

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Mol Cell*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 April 15.

# Applicability of spatial transcriptional profiling to cancer research

Rania Bassiouni<sup>1</sup>, Lee D Gibbs<sup>1</sup>, David W Craig<sup>1</sup>, John D Carpten<sup>1</sup>, Troy A McEachron<sup>1,2,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Translational Genomics, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, 1450 Biggy Street, Los Angeles, CA, USA 90033

<sup>2</sup>Pediatric Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, 10 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD, USA 20892

# Abstract

Spatial transcriptional profiling provides gene expression information within the important anatomical context of tissue architecture. This approach is well-suited to characterize solid tumors, which develop within a complex landscape of malignant cells, immune cells, and stroma. In a single assay, spatial transcriptional profiling can interrogate the role of spatial relationships between these cell populations, as well as reveal spatial patterns of relevant oncogenic genetic events. The broad utility of this approach is reflected in the array of strategies that have been developed for its implementation, as well as in the recent commercial development of several profiling platforms. The flexibility to apply these technologies to both hypothesis-driven and discovery-driven studies allows for widespread applicability in research settings. This review discusses available technologies for spatial transcriptional profiling and several applications for their use in cancer research.

# INTRODUCTION

The study of tissue structures is fundamental to understanding living systems. From its rudimentary beginnings in the 17<sup>th</sup> century, the visual evaluation of tissue specimens has evolved into a distinct biomedical discipline unto itself. Today, histological assessment in clinical practice is ubiquitous and indispensable in accurate diagnosis of solid tumors. Indeed, conventional histochemical staining methods can reveal remarkably detailed tissue elements, particularly in formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue sections. However, the advent of novel multiplexed immunohistochemical methods has significantly expanded the number of cellular and molecular targets that can be directly visualized on single section with subcellular resolution (Tsujikawa et al., 2017). Moreover, the recent development of technologies such as imaging mass cytometry (Giesen et al., 2014), multiplexed ion beam

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Troy.McEachron@nih.gov.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests as it pertains to the content of this manuscript.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

imaging (MIBI) (Angelo et al., 2014), and the Digital Spatial Profiling platform (NanoString Technologies)(Merritt et al., 2020) further expands the number of multiplexed protein targets available for analysis in frozen or FFPE tissue sections. Although the development of these powerful new methods is impressive and reflects great technological advancements, they are nonetheless subject to the capacity limitations associated with antibody multiplexing.

Transcriptional profiling offers a different measurement approach for comprehensive molecular assessment of tissues. Particularly, whole transcriptome profiling circumvents some of the capacity constraints of these antibody-related methods by providing expression measurements across thousands of RNA species. Despite the immense amount of data generated by this approach, both bulk and single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) fail to retain anatomical or spatial information as the tissues must be dissociated prior to sequencing. Traditionally, investigations seeking to obtain detailed gene expression profiling data and data pertaining to tissue architecture and organization were mutually exclusive, requiring that tissues are partitioned before downstream use. To remedy this, the early iterations of spatially resolved transcriptomics utilized microdissection to selectively remove portions of mounted tissue for subsequent gene expression profiling (Alevizos et al., 2001; Sriuranpong et al., 2004). These early labor-intensive procedures have now been augmented by a variety of strategies for concurrent visualization and identification of RNA targets while maintaining unbiased spatial context. Seemingly a daunting task a decade ago, in situ spatial transcriptional profiling is now a reality that holds great promise for the advancement of oncology research. This review summarizes the current state of spatial transcriptional profiling technology and discusses demonstrated and potential applications for cancer research.

# COMPARISON OF SPATIAL TRANSCRIPTIONAL PROFILING PLATFORMS

Mapping the spatial organization of an intact tissue section using spatially-resolved highresolution transcriptomics is the next major step for deciphering tumor heterogeneity (Burgess, 2019). Several novel high-resolution spatial transcriptional profiling technologies including SeqFish (Shah et al., 2017), Slide-seq (Rodriques et al., 2019), STARmap (Wang et al., 2018), HDST (Vickovic et al., 2019), MERFISH (Moffitt et al., 2016), Visium (Stahl et al., 2016), FISSEQ (Lee et al., 2015), and GeoMX (Zollinger et al., 2020) exist and have been comprehensively reviewed by Asp *et al.* (Asp, Bergenstrahle and Lundeberg, 2020). This review will focus on a subset of these platforms that have demonstrated potential to become widely accessible due to present or planned commercial development.

#### **10X Genomics Visium**

First available in late 2019, the 10x Genomics Visium platform is the successor to the technology first known as Spatial Transcriptomics (Stahl et al., 2016). The foundation of the Visium technology is the Spatial Gene Expression Slide, a glass microscope slide containing four regions on which tissue can be mounted for processing, imaging, and analysis. Each region measures 6.5 mm by 6.5 mm, limiting the size of the tissue and potentially requiring specimens to be trimmed or split over multiple capture areas. Pre-printed within each tissue region are oligonucleotide probes arranged into an array of 5,000 features. Each probe is

Bassiouni et al.

comprised of a spatial barcode that is unique to an individual feature on the slide, a unique molecular identifier, and a poly(dT) region to facilitate the capture of poly-A tailed mRNA diffusing towards the slide surface from the overlaid tissue upon permeabilization (Stahl et al., 2016). *In situ* synthesis of poly-A primed cDNA occurs directly on the slide and is followed by library generation. Once the libraries are made, short-read Illumina sequencing generates coding transcriptome data where each read can be mapped back to the coordinates specified by its spatial barcode.

By decreasing the diameter of each feature and increasing the number of features fivefold, thus reducing the center-to-center distance between adjacent features, the commercially available product offers improved resolution over previous versions of the technology. While this serves to increase the coverage density, the current feature diameter of 55 µm does not yet allow single-cell resolution. An additional layer of information can be obtained by staining the tissue with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or fluorescently labeled antibodies prior to sequencing, although immunofluorescent staining may decrease the number of transcripts detected downstream (10x Genomics, 2020). Until recently, the Visium technology has only been compatible with fresh-frozen tissue due to a requirement for high-quality RNA and, thus, image quality and histomorphological assessment of the tissue sections suffered. However, Villacampa, *et al.* has successfully applied this technology to formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue specimens (Villacampa et al., 2020). Although the study showed a significant correlation in the gene expression levels between fresh frozen and FFPE specimens, fewer unique genes and unique molecules were detected from the FFPE specimens (Villacampa et al., 2020).

#### NanoString GeoMx Digital Spatial Profiling

The NanoString GeoMx Digital Spatial Profiling (DSP) technology was commercially launched in the spring of 2019. The DSP workflow is designed to analyze spatial expression of a pre-selected panel of proteins or RNA species within user defined regions of interest (ROIs) in a tissue section (Zollinger et al., 2020). The tissue is first permeabilized to expose the RNA, which is hybridized *in situ* to gene-specific probes containing a photocleavable molecular barcode. Following probe hybridization, the tissue is stained with fluorescently conjugated antibodies to reveal morphology and/or molecular markers of interest. DSP relies on fluorescent markers to visually guide ROI selection. ROIs may take the form of geometric shapes, contoured bands, or irregular masks derived from a fluorescent signal. ROIs can also be tiled to cover the entirety of a tissue specimen. Each ROI is individually exposed to UV light to liberate the photocleavable barcodes from the gene specific probes. The cleaved barcodes are subsequently aspirated and identified with the NanoString nCounter system or by next generation sequencing.

