

## Journal Club

**Editor's Note:** These short reviews of recent *JNeurosci* articles, written exclusively by students or postdoctoral fellows, summarize the important findings of the paper and provide additional insight and commentary. If the authors of the highlighted article have written a response to the Journal Club, the response can be found by viewing the Journal Club at [www.jneurosci.org](http://www.jneurosci.org). For more information on the format, review process, and purpose of Journal Club articles, please see <http://www.jneurosci.org/content/jneurosci-journal-club>.

## Disentangling the Association between the Insula and the Autonomic Nervous System

Daniel Roquet<sup>1,2</sup> and Federica Conti<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Psychology, The University of Sydney, Sydney 2006, New South Wales, Australia, <sup>2</sup>Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney, Sydney, 2050, New South Wales, Australia, and <sup>3</sup>Institut des Neurosciences de la Timone, Aix-Marseille University, 13005 Marseille, France  
Review of Kucyi and Parvizi

In everyday life, we are continuously exposed to numerous stimuli that we either neglect or consider according to our own goals and preferences. The ability to filter competing stimuli and attribute importance to relevant information, known as salience detection, is supported by the dorsal anterior insula (daI; Uddin et al., 2017). Mounting evidence suggests that there is a bidirectional relationship between the daI and the autonomic nervous system, such that the insula is sensitive to interoceptive information (e.g., heart rate or blood pressure), but also modulates the autonomic system to maintain sensory homeostasis or increase perception (Craig, 2009; Cechetto, 2014; Uddin et al., 2017). The mechanisms by which the daI modulates the autonomic system are not fully understood, but a recent study by Kucyi and Parvizi (2020) exploring pupil dilation provides new insights into the links among the insula, the autonomic system, and perception.

Pupil dilation is an autonomic response to increase visual sensitivity (Mathôt, 2018).

Previous reports have demonstrated an association between phasic pupil dilation and increased activity in the insular cortex during error monitoring (Harsay et al., 2018) and emotional perception (Paulus et al., 2015; Tamietto et al., 2015; Leuchs et al., 2017), suggesting that the observed coupling between the pupil and the daI may occur in relation to the detection of salient stimuli. However, at the present time the temporal properties of this coupling, particularly across distinct arousal states or tasks, remains unclear.

In their recent study, Kucyi and Parvizi (2020) used human intracranial electroencephalography (iEEG) and pupillometry to measure task-evoked and spontaneous daI activity and pupil dilation in three subjects undergoing neurosurgical treatment for refractory focal epilepsy. This approach enabled them to explore temporal patterns, spectral properties, and spatial localization of insular cortex activity, as well as to determine whether differences in amplitude or time delay occur in the daI–pupil coupling. Subjects performed a minimum of 15 min of wakeful rest with visual fixation, as well as four to eight 6 min runs of the gradual-onset continuous performance task (Esterman et al., 2013), during which electrophysiological data and pupil diameter were simultaneously recorded. In the task, participants were shown grayscale images of cities (90% of the images) and mountains (10%). They were instructed to press a key if the currently displayed city image differed from

the previous one, and to withhold from responding if a mountain image appeared. Importantly, transitions from one image to the next occurred gradually via linear pixel-by-pixel interpolation of the scenes over an interval of 800 ms. As a result, images were best discernible 400 ms after trial onset (image coherence peak), and then started to fade out in the transition to the next scene. Participants' responses were classified as correct commissions (response to city), omission errors (no response to city), correct omissions (no response to mountain), or commission errors (response to mountain) by means of a specific criterium based on the comparison between the reaction time and the level of coherence of the presented image.

Task-evoked iEEG responses were analyzed based on the high-frequency broadband (HFB) signal (70–150 Hz), a key analytical signal in human intracranial recordings measuring brain activity (Leszczynski et al., 2020). For each participant, electrodes showing significantly higher HFB signal in response to target stimuli (i.e., mountains) than to nontarget stimuli (cities) were largely clustered in the daI. This increase of daI activity occurred in both correct and incorrect behavioral responses to target stimuli (withheld and button press, respectively), which is in line with previous reports of daI activation in relation to both salience detection and error monitoring. The magnitude and timing of the HFB signal in the identified

Received Aug. 25, 2020; revised Jan. 6, 2020; accepted Jan. 31, 2020.

