivo*, the N-terminal domain of Pfa1 seems important for pre-rRNA processing at sites A1 and A2. Indeed, expression of a Pfa1 truncated variant lacking this N-terminal domain in Δ*pfa1* cells leads to the disappearance of the 32S and 27SA2 pre-rRNAs, reduced levels of 20S pre-rRNA and increased accumulation of 23S pre-rRNA [[30\]](#page-11-15) (for a cartoon of pre-rRNA processing in *S. cerevisiae*, see [\[52](#page-12-12)]). To investigate whether the N-terminal domain of Prp43 is required for binding to the N-terminal domain of Pfa1, we performed pull-down assays using Pfa1N-ter and truncated versions of Prp43 lacking the first alpha helix and linker sequence (Prp43ΔαN1, [Fig. 3A](#page-4-0)) or all three N-terminal alpha helices (Prp43ΔαN1-N3, [Fig. 3A](#page-4-0)). To control for the proper folding of these Prp43 truncated variants, we assessed their ability to interact with Pfa1C-ter. Since our anti-Prp43 polyclonal serum was produced with Prp43 N-terminal peptides, it could not be used to precipitate the N-terminally truncated Prp43 variants. Hence, GST-tagged truncated Prp43 proteins, or wild-type Prp43 as control, were used to allow their pull down with glutathione sepharose. GST-tagged wild-type Prp43 could interact with both Pfa1C-ter [\(Fig. 3B,](#page-4-0)



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 2.** Prp43mL4-5 is unable to be stimulated by Pfa1 or Pfa1C-ter. (A-C) Time course of [α-32P]-ATP hydrolysis by HIS-tagged purified Prp43 (A), Prp43ΔC-ter (B) or Prp43mL4-5 (C) either alone or incubated with HIS-tagged purified Pfa1 or Pfa1C-ter. The percentage of hydrolysed ATP is plotted with respect to time (in minutes). The values plotted are means of three independent experiments. The standard error of the mean (sem) is shown by brackets. (D) Helicase assays. A RNA duplex formed by hybridization of a short radiolabelled RNA to a longer unlabelled RNA was incubated with the indicated purified proteins (Prp43-HIS or derivatives, Pfa1- HIS or Pfa1C-ter-HIS, GST-PINX1-HIS). The reaction mixes were then treated with proteinase K, nucleic acids were separated by acrylamide gel electrophoresis and detected by autoradiography. Lane 1: free single-stranded labelled RNA.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 3.** The N-terminal 58 amino acids of Prp43 are dispensable for its binding to the N-terminal domain of Pfa1. (A) Schematics of Pfa1 and Prp43 domain organization and mutants used in IPs. (B-D) GST-Prp43-HIS (B), GST-Prp43ΔαN1-HIS (C) or GST-Prp43ΔαN1-N3-HIS (D) were mixed with either Pfa1C-ter-HIS (lanes 1 and 2) or Pfa1N-ter-HIS (lanes 3 and 4) prior to pull-down of GST-tagged proteins with glutathione sepharose. Pellet (IP) and supernatant fractions (Sup) were then processed as described in the legend of [Fig. 1](#page-2-0). HIS-tagged proteins were detected by Western using HRP-coupled anti-histidine antibodies.

lane 1) and Pfa1N-ter [\(Fig. 3B,](#page-4-0) lane 3). Removal of the first alpha helix and linker sequence of Prp43 did not prevent the interaction with Pfa1C-ter [\(Fig. 3C,](#page-4-0) lane 1) or Pfa1N-ter [\(Fig.](#page-4-0) [3C](#page-4-0), lane 3). However, the amount of unbound Pfa1N-ter recovered in the supernatant fraction increased compared to

the immunoprecipitation performed with wild-type Prp43 (compare lanes 3 and 4 of [Fig. 3B](#page-4-0) and C), suggesting that lack of the first alpha helix and linker sequence of Prp43 slightly reduces its affinity for Pfa1N-ter. Removal of all three N-terminal alpha helices impaired Prp43 binding to

Pfa1N-ter [\(Fig. 3D](#page-4-0), lanes 3 and 4). However, this truncated version of Prp43 also failed to interact with the C-terminal domain of Pfa1 [\(Fig. 3D,](#page-4-0) lanes 1 and 2), preventing us from drawing any firm conclusion from these results. The failure to detect Pfa1C-ter in the IP of [Fig. 3D,](#page-4-0) lane 1 and Pfa1N-ter in the IP of [Fig. 3D,](#page-4-0) lane 3 indicates that these proteins do not interact with glutathione sepharose on their own. We conclude that the first N-terminal alpha helix and linker of Prp43 are not essential for binding of the N-terminal domain of Pfa1 but may strengthen the interaction.

# *The Prp43 OB fold domain is not essential for the binding of PINX1 to Prp43 but necessary for full Prp43 activation by PINX1*

Prp43 interacts with two G-patch proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis, Pfa1 and Gno1. We have shown that PINX1, the Gno1 human orthologue that can functionally replace Gno1 in yeast, can directly bind to yeast Prp43 and activate its ATPase [\[29](#page-11-14)] and helicase activities [\(Fig. 2D,](#page-3-0) lane 8 and Fig. S3A - B). Substitutions of conserved amino acids within the G-patch domain of PINX1 prevent the interaction with Prp43, indicating that PINX1 binds to Prp43 via the G-patch [\[29](#page-11-14)]. The Prp43 domain required for PINX1 binding remains unknown. To test whether the C-terminal OB fold-containing domain of Prp43 is required for PINX1 binding, we performed immunoprecipitation experiments using purified PINX1 and either Prp43ΔC-ter or Prp43mL4-5. Contrary to our expectations and in sharp contrast to the C-terminal domain of Pfa1, PINX1 could bind to both Prp43ΔC-ter and Prp43mL4-5 [\(Fig. 4A,](#page-5-0) lanes 1 and 3). Note that on its own, PINX1 does not bind to antibody-coated sepharose beads [\(Fig. 4A](#page-5-0), lane 5; see also [\[29](#page-11-14)]). Nevertheless, removal of the C-terminal domain of Prp43, but not the mL4-5 mutation, reduces the affinity of PINX1 for Prp43, as shown by the detection of unbound PINX1 in the supernatant lane 2 of [Fig. 4A,](#page-5-0) but not in the supernatant lane 4 of [Fig. 4A](#page-5-0) (Prp43mL4-5) or in the supernatant lane 2 of [Fig. 4B](#page-5-0) (wild-type Prp43, see also [[29\]](#page-11-14)).

