

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Biochemistry*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 April 15.

Published in final edited form as:

*Biochemistry*. 2020 July 28; 59(29): 2751–2759. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.0c00432.

# Identifying structural determinants of product specificity in Leishmania major farnesyl diphosphate synthase

Sweta Maheshwari<sup>1</sup>, Yu Seon Kim<sup>1</sup>, Srinivas Aripirala<sup>1</sup>, Michael Murphy<sup>2</sup>, L. Mario Amzel<sup>1,\*</sup>, Sandra B. Gabelli<sup>1,3,4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

<sup>2</sup>Cytiva, Marlborough, Massachusetts 01752

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21205, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Oncology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21287, USA

# Abstract

Farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FPPS) is an isoprenoid chain elongation enzyme that catalyzes the sequential condensation of dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP;  $C_5$ ) with isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP;  $C_5$ ) and the resulting geranyl diphosphate (GPP;  $C_{10}$ ) with another molecule of IPP, eventually producing farnesyl diphosphate (FPP;  $C_{15}$ ), which is a precursor for the biosynthesis of a vast majority of isoprenoids. Previous studies on FPPS have highlighted the importance of the structure around the hydrophobic chain elongation path in determining the product specificity. To investigate what structural features define the final chain length of the product in FPPS from *Leishmania major*, we designed and expressed six mutants of *Lm*FPPS by replacing small amino acids around the binding pocket with bulky residues. Using enzymatic assays, binding kinetics and crystallographic studies, we analyzed the effects of these mutations on the activity and product specificity of FPPS. Our results revealed that replacement of Thr-164 with tryptophan and phenylalanine completely abolished the activity of FPPS. Intriguingly, T164Y substitution displayed dual product specificity and produced a mixture GPP and FPP as final products, with lower activity for FPP synthesis than that of the wild-type enzyme. These data indicate that Thr-164 is a potential regulator of product specificity.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting information. Table S1, Figures S1 and S2 (PDF)

Accession Codes. The atomic coordinates and structure factors of E97Y-*Lm*FPPS, T164W-*Lm*FPPS and T164Y-*Lm*FPPS crystal structures in complex with inhibitor 476A and substrate IPP have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession codes, 6WW1, 6W7I, 6VJC and respectively. Uniprot entry for *Lm*FPPS is Q4QBL1.

Notes. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors: L. Mario Amzel - Department of Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA; mamzel@jhmi.edu; Sandra B. Gabelli - Departments of Medicine, Oncology, Biophysics and Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry and Art as Applied to Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA; gabelli@jhmi.edu. Author contributions. S.B.G. and L.M.A. conceived the study. S.M., Y.K., S.A. and M.M. conducted the experiments. S.M., S.B.G. and L.M.A. analyzed and interpreted the data. S.M. wrote the initial manuscript draft which was edited and finalized by L.M.A. and S.B.G. All authors approved the final version.

# INTRODUCTION

Farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FPPS) is a branch-point enzyme of the isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway, a widespread metabolic pathway present from prokaryotes to eukaryotes. It is responsible for synthesizing essential isoprenoids such as carotenoids, chlorophylls, ubiquinones, dolichols, sterols, and also prenylated proteins <sup>1–3</sup>. About 30,000 individual isoprenoids have been identified to date making these compounds the most diverse class of naturally occurring compounds. They serve numerous biochemical functions: as structural membrane components (prenyl-lipids) in archaea; as photosynthetic pigments (carotenoids and chlorophylls) in algae, cyanobacteria and plants; as plant growth-regulating hormones (gibberellins and abscisic acid); as plant defense compounds (mono-, di- and sesqui-terpenes); in electron-transport chains (quinones) and in subcellular targeting of signaling proteins (prenylation of proteins) <sup>2, 4</sup>.

FPPS (EC 2.5.1.10) catalyzes a sequential chain elongation reaction by the head-to-tail condensation of two five-carbon compounds ( $C_5$ ), dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP) and its isomer isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) to form a ten carbon compound ( $C_{10}$ ), geranyl diphosphate (GPP), which then condenses with a second molecule of IPP to form a fifteen carbon compound ( $C_{15}$ ), farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) as the final product <sup>5, 6</sup> (Scheme 1). FPP then serves as a precursor for a number of downstream reactions in the pathway resulting in isoprenoid biosynthesis, for example, it is a substrate of squalene synthase (in sterol synthesis) and undecaprenyl diphosphate synthase (in bacterial cell wall synthesis) <sup>7, 8</sup>. In addition, FPP undergoes further condensation reaction to produce a higher chain length diphosphate precursor, geranylgeranyl diphosphate (GGPP;  $C_{20}$ ), and both FPP and GGPP are important for the prenylation of GTPase signaling proteins such as Ras and Rho <sup>9</sup>.

Structural studies on FPPSs have revealed that FPPS is a homodimer. Each monomer contains two binding pockets in the active site: the allylic binding site to which DMAPP or GPP binds, and the homoallylic binding site to which IPP binds. In the structures of FPPS in complex with bisphosphonate inhibitors (substrate analogs), the bisphosphonates bind to the allylic site and the phosphates of the bisphosphonates are coordinated by three divalent cations ( $Mg^{2+}$  or  $Ca^{2+}$ ) that bridge the compound to the protein <sup>10–15</sup>. All FPPSs contain two highly conserved aspartate-rich motifs that are located on opposite walls of the active site cavity facing each other. The first aspartate-rich motif (FARM) has the sequence DDXX(XX)D, where D is aspartate and X is any amino acid. There are two residues between the aspartates of FARM in eukaryotic FPPS whereas the prokaryotic FPPS has four residues between the aspartates. The second aspartate-rich motif (SARM) has the sequence DDXXD. Both these motifs are essential for catalytic activity and the amino acid residues close to FARM have been reported as the chain length determining residues <sup>16–18</sup>. In most eukaryotic FPPSs, two aromatic amino acids at the fourth and fifth positions upstream of the FARM solely determine the chain length, while the product specificity in prokaryotic FPPS is determined by one aromatic amino acid at the fifth position upstream of the FARM, two amino acids inserted in this motif and other modifications <sup>18, 19</sup>.