D.R. is supported by an Australian Research Council Discovery Project (DP180101548). F.C. is supported by the A\*MiX Foundation and the French National Research Agency funded by the French Government "Investissements d'Avenir" program (NeuroSchool, nEURO<sup>+</sup>AMU, Grant ANR-17-EURE-0029). These funding sources were not involved in the writing of the Journal Club article or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence should be addressed to Daniel Roquet at [daniel.roquet@sydney.edu.au](mailto:daniel.roquet@sydney.edu.au).

<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2225-20.2021>

Copyright © 2021 the authors

electrodes was then compared with the normalized pupil response. The following four noteworthy results emerged: (1) spontaneous activation of the daI exhibited the same spatial, spectral, and temporal profile as task-evoked activation; (2) changes in pupil size were time locked with both spontaneous activity and evoked responses in daI; (3) task-evoked responses in daI preceded and correlated with the magnitude of evoked pupil dilations; and (4) highly salient stimuli induced greater activation of the daI and greater pupil dilation than low-salience stimuli or rest. Together, these findings suggest that the observed daI–pupil coupling concerns both the time and the amplitude of daI activity, while also being independent of the experimental condition (task or rest).

The strong coupling between daI activity and pupil size described by Kucyi and Parvizi (2020) has provided new information to supplement the pupil dilation pathway. The iris dilator muscle responsible for pupil dilation is controlled by the sympathetic nervous system, the branch of the autonomic nervous system that regulates arousal and wakefulness. The sympathetic nervous system is in turn modulated by the locus coeruleus, the primary noradrenergic nucleus modulating pupil diameter (DiNuzzo et al., 2019; Peinkhofer et al., 2019). Interestingly, the locus coeruleus shows reciprocal innervations with the insula (Aston-Jones and Cohen, 2005). By documenting robust coupling between pupil diameter and daI activity across different conditions, Kucyi and Parvizi (2020) provided evidence for the involvement of the daI in the pupil dilation pathway, where both the timing and amplitude of daI activity predict pupil dilation. This has a remarkable impact in the field, showing the important role of the insula within the neural pathway of pupil dilation. Given that both the insula and the locus coeruleus affect pupil size, it appears that the exact neural pathway of pupil dilation may in fact be more complex than previously thought and has still to be fully revealed. Therefore, understanding how the interactions between the insula and the locus coeruleus impact pupil dilation requires further investigations. High-temporal resolution measures, such as those used by Kucyi and Parvizi (2020), as well as connectivity measures would be beneficial to achieve this goal.

Furthermore, the daI–pupil coupling shown by Kucyi and Parvizi (2020) highlights the interactions between daI and the

autonomic system leading to an increase in visual sensitivity, which fits with the known roles of the daI and the autonomic system in salience and arousal, respectively. As a result, such daI coupling increases the amount of visual information when a behaviorally relevant stimulus is detected, which may in turn facilitate decision formation (Strauch et al., 2018), error monitoring (Maier et al., 2019), and adaptive behavior (Maier et al., 2019).

On a separate note, the present study also raises important questions regarding the potential consequences of insular damage on pupil activity, and more broadly on behaviors that involve an efficient daI coupling. We note the potential utility of adopting this approach in neurodegenerative disorders such as frontotemporal dementia (FTD), in which structural and functional abnormalities of the insular cortex are prominent early features (Kumfor et al., 2015; Whitwell, 2019). Mounting evidence suggests that degeneration of the insular cortex relates to autonomic dysfunction in FTD (Ahmed et al., 2018). Additionally, a recent study indicates that pupil dilation in response to salient sounds is reduced in people with FTD (Fletcher et al., 2015). The findings by Kucyi and Parvizi (2020) suggest that decreased pupil dilation in FTD may stem from degeneration in the dorsal anterior insula, although this intriguing proposal requires formal testing. In addition to insular atrophy, FTD manifests with noradrenergic abnormalities resulting from protein deposits in the locus coeruleus. Thus, multiple sites of degeneration might explain decreased pupil dilation in FTD. Accordingly, reduced pupillary dynamics in FTD may result from atrophy in the brain areas supporting cognitive control of the autonomic system, as well as in the autonomic system itself.