Conceptually, DSP is reminiscent of laser-capture microdissection (LCM), allowing selection of specific regions to be analyzed. However, unlike LCM, DSP does not result in destruction of the tissue. Because this technology directly quantifies individual RNA molecules, up to 200 cells may be required per ROI to clear the limit of detection (Nanostring Technologies, 2019), although highly expressed transcripts have been detected in as few as 21 cells (Merritt et al., 2020). Curated panels of probes available from

NanoString currently enable detection of up to 18,000 genes simultaneously. The probebased chemistry also allows for the detection of small RNA fragments, enabling highconfidence analysis of tissue sections from archival FFPE samples (Reis et al., 2011). Uniquely, DSP does not require specialized slides or tissue mounting procedures.

Uniquely, DSP does not require specialized slides or tissue mounting procedures. Furthermore, the usable area of 14.6 mm x 36.2 mm will allow retrospective use of most tissue specimens mounted on standard microscope slides. It is also notable that gene expression is mapped back to a specific ROI rather than to a precise coordinate within the tissue. This limitation can functionally decrease the spatial resolution as the size of the ROI increases, or if complex ROI shapes are utilized. For applications requiring greater resolution and precision, NanoString has recently announced a new spatial molecular imaging platform that will allow spatial detection of up to 1,000 transcripts within an individual cell (Nanostring Technologies, 2020). The platform, which will also be compatible with FFPE samples, is expected to be available in 2022.

#### Fluorescent In Situ Sequencing

First demonstrated in 2014 and currently being commercialized by ReadCoor, fluorescent in situ sequencing (FISSEQ) involves sequencing-by-ligation of cDNA amplicons which have been crosslinked to the cellular matrix (Lee et al., 2014). The procedure begins with in situ reverse transcription of RNA molecules using random hexamer primers. The resulting cDNA is first crosslinked to the cellular environment, then circularized and amplified by rolling circle amplification. Sequencing-by-ligation is then used to decode up to 30 bases of the amplicon sequence over several rounds of fluorescent probe hybridization. This methodology has been demonstrated to distinguish up to 8,100 distinct RNA entities (Lee et al., 2014). The approach can also be adapted for detection of coding variants and splice variants through the use of targeted primers. Although most extensively validated in cultured cells, the technique has been shown to be compatible with both fresh-frozen and FFPE tissue sections (Lee et al., 2015). In late 2020, ReadCoor was acquired by 10x Genomics, following the announcement of the RC2 product line for the simultaneous detection of DNA, RNA, and protein in a tissue using FISSEQ (ReadCoor Inc., 2020). At the time of this writing, the commercial product has not yet been fully demonstrated and no release date has been set.

#### In Situ Sequencing using padlock probes

In Situ Sequencing (ISS) was first described in 2013 (Ke et al., 2013) in one of the earliest demonstrations of *in situ* spatial transcriptional profiling. The technique utilizes "padlock probes" – single-stranded DNA probes in which the regions of target complementarity are interrupted by an intervening non-specific sequence. Thus, following *in situ* reverse transcription, hybridization of the padlock probe to a cDNA target creates a loop of DNA which can be ligated and amplified by rolling-circle amplification. Sequencing-by-ligation is then employed to determine the sequence of the DNA amplicons. The influence of this strategy is apparent in many subsequently developed techniques, including FISSEQ, described above. However, unlike the untargeted approach of FISSEQ, ISS requires deliberate target selection and probe design. Additionally, ISS can resolve relatively few targets – a recent improvement on the technology called "HybISS" (hybridization-based ISS) was able to resolve 119 targets in fresh-frozen brain tissue (Gyllborg et al., 2020).

Nevertheless, the technology allows for high resolution with high signal-to-noise ratio, and lends itself well to the possibility of automation (Maino et al., 2019). ISS has been commercialized by Cartana A.B., which offered reagent kits and probe panels before being acquired by 10x Genomics in late 2020.

#### CONSIDERATIONS FOR EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Currently, the commercial availability of two end-to-end spatial transcriptional profiling technologies, the 10x Genomics Visium and NanoString DSP, provides greater opportunity for the use of these specific platforms in most research settings. Fundamental differences between the two (Table 1) make each platform better suited for specific experimental applications. The ability to select ROIs for analysis makes DSP ideal for interrogating rare cell populations or comparing transcriptional profiles based on known tissue markers. Thus, the DSP platform has a greater focus on hypothesis testing, providing precise information pertinent to the investigator's interest. On the other hand, no user-defined constraints are provided for data collection on the Visium platform, with a greater focus on untargeted, discovery-driven approaches. Because the Visium platform generates sequencing data for all transcribed genes in a sample, the platform is species agnostic and is suitable for use with any organism provided that a reference genome is available for read mapping. In contrast, the current commercially available panels for the DSP platform are designed against human and mouse genes. Despite these differences, the two platforms can be viewed as complementary to each other.

Prior to experimentation, consultation and/or collaboration with an anatomic pathologist is highly encouraged to ensure that the tissue is of sufficient quality for downstream processing. For instance, tissues with substantial regions of hemorrhage are problematic for the DSP platform because the intrinsic autofluorescence of red blood cells can obscure antibody-specific fluorescence and confound ROI identification. Furthermore, relying on the experience of an anatomic pathologist will allow for a more comprehensive interpretation of the molecular data within the necessary histopathological context. For example, expert pathologic assessment can discern cell aggregates from multinucleated giant cells or identify focal regions of necrosis that may produce high background or decreased barcode or UMI counts.

#### Platform flexibility

The 10X Genomics Visium platform offers a post hoc targeted enrichment protocol in which cDNA molecules of interest are captured from the Visium sequencing library using biotinylated gene-specific oligonucleotide probes and collected using streptavidin beads. After washing, the enriched molecules of interest are amplified and purified, resulting in a targeted library that is fully barcoded and indexed. The molecules are then ready for sequencing using Illumina sequencing technology.

NanoString DSP allows for the addition of custom gene-specific barcoded probes to the assay, enabling the spatially resolved quantification of genes not represented on the commercially available panels. The platform also affords great flexibility in the shapes and quantities of ROIs selected for analysis, allowing for a multitude of investigator-specific

experimental strategies. In addition to antibody-based ROI selection, RNAscope probes can also be used to identify ROIs within the tissue based on gene expression. Finally, as described above, the use of UV illumination to release photocleavable barcodes is a unique feature of the assay that leaves the tissue intact for subsequent histological or molecular analyses if desired.

#### **Cellular resolution**

An important limitation of both the 10x Genomics Visium and NanoString DSP technologies is that the limit of detection ranges from tens to hundreds of cells within a given region. This can prove confounding in a tumor environment in which cancer cells are often directly adjacent to intermixed immune and stromal cells. While there is substantial effort from these companies to improve resolution, alternative, higher resolution strategies have been developed. These include imaging-based strategies, such as seqFISH (Shah et al., 2017) and MER-FISH (Moffitt et al., 2016), which utilize high-resolution microscopy to resolve fluorescently labeled probes hybridized directly to RNA targets. For profiling of large tissue sections, Slide-Seq, a recently developed capture-based strategy, may be more suitable. Slide-seq utilizes a slide coated with uniquely barcoded, 10 µm beads onto which a tissue sample is overlayed (Rodriques et al., 2019). Slide-seq therefore provides a workflow similar to that of the 10x Genomics Visium, but with a smaller feature size that approaches single cell resolution. Thus, experimental designs requiring high-resolution spatial transcriptional profiling may employ a variety of established, albeit not commercially available, techniques.