To assess the impact of an N-terminal truncation on the ability of Prp43 to interact with PINX1, we performed immunoprecipitation experiments using PINX1 and Prp43ΔαN1 or Prp43 as control. PINX1 could interact with both Prp43 [\(Fig.](#page-5-0)  [4B,](#page-5-0) lane 1) and Prp43ΔαN1 ([Fig. 4B](#page-5-0), lane 3). We conclude



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Figure 4.** The C-terminal domain and N-terminal alpha helix of Prp43 are not essential for its interaction with GST-PINX1-HIS. (A) Analysis of the interactions between GST-PINX1-HIS and either Prp43ΔC-ter-HIS or Prp43mL4-5-HIS. Purified recombinant GST-PINX1-HIS was mixed with either Prp43ΔC-ter-HIS (lanes 1 and 2) or Prp43mL4-5-HIS (lanes 3 and 4) and precipitation was carried out with anti-Prp43 antibodies. A control precipitation was also performed with GST-PINX1-HIS (lanes 5 and 6) alone. Pellet (IP) and supernatant (Sup) fractions were processed as described in the legend of Fig. 1. HIS-tagged proteins were detected by Western using HRP-coupled anti-histidine antibodies. (B) Analysis of the interactions between GST-PINX1-HIS and Prp43ΔαN1. Purified recombinant GST-PINX1-HIS was mixed with either GST-Prp43-HIS (lanes 1 and 2) or GST-Prp43ΔαN1-HIS (lanes 3 and 4) prior to immunoprecipitation with anti-PINX1 antibodies. Sample processing and Western analysis was carried out as described in (A).

that neither the first alpha helix of the N-terminal domain of Prp43 nor the OB fold and surrounding alpha helices of the C-terminal domain of Prp43 are essential for PINX1 binding.

Although the C-terminal domain of Prp43 is not required for PINX1 binding, it might nevertheless be important for Prp43 activation by PINX1. To investigate this hypothesis, we first performed ATPase assays, which demonstrate that Prp43ΔC-ter ATPase activity is only very weakly stimulated by addition of PINX1 ([Fig. 5](#page-6-0)). Prp43mL4-5 ATPase activity is increased by PINX1 to a greater extent, but far less than wildtype Prp43 ([Fig. 5\)](#page-6-0). We next set up helicase assays using Prp43, Prp43ΔC-ter or Prp43mL4-5 and PINX1 [\(Fig. 2D](#page-3-0)  and Fig. S3A). As stated above, we could show for the first time that PINX1, like Pfa1, can confer strong helicase activity to Prp43 [\(Fig. 2D,](#page-3-0) lanes 5 and 8, Fig. S3A, lanes 5 and 6). In contrast, PINX1 cannot confer helicase activity to Prp43ΔC-ter or Prp43mL4-5 [\(Fig. 2D](#page-3-0), lanes 9 and 10 and Fig. S3A, lane 8). We conclude that the C-terminal domain of Prp43 is not essential for PINX1 binding, unlike what is found for Pfa1C-ter, but necessary for full enzyme activation by PINX1.

## *Mutually exclusive interactions of Pfa1 and PINX1 with Prp43 in vitro*

The fact that Prp43 C-terminal domain is necessary for binding of Pfa1C-ter but dispensable for PINX1 association leaves open the possibility that Pfa1 and PINX1 can bind simultaneously to Prp43. However, we failed to detect in yeast extracts an interaction between Pfa1 and Gno1 (the orthologue of PINX1) [\[29](#page-11-14)], arguing that the formation of a Gno1/ Pfa1/Prp43 trimeric complex is unlikely to occur. To assess whether a PINX1/Pfa1/Prp43 complex can form *in vitro*, we incubated together purified recombinant GST-PINX1, Pfa1 and Prp43, and we proceeded to either pull-down experiments with glutathione sepharose ([Fig. 6A\)](#page-7-0) or immunoprecipitation experiments with anti-PINX1 antibodies ([Fig. 6B](#page-7-0)). In

both cases, a fraction of Prp43 was co-precipitated with PINX1 ([Fig. 6A](#page-7-0) and B, lanes 1), confirming the formation of a PINX1-Prp43 complex. Pfa1 was found in the supernatant fractions only [\(Fig. 6A](#page-7-0) and B, lanes 2) together with some Prp43, likely corresponding to the Prp43 fraction bound to Pfa1 prior to pull-down. The failure to detect co-precipitation of Pfa1 with PINX1 in the presence of Prp43 argues that Prp43 can interact with only one G-patch co-factor at a time.

# *Integrity of Prp43 C-terminal domain is crucial for ribosome biogenesis*

The finding that Prp43mL4-5 displays no helicase activity *in vitro* in the presence of PINX1 suggests that the β4-β5 loop is crucial for Prp43 function in ribosome biogenesis *in vivo*. To test this hypothesis, we expressed Prp43mL4-5 from a centromeric vector in *GAL::prp43* cells in which the chromosomal copy of *PRP43* can be switched off by shifting cells from galactose to glucose-containing medium. We also included Nand C-terminal truncated mutants of Prp43 (Prp43ΔαN1, Prp43ΔαN1-N2, Prp43ΔαN1-N3, Prp43ΔαC2-C3, Prp43ΔC-ter) in the same analysis. All mutant proteins can be produced in *GAL::prp43* cells depleted of endogenous Prp43, with the exception of Prp43ΔαN1 which was not studied further [\(Fig. 7A](#page-8-0) and data not shown). We also reproducibly observed a lower accumulation of Prp43ΔC-ter compared to wild-type Prp43 [\(Fig. 7A\)](#page-8-0), possibly due to its lack of integration within preribosomal particles (see below) that may increase its turnover. Neither Prp43ΔC-ter nor Prp43mL4-5 can support the growth of *GAL::prp43* cells in glucose-containing medium, while under the same conditions, cells expressing Prp43ΔαC2-C3 display a severe growth defect (Fig. S4). In contrast, cells expressing Prp43ΔαN1-N2 or Prp43ΔαN1-N3 grow in glucose-containing medium as well as cells expressing wild-type Prp43. We conclude that the N-terminal domain of Prp43 does not exert an essential function, in accordance with a previous analysis [\[21](#page-11-17)]. This finding is also consistent with the results of a double-hybrid

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 5.** The integrity of the C-terminal domain of Prp43 is required for the full activation of Prp43 by GST-PINX1-HIS. Time course of [α-32P]-ATP hydrolysis by Prp43-HIS, Prp43ΔC-ter-HIS or Prp43mL4-5-HIS either alone or incubated with GST-PINX1-HIS. The values plotted are means of three independent experiments. The standard error of the mean (sem) is shown by brackets.