Mutagenesis coupled with kinetic studies on FPPS from *Bacillus stearothermophilus* have reported that Tyr-81 at the fifth position before the FARM blocks the chain elongation longer

Page 3

than FPP <sup>20, 21</sup>. Similarly, Phe-77 of *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* GGPPS, the equivalent of Tyr-81 of the *Bs*FPPS, when mutated to serine catalyzes the chain elongation longer than GGPP ( $C_{20}$ ) <sup>22</sup>. Furthermore, replacement of Ser-82 at the fourth position upstream of the FARM with phenylalanine resulted in a *Bs*FPPS that produced GPP as the final product <sup>23</sup>. In another important study, Tarshis et al. reported that avian FPPS can be transformed to produce higher chain length products, geranylgeranyl diphosphate ( $C_{20}$ ) by F112A substitution and geranylfarnesyl diphosphate ( $C_{25}$ ) by F113S mutation <sup>24</sup>. Taken together, these studies have characterized the product specificity of FPPSs from different species clearly demonstrating that the final chain length of the product is governed by the structure around the hydrophobic path through which the product elongates in the active site cavity. However, there is no such study so far on the corresponding FPPS enzyme from *Leishmania major*, a human pathogen that causes cutaneous leishmaniasis. Moreover, FPPS is of special interest in *L. major* given the essentiality of this enzyme for parasite survival and its validation as a potential target of bisphosphonates for antiparasitic drug development <sup>25</sup> 26, 27.

In the present study, we investigate the product specificity of *Lm*FPPS to identify the amino acids responsible for determining the final chain length of the product. Based on sequence and structural alignments of LmFPPS with FPPSs from other eukaryotic species, His-93 at the fifth position and Phe-94 at the fourth position upstream of FARM (residues 98-102) are thought to be important in determining the product specificity by preventing the chain elongation beyond FPP (Figure S1). Instead of exploring these obvious candidates, we chose to focus our studies on identifying other unknown chain length determining residues that would restrict the condensation beyond GPP. On the basis of an analysis of the structure of wild-type (WT) LmFPPS (PDB ID: 4JZX) previously determined by our lab <sup>15</sup>, we focused on amino acids with small and flexible side chains at the floor of the binding pocket, which when replaced with bulky residues with aromatic rings, may shorten the length of the binding pocket and force the chain elongation reaction to stop at the intermediate product GPP. We selected two such residues, Glu-97 and Thr-164 (Figure 1A&B), and constructed and analyzed six mutants of LmFPPS, E97F, E97Y, E97W, T164F, T164Y and T164W to investigate if the synthesis of the final product FPP was indeed dictated by the size of the binding pocket. Here we report biochemical, biophysical and structural studies of the active site mutants that provide insights into the regulation of chain elongation reaction by FPPS.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Cloning and expression of LmFPPS.

*Lm*FPPS was cloned into pET-28a vector (Novagen) (encoding an N-terminal His tag) and the recombinant plasmid was used to transform *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) cells <sup>28</sup>. *E. coli* cells were grown at 37 °C in LB medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 30  $\mu$ g/ml kanamycin (GoldBio). Once the OD<sub>600</sub> reached 0.6-0.8, protein expression was induced by the addition of 0.1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside (GoldBio) and the culture was incubated at 37 °C for 3 hours. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6000 g for 10 min at 4 °C and stored at –80 °C. *Lm*FPPS mutants, E97F, E97Y, E97W, T164F, T164Y and T164W were generated using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene).

The forward and reverse primers designed to introduce these mutations are depicted in Table S1. All *Lm*FPPS mutants were expressed in the same way as the WT protein.

#### Purification of WT LmFPPS and mutants.

Cell pellets were resuspended in a buffer containing 50 mM sodium phosphate pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 1mM TCEP and lysed by microfluidizer. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C and the soluble supernatant was loaded onto a nickel affinity HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare). *Lm*FPPS was eluted with 100 mM imidazole. The eluates were pooled, dialyzed against buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP and digested with thrombin to cleave the 6X His tag. The protein was further purified by anion-exchange chromatography using a Source Q column (GE Healthcare) by eluting with a linear gradient of 0.05-1 M NaCl. The protein was repurified by nickel affinity chromatography using HisTrap HP column and the flowthrough fractions containing pure *Lm*FPPS were collected. The protein was then dialyzed against a buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP and concentrated to 10-15 mg/ml <sup>15</sup>. All *Lm*FPPS mutants used in this study were purified by the same protocol.

#### Differential Scanning Fluorimetry analysis.

To examine the thermal stability of WT-*Lm*FPPS and mutants, we used a differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF) assay. It monitors the fluorescence of a dye which binds to hydrophobic regions of the protein that become exposed upon temperature-induced denaturation <sup>29, 30</sup>. Proteins were screened against different buffers with pH ranging from 2.5-11. 25  $\mu$ l reactions were set up in white low-profile 96-well unskirted PCR plates (Bio-Rad) by mixing 10  $\mu$ l of purified *Lm*FPPS at 1 mg/ml (10  $\mu$ g final protein amount) with 2.5  $\mu$ l of 50x SYPRO orange dye (Invitrogen) (5X final SYPRO concentration) and 12.5  $\mu$ l of each pH of the buffers. Plates were sealed with an optical transparent film and centrifuged at 2500 g for 30 sec. Thermal scanning was performed from 25 to 99 °C (1 °C/min temperature gradient) using a CFX9 Connect real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) instrument (Bio-Rad). Melt curves were constructed with the fluorescence intensity from each well versus temperature and a protein unfolding/melting temperature 'T<sub>m</sub>' was calculated from the maximum value of the negative first derivative of the melt curve using CFX Manager software (Bio-Rad).

