

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Patient Centered Outcomes Regarding Telemedicine Prior to Endoscopy During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic



Diana Wee, MD,¹ Xiao Li, MD,¹ Kelly Suchman, MD,¹ and Arvind J Trindade, MD²

¹Department of Medicine, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Northwell Health System, New Hyde Park, New York; and ²Division of Gastroenterology, Long Island Jewish Medical Center, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Northwell Health System, New Hyde Park, New York

Keywords: Telemedicine; Telehealth; Endoscopy.

Introduction

Telemedicine has become an important avenue for gastrointestinal patient care during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Multiple guides and articles have shown the benefit from a physician viewpoint,¹⁻³ but there is limited knowledge on the perception of telemedicine from a patient standpoint. We sought to understand how patient satisfaction of telemedicine compares to traditional care prior to gastrointestinal endoscopy.

Methods

In order to help understand patient satisfaction with telemedicine, we performed a quality improvement (QI) study that compared overall patient satisfaction among those who had advanced endoscopic preprocedure consultation visits by telemedicine, traditional in-person visits, or a direct access procedure (instructions and procedure explained by a nurse practitioner or physicians assistant by phone). Prior to COVID-19 our institution offered the latter two services. The study was determined to be exempt from the institutional board review. To assess satisfaction, we called a total of 322 consecutive patients who had undergone an advanced endoscopic procedure at our tertiary care center from May 2020 to August 2020 and asked them to complete a modified version of a validated patient satisfaction survey⁴ (Table). The survey was designed not only to assess overall patient satisfaction among the three groups, but also to assess patient satisfaction in their preprocedure, intraprocedure, and post procedure experiences.

Abbreviation: COVID-19, Coronavirus Disease 2019

🖲 Most current article

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Results

Of the 322 patients that were called, 123 agreed to participate (38%). The mean age was 60 ± 32 years. There were 26 (21%) who had in-person visits, 32 (26%) who had telemedicine visits, and 65 (52%) who had direct access procedures. The overall mean satisfaction scores among the three groups did not reveal a statistically significant difference (4.51 vs 4.55 vs 4.63, P = 0.79). Subanalysis of the preprocedure, intraprocedure, and postprocedure experiences did not show statistically significant differences among the three groups. (4.50 vs 4.28 vs 4.50, P = 0.88)4.65 vs 4.77 vs 4.79, P = 0.24, 4.27 vs 4.5 vs 4.37, P = 1.00,respectively). Further subanalysis of overall mean satisfaction scores among telemedicine visits compared to direct access procedures also did not show a statistically significant difference (4.55 vs 54.58, P = 0.95). However, among the 14 patients diagnosed with a new gastrointestinal cancer, there was a statistically significant higher mean satisfaction score in those who had telemedicine visits with the endoscopists compared to those who had direct access procedures (5.00 vs 4.65, P = 0.01). In addition to the questionnaire, supplementary questions found that there was no statistically significant difference among the three groups in whether patients felt that they understood the risk, benefits, and alternatives to their procedure (1.96 vs 1.92 vs 1.94, P = 1.00). Finally, we also found that there was no difference in whether the participants would have their procedure done again by the same physician (1.96 vs 1.94 vs 1.98, P = 1.00).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to compare patient satisfaction among those who had advanced

2590-0307 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tige.2021.03.003

Item		Rating scale				
	Preprocedure	Α	В	с	D	E
1	How long you waited to get an appointment	□Excellent	🗌 Very good	🗌 Good	🗆 Fair	🗌 Poor
2	l understood the preprocedure preparation instructions clearly (NPO, hold medications, prep)	□Very clear	□ Somewhat clear	☐ Somewhat unclear	🗌 Very unclear	🗌 Poor
3	Overall rating of the preproce- dure experience.	□Excellent	🗆 Very good	🗆 Good	🗆 Fair	🗌 Poor
	Intraprocedure					
4	The personal manner (courtesy, respect, sensitivity, friendliness) of the physician who performed your procedure	□Excellent	□ Very good	🗆 Good	🗆 Fair	🗆 Poor
5	The technical skills (thorough- ness, carefulness, competence), of the physician who performed your procedure	□Excellent	🗌 Very good	🗌 Good	🗆 Fair	🗌 Poor
6	My comfort level during the procedure	□Very comfortable	Somewhat comfortable	Somewhat uncomfortable	□ Very uncomfortable	🗌 Poor
7	The personal manner (courtesy, respect, sensitivity, friendliness) of the nurses and other support staff	□Excellent	🗌 Very good	🗌 Good	🗆 Fair	🗌 Poor
	Postprocedure					
8	After your procedure, the ade- quacy of explanation of what was done for you-all your ques- tions answered	□Excellent	🗌 Very good	🗆 Good	🗆 Fair	🗌 Poor
	Supplementary					
9	I understood the risks/benefits, and alternatives	□Yes	□ No			
10	Would you have the procedure done again by this physician?	□Yes	□ No			

This survey was derived from the Groups Health Association of America-9 survey.⁴ A score of 1 to 5 was assigned to each response (A=5, B=4, C=3, D=2 E=1) with 5 indicating the highest degree of satisfaction. For supplementary questions a score of 2 was assigned for an answer of yes and a score of 1 for an answer of no.

preprocedure consultation by telemedicine visits, traditional in-person visits, or by a direct access procedure. We have found that there is no statistically significant difference in patient satisfaction among the three different visit modalities, suggesting that telemedicine could be an effective and widely used tool for these subgroup of patients.

There are several limitations to our study. As our data was collected retrospectively, it was difficult to determine how patients were selected for in-person preprocedure visits, telemedicine preprocedure visits, or direct access. However, it should be noted that most of our advanced pre-procedure visits proceed as direct access. If a patient requested to speak with a physician, then they are offered the choice of an inperson or telemedicine visit, suggesting the possibility of selection bias. Another limitation is the small sample size due to the low participation rate. This study calls for a prospective and larger study as telemedicine is increasingly being integrated into our healthcare delivery system.

These findings show that telemedicine has an acceptable patient satisfaction rating, when counseling patients prior to endoscopy, compared to traditional consults and direct access care. It may also be superior to direct access care for patients with gastrointestinal cancers. In addition to patient satisfaction, telemedicine offers reduced traveling burden and safety of minimizing COVID-19 exposure during the ongoing pandemic .

REFERENCES

- 1. Keihanian T, Sharma P, Goyal J, et al. Gastroenterology 2020;159:1598-601.
- 2. Mejia Perez LK, Sharma N. Gastroenterology 2020 online ahead of print. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2020.09.061
- Shah ED, Amann ST, Karlitz JJ. Am J Gastroenterol 2020;115:1371–5.
- Harewood GC, Yacavone RF, Locke GR, et al. Am J Gastroenterol 2001;96:3312–7.

Correspondence

Address correspondence to: Arvind J Trindade, Division of Gastroenterology, Long Island Jewish Medical Center, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Northwell Health System, 270-05 76th Ave,

2021 Patient Centered Outcomes Regarding Telemedicine Prior to Endoscopy During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic 287

New Hyde Park, New York 11040. fax: (718) 470-5509 e-mail: arvind. trindade@gmail.com

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design (AJT); Analysis and interpretation of the data (DW, AJT, XL, KS); Drafting of the article (DW, AJT, XL, KS); Critical

revision of the article for important intellectual content (DW, AJT, XL, KS); Final approval of the article (DW, AJT, XL, KS).

Conflicts of Interest

AJT: Consultant for Pentax Medical; Research Support from Ninepoint Medical; All other authors have no COI.