## SPATIAL DISSECTION OF THE TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT

The solid tumor microenvironment is comprised of various clonal populations of malignant cells, immune cells, non-immune stromal cells, extracellular vesicles, matrix proteins, metabolites, and chemical mediators that function in concert to promote tumor growth and disease progression (Quail and Joyce, 2013). Although bulk RNA-seq and, more recently, scRNA-seq, have provided valuable insight into the molecular underpinnings of the tumor microenvironment across a wide array of malignancies, these methods fail to elucidate the organizational and architectural details of the tumor tissue. On its own, spatial transcriptional profiling addresses this critically important knowledge gap. The importance of spatially resolved gene expression is illustrated in a 2018 study by Berglund et al, in which prostate cancer cells in the center of a tumor were found to be transcriptionally distinct from those at the periphery (Berglund et al., 2018). Notably, the study was able to detect differentially enriched transcriptional pathways promoting altered metabolism, inflammation, cell motility, and cell proliferation within different regions of the tumor (Berglund et al., 2018). As the cells were not histologically distinct, the observed differences would not have been appreciated during routine histopathological examination. In a separate study, spatial transcriptional profiling of the metastatic melanoma microenvironment revealed the coexistence of distinct region-specific melanoma gene expression profiles and demonstrated that histologically defined lymphoid areas adjacent to tumor cells had different transcriptional profiles than distal lymphoid regions within the same tissue (Thrane et al., 2018). Spatial transcriptional profiling of aggressive B-cell lymphoma identified gene

Bassiouni et al.

signatures that discerned dark-zone from light-zone germinal centers (Tripodo et al., 2020). Moreover, the authors demonstrate the prognostic value of these dark-zone and light-zone gene expression signatures.

#### Identifying and localizing transcriptionally distinct cellular populations

Various computational methods have been developed to deconvolute both bulk RNA-seq and scRNA-seq datasets to identify distinct cellular populations (Aran, Hu and Butte, 2017; Newman et al., 2015; Newman et al., 2019; Yoshihara et al., 2013; Abdelaal et al., 2019; Menden et al., 2020). Likewise, over the past several years numerous metagene signatures and pathway analysis algorithms have been developed to extract biologically and clinically relevant information from complex gene expression datasets. The integration and application of these widely adopted tools to spatial transcriptional profiling datasets have provided a more detailed understanding of the complex heterogeneity of tumor tissues. Svedlund et al. utilized ISS to map the regional variation in the expression of intrinsic subtype gene signatures, OncotypeDX recurrence scores, and immune infiltrates in breast cancer specimens (Svedlund et al., 2019). The creation of these spatially-derived "OncoMaps" highlighted the clonal heterogeneity present within and across the tumor specimens analyzed. Deconvolution and pathway analysis of spatial transcriptional profiling data derived from multifocal prostate cancer revealed the distribution and organization of regions enriched in non-immune stromal cells, normal glandular epithelium, prostatic intraepithelial neoplastic glands, carcinoma cells, and immune cells (Berglund et al., 2018).

While useful, the aforementioned cellular deconvolution tools were not designed to identify the multitude of tissue-specific cellular subsets present within a given specimen and thus these tools provide broad generalizable cell type categories. The integration of scRNA-seq and spatial transcriptional profiling has circumvented this limitation by generating contextually relevant tissue-specific cellular gene expression profiles that are directly applicable to the spatial profiling data. Recently a number of methods have been developed to integrate scRNA-seq and spatial transcriptional profiling datasets for the purposes of deconvoluting cellular mixtures. These include RCTD: Robust Cell Type Decomposition (Cable et al., 2020), SPOTlight (Elosua et al., 2020), SpatialDecon (Danaher et al., 2020), JSTA: Joint cell Segmentation and cell Type Annotation (Littman et al., 2020), DSTG: Deconvoluting Spatial Transcriptomics data through Graph-based convolutional networks (Su and Song, 2020), cell2location (Kleshchevnikov et al., 2020), SpaGE: Spatial Gene Enhancement using scRNA-seq (Abdelaal et al., 2020), and stereoscope (Andersson et al., 2020). This integrated approach has proven successful in identifying, characterizing, and localizing rare and/or unique cellular populations within tumor tissues. For example, scRNA-seq identified various subpopulations of carcinoma cells, ductal epithelial cells, immune cells, and fibroblasts in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) tissue and subsequent spatial transcriptional profiling localized these cell populations to distinct regions within the tissue and provided information regarding spatial co-enrichments of immune cell and cancer cells (Moncada et al., 2020). In a zebrafish model of melanoma, an integrated scRNA-seq and spatial transcriptional profiling approach was used to identify and characterize a unique subpopulation of tumor and muscle cells that specifically localized to the tumor-normal interface, suggesting a spatiotemporal transcriptional regulation of genes

involved in melanoma invasion (Hunter et al., 2020). Sharma *et al.* used a combination of scRNA-seq and spatial transcriptional profiling to reveal the similarities between fetal liver and the hepatocellular carcinoma microenvironment and discovered fetal-like subsets of endothelial cells and tumor associated macrophages (Sharma et al., 2020).

#### Spatially resolved interrogation of tumor niches

Tumor tissues are also known to contain specialized cellular niches that promote tumor growth and disease progression (Butler, Kobayashi and Rafii, 2010; Peinado et al., 2017; Plaks, Kong and Werb, 2015). Spatial transcriptional profiling is well suited to interrogate different niche compartments and can provide a great deal of information regarding the cellular composition, transcriptional programs, specific function, and location of these niches within the tissue. Employing a multiparametric approach consisting of scRNA-seq, spatial transcriptional profiling, and MIBI, Ji *et al.* identified a unique tumor-specific keratinocyte population residing in an immunosuppressive fibrovascular niche at the leading edge of cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas (Ji et al., 2020). The authors further demonstrate that the tumor-specific keratinocytes may function to increase the malignant potential of adjacent normal cells and contribute to tumor progression.

Understanding the function of the bone marrow niche and leveraging that information to investigate metastatic disease is an active area of research with significant biological and clinical implications. scRNA-seq profiling of resident cells isolated by laser-capture microdissection of spatially isolated 1.45mm<sup>2</sup> regions of murine bone marrow revealed that the bone marrow is a heterogeneous collection of unique niches whose organization is dictated by regionally-restricted differential cytokine expression (Baccin et al., 2020). While this study did not utilize the spatial transcriptional profiling platforms discussed in this review, the findings clearly demonstrate the importance of such an approach in tumor niche biology. The increased spatial resolution afforded by technologies such as 10X Genomics Visium and NanoString DSP positions these, and other high-resolution spatial transcriptional profiling platforms, as the most obvious platforms to utilize for detailed investigations into tumor niches.