#### Radioactive enzyme assay for LmFPPS.

We used a radioactive-based enzyme assay to characterize the activity of FPPS <sup>19, 31</sup>. The reaction mixture contained 50 mM Tris pH 8, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM TCEP, 50  $\mu$ M DMAPP (Sigma-Aldrich), 100  $\mu$ M <sup>14</sup>C-IPP (PerkinElmer) and 140  $\mu$ g of *Lm*FPPS in a total volume of 500  $\mu$ l. The reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 3 hours followed with the addition of 1  $\mu$ l of bacterial alkaline phosphatase (150 U/ $\mu$ l) and overnight incubation at 37 °C to hydrolyze prenyl diphosphates into corresponding alcohols. The alcohols were then extracted with 2 ml of hexane and the volumes were concentrated to 100  $\mu$ l. The concentrated extracts were analyzed using thin layer chromatography (TLC) by blotting them onto a TLC silica-gel plate (Fisher Scientific) along with the product standards, geraniol and farnesol. Radiolabeled substrates and products were separated by benzene:ethyl acetate (4:1) as the developing solvent. The positions of the standards were revealed with iodine vapor and the

radiolabeled solution was blotted onto these spots to further visualize these spots by autoradiography. The plate was then exposed to storage phosphor screen (Molecular Dynamics) overnight and subsequently scanned on a phosphorimager (Amersham).

#### Surface Plasmon Resonance.

The binding affinities of *Lm*FPPS for FPP and GPP were measured using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) with a Biacore T200 instrument (Cytiva) at 25°C. WT-*Lm*FPPS was diluted to 10 µg/ml in a buffer consisting of 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 0.05% Tween 20. The WT protein was then immobilized onto flow cell 2 (Fc2) of a Biacore CM5 (carboxymethyl-dextran) sensor chip via amine coupling to approximately 5000 resonance units (RU). Binding of analytes FPP and GPP were measured with multi-cycle kinetics. FPP was injected over immobilized *Lm*FPPS at four increasing concentrations: 1.5, 6.2, 25 and 100 µM. Similarly, GPP was separately injected at varying concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 25 µM. The mutants were analyzed using the same protocol as the WT-*Lm*FPPS. Reference subtracted and blank subtracted binding curves were fitted into a 1:1 interaction model and the steady-state affinity 'K<sub>D</sub>' (equilibrium dissociation constant) was determined from steady-state affinity analysis using Biacore T200 Evaluation Software v3.2 (Cytiva).

#### Crystallization of LmFPPS mutants.

Crystals of *Lm*FPPS mutants, E97Y, T164Y and T164W were produced using the hanging drop vapor diffusion technique at 18 °C. Proteins were co-crystallized with inhibitor 476A and substrate IPP in the presence of MgCl<sub>2</sub> <sup>26</sup>. Conditions for crystallization of mutants were slightly varied from those of WT-*Lm*FPPS <sup>15</sup>, with PEG 8000 as precipitant and different concentrations of ligands as stated below. The drops were prepared in 1:1 ratio by mixing 1  $\mu$ l of protein-ligand mixture (12 mg/ml *Lm*FPPS, 0.4 mM IPP, 0.4 mM 476A and 1mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) with 1  $\mu$ l of reservoir buffer (0.1 M MES sodium salt pH 6.5, 0.1-0.2 M calcium acetate and 15-25 % PEG 8000).

#### Data collection and structure determination.

X-ray diffraction data for E97Y-*Lm*FPPS, T164Y-*Lm*FPPS and T164W-*Lm*FPPS crystals were collected in-house using a Rigaku FR-E Superbright copper rotating anode x-ray generator as the source, with a Rigaku Saturn 944 CCD detector for E97Y-*Lm*FPPS and R-AXIS IV image plate detector for T164Y-*Lm*FPPS and T164W-*Lm*FPPS. Data were indexed, integrated and scaled using HKL-2000 suite <sup>32</sup>. The structures of E97Y-*Lm*FPPS and T164Y-*Lm*FPPS were determined by direct refinement using *Lm*FPPS structure (PDB ID: 4JZX). T164W-*Lm*FPPS structure was determined by molecular replacement with the program AMoRe <sup>33</sup> using *Lm*FPPS structure (PDB ID: 4JZX) as the search model. Each of the models was refined in alternate cycles of restrained refinement with REFMAC5 in the CCP4 suite and rebuilding by Coot <sup>34–36</sup>. The divalent calcium ions were assigned on the basis of anomalous scattering data of WT-*Lm*FPPS-476A complex structure (PDB ID: 4JZX). The final models were validated using Coot and 'wwPDB Validation Server' (https://validate.wwpdb.org). RMSD was calculated between the structures using 'SSM Superpose' function in Coot. Figures for the structures were prepared using PyMOL <sup>37, 38</sup>.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Design of LmFPPS mutants.

The residues that determine the product chain length in the FPPS enzyme have been reported previously for archaeal, bacterial and avian species <sup>18, 20–22, 24</sup>, but there has been no such report on the equivalent FPPS in *Leishmania* species. We sought to characterize the product specificity of *Lm*FPPS in order to identify the residues that regulate the chain elongation reaction and in particular limit the product length at GPP. Using previously published *Lm*FPPS structure (PDB ID: 4JZX) as a template, we selected two residues, Glu-97 and Thr-164, around the binding pocket of *Lm*FPPS for further studies (Figure 1A&B). We mutated each of these residues independently to bulkier aromatic amino acids by site-directed mutagenesis. In total, six mutants were designed, E97F, E97Y, E97W, T164F, T164Y and T164W. All the recombinant proteins including the WT and the six mutants were expressed in bacteria and purified to homogeneity by a combination of two rounds of nickel affinity chromatography and one round of anion-exchange chromatography. The purity of the resulting proteins was greater than 98% and the yield was ~4-5 mg per liter of cell culture (Figure 2).

