

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Interleukin-1 and interleukin-6 inhibition in patients with COVID-19 and hyperinflammation

Published Online March 8, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2665-9913(21)00064-3

Published Online March 8, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2665-9913(21)00066-7 We read with interest the study by Giulio Cavalli and colleagues.¹ The Article compares the clinical effectiveness of IL-1 inhibition (anakinra) and IL-6 inhibition (tocilizumab or sarilumab) with standard treatment in a large homogeneous cohort of patients with COVID-19, respiratory insufficiency, and hyperinflammation.

The authors reported a significantly reduced mortality risk in patients who received anakinra (hazard ratio [HR] 0.450, 95% CI 0.204-0.990, p=0.047) but not in those treated with IL-6 inhibition (HR 0.900, 0.412-1.966, p=0.79). However, the dose of anakinra in this study (5 mg/kg twice daily until clinical benefit) was higher than that used by our group² and other authors, and raises safety concerns. High doses of anakinra were associated with a 24% rate of severe adverse effects and a 14% rate of infectious complications in one published study.3 Moreover, several clinical trials of high doses of anakinra in patients with COVID-19 have been stopped because of safety concerns. Our group observed that early treatment with intermediate doses of anakinra in patients with moderate hyperinflammation was associated with a reduced risk of mortality (adjusted HR 0.518, 0.265-0.910; p=0.044). Our, as yet unpublished, clinical experience with more than 100 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia and moderate hyperinflammation treated with intermediate doses of anakinra (100 mg/12 h subcutaneously until sustained improvement in respiratory parameters and serum C-reactive protein, then 100 mg/day subcutaneously for 5-7 days) suggests that this regimen is efficacious

in controlling inflammation and reducing mortality without increasing adverse events in patients whose respiratory condition worsened within 24 h after receiving glucocorticoids (methylprednisolone 1 mg/kg per day intravenously). Published studies by Huet and colleagues4 showed that intermediate doses of anakinra (100 mg twice a day for 72 h, then 100 mg daily for 7 days) reduced both the need for invasive mechanical ventilation in the intensive care unit and mortality among patients with severe forms of COVID-19, without serious sideeffects. In another published study,5 which used intermediate doses of anakinra (a single daily dose of 300 mg intravenously for 5 days, then tapered to 200 mg/day for 2 days, and to 100 mg for 1 day), all patients improved clinically with no deaths and no adverse effects or bacterial infections. In our opinion, the early use of intermediate doses of anakinra in patients with moderate hyperinflammation associated with severe COVID-19 pneumonia could reduce mortality by controlling inflammation, probably with a better safety profile.

The authors declare no competing interests.

*Juan Salvatierra, Ismael Francisco Aomar-Millán, José Hernández-Quero juan.salvatierra.sspa@gmail.com

Department of Rheumatology, San Cecilio University Hospital, Granada, Spain (JS); Department of Internal Medicine, San Cecilio University Hospital, Granada, Spain (IFA-M); Department of Infectious Diseases, San Cecilio University Hospital, Granada, Spain (JHQ)

- Cavalli G, Larcher A, Tomelleri A, et al. Interleukin-1 and interleukin-6 inhibition compared with standard management in patients with COVID-19 and hyperinflammation: a cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2021; published online Feb 3. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2665-9913(21)00012-6.
- 2 Aomar-Millán IF, Salvatierra J, Torres-Parejo Ú, et al. Anakinra after treatment with corticosteroids alone or with tocilizumab in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and moderate hyperinflammation. A retrospective cohort study. Intern Emerg Med 2021; published online Jan 5. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11739-020-02600-z.

- 3 Cavalli G, De Luca G, Campochiaro C, et al. Interleukin-1 blockade with high-dose anakinra in patients with COVID-19, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and hyperinflammation: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2020: 2: e325–31.
- 4 Huet T, Beaussier H, Voisin O, et al. Anakinra for severe forms of COVID-19: a cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2020; 2: e393–400.
- Cauchois R, Koubi M, Delarbre D, et al. Early IL-1 receptor blockade in severe inflammatory respiratory failure complicating COVID-19. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2020; 117: 18951–53.

