RESEARCH Open Access

Risk factors associated with physician trainee concern over missed educational opportunities during the COVID-19 pandemic



Sunny S. Lou¹, Charles W. Goss², Bradley A. Evanoff³, Jennifer G. Duncan⁴ and Thomas Kannampallil^{1,5*}

Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in a transformation of clinical care practices to protect both patients and providers. These changes led to a decrease in patient volume, impacting physician trainee education due to lost clinical and didactic opportunities. We measured the prevalence of trainee concern over missed educational opportunities and investigated the risk factors leading to such concerns.

Methods: All residents and fellows at a large academic medical center were invited to participate in a web-based survey in May of 2020. Participants responded to questions regarding demographic characteristics, specialty, primary assigned responsibility during the previous 2 weeks (clinical, education, or research), perceived concern over missed educational opportunities, and burnout. Multivariable logistic regression was used to assess the relationship between missed educational opportunities and the measured variables.

Results: 22% (301 of 1375) of the trainees completed the survey. 47% of the participants were concerned about missed educational opportunities. Trainees assigned to education at home had 2.85 [95%CI 1.33–6.45] greater odds of being concerned over missed educational opportunities as compared with trainees performing clinical work. Trainees performing research were not similarly affected [aOR = 0.96, 95%CI (0.47–1.93)]. Trainees in pathology or radiology had 2.51 [95%CI 1.16–5.68] greater odds of concern for missed educational opportunities as compared with medicine. Trainees with greater concern over missed opportunities were more likely to be experiencing burnout (p = 0.038).

Conclusions: Trainees in radiology or pathology and those assigned to education at home were more likely to be concerned about their missed educational opportunities. Residency programs should consider providing trainees with research or at home clinical opportunities as an alternative to self-study should future need for reduced clinical hours arise.

Keywords: COVID-19, Residency, Graduate medical education, Surgical training, Missed clinical opportunities, Physician burnout

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: thomas.k@wustl.edu

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Avenue, Campus Box 8054, St Louis, MO 63110, USA ⁵Institute for Informatics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO LISA

Lou et al. BMC Medical Education (2021) 21:216 Page 2 of 7

Background

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) emerged in December of 2019 [1] and spread around the world, thus far leading to over a million deaths [2]. In the face of limited resources and the inherent uncertainty of the pandemic, healthcare systems were forced to rapidly adapt, restructuring patient admissions and implementing social distancing measures to increase capacity and reduce risk of disease transmission for both patients and healthcare providers [3–6].

These changes had considerable impact on all aspects of medical practice, including residency and fellowship training programs [7–11]. During the early stages of the pandemic, there was a reduction in elective surgical cases [3, 12], and a decrease in non-COVID related patient healthcare utilization even for urgent conditions [13–16]. This led to a reduction in usual clinical volume for both medical and surgical specialties [17-19], decreasing clinical training opportunities. In pandemic hot spots, trainees may have been re-assigned outside of their specialty to provide COVID-19 care. Otherwise, with low patient census and to minimize COVID-19 exposure risk, many institutions reduced trainees' clinical time and required them to work on education or research at home [9, 10, 20]. Furthermore, social distancing guidelines resulted in the cancellation of in-person didactics, necessitating a reconfiguration of resident education to online or virtual platforms [21-23], limiting formal didactic opportunities for trainees. These changes potentially have consequences not only for trainee preparedness for independent practice, but also for their personal well-being [10].

We investigated the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on trainee educational opportunities to address the following research questions: (1) what is the prevalence of trainee concern for missed educational opportunities; (2) what are the risk factors leading to such concerns; and (3) is there a relationship between concern for missed educational opportunities and wellness.

Methods

Participants and survey

All physician trainees (n = 1375) at the Washington University School of Medicine, Barnes-Jewish Hospital, and St. Louis Children's Hospital were invited to participate in a voluntary de-identified web-based survey on May 13, 2020. A follow-up reminder was sent 1 week later. A \$50 gift card raffle was offered to survey respondents for participation. This survey was part of a longitudinal study assessing the wellness of physician trainees during the COVID-19 pandemic [24].

