



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2021 April ; 30(4): 623–642. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-20-0924.

Breast cancer risk factors and survival by tumor subtype: pooled analyses from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the article.

Abstract

Background: It is not known if modifiable lifestyle factors that predict survival after invasive breast cancer differ by subtype.

Methods: We analyzed data for 121 435 women diagnosed with breast cancer from 67 studies in the Breast Cancer Association Consortium with 16 890 deaths (8554 breast cancer-specific) over 10 years. Cox regression was used to estimate associations between risk factors and 10-year all-cause mortality and breast cancer-specific mortality overall, by estrogen receptor (ER) status, and by intrinsic-like subtype.

Results: There was no evidence of heterogeneous associations between risk factors and mortality by subtype (adjusted $p > 0.30$). The strongest associations were between all-cause mortality and BMI 30 vs 18.5–25 kg/m² (HR (95%CI): 1.19 (1.06,1.34)); current vs never smoking (1.37 (1.27,1.47)), high vs low physical activity (0.43 (0.21,0.86)), age 30 years vs <20 years at first pregnancy (0.79 (0.72,0.86)); >0 to <5 years vs 10 years since last full term birth (1.31 (1.11,1.55)); ever vs never use of oral contraceptives (0.91 (0.87,0.96)); ever vs never use of menopausal hormone therapy, including current estrogen-progestin therapy (0.61 (0.54,0.69)). Similar associations with breast cancer mortality were weaker; e.g. 1.11 (1.02,1.21) for current vs never smoking.

Conclusions: We confirm associations between modifiable lifestyle factors and 10-year all-cause mortality. There was no strong evidence that associations differed by ER status or intrinsic-like subtype.

Impact: Given the large dataset and lack of evidence that associations between modifiable risk factors and 10-year mortality differed by subtype, these associations could be cautiously used in prognostication models to inform patient-centered care.

Keywords

breast cancer; survival; intrinsic-like subtypes; risk factors; mortality

Corresponding author: Marjanka K. Schmidt, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, 1066 CX, The Netherlands. Phone: +31 20 512 2767, mk.schmidt@nki.nl.

[†]Shared first authors,

#Shared last authors

Conflict of interest disclosure statement: Matthias W. Beckmann and Peter A. Fasching conduct research funded by Amgen, Novartis and Pfizer (not related to this study). Peter A. Fasching received Honoraria from Roche, Novartis and Pfizer (not related to this study). The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

Introduction

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease with differing risk factors (1) and etiologies (2), and correspondingly differential response to treatment (3) as well as prognosis (4). Despite the heterogeneous nature of breast cancer, there are few studies investigating possible differential relationships between risk factors and mortality according to tumor subtypes. Given that more women are surviving after a breast cancer diagnosis (5), identifying lifestyle and personal factors associated with mortality after breast cancer according to tumor subtypes is important.

A recent systematic literature review and meta-analysis in breast cancer patients (6) concluded that there was limited suggestive evidence for physical activity, foods containing fiber, and foods containing soy being associated with decreased all-cause mortality, and for body fatness, weight gain, and intake of total fat and saturated fatty acids being associated with increased all-cause mortality. However, there was a lack of consistent data to draw conclusions for other dietary and nutritional risk factors regarding all-cause mortality or breast cancer-specific mortality, either overall or by molecular subtype (6).

In a large population-based prospective cohort, cigarette smoking was found to be related to higher mortality from both breast cancer and smoking related diseases (7). Findings regarding reproductive factors have however been conflicting. Most studies have found no association between mortality after breast cancer and age at menarche (8–11), parity (10,12–14), history of breastfeeding (11), duration of breastfeeding (11,14), history of oral contraceptive use (10,11,15,16), or duration of oral contraceptive use (11,15–17). There are some reports of decreased mortality associated with younger age at menarche (18,19), parity (20), history of breastfeeding (12,21,22), longer duration of breastfeeding (12), and menopausal hormone therapy (MHT) (23,24). Other studies have reported increased mortality associated with younger age at menarche (25), parity, particularly among women with luminal breast cancers (26) and women diagnosed before age 50 (13,27), shorter time interval since last birth (8,10,11,14,26–30), and MHT use, particularly combined estrogen-progestin (31–33). There is paucity of data and no clear evidence for differential effects of the investigated risk factors with mortality for different intrinsic-like subtypes. A more detailed investigation is essential to improve our understanding of these relationships. Therefore, we aimed to investigate associations between prediagnosis reproductive and lifestyle risk factors on 10-year all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality by tumor subtype of breast cancer patients. We also investigated whether prognostic models could be improved by inclusion of these factors.

Methods

Study population and exposure assessment

We employed data from studies participating in the Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC), which are described in Supplementary Table S1. Details of the inclusion criteria are presented in the Supplementary Methods. The final study population consisted of 121 435 invasive, stage I-III, female breast cancer patients from 67 studies participating in the BCAC. All individual studies were approved by their appropriate institutional review boards

and/or medical ethical committees. Written informed consent was obtained from all study subjects.

We focused on 15 breast cancer lifestyle and reproductive risk factors: age at menarche, parity, age at first full-term pregnancy (FFTP), time since last full term birth, ever breastfeeding, duration of breastfeeding, body mass index (BMI) (investigated both overall and separately within postmenopausal and pre/perimenopausal women), adult height, oral contraceptives (OC) use, menopausal hormone therapy (MHT) use, smoking status, pack-years of smoking, recent alcohol consumption, cumulative alcohol consumption, and physical activity. Exposure information was collected pre-diagnosis in nested case-control/prospective cohort studies and at or shortly after diagnosis in case-control studies and patient cohorts. Time since last full-term birth was calculated as the time interval between age at diagnosis and age at last full-term birth. Women were defined as postmenopausal if the last menstruation occurred >12 months before diagnosis, and as pre/perimenopausal otherwise. Menopausal status and MHT use were combined into a single variable with 8 categories, where former use was use more than 6 months prior to diagnosis and current use was use at date of diagnosis or within 6 months prior to date of diagnosis. Ever use of OC was defined as use for ≥4 months and never use as <4 four months of use. There were 3 categories for smoking status: never, former and current, with current defined as smoking in the last year before diagnosis. A pack-year constituted 20 cigarettes smoked per day for one year. Alcohol consumption and physical activity were based on the last year before diagnosis. For comparison with other studies, tertiles of physical activity (hours/week) were used. Cumulative alcohol consumption was that consumed over a lifetime until the date of diagnosis.

Breast cancer intrinsic-like subtypes

The source of tumor marker data (i.e., data on expression of ER, PR, HER2, and grade) and assessment of specific tumor markers varied across the studies and included clinical/pathology records and immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of whole tumor sections or tissue microarrays (34). Breast tumors were classified according to estrogen receptor (ER) status (positive versus negative) and according to intrinsic-like subtypes based on ER, progesterone receptor (PR), the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), and grade (35).

Outcome assessment

Vital status was ascertained by individual studies. Cause of death was coded according to the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10-WHO). The primary study outcomes were 10-year all-cause mortality (death from any cause) and 10-year breast cancer-specific mortality (death from breast cancer; coded as ICD-10-C50).

Statistical analyses

Multiple imputation of missing data—Multiple imputation, performed using R package MICE (version 3.2.0), was used to handle missing values of both risk factor and clinical-pathological variables as described in the Supplementary Methods. A list of imputed

variables and corresponding percentages of missing values is provided in Supplementary Table S2.

Associations of individual and multiple risk factors with all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality overall and by subtype—

Delayed-entry Cox regression models were used to assess associations between lifestyle and reproductive breast cancer risk factors and 10-year all-cause and breast cancer mortality in all patients and by tumor subtypes according to ER status and intrinsic-like subtypes. Time-to-event started from date of diagnosis, and time-at-risk started from date of recruitment into the study if it was after date of diagnosis. Age of the patient was used as the time-scale so that patient age is implicitly accounted for without the need to estimate its coefficient (36). For breast cancer-specific mortality, women who died within 10 years from diagnosis and whose cause of death was not breast cancer (24.6% of the total number of deaths) or was unknown (24.8% of the total number of deaths) were censored at age of death. Women who died 10 years or more after diagnosis were censored at their age at 10 years after diagnosis. Women who did not experience the event of interest (death from any cause or death from breast cancer) within the first 10 years following diagnosis were censored at their age at last follow-up. All models were stratified by study and adjusted for tumor size, nodal status, tumor grade (except for luminal-B-HER2-negative-like), and systemic treatment (adjuvant endocrine therapy (yes/no), (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy (yes/no) and trastuzumab (yes/no)). Cox models were performed for each risk factor individually using imputed data, and as sensitivity analyses using complete-case data (Supplementary Table S3 and S4; Supplementary Figure S1–S16). Multiple testing was accounted for using the Benjamini-Hochberg method, as described in the Supplementary Methods. Additional sensitivity analyses based on prospective studies only were performed in order to address potential recall bias.

Potential heterogeneity of the association estimates across tumor subtype was tested by means of a likelihood ratio test comparing models with and without an interaction term between the variable representing a specific risk factor and the variable representing the subtype (based on ER status only or according to the intrinsic-like classification).

To account for the interplay between risk factors, we fitted a single multivariable Cox regression model including all risk factors of interest (with the exception of pack-years) to assess associations with 10-year all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality. Similar to analyses of individual risk factors with outcomes, the Cox model was stratified by study and adjusted for covariates as above. Since this analysis was performed in all patients, ER, PR and HER2 status were included as additional covariates.

The proportional hazards assumption was assessed for each risk factor of interest, based on all included cases, after applying exclusion criteria for individual subjects (not imputed). Plots of the Schoenfeld residuals did not show strong evidence of deviation from the proportional hazard assumption.

Time-dependent ROC curve analyses were performed, as described in the Supplementary Methods, to assess whether the additional inclusion of the risk factors investigated would

add discriminative power compared to a prognostic model based only on the established breast cancer prognostic factors.

Results

There were 16 890 deaths overall and 8554 breast cancer deaths after a follow-up time of 10 years in 121 435 breast cancer patients (Table 1). The median follow-up time for patients included in the study was 7.7 years. Overall median age at diagnosis was 57 years (IQR 48–65). Distribution of tumor and treatment characteristics and risk factors in all patients and by subtype is shown in Table 1.

Associations of individual risk factors with all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality overall and by subtype

Associations of individual risk factors with all-cause mortality are shown in Table 2. Parous women had lower mortality compared to nulliparous, with strongest associations observed in women who had 1 (HR (95% CI): 0.87 (0.79, 0.96)) or 2 full-term pregnancies HR (95% CI): 0.86 (0.77, 0.96). Among parous women, lower all-cause mortality was associated with later age at FFTP ($P=1.0E-15$), with HR of 0.79 (95% CI: (0.73, 0.86)) for women with FFTP at age ≥ 30 years compared to <20 years. Higher all-cause mortality was associated with a more recent full-term pregnancy only in women with ER+ tumors (time since last full-term birth 0–5 years versus ≥ 10 years HR (95% CI): 1.36 (1.12, 1.65)), but there was no statistical heterogeneity by ER status ($P=8.5E-01$; Table 3).

In both pre- and postmenopausal women, higher BMI was associated with higher all-cause mortality. The evidence was stronger for postmenopausal women with HR of 1.20 (95% CI: 1.12, 1.29) for obese (≥ 30 kg/m²) women compared to normal weight women (BMI 18.5–25 kg/m²). Low BMI was likewise associated with higher all-cause mortality (HR 1.53 (95% CI: 1.30, 1.80) for underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²) compared to normal weight.

Exogenous hormone exposure was associated with reduced all-cause mortality. Compared to never use, ever OC use was associated with decreased all-cause mortality (HR (95% CI): 0.88 (0.84, 0.93), $P=1.6E-04$). Overall, use of MHT was also associated with decreased risk of all-cause mortality, with the strongest association for current users of combined estrogen and progesterone therapy compared to never users (HR (95% CI): 0.58 (0.52, 0.65)).

Current cigarette smoking compared to never smoking was associated with higher all-cause mortality (HR (95% CI): 1.38 (1.30, 1.45)). A 10-unit increase in the number of pack-years smoked was also associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality (HR (95% CI): 1.11 (1.06, 1.15), $P=1.2E-03$). Physical activity was associated with decreased all-cause mortality (HR (95% CI): 0.42 (0.21, 0.85) for highest vs lowest tertile.

There was no evidence of heterogeneity by ER status or by intrinsic-like subtype (Table 2 and Table 3). Some variability was observed in estimates for women who had a recent full-term birth, especially comparing those 0–5 years to ≥ 10 years where HRs (95% CI) ranged from 1.55 (1.08, 2.24) for luminal A-like tumors to 0.93 (0.68, 1.27) for triple negative (TN) tumors, although there was no overall evidence of heterogeneity ($P=1.00E+00$).

Results of associations between single risk factors and breast cancer-specific mortality were generally in line with those observed for all-cause mortality but weaker (Table 4). The exception was time since last full-term birth, where the association with breast cancer-specific mortality appeared to be somewhat stronger than with all-cause mortality, especially for the ER-positive ($P=2.2E-04$) and luminal A-like subtypes ($P=5.5E-03$). There was also some variability in the association estimates related to time since last full-term birth according to ER status and intrinsic-like subtype, notably for last full-term birth 0–5 years versus 10 years prior to diagnosis for luminal A-like (HR (95%CI): 1.79 (1.27, 2.51)) compared to that for TN (HR (95%CI): 0.90 (0.65, 1.24)). Risk factors associated with all-cause mortality, such as parity, OC use, BMI in postmenopausal women, smoking, and physical activity were not associated with breast cancer-specific mortality after multiple testing correction.

Sensitivity analyses relating to associations between individual risk factors with outcomes restricted to the complete-case data yielded results that were generally consistent with those from the imputed data analyses for both all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality, as point estimates were mostly in the same direction and the corresponding confidence intervals were largely overlapping (Supplementary Figures S1–S16). For physical activity, the association with all-cause mortality was attenuated, particularly in the analyses based on all patients (HR (95%CI): 0.82 (0.62, 1.12); Supplementary Table S3).

Sensitivity analyses based on prospective studies only yielded results that were generally in line with those from analyses based on all studies though confidence intervals were wider due to decreased numbers in the dataset (Supplementary Figures S17–S22).

Associations of multiple risk factors with all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality overall

Accounting for all risk factors simultaneously in the Cox model did not substantially change HRs for most risk factors (Table 5). Of the three individually-associated reproductive variables, parity was no longer associated with all-cause mortality after adjusting for age at FFTP and time since last full-term birth. Similar to results from individual risk factors and all-cause mortality, current use of combined estrogen-progestin compared to never MHT use (HR (95%): 0.61 (0.54, 0.69)) and ever use of OC compared to never OC use (HR (95%): 0.91 (0.87, 0.96)) were both still associated with all-cause mortality. All-cause mortality was increased in current smokers compared to non-smokers (HR (95%CI): 1.37 (1.27, 1.47)). At least 5.5 hours/week of physical activity decreased risk of all-cause mortality (HR (95%CI): 0.43 (0.21, 0.86)) (highest vs lowest tertile).

Associations of multiple risk factors with breast cancer-specific mortality (Table 6) also remained substantially unchanged compared to individual risk factors associations except for parity (Table 4).

Sensitivity analyses relating to associations of multiple risk factors with outcomes restricted to the complete-case data yielded results that were mostly consistent with those of the imputed data, with two exceptions (Supplementary Tables S7 and S8; Supplementary Figures S23 and S24). Former versus never smoking was associated with increased all-cause

mortality (HR (95%CI): 1.69 (1.16, 2.47) and breast cancer-specific mortality (HR (95%CI): 1.71 (1.07, 2.73) in the complete-case analysis, in contrast to the imputed data analysis (HR (95%CI): 1.03 (0.98, 1.07) and HR (95%CI): 0.94 (0.88, 1.01), respectively). On the other hand, physical activity was no longer associated with all-cause mortality in the complete-case analysis.

Evaluation of the discriminative power of the models

Supplementary Figure S25 and Supplementary Figure S26 show the area under the curve values over a range of ages for a Cox model only including classical prognostic factors (i.e. tumor characteristics and treatment) and for a Cox model additionally including the risk factors investigated. We observed a decrease in discriminative power of both models with older ages. The discriminative power of the model including additional risk factors was higher over all ages compared to that based on only classical prognostic factors. For all-cause mortality the concordance index increased from 0.69 to 0.71 when adding risk factors to the model (Supplementary Figure S25). For breast cancer-specific mortality, the concordance index was 0.74 for both models (Supplementary Figure S26).

Discussion

Breast cancer risk factors for mortality after a breast cancer diagnosis according to tumor subtype have not been established. Identification and characterization of these associations is important since they may be useful for prognostication at the time of diagnosis. Therefore, our main objectives were to quantify associations between breast cancer risk factors and all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality and to evaluate whether associations differ by tumor subtype. We found evidence for associations between modifiable lifestyle risk factors and all-cause mortality, namely, obesity, smoking, and physical activity as well as associations with reproductive risk factors, age at FFTP, and time since last birth, and exogenous hormone use in the form of OCs and MHTs. Similar associations were also found with breast cancer-specific mortality. After correction for multiple testing, there was no evidence for differential associations by ER status or intrinsic-like subtype.

Data on breast cancer risk factors in relation to survival according to tumor subtypes are scarce with a few studies reporting possibly differential associations between survival and older age at menarche (18,37), breastfeeding (22), parity (26,37), older age at FFTP (37), recent last birth (26), and low (37) and high BMI (37,38) by tumor subtypes, and other studies reporting no differential associations with MHT use (39–41). Our data do not support the previous reports, which might have been chance findings.