Glutathione sepharose pull-down



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Figure 6.** Interactions of GST-PINX1-HIS and Pfa1-HIS with Prp43-HIS are mutually exclusive *in vitro*. (A) Pull-down experiments. GST-PINX1-HIS, Pfa1-HIS and Prp43- HIS (lanes 1 and 2) or GST-PINX1-HIS and Pfa1-HIS (lanes 3 and 4), were mixed prior to pull down of GST-PINX1-HIS and associated proteins with glutathione sepharose. Pellet (IP) and supernatant fractions (Sup) were then processed as described in the legend of Fig. 1. HIS-tagged proteins were detected by Western using HRP-coupled anti-histidine antibodies. (B) As in (A), except that immunoprecipitations were carried out with anti-PINX1 antibodies.

<span id="page-7-2"></span>analysis showing that Prp43 N-terminal domain is not necessary for interaction with G-patch proteins required for normal growth, Gno1 and Ntr1 [[53](#page-12-13)]. Contrary to the N-terminal domain, the C-terminal domain and the β4-β5 loop are essential for Prp43 function.

A

<span id="page-7-1"></span>Northern analysis indicates that pre-rRNA processing in cells expressing Prp43ΔC-ter and Prp43mL4-5 is impaired to the same extent as in cells depleted of endogenous Prp43 transformed with the empty vector [\(Fig. 7B](#page-8-0) and S5A, lanes 1, 3 and 7 and Fig. S5B). These cells display an accumulation of 35S pre-rRNA and a depletion of all downstream pre-rRNA processing intermediates (32S, 27SA2, 27SB, 20S pre-rRNAs), i.e. the typical pattern of Prp43-depleted cells [\[26\]](#page-11-18). This is illustrated by a strong and highly statistically significant decrease in 27SA2/35S, (27SA2 + 27SB)/35S and 20S/35S ratios compared to those of the wild-type control (Fig. S5B and Table S2). We conclude that disruption of the  $β4-β5$  loop completely abrogates Prp43 function in ribosome biogenesis. Cells expressing Prp43ΔαC2-C3 also display a strong prerRNA processing defect ([Fig. 7B](#page-8-0) and S5A, lane 6, Fig. S5B and Table S2), underscoring the importance of the alpha helices adjacent to Prp43 OB fold. However, contrary to cells transformed with the empty vector or expressing Prp43ΔC-ter and Prp43mL4-5, cells expressing Prp43ΔαC2- C3 contain some 32S pre-rRNA and display a statistically significant two-fold higher 27SA2/35S ratio (Fig. S5B and Table S2), indicating that early pre-rRNA processing events are slightly less impaired. This is consistent with the fact that *GAL::prp43* cells expressing Prp43ΔαC2-C3 are able to grow, albeit very slowly (Fig. S4). In contrast, cells expressing Prp43ΔαN1-N2 or Prp43ΔαN1-N3 do not exhibit obvious pre-rRNA processing defects [\(Fig. 7B](#page-8-0) and S5A, lanes 4 and 5, Fig. S5B and Table S2), confirming that the N-terminal domain of Prp43 is largely dispensable, at least under our experimental conditions.

To ascertain that the β4-β5 loop disruption prevents Prp43 activation per se *in vivo*, but does not impair its interaction with pre-ribosomal particles, the ability of Prp43mL4-5 to interact with pre-rRNAs was assessed by immunoprecipitation experiments. This analysis had to be performed under conditions of endogenous Prp43 depletion, since overproduction of endogenous Prp43 in galactose-containing medium reduces incorporation within pre-ribosomal particles of wildtype Prp43 expressed from plasmid-encoded transcripts (data not shown). Northern analysis shows that the 35S pre-rRNA is co-precipitated with ProtA-tagged Prp43mL4-5 as efficiently as with ProtA-tagged wild-type Prp43 ([Fig. 7D,](#page-8-0) lanes 6 and 8), indicating that Prp43mL4-5 is indeed incorporated within the initial 90S pre-ribosomal particles. Interestingly, in contrast, 35S pre-rRNA is not detectably co-precipitated with



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Figure 7.** Integrity of Prp43 C-terminal domain is essential for ribosome biogenesis. (A) Western analysis of accumulation of ProtA-tagged wild-type (WT) or Prp43 variants in *GAL::prp43* cells grown 13 hours in glucose-containing minimal medium. Empty: cells transformed with empty parental vector. Prp43 proteins were detected using rabbit PAP. Pgk1, detected using specific antibodies, was used as loading control. (B) Northern analysis of pre-rRNA accumulation in *GAL:: prp43* cells depleted of endogenous Prp43 and expressing ProtA-tagged wildtype (WT) or the indicated Prp43 variants. Names of the various pre-rRNAs detected using anti-sense oligonucleotide probes are indicated on the right. (C) Western analysis of ProtA-tagged wild-type (WT), Prp43ΔC-ter (ΔC-ter) or Prp43mL4-5 (mL4-5) precipitation. Precipitation experiments were carried out with IgG-sepharose and extracts of *GAL::prp43* cells transformed with plasmids directing expression of the above-listed proteins or the empty vector and grown 13 hours in glucose-containing minimal medium. Input: aliquots of input extracts; IPs: precipitated samples. Prp43 proteins were detected using rabbit PAP. (D) Corresponding Northern analysis to assess 35S pre-rRNA coprecipitation. The 35S pre-rRNA immunoprecipitation efficiency relative to wildtype (arbitrarily set at 1) assessed by phosphorimager scanning of the Northern blot is indicated below each IP lane.