During this period at our institution, surgical volume was approximately 33% lower and inpatient admissions were approximately 20% lower than pre-pandemic levels.

The nadir of patient volume was 1 month prior, when the volume of surgeries decreased by nearly 65% and inpatient admissions were down by 40%.

The survey included questions from several domains. Demographic information was collected relating to gender, ethnicity, marital status, and parenthood status. Clinical responsibilities were assessed based on clinical role (resident, fellow), clinical specialty, and the type of assigned primary responsibility over the previous 2 weeks (i.e., clinical–inpatient, clinical–outpatient, education—at home, research—at home, research—on campus). Exposure to patients testing positive for COVID-19 was also assessed.

This study was approved by the institutional review board of Washington University. Prior to completing the survey, all participants read an information sheet that included details of the study; by completing the survey, participants provided consent to participate in this research study (IRB #202004021, Washington University).

Outcomes

The primary outcome was the impact of COVID-19 on trainee education, assessed with the prompt "How stressed are you about missed educational opportunities" on a 5-point scale ranging from "not at all" to "extremely."

Secondary outcomes related to burnout and professional fulfillment were assessed using the Stanford Professional Fulfillment Index (PFI) [25]. For a full list of survey questions, please see the Additional file 1.

Statistical analysis

Gender was categorized as Female/Not Female. Ethnicity was categorized as Caucasian/Non-Caucasian. Marital Status was categorized as Married/Not Married.

Clinical specialty was categorized into three groups: surgery, reduced patient contact, and medicine. Surgery included all specialties that required significant operating room time and thus would have been most affected by the decrease in surgical volume: general surgery, neurosurgery, orthopedic surgery, obstetrics and gynecology, ophthalmology, otolaryngology, plastic surgery, urology, and anesthesiology. Reduced patient contact included two specialties with reduced direct patient contact: pathology and radiology. Medicine included the remainder of the specialties: internal medicine, pediatrics, emergency medicine, neurology, child neurology, psychiatry, dermatology, physical medicine and rehabilitation, radiation oncology, and medical genetics.

Primary responsibility was categorized into three groups: clinical, education and research. Clinical included all respondents reporting spending the majority of their time doing clinical work (i.e., inpatient, outpatient, or clinical work from home); education included

Lou et al. BMC Medical Education (2021) 21:216 Page 3 of 7

all respondents reporting the majority of their time spent on "education—at home"; research included all respondents reporting the majority of their time conducting research either at home or on campus.

The primary outcome, concern for missed educational opportunities, was analyzed as a dichotomous outcome variable with responses of "somewhat," "quite a bit," or "extremely," categorized as "concerned," and "not at all" or "a little" categorized as "not concerned."

The secondary outcome, burnout, was determined from an average item score for the workload and depersonalization scales (score range 0 to 4), using a cut-point of 1.33 [25], where scores greater than or equal to 1.33 was considered as "burned out." Similarly, for professional fulfillment, an item score of greater than or equal to 3.0 was used as the cut-point (scale range 0–4), which has been shown to correlate with physicians indicating their quality of life as being "very good." [25]

For univariable analyses of the association between demographic and clinical variables with the missed educational opportunity outcome, chi-square tests were used. Variables with p < 0.10 in univariable testing were incorporated in a multivariable binary logistic regression model. Variables with p < 0.10 in the multivariable model were retained and further investigated with pairwise comparisons. Wald test was used for all

comparisons within the multivariable model. All analyses were conducted in R 3.6.1 [26].

Results

General characteristics

There were 301 responses to the survey for a response rate of 21.8% (301/1375). Participants were predominantly residents (66%), female (56%), Caucasian (64%), married (55%), and without children (78%). 25% reported exposure to a COVID-19 patient.

Specialties with a strong operating room focus (i.e., surgery and anesthesiology) comprised 26% of the participants, while reduced direct patient contact specialties (i.e., pathology, radiology) comprised 12%, with the remainder of the participants categorized as medicine (62%). 73% of respondents reported spending the majority of their time over the previous 2 weeks doing clinical work, while 13% reported doing education at home, and 15% were performing research. Overall, 47% (142/301) of the participants were concerned about missed educational opportunities.