#### Relationship between microenvironment and treatment response

The ability to confidently identify tumor clones and interrogate tumor-specific cellular processes can be very beneficial to determining therapeutic vulnerabilities. In an analysis of a prostate tumor, Wang *et al.* applied spatial transcriptional profiling to assess metabolic networks, which often demonstrate spatial variability due to gradients of nutrients and metabolites (Wang, Ma and Ruzzo, 2020). *In silico* modeling of the data then identified metabolic vulnerabilities that could be targeted by known small molecule compounds. In cases for which pre- and post- treatment samples are available, spatial transcriptional profiling can provide unique insight into treatment response. A recent study discovered that treating patients with prostate cancer using high dose-rate brachytherapy resulted in region-specific responses in the tumors, hallmarked by the increased expression of genes associated with immune activation and inflammation (Keam et al., 2020). In an excellent demonstration of the potential of spatial transcriptional profiling, the authors show that the observed response was driven specifically by tumor-adjacent stroma and made key observations about

the densities of, and distances between, responding immune cell subsets (Keam et al., 2020). In comparing PDAC specimens from treatment naive versus neoadjuvant-treated patients, Hwang *et al.* used an integrated approach to highlight the phenotypic plasticity of the tumor cells in response to therapy and showed that distinct immune niches were differentially associated with basal-like versus classical-like tumor cells (Hwang et al., 2020).

#### Spatially resolved T-cell clonality

Understanding clonal dynamics of T-cells in both the intratumoral and peritumoral microenvironment has both biological and clinical significance. Recently Tu *et al.* described a capture-based enrichment protocol to recover T-cell receptor (TCR) transcripts from barcoded 3' scRNA-seq libraries and subsequently performed targeted TCR sequencing to investigate T-cell clonality (Tu et al., 2019). The method described is conceptually similar to the 10x Genomics Visium post hoc targeted enrichment protocol. This suggests that, with assay optimization and accessibility to high quality fresh frozen tissues from which cDNA molecules of sufficient length can be reverse transcribed, spatially-resolved TCR repertoire analysis may indeed be achievable. This approach is not applicable to FFPE specimens due to the decreased nucleic acid integrity associated with fixation and paraffin embedding. Given the similarities between TCR and B-cell receptor (BCR) sequencing as well to spatially assess both T-cell and B-cell clonality. The implications of this approach are profound, especially as it relates to immuno-oncology.

# SPATIAL RESOLUTION OF FUSION GENES AND ALTERNATIVELY SPLICED TRANSCRIPTS

Oncogenic fusion genes are observed in upwards of 25% of soft-tissue tumors (Bridge, 2014) as well as in various other tumor types including prostate carcinoma (ex: *TMPRSS2-ERG*) (Tomlins et al., 2005) and lung cancer (ex: *EML4-ALK*) (Soda et al., 2007). Differences in the relative expression levels of fusion transcripts can impose various transcriptional programs and cellular functions that act in both a cell autonomous and noncell autonomous fashion. For instance, the abundance of the *EWSR1-FL11* oncogenic fusion gene, the product of the diagnostic translocation in Ewing sarcoma, differentially regulates various processes in Ewing sarcoma cells including stemness, differentiation, motility, proliferation, and cell-matrix interactions, and thus contributes to the cellular heterogeneity of this disease (Franzetti et al., 2017). Although molecular cytogenetics has been used for decades to identify translocations at single cell resolution, these approaches are incapable of simultaneously generating spatially resolved expression profiles for 1000's of genes.

Friedrich *et al.* developed a computational method, STfusion, to infer the expression alternatively spliced fusion transcripts from data generated on the 10X Genomics Visium platform (Friedrich and Sonnhammer, 2020). Using the Berglund dataset (Berglund et al., 2018), the authors revealed the spatial distribution of *SLC45A3-ELK4* chimeric transcripts in prostate cancer tissues (Friedrich and Sonnhammer, 2020). Because the algorithm works by quantifying imbalances in the abundance of 3' sequencing reads from the fusion partners

in question, it is plausible that this tool can also be leveraged to spatially resolve the expression of oncogenic fusion genes.

The Cancer Transcriptome Atlas (CTA) assay for the NanoString DSP platform relies on counting barcoded probes that directly hybridize along the length of a given mRNA molecule with an average of 5 probes per gene product. The counts of each individual probe for a given gene product are then combined to generate an expression value. By quantifying the representation of each individual barcoded probe corresponding to *PTEN*, Merritt *et al.* discovered probe dropout in CCRF-CEM cells, and interpreted the data as evidence of alternative splicing (Merritt et al., 2020). CCRF-CEM cells are a *PTEN* null line and thus the observed probe dropout may reflect alternative splicing or a different deleterious aberration, illustrating that caution must be exercised when interpreting these indirect inferences. Furthermore, because this assay does not generate actual sequence data, inferred fusions would require confirmation via an independent method such as targeted amplicon sequencing, digital droplet PCR, or fluorescent *in situ* hybridization. Moreover, inferring deleterious mutations in this manner is specific to the CTA assay as the more comprehensive Whole Transcriptome Assay is designed to query approximately 18,000 genes using a single barcoded probe per gene.

As mentioned above, the DSP platform allows for flexibility in experimental design which can be exploited to support the spatially resolved quantification of fusion genes and alternatively spliced transcripts. Conceptually, the addition of exon-specific barcoded hybridization probes that both flank and physically span the junction(s) in question should be sufficient to detect both fusions and alternatively spliced transcripts *in situ*. In fact, this approach has been used to successfully detect the expression of fusion genes in FFPE material using earlier generations of the NanoString gene expression technology (Guerreiro Stucklin et al., 2019; Reguart et al., 2017). However, at the time of this writing, there is a lack of available published or preprint data to objectively evaluate the efficacy and reproducibility of applying such an approach to spatial transcriptional profiling. Nonetheless, given the immense amount of interest in this technology, it is anticipated that such datasets will emerge in the near future.

# INFERRING COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS FROM SPATIAL TRANSCRIPTIONAL PROFILING DATA

There are various informatic methods to infer DNA copy number alterations from scRNAseq datasets such as inferCNV (Tirosh et al., 2016), HoneyBADGER (Fan et al., 2018), sciCNV (Mahdipour-Shirayeh et al., 2020), and CACTUS (Darvish Shafighi et al., 2020). STARCH: Spatial Transcriptomics Algorithm Reconstructing Copy-number Heterogeneity, is a newly developed method designed to infer copy number alterations from spatial transcriptional profiling data (Elyanow et al., 2020). Both inferCNV and STARCH have demonstrated success in revealing copy number alterations (CNA) from simulated and experimentally derived spatial profiling data (Elyanow et al., 2020). Similar to the implementation of cellular deconvolution tools for use with spatial data, this study demonstrates that the existing copy number analysis tools designed for scRNA-seq datasets could potentially be used to reveal CNAs within their spatial context.

## LOOKING FORWARD

While spatial transcriptional profiling is still in its infancy, the field is already looking past this approach as a standalone methodology. The era of integrated spatial multi-omics is quickly being ushered in as the number of studies combining spatial transcriptional profiling with scRNA-seq, and/or highly multiplexed spatial proteomic profiling continues to increase. Although not covered in this review, the NanoString DSP platform can also be used for highly multiplexed immunohistochemistry, enabling the quantitative spatially resolved assessment of up to ~100 molecularly barcoded antibodies on a single tissue. Utilizing both the protein and RNA assays on serial sections will allow for an integrated multi-omic approach, albeit on separate sections. The development of a truly integrated multi-omic platform in which different molecular species are assayed in a single specimen may soon become a reality with the announcement of ReadCoor's RC2 spatial profiling platform. The multi-omic FISSEQ-based platform promises high-resolution spatial profiling of DNA, RNA, and protein within a single sample (ReadCoor Inc., 2020). In addition, a recent publication debuted a spatially resolved microRNA profiling method, allowing researchers to look beyond the coding transcriptome and begin to investigate transcriptional regulation in situ (Nagarajan et al., 2020).