Prp43ΔC-ter over background [\(Fig. 7D,](#page-8-0) lane 7), even though the Prp43ΔC-ter protein itself is precipitated to the same extent as Prp43mL4-5 [\(Fig. 7C\)](#page-8-0). We conclude that the C-terminal domain of Prp43 is required for its efficient incorporation and/or retention within initial pre-ribosomal particles.

# **Discussion**

The multifunctional DEAH RNA helicase Prp43 plays crucial roles in different cellular processes, including pre-mRNA splicing and ribosome biogenesis, and at distinct steps of these two processes. Moreover, it associates with different G-patch co-factors that are crucial activators of Prp43 enzymatic activities, at least *in vitro* [[11,](#page-11-2)[33](#page-11-10)]. The direct RNA/RNP targets of Prp43, its mechanism of action and its mode of activation by G-patch proteins are major issues that are not fully resolved. In particular, how the G-patch protein Pfa1 interacts with and activates Prp43 remains to be clarified. We previously showed that the C-terminal domain of Pfa1 containing the G-patch constitutes a Prp43 interaction and activation domain [[30](#page-11-15)]. A truncated version of Pfa1, Pfa1(1–565), that lacks the C-terminal G-patch-containing domain is able to bind to Prp43 via the N-terminal region of Pfa1 but is unable to activate Prp43 [[30](#page-11-15)]. In a subsequent study, we initiated the search for the domain(s) of Prp43 that contacts the G-patch containing C-terminal domain of Pfa1. We showed that the C-terminal OB fold-containing domain of Prp43 is crucial for the binding of the G-patch-containing domain of Pfa1 (Pfa1C-ter) [\[17\]](#page-11-16). In the present study, we further show that the C-terminal alpha helix αC3 of Prp43 is not necessary for the binding of Pfa1C-ter (for a summary of the properties of Prp43 mutants, see Table S1). More importantly, we demonstrate that the integrity of the loop connecting the  $\beta$ 4 and  $\beta$ 5 strands of Prp43 OB fold is crucial for the binding of Pfa1Cter. Thus, this loop may constitute a direct and crucial contact point for the C-terminal domain of Pfa1. Alternatively or in addition, the integrity of the  $β4-β5$  loop may be necessary for the correct folding of a nearby Prp43 domain necessary for Pfa1 G-patch binding (see below). The binding sites(s) for PINX1 on Prp43 have not been identified thus far and we expected them to be located within the C-terminal OB foldcontaining domain of Prp43. This expectation was reinforced by our failure to detect the formation of a Pfa1/Prp43/PINX1 complex *in vitro*, strongly suggesting that binding of Pfa1 and Gno1/PINX1 to Prp43 are mutually exclusive. This exclusivity notion was also strengthened by the finding that isolated G-patch domains of Pfa1, Gno1 or Cmg1, a recently described cytoplasmic activator of Prp43, compete for the binding of the G-patch domain of Ntr1 to Prp43 [[28](#page-11-8)]. However, we found that the C-terminal domain of Prp43 was not essential for the binding of PINX1, consistent with the recent observation that Gno1 can interact in a double-hybrid assay with a truncated form of Prp43 lacking most of the C-terminal domain [[53](#page-12-13)]. Our finding could not be explained by the presence of two functionally independent Prp43 binding sites within PINX1 as is the case for Pfa1 since the integrity of the G-patch domain is essential for full-length PINX1 binding to Prp43 [[29](#page-11-14)]. While this manuscript was in preparation, the crystal structures of helicase DHX15 bound to the G-patch of NKRF and of splicing helicase Prp2 bound to the G-patch of Spp2 were reported [\[39,](#page-12-10)[51\]](#page-12-11). In both structures, the G-patch binds its partner helicase in a similar way, forming a flexible molecular

brace across the helicase surface. It interacts with the helicase mostly via two anchor points. The N-terminal alpha helix of the G-patch packs on the long alpha helix of the winged helix domain while the C-terminal loop stacks into a hydrophobic pocket at the top of the RecA2 domain. Studer and collaborators [[39](#page-12-10)] propose that the G-patch increases the activity of the helicase by restricting the mobility of the RecA2 domain relative to the rest of the structure and favouring the adoption of a conformation with high RNA-binding affinity. Strikingly, no specific contacts between the G-patch and the OB fold domain of the helicase were reported. The finding that the entire OB fold domain of Prp43 is dispensable for PINX1 binding argues that PINX1 interacts with Prp43 in a similar fashion to NKRF and Spp2 with DHX15 and Prp2, respectively. The dependency of Pfa1C-ter on the OB fold and the integrity of the β4-β5 loop for binding to Prp43 indicates that its mode of interaction is significantly different. Strikingly, in both the DHX15/NKRF G-patch and Prp2/Spp2 G-patch structures, the C-terminal part of the G-patch is found in the vicinity of the equivalent of the β4-β5 loop of the helicase (see Fig. S1). In particular, T742 and T743 of the β4-β5 loop of DHX15 OB fold and H586 and K587 of NKRF G-patch are close (Fig. S1). The C-terminal part of Pfa1 G-patch may make a direct and crucial contact with the β4-β5 loop. Alternatively, β4-β5 loop mutations may impact folding of the top of the RecA2 domain or its  $β$  hairpin in such a way that Pfa1C-ter binding, but not that of PINX1, is prevented. We note however that the Prp43mL4-5 protein in which the β4-β5 loop has been disrupted is not grossly misfolded since it exhibits normal basal ATPase activity and is able to bind to PINX1 and to the N-terminal domain of Pfa1.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>Interestingly, Pfa1 and PINX1 have different effects on Prp43 helicase activity *in vitro*. In complex with PINX1, Prp43 displays a helicase activity with a strict 3ʹ-5ʹ specificity (Fig. S3C, compare lanes 4 and 8), as described for a majority of helicases [[54](#page-12-14)[,55\]](#page-12-15). In contrast, the Prp43/Pfa1 complex is capable of unwinding a duplex nucleic acid substrate with a 5ʹ overhang that could not be unwound by the Prp43/PINX1 complex, albeit with lower efficiency compared with the equivalent substrate with a 3ʹ overhang (Fig. S3C, compare lanes 3 and 7). This ability to open a duplex with a 5ʹ overhang may be imparted by an interaction between Pfa1 and the OB fold and more specifically the region encompassing the β4-β5 loop, that could modify the position of the RNA substrate within the RNA-binding channel. The fact that Pfa1 possesses an N-terminal Prp43 interaction domain may also contribute to the differences in helicase activity characteristics. The *in vivo* functional consequences of the biochemical differences between the two complexes remain to be investigated. They may have evolved to better deal with different RNA substrates. Indeed, one of the specific functions of the Prp43/Gno1 complex may be to disrupt a subset of box C/D snoRNA/pre-rRNA associations within 90S particles [[45](#page-12-3)], while one or several major specific targets of the Prp43/Pfa1 complex are likely intramolecular RNA structures of the 20S pre-rRNA in pre-40S particles, with which Pfa1 displays a prominent association [\[26\]](#page-11-18). In particular, Prp43 interacts with sequences that form helix h44 of mature 18S rRNA [[50\]](#page-12-9) and the region encompassing the connected helices h28, h44