#### Thermal shift assay for WT-LmFPPS and mutants.

We carried out differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF) assay to probe the stability of the WT and mutant proteins. DSF measures the melting temperature ' $T_m$ ' of a protein which is reported by increased fluorescence of the SYPRO orange dye when bound to hydrophobic protein surfaces exposed upon thermal denaturation. The change in  $T_m$  is referred to as thermal shift which indicates the change in protein stability. All the proteins were screened over a wide range of pH from 2.5 to 11 and were observed to be most stable from pH 7.5-8.0, with a very high  $T_m$  (>94 °C). We were particularly interested in comparing the stability of WT and mutant *Lm*FPPS proteins in the Tris buffer at pH 8 which is the optimal pH for *Lm*FPPS purification as well as enzymatic assays. At pH 8, the  $T_m$  was 95 °C for WT *Lm*FPPS, T164F-*Lm*FPPS and T164W-*Lm*FPPS, and 97 °C for T164Y-*Lm*FPPS (Figure 3A&B). Thus, there was no significant thermal shift between the WT enzyme and the mutants, indicating that the single-point mutations do not disrupt the folding and the stability of the enzymes, and any observed differences in the enzyme activities of these mutants will be more likely due to the disruption of the size of the binding pocket.

#### Enzymatic activity of WT-*Lm*FPPS and the mutants.

To assess and compare the activity of WT enzyme and the six mutants, we used a radioactivity based enzyme assay. After carrying out the FPPS reaction with 100  $\mu$ M <sup>14</sup>C labeled IPP and 50  $\mu$ M DMAPP, the products were hydrolyzed to corresponding alcohols using alkaline phosphatase and the resulting alcohols were analyzed by thin layer chromatography followed by autoradiography. As expected, WT-*Lm*FPPS displayed a prominent signal corresponding to farnesol (C<sub>15</sub>), clearly indicating that the WT enzyme is active and synthesizes FPP as the final product (Figure 4). All the Glu-97 mutants, E97F-*Lm*FPPS, E97Y-*Lm*FPPS and E97W-*Lm*FPPS also showed equally intense spots corresponding to farnesol, suggesting that they are as active as the WT enzyme with FPP as

the final product (Figure 4). These observations indicate that substitution of Glu-97 to bulky amino acids does not affect the product specificity of FPPS.

On the other hand, T164F-*Lm*FPPS and T164W-*Lm*FPPS did not show any spot for either farnesol ( $C_{15}$ ) or geraniol ( $C_{10}$ ), suggesting that these two mutants are inactive (Figure 4). Interestingly, T164Y-*Lm*FPPS showed two less intense but distinct spots corresponding to geraniol and farnesol, implying that T164Y-*Lm*FPPS can produce a mixture of both GPP and FPP (Figure 4). These results clearly demonstrate that out of all the three Thr-164 mutants, only T164Y-*Lm*FPPS is active and able to synthesize GPP and FPP as final products, with weak activity for FPP synthesis compared to that of the WT enzyme as can be seen by the intensity of the spots corresponding to farnesol (Figure 4). Though replacement of the side chain of Thr-164 with any of the bulky groups did not completely alter the chain selectivity of FPPS, the dual product specificity of the T164Y mutant suggests its chain length regulating potential in partially preventing the condensation reaction beyond GPP.

#### Binding kinetics of WT-LmFPPS and mutants.

We used surface plasmon resonance (SPR) experiments to evaluate the binding kinetics and steady-state affinities of the WT enzyme and the mutants, E97Y-LmFPPS, T164Y-LmFPPS and T164W-LmFPPS for FPP as well as GPP. For these experiments, LmFPPS and the mutant proteins were immobilized on different flow cells of a CM5 sensor chip. FPP and GPP were separately injected over immobilized LmFPPS proteins at increasing concentrations ranging from 1.5 to 100  $\mu$ M and 0.1 to 25  $\mu$ M respectively. Binding was analyzed by multi-cycle kinetics and the resulting binding curves were fitted to a 1:1 interaction model. Steady-state affinity  $K_{\rm D}$  was determined by fitting the dose response curve of binding response at steady-state versus analyte concentration to a steady-state affinity model. The resulting analysis revealed that FPP was bound to the WT enzyme with a K<sub>D</sub> of 36.6 µM, and showed fast association and fast dissociation binding kinetics (Figure 5A, Table 1). Of the three mutants, only E97Y-LmFPPS showed a K<sub>D</sub> for FPP similar to that of the WT enzyme (Figure 5B, Table 1). In contrast, T164Y-LmFPPS and T164W-LmFPPS showed a binding response that was not saturated up to the concentration of  $100 \,\mu\text{M}$  FPP used in the assay, indicating a higher K<sub>D</sub> for FPP than that of the WT enzyme (Figure S2 A&B). These results are in agreement with the enzyme activity assays which showed that E97Y-LmFPPS was as active as the WT enzyme, T164Y-LmFPPS was less active than the WT for FPP synthesis, and T164W-LmFPPS was catalytically inactive.

On the other hand, GPP showed a  $K_D$  to WT-*Lm*FPPS and E97Y-*Lm*FPPS in the nanomolar to low micromolar range, with fast association but relatively slower dissociation kinetics compared to that of FPP with WT enzyme (Figure 5C&D, Table 1). It is not surprising though that GPP binds more tightly to the enzyme than FPP as previous structural and mechanistic studies have proposed that the final product FPP might be released from the active site of the enzyme (in the open conformation) without the release of intermediate product GPP <sup>12</sup>. Unlike the WT enzyme and the E97Y mutant, T164Y-*Lm*FPPS and T164W-*Lm*FPPS displayed a non-saturable steady-state dose response for GPP under standard assay conditions, suggesting lower affinities of the T164 mutants for GPP compared to that of the WT enzyme (Table 1).

#### Structures of LmFPPS mutants.

We used x-ray crystallography to investigate how the mutations around the binding pocket affect the chain length of the product in the active site. Of the six mutants originally constructed and produced in this study, we were successful in obtaining crystals of three mutants, E97Y-*Lm*FPPS, T164Y-*Lm*FPPS and T164W-*Lm*FPPS. The conditions of crystallization for these mutants were adapted from those of the previously reported WT-*Lm*FPPS protein with minor modifications <sup>15</sup>. The crystals were formed in the mother liquor containing 0.1 M MES sodium salt pH 6.5, 15-25% PEG 8000 and 0.1-0.2 M calcium acetate. Like WT-*Lm*FPPS, we co-crystallized the mutant proteins with bisphosphonate inhibitor 476A (allylic substrate analog) and homoallylic substrate IPP. Though the structures of the WT-*Lm*FPPS protein were determined with three different bisphosphonate inhibitors, 476A, 300B and 46I, we chose to crystallize the mutant proteins in complex with 476A consists of a relatively longer and flexible alkyl chain, and thus can possibly mimic the carbon tail of allylic substrates and have closer interaction with the mutated residues in the active site.