Based on the findings of Kucyi and Parvizi (2020), compromised daI–pupil coupling in dementia may lead to reduced detection of relevant stimuli and visual sensitivity across a variety of cognitive tasks and conditions. For example, reduced salience detection may impact performance in any task requiring the detection of unexpected events. It may also prevent task switching as required by a suddenly changing environment. Dysfunctional autonomic responses to relevant stimuli may not only reduce perceptual sensitivity, but also negatively affect arousal. Consequently, cognitive and behavioral abnormalities resulting from impaired salience detection are to be

expected in dementia, and across multiple domains. A comprehensive understanding of the interactions between salience detection and the autonomic system in dementia will therefore provide crucial insights into the early detection and management of these debilitating conditions.

## References

- Ahmed RM, Ke YD, Vucic S, Ittner LM, Seeley W, Hodges JR, Piguet O, Halliday G, Kiernan MC (2018) Physiological changes in neurodegeneration—mechanistic insights and clinical utility. *Nat Rev Neurol* 14:259–271.
- Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD (2005) An integrative theory of locus coeruleus-norepinephrine function: adaptive gain and optimal performance. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 28:403–450.
- Cechetti DF (2014) Cortical control of the autonomic nervous system. *Exp Physiol* 99:326–331.
- Craig AD (2009) How do you feel—now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 10:59–70.
- DiNuzzo M, Mascali D, Moraschi M, Bussu G, Maugeri L, Mangini F, Fratini M, Giove F (2019) Brain networks underlying eye's pupil dynamics. *Front Neurosci* 13:965.
- Esterman M, Noonan SK, Rosenberg M, Degutis J (2013) In the zone or zoning out? Tracking behavioral and neural fluctuations during sustained attention. *Cereb Cortex* 23:2712–2723.
- Fletcher PD, Nicholas JM, Shakespeare TJ, Downey LE, Golden HL, Agustus JL, Clark CN, Mummery CJ, Schott JM, Crutch SJ, Warren JD (2015) Dementias show differential physiological responses to salient sounds. *Front Behav Neurosci* 9:73.
- Harsay HA, Cohen MX, Spaan M, Weeda WD, Nieuwenhuis S, Ridderinkhof KR (2018) Error blindness and motivational significance: shifts in networks centering on anterior insula covary with error awareness and pupil dilation. *Behav Brain Res* 355:24–35.
- Kucyi A, Parvizi J (2020) Pupillary dynamics link spontaneous and task-evoked activations recorded directly from human insula. *J Neurosci* 40:6207–6218.
- Kumfor F, Dermody N, Irish M (2015) Considering the impact of large-scale network interactions on cognitive control. *J Neurosci* 35:1–3.
- Leszczynski M, Barczak A, Kajikawa Y, Ulbert I, Falchier AY, Tal I, Haegens S, Melloni L, Knight RT, Schroeder CE (2020) Dissociation of broadband high-frequency activity and neuronal firing in the neocortex. *Sci Adv* 6:eabb0977.
- Leuchs L, Schneider M, Czisch M, Spoormaker VI (2017) Neural correlates of pupil dilation during human fear learning. *Neuroimage* 147:186–197.
- Maier ME, Ernst B, Steinhauser M (2019) Error-related pupil dilation is sensitive to the evaluation of different error types. *Biol Psychol* 141:25–34.
- Mathôt S (2018) Pupillometry: psychology, physiology, and function. *J Cogn* 1:1–23.
- Paulus FM, Krach S, Blanke M, Roth C, Belke M, Sommer J, Müller-Pinzler L, Menzler K, Jansen A, Rosenow F, Bremmer F, Einhäuser W,

- Knake S (2015) Fronto-insula network activity explains emotional dysfunctions in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy: combined evidence from pupillometry and fMRI. *Cortex* 65:219–231.
- Peinkhofer C, Knudsen GM, Moretti R, Kondziella D (2019) Cortical modulation of pupillary function: systematic review. *PeerJ* 7:e6882.
- Strauch C, Greiter L, Huckauf A (2018) Pupil dilation but not microsaccade rate robustly reveals decision formation. *Sci Rep* 8:13165.
- Tamietto M, Cauda F, Celegghin A, Diano M, Costa T, Cossa FM, Sacco K, Duca S, Geminiani GC, de Gelder B (2015) Once you feel it, you see it: insula and sensory-motor contribution to visual awareness for fearful bodies in parietal neglect. *Cortex* 62:56–72.
- Uddin LQ, Nomi JS, Hébert-Seropian B, Ghaziri J, Boucher O (2017) Structure and function of the human insula. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 34:300–306.
- Whitwell JL (2019) Neuroimaging across the FTD spectrum. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* 165:187–223.