<span id="page-9-2"></span>and h45 undergoes conformational rearrangements right until the end of pre-40S particle maturation [\[56\]](#page-12-16). The Prp43/Pfa1 complex is believed to contribute to some of these rearrangements [\[44,](#page-12-2)[56\]](#page-12-16) and the ability of this complex to unwind RNA duplexes with 5ʹ or 3ʹ single-stranded extremities may be required at this stage.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Since PINX1 can still bind to Prp43mL4-5 but fails to fully stimulate the ATPase activity of Prp43mL4-5 (in absence of RNA) compared to its effect on wild-type Prp43, we conclude that somehow the integrity of the β4-β5 loop is necessary for the catalytic centre to adopt the correct structure following G-patch binding. In addition, we have previously shown that the ATPase activity of Prp43mL4-5 is less stimulated by RNA than wild-type Prp43 [\[17](#page-11-16)]. Thus, the OB fold region encompassing the β4-β5 loop might also provide contact points for RNA. This is supported by the finding of the β4-β5 loop in close proximity to RNA in a Prp43/poly-uracil crystal structure [[13](#page-11-19)]. The crucial role of the β4-β5 loop is underscored by the fact that Prp43mL4-5 cannot sustain the viability of Prp43-depleted cells. This is due, at least in part, to the inability of Prp43mL4-5 to support normal pre-rRNA processing. Since Prp43mL4-5 is integrated within the initial 90S pre-ribosomal particles and yet its expression leads to the same pre-rRNA processing defects as lack of Prp43, we conclude that Prp43mL4-5 activity as such is largely, if not fully impaired during ribosome biogenesis. Results from our *in vitro* helicase assays suggest that it is the failure of Prp43mL4-5-Pfa1 and Prp43mL4-5-Gno1/PINX1 complexes to function as helicases *in vivo* that is the main reason for the observed ribosome biogenesis defects. Interestingly, while our results show that the integrity of the β4-β5 loop is not required for the integration of Prp43 within 90S preribosomal particles, they also indicate that Prp43 C-terminal domain encompassing the OB fold and C-terminal alpha helices is essential for this integration.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### *E. coli and yeast strains, media and plasmids*

*E. coli* strains directing expression of Prp43-HIS, GST-PINX1- HIS, GST-Prp43-HIS, GST-Prp43ΔαN1-HIS, GST-Prp43ΔαN1- N3-HIS, Prp43ΔαC3-HIS, Pfa1-HIS, Pfa1C-ter-HIS, Pfa1N-ter-HIS were obtained by transforming *E. coli* BL21 λDE3 with plasmids pSL18 [[30\]](#page-11-15), pRC43 [[29\]](#page-11-14), pFM15, pFM16, pFM17, pFM19, pSL20 [[30\]](#page-11-15), pSL53bis [\[30\]](#page-11-15), pSL55 [\[30](#page-11-15)], respectively.

pFM15 was obtained by inserting into BamHI digested pGEX-4T1 a BglII digested *PRP43-HIS* PCR cassette obtained by amplifying the *PRP43* ORF using oligonucleotides 5ʹGST Prp43 (5ʹGGGGGAGATCTATGGGTTCCA AAAGAAGATTCTCGTCCGAACACCCGGATCCAGTT-G3ʹ) and Prp43inpsO2 (5ʹGGGGGAGATCTTCAGTGGT GGTGGTGGTGGTGTTTCTTGGAGTGCTTACTCTTCT-TTT3ʹ). pFM16 was obtained by inserting into BamHI digested pGEX-4T1 a BglII digested *PRP43(59-767)-HIS*  PCR cassette obtained by amplifying the *PRP43* ORF using oligonucleotides 5ʹGST Prp43(59–767) (5ʹGGGGGAGATCTTCAGCAGAAGAGGCCCAAAAAC-TTGAAGATGGAAAAATAAATC3ʹ) and Prp43inpsO2. pFM17 was obtained by inserting into BamHI digested pGEX-4T1 a BglII digested *PRP43(92-767)-HIS* PCR cassette obtained by amplifying the *PRP43* ORF using oligonucleotides 5ʹGST Prp43(92–767) (5ʹGGGGGAGATCTGA ATTGCCAGTACATGCCCAGAGAGATGAGTTTTTAA-

AAC3') and Prp43inpsO2. pFM19 was obtained by inserting into XbaI/XhoI digested pSCodon1 a SpeI/XhoI digested *PRP43(1-723)-HIS* PCR cassette obtained by amplifying the *PRP43* ORF using oligonucleotides Prp43 inps O1 (5ʹGGGGGGACTAGTTTTAAGAAGGAGATA TACATATGGGTTCCAAAAGAAGATTCTCGTCC3ʹ) and 3ʹPrp43(1–723) (5ʹCCCCC*CTCGAG*TCAGTGGTGGTGGT GGTGGTGAGGCGCTATTTCAATCAGCCATTCGGGC-CTGACCGAGGTCAC3ʹ).