The structures of E97Y-LmFPPS, T164Y-LmFPPS and T164W-LmFPPS were refined to 2.0 Å, 1.8 Å and 2.1 Å respectively. Full data collection and refinement statistics are shown in Table 2. The structures of the three mutants with bound ligands were highly similar to that of the WT-LmFPPS (PDB ID: 4JZX) reported previously, with the exception of the mutated residues. E97Y-LmFPPS and T164Y-LmFPPS mutants crystallized in the same space group (primitive orthorhombic,  $P2_12_12_1$ ) as the WT-*Lm*FPPS, with similar cell dimensions and RMSD of 0.20 Å and 0.17 Å respectively for all 362 Ca. atoms. However, crystals of the T164W-LmFPPS mutant had a different space group (primitive monoclinic,  $P_{21}$ ) and cell dimensions from those of the WT-*Lm*FPPS, with RMSD of 0.22 Å for all 362 Ca atoms. Like the FPPS from other species, all the *Lm*FPPS mutants were homodimers, with each momomer having a large central cavity (active site) formed by ten core a-helices <sup>10–12, 14, 15</sup>. In addition, the electron densities for the bisphosphonate inhibitor 476A and substrate IPP were observed at the allylic and homoallylic sites respectively in the active site cavity (Figures 6A–D). In all the mutant structures, the phosphates of the bisphosphonate 476A were coordinated by three  $Ca^{2+}$  ions, that were in turn bound to the side chains of the aspartates of the two highly conserved aspartate-rich motifs (DDXXD), FARM (residues 98-102) and SARM (residues 250-254).

To compare the orientation of each of the three mutated residues in the binding pocket with respect to the corresponding residues in WT-*Lm*FPPS structure, we aligned the structures of each of these mutants independently with the previously determined WT-*Lm*FPPS structure (PDB ID: 4JZX). In each of the structures, we modeled FPP from avian (*Gallus gallus*) FPPS structure (PDB ID: 1UBX) to further investigate the interaction of each of the mutated residues with the FPP <sup>24</sup>. On aligning the structures of WT-*Lm*FPPS and mutants with that of the avian FPPS, the hydrocarbon tails of the allylic substrate analog 476A and modeled FPP were observed as oriented in the same confirmation, running down the hydrophobic pocket towards the mutated sites (Figure 6A–D).

In E97Y-*Lm*FPPS structure, Tyr-97 faces away from the active site similar to Glu-97 of WT-*Lm*FPPS (Figure 6B). This was against the expectation that a bulky aromatic amino acid would position its aromatic ring towards the active site to shorten the size of the binding pocket and prevent the condensation of GPP to FPP. This structural observation is consistent with our activity assay results which showed that E97Y-*Lm*FPPS as well as the other two bulky mutants of Glu-97 (E97F-*Lm*FPPS and E97W-*Lm*FPPS) are equally active as the WT enzyme producing FPP as the final product. In addition, the steady-state affinity 'K<sub>D</sub>' of E97Y-*Lm*FPPS for FPP is comparable with that of the WT enzyme. Collectively, the structural conformation of Tyr-97 and activity and affinity studies suggest that Glu-97 is not essential for regulating the chain length of the product.

Unlike the tyrosine in E97Y-*Lm*FPPS, the aromatic amino acid Trp-164 in the T164W-*Lm*FPPS structure is oriented towards the active site. Most importantly, the aromatic ring of tryptophan clearly overlaps with the hydrocarbon tail of the modeled FPP, forming a cap near the floor of the binding pocket (Figure 6C). Activity assay and binding kinetics showed that the T164W-*Lm*FPPS mutant is catalytically inactive and has a weak affinity for FPP and GPP compared to the WT enzyme. Though the structural analysis explains the impaired activity of T164W-*Lm*FPPS in synthesizing FPP, however its inability to produce GPP as product is not fully understood from this structure. It is possible that Trp-164 decreases the depth of the hydrophobic binding pocket to an extent where it can no longer accommodate GPP, though not evident from the present structure. Alternatively, the aromatic rings of Trp-164 might present steric hindrance strong enough to completely inhibit the chain elongation reaction in the active site. Regardless of the mechanism, the catalytic inactivity of T164W-*Lm*FPPS reported in this study points towards a role of Thr-164 in facilitating the chain elongation reaction.

On the other hand, the side chain of Tyr-164 in T164Y-*Lm*FPPS mutant, being relatively shorter than that of the tryptophan with only one aromatic ring, does not overlap with the isoprene tail of modeled FPP (Figure 6D). In addition, Tyr-164 is at H-bonding distance with NE2 of His-93 and shows a pi-pi interaction with the phenyl ring of Phe-94. Given the structural orientation of Tyr-164, it is not surprising that T164Y-*Lm*FPPS is catalytically active and can form FPP in contrast to T164W-*Lm*FPPS. However, T164Y-*Lm*FPPS shows weak activity for the synthesis of FPP compared to that of the WT enzyme. Most importantly, this mutant exhibits dual product specificity and is capable of producing both GPP and FPP as products. To further investigate what structural change in T164Y mutant restricts the complete condensation of GPP, we analyzed the size of the binding pocket. To our observation, the bulky aromatic ring of Tyr-164 decreased the depth of the binding pocket by ~2.1 Å compared with that of the WT enzyme. This alteration in the size of the binding pocket could partially interfere with ~5.1 Å increase in chain length from GPP (C<sub>10</sub>) to FPP (C<sub>15</sub>) which explains the dual product specificity of T164Y-*Lm*FPPS in synthesizing FPP as well as GPP, and a weak activity and affinity of this mutant for FPP.

