

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Radiother Oncol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Radiother Oncol. 2021 January ; 154: 187-193. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2020.09.002.

## Radiation-induced lymphopenia during chemoradiation therapy for non-small cell lung cancer is linked with age, lung V5, and XRCC1 rs25487 genotype in lymphocytes

Xiaoxue Xie<sup>a</sup>, Steven H. Lin<sup>b</sup>, James W. Welsh<sup>b</sup>, Xiong Wei<sup>b</sup>, Hekun Jin<sup>a</sup>, Radhe Mohan<sup>c</sup>, Zhongxing Liao<sup>b</sup>, Ting Xu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Hunan Key Laboratory of Translational Radiation Oncology, Hunan Cancer Hospital, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

<sup>c</sup>Department of Radiation Physics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

## Abstract

**Background & Purpose:** We investigated clinical and genetic factors associated with severe radiation-induced lymphopenia (RIL) in a randomized clinical trial of photon vs. proton radiation, with chemotherapy, for non-small cell lung cancer.

**Methods:** XRCC1 rs25487 was genotyped in lymphocytes from serial peripheral blood samples. Severe RIL was defined as absolute lymphocyte count (ALC)  $<0.3 \times 10^9$  cells/L. Univariate and multivariate analyses were used to identify independent risk factors, which were then used to group patients according to risk of severe RIL.

**Results:** Univariate analysis of the 178 patients in this analysis showed that older age, larger tumors, higher lung V5 and mean lung dose, and higher heart V5 and mean heart dose were associated with severe RIL during treatment (P<0.05). The XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype was also associated with increased risk of severe RIL during treatment (AA vs. others: hazard ratio [HR] =1.065, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.089–2.500, P= 0.018). Multivariate analyses showed that older age (HR=1.031, 95% CI 1.009–1.054, P=0.005), lung V5 (HR=1.039, 95% CI 1.023–1.055, P<0.0001), and AA genotype (AA vs. others, HR=1.768, 95% CI 1.165–2.684, P=0.007) were independently associated with higher incidence of severe RIL. These three risk factors (age

**Corresponding author**: Ting Xu, PhD, Department of Radiation Oncology-Research, Unit 0066, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030-4009 USA. Office Phone: (832) 750-5223; TXu@mdanderson.org.

Conflicts of Interest: None.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

56 years, lung V5 51% and XRCC1 rs25487 AA) distinguished patients at different risk of developing severe RIL (*P*<0.0001).

**Conclusions:** Age, lung V5 and XRCC1 rs25487 AA were all linked with risk of severe RIL. Our predictive risk model may be helpful for identifying patients at high risk of severe RIL so that treatment can be modified.

#### Keywords

Radiation-induced Lymphopenia; non-small cell lung cancer; XRCC1 rs25487

## INTRODUCTION

Low absolute lymphocyte counts (ALCs) during therapy have been linked not only with the risk of opportunistic infections (e.g. radiation-induced pneumonia) [1,2] but also with worse survival in patients receiving radiation therapy [3–9]. Moreover, the emergence of immunotherapy has greatly improved outcomes in some patients with lung cancer and has become a treatment option in major guidelines. Lymphocytes have crucial roles in cancer immunity, and severe lymphopenia resulting from current standard chemoradiation therapy regimens could undermine the antitumor effects of checkpoint inhibitors and other immune-modulating agents [10]. Therefore, it is important to identify factors that could predict the risk of severe radiation-induced lymphopenia (RIL).

Lymphocytes are known to be the most radiosensitive of the peripheral blood cells, with an LD<sub>50</sub> as low as 2 Gy [11]. Lymphopenia is strongly associated with radiation dose and volume of organs at risk (e.g., lung, bone marrow, spleen) [12–14]. The entire body can be considered the organ at risk for lymphopenia, because peripheral lymphocytes circulate throughout the body and exist in all tissues, and lymphoblasts in the bone marrow can be exposed to radiation as well. Hence complete avoidance of treating lymphocytes during radiation therapy is not possible. Although dosimetric variables have been linked with lymphopenia [15], thus far they have not helped to identify patients who may be sensitive to RIL, which has been linked with poor overall survival (OS) in a variety of types of cancer [38]. A better understanding of the etiopathogenesis of homeostatic failure to restore lymphocyte counts may aid in formulating new therapeutic approaches to counter RIL.