Our findings indicate that several modifiable risk factors are associated with survival. Low and high BMI (8,10,12,37) as well as smoking (7,42) were found to increase both all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality, while physical activity was found to decrease all-cause mortality (43) with similar patterns of association for breast cancer-specific mortality (6). The observed associations with high BMI could, in part, be due to obese breast cancer survivors being less responsive to aromatase inhibitor treatments (8,44) or chemotherapy (8,45,46). A systematic review and meta-analysis also highlights evidence for a non-linear *J*-shaped dose-response relationship between BMI and mortality (47), consistent with findings

from the current analysis that underweight women may also be at increased risk of mortality compared to normal weight women. The attenuated association between smoking and breast cancer-specific mortality compared to overall mortality could be attributed to the association of smoking with diseases other than breast cancer such as lung cancer and cardiovascular diseases. Comparable to results from two meta-analyses (6,43), we found high physical activity to be associated with lower risk of all-cause mortality with similar patterns for breast cancer-specific mortality. Body weight, smoking, and physical activity are relevant breast cancer risk factors in that reduction in weight and smoking, as well as the promotion of physical activity are practical and useful targets for both patients and public health. The relevance of obesity and physical activity as modifiable factors is strengthened by growing evidence that postdiagnosis weight gain increases mortality in addition to prediagnosis BMI (6,48) and changes in pre- to postdiagnosis physical activity are also associated with mortality (6,49).

In line with previous literature, associations with age at menarche, number of full-term pregnancies, and breastfeeding with mortality were null after accounting for other reproductive variables (8,10–12). Our data substantiate previously suggested patterns of association where risk of mortality decreases with older age at FFTP (8,10,11,37) and a more recent last birth increases mortality, particularly breast cancer-specific mortality (8,13,18,28–30). The reasons for these associations are unclear. Women of higher socio-economic status often have their first child later and have better access to health care, lifestyle and nutrition, all of which can decrease mortality. The association of a more recent last birth with increased breast cancer-specific mortality appeared to be differential by ER status and intrinsic-like subtype, although not after accounting for multiple testing corrections. Two previous studies also found such associations only for luminal tumors (26,29). Breast tumors occurring during pregnancy, post-partum, or during lactation can be subject to treatment and diagnosis delays, both of which may result in poorer prognosis.

Exposure to exogenous hormones – OC and MHT – was observed to be associated with decreased mortality irrespective of tumor subtype. Decreased all-cause mortality with ever OC use has been inconsistently reported (8,10,15,16) and may be due to differences in timing, duration, and dose of OCs. Ever MHT use was associated with decreased all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality and corroborate the results from published meta-analyses (23,24). On the other hand, current MHT use, particularly combined estrogen-progestin, has been found to be associated with increased breast cancer-specific mortality in population-based prospective cohort studies (32,33), but this estimate combines the joint effects of incidence and case-fatality. Unmeasured factors related to MHT such as differences in “health-seeking behavior” and medical surveillance might be present, as women can only receive exogenous hormones after consultation with a physician, which could not be accounted for in this analysis, so that residual confounding cannot be excluded. Thus the observed association between MHT and survival does not imply that MHT use after diagnosis would be beneficial for survival, especially since it is well-established that MHT use increases risk of breast cancer (50).

A major strength of our study is the sample size, making it the largest dataset of breast cancer patients available to date. Due to the large sample size, we were able to assess

associations by ER and intrinsic-like subtype as well as heterogeneity between subtypes. We have collected and harmonized information on numerous potential risk factors and have fitted multivariable models that simultaneously accounted for established prognostic factors as well as first-line cancer treatment.

Despite centralized data harmonization, residual heterogeneity in the studies with varying designs and different coding of variables may still be present and affect our results. Timing of exposure information collection with respect to diagnosis also differs between study designs. Whereas prediagnosis information is generally collected prospectively in nested case-control/prospective cohort studies and retrospectively in case-control studies, patient cohort studies are more likely to collect postdiagnosis information. While some types of risk factor information such as current MHT use may be affected by whether they are assessed before or after diagnosis, this is less likely to be the case for most risk factors we considered, such as reproductive history, and BMI. In the current analysis, nine cohort studies provided risk factor information collected more than one year before diagnosis, comprising 11.4% of the total analyzed sample. Their inclusion is not likely to have substantially affected our evaluation of associations between risk factors and survival also by tumor subtype. Delays in patient recruitment can lead to survival bias that we accounted for using delayed entry in the regression models, which if well-specified, should provide unbiased estimates (8). An additional limitation was the fact that some studies did not completely report cause of death. In particular, for 24.8% of the total number of deaths it was unknown whether they were due to breast cancer or to other causes. This could have led to a loss of power in the breast cancer-specific analyses, if most of the deaths of unknown cause were actually due to breast cancer. Another challenge was the large proportion of missing values for some of the variables under study, particularly alcohol consumption and physical activity. We included these variables in our study to provide a comprehensive analysis of all the potentially relevant risk factors for survival. We addressed the missing data issue by employing multiple imputation, which allowed us to keep the sample size intact and, if data are missing at random, should provide unbiased estimates for the associations of interest. A recent simulation study showed that this is the case even for large proportions of missing values, up to 90%, provided that imputation models are correctly specified, therefore concluding that the proportion of missing values itself should not be used to determine whether to perform multiple imputation (51).

Sensitivity analysis using complete-case data confirmed that for most variables, the results were consistent with imputed results, with the exception of former smoking and physical activity. Former smoking was associated with both all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality when only complete-case data was used, while physical activity was not associated with mortality in the complete-case analysis. For physical activity, our results based on multiple imputed data were consistent with those from a recent systematic review and meta-analysis where the summary HR (95% CI) for prediagnosis physical activity and all-cause mortality was 0.82 (0.76–0.87) and for postdiagnosis physical activity and all-cause mortality was 0.58 (0.52–0.65) (43). Former smoking was not associated with 10-year mortality based on the analysis of imputed data, which has also been reported previously (8).

While we have been able to investigate associations between numerous pertinent breast cancer risk factors with mortality, we were unable to consider others such as mode of detection and comorbidities, which may be relevant for mortality. Socioeconomic status (SES) could also be a potential confounder in the associations between some of the considered risk factors and mortality. Risk factors that would be most strongly associated with SES include age at first full-term pregnancy, as mentioned previously, as well as exogenous hormone use (OC and MHT) which might be less accessible to women with lower SES. Some studies that have accounted for SES have still found reduced case fatality in current users of MHT (39,41), so SES seems unlikely to fully explain the association between MHT use and breast cancer survival.

In conclusion, we provide evidence that associations of breast cancer risk factors with survival after a diagnosis of breast cancer do not substantially differ by tumor subtype. The absence of effect heterogeneity by subtype suggests that the associated risk factors may be generalizable to all tumors, which facilitates their use in prognostication models and public health strategies without the need for subtype-specific considerations.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Authors

Anna Morra^{1,†}, Audrey Y. Jung^{2,†}, Sabine Behrens², Renske Keeman¹, Thomas U. Ahearn³, Hoda Anton-Culver⁴, Volker Arndt⁵, Annelie Augustinsson⁶, Päivi K. Auvinen^{7,8,9}, Laura E. Beane Freeman³, Heiko Becher^{10,11}, Matthias W. Beckmann¹², Carl Blomqvist^{13,14}, Stig E. Bojesen^{15,16,17}, Manjeet K. Bolla¹⁸, Hermann Brenner^{5,19,20}, Ignacio Briceno²¹, Sara Y. Brucker²², Nicola J. Camp²³, Daniele Campa^{2,24}, Federico Canzian²⁵, Jose E. Castela²⁶, Stephen J. Chanock³, Ji-Yeob Choi^{27,28}, Christine L. Clarke²⁹, for the ABCTB Investigators, Fergus J. Couch³⁰, Angela Cox³¹, Simon S. Cross³², Kamila Czene³³, Thilo Dörk³⁴, Alison M. Dunning³⁵, Miriam Dwek³⁶, Douglas F. Easton^{18,35}, Diana M. Eccles³⁷, Kathleen M. Egan³⁸, D. Gareth Evans^{39,40}, Peter A. Fasching^{12,41}, Henrik Flyger⁴², Manuela Gago-Dominguez^{43,44}, Susan M. Gapstur⁴⁵, José A. García-Sáenz⁴⁶, Mia M. Gaudet⁴⁵, Graham G. Giles^{47,48,49}, Mervi Grip⁵⁰, Pascal Guénel⁵¹, Christopher A. Haiman⁵², Niclas Håkansson⁵³, Per Hall^{33,54}, Ute Hamann⁵⁵, Sileny N. Han⁵⁶, Steven N. Hart⁵⁷, Mikael Hartman^{58,59}, Jane S Heyworth⁶⁰, Reiner Hoppe^{61,62}, John L. Hopper⁴⁸, David J. Hunter^{63,64}, Hidemi Ito^{65,66}, Agnes Jager⁶⁷, Milena Jakimovska⁶⁸, Anna Jakubowska^{69,70}, Wolfgang Janni⁷¹, Rudolf Kaaks², Daehee Kang^{27,28,72}, Pooja Middha Kapoor^{2,73}, Cari M. Kitahara⁷⁴, Stella Koutros³, Peter Kraft^{64,75}, Vessela N. Kristensen^{76,77}, for the NBCS Collaborators, James V. Lacey^{78,79}, Diether Lambrechts^{80,81}, Loic Le Marchand⁸², Jingmei Li⁸³, Annika Lindblom^{84,85}, Jan Lubinski⁶⁹, Michael Lush¹⁸, Arto Mannermaa^{7,86,87}, Mehdi Manoochehri⁵⁵, Sara Margolin^{54,88}, Shivaani Mariapun^{89,90}, Keitaro Matsuo^{65,66}, Dimitrios Mavroudis⁹¹, Roger L. Milne^{47,48,49}, Taru A. Muraan⁹², William G. Newman^{39,40}, Dong-Young Noh⁹³, Børge G. Nordestgaard^{15,16,17}, Nadia Obi⁹⁴,

Andrew F. Olshan⁹⁵, Håkan Olsson⁶, Tjong-Won Park-Simon³⁴, Christos Petridis⁹⁶, Paul D.P. Pharoah^{18,35}, Dijana Plaseska-Karanfilska⁶⁸, Nadege Presneau³⁶, Muhammad U. Rashid^{55,97}, Gad Rennert⁹⁸, Hedy S. Rennert⁹⁸, Valerie Rhenius³⁵, Atocha Romero⁹⁹, Emmanouil Saloustros¹⁰⁰, Elinor J. Sawyer¹⁰¹, Andreas Schneeweiss^{102,103}, Lukas Schwentner⁷¹, Christopher Scott⁵⁷, Mitul Shah³⁵, Chen-Yang Shen^{104,105}, Xiao-Ou Shu¹⁰⁶, Melissa C. Southey^{47,49,107}, Daniel O. Stram⁵², Rulla M. Tamimi^{64,108}, William Tapper³⁷, Rob A.E.M. Tollenaar¹⁰⁹, Ian Tomlinson^{110,111}, Diana Torres^{55,112}, Melissa A. Troester⁹⁵, Thérèse Truong⁵¹, Celine M. Vachon¹¹³, Qin Wang¹⁸, Sophia S. Wang^{78,79}, Justin A. Williams²³, Robert Winqvist^{114,115}, Alicja Wolk^{53,116}, Anna H. Wu⁵², Keun-Young Yoo^{117,118}, Jyh-Cherng Yu¹¹⁹, Wei Zheng¹⁰⁶, Argyrios Ziogas⁴, Xiaohong R. Yang³, A. Heather Eliassen^{64,120}, Michelle D. Holmes^{64,120}, Montserrat García-Closas³, Soo Hwang Teo^{121,122}, Marjanka K. Schmidt^{1,123,#}, Jenny Chang-Claude^{2,124,#}

Affiliations

¹The Netherlands Cancer Institute - Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, Division of Molecular Pathology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Division of Cancer Epidemiology, Heidelberg, Germany. ³National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Bethesda, MD, USA. ⁴University of California Irvine, Department of Epidemiology, Genetic Epidemiology Research Institute, Irvine, CA, USA. ⁵German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, Heidelberg, Germany. ⁶Lund University, Department of Cancer Epidemiology, Clinical Sciences, Lund, Sweden. ⁷University of Eastern Finland, Translational Cancer Research Area, Kuopio, Finland. ⁸University of Eastern Finland, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Oncology, Kuopio, Finland. ⁹Kuopio University Hospital, Department of Oncology, Cancer Center, Kuopio, Finland. ¹⁰University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Institute of Medical Biometry and Epidemiology, Hamburg, Germany. ¹¹Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Institute of Biometry and Clinical Epidemiology, Berlin, Germany. ¹²University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Comprehensive Cancer Center ER-EMN, Erlangen, Germany. ¹³University of Helsinki, Department of Oncology, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. ¹⁴Örebro University Hospital, Department of Oncology, Örebro, Sweden. ¹⁵Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen General Population Study, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, Herlev, Denmark. ¹⁶Copenhagen University Hospital, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, Herlev, Denmark. ¹⁷University of Copenhagen, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Copenhagen, Denmark. ¹⁸University of Cambridge, Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Cambridge, UK. ¹⁹German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), Division of Preventive Oncology, Heidelberg, Germany. ²⁰German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Heidelberg, Germany. ²¹Universidad de La Sabana, Medical Faculty, Bogota, Colombia. ²²University of Tübingen,

Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Tübingen, Germany. ²³University of Utah, Department of Internal Medicine and Huntsman Cancer Institute, Salt Lake City, UT, USA. ²⁴University of Pisa, Department of Biology, Pisa, Italy. ²⁵German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Genomic Epidemiology Group, Heidelberg, Germany. ²⁶Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Galicia Sur (IISGS), Xerencia de Xestión Integrada de Vigo-SERGAS, Oncology and Genetics Unit, Vigo, Spain. ²⁷Seoul National University Graduate School, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Seoul, Korea. ²⁸Seoul National University, Cancer Research Institute, Seoul, Korea. ²⁹University of Sydney, Westmead Institute for Medical Research, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. ³⁰Mayo Clinic, Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Rochester, MN, USA. ³¹University of Sheffield, Sheffield Institute for Nucleic Acids (SInFoNiA), Department of Oncology and Metabolism, Sheffield, UK. ³²University of Sheffield, Academic Unit of Pathology, Department of Neuroscience, Sheffield, UK. ³³Karolinska Institutet, Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Stockholm, Sweden. ³⁴Hannover Medical School, Gynaecology Research Unit, Hannover, Germany. ³⁵University of Cambridge, Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Oncology, Cambridge, UK. ³⁶University of Westminster, School of Life Sciences, London, UK. ³⁷University of Southampton, Faculty of Medicine, Southampton, UK. ³⁸Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute, Division of Population Sciences, Tampa, FL, USA. ³⁹University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Division of Evolution and Genomic Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, Manchester, UK. ⁴⁰St Mary's Hospital, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, North West Genomics Laboratory Hub, Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, Manchester, UK. ⁴¹University of California at Los Angeles, David Geffen School of Medicine, Department of Medicine Division of Hematology and Oncology, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁴²Copenhagen University Hospital, Department of Breast Surgery, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, Herlev, Denmark. ⁴³Galician Public Foundation of Genomic Medicine (FPGMX), Genomic Medicine Group, International Cancer Genetics and Epidemiology Group, Health Research Institute of Santiago (IDIS), Santiago de Compostela, Spain. ⁴⁴University of California San Diego, Moores Cancer Center, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁴⁵American Cancer Society, Behavioral and Epidemiology Research Group, Atlanta, GA, USA. ⁴⁶Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria San Carlos (IdISSC), Centro Investigación Biomédica en Red de Cáncer (CIBERONC), Medical Oncology Department, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain. ⁴⁷Cancer Council Victoria, Cancer Epidemiology Division, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴⁸The University of Melbourne, Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴⁹Monash University, Precision Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Clayton, Victoria, Australia. ⁵⁰University of Oulu, Department of Surgery, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland. ⁵¹INSERM, University Paris-Saclay, Center for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health (CESP), Team Exposome and Heredity, Villejuif, France. ⁵²University of Southern California, Department of Preventive

Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁵³Karolinska Institutet, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁵⁴Södersjukhuset, Department of Oncology, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁵⁵German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, Heidelberg, Germany. ⁵⁶Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven Multidisciplinary Breast Center, Department of Gynaecological Oncology, Leuven, Belgium. ⁵⁷Mayo Clinic, Department of Health Sciences Research, Rochester, MN, USA. ⁵⁸National University of Singapore and National University Health System, Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, Singapore, Singapore. ⁵⁹National University Health System, Department of Surgery, Singapore, Singapore. ⁶⁰The University of Western Australia, School of Population and Global Health, Perth, Western Australia, Australia. ⁶¹Dr. Margarete Fischer-Bosch-Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Stuttgart, Germany. ⁶²University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany. ⁶³University of Oxford, Nuffield Department of Population Health, Oxford, UK. ⁶⁴Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology, Boston, MA, USA. ⁶⁵Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, Nagoya, Japan. ⁶⁶Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Division of Cancer Epidemiology, Nagoya, Japan. ⁶⁷Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Department of Medical Oncology, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ⁶⁸MASA, Research Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology 'Georgi D. Efremov', Skopje, Republic of North Macedonia. ⁶⁹Pomeranian Medical University, Department of Genetics and Pathology, Szczecin, Poland. ⁷⁰Pomeranian Medical University, Independent Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Genetic Diagnostics, Szczecin, Poland. ⁷¹University Hospital Ulm, Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Ulm, Germany. ⁷²Seoul National University College of Medicine, Department of Preventive Medicine, Seoul, Korea. ⁷³University of Heidelberg, Faculty of Medicine, Heidelberg, Germany. ⁷⁴National Cancer Institute, Radiation Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Bethesda, MD, USA. ⁷⁵Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Program in Genetic Epidemiology and Statistical Genetics, Boston, MA, USA. ⁷⁶Oslo University Hospital and University of Oslo, Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo, Norway. ⁷⁷University of Oslo, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Oslo, Norway. ⁷⁸City of Hope, Department of Computational and Quantitative Medicine, Duarte, CA, USA. ⁷⁹City of Hope, City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center, Duarte, CA, USA. ⁸⁰VIB Center for Cancer Biology, Leuven, Belgium. ⁸¹University of Leuven, Laboratory for Translational Genetics, Department of Human Genetics, Leuven, Belgium. ⁸²University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Epidemiology Program, Honolulu, HI, USA. ⁸³Genome Institute of Singapore, Human Genetics Division, Singapore, Singapore. ⁸⁴Karolinska Institutet, Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁸⁵Karolinska University Hospital, Department of Clinical Genetics, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁸⁶University of Eastern Finland, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Pathology and Forensic Medicine, Kuopio, Finland. ⁸⁷Kuopio University Hospital, Biobank of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland. ⁸⁸Karolinska Institutet, Department of Clinical Science and Education, Södersjukhuset, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁸⁹Cancer Research