Univariable analysis

In the univariable analysis (see Table 1), differences in parenthood status (p = 0.028), clinical specialty (p = 0.018), and assigned primary responsibility (p = 0.020)

Table 1 Univariable comparison between demographic factors, COVID+ patient exposure, specialty, and clinical responsibilities with concern over missed clinical opportunities. Responses were grouped into Not concerned (Not at all, a little) and Concerned (somewhat, quite a bit, extremely)

Variable	Group	Total	Currently how stressed are you about missed clinical opportunities?		
			Not concerned	Concerned	<i>P</i> -value
Clinical Role	Fellow	101 / 301 (33.6%)	50 / 101 (49.5%)	51 / 101 (50.5%)	0.485
	Resident	200 / 301 (66.4%)	109 /200 (54.5%)	91 / 200 (45.5%)	
Female	Yes	168 / 301 (55.8%)	87 / 168 (51.8%)	81 / 168 (48.2%)	0.273
	No	133 / 301 (44.2%)	72 / 133 (54.1%)	61 / 133 (45.9%)	
Caucasian	Yes	192 / 301 (63.8%)	98 / 192 (51.0%)	94 / 192 (49.0%)	0.483
	No	109 / 301 (36.2%)	61 / 109 (56.0%)	48 / 109 (44.0%)	
Married	Yes	167 / 301 (55.5%)	82 / 167 (49.1%)	85 / 167 (50.9%)	0.184
	No	134 / 301 (44.5%)	77 / 134 (57.4%)	57 / 134 (42.5%)	
Children	Yes	67 / 301 (22.3%)	27 / 67 (40.3%)	40 / 67 (59.7%)	0.028 *
	No	234 / 301 (77.7%)	132 / 234 (56.4%)	102 / 234 (43.6%)	
COVID+ exposure	Yes	76 / 301 (25.2%)	38 / 76 (50.0%)	38 / 76 (50.0%)	0.662
	No	225 / 301 (74.8%)	121 / 255 (53.8%)	104 / 255 (46.2%)	
Specialty	Medicine	182 / 294 (61.9%)	107 / 182 (55.7%)	75 / 182 (44.3%)	0.018 *
	Surgery	76 / 294 (25.9%)	39 / 76 (51.3%)	37 / 76 (48.7%)	
	Radiology Pathology	36 / 294 (12.2%)	12 / 36 (33.3%)	24 / 36 (66.7%)	
Primary responsibility	Clinical	204 / 281 (72.6%)	111 / 204 (54.4%)	93 / 204 (45.6%)	0.020 *
	Education	36 / 281 (12.8%)	11 / 36 (30.6%)	25 / 36 (69.4%)	
	Research	41 / 281 (14.6%)	24 / 41 (58.5%)	17 / 41 (41.5%)	

Lou et al. BMC Medical Education (2021) 21:216 Page 4 of 7

were associated with the concern for missed educational opportunities primary outcome.

60% of trainees with children had concern for missed opportunities as compared with 44% of those without children. 67% of radiology or pathology trainees were concerned about missed opportunities as compared with 49% of trainees in surgical specialties and 44% of medicine trainees. Finally, 69% of trainees primarily assigned to education at home were concerned about missed opportunities as compared to 41% of trainees conducting research and 45% of trainees performing clinical work.

Multivariable analysis

Variables with p < 0.10 in the univariable analyses were included in the multivariable binary logistic regression model (Table 2). Within the multivariable model, all variables with p < 0.10 were retained and explored further with pairwise comparisons where applicable. All three variables met this cutoff (children at home p = 0.061, primary responsibility p = 0.029, specialty group p = 0.068) and were retained in the final model. Adjusted odds ratios are reported in comparison to the reference group of trainees without children assigned primarily to clinical work in a medicine specialty.