## CONCLUSIONS

Taken together, the crystal structures of the mutants, E97Y-*Lm*FPPS, T164W-*Lm*FPPS and T164Y-*Lm*FPPS bound with 476A, IPP and modeled FPP provide a strong evidence that

these mutations produced the observed effects by altering the size of the binding pocket for the growing isoprenoid chain in the active site of the enzyme. Though none of the Thr-164 substitutions with bulky amino acids could force the chain elongation reaction to stop at the formation of GPP as may have been expected, the present evidence still weighs in the favor of Thr-164 as a potential chain length regulating residue for its ability to partially restrict the chain elongation beyond GPP. In summary, our data on biochemical and biophysical characterization together with structural analysis of the active site mutants suggest that threonine at position 164 in *Lm*FPPS is important for determining the product specificity of the enzyme and is a promising element for the development of double mutants that will stop the reaction at GPP.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Eric Oldfield for the gift of 476A bisphosphonate inhibitor and for his critical reading of the manuscript. This research was funded in part by the US Department of Defense, DOD CDMRP BC151831 (S.B.G.) and NIH NHLBI (HL128743) (L.M.A).

# ABBREVIATIONS

FPPS	farnesyl diphosphate synthase		
FPP	farnesyl diphosphate		
GPP	geranyl diphosphate		
IPP	isopentenyl diphosphate		
DMAPP	dimethylallyl diphosphate		
GGPP	geranylgeranyl diphosphate		
FARM	first aspartate rich motif		
SARM	second aspartate rich motif		
WT	wild-type		
DSF	differential scanning fluorimetry		
SPR	surface plasmon resonance		

#### REFERENCES

- Chappell J (1995) The Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Isoprenoid Metabolism, Plant Physiol 107, 1–6. [PubMed: 12228337]
- [2]. Lange BM, Rujan T, Martin W, and Croteau R (2000) Isoprenoid biosynthesis: the evolution of two ancient and distinct pathways across genomes, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97, 13172–13177.
   [PubMed: 11078528]

- [3]. Hunter WN (2007) The non-mevalonate pathway of isoprenoid precursor biosynthesis, J Biol Chem 282, 21573–21577. [PubMed: 17442674]
- [4]. Dhar MK, Koul A, and Kaul S (2013) Farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase: a key enzyme in isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway and potential molecular target for drug development, N Biotechnol 30, 114–123. [PubMed: 22842101]
- [5]. Kellogg BA, and Poulter CD (1997) Chain elongation in the isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway, Curr Opin Chem Biol 1, 570–578. [PubMed: 9667899]
- [6]. Thulasiram HV, and Poulter CD (2006) Farnesyl diphosphate synthase: the art of compromise between substrate selectivity and stereoselectivity, J Am Chem Soc 128, 15819–15823. [PubMed: 17147392]
- [7]. Krishna G, Whitlock HW Jr., Feldbruegge DH, and Porter JW (1966) Enzymic conversion of farnesyl pyrophosphate to squalene, Arch Biochem Biophys 114, 200–215. [PubMed: 4380977]
- [8]. Teng KH, and Liang PH (2012) Undecaprenyl diphosphate synthase, a cis-prenyltransferase synthesizing lipid carrier for bacterial cell wall biosynthesis, Mol Membr Biol 29, 267–273.
   [PubMed: 22471620]
- [9]. McTaggart SJ (2006) Isoprenylated proteins, Cell Mol Life Sci 63, 255-267. [PubMed: 16378247]
- [10]. Tarshis LC, Yan M, Poulter CD, and Sacchettini JC (1994) Crystal structure of recombinant farnesyl diphosphate synthase at 2.6-A resolution, Biochemistry 33, 10871–10877. [PubMed: 8086404]
- [11]. Rondeau JM, Bitsch F, Bourgier E, Geiser M, Hemmig R, Kroemer M, Lehmann S, Ramage P, Rieffel S, Strauss A, Green JR, and Jahnke W (2006) Structural basis for the exceptional in vivo efficacy of bisphosphonate drugs, ChemMedChem 1, 267–273. [PubMed: 16892359]
- [12]. Gabelli SB, McLellan JS, Montalvetti A, Oldfield E, Docampo R, and Amzel LM (2006) Structure and mechanism of the farnesyl diphosphate synthase from Trypanosoma cruzi: implications for drug design, Proteins 62, 80–88. [PubMed: 16288456]
- [13]. Huang CH, Gabelli SB, Oldfield E, and Amzel LM (2010) Binding of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates (N-BPs) to the Trypanosoma cruzi farnesyl diphosphate synthase homodimer, Proteins 78, 888–899. [PubMed: 19876942]
- [14]. Aripirala S, Szajnman SH, Jakoncic J, Rodriguez JB, Docampo R, Gabelli SB, and Amzel LM (2012) Design, synthesis, calorimetry, and crystallographic analysis of 2-alkylaminoethyl-1,1bisphosphonates as inhibitors of Trypanosoma cruzi farnesyl diphosphate synthase, J Med Chem 55, 6445–6454. [PubMed: 22715997]
- [15]. Aripirala S, Gonzalez-Pacanowska D, Oldfield E, Kaiser M, Amzel LM, and Gabelli SB (2014) Structural and thermodynamic basis of the inhibition of Leishmania major farnesyl diphosphate synthase by nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates, Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 70, 802– 810. [PubMed: 24598749]
- [16]. Joly A, and Edwards PA (1993) Effect of site-directed mutagenesis of conserved aspartate and arginine residues upon farnesyl diphosphate synthase activity, J Biol Chem 268, 26983–26989. [PubMed: 8262934]
- [17]. Song L, and Poulter CD (1994) Yeast farnesyl-diphosphate synthase: site-directed mutagenesis of residues in highly conserved prenyltransferase domains I and II, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91, 3044–3048. [PubMed: 8159703]
- [18]. Ohnuma S, Hirooka K, Ohto C, and Nishino T (1997) Conversion from archaeal geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase to farnesyl diphosphate synthase. Two amino acids before the first aspartate-rich motif solely determine eukaryotic farnesyl diphosphate synthase activity, J Biol Chem 272, 5192–5198. [PubMed: 9030588]
- [19]. Koyama T, Obata S, Osabe M, Takeshita A, Yokoyama K, Uchida M, Nishino T, and Ogura K (1993) Thermostable farnesyl diphosphate synthase of Bacillus stearothermophilus: molecular cloning, sequence determination, overproduction, and purification, J Biochem 113, 355–363. [PubMed: 8486607]
- [20]. Ohnuma S, Nakazawa T, Hemmi H, Hallberg AM, Koyama T, Ogura K, and Nishino T (1996) Conversion from farnesyl diphosphate synthase to geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase by random chemical mutagenesis, J Biol Chem 271, 10087–10095. [PubMed: 8626566]