Malaysia, Breast Cancer Research Programme, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia. ⁹⁰University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Semenyih, Selangor, Malaysia. ⁹¹University Hospital of Heraklion, Department of Medical Oncology, Heraklion, Greece. ⁹²University of Helsinki, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. ⁹³Seoul National University College of Medicine, Department of Surgery, Seoul, Korea. ⁹⁴University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Institute for Medical Biometry and Epidemiology, Hamburg, Germany. ⁹⁵University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health and UNC Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. ⁹⁶King's College London, Research Oncology, Guy's Hospital, London, UK. ⁹⁷Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre (SKMCH & RC), Department of Basic Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan. ⁹⁸Carmel Medical Center and Technion Faculty of Medicine, Clalit National Cancer Control Center, Haifa, Israel. ⁹⁹Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro, Medical Oncology Department, Madrid, Spain. ¹⁰⁰University Hospital of Larissa, Department of Oncology, Larissa, Greece. ¹⁰¹King's College London, School of Cancer & Pharmaceutical Sciences, Comprehensive Cancer Centre, Guy's Campus, London, UK. ¹⁰²University Hospital and German Cancer Research Center, National Center for Tumor Diseases, Heidelberg, Germany. ¹⁰³University of Heidelberg, Molecular Biology of Breast Cancer, University Womens Clinic Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany. ¹⁰⁴Academia Sinica, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Taipei, Taiwan. ¹⁰⁵China Medical University, School of Public Health, Taichung, Taiwan. ¹⁰⁶Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Nashville, TN, USA. ¹⁰⁷The University of Melbourne, Department of Clinical Pathology, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ¹⁰⁸Weill Cornell Medicine, Department of Population Health Sciences, New York, NY, USA. ¹⁰⁹Leiden University Medical Center, Department of Surgery, Leiden, The Netherlands. ¹¹⁰University of Birmingham, Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, Birmingham, UK. ¹¹¹University of Oxford, Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics and Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, UK. ¹¹²Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Institute of Human Genetics, Bogota, Colombia. ¹¹³Mayo Clinic, Department of Health Science Research, Division of Epidemiology, Rochester, MN, USA. ¹¹⁴University of Oulu, Laboratory of Cancer Genetics and Tumor Biology, Cancer and Translational Medicine Research Unit, Biocenter Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ¹¹⁵Northern Finland Laboratory Centre Oulu, Laboratory of Cancer Genetics and Tumor Biology, Oulu, Finland. ¹¹⁶Uppsala University, Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden. ¹¹⁷Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea. ¹¹⁸Armed Forces Capital Hospital, Seongnam, Korea. ¹¹⁹Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Department of Surgery, Taipei, Taiwan. ¹²⁰Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. ¹²¹Cancer Research Malaysia, Breast Cancer

Research Programme, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia. ¹²²University of Malaya, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ¹²³The Netherlands Cancer Institute - Antoni van Leeuwenhoek hospital, Division of Psychosocial Research and Epidemiology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ¹²⁴University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Cancer Epidemiology Group, University Cancer Center Hamburg (UCCH), Hamburg, Germany.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the individuals who took part in these studies and all the researchers, clinicians, technicians and administrative staff who have enabled this work to be carried out.

This work was supported by the following funding agencies. The Breast Cancer Association Consortium (all authors, directly or indirectly through having samples genotyped on the iCOGS and/or OncoArray and/or having their data incorporated in the BCAC database) is funded by Cancer Research UK [C1287/A16563, C1287/A10118], the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (grant numbers 634935 and 633784 for BRIDGES and B-CAST respectively), and by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement number 223175 (grant number HEALTH-F2-2009-223175) (COGS). The EU Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme funding source had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation or writing of the report.

The Australian Breast Cancer Family Study (ABCFS) (principal investigators: J.L. Hopper, M.C. Southey) was supported by grant UM1 CA164920 from the National Cancer Institute (USA). The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute or any of the collaborating centres in the Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR), nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the USA Government or the BCFR. The ABCFS was also supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia, the New South Wales Cancer Council, the Victorian Health Promotion Foundation (Australia) and the Victorian Breast Cancer Research Consortium. J.L.Hopper. is a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Senior Principal Research Fellow. M.C.Southey. is a NHMRC Senior Research Fellow. ABCFS thank Maggie Angelakos, Judi Maskiell, Gillian Dite. The ABCS study (principal investigator: M.K. Schmidt) was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society [grants NKI 2007–3839; 2009 4363]. ABCS thanks the Blood bank Sanquin, The Netherlands. The Australian Breast Cancer Tissue Bank (ABCTB) Investigators: Christine Clarke, Deborah Marsh, Rodney Scott, Robert Baxter, Desmond Yip, Jane Carpenter, Alison Davis, Nirmala Pathmanathan, Peter Simpson, J. Dinny Graham, Mythily Sachchithanathan. Samples are made available to researchers on a non-exclusive basis. The ABCTB (principal investigator: C.L. Clarke) was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia, The Cancer Institute NSW and the National Breast Cancer Foundation. The AHS study (principal investigator: S. Koutros) is supported by the intramural research program of the National Institutes of Health, the National Cancer Institute (grant number Z01-CP010119), and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (grant number Z01-ES049030). The work of the BBCC (principal investigator: P.A. Fasching) was partly funded by ELAN-Fond of the University Hospital of Erlangen. The BCEES (principal investigators: J. Stone, L. Fritschi) was funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council, Australia and the Cancer Council Western Australia. BCEES thanks Allyson Thomson, Christobel Saunders, Terry Slevin, BreastScreen Western Australia, Elizabeth Wylie, Rachel Lloyd. The BCINIS study (principal investigator: G. Rennert) is supported in part by the Breast Cancer Research Foundation (BCRF). The BCINIS study would not have been possible without the contributions of Dr. K. Landsman, Dr. N. Gronich, Dr. A. Flugelman, Dr. W. Saliba, Dr. F. Lejbkiewicz, Dr. E. Liani, Dr. I. Cohen, Dr. S. Kalet, Dr. V. Friedman, Dr. O. Barnet of the NICCC in Haifa, and all the contributing family medicine, surgery, pathology and oncology teams in all medical institutes in Northern Israel. BIGGS thanks Niall McNerney, Gabrielle Colleran, Andrew Rowan, Angela Jones. For BIGGS, E. J. Sawyer is supported by NIHR Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre, Guy's & St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London, United Kingdom. I. Tomlinson is supported by the Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. The BREast Oncology GALician Network (BREGAN) study would not have been possible without the contributions of the following: Manuela Gago-Dominguez, Jose Esteban Castelao, Angel Carracedo, Victor Muñoz Garzón, Alejandro Novo Domínguez, Maria Elena Martinez, Sara Miranda Ponte, Carmen Redondo Marey, Maite Peña Fernández, Manuel Enguix Castelo, Maria Torres, Manuel Calaza (BREGAN), José Antúnez, Máximo Fraga and the staff of the Department of Pathology and Biobank of the University Hospital Complex of Santiago-CHUS, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago, IDIS, Xerencia de Xestión Integrada de Santiago-SERGAS; Joaquín González-Carreró and the staff of the Department of Pathology and Biobank of University Hospital Complex of Vigo, Instituto de Investigación Biomedica Galicia Sur, SERGAS, Vigo, Spain. The BREGAN (principal investigators: J.E. Castelao, M. Gago-Dominguez) is funded by Acción Estratégica de Salud del Instituto de Salud Carlos III FIS P112/02125/Cofinanciado FEDER, P117/00918/Cofinanciado FEDER; Acción Estratégica de Salud del Instituto de

Salud Carlos III FIS Intrasalud (PI13/01136); Programa Grupos Emergentes, Cancer Genetics Unit, Instituto de Investigacion Biomedica Galicia Sur. Xerencia de Xestion Integrada de Vigo-SERGAS, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain; Grant 10CSA012E, Consellería de Industria Programa Sectorial de Investigación Aplicada, PEME I + D e I + D Suma del Plan Gallego de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación Tecnológica de la Consellería de Industria de la Xunta de Galicia, Spain; Grant EC11–192. Fomento de la Investigación Clínica Independiente, Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad, Spain; and Grant FEDER-Innterconecta. Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Xunta de Galicia, Spain. BSUCH thanks Peter Bugert, Medical Faculty Mannheim. The BSUCH study (principal investigator: B. Burwinkel) was supported by the Dietmar-Hopp Foundation, the Helmholtz Society and the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ). CCGP thanks Styliani Apostolaki, Anna Margiolaki, Georgios Nintos, Maria Perraki, Georgia Saloustrou, Georgia Sevastaki, Konstantinos Pompodakis. CCGP (principal investigator: E. Saloustrou) is supported by funding from the University of Crete. The CECILE study (principal investigator: P. Guénel) was supported by Fondation de France, Institut National du Cancer (INCa), Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer, Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire, de l'Alimentation, de l'Environnement et du Travail (ANSES), Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR). The CGPS thanks staff and participants of the Copenhagen General Population Study. For the excellent technical assistance: Dorthe Uldall Andersen, Maria Birna Arnadóttir, Anne Bank, Dorthe Kjeldgård Hansen. The Danish Cancer Biobank is acknowledged for providing infrastructure for the collection of blood samples for the cases. The CGPS (principal investigator: S.E. Bojesen) was supported by the Chief Physician Johan Boserup and Lise Boserup Fund, the Danish Medical Research Council, and Herlev and Gentofte Hospital. COLBCCC thanks all patients, the physicians Justo G. Olaya, Mauricio Tawil, Lilian Torregrosa, Elias Quintero, Sebastian Quintero, Claudia Ramírez, José J. Caicedo, and Jose F. Robledo, and the technician Michael Gilbert for their contributions and commitment to this study. COLBCCC (principal investigator: U. Hamann) is supported by the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany. D. Torres was in part supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. Investigators from the CPS-II cohort thank the participants and Study Management Group for their invaluable contributions to this research. They also acknowledge the contribution to this study from central cancer registries supported through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Program of Cancer Registries, as well as cancer registries supported by the National Cancer Institute Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results program. The American Cancer Society funds the creation, maintenance, and updating of the CPS-II cohort (principal investigators: S.M. Gapstur, M.M. Gaudet). The authors would like to thank the California Teachers Study Steering Committee that is responsible for the formation and maintenance of the Study within which this research was conducted. A full list of California Teachers Study team members is available at <https://www.calteachersstudy.org/team>. The California Teachers Study (principal investigator: J.V. Lacey) and the research reported in this publication were supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under award number U01-CA199277; P30-CA033572; P30-CA023100; U01-CA164917; and R01-CA077398. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Cancer Institute or the National Institutes of Health. The collection of cancer incidence data used in the California Teachers Study (principal investigator: J.V. Lacey) was supported by the California Department of Public Health pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 103885; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Program of Cancer Registries, under cooperative agreement 5NU58DP006344; the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program under contract HHSN261201800032I awarded to the University of California, San Francisco, contract HHSN261201800015I awarded to the University of Southern California, and contract HHSN261201800009I awarded to the Public Health Institute. The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official views of the State of California, Department of Public Health, the National Cancer Institute, the National Institutes of Health, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or their Contractors and Subcontractors, or the Regents of the University of California, or any of its programs. DIETCOMPLYF thanks the patients, nurses and clinical staff involved in the study. The University of Westminster curates the DietComplyf database (principal investigator: M. Dwek) funded by Against Breast Cancer Registered Charity No. 1121258 and the NCRN. We thank the participants and the investigators of EPIC (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition). The coordination of EPIC (principal investigator: R. Kaaks) is financially supported by the European Commission (DG-SANCO) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer. The national cohorts are supported by: Ligue Contre le Cancer, Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Éducation Nationale, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (France); German Cancer Aid, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) (Germany); the Hellenic Health Foundation, the Stavros Niarchos Foundation (Greece); Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro-AIRC-Italy and National Research Council (Italy); Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); Health Research Fund (FIS), PI13/00061 to Granada, PI13/01162 to EPIC-Murcia, Regional Governments of Andalucía, Asturias, Basque Country, Murcia and Navarra, ISCIII RETIC (RD06/0020) (Spain); Cancer Research UK (14136 to EPIC-Norfolk; C570/A16491 and C8221/A19170 to EPIC-Oxford), Medical Research Council (1000143 to EPIC-Norfolk, MR/M012190/1 to EPIC-Oxford) (United Kingdom). The ESTHER study thanks Hartwig Ziegler, Sonja Wolf, Volker Herrmann, Christa Stegmaier, Katja Butterbach. The ESTHER study (principal investigator: H. Brenner) was supported by a grant from the Baden Württemberg Ministry of Science, Research and Arts. Additional cases were recruited in the context of the VERDI study, which was supported by a grant from the German Cancer Aid (Deutsche Krebshilfe). The GENICA Network: Dr. Margarete Fischer-Bosch-Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Stuttgart, and University of Tübingen, Germany [Hiltrud Brauch, Wing-Yee Lo],

Department of Internal Medicine, Evangelische Kliniken Bonn gGmbH, Johanniter Krankenhaus, Bonn, Germany [Yon-Dschun Ko, Christian Baisch], Institute of Pathology, University of Bonn, Germany [Hans-Peter Fischer], Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany [UH], Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr University Bochum (IPA), Bochum, Germany [Thomas Brüning, Beate Pesch, Sylvia Rabstein, Anne Lotz]; and Institute of Occupational Medicine and Maritime Medicine, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany [Volker Harth]. The GENICA (principal investigator: H. Brauch) was funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Germany grants 01KW9975/5, 01KW9976/8, 01KW9977/0 and 01KW0114, the Robert Bosch Foundation, Stuttgart, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ), Heidelberg, the Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr University Bochum (IPA), Bochum, as well as the Department of Internal Medicine, Evangelische Kliniken Bonn gGmbH, Johanniter Krankenhaus, Bonn, Germany. The GESBC (principal investigator: J. Chang-Claude) was supported by the Deutsche Krebshilfe e. V. [70492] and the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ). HABCS would like to thank Peter Schürmann, Natalia Bogdanova, Nikki Adrian Krentel, Regina Meier, Frank Papendorf, Michael Bremer, Johann H. Karstens, Hans Christiansen and Peter Hillemanns for their contributions to this study. The HABCS (principal investigator: T. Dörk) was supported by the Claudia von Schilling Foundation for Breast Cancer Research, by the Lower Saxonian Cancer Society, and by the Rudolf Bartling Foundation. HEBCS would like to thank Heli Nevanlinna, Kristiina Aittomäki, Karl von Smitten and Kirsi Aaltonen for their contribution for this study. The HEBCS (principal investigator: H. Nevanlinna) was financially supported by the Helsinki University Hospital Research Fund, the Finnish Cancer Society, and the Sigrid Juselius Foundation. The HERPACC (principal investigator: K. Matsuo) was supported by MEXT Kakenhi (No. 170150181 and 26253041) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, Culture and Technology of Japan, by a Grant-in-Aid for the Third Term Comprehensive 10-Year Strategy for Cancer Control from Ministry Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan, by Health and Labour Sciences Research Grants for Research on Applying Health Technology from Ministry Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan, by National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund, and “Practical Research for Innovative Cancer Control (15ck0106177h0001)” from Japan Agency for Medical Research and development, AMED, and Cancer Bio Bank Aichi. ICICLE thanks Kelly Kohut, Michele Caneppele, Maria Troy. ICICLE (principal investigator: E. J. Sawyer) was supported by Breast Cancer Now, CRUK and Biomedical Research Centre at Guy’s and St Thomas’ NHS Foundation Trust and King’s College London. Financial support for KARBAC (principal investigators: A. Lindblom, S. Margolin) was provided through the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research (ALF) between Stockholm County Council and Karolinska Institutet, the Swedish Cancer Society, The Gustav V Jubilee foundation and Bert von Kantzows foundation. KARMA and SASBAC thank the Swedish Medical Research Council. The KARMA study (principal investigators: K. Czene, P. Hall) was supported by Märit and Hans Rausings Initiative Against Breast Cancer. The KBCP (principal investigator: A. Mannermaa) was financially supported by the special Government Funding (EVO) of Kuopio University Hospital grants, Cancer Fund of North Savo, the Finnish Cancer Organizations, and by the strategic funding of the University of Eastern Finland. LAABC thanks all the study participants and the entire data collection team, especially Annie Fung and June Yashiki. LAABC (principal investigator: A.H. Wu) is supported by grants (1RB-0287, 3PB-0102, 5PB-0018, 10PB-0098) from the California Breast Cancer Research Program. Incident breast cancer cases were collected by the USC Cancer Surveillance Program (CSP) which is supported under subcontract by the California Department of Health. The CSP is also part of the National Cancer Institute’s Division of Cancer Prevention and Control Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program, under contract number N01CN25403. LMBC thanks Gilian Peuteman, Thomas Van Brussel, Evy Vanderheyden and Kathleen Corthouts. LMBC (principal investigator: D. Lambrechts) is supported by the ‘Stichting tegen Kanker’. D. Lambrechts is supported by the FWO. MABCS thanks Snezhana Smichkoska, Emilija Lazarova (University Clinic of Radiotherapy and Oncology), Katerina Kubelka-Sabit, Mitko Karadjozov (Adzibadem-Sistina Hospital), Andrej Arsovski and Liljana Stojanovska (Re-Medika Hospital) for their contributions and commitment to this study. The MABCS study (D. Plaseska-Karanfilska, M. Jakimovska) is funded by the Research Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology “Georgi D. Efremov”, MASA. MARIE thanks Petra Seibold, Dieter Flesch-Janys, Judith Heinz, Nadia Obi, Alina Vrieling, Sabine Behrens, Ursula Eilber, Muhabbet Celik, Til Olchers and Stefan Nickels. The MARIE study (principal investigator: J. Chang-Claude) was supported by the Deutsche Krebshilfe e.V. [70-2892-BR I, 106332, 108253, 108419, 110826, 110828], the Hamburg Cancer Society, the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Germany [01KH0402 and 01ER1306]. The MCBCS (principal investigator: F.J. Couch) was supported by the NIH grants CA192393, CA116167, CA176785 an NIH Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) in Breast Cancer [CA116201], and the Breast Cancer Research Foundation and a generous gift from the David F. and Margaret T. Grohne Family Foundation. The Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study (MCCS) was made possible by the contribution of many people, including the original investigators, the teams that recruited the participants and continue working on follow-up, and the many thousands of Melbourne residents who continue to participate in the study. The MCCS cohort recruitment was funded by VicHealth and Cancer Council Victoria (principal investigators: G.G. Giles and R.L. Milne). The MCCS was further augmented by Australian National Health and Medical Research Council grants 209057, 396414 and 1074383 and by infrastructure provided by Cancer Council Victoria (principal investigators: G.G. Giles and R.L. Milne). Cases and their vital status were ascertained through the Victorian Cancer Registry and the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, including the National Death Index and the Australian Cancer Database. The MEC (principal investigator: C.A. Haiman) was supported by NIH grants CA63464, CA54281, CA098758, CA132839 and CA164973. The MISS study (principal investigator: H. Olsson) is supported by funding from