After adjusting for covariates, trainees assigned to primarily engage in education at home had 2.85 [95% confidence interval (CI) of the adjusted odds ratio 1.33–6.45] greater odds of being concerned over missed educational opportunities as compared to trainees engaged in clinical work. Trainees performing research, whether at home or on campus, were not significantly more likely to be concerned in comparison to their clinical peers [adjusted odds ratio 0.96, 95% CI (0.47–1.93)].

Furthermore, trainees in pathology and radiology had over 2.5 [adjusted odds ratio 2.51, 95% CI 1.16–5.68] greater odds of concern over missed educational opportunities as compared to their medicine peers; meanwhile, surgical trainees were not significantly different from their medicine peers [adjusted odds ratio 1.28, 95% CI 0.72–2.27] nor from radiology / pathology trainees [adjusted odds ratio 0.51, 95% CI 0.21–1.18].

After adjusting for specialty and primary responsibility, the relationship between parenthood status and concern for missed opportunities was marginal [adjusted odds ratio 1.75, 95% CI 0.98-3.17, p=0.061].

Missed educational opportunities and burnout

In a secondary analysis for the relationship between concern for missed educational opportunities and burnout (Table 3), we found that 52% of trainees who reported being burned out were concerned about missed educational opportunities as compared with 42% in the nonburned out group (p = 0.035). Professional fulfillment was not significantly associated with concern for missed educational opportunities (p = 0.206).

Discussion

In a cross-sectional survey of residents and fellows at a large academic medical center during the early part of the pandemic, we found that nearly half of trainees were concerned about missed educational opportunities as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Trainees more likely to be concerned were those primarily assigned to education at home and those specializing in radiology or pathology.

Due to overall decreased clinical volume and workload during the COVID-19 pandemic across the United States, an unprecedented percentage of trainees were asked to study at home or work on research projects rather than engage in clinical work. These decisions were based on a number of factors including protecting trainees from potential COVID-19 exposure, scarcity of personal protective equipment resources, and a reduction in clinical services [9, 20, 27]. We found that trainees assigned to spend the majority of their time studying at home had nearly three-fold greater odds of being concerned about missed opportunities compared to their peers assigned to clinical work (Table 2). Surprisingly, trainees who were able to spend their nonclinical time performing research were protected from such concerns. Furthermore, those that were stressed about missed opportunities were more likely to be

Table 2 Multivariable binary logistic regression for predictors of concern for missed clinical opportunities. The reference group is physicians without children primarily assigned to clinical duties in a medicine (i.e., non-surgery / radiology / pathology) specialty

Variable	Group	Adjusted odds ratio	95% confidence interval	<i>P</i> -value
Children	Yes	1.75	0.98–3.17	0.061
Specialty	Medicine	1.00	Reference group	
	Surgery	1.28	0.72-2.27	0.395
	Radiology/ Pathology	2.52	1.16–5.68	0.021 *
Primary responsibility	Clinical	1.00	Reference group	
	Education	2.85	1.32–6.45	0.009 *
	Research	0.96	0.47-1.93	0.913

Lou et al. BMC Medical Education (2021) 21:216 Page 5 of 7

Table 3 Association between concern for missed clinical opportunities and physician wellness; Not concerned (Not at all, a little), Concerned (somewhat, quite a bit, extremely)

	Group	Total	Currently, how stressed are you about missed clinical opportunities?		
Variable			Not concerned	Concerned	<i>P</i> -value
Burnout	Yes	111 / 296 (37.5%)	50 / 111 (45.0%)	61 / 111 (55.0%)	0.035 *
	No	185 / 296 (62.5%)	108 / 185 (58.4%)	77 / 185 (41.6%)	
Professional Fulfillment	Yes	70 / 296 (23.6%)	42 / 70 (60.0%)	28 / 70 (40.0%)	0.206
	No	226 / 296 (76.4%)	114 / 226 (50.4%)	112 / 226 (49.6%)	

burned out (Table 3), highlighting the mental health consequences of these shifts in trainee work responsibilities.