An *E. coli* strain directing expression of Prp43ΔC-ter-HIS was obtained by transforming *E. coli* SE1 with plasmid pMFX [\[17](#page-11-16)]. Plasmids encoding Prp43mL1-2-HIS (combined K663A, R664G mutations and deletion of residues 665–668) and Prp43mL4- 5-HIS (combined T702G, S703G mutations and K704 deletion) were derived from pSL18 by GenScript Corporation (Piscataway, NJ) [[17\]](#page-11-16) and transformed into strain SE1.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>A *GAL::HA-prp43* strain was obtained by transforming into a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain (*his3-*Δ*200 leu2-Δ1 trp1-*Δ*63 ura3-52)* a KanMX6-GAL1-10-3HA PCR cassette flanked by *PRP43* promoter sequences obtained by PCR amplification performed with plasmid pFA6a-kanMX6- PGAL1-3HA [\[57](#page-12-17)] as template and oligonucleotides OHA424 (5ʹATTCAATTGTAATATTTAACACGTTTACG GGGATGCGATTGAGTAACGATGAATTCGAGCTCGT-TTAAAC3ʹ) and OHA426 (5ʹGTCTCAACTGGATC CGGGTGTTCGGACGAGAATCTTCTTTTGGAACCCAT-GCACTGAGCAGCGTAATCTG3ʹ). To obtain *S. cerevisiae*  strains expressing Prp43ΔC-ter, Prp43ΔαN1-N2, Prp43ΔαN1-N3, Prp43ΔαC2-C3, Prp43mL4-5, or wildtype Prp43 fused two IgG-binding domains (ZZ) of *S. aureus* protein A, the *GAL::HA-prp43* strain was transformed with centromeric plasmids derived from pHA113 [[58\]](#page-12-18), termed pFM14, pFM10, pFM11, pFM13, pFM18 and pFM7. To construct pFM7, the *PRP43* ORF was amplified with oligonucleotides 5'SCPRP43 (5ʹGGGGGAGATCT AATGGGTTCCAAAAGAAGATTCTCGTCCGAAC3ʹ) and 3'SCPRP43 (5ʹGGGGGAGATCTAATTTCTTGGAGTG CTTACTCTTCTTTTTGTTTTTACC3ʹ) and pSL18 as a template, the resulting PCR product was digested with BglII and inserted into BamHI cut pHA113. To construct pFM10 or pFM11, the *PRP43* ORF was amplified with pSL18 as a template, oligonucleotides 5'SCPRP43(80–767) (5ʹGGGGGAGATCTTACACCAAAATATGTTGATATCC-TGAAAATTAG3ʹ) or 5'SCPRP43(92–767) (5ʹGGGGGAG ATCTAGAATTGCCAGTACATGCCCAGAGAGATGA-

<span id="page-10-1"></span>G3ʹ), respectively, and 3'SCPRP43, the resulting PCR product was digested with BglII and inserted into BamHI cut pHA113. To construct pFM13 or pFM14, the *PRP43* ORF was amplified with pSL18 as a template, oligonucleotides 5'SCPRP43 and 3'SCPRP43(1–713) (5ʹGGGGGAGATCT AAGACCGAGGTCACAGTTCTTATGTAGTTCTTCGAT-G3') or 3'SCPRP43(1-657) (5'GGGGGAGATCTAAGAA AAACCCAGACGCAAGAGCCTTTCTGATGTTG3ʹ), the resulting PCR product was digested with BglII and inserted into BamHI cut pHA113. To construct pFM18, the 1958 bp AgeI/AflII fragment within *PRP43* ORF was digested from pSL18-Prp43mL4-5-HIS (see above) and used to replace the corresponding fragment in pFM7. Strains have been grown in YP (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone) supplemented with 2% galactose or 2% glucose or in YNB (1.7 g/L) supplemented with ammonium sulphate (5 g/L) and 2% galactose or 2% glucose.

### *Protein purification*

Recombinant protein purifications from transformed *E. coli*  BL21 λDE3 bacteria were performed as described in [[29](#page-11-14)].

#### *Immunoprecipitations with recombinant proteins*

They were performed as described in [\[29\]](#page-11-14), except that anti-PINX1 antibodies (ab99112, Abcam) were used in some immunoprecipitations.

#### *Immunoprecipitations using yeast extracts*

Immunoprecipitations using yeast extracts were performed using IgG-sepharose as described in [[59](#page-12-19)] except that 200 mM KCl was used in the buffer for extract preparation and washing steps. Northern and western blot experiments to analyse input and immunoprecipitated samples were performed as in [\[59\]](#page-12-19).

#### <span id="page-10-2"></span>*GST pull-down experiments*

A total of 2 μg of each recombinant purified protein were mixed in the indicated combinations and incubated with 50 μl of Glutathione beads (Thermo Scientific) in 500 μl IP buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM KCl, 5 mM  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ , 10% glycerol, 0.1% NP-40, 0.5 mM DTT) with gentle shaking for 1 h at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and proteins precipitated with TCA. Beads were washed four times with 1 ml IP buffer and proteins retained on the beads were eluted with 50 μl SDS-PAGE loading buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 200 mM DTT, 0.04% bromophenol blue). Proteins were analysed by Western blotting using His mAb HRP conjugate (Clontech).

#### *ATPase assays*

The ATPase activity of the proteins  $(0.1 \mu M)$  was measured in a 5 μl reaction volume containing 25 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.0), 10 mM Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM DTT, 100 μg/ml BSA (Sigma) and 0.6  $\mu$ Ci/ $\mu$ l [ $\alpha$ <sup>-32</sup>P] ATP and unlabelled ATP at 100 μM. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 30°C for 0, 5, 10, 30 and 60 min, stopped on ice and 1 μl from each sample was analysed by thin-layer chromatography on PEI-Cellulose plates (Merck) using 0.75 M  $KH_2PO_4$  as migration buffer. The plates were dried and radioactivity was quantified on a Fuji BAS 3000 Phosphorimager.

#### *Helicase assays*

They were performed as described in [[30\]](#page-11-15).

### **Acknowledgments**

We thank the members of our team for helpful discussions.

### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by the CNRS; Université Paul Sabatier; Ligue Contre le Cancer (équipe labellisée to Y.H.); Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-2010-BLAN-1224 to Y.H.); Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (to S.M.); Ligue Contre le Cancer;ANR [ANR-2010-BLAN-1224];CNRS.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[1] Bleichert F, Baserga SJ. The long unwinding road of RNA helicases. Mol Cell. [2007;](#page-0-1)27(3):339–352.
- [2] Bourgeois CF, Mortreux F, Auboeuf D. The multiple functions of RNA helicases as drivers and regulators of gene expression. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016;17(7):426–438.
- [3] De I, Schmitzova J, Pena V. The organization and contribution of helicases to RNA splicing. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2016;7 (2):259–274.
- [4] Khemici V, Linder P. RNA helicases in RNA decay. Biochem Soc Trans. 2018;46(1):163–172.
- [5] Martin R, Straub AU, Doebele C, et al. DExD/H-box RNA helicases in ribosome biogenesis. RNA Biol. 2013;10(1):4–18.
- [6] Rodriguez-Galan O, Garcia-Gomez JJ, de la Cruz J. Yeast and human RNA helicases involved in ribosome biogenesis: current status and perspectives. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013;1829 (8):775–790.
- [7] Liu YC, Cheng SC. Functional roles of DExD/H-box RNA helicases in Pre-mRNA splicing. J Biomed Sci. 2015;22:54.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[8] Gilman B, Tijerina P, Russell R. Distinct RNA-unwinding mechanisms of DEAD-box and DEAH-box RNA helicase proteins in remodeling structured RNAs and RNPs. Biochem Soc Trans. [2017](#page-0-2);45(6):1313–1321.
- [9] Jankowsky E. RNA helicases at work: binding and rearranging. Trends Biochem Sci. 2011;36(1):19–29.
- [10] Jarmoskaite I, Russell R. RNA helicase proteins as chaperones and remodelers. Annu Rev Biochem. 2014;83:697–725.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[11] Sloan KE, Bohnsack MT. Unravelling the mechanisms of RNA helicase regulation. Trends Biochem Sci. [2018](#page-0-3);43(4):237–250.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[12] He Y, Andersen GR, Nielsen KH. Structural basis for the function of DEAH helicases. EMBO Rep. [2010](#page-0-4);11(3):180–186.
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>[13] He Y, Staley JP, Andersen GR, et al. Structure of the DEAH/RHA ATPase Prp43p bound to RNA implicates a pair of hairpins and motif Va in translocation along RNA. RNA. [2017;](#page-9-0)23 (7):1110–1124.
- [14] Kudlinzki D, Schmitt A, Christian H, et al. Structural analysis of the C-terminal domain of the spliceosomal helicase Prp22. Biol Chem. 2012;393(10):1131–1140.
- [15] Tauchert MJ, Fourmann J-B, Christian H, et al. Structural and functional analysis of the RNA helicase Prp43 from the thermophilic eukaryote Chaetomium thermophilum. Acta Crystallogr F Struct Biol Commun. 2016;72(Pt 2):112–120.
- [16] Tauchert MJ, Fourmann J-B, Lührmann R, et al. Structural insights into the mechanism of the DEAH-box RNA helicase Prp43. Elife. 2017;6. DOI:[10.7554/eLife.21510](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21510).
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>[17] Walbott H, Mouffok S, Capeyrou R, et al. Prp43p contains a processive helicase structural architecture with a specific regulatory domain. Embo J. [2010;](#page-1-0)29(13):2194–2204.
- [18] Hamann F, Enders M, Ficner R. Structural basis for RNA translocation by DEAH-box ATPases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47 (8):4349–4362.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[19] Arenas JE, Abelson JN. Prp43: an RNA helicase-like factor involved in spliceosome disassembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [1997](#page-0-5);94(22):11798–11802.
- [20] Fourmann J-B, Schmitzova J, Christian H, et al. Dissection of the factor requirements for spliceosome disassembly and the elucidation of its dissociation products using a purified splicing system. Genes Dev. 2013;27(4):413–428.
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>[21] Martin A, Schneider S, Schwer B. Prp43 is an essential RNA-dependent ATPase required for release of lariat-intron from the spliceosome. J Biol Chem. [2002;](#page-6-1)277(20):17743–17750.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>[22] Tsai RT, Fu RH, Yeh FL, et al. Spliceosome disassembly catalyzed by Prp43 and its associated components Ntr1 and Ntr2. Genes Dev. [2005;](#page-1-1)19(24):2991–3003.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[23] Mayas RM, Maita H, Semlow DR, et al. Spliceosome discards intermediates via the DEAH box ATPase Prp43p. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2010](#page-0-6);107(22):10020–10025.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[24] Semlow DR, Staley JP. Staying on message: ensuring fidelity in pre-mRNA splicing. Trends Biochem Sci. [2012;](#page-0-6)37(7):263–273.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[25] Combs DJ, Nagel RJ, Ares M, et al. Prp43p is a DEAH-box spliceosome disassembly factor essential for ribosome biogenesis. Mol Cell Biol. [2006](#page-0-7);26(2):523–534.
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>[26] Lebaron S, Froment C, Fromont-Racine M, et al. The splicing ATPase Prp43p is a component of multiple preribosomal particles. Mol Cell Biol. [2005](#page-7-1);25(21):9269–9282.
- [27] Leeds NB, Small EC, Hiley SL, et al. The splicing factor Prp43p, a DEAH box ATPase, functions in ribosome biogenesis. Mol Cell Biol. 2006;26(2):513–522.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[28] Heininger AU, Hackert P, Andreou AZ, et al. Protein cofactor competition regulates the action of a multifunctional RNA helicase in different pathways. RNA Biol. [2016;](#page-0-8)13(3):320–330.
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>[29] Chen Y-L, Capeyrou R, Humbert O, et al. The telomerase inhibitor Gno1p/PINX1 activates the helicase Prp43p during ribosome biogenesis. Nucleic Acids Res. [2014;](#page-1-2)42 (11):7330–7345.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>[30] Lebaron S, Papin C, Capeyrou R, et al. The ATPase and helicase activities of Prp43p are stimulated by the G-patch protein Pfa1p during yeast ribosome biogenesis. Embo J. [2009](#page-1-3);28(24):3808–3819.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>[31] Tanaka N, Aronova A, Schwer B. Ntr1 activates the Prp43 helicase to trigger release of lariat-intron from the spliceosome. Genes Dev. [2007;](#page-1-1)21(18):2312–2325.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>[32] Aravind L, Koonin EV. G-patch: a new conserved domain in eukaryotic RNA-processing proteins and type D retroviral polyproteins. Trends Biochem Sci. [1999;](#page-0-3)24(9):342–344.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>[33] Robert-Paganin J, Rety S, Leulliot N. Regulation of DEAH/RHA helicases by G-patch proteins. Biomed Res Int. [2015](#page-0-3);2015:931857.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>[34] Chen Z, Gui B, Zhang Y, et al. Identification of a 35S U4/U6.U5 tri-small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (tri-snRNP) complex intermediate in spliceosome assembly. J Biol Chem. [2017;](#page-0-9)292 (44):18113–18128.