As this convergence of technologies and methodologies begins to take place, new computational tools will need to be developed to harmonize these data across the multiple platforms to allow for integrated data analysis and visualization. Such integration pushes the informatic needs of the research community well beyond the standardized data processing pipelines of a commercial vendor. Rather than borrowing tools from single-cell analysis, true multi-omic integration will require the development of informatic tools that include image analysis and machine learning to better understand complex tumor biology.

Nonetheless, systematic head-to-head comparisons will have to be conducted to objectively identify the relative strengths, weakness, and biases of any individual platform and computational method. It is crucial that a series of benchmark datasets and best practices are established to facilitate reproducible and transparent evaluation of each computational method. To this end, a searchable database complete with raw data, processed data, associated clinical and experimental annotations, and image overlays will need to be created and managed to increase the utility of these data and analyses to the broader cancer research community.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We apologize to our colleagues whose contributions to this rapidly advancing field we were unable to include in this review due to size limitations. This work was supported by grant U54CA233465 from the National Cancer Institute.

# REFERENCES

10x Genomics (2020) Methanol Fixation, Immunofluorescence Staining & Imaging for Visium Spatial Protocols Pleasanton, CA, USA: 10x Genomics [Online protocol]. Available at: https:// assets.ctfassets.net/an68im79xiti/3UOHEY1Iboo5rzA0aOJ4Go/ 2151b08056c90ca54f085b1b2ab64ef2/

CG000312\_DemonstratedProtocol\_MethanolFixationandIFStaining\_RevB.pdf (Accessed: Nov 20, 2020).

- Abdelaal T, Michielsen L, Cats D, Hoogduin D, Mei H, Reinders MJT and Mahfouz A (2019) 'A comparison of automatic cell identification methods for single-cell RNA sequencing data', Genome Biol, 20(1), pp. 194. [PubMed: 31500660]
- Abdelaal T, Mourragui S, Mahfouz A and Reinders MJT (2020) 'SpaGE: Spatial Gene Enhancement using scRNA-seq', Nucleic Acids Res, 48(18), pp. e107. [PubMed: 32955565]
- Alevizos I, Mahadevappa M, Zhang X, Ohyama H, Kohno Y, Posner M, Gallagher GT, Varvares M, Cohen D, Kim D, Kent R, Donoff RB, Todd R, Yung CM, Warrington JA and Wong DT (2001)
  'Oral cancer in vivo gene expression profiling assisted by laser capture microdissection and microarray analysis', Oncogene, 20(43), pp. 6196–204. [PubMed: 11593428]
- Andersson A, Bergenstråhle J, Asp M, Bergenstråhle L, Jurek A, Fernández Navarro J and Lundeberg J (2020) 'Single-cell and spatial transcriptomics enables probabilistic inference of cell type topography', Commun Biol, 3(1), pp. 565. [PubMed: 33037292]
- Angelo M, Bendall SC, Finck R, Hale MB, Hitzman C, Borowsky AD, Levenson RM, Lowe JB, Liu SD, Zhao S, Natkunam Y and Nolan GP (2014) 'Multiplexed ion beam imaging of human breast tumors', Nat Med, 20(4), pp. 436–42. [PubMed: 24584119]
- Aran D, Hu Z and Butte AJ (2017) 'xCell: digitally portraying the tissue cellular heterogeneity landscape', Genome Biol, 18(1), pp. 220. [PubMed: 29141660]
- Asp M, Bergenstråhle J and Lundeberg J (2020) 'Spatially Resolved Transcriptomes-Next Generation Tools for Tissue Exploration', Bioessays, 42(10), pp. e1900221. [PubMed: 32363691]
- Baccin C, Al-Sabah J, Velten L, Helbling PM, Grünschläger F, Hernández-Malmierca P, Nombela-Arrieta C, Steinmetz LM, Trumpp A and Haas S (2020) 'Combined single-cell and spatial transcriptomics reveal the molecular, cellular and spatial bone marrow niche organization', Nat Cell Biol, 22(1), pp. 38–48. [PubMed: 31871321]
- Berglund E, Maaskola J, Schultz N, Friedrich S, Marklund M, Bergenstråhle J, Tarish F, Tanoglidi A, Vickovic S, Larsson L, Salmen F, Ogris C, Wallenborg K, Lagergren J, Stahl P, Sonnhammer E, Helleday T and Lundeberg J (2018) 'Spatial maps of prostate cancer transcriptomes reveal an unexplored landscape of heterogeneity', Nat Commun, 9(1), pp. 2419. [PubMed: 29925878]
- Bridge JA (2014) 'The role of cytogenetics and molecular diagnostics in the diagnosis of soft-tissue tumors', Mod Pathol, 27 Suppl 1, pp. S80–97. [PubMed: 24384855]
- Burgess DJ (2019) 'Spatial transcriptomics coming of age', Nat Rev Genet, 20(6), pp. 317. [PubMed: 30980030]
- Butler JM, Kobayashi H and Rafii S (2010) 'Instructive role of the vascular niche in promoting tumour growth and tissue repair by angiocrine factors', Nat Rev Cancer, 10(2), pp. 138–46. [PubMed: 20094048]
- Cable DM, Murray E, Zou LS, Goeva A, Macosko EZ, Chen F and Irizarry RA (2020) 'Robust decomposition of cell type mixtures in spatial transcriptomics', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.05.07.082750.
- Danaher P, Kim Y, Nelson B, Griswold M, Yang Z, Piazza E and Beechem JM (2020) 'Advances in mixed cell deconvolution enable quantification of cell types in spatially-resolved gene expression data', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.08.04.235168.
- Darvish Shafighi S, Kiełbasa SM, Sepúlveda-Yáñez J, Monajemi R, Cats D, Mei H, Menafra R, Kloet S, Veelken H, van Bergen CAM and Szczurek E (2020) 'CACTUS: integrating clonal architecture with genomic clustering and transcriptome profiling of single tumor cells', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.06.05.134452.
- Elosua M, Nieto P, Mereu E, Gut I and Heyn H (2020) 'SPOTlight: Seeded NMF regression to Deconvolute Spatial Transcriptomics Spots with Single-Cell Transcriptomes', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.06.03.131334.