ERC-2011-294576 Advanced grant, Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Research Council, Local hospital funds, Berta Kamprad Foundation, Gunnar Nilsson. We thank the coordinators, the research staff and especially the MMHS participants for their continued collaboration on research studies in breast cancer. The MMHS study (principal investigator: C.M. Vachon) was supported by NIH grants CA97396, CA128931, CA116201, CA140286 and CA177150. MYBRCA thanks study participants and research staff (particularly Patsy Ng, Nurhidayu Hassan, Yoon Sook-Yee, Daphne Lee, Lee Sheau Yee, Phuah Sze Yee and Norhashimah Hassan) for their contributions and commitment to this study. MYBRCA (principal investigator: S.H. Teo) is funded by research grants from the Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education (UM.C/HIR/MOHE/06) and Cancer Research Malaysia. The following are NBCS Collaborators: Kristine K. Sahlberg (PhD), Lars Ottestad (MD), Rolf Kåresen (Prof. Em.), Anne-Lise Børresen-Dale (Prof. Em.), Dr. Ellen Schlichting (MD), Marit Muri Holmen (MD), Toril Sauer (MD), Vilde Haakensen (MD), Olav Engebråten (MD), Bjørn Naume (MD), Alexander Fosså (MD), Cecile E. Kiserud (MD), Kristin V. Reinertsen (MD), Åslaug Helland (MD), Margit Riis (MD), Jürgen Geisler (MD), OSBREAC and Grethe I. Grenaker Alnæs (MSc). The NBCS (principal investigator: V. N. Kristensen) has received funding from the K.G. Jebsen Centre for Breast Cancer Research; the Research Council of Norway grant 193387/V50 (to A-L Børresen-Dale and V.N. Kristensen) and grant 193387/H10 (to A-L Børresen-Dale and V.N. Kristensen), South Eastern Norway Health Authority (grant 39346 to A-L Børresen-Dale) and the Norwegian Cancer Society (to A-L Børresen-Dale and V.N. Kristensen). The Carolina Breast Cancer Study (NCBCS, principal investigator: M. A. Troester) was funded by Komen Foundation, the National Cancer Institute (P50 CA058223, U54 CA156733, U01 CA179715), and the North Carolina University Cancer Research Fund. For NHS and NHS2 the study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of the Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, and those of participating registries as required. We would like to thank the participants and staff of the NHS and NHS2 for their valuable contributions as well as the following state cancer registries for their help: AL, AZ, AR, CA, CO, CT, DE, FL, GA, ID, IL, IN, IA, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, MI, NE, NH, NJ, NY, NC, ND, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, TN, TX, VA, WA, WY. The authors assume full responsibility for analyses and interpretation of these data. The NHS (principal investigator: H. A. Eliassen) was supported by NIH grants P01 CA87969, UM1 CA186107, and U19 CA148065. The NHS2 (principal investigator: W. Willett) was supported by NIH grants U01 CA176726 and U19 CA148065. OBCS thanks Katri Pylkäs, Arja Jukkola, Saira Kauppila, Meeri Otsukka, Leena Keskitalo and Kari Mononen for their contributions to this study. The OBCS (principal investigator: R. Winqvist) was supported by research grants from the Finnish Cancer Foundation, the Academy of Finland (grant number 250083, 122715 and Center of Excellence grant number 251314), the Finnish Cancer Foundation, the Sigrid Juselius Foundation, the University of Oulu, the University of Oulu Support Foundation and the special Governmental EVO funds for Oulu University Hospital-based research activities. ORIGO thanks E. Krol-Warmerdam, and J. Blom for patient accrual, administering questionnaires, and managing clinical information. The LUMC survival data were retrieved from the Leiden hospital-based cancer registry system (ONCDOC) with the help of Dr. J. Molenaar. The ORIGO study (principal investigator: P. Devilee) was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society (RUL 1997–1505) and the Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI-NL CP16). PBCS thanks Louise Brinton, Mark Sherman, Neonila Szeszenia-Dabrowska, Beata Peplonska, Witold Zatonski, Pei Chao, Michael Stagner. The PBCS (principal investigator: M. García-Closas) was funded by Intramural Research Funds of the National Cancer Institute, Department of Health and Human Services, USA. Genotyping for PLCO (principal investigator: M. García-Closas) was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, NCI, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics. The PLCO (principal investigator: M. García-Closas) is supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics and supported by contracts from the Division of Cancer Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health. The ethical approval for the POSH study is MREC /00/6/69, UKCRN ID: 1137. We thank staff in the Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre (ECMC) supported Faculty of Medicine Tissue Bank and the Faculty of Medicine DNA Banking resource. The POSH study (principal investigators: W. Tapper, D. M. Eccles) is funded by Cancer Research UK (grants C1275/A11699, C1275/C22524, C1275/A19187, C1275/A15956 and Breast Cancer Campaign 2010PR62, 2013PR044. PREFACE thanks Sonja Oeser and Silke Landrith. PROCAS thanks NIHR for funding. SBCS thanks Sue Higham, Helen Cramp, Dan Connley, Ian Brock, Sabapathy Balasubramanian and Malcolm W.R. Reed. PROCAS (principal investigator: D. G. Evans) is funded from NIHR grant PGfAR 0707-10031. D.G. Evans is supported by the all Manchester NIHR Biomedical Research Centre (IS-BRC-1215-20007). The SASBAC study (principal investigators: P. Hall, K. Czene) was supported by funding from the Agency for Science, Technology and Research of Singapore (A*STAR), the US National Institute of Health (NIH) and the Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Foundation. The SBCGS (principal investigators: W. Zheng, X.-O. Shu) was supported primarily by NIH grants R01CA64277, R01CA148667, UMCA182910, and R37CA70867. Biological sample preparation was conducted the Survey and Biospecimen Shared Resource, which is supported by P30 CA68485. The scientific development and funding of this project were, in part, supported by the Genetic Associations and Mechanisms in Oncology (GAME-ON) Network U19 CA148065. The SBCS (principal investigator: A. Cox) was supported by Sheffield Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre and Breast Cancer Now Tissue Bank. We thank the SEARCH and EPIC teams. SEARCH (principal investigator: P. D. P. Pharoah) is funded by Cancer Research UK [C490/A10124, C490/A16561] and supported by the UK National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at the University of Cambridge. The University of Cambridge has received salary support for P. D. P. Pharoah from the NHS in the East of England through the Clinical Academic Reserve. SEBCS (principal investigators: D. Kang, J.-Y. Choi) was supported by the BRL (Basic Research Laboratory) program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012–0000347). SGBCC thanks the participants and all research

coordinators for their excellent help with recruitment, data and sample collection. SGBCC (principal investigators: M. Hartman, J. Li) is funded by the National Research Foundation Singapore, NUS start-up Grant, National University Cancer Institute Singapore (NCIS) Centre Grant, Breast Cancer Prevention Programme, Asian Breast Cancer Research Fund and the NMRC Clinician Scientist Award (SI Category). Additional controls were recruited by the Singapore Consortium of Cohort Studies-Multi-ethnic cohort (SCCS-MEC), which was funded by the Biomedical Research Council, grant number: 05/1/21/19/425. SKKDKFZS thanks all study participants, clinicians, family doctors, researchers and technicians for their contributions and commitment to this study. SKKDKFZS (principal investigator: U. Hamann) is supported by the DKFZ. The SMC (principal investigator: A. Wolk) is funded by the Swedish Cancer Foundation and the Swedish Research Council (VR 2017–00644) grant for the Swedish Infrastructure for Medical Population-based Life-course Environmental Research (SIMPLER). We thank the SUCCESS Study teams in Munich, Duesseldorf, Erlangen and Ulm. SZBCS thanks Ewa Putresza. The SZBCS (principal investigator: A. Jakubowska) was supported by Grant PBZ_KBN_122/P05/2004 and the program of the Minister of Science and Higher Education under the name “Regional Initiative of Excellence” in 2019–2022 project number 002/RID/2018/19 amount of financing 12 000 000 PLN. The TWBCS (principal investigator: C.-Y. Shen) is supported by the Taiwan Biobank project of the Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taiwan. UBCS thanks all study participants, the ascertainment, laboratory and research informatics teams at Huntsman Cancer Institute and Intermountain Healthcare, and Stacey Knight, Melissa Cessna and Kerry Rowe for their important contributions to this study. Ascertainment and data collection for the UBCS (principal investigator: N. J. Camp) is supported by funding from National Cancer Institute grants R01 CA163353 (to N.J. Camp) and the Women’s Cancer Center at the Huntsman Cancer Institute (HCI) which is funded in part by the Huntsman Cancer Foundation. Data collection is also made possible by the Utah Population Database (UPDB), Intermountain Healthcare, and the Utah Cancer Registry (UCR). Support for the UPDB is provided by the University of Utah, HCI, and the Comprehensive Cancer Center Support grant NCI P30 CA42014. The UCR is funded by the NCI’s SEER Program, Contract No. HHSN2612018000161, with additional support from the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention’s National Program of Cancer Registries, Cooperative Agreement No. NU58DP0063200, the University of Utah and Huntsman Cancer Foundation. UCIBCS thanks Irene Masunaka. The UCIBCS component of this research (principal investigator: H. Anton-Culver) was supported by the NIH [CA58860, CA92044] and the Lon V Smith Foundation [LVS39420]. The US3SS study (principal investigator: M. García-Closas) was supported by Massachusetts (K.M.Egan, R01CA47305), Wisconsin (P.A.Newcomb, R01 CA47147) and New Hampshire (L.Titus-E., R01CA69664) centers, and Intramural Research Funds of the National Cancer Institute, Department of Health and Human Services, USA. The USRT Study (principal investigators: C. M. Kitahara, M. García-Closas) was funded by Intramural Research Funds of the National Cancer Institute, Department of Health and Human Services, USA.

References

1. Yang XR, Chang-Claude J, Goode EL, Couch FJ, Nevanlinna H, Milne RL, et al. Associations of Breast Cancer Risk Factors With Tumor Subtypes: A Pooled Analysis From the Breast Cancer Association Consortium Studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2010;103(3):250–63 10.1093/jnci/djq526 %J JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute. [PubMed: 21191117]
2. Phipps AI, Buist DSM, Malone KE, Barlow WE, Porter PL, Kerlikowske K, et al. Reproductive history and risk of three breast cancer subtypes defined by three biomarkers. *Cancer Causes Control* 2011;22(3):399–405 10.1007/s10552-010-9709-0. [PubMed: 21184265]
3. Prat A, Fan C, Fernández A, Hoadley KA, Martinello R, Vidal M, et al. Response and survival of breast cancer intrinsic subtypes following multi-agent neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *BMC Med* 2015;13(1):303 10.1186/s12916-015-0540-z. [PubMed: 26684470]
4. Hennigs A, Riedel F, Gondos A, Sinn P, Schirmacher P, Marmé F, et al. Prognosis of breast cancer molecular subtypes in routine clinical care: A large prospective cohort study. *BMC Cancer* 2016;16(1):734 10.1186/s12885-016-2766-3. [PubMed: 27634735]
5. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018;68(6):394–424 10.3322/caac.21492. [PubMed: 30207593]
6. World Cancer Research Fund International/American Institute for Cancer Research Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018. Diet, nutrition, physical activity, and breast cancer survivors. Available at dietandcancerreport.org.
7. Passarelli MN, Newcomb PA, Hampton JM, Trentham-Dietz A, Titus LJ, Egan KM, et al. Cigarette Smoking Before and After Breast Cancer Diagnosis: Mortality From Breast Cancer and Smoking-Related Diseases. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34(12):1315–22 10.1200/jco.2015.63.9328. [PubMed: 26811527]

8. Barnett GC, Shah M, Redman K, Easton DF, Ponder BAJ, Pharoah PDP. Risk Factors for the Incidence of Breast Cancer: Do They Affect Survival From the Disease? *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26(20):3310–6 10.1200/jco.2006.10.3168. [PubMed: 18612147]
9. Schouten LJ, Hopperets PSGJ, Jager JJ, Volovics L, Wils JA, Verbeek ALM, et al. Prognostic significance of etiological risk factors in early breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1997;43(3):217–23 10.1023/a:1005790531122. [PubMed: 9150901]
10. Reeves GK, Patterson J, Vessey MP, Yeates D, Jones L. Hormonal and other factors in relation to survival among breast cancer patients. *Int J Cancer* 2000;89(3):293–9 10.1002/1097-0215(20000520)89:3<293::Aid-ijc13>3.0.Co;2-r. [PubMed: 10861507]
11. Phillips K-A, Milne RL, West DW, Goodwin PJ, Giles GG, Chang ET, et al. Prediagnosis Reproductive Factors and All-Cause Mortality for Women with Breast Cancer in the Breast Cancer Family Registry. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009;18(6):1792–7 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-1014 %J Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. [PubMed: 19505912]
12. Connor AE, Visvanathan K, Baumgartner KB, Baumgartner RN, Boone SD, Hines LM, et al. Pre-diagnostic breastfeeding, adiposity, and mortality among parous Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women with invasive breast cancer: the Breast Cancer Health Disparities Study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2017;161(2):321–31 10.1007/s10549-016-4048-9. [PubMed: 27837379]
13. Alsaker MDK, Opdahl S, Romundstad PR, Vatten LJ. Association of time since last birth, age at first birth and parity with breast cancer survival among parous women: A register-based study from Norway. *Int J Cancer* 2013;132(1):174–81 10.1002/ijc.27593. [PubMed: 22511284]
14. Whiteman MK, Hillis SD, Curtis KM, McDonald JA, Wingo PA, Marchbanks PA. Reproductive History and Mortality After Breast Cancer Diagnosis. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004;104(1):146–54 10.1097/01.AOG.0000128173.01611.ff. [PubMed: 15229014]
15. Lu Y, Ma H, Malone KE, Norman SA, Sullivan-Halley J, Strom BL, et al. Oral Contraceptive Use and Survival in Women with Invasive Breast Cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2011;20(7):1391–7 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0022 %J Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. [PubMed: 21551244]
16. Trivers KF, Gammon MD, Abrahamson PE, Lund MJ, Flagg EW, Moorman PG, et al. Oral Contraceptives and Survival in Breast Cancer Patients Aged 20 to 54 Years. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16(9):1822–7 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0053 %J Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. [PubMed: 17855700]
17. Wingo PA, Austin H, Marchbanks PA, Whiteman MK, Hsia J, Mandel MG, et al. Oral Contraceptives and the Risk of Death From Breast Cancer. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;110(4):793–800 10.1097/01.AOG.0000284446.22251.6e. [PubMed: 17906011]
18. Song N, Choi J-Y, Sung H, Jeon S, Chung S, Song M, et al. Tumor Subtype-Specific Associations of Hormone-Related Reproductive Factors on Breast Cancer Survival. *PLOS ONE* 2015;10(4):e0123994 10.1371/journal.pone.0123994. [PubMed: 25875532]
19. Korzeniowski S, Dyba T. Reproductive history and prognosis in patients with operable breast cancer. *Cancer* 1994;74(5):1591–4. [PubMed: 8062190]
20. Anderson PR, Hanlon AL, Freedman GM, Nicolaou N. Parity Confers Better Prognosis in Older Women with Early-Stage Breast Cancer Treated with Breast-Conserving Therapy. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2004;5(3):225–31 10.3816/CBC.2004.n.026. [PubMed: 15335456]
21. Lethaby A, Mason B, Harvey V, Holdaway I. Survival of women with node negative breast cancer in the Auckland region. *N Z Med J* 1996;109(1029):330–3. [PubMed: 8862351]
22. Kwan ML, Bernard PS, Kroenke CH, Factor RE, Habel LA, Weltzien EK, et al. Breastfeeding, PAM50 Tumor Subtype, and Breast Cancer Prognosis and Survival. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2015;107(7) 10.1093/jnci/djv087 %J JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute.
23. Yu X, Zhou S, Wang J, Zhang Q, Hou J, Zhu L, et al. Hormone replacement therapy and breast cancer survival: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Breast Cancer* 2017;24(5):643–57 10.1007/s12282-017-0789-5. [PubMed: 28601917]
24. Meurer L, Lená S. Cancer recurrence and mortality in women using hormone replacement therapy: meta-analysis. *J Fam Pract* 2002;51(12):1056–62. [PubMed: 12540332]