- [21]. Ohnuma S, Narita K, Nakazawa T, Ishida C, Takeuchi Y, Ohto C, and Nishino T (1996) A role of the amino acid residue located on the fifth position before the first aspartate-rich motif of farnesyl diphosphate synthase on determination of the final product, J Biol Chem 271, 30748–30754. [PubMed: 8940054]
- [22]. Ohnuma S, Hirooka K, Hemmi H, Ishida C, Ohto C, and Nishino T (1996) Conversion of product specificity of archaebacterial geranylgeranyl-diphosphate synthase. Identification of essential amino acid residues for chain length determination of prenyltransferase reaction, J Biol Chem 271, 18831–18837. [PubMed: 8702542]
- [23]. Narita K, Ohnuma S, and Nishino T (1999) Protein design of geranyl diphosphate synthase. Structural features that define the product specificities of prenyltransferases, J Biochem 126, 566–571. [PubMed: 10467173]
- [24]. Tarshis LC, Proteau PJ, Kellogg BA, Sacchettini JC, and Poulter CD (1996) Regulation of product chain length by isoprenyl diphosphate synthases, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93, 15018– 15023. [PubMed: 8986756]
- [25]. Mukherjee S, Basu S, and Zhang K (2019) Farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase is essential for the promastigote and amastigote stages in Leishmania major, Mol Biochem Parasitol 230, 8–15. [PubMed: 30926449]
- [26]. Sanders JM, Song Y, Chan JM, Zhang Y, Jennings S, Kosztowski T, Odeh S, Flessner R, Schwerdtfeger C, Kotsikorou E, Meints GA, Gomez AO, Gonzalez-Pacanowska D, Raker AM, Wang H, van Beek ER, Papapoulos SE, Morita CT, and Oldfield E (2005) Pyridinium-1-yl bisphosphonates are potent inhibitors of farnesyl diphosphate synthase and bone resorption, J Med Chem 48, 2957–2963. [PubMed: 15828834]
- [27]. Branco Santos JC, de Melo JA, Maheshwari S, de Medeiros W, de Freitas Oliveira JW, Moreno CJ, Mario Amzel L, Gabelli SB, and Sousa Silva M (2020) Bisphosphonate-Based Molecules as Potential New Antiparasitic Drugs, Molecules 25, 1–20.
- [28]. Ortiz-Gomez A, Jimenez C, Estevez AM, Carrero-Lerida J, Ruiz-Perez LM, and Gonzalez-Pacanowska D (2006) Farnesyl diphosphate synthase is a cytosolic enzyme in Leishmania major promastigotes and its overexpression confers resistance to risedronate, Eukaryot Cell 5, 1057– 1064. [PubMed: 16835450]
- [29]. Pantoliano MW, Petrella EC, Kwasnoski JD, Lobanov VS, Myslik J, Graf E, Carver T, Asel E, Springer BA, Lane P, and Salemme FR (2001) High-density miniaturized thermal shift assays as a general strategy for drug discovery, J Biomol Screen 6, 429–440. [PubMed: 11788061]
- [30]. Lo MC, Aulabaugh A, Jin G, Cowling R, Bard J, Malamas M, and Ellestad G (2004) Evaluation of fluorescence-based thermal shift assays for hit identification in drug discovery, Anal Biochem 332, 153–159. [PubMed: 15301960]
- [31]. Fujii H, Koyama T, and Ogura K (1982) Efficient enzymatic hydrolysis of polyprenyl pyrophosphates, Biochim Biophys Acta 712, 716–718. [PubMed: 7126635]
- [32]. Otwinowski Z, and Minor W (1997) Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode, Macromolecular Crystallography, Pt A 276, 307–326.
- [33]. Navaza J (1994) Amore an Automated Package for Molecular Replacement, Acta Crystallogr A 50, 157–163.
- [34]. Winn MD, Isupov MN, and Murshudov GN (2001) Use of TLS parameters to model anisotropic displacements in macromolecular refinement, Acta Crystallogr D 57, 122–133. [PubMed: 11134934]
- [35]. Winn MD, Ashton AW, Briggs PJ, Ballard CC, and Patel P (2002) Ongoing developments in CCP4 for high-throughput structure determination, Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 58, 1929–1936. [PubMed: 12393924]
- [36]. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, and Cowtan K (2010) Features and development of Coot, Acta Crystallogr D 66, 486–501. [PubMed: 20383002]
- [37]. Delano WL (2002) The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System.
- [38]. Schrodinger LLC (2015) The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, V.1.8.
- [39]. Yang G, Zhu W, Kim K, Byun SY, Choi G, Wang K, Cha JS, Cho HS, Oldfield E, and No JH (2015) In Vitro and In Vivo Investigation of the Inhibition of Trypanosoma brucei Cell Growth

by Lipophilic Bisphosphonates, Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59, 7530–7539. [PubMed: 26392508]



### Figure 1. Structural basis of site-directed mutations in *Lm*FPPS.

(A) Active site of WT-*Lm*FPPS (PDB ID: 4JZX)in complex with bisphosphonate inhibitor 476A (grey chain; colored by atoms) and substrate IPP(colored by atoms). The amino acid residues mutated in this study, E97 and T164 are illustrated as sticks. (B) Surface representation of the binding pocket of *Lm*FPPS showing 476A and IPP buried in the allylic and homoallylic sites respectively.



Figure 2. Purified recombinant WT-LmFPPS and mutants.

SDS-PAGE analysis of purified *Lm*FPPS proteins. WT-*Lm*FPPS and six mutants are shown(~40 kDa).

Maheshwari et al.