- Elyanow R, Zeira R, Land M and Raphael B (2020) 'STARCH: Copy number and clone inference from spatial transcriptomics data', Phys Biol.
- Fan J, Lee HO, Lee S, Ryu DE, Xue C, Kim SJ, Kim K, Barkas N, Park PJ, Park WY and Kharchenko PV (2018) 'Linking transcriptional and genetic tumor heterogeneity through allele analysis of single-cell RNA-seq data', Genome Res, 28(8), pp. 1217–1227. [PubMed: 29898899]
- Franzetti GA, Laud-Duval K, van der Ent W, Brisac A, Irondelle M, Aubert S, Dirksen U, Bouvier C, de Pinieux G, Snaar-Jagalska E, Chavrier P and Delattre O (2017) 'Cell-to-cell heterogeneity of EWSR1-FLI1 activity determines proliferation/migration choices in Ewing sarcoma cells', Oncogene, 36(25), pp. 3505–3514. [PubMed: 28135250]
- Friedrich S and Sonnhammer ELL (2020) 'Fusion transcript detection using spatial transcriptomics', BMC Med Genomics, 13(1), pp. 110. [PubMed: 32753032]
- Giesen C, Wang HA, Schapiro D, Zivanovic N, Jacobs A, Hattendorf B, Schuffler PJ, Grolimund D, Buhmann JM, Brandt S, Varga Z, Wild PJ, Gunther D and Bodenmiller B (2014) 'Highly multiplexed imaging of tumor tissues with subcellular resolution by mass cytometry', Nat Methods, 11(4), pp. 417–22. [PubMed: 24584193]
- Guerreiro Stucklin AS, Ryall S, Fukuoka K, Zapotocky M, Lassaletta A, Li C, Bridge T, Kim B, Arnoldo A, Kowalski PE, Zhong Y, Johnson M, Ramani AK, Siddaway R, Nobre LF, de Antonellis P, Dunham C, Cheng S, Boue DR, Finlay JL, Coven SL, de Prada I, Perez-Somarriba M, Faria CC, Grotzer MA, Rushing E, Sumerauer D, Zamecnik J, Krskova L, Garcia Ariza M, Cruz O, Morales La Madrid A, Solano P, Terashima K, Nakano Y, Ichimura K, Nagane M, Sakamoto H, Gil-da-Costa MJ, Silva R, Johnston DL, Michaud J, Wilson B, van Landeghem FKH, Oviedo A, McNeely PD, Crooks B, Fried I, Zhukova N, Hansford JR, Nageswararao A, Garzia L, Shago M, Brudno M, Irwin MS, Bartels U, Ramaswamy V, Bouffet E, Taylor MD, Tabori U and Hawkins C (2019) 'Alterations in ALK/ROS1/NTRK/MET drive a group of infantile hemispheric gliomas', Nat Commun, 10(1), pp. 4343. [PubMed: 31554817]
- Gyllborg D, Langseth CM, Qian X, Choi E, Salas SM, Hilscher MM, Lein ES and Nilsson M (2020) 'Hybridization-based in situ sequencing (HybISS) for spatially resolved transcriptomics in human and mouse brain tissue', Nucleic Acids Res, 48(19), pp. e112. [PubMed: 32990747]
- Hunter MV, Moncada R, Weiss JM, Yanai I and White RM (2020) 'Spatial transcriptomics reveals the architecture of the tumor/microenvironment interface', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.11.05.368753.
- Hwang WL, Jagadeesh KA, Guo JA, Hoffman HI, Yadollahpour P, Mohan R, Drokhlyansky E, Van Wittenberghe N, Ashenberg O, Farhi S, Schapiro D, Reeves J, Zollinger DR, Eng G, Schenkel JM, Freed-Pastor WA, Rodrigues C, Gould J, Lambden C, Porter C, Tsankov A, Dionne D, Abbondanza D, Waldman J, Cuoco M, Nguyen L, Delorey T, Phillips D, Ciprani D, Kern M, Mehta A, Fuhrman K, Fropf R, Beechem J, Loeffler JS, Ryan DP, Weekes CD, Ting DT, Ferrone CR, Wo JY, Hong TS, Aguirre AJ, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Mino-Kenudson M, Castillo C. F.-d., Liss AS, Jacks T and Regev A (2020) 'Single-nucleus and spatial transcriptomics of archival pancreatic cancer reveals multi-compartment reprogramming after neoadjuvant treatment', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.08.25.267336.
- Ji AL, Rubin AJ, Thrane K, Jiang S, Reynolds DL, Meyers RM, Guo MG, George BM, Mollbrink A, Bergenstråhle J, Larsson L, Bai Y, Zhu B, Bhaduri A, Meyers JM, Rovira-Clavé X, Hollmig ST, Aasi SZ, Nolan GP, Lundeberg J and Khavari PA (2020) 'Multimodal Analysis of Composition and Spatial Architecture in Human Squamous Cell Carcinoma', Cell, 182(6), pp. 1661–1662. [PubMed: 32946785]
- Ke R, Mignardi M, Pacureanu A, Svedlund J, Botling J, Wahlby C and Nilsson M (2013) 'In situ sequencing for RNA analysis in preserved tissue and cells', Nat Methods, 10(9), pp. 857–60. [PubMed: 23852452]
- Keam SP, Halse H, Nguyen T, Wang M, Van Kooten Losio N, Mitchell C, Caramia F, Byrne DJ, Haupt S, Ryland G, Darcy PK, Sandhu S, Blombery P, Haupt Y, Williams SG and Neeson PJ (2020)
  'High dose-rate brachytherapy of localized prostate cancer converts tumors from cold to hot', J Immunother Cancer, 8(1).
- Kleshchevnikov V, Shmatko A, Dann E, Aivazidis A, King HW, Li T, Lomakin A, Kedlian V, Jain MS, Park JS, Ramona L, Tuck E, Arutyunyan A, Vento-Tormo R, Gerstung M, James L, Stegle O and Bayraktar OA (2020) 'Comprehensive mapping of tissue cell architecture via integrated single cell and spatial transcriptomics', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.11.15.378125.