25. Orgéas CC, Hall P, Rosenberg LU, Czene K. The influence of menstrual risk factors on tumor characteristics and survival in postmenopausal breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* 2008;10(6):R107 10.1186/bcr2212. [PubMed: 19087323]
26. Sun X, Nichols HB, Tse C-K, Bell MB, Robinson WR, Sherman ME, et al. Association of Parity and Time since Last Birth with Breast Cancer Prognosis by Intrinsic Subtype. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2016;25(1):60–7 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-15-0864 %J Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. [PubMed: 26545404]
27. Olson SH, Zauber AG, Tang J, Harlap S. Relation of Time since Last Birth and Parity to Survival of Young Women with Breast Cancer. *Epidemiology* 1998;9(6):669–71. [PubMed: 9799180]
28. Møller H, Purushotham A, Linklater KM, Garmo H, Holmberg L, Lambe M, et al. Recent childbirth is an adverse prognostic factor in breast cancer and melanoma, but not in Hodgkin lymphoma. *Eur J Cancer* 2013;49(17):3686–93 10.1016/j.ejca.2013.06.047. [PubMed: 23932699]
29. Nagatsuma AK, Shimizu C, Takahashi F, Tsuda H, Saji S, Hojo T, et al. Impact of recent parity on histopathological tumor features and breast cancer outcome in premenopausal Japanese women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2013;138(3):941–50 10.1007/s10549-013-2507-0. [PubMed: 23553566]
30. Phillips K-A, Milne RL, Friedlander ML, Jenkins MA, McCredie MRE, Giles GG, et al. Prognosis of Premenopausal Breast Cancer and Childbirth Prior to Diagnosis. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22(4):699–705 10.1200/jco.2004.07.062. [PubMed: 14966094]
31. Chlebowski RT, Anderson GL, Gass M, Lane DS, Aragaki AK, Kuller LH, et al. Estrogen plus progestin and breast cancer incidence and mortality in postmenopausal women. *JAMA* 2010;304(15):1684–92 10.1001/jama.2010.1500. [PubMed: 20959578]
32. Chlebowski RT, Manson JE, Anderson GL, Cauley JA, Aragaki AK, Stefanick ML, et al. Estrogen plus progestin and breast cancer incidence and mortality in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2013;105(8):526–35 10.1093/jnci/djt043. [PubMed: 23543779]
33. Beral V, Peto R, Pirie K, Reeves G. Menopausal hormone therapy and 20-year breast cancer mortality. *Lancet* 2019;394(10204):1139 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32033-1. [PubMed: 31474331]
34. Broeks A, Schmidt MK, Sherman ME, Couch FJ, Hopper JL, Dite GS, et al. Low penetrance breast cancer susceptibility loci are associated with specific breast tumor subtypes: findings from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium. *Human molecular genetics* 2011;20(16):3289–303 10.1093/hmg/ddr228. [PubMed: 21596841]
35. Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Coates AS, Gelber RD, Thürlimann B, Senn H-J, et al. Strategies for subtypes—dealing with the diversity of breast cancer: highlights of the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2011. *Ann Oncol* 2011;22(8):1736–47. [PubMed: 21709140]
36. Pencina MJ, Larson MG, D'Agostino RB. Choice of time scale and its effect on significance of predictors in longitudinal studies. *Stat Med* 2007;26(6):1343–59 10.1002/sim.2699. [PubMed: 16955538]
37. Abubakar M, Sung H, BCR D, Guida J, Tang TS, Pfeiffer RM, et al. Breast cancer risk factors, survival and recurrence, and tumor molecular subtype: analysis of 3012 women from an indigenous Asian population. *Breast Cancer Res* 2018;20(1):1465–542.
38. Cespedes Feliciano EM, Kwan ML, Kushi LH, Chen WY, Weltzien EK, Castillo AL, et al. Body mass index, PAM50 subtype, recurrence, and survival among patients with nonmetastatic breast cancer. *Cancer* 2017;123(13):2535–42 10.1002/cncr.30637. [PubMed: 28295245]
39. Obi N, Heinz J, Seibold P, Vrieling A, Rudolph A, Chang-Claude J, et al. Relationship between menopausal hormone therapy and mortality after breast cancer The MARIEplus study, a prospective case cohort. *Int J Cancer* 2016;138(9):2098–108 10.1002/ijc.29951. [PubMed: 26649645]
40. Chen W, Petitti DB, Geiger AM. Mortality following development of breast cancer while using oestrogen or oestrogen plus progestin: a computer record-linkage study. *British journal of cancer* 2005;93(4):392–8 10.1038/sj.bjc.6602701. [PubMed: 16106246]
41. Rosenberg LU, Granath F, Dickman PW, Einarsdóttir K, Wedrén S, Persson I, et al. Menopausal hormone therapy in relation to breast cancer characteristics and prognosis: a cohort study. *Breast Cancer Res* 2008;10(5):R78 10.1186/bcr2145. [PubMed: 18803850]

42. Duan W, Li S, Meng X, Sun Y, Jia C. Smoking and survival of breast cancer patients: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Breast* 2017;33:117–24. [PubMed: 28371644]
43. Friedenreich CM, Stone CR, Cheung WY, Hayes SC. Physical Activity and Mortality in Cancer Survivors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *JNCI Cancer Spectrum* 2019;4(1) 10.1093/jncics/pkz080.
44. Schmid P, Possinger K, Böhm R, Chaudri HA, Verbeek Grosse Y et al. Body mass index as predictive parameter for response and time to progression in advanced breast cancer patients treated with letrozole or megestrol acetate. in *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2000.
45. Rock CL, Demark-Wahnefried W. Nutrition and Survival After the Diagnosis of Breast Cancer: A Review of the Evidence. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20(15):3302–16 10.1200/jco.2002.03.008. [PubMed: 12149305]
46. Madarnas Y, Sawka CA, Franssen E, Bjarnason GAJBCR, Treatment. Are medical oncologists biased in their treatment of the large woman with breast cancer? *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2001;66(2):123–33 10.1023/a:1010635328299. [PubMed: 11437098]
47. Chan DS, Vieira AR, Aune D, Bandera EV, Greenwood DC, McTiernan A, et al. Body mass index and survival in women with breast cancer-systematic literature review and meta-analysis of 82 follow-up studies. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25(10):1901–14 10.1093/annonc/mdu042. [PubMed: 24769692]
48. Playdon MC, Bracken MB, Sanft TB, Ligibel JA, Harrigan M, Irwin ML. Weight Gain After Breast Cancer Diagnosis and All-Cause Mortality: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2015;107(12):djv275. [PubMed: 26424778]
49. Jung AY, Behrens S, Schmidt M, Thoene K, Obi N, Husing A, et al. Pre- to postdiagnosis leisure-time physical activity and prognosis in postmenopausal breast cancer survivors. *Breast Cancer Res* 2019;21(1):117 10.1186/s13058-019-1206-0. [PubMed: 31694687]
50. CGHFBC. Breast cancer and hormone replacement therapy: collaborative reanalysis of data from 51 epidemiological studies of 52 705 women with breast cancer and 108 411 women without breast cancer. *Lancet* 1997;350(9084):1047–59. [PubMed: 10213546]
51. Madley-Dowd P, Hughes R, Tilling K, Heron J. The proportion of missing data should not be used to guide decisions on multiple imputation. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2019;110:63–73 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.02.016. [PubMed: 30878639]

Table 1. Characteristics of the breast cancer population based on data from 67 population-based and hospital-based studies.

Characteristics	Overall	ER+	ER-	Luminal A-like	Luminal B HER2-negative-like	Luminal B HER2-like	HER2-enriched-like	Triple negative
Number of women ^a , n	121 435	81 885	22 257	33 633	8915	7976	4025	8856
Number of overall deaths, n	16 890	9941	4587	3039	1490	1127	849	1858
Number of breast cancer specific deaths, n	8554	4654	2511	1256	792	613	458	978
Clinical risk factors								
Age at diagnosis, y, median (IQR)	57 (48–65)	58 (49–66)	53 (44–62)	59 (50–67)	56 (46–65)	54 (45–64)	54 (46–62)	53 (44–63)
Missing, n	56							
Year of diagnosis, n (%)								
1961–1975	264 (0.2)	98 (0.1)	105 (0.5)	24 (0.1)	3 (0.0)	16 (0.2)	19 (0.5)	59 (0.7)
1976–1990	4271 (3.6)	1707 (2.2)	931 (4.3)	725 (2.2)	273 (3.1)	144 (1.8)	188 (4.7)	433 (5)
1991–2005	68 872 (58.8)	44 075 (55.6)	13 425 (61.4)	13 776 (41.8)	3559 (40.7)	3694 (47.4)	2029 (51.1)	4351 (49.8)
2006–2019	43 725 (37.3)	33 414 (42.1)	7406 (33.9)	18 465 (56.0)	4905 (56.1)	3943 (50.6)	1734 (43.7)	3898 (44.6)
Missing, n	4303							
Ethnicity, n (%)								
European	91 981 (84)	62 984 (84.7)	15 479 (75.4)	26 087 (85.8)	6534 (82.5)	5773 (77.2)	2617 (68.3)	6078 (76.7)
Hispanic American	866 (0.8)	554 (0.7)	179 (0.9)	225 (0.7)	46 (0.6)	78 (1.0)	26 (0.7)	104 (1.3)
African	1015 (0.9)	461 (0.6)	435 (2.1)	135 (0.4)	52 (0.7)	58 (0.8)	52 (1.4)	261 (3.3)
Asian	13 139 (12.0)	8397 (11.3)	3991 (19.5)	3033 (10.0)	1090 (13.8)	1416 (18.9)	1061 (27.7)	1263 (15.9)
Other	2516 (2.3)	1929 (2.6)	433 (2.1)	936 (3.1)	198 (2.5)	157 (2.1)	77 (2.0)	217 (2.7)
Missing, n	11 918							
Tumor size, n (%)								
2 cm	49 887 (61.5)	36 848 (63.2)	7746 (50.3)	17 873 (65.5)	3339 (46.0)	3055 (52.2)	1305 (44.6)	3147 (48.2)
>2 and 5 cm	27 665 (34.1)	19 024 (32.7)	6706 (43.5)	8358 (30.6)	3449 (47.5)	2478 (42.4)	1374 (47.0)	3016 (46.2)
>5 cm	3603 (4.4)	2388 (4.1)	948 (6.2)	1067 (3.9)	472 (6.5)	317 (5.4)	245 (8.4)	371 (5.7)

Characteristics	Overall	ER+	ER-	Luminal A-like	Luminal B HER2-negative-like	Luminal B HER2-like	HER2-enriched-like	Triple negative
Missing, n	40 280							
Nodal status, n (%)								
Negative	59 569 (62.1)	43 212 (62.0)	11 156 (59.6)	20 203 (63.5)	4352 (51.4)	3930 (54.6)	1795 (50.5)	4874 (62.7)
Positive	36 395 (37.9)	26 476 (38.0)	7551 (40.4)	11 609 (36.5)	4112 (48.6)	3264 (45.4)	1759 (49.5)	2905 (37.3)
Missing, n	25 471							
Tumor stage, n (%)								
I	34 157 (44.5)	25 351 (45.9)	5147 (34.6)	12 222 (47.7)	1903 (29.4)	2209 (37.5)	839 (28.0)	2143 (34.6)
II	34 696 (45.2)	24 498 (44.3)	7663 (51.5)	11 154 (43.5)	3567 (55.2)	2838 (48.1)	1561 (52.1)	3314 (53.5)
III	7990 (10.4)	5411 (9.8)	2056 (13.8)	2243 (8.8)	997 (15.4)	850 (14.4)	597 (19.9)	742 (12)
Missing, n	44 592							
Grade, n (%)								
Grade 1	17 919 (19.2)	15 546 (22.6)	800 (4.5)	10 130 (30.1)	-	672 (9.3)	62 (1.8)	279 (3.7)
Grade 2	45 065 (48.3)	37 347 (54.3)	4614 (26.1)	23 503 (69.9)	-	3397 (47.0)	918 (26.4)	1709 (22.4)
Grade 3	30 231 (32.4)	15 852 (23.1)	12 253 (69.4)	-	8915 (100)	3151 (43.6)	2498 (71.8)	5651 (74)
Missing, n	28 220							
Surgery, n (%)								
No surgery	1160 (1.6)	437 (0.8)	152 (1.1)	108 (0.4)	26 (0.4)	37 (0.7)	22 (0.8)	35 (0.6)
Breast conserving surgery	29 530 (40.9)	22 923 (44.4)	4971 (36.8)	11 551 (47.5)	2371 (36.7)	2188 (40.3)	775 (28.9)	2168 (38.8)
Mastectomy	22 785 (31.6)	16 032 (31.1)	5237 (38.7)	6730 (27.7)	2156 (33.4)	2092 (38.5)	1378 (51.3)	1821 (32.6)
Type unknown	18 677 (25.9)	12 187 (23.6)	3155 (23.3)	5942 (24.4)	1907 (29.5)	1111 (20.5)	510 (19.0)	1561 (27.9)
Missing, n	49 283							
Radiation therapy, n (%)								
No	18 563 (27.6)	12 525 (26.3)	3684 (28.8)	5268 (25.7)	1250 (22.8)	1353 (26.1)	801 (30.8)	1217 (25.7)
Yes	48 616 (72.4)	35 037 (73.7)	9111 (71.2)	15 241 (74.3)	4243 (77.2)	3826 (73.9)	1797 (69.2)	3510 (74.3)
Missing, n	54 256							
Chemotherapy, n (%)								
No	27 667 (41.0)	21 895 (45.9)	2310 (16.5)	11 812 (53.0)	1632 (25.3)	1203 (21.9)	328 (11.3)	864 (15.2)

Characteristics	Overall	ER+	ER-	Luminal A-like	Luminal B HER2-negative-like	Luminal B HER2-like	HER2-enriched-like	Triple negative
Yes	39 815 (59.0)	25 796 (54.1)	11 729 (83.5)	10 465 (47.0)	4820 (74.7)	4294 (78.1)	2584 (88.7)	4816 (84.8)
Missing, n	53 953							
Endocrine therapy, n (%)								
No	19 688 (28.6)	7869 (15.6)	9232 (77.4)	3629 (15.5)	781 (13.1)	978 (17.2)	2209 (88.0)	3907 (84.5)
Yes	49 163 (71.4)	42 682 (84.4)	2689 (22.6)	19 859 (84.5)	5175 (86.9)	4702 (82.8)	302 (12.0)	717 (15.5)
Missing, n	52 584							
Trastuzumab, n (%)								
No	50 545 (95.1)	33 531 (95.4)	10 337 (91.6)	16 909 (99.7)	4849 (99.4)	2341 (60.9)	1306 (61.9)	5104 (99.6)
Yes	2598 (4.9)	1607 (4.6)	952 (8.4)	53 (0.3)	30 (0.6)	1505 (39.1)	805 (38.1)	18 (0.4)
Missing, n	68 292							
Reproductive and lifestyle risk factors								
Age at menarche, median (IQR)	13 (12–14)	13 (12–14)	13 (12–14)	13 (12–14)	13 (12–14)	13 (12–14)	13 (12–14)	13 (12–14)
Missing, n	35 355							
Parity, median (IQR)	2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)
Nulliparous, n (%)	12 932 (14.0)	8971 (14.2)	2066 (12.9)	3633 (14.1)	934 (15.0)	870 (15.0)	384 (13.5)	787 (12.8)
Parous, n (%)	79 415 (86.0)	54 292 (85.8)	13 955 (87.1)	22 162 (85.9)	5291 (85.0)	4928 (85.0)	2456 (86.5)	5376 (87.2)
Missing, n	29 088							
Age at first full term pregnancy ^a , median (IQR)	25 (22–28)	25 (22–28)	24 (21–28)	24 (21–28)	25 (22–28)	25 (22–29)	25 (22–28)	24 (21–27)
Missing, n	50 965							
Breastfeeding, n (%)								
Never	24 906 (39.5)	16 660 (38.4)	4476 (39.9)	7039 (39.2)	1754 (41.9)	1716 (40.2)	831 (41.3)	1796 (42.5)
Ever	38 195 (60.5)	26 730 (61.6)	6734 (60.1)	10 912 (60.8)	2435 (58.1)	2555 (59.8)	1181 (58.7)	2433 (57.5)
Missing, n	58 334							
Duration in months ^c , median (IQR)	7 (3–15)	7 (3–15)	7 (3–16)	7 (3–15)	7 (3–15)	7 (3–15)	8 (3–17)	6 (3–15)
Missing, n	68 870							