#### Figure 3. DSF analyses of WT-*Lm*FPPS and the mutants.

(A) Melt curves for the WT enzyme (shown in blue) and mutants, T164F-*Lm*FPPS, T164Y-*Lm*FPPS and T164W-*Lm*FPPS (shown in orange, grey and yellow respectively) in Tris buffer, pH 8. Data are represented in relative fluorescence units (RFU) versus temperature.
(B) The negative derivative of RFU versus temperature. The melting temperature 'Tm' corresponds to the peak or maximum of the first negative derivative of the curve.



**Figure 4. T164Y-***Lm***FPPS produces both GPP and FPP as revealed by radioactive assay.** TLC autoradiochromatogram showing the activity of WT and mutant *Lm***FPPSs**. The spots for standard alcohols, geraniol and farnesol are shown in the last two lanes.

Maheshwari et al.



Figure 5. Binding of FPP and GPP to WT and mutant *Lm*FPPSs determined by SPR. Steady-state dose response curves for binding of FPP to (A) WT-*Lm*FPPS and (B) E97Y-*Lm*FPPS. FPP was loaded in increasing concentrations at 1.5, 6.2, 25 and 100  $\mu$ M. Dotted vertical grey lines indicate the values of steady-state affinity 'K<sub>D</sub>'. Sensorgrams for multicycle kinetics are depicted as inserts. Binding of GPP to (C) WT-*Lm*FPPS and (D) E97Y-*Lm*FPPS. GPP was loaded in increasing concentrations at 0.1, 0.39 and 1.5  $\mu$ M (C) and at 0.1, 0.39, 1.5, 6.2 and 25  $\mu$ M (D).All SPR experiments were performed two times.

Maheshwari et al.



# Figure 6. Structural insights into *Lm*FPPS mutants.

Active sites of (A) WT-*Lm*FPPS (PDB ID: 4JZX) (shown in green). (B) E97Y-*Lm*FPPS (shown in blue), (C) T164W-*Lm*FPPS (shown in magenta) and (D) T164Y-*Lm*FPPS (shown in cyan) structures. The mutant structures are aligned with the WT-*Lm*FPPS structure. Bound ligands, inhibitor 476A (grey chain; colored by atoms) and substrate IPP (colored by atoms) are shown in all the structures. Product FPP (pink chain; colored by atoms) was modeled from avian FPPS structure (PDB ID: 1UBX). The WT residues (E97 and T164) and the mutations (E97Y, T164W and T164Y) are illustrated as sticks.



#### Scheme 1. Chain elongation reaction of FPPS.

FPPS catalyzes the consecutive condensation of dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP) with isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) and the resulting geranyl diphosphate (GPP) with another molecule of IPP to form farnesyl diphosphate (FPP).

#### Table 1.

# Comparative steady-state affinity analysis of WT-*Lm*FPPS and mutants for FPP and GPP using SPR.

Steady-state affinity ' $K_D$ ' and the maximum response "Rmax' were estimated from non-linear regression by fitting the equilibrium binding data to steady-state affinity equation. ND- not determined.

Enzyme	Steady-state af	R <sub>max</sub> (RU)		
Enzyme	FPP	GPP	FPP	GPP
WT-LmFPPS	36.6	0.049	20.3	4.1
E97Y-LmFPPS	36.4	1.27	28.5	4.3
T164Y-LmFPPS	ND	ND	ND	ND
T164W-LmFPPS	ND	ND	ND	ND

#### Table 2.

Data collection and refinement statistics for LmFPPS mutants.

	E97Y-LmFPPS-476A-IPP-Ca <sup>2+</sup> PDB ID: 6WW1	T164W- <i>Lm</i> FPPS-476A-IPP-Ca <sup>2+</sup> PDB ID: 6W7I	T164Y-LmFPPS-476A-IPP-Ca <sup>2+</sup> PDB ID: 6VJC				
Data collection	Data collection						
Space group	P212121	<i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub>	P212121				
Cell dimensions a,b,c (Å) $\alpha,\beta,\gamma$ (Å)	80.70, 86.08, 107.42 90.00, 90.00, 90.00	58.93,79.97, 81.17 90.00, 106.39, 90.00	80.52, 85.88, 107.20 90.00, 90.00, 90.00				
X-ray source	FR-E Superbright	FR-E Superbright	FR-E Superbright				
Detector	Saturn 944 CCD	R-AXIS IV	R-AXIS IV				
Wavelength (Å)	1.54	1.54	1.54				
Resolution (Å)	67.17-2.05 (2.09-2.05)	50.00-2.10 (2.18-2.10)	50.00-1.80 (1.86-1.80)				
Completeness (%)	90.6 (78.7)	92.1 (57.8)	99.2 (92.8)				
Redundancy	4.7 (2.7)	3.2 (1.9)	6.2 (2.6)				
R <sub>merge</sub> (%)	7.7 (24.0)	7.2 (21.7)	8.1 (68.8)				
l/σI	19.91 (4.40)	26.07 (5.28)	30.09 (1.4)				
Measured reflections	204177	123691	422649				
Unique reflections	43443	38828	67996				
Refinement statistics	Refinement statistics						
R <sub>work</sub> /R <sub>free</sub>	0.21/0.29 (0.22/0.30)	0.18/0.23 (0.21/0.31)	0.15/0.19 (0.49/0.48)				
R.m.s. deviations							
Bond lengths (Å)	0.016	0.009	0.010				
Bond Angles (°)	1.81	1.16	1.65				
B-factors (Å <sup>2</sup> )							
Protein	17.9	19.0	24.6				
Ligand	14.0	14.7	19.6				
Water	24.9	26.6	24.3				
Monomers in asymmetric unit	2	2	2				
Number of protein atoms	5763	5856	5806				
Number of water molecules	600	471	698				
Ligands	476A, IPP, Ca <sup>2+</sup>	476A, IPP, Ca <sup>2+</sup>	476A, IPP, Ca <sup>2+</sup>				
Ramachandran plot statistics							
Preferred regions	96.25 %	96.55 %	97.75 %				
Outliers	0.56 %	0.43 %	0.28 %				

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shells.