- Lee JH, Daugharthy ER, Scheiman J, Kalhor R, Ferrante TC, Terry R, Turczyk BM, Yang JL, Lee HS, Aach J, Zhang K and Church GM (2015) 'Fluorescent in situ sequencing (FISSEQ) of RNA for gene expression profiling in intact cells and tissues', Nat Protoc, 10(3), pp. 442–58. [PubMed: 25675209]
- Lee JH, Daugharthy ER, Scheiman J, Kalhor R, Yang JL, Ferrante TC, Terry R, Jeanty SS, Li C, Amamoto R, Peters DT, Turczyk BM, Marblestone AH, Inverso SA, Bernard A, Mali P, Rios X, Aach J and Church GM (2014) 'Highly multiplexed subcellular RNA sequencing in situ', Science, 343(6177), pp. 1360–3. [PubMed: 24578530]
- Littman R, Hemminger Z, Foreman R, Arneson D, Zhang G, Gómez-Pinilla F, Yang X and Wollman R (2020) 'JSTA: joint cell segmentation and cell type annotation for spatial transcriptomics', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.09.18.304147.
- Mahdipour-Shirayeh A, Erdmann N, Leung-Hagesteijn C and Tiedemann RE (2020) 'sciCNV: Highthroughput paired profiling of transcriptomes and DNA copy number variations at single cell resolution', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.02.10.942607.
- Maino N, Hauling T, Cappi G, Madaboosi N, Dupouy DG and Nilsson M (2019) 'A microfluidic platform towards automated multiplexed in situ sequencing', Sci Rep, 9(1), pp. 3542. [PubMed: 30837556]
- Menden K, Marouf M, Oller S, Dalmia A, Magruder DS, Kloiber K, Heutink P and Bonn S (2020) 'Deep learning-based cell composition analysis from tissue expression profiles', Sci Adv, 6(30), pp. eaba2619. [PubMed: 32832661]
- Merritt CR, Ong GT, Church SE, Barker K, Danaher P, Geiss G, Hoang M, Jung J, Liang Y, McKay-Fleisch J, Nguyen K, Norgaard Z, Sorg K, Sprague I, Warren C, Warren S, Webster PJ, Zhou Z, Zollinger DR, Dunaway DL, Mills GB and Beechem JM (2020) 'Multiplex digital spatial profiling of proteins and RNA in fixed tissue', Nat Biotechnol, 38(5), pp. 586–599. [PubMed: 32393914]
- Moffitt JR, Hao J, Bambah-Mukku D, Lu T, Dulac C and Zhuang X (2016) 'High-performance multiplexed fluorescence in situ hybridization in culture and tissue with matrix imprinting and clearing', Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 113(50), pp. 14456–14461. [PubMed: 27911841]
- Moncada R, Barkley D, Wagner F, Chiodin M, Devlin JC, Baron M, Hajdu CH, Simeone DM and Yanai I (2020) 'Integrating microarray-based spatial transcriptomics and single-cell RNA-seq reveals tissue architecture in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas', Nat Biotechnol, 38(3), pp. 333– 342. [PubMed: 31932730]
- Nagarajan MB, Tentori AM, Zhang WC, Slack FJ and Doyle PS (2020) 'Spatially resolved and multiplexed MicroRNA quantification from tissue using nanoliter well arrays', Microsyst Nanoeng, 6, pp. 51. [PubMed: 32419951]
- Nanostring Technologies (2019) GeoMx<sup>™</sup> DSP Experimental Design Guideline Seattle, WA, USA: Nanostring. Available at: https://www.nanostring.com/download\_file/view/2325/8245 (Accessed: Nov 21, 2020).
- Nanostring Technologies 2020. NanoString Unveils Spatial Molecular Imaging Platform, Complementing GeoMx DSP to Provide Compelling Product Roadmap for Spatial Biology. Seattle, WA, USA: NanoString Technologies.
- Newman AM, Liu CL, Green MR, Gentles AJ, Feng W, Xu Y, Hoang CD, Diehn M and Alizadeh AA (2015) 'Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles', Nat Methods, 12(5), pp. 453–7. [PubMed: 25822800]
- Newman AM, Steen CB, Liu CL, Gentles AJ, Chaudhuri AA, Scherer F, Khodadoust MS, Esfahani MS, Luca BA, Steiner D, Diehn M and Alizadeh AA (2019) 'Determining cell type abundance and expression from bulk tissues with digital cytometry', Nat Biotechnol, 37(7), pp. 773–782. [PubMed: 31061481]
- Peinado H, Zhang H, Matei IR, Costa-Silva B, Hoshino A, Rodrigues G, Psaila B, Kaplan RN, Bromberg JF, Kang Y, Bissell MJ, Cox TR, Giaccia AJ, Erler JT, Hiratsuka S, Ghajar CM and Lyden D (2017) 'Pre-metastatic niches: organ-specific homes for metastases', Nat Rev Cancer, 17(5), pp. 302–317. [PubMed: 28303905]
- Plaks V, Kong N and Werb Z (2015) 'The cancer stem cell niche: how essential is the niche in regulating stemness of tumor cells?', Cell Stem Cell, 16(3), pp. 225–38. [PubMed: 25748930]

- Quail DF and Joyce JA (2013) 'Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and metastasis', Nat Med, 19(11), pp. 1423–37. [PubMed: 24202395]
- ReadCoor Inc. 2020. ReadCoor, Inc. Unveils True Spatial Sequencing Platform to Drive Groundbreaking Insights into Immuno-oncology, Neuroscience, and Infectious Disease. Cambridge, MA, USA: ReadCoor, Inc. .
- Reguart N, Teixidó C, Giménez-Capitán A, Paré L, Galván P, Viteri S, Rodríguez S, Peg V, Aldeguer E, Viñolas N, Remon J, Karachaliou N, Conde E, Lopez-Rios F, Nadal E, Merkelbach-Bruse S, Büttner R, Rosell R, Molina-Vila MA and Prat A (2017) 'Identification of ALK, ROS1, and RET Fusions by a Multiplexed mRNA-Based Assay in Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded Samples from Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Patients', Clin Chem, 63(3), pp. 751–760. [PubMed: 28073897]
- Reis PP, Waldron L, Goswami RS, Xu W, Xuan Y, Perez-Ordonez B, Gullane P, Irish J, Jurisica I and Kamel-Reid S (2011) 'mRNA transcript quantification in archival samples using multiplexed, color-coded probes', BMC Biotechnol, 11, pp. 46. [PubMed: 21549012]
- Rodriques SG, Stickels RR, Goeva A, Martin CA, Murray E, Vanderburg CR, Welch J, Chen LM, Chen F and Macosko EZ (2019) 'Slide-seq: A scalable technology for measuring genome-wide expression at high spatial resolution', Science, 363(6434), pp. 1463–1467. [PubMed: 30923225]
- Shah S, Lubeck E, Zhou W and Cai L (2017) 'seqFISH Accurately Detects Transcripts in Single Cells and Reveals Robust Spatial Organization in the Hippocampus', Neuron, 94(4), pp. 752–758.e1. [PubMed: 28521130]
- Sharma A, Seow JJW, Dutertre CA, Pai R, Bleriot C, Mishra A, Wong RMM, Singh GSN, Sudhagar S, Khalilnezhad S, Erdal S, Teo HM, Khalilnezhad A, Chakarov S, Lim TKH, Fui ACY, Chieh AKW, Chung CP, Bonney GK, Goh BK, Chan JKY, Chow PKH, Ginhoux F and DasGupta R (2020) 'Onco-fetal Reprogramming of Endothelial Cells Drives Immunosuppressive Macrophages in Hepatocellular Carcinoma', Cell, 183(2), pp. 377–394 e21. [PubMed: 32976798]
- Soda M, Choi YL, Enomoto M, Takada S, Yamashita Y, Ishikawa S, Fujiwara S, Watanabe H, Kurashina K, Hatanaka H, Bando M, Ohno S, Ishikawa Y, Aburatani H, Niki T, Sohara Y, Sugiyama Y and Mano H (2007) 'Identification of the transforming EML4-ALK fusion gene in non-small-cell lung cancer', Nature, 448(7153), pp. 561–6. [PubMed: 17625570]
- Sriuranpong V, Mutirangura A, Gillespie JW, Patel V, Amornphimoltham P, Molinolo AA, Kerekhanjanarong V, Supanakorn S, Supiyaphun P, Rangdaeng S, Voravud N and Gutkind JS (2004) 'Global gene expression profile of nasopharyngeal carcinoma by laser capture microdissection and complementary DNA microarrays', Clin Cancer Res, 10(15), pp. 4944–58. [PubMed: 15297395]
- Stahl PL, Salmen F, Vickovic S, Lundmark A, Navarro JF, Magnusson J, Giacomello S, Asp M, Westholm JO, Huss M, Mollbrink A, Linnarsson S, Codeluppi S, Borg A, Ponten F, Costea PI, Sahlen P, Mulder J, Bergmann O, Lundeberg J and Frisen J (2016) 'Visualization and analysis of gene expression in tissue sections by spatial transcriptomics', Science, 353(6294), pp. 78–82. [PubMed: 27365449]
- Su J and Song Q (2020) 'DSTG: Deconvoluting Spatial Transcriptomics Data through Graph-based Artificial Intelligence', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.10.20.347195.
- Svedlund J, Strell C, Qian X, Zilkens KJC, Tobin NP, Bergh J, Sieuwerts AM and Nilsson M (2019) 'Generation of in situ sequencing based OncoMaps to spatially resolve gene expression profiles of diagnostic and prognostic markers in breast cancer', EBioMedicine, 48, pp. 212–223. [PubMed: 31526717]
- Thrane K, Eriksson H, Maaskola J, Hansson J and Lundeberg J (2018) 'Spatially Resolved Transcriptomics Enables Dissection of Genetic Heterogeneity in Stage III Cutaneous Malignant Melanoma', Cancer Res, 78(20), pp. 5970–5979. [PubMed: 30154148]
- Tirosh I, Izar B, Prakadan SM, Wadsworth MH, Treacy D, Trombetta JJ, Rotem A, Rodman C, Lian C, Murphy G, Fallahi-Sichani M, Dutton-Regester K, Lin JR, Cohen O, Shah P, Lu D, Genshaft AS, Hughes TK, Ziegler CG, Kazer SW, Gaillard A, Kolb KE, Villani AC, Johannessen CM, Andreev AY, Van Allen EM, Bertagnolli M, Sorger PK, Sullivan RJ, Flaherty KT, Frederick DT, Jané-Valbuena J, Yoon CH, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Shalek AK, Regev A and Garraway LA (2016) 'Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq', Science, 352(6282), pp. 189–96. [PubMed: 27124452]