Characteristics	Overall	ER+	ER-	Luminal A-like	Luminal B HER2-negative-like	Luminal B HER2-like	HER2-enriched-like	Triple negative
Time since last full term birth ^{b,†} , n (%)								
10 years	29 200 (64.2)	18 626 (63.3)	5795 (65.7)	7901 (65.5)	1822 (62.0)	1986 (63.0)	1096 (67.8)	2303 (67.3)
5–10 years	1926 (4.2)	1115 (3.8)	466 (5.3)	362 (3.0)	103 (3.5)	177 (5.6)	65 (4.0)	163 (4.8)
0–5 years	1179 (2.6)	601 (2.0)	393 (4.5)	161 (1.3)	70 (2.4)	101 (3.2)	51 (3.2)	130 (3.8)
Missing, n	75 975							
Oral contraceptives, n (%)								
Never use	29 677 (44.5)	20 263 (45.0)	5090 (43.4)	8398 (46.4)	1967 (45.1)	2018 (43.6)	1096 (48.6)	1923 (43.2)
Ever use	37 070 (55.5)	24 799 (55.0)	6629 (56.6)	9701 (53.6)	2395 (54.9)	2608 (56.4)	1159 (51.4)	2533 (56.8)
Missing, n	54 688							
Menopausal hormone therapy [‡] , n (%)								
Never use, postmenopausal	28 534 (37.1)	20 062 (38.3)	5088 (37.1)	9129 (41.4)	2315 (42.8)	2044 (39.0)	1108 (43.3)	2115 (39.0)
Former ^e use estrogen therapy	1394 (1.8)	1041 (2.0)	195 (1.4)	397 (1.8)	81 (1.5)	77 (1.5)	40 (1.6)	91 (1.7)
Former ^e use estrogen+progestin	1414 (1.8)	1035 (2.0)	246 (1.8)	490 (2.2)	91 (1.7)	94 (1.8)	49 (1.9)	124 (2.3)
Former ^e use (unknown type)	5972 (7.8)	4366 (8.3)	912 (6.7)	1960 (8.9)	481 (8.9)	291 (5.6)	164 (6.4)	405 (7.5)
Current ^f use estrogen therapy	2175 (2.8)	1456 (2.8)	272 (2.0)	562 (2.5)	103 (1.9)	129 (2.5)	48 (1.9)	119 (2.2)
Current ^f use estrogen+progestin	3755 (4.9)	2689 (5.1)	458 (3.3)	1251 (5.7)	181 (3.3)	287 (5.5)	79 (3.1)	205 (3.8)
Current ^f use (unknown type)	5854 (7.6)	4398 (8.4)	647 (4.7)	1896 (8.6)	300 (5.5)	247 (4.7)	102 (4.0)	236 (4.4)
Missing, n	44 547							
BMI ^d , median (IQR)	25 (23–28)	25 (23–29)	25 (22–28)	25 (23–29)	26 (23–29)	25 (22–28)	25 (22–28)	25 (23–29)
18.5–25 kg/m ² , n (%)	43 302 (47.4)	29 382 (46.9)	7716 (47.9)	11 545 (44.2)	2813 (42.8)	2962 (49.4)	1428 (49.4)	2925 (45.8)
<18.5 kg/m ² , n (%)	1657 (1.8)	1103 (1.8)	355 (2.2)	405 (1.6)	117 (1.8)	143 (2.4)	72 (2.5)	132 (2.1)
25–30 kg/m ² , n (%)	29 960 (32.8)	20 776 (33.2)	5134 (31.9)	8939 (34.2)	2210 (33.6)	1857 (31.0)	933 (32.3)	2041 (32.0)
>=30 kg/m ² , n (%)	16 435 (18.0)	11 353 (18.1)	2891 (18.0)	5228 (20.0)	1430 (21.8)	1034 (17.2)	459 (15.9)	1284 (20.1)
Missing, n	30 081							
Adult height, median (IQR)	163 (158–168)	163 (159–168)	163 (158–168)	163 (159–168)	163 (158–168)	163 (158–168)	162 (157–167)	163 (158–168)

Characteristics	Overall	ER+	ER-	Luminal A-like	Luminal B HER2-negative-like	Luminal B HER2-like	HER2-enriched-like	Triple negative
Missing, n	33 481							
Smoking, n (%)								
Never	39 512 (59.0)	27 175 (59.3)	7352 (63.3)	11 767 (60.1)	2795 (62.4)	2961 (64.3)	1581 (68.7)	2856 (64.0)
Former ^g	17 407 (26.0)	12 082 (26.3)	2424 (20.9)	4954 (25.3)	1093 (24.4)	1069 (23.2)	387 (16.8)	903 (20.2)
Current ^h	10 073 (15.0)	6605 (14.4)	1840 (15.8)	2850 (14.6)	589 (13.2)	575 (12.5)	332 (14.4)	701 (15.7)
Missing, n	54 443							
Pack-years of smoking								
Former smokers ^g , median (IQR)	0.8 (0.3–1.8)	0.8 (0.3–1.8)	0.7 (0.2–1.6)	0.9 (0.3–1.9)	0.8 (0.2–1.8)	0.7 (0.2–1.8)	0.6 (0.2–1.7)	0.7 (0.2–1.6)
Current smokers ^h , median (IQR)	1.9 (0.9–3.1)	1.9 (1.0–3.1)	1.5 (0.7–2.6)	2.0 (0.9–3.2)	2.0 (1.0–3.1)	1.6 (0.7–2.5)	1.6 (0.8–2.7)	1.5 (0.6–2.6)
Missing, n	62 214							
Alcohol consumption ^h , g/week, median (IQR)	14.7 (0.0–57.3)	16.0 (0.0–59.5)	10.8 (0.0–50.7)	12.0 (0.0–51.8)	12.0 (0.0–49.7)	15.0 (0.0–60.0)	6.0 (0.0–48.3)	6.0 (0.0–45.0)
Missing, n	100 522							
Cumulative alcohol consumption, g/day, median (IQR)	1.9 (0.0–7.9)	2.0 (0.0–8.2)	1.1 (0.0–6.1)	2.0 (0.0–8.4)	1.7 (0.0–7.0)	2.1 (0.0–7.8)	0.8 (0.0–5.6)	1.0 (0.0–5.7)
Missing, n	102 451							
Physical activity ^{h,i} , median (IQR)	3 (1–8)	3 (1–9)	3 (1–8)	5 (1–11)	4 (2–11)	4 (1–9)	4 (1–9)	4 (1–10)
< 1.8 hours/week, n (%)	7103 (33.3)	4643 (31.6)	1043 (31.1)	1564 (27.1)	305 (24.6)	437 (28.2)	222 (29.1)	418 (31.0)
1.8 – < 5.5 hours/week, n (%)	7063 (33.1)	4679 (31.9)	1106 (33.0)	1545 (26.8)	424 (34.2)	491 (31.7)	231 (30.4)	382 (28.3)
5.5 hours/week, n (%)	7154 (33.6)	5363 (36.5)	1205 (35.9)	2656 (46.1)	510 (41.2)	619 (40.1)	308 (40.5)	549 (40.7)
Missing, n	100 115							

Percentages shown in the table might not sum up to 100% due to rounding.

^aNumbers for subtypes do not add to total due to missing.

^bFor parous women only.

^cFor women who breastfed only.

^dBMI at interview.

^cMore than 6 months before diagnosis.

^fAt diagnosis or within 6 months before diagnosis.

^eMore than 1 year before diagnosis.

^hAt diagnosis or within 1 year before diagnosis.

ⁱCategories based on the tertiles of the observed distribution of the variable.

[‡]Numbers are for parous women, excluding those who had a post-diagnosis last full-term birth, while percentages are computed based on all women with non-missing values, including nulliparous and women who had a post-diagnosis full-term birth.

[‡]Numbers are for postmenopausal women, while percentages are computed based on all women with non-missing values, including pre/perimenopausal women.

Table 2.

Associations between individual risk factors and 10 years all-cause mortality by ER status and intrinsic-like subtype based on the imputed datasets.

Risk factor	Overall		ER+		ER-		Luminal A-like		Luminal B HER2-negative-like		Luminal B HER2-positive-like		HER2-enriched-like		Triple negative		
	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	
Age at menarche, per 1 year increase	2.3E-01	1.02 (1.00,1.04)	5.9E-01	1.01 (0.99,1.03)	8.0E-02	1.03 (1.00,1.06)	9.1E-01	1.00 (0.98,1.03)	6.9E-01	1.01 (0.98,1.04)	2.3E-01	1.03 (0.99,1.07)	2.4E-01	1.04 (0.99,1.09)	2.2E-01	1.03 (0.99,1.07)	
	1.4E-03	1.3E-04	1.3E-04	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	7.6E-04	1.4E-01	1.4E-01	1.4E-01	6.2E-01	6.2E-01	9.1E-01	9.1E-01	9.1E-01	5.6E-02	5.6E-02	
Purity	0	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
	1	0.87 (0.79,0.96)	0.87 (0.79,0.97)	0.85 (0.73,0.98)	0.85 (0.73,0.98)	0.86 (0.75,0.99)	0.88 (0.76,1.02)	0.88 (0.76,1.02)	0.88 (0.76,1.02)	0.91 (0.75,1.11)	0.91 (0.75,1.11)	0.87 (0.66,1.15)	0.87 (0.66,1.15)	0.87 (0.66,1.15)	0.80 (0.67,0.96)	0.80 (0.67,0.96)	
	2	0.86 (0.77,0.96)	0.83 (0.74,0.93)	0.83 (0.74,0.93)	0.92 (0.80,1.06)	0.81 (0.70,0.93)	0.86 (0.73,1.01)	0.86 (0.73,1.01)	0.86 (0.73,1.01)	0.87 (0.71,1.06)	0.87 (0.71,1.06)	1.00 (0.76,1.31)	1.00 (0.76,1.31)	1.00 (0.76,1.31)	0.84 (0.71,1.00)	0.84 (0.71,1.00)	
	3	0.90 (0.82,1.00)	0.88 (0.79,0.98)	0.88 (0.79,0.98)	0.92 (0.79,1.06)	0.86 (0.76,0.98)	0.90 (0.77,1.06)	0.90 (0.77,1.06)	0.90 (0.77,1.06)	0.92 (0.74,1.14)	0.92 (0.74,1.14)	0.97 (0.75,1.25)	0.97 (0.75,1.25)	0.97 (0.75,1.25)	0.86 (0.71,1.05)	0.86 (0.71,1.05)	
	4+	0.97 (0.88,1.06)	0.92 (0.83,1.02)	0.92 (0.83,1.02)	1.05 (0.90,1.23)	0.89 (0.78,1.02)	0.94 (0.79,1.12)	0.94 (0.79,1.12)	0.94 (0.79,1.12)	1.01 (0.80,1.29)	1.01 (0.80,1.29)	1.06 (0.80,1.41)	1.06 (0.80,1.41)	1.06 (0.80,1.41)	0.99 (0.80,1.24)	0.99 (0.80,1.24)	
Age at first full term pregnancy ^a , years	< 20	1.9E-14	2.5E-11	1.5E-02	4.3E-07	2.6E-02	4.3E-07	2.6E-02	4.3E-03	4.3E-03	5.7E-01	5.7E-01	5.7E-01	3.9E-02	3.9E-02	3.9E-02	
	20 to < 25	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
	25 to < 30	0.88 (0.83,0.94)	0.86 (0.80,0.93)	0.86 (0.80,0.93)	0.93 (0.83,1.04)	0.84 (0.76,0.93)	0.92 (0.80,1.07)	0.92 (0.80,1.07)	0.83 (0.69,1.00)	0.83 (0.69,1.00)	0.94 (0.71,1.23)	0.94 (0.71,1.23)	0.94 (0.71,1.23)	0.91 (0.79,1.04)	0.91 (0.79,1.04)		
	30	0.82 (0.76,0.87)	0.80 (0.73,0.86)	0.80 (0.73,0.86)	0.87 (0.77,0.99)	0.78 (0.70,0.87)	0.82 (0.71,0.95)	0.82 (0.71,0.95)	0.79 (0.65,0.97)	0.79 (0.65,0.97)	0.89 (0.67,1.17)	0.89 (0.67,1.17)	0.89 (0.67,1.17)	0.86 (0.73,1.01)	0.86 (0.73,1.01)		
		0.79 (0.73,0.86)	0.78 (0.71,0.87)	0.78 (0.71,0.87)	0.82 (0.71,0.96)	0.79 (0.68,0.91)	0.83 (0.70,1.00)	0.83 (0.70,1.00)	0.73 (0.58,0.91)	0.73 (0.58,0.91)	0.80 (0.58,1.10)	0.80 (0.58,1.10)	0.80 (0.58,1.10)	0.82 (0.69,0.98)	0.82 (0.69,0.98)		
Time since last full term birth ^a , years	10	9.5E-02	4.5E-04	7.5E-01	8.8E-03	7.6E-01	8.8E-03	7.6E-01	2.0E-01	2.0E-01	8.1E-01	8.1E-01	8.1E-01	6.4E-01	6.4E-01	6.4E-01	
	5 - < 10	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
	> 0 - < 5	1.07 (0.96,1.19)	1.15 (1.01,1.33)	0.95 (0.81,1.12)	1.16 (0.98,1.38)	1.10 (0.83,1.45)	1.17 (0.92,1.50)	1.17 (0.92,1.50)	1.17 (0.92,1.50)	1.17 (0.92,1.50)	1.07 (0.76,1.51)	1.07 (0.76,1.51)	1.07 (0.76,1.51)	0.88 (0.70,1.10)	0.88 (0.70,1.10)		
Breastfeeding ^a	Per 6 months increase	2.4E-01	1.02 (0.99,1.04)	5.4E-01	1.01 (0.99,1.04)	1.2E-01	1.03 (1.00,1.06)	4.7E-01	1.01 (0.99,1.04)	7.2E-01	1.01 (0.97,1.06)	4.1E-01	1.02 (0.99,1.06)	6.7E-01	1.01 (0.97,1.05)	1.1E-01	1.03 (1.00,1.06)
	Ever vs never	7.5E-01	0.97 (0.85,1.10)	6.2E-01	0.95 (0.84,1.08)	9.1E-01	1.01 (0.84,1.23)	5.1E-01	0.93 (0.81,1.08)	9.1E-01	0.99 (0.83,1.17)	7.3E-01	0.94 (0.76,1.17)	9.5E-01	0.99 (0.75,1.31)	9.0E-01	1.02 (0.85,1.22)
		0.97 (0.85,1.10)	0.95 (0.84,1.08)	0.95 (0.84,1.08)	1.01 (0.84,1.23)	0.93 (0.81,1.08)	0.99 (0.83,1.17)	0.99 (0.83,1.17)	0.94 (0.76,1.17)	0.94 (0.76,1.17)	0.99 (0.75,1.31)	0.99 (0.75,1.31)	0.99 (0.75,1.31)	1.02 (0.85,1.22)	1.02 (0.85,1.22)		

Risk factor	Overall		ER+		ER-		Luminal A-like		Luminal B HER2-negative-like		Luminal B HER2-positive-like		HER2-enriched-like		Triple negative	
	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)
BMI, kg/m ²																
All women	2.2E-02	5.9E-03	2.8E-01	5.9E-03	1.4E-01	1.6E-01	6.4E-01	3.2E-01	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
18.5 to < 25	1.34 (0.96,1.87)	1.41 (1.03,1.95)	1.24 (0.82,1.87)	1.56 (1.12,2.18)	1.32 (0.80,2.18)	1.17 (0.71,1.94)	1.22 (0.69,2.14)	1.20 (0.78,1.83)	1.05 (0.92,1.21)	1.06 (0.94,1.20)	1.03 (0.86,1.24)	1.13 (0.96,1.33)	0.98 (0.80,1.20)	1.04 (0.86,1.27)	1.19 (0.92,1.55)	1.21 (1.02,1.43)
25 to < 30	1.23 (1.09,1.40)	1.24 (1.10,1.39)	1.20 (1.01,1.43)	1.24 (1.09,1.41)	1.22 (1.04,1.42)	1.23 (1.01,1.50)	1.19 (0.92,1.55)	1.21 (1.02,1.43)	2.5E-07	3.4E-06	2.0E-02	2.4E-01	5.3E-01	1.2E-01	1.2E-01	1.2E-01
Postmenopausal women	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
18.5 to < 25	1.53 (1.30,1.80)	1.57 (1.30,1.89)	1.46 (1.09,1.95)	1.73 (1.41,2.12)	1.45 (0.89,2.38)	1.16 (0.67,2.00)	1.42 (0.80,2.50)	1.48 (1.03,2.13)	1.05 (0.97,1.12)	1.06 (0.97,1.15)	1.02 (0.92,1.12)	1.16 (0.95,1.42)	0.95 (0.75,1.20)	1.02 (0.89,1.17)	1.19 (0.92,1.53)	1.14 (0.98,1.33)
25 to < 30	1.20 (1.12,1.29)	1.22 (1.12,1.33)	1.15 (1.02,1.29)	1.21 (1.09,1.35)	1.20 (1.00,1.44)	1.20 (0.97,1.48)	1.19 (0.92,1.53)	1.14 (0.98,1.33)	5.4E-01	5.3E-01	6.9E-01	6.2E-01	9.1E-01	6.7E-01	6.7E-01	6.7E-01
Pre/perimenopausal women	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
18.5 to < 25	1.08 (0.53,2.21)	1.14 (0.54,2.41)	1.03 (0.49,2.19)	1.17 (0.49,2.80)	1.17 (0.54,2.52)	1.17 (0.46,2.95)	1.02 (0.41,2.55)	0.90 (0.36,2.22)	1.07 (0.76,1.49)	1.06 (0.77,1.48)	1.06 (0.72,1.57)	1.06 (0.76,1.41)	1.02 (0.71,1.47)	1.09 (0.68,1.74)	1.18 (0.75,1.88)	1.35 (0.90,2.04)
25 to < 30	1.32 (0.94,1.85)	1.32 (0.96,1.82)	1.30 (0.88,1.94)	1.36 (0.87,2.12)	1.28 (0.96,1.72)	1.34 (0.94,1.92)	1.18 (0.75,1.88)	1.35 (0.90,2.04)	3.7E-01	4.6E-01	3.0E-01	6.7E-01	3.4E-01	4.4E-01	4.4E-01	4.4E-01
Adult height, per 5 cm increase	0.97 (0.92,1.02)	0.97 (0.91,1.03)	0.97 (0.92,1.02)	0.97 (0.91,1.03)	0.98 (0.91,1.05)	0.97 (0.90,1.05)	0.95 (0.87,1.03)	0.97 (0.92,1.03)	1.6E-04	7.8E-04	2.6E-02	1.5E-01	2.7E-01	5.9E-02	5.9E-02	5.9E-02
Oral contraceptive use	0.88 (0.84,0.93)	0.89 (0.84,0.94)	0.88 (0.80,0.96)	0.87 (0.81,0.93)	0.91 (0.81,1.03)	0.89 (0.79,1.01)	0.90 (0.77,1.04)	0.88 (0.78,0.98)	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	3.0E-09	3.1E-04	3.9E-02	3.5E-03	3.5E-03	3.5E-03
Ever vs never	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Menopausal hormone therapy	0.73 (0.64,0.84)	0.75 (0.65,0.88)	0.67 (0.48,0.93)	0.78 (0.64,0.94)	0.68 (0.49,0.94)	0.75 (0.50,1.13)	0.60 (0.27,1.31)	0.71 (0.46,1.10)	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00
Never use, postmenopausal	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Former use of ET	0.81 (0.70,0.93)	0.80 (0.67,0.95)	0.89 (0.68,1.18)	0.75 (0.57,0.99)	0.86 (0.58,1.27)	0.77 (0.49,1.19)	0.97 (0.55,1.70)	0.93 (0.64,1.35)	0.80 (0.75,0.85)	0.79 (0.74,0.85)	0.81 (0.71,0.94)	0.79 (0.63,0.99)	0.87 (0.66,1.15)	0.80 (0.68,0.94)	0.80 (0.68,0.94)	0.80 (0.68,0.94)
Former use of EPT	0.80 (0.75,0.85)	0.79 (0.74,0.85)	0.81 (0.71,0.94)	0.78 (0.70,0.86)	0.82 (0.68,1.01)	0.82 (0.68,1.01)	0.82 (0.68,1.01)	0.82 (0.68,1.01)	0.80 (0.75,0.85)	0.79 (0.74,0.85)	0.81 (0.71,0.94)	0.79 (0.63,0.99)	0.87 (0.66,1.15)	0.80 (0.68,0.94)	0.80 (0.68,0.94)	0.80 (0.68,0.94)
Former use (unknown type)	0.80 (0.75,0.85)	0.79 (0.74,0.85)	0.81 (0.71,0.94)	0.78 (0.70,0.86)	0.82 (0.68,1.01)	0.82 (0.68,1.01)	0.82 (0.68,1.01)	0.82 (0.68,1.01)	0.80 (0.75,0.85)	0.79 (0.74,0.85)	0.81 (0.71,0.94)	0.79 (0.63,0.99)	0.87 (0.66,1.15)	0.80 (0.68,0.94)	0.80 (0.68,0.94)	0.80 (0.68,0.94)