We speculate that trainees who used their non-clinical time for research maintained a perception that their actions were contributing to their careers and furthering their education in a way that being instructed to study at home was not. This highlights the potential need for residency and fellowship programs to consider incorporating structured research opportunities to maintain trainee engagement should the need arise again for reduced clinical time. Alternatively, perhaps identifying strategies to enable trainees to engage in clinical work at home would lessen the perception of missed educational opportunities during reductions in clinical volume. This can include participation in virtual consults, telehealth appointments, virtual rounds, or case conferences. For example, use of telehealth has rapidly expanded since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic [4], and trainee participation in telehealth has been strongly supported by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education [28]. Participation in telehealth represents new opportunities for trainee education [29] that likely will also prove useful for trainee future independent practice as telehealth opportunities continue to grow [30].

Much of the prior research on the impact of COVID-19 on graduate medical education has focused on the impact on surgical trainees due to the reduction in operative case volume [10, 31-40]. At our institution, the nadir of operative volume was in early April 2020 when surgical cases were approximately one third of prepandemic levels, similar to what has been reported elsewhere [32, 33]. Common findings across these studies include decreased trainee operative opportunities, altered rotation schedules, decreased work hours, and increased concern for the ability to meet required operative case minimums for graduation. However, previous research has not investigated whether surgical trainees are uniquely affected in comparison with their peers in other specialties, as outpatient visits and hospital admissions were also decreased during the same time period [17, 18]. Our data suggests that, surprisingly, pathology and radiology trainees had the highest odds of being concerned about missed educational opportunities, while surgical trainees were not much different from their medicine peers. The reasons for a higher prevalence of perceived lost educational opportunities among radiology and pathology trainees are likely multifactorial; not only did radiologists and pathologists see widespread reduction in overall clinical volume and work hours [41, 42], but also these specialties are best positioned for remote work. Many departments decided to limit resident-attending interactions to telephone or other virtual platforms to reduce disease transmission risk, perhaps unintentionally reducing the frequency and quality of these important educational interactions [43, 44]. Based on our data and others, there is a need for increased awareness of the challenges that radiology and pathology trainees are facing.

This study has several limitations. First, it was performed at a single academic medical center near the end the first wave of infections (May Generalizability may be limited as other hospital systems may have experienced a lesser or greater reduction in clinical volume and likely made different choices in how training programs adapted in response. In addition, trainee sentiment may have been different at different points in the pandemic curve. However, the concerns regarding missed educational opportunities existed in other academic institutions [10, 31-41, 45, 46], and the reasons are likely similar. Second, the overall response rate was 22%; we cannot assume that respondents are a random sample of the total trainee population at our institution and thus results may not be representative. For example, trainees with fewer clinical responsibilities may have had more time to participate thus biasing our results. However, our survey response rate is comparable with other survey-based studies conducted on trainee physicians [10, 31, 47]. Third, due to the way we assessed primary work responsibility, we were unable to determine the relative proportion of time or number of hours spent performing clinical, educational or research tasks; trainees reporting they were primarily engaged in clinical work may have still spent some portion of their time on research or education and vice versa. Fourth, some of the comparisons that were performed (e.g., between specialty groups), were not sufficiently powered due to our response rate. Our assessment of missed

Lou et al. BMC Medical Education (2021) 21:216 Page 6 of 7

educational opportunities was based on the self-reports of trainees and may have evolved during the course of the pandemic. However, these concerns, albeit during a period of heightened restrictions, highlight the need for academic institutions to develop strategies to engage trainees during periods of uncertainty. Further research is needed in evaluating the diversity of education at home experiences to identify if specific strategies were more effective than others, or if a different division of clinical versus educational time would have led to greater trainee satisfaction.

Conclusions

Concerns about lost educational opportunities were widespread across all training programs during the early portion of the COVID-19 pandemic. Trainees in radiology or pathology and those assigned to education at home were more likely to be concerned as compared to their peers. While there may be no way to replace the lost educational opportunities, programs can support their trainees' career development in other ways such as by providing research opportunities or opportunities to engage in clinical work from home during times of insufficient clinical volume.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02665-0.