- [35] Lin ML, Fukukawa C, Park J-H, et al. Involvement of G-patch domain containing 2 overexpression in breast carcinogenesis. Cancer Sci. 2009;100(8):1443–1450.
- [36] Memet I, Doebele C, Sloan KE, et al. The G-patch protein NF-kappaB-repressing factor mediates the recruitment of the exonuclease XRN2 and activation of the RNA helicase DHX15 in human ribosome biogenesis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45 (9):5359–5374.
- [37] Niu Z, Jin W, Zhang L, et al. Tumor suppressor RBM5 directly interacts with the DExD/H-box protein DHX15 and stimulates its helicase activity. FEBS Lett. 2012;586(7):977–983.
- [38] Wen X, Tannukit S, Paine ML. TFIP11 interacts with mDEAH9, an RNA helicase involved in spliceosome disassembly. Int J Mol Sci. 2008;9(11):2105–2113.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[39] Studer MK, Ivanović L, Weber ME, et al. Structural basis for DEAH-helicase activation by G-patch proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2020.](#page-1-4) DOI:[10.1073/pnas.1913880117.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913880117)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[40] Boon KL, Auchynnikava T, Edwalds-Gilbert G, et al. Yeast ntr1/ spp382 mediates prp43 function in postspliceosomes. Mol Cell Biol. [2006;](#page-1-1)26(16):6016–6023.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[41] Fourmann JB, Dybkov O, Agafonov DE, et al. The target of the DEAH-box NTP triphosphatase Prp43 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae spliceosomes is the U2 snRNP-intron interaction. Elife. [2016](#page-1-5);5. DOI:[10.7554/eLife.15564.](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.15564)
- [42] Fourmann JB, Tauchert MJ, Ficner R, et al. Regulation of Prp43-mediated disassembly of spliceosomes by its cofactors Ntr1 and Ntr2. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(7):4068–4080.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[43] Guglielmi B, Werner M. The yeast homolog of human PinX1 is involved in rRNA and small nucleolar RNA maturation, not in telomere elongation inhibition. J Biol Chem. [2002;](#page-1-6)277 (38):35712–35719.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[44] Pertschy B, Schneider C, Gnädig M, et al. RNA helicase Prp43 and its co-factor Pfa1 promote 20 to 18S rRNA processing catalyzed by the endonuclease Nob1. J Biol Chem. [2009;](#page-1-7)284 (50):35079–35091.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[45] Robert-Paganin J, Halladjian M, Blaud M, et al. Functional link between DEAH/RHA helicase Prp43 activation and ATP base binding. Nucleic Acids Res. [2017](#page-1-2);45(3):1539–1552.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[46] Henras AK, Plisson-Chastang C, O'Donohue M-F, et al. An overview of pre-ribosomal RNA processing in eukaryotes. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. [2015](#page-1-8);6(2):225–242.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[47] Kressler D, Hurt E, Bassler J. A puzzle of life: crafting ribosomal subunits. Trends Biochem Sci. [2017;](#page-1-8)42(8):640–654.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[48] Wan R, Yan C, Bai R, et al. Structure of an intron lariat spliceosome from saccharomyces cerevisiae. Cell. [2017;](#page-1-9)171(1):120–132 e12.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[49] Toroney R, Nielsen KH, Staley JP. Termination of pre-mRNA splicing requires that the ATPase and RNA unwindase Prp43p acts on the catalytic snRNA U6. Genes Dev. [2019;](#page-1-10)33 (21–22):1555–1574.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[50] Bohnsack MT, Martin R, Granneman S, et al. Prp43 bound at different sites on the pre-rRNA performs distinct functions in ribosome synthesis. Mol Cell. [2009](#page-1-11);36(4):583–592.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[51] Hamann F, Schmitt A, Favretto F, et al. Structural analysis of the intrinsically disordered splicing factor Spp2 and its binding to the DEAH-box ATPase Prp2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2020;](#page-1-4)117 (6):2948–2956.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[52] Henras AK, Soudet J, Gérus M, et al. The post-transcriptional steps of eukaryotic ribosome biogenesis. Cell Mol Life Sci. [2008;](#page-3-1)65 (15):2334–2359.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[53] Banerjee D, McDaniel PM, Rymond BC. Limited portability of G-patch domains in regulators of the Prp43 RNA helicase required for pre-mRNA splicing and ribosomal RNA maturation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. [2015](#page-7-2);200  $(1):135-147$
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[54] Pyle AM. Translocation and unwinding mechanisms of RNA and DNA helicases. Annu Rev Biophys. [2008;](#page-9-1)37:317–336.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[55] Singleton MR, Dillingham MS, Wigley DB. Structure and mechanism of helicases and nucleic acid translocases. Annu Rev Biochem. [2007](#page-9-1);76:23–50.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[56] Cerezo E, Plisson-Chastang C, Henras AK, et al. Maturation of pre-40S particles in yeast and humans. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. [2019;](#page-9-2)10(1):e1516.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>[57] Longtine MS, Mckenzie III A, Demarini DJ, et al. Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast. [1998;](#page-10-0)14 (10):953–961.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>[58] Henras A, Dez C, Noaillac-Depeyre J, et al. Accumulation of H/ ACA snoRNPs depends on the integrity of the conserved central domain of the RNA-binding protein Nhp2p. Nucleic Acids Res. [2001](#page-10-1);29(13):2733–2746.
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>[59] Joret C, Capeyrou R, Belhabich-Baumas K, et al. The Npa1p complex chaperones the assembly of the earliest eukaryotic large ribosomal subunit precursor. PLoS Genet. [2018;](#page-10-2)14(8): e1007597.