Authors' reply

We thank Juan Salvatierra and colleagues for their interest in our Article.1 Salvatierra and colleagues pose a critical question: how to select the right treatment and regimen for a patient with severe COVID-19. We posit that cytokine inhibition has a clear rationale in patients with severe COVID-19 with hyperinflammation, a condition caused by excess cytokine production and burdened by considerable mortality.2 In patients with severe COVID-19 and hyperinflammation, inhibiting excess cytokine production might reduce mortality. Hence, throughout our studies, we did not treat patients with mild or moderate disease or those without evidence of hyperinflammation, as we feel that many of these individuals have an appropriate immune response to the virus. 1,3 Conversely, we selectively and consistently evaluated anakinra in patients with severe COVID-19, respiratory insufficiency, and hyperinflammation (defined as serum C-reactive protein ≥100 mg/L, ferritin ≥900 ng/mL, or both). In this population of patients with severe COVID-19, we initially evaluated low-dose subcutaneous anakinra (100 mg twice daily). However, we found that treatment with lowdose subcutaneously was neither associated with reductions in serum C-reactive protein nor with meaningful improvements in clinical status at day 7.3 Although no safety concerns emerged, the lack of marked clinical or anti-inflammatory effects led to early termination of this

preliminary experience and prompted the evaluation of higher doses (5 mg/kg twice a day) and intravenous administration, which we found to be efficacious. Of note, anakinra had been previously used intravenously and at doses that far exceed those approved for licensed indications: for example, high-dose intravenous anakinra was evaluated in previous studies of septic shock and macrophage activation syndrome, both conditions sharing similarities with severe COVID-19.1,3 Overall, anakinra has a remarkable record of safety, as a short half-life allows prompt discontinuation in the event of adverse events including bacterial infections.

It is probable that in early phases of COVID-19, viral-induced necrotic cell death of pneumocytes results in the release of IL-1 α , which fosters local inflammation; eventually, this and other mediators induce the release of IL-1 β by infiltrating myeloid cells, which results in runaway inflammatory responses.⁴ Thereby, it is certainly

possible that lower doses of anakinra might be effective when used in earlier stages of disease development, or in patients with less severe disease, as suggested by Salvatierra and colleagues. Indeed, Huet and colleagues (among others) found lower disease regimens to be also effective in COVID-19.5 However, information on the best treatment regimen cannot be extrapolated from indirect comparisons between different studies. Nor should concerns about potential toxicity discourage us from titrating anakinra to the dosage that is clinically needed for optimal suppression of IL-1-mediate inflammation, since undertreating severe COVID-19 is likely to pose more threats than overdosing anakinra.

GC and LD declare consultation honoraria from Sobi, Roche, and Sanofi outside the submitted work. AL and AT declare no competing interests.

*Giulio Cavalli, Alessandro Larcher, Alessandro Tomelleri, Lorenzo Dagna cavalli.giulio@hsr.it

Unit of Immunology, Rheumatology, Allergy and Rare Diseases (UnIRAR), IRCCS San Raffaele

Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy (GC, AT, LD); Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy (GC, AT, LD); Unit of Urology, Division of Experimental Oncology, URI—Urological Research Institute, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy (AL)

- 1 Cavalli G, Larcher A, Tomelleri A, et al. Interleukin-1 and interleukin-6 inhibition compared with standard management in patients with COVID-19 and hyperinflammation: a cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2021; published online Feb 3. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(21)00012-6.
- Cavalli G, Dagna L. The right place for IL-1 inhibition in COVID-19. Lancet Respir Med 2021; published online Jan 22. https://doi. org/10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00035-7.
- Cavalli G, De Luca G, Campochiaro C, et al. Interleukin-1 blockade with high-dose anakinra in patients with COVID-19, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and hyperinflammation: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2020; 2: e325–31.
- 4 Cavalli G, Farina N, Campochiaro C, et al. Repurposing of biologic and targeted synthetic anti-rheumatic drugs in COVID-19 and hyperinflammation: a comprehensive review of available and emerging evidence at the peak of the pandemic. Front Pharmacol 2020; 11: 598308.
- 5 Huet T, Beaussier H, Voisin O, et al. Anakinra for severe forms of COVID-19: a cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2020: 2: e393–400.