Additional file 1.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors'contributions

SL TK and JD conceived the study. TK JD and BE collected the data. SL and CG analyzed the data and interpreted the results. SL wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors were involved in critical revision of the manuscript, and read and approve the final manuscript.

Funding

SL was supported by NIH 5T32GM108539-07.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All protocols were carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants for the study electronically. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Washington University (IRB #202004021, Washington University).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Author details

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Avenue, Campus Box 8054, St Louis, MO 63110, USA. ²Division of Biostatistics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA. ³Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA. ⁴Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA. ⁵Institute for Informatics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA.

Received: 13 January 2021 Accepted: 9 April 2021 Published online: 17 April 2021

References

- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72 314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA. 2020;323(13):1239–42. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2 648
- Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(5):533–4. https://doi.org/1 0.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1.
- American College of Surgeons, American Society of Anesthesiologists, Association of Perioperative Registered Nurses, American Hospital Association. Joint Statement: Roadmap for Maintaining Essential Surgery during COVID-19 Pandemic.; 2020. https://www.asahq.org/about-asa/ newsroom/news-releases/2020/08/joint-statement-roadmap-for-mainta ining-essential-surgery-during-covid-19-pandemic
- Baum A, Kaboli PJ, Schwartz MD. Reduced in-person and increased Telehealth outpatient visits during the COVID-19 pandemic. Ann Intern Med Published online August. 2020;10(1):129–31. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-3 026
- Wee LE, Conceicao EP, Sim XYJ, Aung MK, Tan KY, Wong HM, et al. Minimizing intra-hospital transmission of COVID-19: the role of social distancing. J Hosp Infect. 2020;105(2):113–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2 02004.016
- Kim CS, Lynch JB, Cohen S, Neme S, Staiger TO, Evans L, et al. One academic health System's early (and ongoing) experience responding to COVID-19: recommendations from the initial epicenter of the pandemic in the United States. Acad Med. 2020;95(8):1146–8. https://doi.org/10.1097/A CM.00000000000003410.
- ACGME. Guidance Statement on Competency-Based Medical Education during COVID-19 Residency and Fellowship Disruptions. 2020. Accessed November 27, 2020. https://acgme.org/Newsroom/Newsroom-Details/A rticleID/10639/Guidance-Statement-on-Competency-Based-Medical-Educa tion-during-COVID-19-Residency-and-Fellowship-Disruptions
- Nasca TJ. ACGME's early adaptation to the COVID-19 pandemic: principles and lessons learned. J Grad Med Educ. 2020;12(3):375–8. https://doi.org/1 0.4300/JGME-D-20-00302.1.
- Edigin E, Eseaton PO, Shaka H, Ojemolon PE, Asemota IR, Akuna E. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on medical postgraduate training in the United States. Med Educ Online. 2020;25(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2020.1774318.
- Coleman JR, Abdelsattar JM, Glocker RJ, Carmichael H, Vigneshwar NG, Ryan R, et al. COVID-19 pandemic and the lived experience of surgical residents, fellows, and early-career surgeons in the American College of Surgeons. J Am Coll Surg. 2020;(2):119–135.e20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.202 0.09.026.
- Manson DK, Shen S, Lavelle MP, Lumish HS, Chong DH, de Miguel MH, et al. Reorganizing a medicine residency program in response to the COVID-19 pandemic in New York. Acad Med. 2020;95(11):1670–3. https://doi.org/10.1 097/ACM.000000000003548.
- COVIDsurg Collaborative. Global guidance for surgical care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Br J Surg. 2020;(9):1097–103. https://doi.org/10.1002/ bis.11646.
- Siegler JE, Heslin ME, Thau L, Smith A, Jovin TG. Falling stroke rates during COVID-19 pandemic at a comprehensive stroke center. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis Off J Natl Stroke Assoc. 2020;29(8):104953. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. istrokecerebrovasdis.2020.104953.
- Solomon MD, McNulty EJ, Rana JS, et al. The Covid-19 pandemic and the incidence of acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(7):691–3. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2015630.