- Tomlins SA, Rhodes DR, Perner S, Dhanasekaran SM, Mehra R, Sun XW, Varambally S, Cao X, Tchinda J, Kuefer R, Lee C, Montie JE, Shah RB, Pienta KJ, Rubin MA and Chinnaiyan AM (2005) 'Recurrent fusion of TMPRSS2 and ETS transcription factor genes in prostate cancer', Science, 310(5748), pp. 644–8. [PubMed: 16254181]
- Tripodo C, Zanardi F, Iannelli F, Mazzara S, Vegliante M, Morello G, Di Napoli A, Mangogna A, Facchetti F, Sangaletti S, Chiodoni C, VanShoiack A, Jeyasekharan AD, Casola S, Colombo MP, Ponzoni M and Pileri SA (2020) 'A Spatially Resolved Dark-versus Light-Zone Microenvironment Signature Subdivides Germinal Center-Related Aggressive B Cell Lymphomas', iScience, 23(10), pp. 101562. [PubMed: 33083730]
- Tsujikawa T, Kumar S, Borkar RN, Azimi V, Thibault G, Chang YH, Balter A, Kawashima R, Choe G, Sauer D, El Rassi E, Clayburgh DR, Kulesz-Martin MF, Lutz ER, Zheng L, Jaffee EM, Leyshock P, Margolin AA, Mori M, Gray JW, Flint PW and Coussens LM (2017) 'Quantitative Multiplex Immunohistochemistry Reveals Myeloid-Inflamed Tumor-Immune Complexity Associated with Poor Prognosis', Cell Rep, 19(1), pp. 203–217. [PubMed: 28380359]
- Tu AA, Gierahn TM, Monian B, Morgan DM, Mehta NK, Ruiter B, Shreffler WG, Shalek AK and Love JC (2019) 'TCR sequencing paired with massively parallel 3' RNA-seq reveals clonotypic T cell signatures', Nat Immunol, 20(12), pp. 1692–1699. [PubMed: 31745340]
- Vickovic S, Eraslan G, Salmén F, Klughammer J, Stenbeck L, Schapiro D, Äijö T, Bonneau R, Bergenstråhle L, Navarro JF, Gould J, Griffin GK, Borg Å, Ronaghi M, Frisén J, Lundeberg J, Regev A and Ståhl PL (2019) 'High-definition spatial transcriptomics for in situ tissue profiling', Nat Methods, 16(10), pp. 987–990. [PubMed: 31501547]
- Villacampa EG, Larsson L, Kvastad L, Andersson A, Carlson J and Lundeberg J (2020) 'Genome-wide Spatial Expression Profiling in FFPE Tissues', bioRxiv, pp. 2020.07.24.219758.
- Wang X, Allen WE, Wright MA, Sylwestrak EL, Samusik N, Vesuna S, Evans K, Liu C, Ramakrishnan C, Liu J, Nolan GP, Bava FA and Deisseroth K (2018) 'Three-dimensional intacttissue sequencing of single-cell transcriptional states', Science, 361(6400).
- Wang Y, Ma S and Ruzzo WL (2020) 'Spatial modeling of prostate cancer metabolic gene expression reveals extensive heterogeneity and selective vulnerabilities', Sci Rep, 10(1), pp. 3490. [PubMed: 32103057]
- Yoshihara K, Shahmoradgoli M, Martinez E, Vegesna R, Kim H, Torres-Garcia W, Trevino V, Shen H, Laird PW, Levine DA, Carter SL, Getz G, Stemke-Hale K, Mills GB and Verhaak RG (2013) 'Inferring tumour purity and stromal and immune cell admixture from expression data', Nat Commun, 4, pp. 2612. [PubMed: 24113773]
- Zollinger DR, Lingle SE, Sorg K, Beechem JM and Merritt CR (2020) 'GeoMx<sup>™</sup> RNA Assay: High Multiplex, Digital, Spatial Analysis of RNA in FFPE Tissue', Methods Mol Biol, 2148, pp. 331–345. [PubMed: 32394392]

Spatial transcriptional profiling combines gene expression data with geographic information, allowing for a more thorough and comprehensive assessment of cells within their tissue context. This review discusses the fundamentals of spatial transcriptional profiling technology and the unique applicability and utility of this approach to cancer research.

#### Table 1.

#### Comparison of commercially available spatial transcriptional profiling platforms

	10x Genomics Visium	Nanostring GeoMx DSP
Tissue compatibility	Best compatibility with fresh-frozen	Fresh-frozen and FFPE
RNA quality	RNA Integrity Number (RIN) 7 required	No requirement
Tissue preparation	Mounting on specialized Gene Expression Slide	Mounting on standard microscope slide
Tissue size	Maximum of 6.5 mm x 6.5 mm per capture area	Maximum of 14.6 mm x 36.2 mm
Geographic bounds of detection	Feature-based detection within full capture area	User-defined regions of interest (ROIs)
Cellular resolution	Approx. 10 cells/feature	Approx. 20-200 cells/ROI
Direct RNA detection	No – cDNA amplification required	Yes
Concurrent protein detection	Yes – up to 3 multiplexed antibodies	Yes – up to 3 multiplexed antibodies
Concurrent H&E staining	Yes	No
Amount of data generated	Whole coding transcriptome	Panel-based detection
Type of RNA captured	Poly-adenylated RNA only	Any RNA to which a probe can be designed
Instruments required	Fluorescent and brightfield imaging system; Illumina NGS platform	NanoString GeoMx Digital Spatial Profiler; NanoString nCounter or Illumina NGS platform
Sequencing depth required	Min. of 50k read pairs per spot covered by tissue	No NGS required if using nCounter instrument