Risk factor	Overall		ER+		ER-		Luminal A-like		Luminal B HER2-negative-like		Luminal B HER2-positive-like		HER2-enriched-like		Triple negative	
	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)
Current ^c use of ET	0.70 (0.61,0.79)	0.68 (0.59,0.79)	0.75 (0.58,0.97)	0.73 (0.60,0.88)	0.64 (0.42,0.97)	0.64 (0.42,0.95)	0.53 (0.29,0.99)	0.83 (0.59,1.17)								
Current ^c use of EPT	0.58 (0.52,0.65)	0.59 (0.52,0.67)	0.56 (0.45,0.70)	0.59 (0.50,0.70)	0.57 (0.40,0.82)	0.55 (0.40,0.76)	0.53 (0.34,0.84)	0.64 (0.48,0.85)								
Current ^c use (unknown type)	0.75 (0.69,0.82)	0.72 (0.65,0.80)	0.87 (0.71,1.06)	0.72 (0.64,0.82)	0.70 (0.56,0.88)	0.72 (0.53,0.99)	0.81 (0.54,1.23)	0.96 (0.73,1.27)								
Smoking	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	3.5E-03	0.0E+00	3.5E-03	3.0E-02	1.6E-01	4.8E-02								
Never	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.								
Former ^d	1.01 (0.97,1.05)	1.04 (0.98,1.09)	0.97 (0.88,1.06)	1.05 (0.98,1.13)	0.99 (0.89,1.12)	1.00 (0.88,1.14)	1.07 (0.86,1.33)	0.94 (0.83,1.05)								
Current ^e	1.38 (1.30,1.45)	1.46 (1.37,1.56)	1.20 (1.10,1.32)	1.59 (1.48,1.71)	1.31 (1.14,1.50)	1.28 (1.09,1.50)	1.28 (1.04,1.59)	1.20 (1.04,1.37)								
No. of pack-years of smoking, per 10 units increase	1.2E-03	1.2E-03	3.0E-03	5.0E-04	2.2E-02	1.9E-02	2.2E-02	2.2E-02								
	1.11 (1.06,1.15)	1.12 (1.07,1.17)	1.08 (1.04,1.12)	1.13 (1.08,1.18)	1.10 (1.03,1.16)	1.09 (1.03,1.15)	1.10 (1.03,1.17)	1.07 (1.03,1.12)								
Alcohol consumption ^e , per 10 g/week	8.8E-01	9.0E-01	8.8E-01	9.9E-01	8.4E-01	7.5E-01	9.1E-01	8.1E-01								
	1.01 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.98,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.02)	1.00 (0.98,1.01)								
Cumulative alcohol consumption, per 10 g/day	8.0E-01	7.8E-01	8.7E-01	7.5E-01	9.0E-01	9.1E-01	6.9E-01	9.1E-01								
	1.01 (0.96,1.06)	1.01 (0.96,1.06)	1.01 (0.96,1.06)	1.01 (0.96,1.07)	1.01 (0.94,1.08)	1.01 (0.95,1.06)	1.02 (0.96,1.08)	1.00 (0.94,1.07)								
Physical activity ^{e,f} , hours/week	8.3E-02	9.6E-02	8.2E-02	1.1E-01	2.1E-01	8.4E-03	6.1E-02	1.4E-01								
< 1.8	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.								
1.8 - < 5.5	0.80 (0.38,1.68)	0.80 (0.38,1.70)	0.79 (0.38,1.65)	0.77 (0.33,1.80)	0.85 (0.40,1.81)	0.84 (0.55,1.28)	0.87 (0.52,1.46)	0.76 (0.29,1.97)								
5.5	0.42 (0.21,0.85)	0.42 (0.20,0.88)	0.42 (0.20,0.85)	0.40 (0.18,0.89)	0.47 (0.21,1.06)	0.44 (0.27,0.71)	0.46 (0.25,0.87)	0.40 (0.18,0.90)								

All the analyses were stratified by study and adjusted for lymph nodes status, tumor size, tumor grade and (neo)adjuvant systemic treatment. Age of the patients was used as time scale. Reported p-values (P) are from likelihood ratio tests comparing a model with and without a particular risk factor and are adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg method for false discovery rate (FDR) control on 136 tests. Heterogeneity test by subtype is shown in Table 3. Numbers of patients and events included in the corresponding complete-case analyses are shown in Supplementary Figures S1 (overall), S5 (ER+), S7 (Luminal A-like), S9 (Luminal B-HER2-negative-like), S11 (Luminal B-HER2-positive-like), S13 (HER2-enriched), and S15 (triple negative). Abbreviations: ET: estrogen therapy; EPT: combined estrogen and progestin therapy.

^a Association estimated in parous women.

^b More than 6 months before diagnosis.

^c At diagnosis or within 6 months before diagnosis.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

p More than 1 year before diagnosis.

e At diagnosis or within 1 year before diagnosis.

f Categories based on the tertiles of the observed distribution of the variable.

Heterogeneity tests of the associations between risk factors and outcomes (10-year all-cause mortality and breast cancer-specific mortality), by ER status and by intrinsic-like subtype.

Table 3.

Risk factor	All-cause mortality		Breast cancer specific mortality	
	ER status	Intrinsic-like subtype ^e	ER status	Intrinsic-like subtype ^e
	P	P	P	P
Age at menarche	6.7E-01	8.6E-01	7.2E-01	1.0E+00
Parity	8.1E-01	1.0E+00	7.2E-01	1.0E+00
Age at first full term pregnancy ^a	6.7E-01	1.0E+00	7.2E-01	1.0E+00
Time since last full term birth ^a	8.5E-01	1.0E+00	5.4E-01	3.3E-01
Breastfeeding ^a	7.8E-01	9.7E-01	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
Duration of breastfeeding ^a	7.8E-01	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
BMI (all women)	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
BMI (postmenopausal women)	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
BMI (pre/perimenopausal women)	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
Height	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
Oral contraceptive use	6.7E-01	6.7E-01	7.2E-01	1.0E+00
Menopausal hormone therapy ^{b,c}	1.0E+00	8.1E-01	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
Smoking	6.7E-01	6.7E-01	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
No. of pack-years of smoking	6.7E-01	6.7E-01	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
Alcohol consumption ^d	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
Cumulative alcohol consumption	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00
Physical activity ^d	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1.0E+00

Reported p-values come from a likelihood ratio test comparing a model including the ER status/subtype variable and an interaction term between such variable and a specific risk factor, with a model without the interaction term. ER negative was used as the reference category for ER status and luminal A as the reference category for the subtype variable. P-values are adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg method for false discovery rate (FDR) control on $17 \times 2 = 34$ tests for each endpoint of interest (all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality). All models have been stratified by study and adjusted for lymph nodes status, tumor size, tumor grade and (neo)adjuvant systemic treatment. Age of the patients was used as time scale.

^a Association estimated in parous women.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

^b Former use of MHT was more than 6 months before diagnosis.

^c Current use of MHT was at diagnosis or within 6 months before diagnosis.

^d At diagnosis or within 1 year before diagnosis.

^e Definition of intrinsic-like subtype follows Goldhirsch et al. 2011 as in Table 2 and Table 4.

Table 4.

Associations between individual risk factors and 10-year breast cancer-specific mortality by ER status and intrinsic-like subtype based on the imputed datasets.

Risk factor	Overall		ER+		ER-		Luminal A-like		Luminal B HER2-negative-like		Luminal B HER2-like		HER2-enriched-like		Triple negative		
	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	
Age at menarche, per 1 year increase	2.0E-01 1.02 (1.00,1.05)	6.1E-01 1.01 (0.99,1.04)	8.0E-02 1.04 (1.01,1.08)	6.7E-01 1.02 (0.98,1.05)	9.2E-01 1.00 (0.96,1.04)	4.8E-01 1.04 (0.99,1.09)	2.8E-01 1.06 (1.00,1.11)	4.8E-01 1.03 (0.99,1.08)									
Parity	6.3E-01	3.3E-01	7.1E-01	7.8E-01	5.4E-01	1.0E+00	9.6E-01	8.7E-01									
0	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.									
1	0.95 (0.87,1.04)	0.94 (0.85,1.05)	0.95 (0.80,1.12)	0.96 (0.82,1.13)	0.87 (0.73,1.04)	1.04 (0.78,1.39)	0.92 (0.68,1.23)	0.92 (0.73,1.16)									
2	0.93 (0.86,1.02)	0.88 (0.80,0.97)	1.03 (0.88,1.21)	0.89 (0.76,1.03)	0.87 (0.74,1.03)	0.96 (0.75,1.22)	1.04 (0.77,1.40)	0.98 (0.80,1.20)									
3	0.98 (0.89,1.07)	0.96 (0.86,1.06)	1.00 (0.83,1.19)	0.97 (0.83,1.14)	0.90 (0.75,1.08)	1.02 (0.78,1.34)	1.05 (0.78,1.41)	0.95 (0.75,1.19)									
4+	1.06 (0.95,1.19)	0.98 (0.86,1.11)	1.21 (1.01,1.46)	1.01 (0.85,1.21)	0.96 (0.76,1.22)	1.01 (0.73,1.40)	1.17 (0.79,1.74)	1.17 (0.90,1.52)									
Age at first full term pregnancy ^a , years	5.0E-05	2.8E-03	5.2E-01	2.0E-01	2.6E-01	6.1E-01	7.2E-01	7.6E-01									
<20	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.									
20 - < 25	0.90 (0.82,0.98)	0.88 (0.78,0.98)	0.95 (0.82,1.08)	0.87 (0.75,1.01)	0.89 (0.73,1.08)	0.88 (0.67,1.15)	0.92 (0.67,1.26)	0.95 (0.80,1.13)									
25 - < 30	0.86 (0.79,0.94)	0.85 (0.76,0.96)	0.91 (0.79,1.05)	0.87 (0.73,1.04)	0.81 (0.66,0.99)	0.86 (0.65,1.12)	0.85 (0.63,1.14)	0.91 (0.76,1.10)									
30	0.83 (0.76,0.91)	0.82 (0.72,0.93)	0.87 (0.74,1.03)	0.83 (0.68,1.00)	0.82 (0.65,1.03)	0.81 (0.59,1.10)	0.81 (0.55,1.18)	0.88 (0.70,1.11)									
Time since last full term birth ^a , years	4.6E-02	2.2E-04	7.7E-01	5.5E-03	6.7E-01	4.1E-01	8.7E-01	6.5E-01									
10	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.									
5 - < 10	1.10 (0.96,1.27)	1.24 (1.07,1.44)	0.92 (0.73,1.18)	1.25 (1.03,1.53)	1.16 (0.90,1.51)	1.23 (0.91,1.66)	1.05 (0.67,1.65)	0.82 (0.59,1.14)									
> 0 - < 5	1.28 (1.11,1.49)	1.49 (1.22,1.81)	1.03 (0.83,1.29)	1.79 (1.27,2.51)	1.21 (0.85,1.70)	1.37 (0.95,1.99)	1.14 (0.75,1.74)	0.90 (0.65,1.24)									
Breastfeeding ^a																	
Per 6 months increase	4.6E-01 1.03 (0.99,1.06)	5.4E-01 1.02 (0.99,1.05)	4.1E-01 1.03 (1.00,1.07)	4.6E-01 1.03 (0.99,1.06)	7.2E-01 1.02 (0.97,1.07)	7.2E-01 1.02 (0.97,1.07)	6.8E-01 1.03 (0.96,1.11)	4.8E-01 1.03 (0.99,1.07)									