Lou et al. BMC Medical Education (2021) 21:216 Page 7 of 7

- Whaley CM, Pera MF, Cantor J, Chang J, Velasco J, Hagg HK, et al. Changes in health services use among commercially insured US populations during the COVID-19 pandemic. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(11):e2024984. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.24984.
- Kaufman HW, Chen Z, Niles J, Fesko Y. Changes in the number of US patients with newly identified Cancer before and during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(8):e2017267. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.17267.
- Birkmeyer JD, Barnato A, Birkmeyer N, Bessler R, Skinner J. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on hospital admissions in the United States. Health Aff (Millwood). 2020;39(11):2010–7. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00980.
- Hartnett KP, Kite-Powell A, DeVies J, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Emergency Department Visits - United States, January 1, 2019-May 30, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(23):699–704. https://doi.org/1 0.15585/mmwr.mm6923e1.
- Parikh KD, Ramaiya NH, Kikano EG, Tirumani SH, Pandya H, Stovicek B, et al. COVID-19 pandemic impact on decreased imaging utilization: a single institutional experience. Acad Radiol. 2020;27(9):1204–13. https://doi.org/10.1 016/j.acra.2020.06.024.
- Seehusen DA, Groves M, Miller DD. Local perspectives on ACGME's early response to the COVID-19 pandemic at sponsoring institutions and individual training programs. J Grad Med Educ. 2020;12(4):504–5. https://doi. org/10.4300/JGME-D-20-00547.1.
- He S, Lai D, Mott S, Little A, Grock A, Haas MRC, et al. Remote e-work and distance learning for academic medicine: best practices and opportunities for the future. J Grad Med Educ. 2020;12(3):256–63. https://doi.org/10.4300/ JGME-D-20-00242.1.
- Chick RC, Clifton GT, Peace KM, Propper BW, Hale DF, Alseidi AA, et al. Using technology to maintain the education of residents during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Surg Educ. 2020;77(4):729–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.202 0.03.018
- Chertoff JD, Zarzour JG, Morgan DE, Lewis PJ, Canon CL, Harvey JA. The early influence and effects of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on resident education and adaptations. J Am Coll Radiol. 2020; 17(10):1322–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2020.07.022.
- Kannampallil TG, Goss CW, Evanoff BA, Strickland JR, McAlister RP, Duncan J. Exposure to COVID-19 patients increases physician trainee stress and burnout. PLoS One. 2020;15(8):e0237301. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0237301.
- Trockel M, Bohman B, Lesure E, Hamidi MS, Welle D, Roberts L, et al. A brief instrument to assess both burnout and professional fulfillment in physicians: reliability and validity, including correlation with self-reported medical errors, in a sample of resident and practicing physicians. Acad Psychiatry. 2018;42(1):11–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40596-017-0849-3.
- R Core Team. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for statistical Computing; 2013. http://www.R-project.org/
- Anton M, Wright J, Braithwaite M, Sturgeon G, Locke B, Milne C, et al. Creating a COVID-19 action plan for GME programs. J Grad Med Educ. 2020; 12(4):399–402. https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-20-00206.1.
- Thomas Nasca. ACGME response to the coronavirus (COVID-19). ACGME 2020. Accessed April 6, 2021. https://acgme.org/Newsroom/Newsroom-Deta ils/ArticleID/10111/ACGME-Response-to-the-Coronavirus-COVID-19
- Gupta P, Gupta L. Telecommunication in the COVID-19 era: As an assessment tool for patients with dermatomyositis. Indian J Rheumatol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.4103/injr.injr_286_20.
- Shachar C, Engel J, Elwyn G. Implications for Telehealth in a Postpandemic future: regulatory and privacy issues. JAMA. 2020;323(23):2375–6. https://doi. org/10.1001/jama.2020.7943.
- Aziz H, James T, Remulla D, Sher L, Genyk Y, Sullivan ME, et al. Effect of COVID-19 on surgical training across the United States: a National Survey of general surgery residents. J Surg Educ. 2020;(2):431–9. https://doi.org/10.101 6/j.jsurg.2020.07.037.
- Collins C, Mahuron K, Bongiovanni T, Lancaster E, Sosa JA, Wick E. Stress and the surgical resident in the COVID-19 pandemic. J Surg Educ. Published online July 25. 2020;(2):422–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2020.07.031.
- Kapila AK, Farid Y, Kapila V, Schettino M, Vanhoeij M, Hamdi M. The perspective of surgical residents on current and future training in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. Br J Surg. Published online June 22. 2020;(9):e305. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.11761.
- 34. Cai Y, Jiam NT, Wai KC, Shuman EA, Roland LT, Chang JL. Otolaryngology resident practices and perceptions in the initial phase of the U.S. COVID-19

- pandemic. Laryngoscope. 2020;130(11):2550–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.2
- Guo T, Kiong KL, Yao CMKL, Windon M, Zebda D, Jozaghi Y, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on otolaryngology trainee education. Head Neck. 2020;42(10):2782–90. https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26368.
- An TW, Henry JK, Igboechi O, Wang P, Yerrapragada A, Lin CA, et al. How are Orthopaedic surgery residencies responding to the COVID-19 pandemic? An Assessment of Resident Experiences in Cities of Major Virus Outbreak. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2020. https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-2 0-00397
- Pennington Z, Lubelski D, Khalafallah AM, Ehresman J, Sciubba DM, Witham TF, et al. Letter to the Editor "Changes to Neurosurgery Resident Education Since Onset of the COVID-19 Pandemic.". World Neurosurg. 2020;139:734– 40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2020.05.139.
- Khalafallah AM, Lam S, Gami A, Dornbos DL, Sivakumar W, Johnson JN, et al. A national survey on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic upon burnout and career satisfaction among neurosurgery residents. J Clin Neurosci. 2020; 80:137–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2020.08.012.
- Khusid JA, Weinstein CS, Becerra AZ, Kashani M, Robins DJ, Fink LE, et al. Well-being and education of urology residents during the COVID-19 pandemic: results of an American National Survey. Int J Clin Pract. 2020; 74(9):e13559. https://doi.org/10.1111/jjcp.13559.
- Bitonti G, Palumbo AR, Gallo C, Rania E, Saccone G, de Vivo V, et al. Being an obstetrics and gynaecology resident during the COVID-19: impact of the pandemic on the residency training program. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2020;253:48–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.07.057.
- Hoegger MJ, Shetty AS, Denner DR, Gould JE, Wahl RL, Raptis CA, et al. A snapshot of radiology training during the early COVID-19 pandemic. Curr Probl Diagn Radiol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1067/j.cpradiol.2020.06.012.
- Wang Y-H, Bychkov A, Chakrabarti I, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cytology practice: An international survey in the Asia-Pacific region. Cancer Cytopathol. 2020;n/a(n/a). https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.22354.
- Chong A, Kagetsu NJ, Yen A, Cooke EA. Radiology residency preparedness and response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Acad Radiol. 2020;27(6):856–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.acra.2020.04.001.
- Warnica W, Moody A, Probyn L, Bartlett E, Singh N, Pakkal M. Lessons Learned From the Effects of COVID-19 on the Training and Education Workflow of Radiology Residents—A Time for Reflection: Perspectives of Residency Program Directors and Residents in Canada. Can Assoc Radiol J. 2020:0846537120963649. https://doi.org/10.1177/0846537120963649.
- Chiel L, Winthrop Z, Winn AS. The COVID-19 Pandemic and Pediatric Graduate Medical Education. Pediatrics. 2020;146(2). https://doi.org/10.1542/ peds.2020-1057.
- Ostapenko A, McPeck S, Liechty S, Kleiner D. Has COVID-19 Hurt Resident Education? A network-wide resident survey on education and experience during the pandemic. medRxiv. 2020;2020.08.13.20171256. https://doi.org/1 0.1101/2020.08.13.20171256.
- Dyrbye LN, West CP, Satele D, Boone S, Tan L, Sloan J, et al. Burnout among U.S. medical students, residents, and early career physicians relative to the general U.S. population. Acad Med. 2014;89(3):443–51. https://doi.org/10.1 097/ACM.000000000000134.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