Risk factor	Overall		ER+		ER-		Luminal A-like		Luminal B HER2-negative-like		Luminal B HER2-like		HER2-enriched-like		Triple negative			
	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)		
Ever vs Never	9.2E-01 1.02 (0.85,1.22)	9.7E-01 1.01 (0.84,1.20)	8.7E-01 1.05 (0.83,1.32)	9.7E-01 0.99 (0.80,1.23)	9.7E-01 0.99 (0.81,1.22)	8.7E-01 0.95 (0.71,1.26)	9.2E-01 1.04 (0.70,1.55)	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	7.2E-01 7.8E-01	
BMI, kg/m ²																		
All women	3.0E-01	2.6E-01	6.4E-01	4.8E-01	7.2E-01	4.6E-01	8.7E-01	7.8E-01	7.2E-01	4.6E-01	8.7E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	
18.5 – < 25	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
< 18.5	1.12 (0.78,1.60)	1.13 (0.76,1.66)	1.11 (0.73,1.68)	1.04 (0.66,1.65)	1.27 (0.74,2.17)	1.20 (0.65,2.23)	1.10 (0.52,2.31)	0.99 (0.56,1.73)	1.07 (0.92,1.23)	1.09 (0.95,1.25)	1.03 (0.87,1.23)	1.06 (0.90,1.25)	1.16 (0.93,1.44)	0.96 (0.74,1.25)	1.19 (0.91,1.55)	1.05 (0.86,1.28)	1.15 (0.96,1.38)	1.15 (0.96,1.38)
25 – < 30	1.19 (1.05,1.34)	1.19 (1.04,1.37)	1.16 (1.01,1.34)	1.21 (1.03,1.43)	1.12 (0.95,1.31)	1.27 (0.99,1.63)	1.19 (0.91,1.55)	1.15 (0.96,1.38)	1.19 (1.05,1.34)	1.19 (1.04,1.37)	1.16 (1.01,1.34)	1.27 (0.99,1.63)	1.19 (0.91,1.55)	1.19 (0.91,1.55)	1.19 (0.91,1.55)	1.15 (0.96,1.38)	1.15 (0.96,1.38)	1.15 (0.96,1.38)
Postmenopausal women	5.7E-02	1.2E-01	4.8E-01	5.4E-01	8.7E-01	4.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	8.7E-01	4.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	7.8E-01
18.5 – < 25	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
< 18.5	1.25 (0.98,1.59)	1.14 (0.84,1.56)	1.43 (0.99,2.06)	1.11 (0.66,1.84)	1.12 (0.46,2.68)	1.19 (0.52,2.71)	1.40 (0.64,3.07)	1.38 (0.79,2.39)	1.08 (0.98,1.20)	1.12 (0.99,1.26)	1.02 (0.89,1.18)	1.25 (0.99,1.57)	0.93 (0.67,1.29)	1.05 (0.87,1.28)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)
25 to < 30	1.15 (1.04,1.27)	1.17 (1.02,1.33)	1.12 (0.97,1.30)	1.18 (1.00,1.40)	1.08 (0.83,1.40)	1.22 (0.91,1.65)	1.21 (0.89,1.65)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)	1.17 (1.04,1.27)	1.17 (1.02,1.33)	1.12 (0.97,1.30)	1.22 (0.91,1.65)	1.21 (0.89,1.65)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)	1.08 (0.88,1.34)
Pre/perimenopausal women	6.3E-01	6.1E-01	7.8E-01	7.2E-01	7.4E-01	7.1E-01	9.7E-01	7.7E-01	7.4E-01	7.1E-01	9.7E-01	7.7E-01	7.7E-01	7.7E-01	7.7E-01	7.7E-01	7.7E-01	7.7E-01
18.5 – < 25	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
< 18.5	0.97 (0.52,1.83)	1.07 (0.55,2.10)	0.87 (0.42,1.81)	0.89 (0.39,2.01)	1.42 (0.74,2.71)	1.20 (0.45,3.22)	0.82 (0.28,2.42)	0.63 (0.20,2.02)	0.97 (0.52,1.83)	1.07 (0.55,2.10)	0.87 (0.42,1.81)	1.20 (0.45,3.22)	0.82 (0.28,2.42)	0.63 (0.20,2.02)	0.63 (0.20,2.02)	0.63 (0.20,2.02)	0.63 (0.20,2.02)	0.63 (0.20,2.02)
25 – < 30	1.04 (0.80,1.35)	1.04 (0.80,1.35)	1.05 (0.76,1.46)	1.07 (0.74,1.57)	1.00 (0.77,1.29)	1.04 (0.74,1.46)	1.00 (0.69,1.46)	1.06 (0.72,1.55)	1.04 (0.80,1.35)	1.04 (0.80,1.35)	1.05 (0.76,1.46)	1.04 (0.74,1.46)	1.00 (0.69,1.46)	1.06 (0.72,1.55)	1.06 (0.72,1.55)	1.06 (0.72,1.55)	1.06 (0.72,1.55)	1.06 (0.72,1.55)
30	1.27 (1.01,1.59)	1.28 (1.02,1.63)	1.23 (0.92,1.64)	1.32 (0.94,1.85)	1.19 (0.91,1.56)	1.39 (0.94,2.05)	1.14 (0.74,1.76)	1.27 (0.93,1.73)	1.27 (1.01,1.59)	1.28 (1.02,1.63)	1.23 (0.92,1.64)	1.39 (0.94,2.05)	1.14 (0.74,1.76)	1.27 (0.93,1.73)	1.27 (0.93,1.73)	1.27 (0.93,1.73)	1.27 (0.93,1.73)	1.27 (0.93,1.73)
Adult height, per 5 cm increase	9.6E-01 1.00 (0.95,1.05)	8.8E-01 0.99 (0.94,1.05)	9.0E-01 1.01 (0.95,1.07)	8.7E-01 0.99 (0.93,1.05)	1.0E+00 1.00 (0.94-1.06)	1.0E+00 1.00 (0.93,1.07)	8.7E-01 0.98 (0.90,1.08)	8.7E-01 1.02 (0.95,1.09)	1.0E+00 1.00 (0.94-1.06)	1.0E+00 1.00 (0.93,1.07)	1.0E+00 1.00 (0.93,1.07)	1.0E+00 1.00 (0.93,1.07)	8.7E-01 0.98 (0.90,1.08)	8.7E-01 1.02 (0.95,1.09)	8.7E-01 1.02 (0.95,1.09)	8.7E-01 1.02 (0.95,1.09)	8.7E-01 1.02 (0.95,1.09)	8.7E-01 1.02 (0.95,1.09)
Oral contraceptive use	3.3E-01	5.9E-01	3.3E-01	6.1E-01	8.7E-01	6.1E-01	7.2E-01	4.1E-01	8.7E-01	6.1E-01	7.2E-01	4.1E-01	7.2E-01	4.1E-01	4.1E-01	4.1E-01	4.1E-01	4.1E-01
Ever vs never	0.93 (0.86,1.00)	0.94 (0.87,1.03)	0.89 (0.79,1.00)	0.94 (0.83,1.05)	0.96 (0.80,1.16)	0.91 (0.77,1.07)	0.92 (0.76,1.12)	0.87 (0.75,1.02)	0.93 (0.86,1.00)	0.94 (0.87,1.03)	0.89 (0.79,1.00)	0.94 (0.83,1.05)	0.92 (0.76,1.12)	0.87 (0.75,1.02)	0.87 (0.75,1.02)	0.87 (0.75,1.02)	0.87 (0.75,1.02)	0.87 (0.75,1.02)
Menopausal hormone therapy	1.1E-10	4.3E-07	5.6E-03	1.9E-02	1.9E-01	5.2E-01	8.3E-01	4.6E-01	1.9E-01	5.2E-01	8.3E-01	4.6E-01	8.3E-01	4.6E-01	4.6E-01	4.6E-01	4.6E-01	4.6E-01
Never use, postmenopausal	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Former ^b use of ET	0.82 (0.66,1.02)	0.79 (0.61,1.03)	0.95 (0.65,1.38)	0.73 (0.47,1.15)	0.80 (0.49,1.30)	1.10 (0.61,1.99)	0.91 (0.40,2.10)	0.92 (0.57,1.50)	0.82 (0.66,1.02)	0.79 (0.61,1.03)	0.95 (0.65,1.38)	0.73 (0.47,1.15)	0.80 (0.49,1.30)	1.10 (0.61,1.99)	0.91 (0.40,2.10)	0.92 (0.57,1.50)	0.92 (0.57,1.50)	0.92 (0.57,1.50)
Former ^b use of EPT	1.06 (0.87,1.30)	1.01 (0.79,1.30)	1.20 (0.85,1.69)	0.96 (0.67,1.38)	1.11 (0.64,1.93)	0.97 (0.55,1.71)	1.16 (0.57,2.38)	1.24 (0.79,1.97)	1.06 (0.87,1.30)	1.01 (0.79,1.30)	1.20 (0.85,1.69)	0.96 (0.67,1.38)	1.11 (0.64,1.93)	0.97 (0.55,1.71)	1.16 (0.57,2.38)	1.24 (0.79,1.97)	1.24 (0.79,1.97)	1.24 (0.79,1.97)

Risk factor	Overall		ER+		ER-		Luminal A-like		Luminal B HER2-negative-like		Luminal B HER2-like		HER2-enriched-like		Triple negative	
	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)
Former ^b use (unknown type)	0.87 (0.79,0.96)	0.87 (0.78,0.96)	0.91 (0.76,1.08)	0.85 (0.72,1.01)	0.87 (0.66,1.14)	0.83 (0.59,1.16)	0.93 (0.66,1.31)	0.90 (0.73,1.12)								
Current ^c use of ET	0.69 (0.55,0.86)	0.69 (0.54,0.88)	0.69 (0.48,1.00)	0.71 (0.50,1.01)	0.59 (0.33,1.07)	0.68 (0.42,1.12)	0.63 (0.27,1.50)	0.78 (0.49,1.26)								
Current ^c use of EPT	0.60 (0.51,0.72)	0.61 (0.49,0.75)	0.59 (0.44,0.79)	0.63 (0.48,0.83)	0.59 (0.37,0.93)	0.58 (0.38,0.87)	0.60 (0.31,1.14)	0.63 (0.42,0.95)								
Current ^c use (unknown type)	0.83 (0.73,0.94)	0.80 (0.69,0.93)	0.94 (0.74,1.20)	0.81 (0.66,0.98)	0.75 (0.53,1.06)	0.81 (0.51,1.30)	0.89 (0.53,1.50)	1.02 (0.73,1.42)								
Smoking	5.7E-02	1.2E-01	6.3E-01	2.0E-01	6.5E-01	8.7E-01	8.7E-01	6.7E-01								
Never	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.								
Former ^d	0.93 (0.87,0.99)	0.94 (0.86,1.03)	0.91 (0.81,1.03)	0.93 (0.83,1.05)	0.91 (0.80,1.04)	0.94 (0.78,1.13)	1.04 (0.81,1.34)	0.89 (0.75,1.05)								
Current ^e	1.11 (1.02,1.21)	1.14 (1.04,1.26)	1.04 (0.90,1.21)	1.19 (1.03,1.36)	1.09 (0.89,1.32)	1.06 (0.84,1.33)	1.12 (0.81,1.55)	1.07 (0.87,1.33)								
No. of pack-years of smoking, per 10 units increase	6.7E-01	6.7E-01	7.8E-01	6.7E-01	8.4E-01	8.0E-01	6.1E-01	9.2E-01								
	1.02 (0.98,1.07)	1.02 (0.97,1.08)	1.01 (0.97,1.06)	1.03 (0.97,1.09)	1.02 (0.94,1.10)	1.02 (0.95,1.09)	1.05 (0.97,1.13)	1.01 (0.94,1.07)								
Alcohol consumption ^e , per 10 g/week	9.0E-01	9.6E-01	8.7E-01	9.8E-01	8.7E-01	8.5E-01	8.8E-01	8.7E-01								
	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.98,1.01)	1.00 (0.99,1.01)	1.00 (0.98,1.01)								
Cumulative alcohol consumption, per 10 g/day	7.8E-01	7.8E-01	8.7E-01	7.3E-01	9.2E-01	7.2E-01	9.7E-01	8.7E-01								
	0.98 (0.91,1.05)	0.97 (0.89,1.07)	0.99 (0.93,1.05)	0.96 (0.87,1.07)	0.99 (0.89,1.10)	0.96 (0.88,1.06)	1.01 (0.90,1.12)	0.99 (0.92,1.06)								
Physical activity ^{e,f} , hours/week	5.2E-01	5.4E-01	5.2E-01	6.0E-01	6.5E-01	2.8E-01	5.2E-01	5.9E-01								
< 1.8	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.								
1.8 - < 5.5	0.76 (0.21,2.73)	0.77 (0.21,2.81)	0.75 (0.21,2.67)	0.78 (0.19,3.22)	0.79 (0.20,3.07)	0.72 (0.28,1.85)	0.82 (0.28,2.42)	0.72 (0.17,3.15)								
5.5	0.39 (0.13,1.17)	0.40 (0.13,1.19)	0.38 (0.12,1.21)	0.39 (0.12,1.26)	0.44 (0.13,1.49)	0.38 (0.16,0.88)	0.42 (0.15,1.12)	0.38 (0.11,1.31)								

All analyses were stratified by study and adjusted for lymph nodes status, tumor size, tumor grade and (neo)adjuvant systemic treatment. Age of the patients was used as time scale. Reported p-values (P) are from likelihood ratio tests comparing a model with and without a particular risk factor and are adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg method for false discovery rate (FDR) control on 136 tests. Heterogeneity test by subtype is shown in Table 3. Numbers of patients and events included in the corresponding complete-case analyses are shown in Supplementary Figures S2 (overall), S4 (ER+), S6 (ER-), S8 (Luminal A-like), S10 (Luminal B HER2-negative-like), S12 (Luminal B HER2-positive-like), S14 (HER2-enriched-like), and S16 (triple negative).

Abbreviations: *ET*: estrogen therapy; *EPT*: combined estrogen and progestin therapy.

^a Association estimated in parous women.

^b More than 6 months before diagnosis.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

c At diagnosis or within 6 months before diagnosis.

d More than 1 year before diagnosis.

e At diagnosis or within 1 year before diagnosis.

f Categories based on the tertiles of the observed distribution of the variable.

Table 5.

Multivariable Cox regression model on the imputed datasets including all risk factors simultaneously with 10-year all-cause mortality as endpoint.

Risk factor	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Age at menarche	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	6.8E-02
Parity		
0	Ref.	
1	1.02 (0.91, 1.15)	7.4E-01
2	0.99 (0.86, 1.15)	9.0E-01
3	1.01 (0.86, 1.18)	9.4E-01
4+	1.01 (0.86, 1.18)	9.2E-01
Age at first full term pregnancy, years		
< 20	Ref.	
20 to < 25	0.90 (0.84, 0.96)	1.9E-03
25 to < 30	0.84 (0.78, 0.90)	2.8E-06
30	0.79 (0.72, 0.86)	2.0E-07
Time since last full term birth, years		
10	Ref.	
5 - < 10	1.13 (1.01, 1.28)	3.2E-02
> 0 - < 5	1.31 (1.11, 1.55)	1.1E-03
Breastfeeding		
Ever vs never	0.94 (0.82, 1.06)	2.7E-01
Duration of breastfeeding, per 6 months	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	6.9E-02
BMI, kg/m²		
18.5 to < 25	Ref.	
< 18.5	1.31 (0.96, 1.77)	5.6E-02
25 to < 30	1.04 (0.92, 1.18)	4.4E-01
30	1.19 (1.06, 1.34)	1.1E-03
Adult height, per 5 cm	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)	2.8E-01
Oral contraceptive use		
Ever vs never	0.91 (0.87, 0.96)	9.4E-05
Menopausal hormone therapy		
Never use, postmenopausal	Ref.	
Former ^a use of ET	0.75 (0.65, 0.86)	2.9E-05
Former ^a use of EPT	0.85 (0.73, 0.98)	3.0E-02
Former ^a use (unknown type)	0.81 (0.76, 0.86)	1.1E-11

Risk factor	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Current ^b use of ET	0.72 (0.64, 0.82)	8.3E-07
Current ^b use of EPT	0.61 (0.54, 0.69)	3.8E-15
Current ^b use (unknown type)	0.78 (0.72, 0.85)	4.9E-08
Smoking		
Never	Ref.	
Former ^c	1.03 (0.98, 1.07)	2.3E-01
Current ^d	1.37 (1.27, 1.47)	0.0E+00
Alcohol consumption ^d , per 10 g/week		
	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)	6.6E-01
Cumulative alcohol consumption, per 10 g/day		
	1.00 (0.96, 1.05)	9.3E-01
Physical activity ^{d,e} , hours/week		
< 1.8	Ref.	
1.8 – < 5.5	0.81 (0.39, 1.68)	5.2E-01
5.5	0.43 (0.21, 0.86)	6.3E-03

The Cox model was stratified by study and adjusted for lymph nodes status, tumor size, tumor grade, ER status, PR status, HER2 status and (neo)adjuvant systemic treatment. Age of the patients was used as time scale. All the risk factors were simultaneously included in the model. Corresponding complete-case analysis was based on 1264 cases and 158 deaths from all causes. A comparison between results from imputed data analysis and corresponding complete-case analysis are shown in Supplementary Figure S23.

^aMore than 6 months before diagnosis.

^bAt diagnosis or within 6 months before diagnosis.

^cMore than 1 year before diagnosis.

^dAt diagnosis or within a year before diagnosis.

^eCategories based on the tertiles of the observed distribution of the variable. Abbreviations: *ET*: estrogen therapy; *EPT*: combined estrogen and progestin therapy.

Table 6.

Multivariable Cox regression model on the imputed datasets including all risk factors simultaneously, with 10-year breast cancer-specific mortality as endpoint.

Risk factor	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Age at menarche	1.03 (1.00, 1.05)	1.4E-02
Parity		
0	Ref.	
1	1.04 (0.90, 1.21)	5.5E-01
2	1.00 (0.83, 1.20)	1.0E+00
3	1.00 (0.81, 1.24)	1.0E+00
4+	1.01 (0.81, 1.25)	9.4E-01
Age at first full term pregnancy, years		
< 20	Ref.	
20 to < 25	0.90 (0.82, 0.99)	2.7E-02
25 to < 30	0.87 (0.79, 0.95)	2.8E-03
30	0.80 (0.72, 0.89)	4.4E-05
Time since last full term birth, years		
10	Ref.	
5 - < 10	1.16 (1.01, 1.34)	2.9E-02
> 0 - < 5	1.36 (1.15, 1.61)	2.4E-04
Breastfeeding		
Ever vs never	0.98 (0.81, 1.18)	8.2E-01
Duration of breastfeeding, per 6 months	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	7.2E-02
BMI, kg/m ²		
18.5 to < 25	Ref.	
< 18.5	1.10 (0.79, 1.53)	5.6E-01
25 to < 30	1.06 (0.93, 1.20)	3.6E-01
30	1.16 (1.04, 1.29)	4.7E-03
Adult height, per 5 cm	1.00 (0.95, 1.06)	8.7E-01
Oral contraceptive use		
Ever vs never	0.96 (0.89, 1.03)	2.5E-01
Menopausal hormone therapy		
Never use, postmenopausal	Ref.	
Former ^a use of ET	0.82 (0.66, 1.03)	8.2E-02
Former ^a use of EPT	1.11 (0.91, 1.35)	3.2E-01
Former ^a use (unknown type)	0.88 (0.80, 0.97)	1.0E-02

Risk factor	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Current ^b use of ET	0.71 (0.57, 0.89)	2.6E-03
Current ^b use of EPT	0.64 (0.54, 0.76)	2.3E-07
Current ^b use (unknown type)	0.86 (0.76, 0.97)	1.3E-02
Smoking		
Never	Ref.	
Former ^c	0.94 (0.88, 1.01)	7.2E-02
Current ^d	1.11 (1.02, 1.21)	1.1E-02
Alcohol consumption ^d , per 10 g/week		
	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)	8.4E-01
Cumulative alcohol consumption, per 10 g/day		
	0.98 (0.91, 1.06)	5.2E-01
Physical activity ^{d,e} , hours/week		
< 1.8	Ref.	
1.8 – < 5.5	0.77 (0.22, 2.73)	6.4E-01
5.5	0.40 (0.13, 1.19)	5.7E-02

The Cox model is stratified by and adjusted for lymph nodes status, tumor size, tumor grade, ER status, PR status, HER2 status and (neo)adjuvant systemic treatment. Age of the patient was used as time scale. All risk factors were simultaneously included in the model. Corresponding complete-case analysis was based on 1264 cases and 114 deaths from breast cancer. A comparison between results from imputed data analysis and corresponding complete-case analysis are shown in Supplementary Figure S24.

^a More than 6 months before diagnosis.

^b At diagnosis or within 6 months before diagnosis.

^c More than 1 year before diagnosis.

^d At diagnosis or within a year before diagnosis.

^e Categories based on the tertiles of the observed distribution of the variable. Abbreviations: *ET*: estrogen therapy; *EPT*: combined estrogen and progestin therapy.