Mechanistically, resting T and B lymphocytes show significant DNA fragmentation after exposure to 1–5 Gy, suggesting that the capacity for DNA repair is important in the development of lymphopenia [16]. The gene *XRCC1*, located on chromosome 19q13.2– 13.3, encodes the XRCC1 protein, which acts as a scaffold for other proteins in the DNA repair complex [17]. Batar and others [18] observed a significant negative correlation between XRCC1 mRNA and protein expression and DNA damage level (micronucleus frequency) in lymphocytes exposed in vitro to 2 Gy of gamma rays. The single nucleotide polymorphism Arg399Gln (G >A, rs25487) is one of the most extensively studied polymorphisms in *XRCC1* [19]. Alsbeih et al. [20] found that the wild-type XRCC1 399Arg (G) allele was associated with an increased risk of developing late reactions (subcutaneous and deep tissue fibrosis) to radiotherapy. On the other hand, Chang-Claude et al. [21] reported that XRCC1 399Gln (A) alleles were associated with decreased risk of acute skin

reactions after radiotherapy (hazard ratio [HR]=0.51). Moreover, Yin et al. [22] found the XRCC1 399GlnGln (A/A) genotype to be associated with a reduced risk of radiation pneumonitis (adjusted HR for A/A vs. G/G=0.48; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.24–0.97, P=0.041). However, we did not find any reports on associations between XRCC1 399 genotype and RIL in a PubMed search.

The importance of lymphocytes, T lymphocytes in particular, in antitumor immune effects underscores the need to identify risk factors and biomarkers for lymphopenia, particularly with the advent of checkpoint-inhibitor immunotherapy for many solid tumors. Here, we sought to identify genetic-, patient-, behavior-, and treatment-related factors that could predict the risk of severe RIL in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), as a first step in developing interventions to prevent or mitigate this form of radiation-induced toxicity.

## METHODS

#### Patients

Patients had been enrolled in an institutional review board–approved, prospective randomized trial that compared outcomes after intensity-modulated (photon) radiation therapy (IMRT) or passive scattering proton therapy (PSPT) for locally advanced NSCLC [23]. All patients included in this study provided written informed consent for optional blood sample collection for subsequent biomarker analyses. Eligible patients were >18 years old; had a Karnofsky performance score of 70; and had stage IIA to IIIB disease, stage IV disease with a single brain metastasis, or recurrent tumor after surgical resection that could be treated definitively with concurrent chemoradiation. The median total radiation dose was 74 Gy (range 60.0-78.0 Gy) given in 1.8- to 2.4-Gy fractions. For this analysis, ALC values (number of cells ×10<sup>9</sup>/L) of 178 eligible patients were obtained less than 30 days before treatment and weekly during concurrent chemoradiation, from which baseline and nadir ALC values were identified.

#### Clinical, dosimetric, and genetic data

All patient-related data (age, sex), behavior-related data (smoking history), disease-related data (disease stage, tumor histology, tumor volume), and treatment-related data were prospectively collected per protocol. The lung V5, mean lung dose (MLD), heart V5, and mean heart dose values were extracted from the delivered plans. Genotypes were determined from lymphocytes isolated from peripheral blood samples by real-time polymerase chain reaction (real-time PCR). The primer sequences, restriction enzymes, and PCR conditions used for the experiments are available upon request.

#### Statistical analysis

To visualize trends in peripheral blood lymphocyte numbers during treatment, we plotted ALC values over time during therapy (Suppl. Fig. S1). The nadir ALC value was defined as the minimum cell count during treatment for each patient. Optimal cutoff values for ALC nadir, age and lung V5 were determined by the methodoloty of Contal and O'Quigley [39,40]. The cutoff value to define severe RIL was ALC  $0.3 \times 10^9$  cells/L, which was

associated with OS with the best fit of Cox proportional hazards model. To analyze the cumulative incidence of severe RIL, we recorded the first time the ALC declined below  $0.3 \times 10^9$  cells/L during treatment for each patient. A Cox proportional hazards regression model was used for univariate and multivariate analyses to assess potential associations of patient-, genetic-, behavior-, tumor-, and treatment-related factors with severe RIL; those factors were age, sex, Karnofsky performance status score, baseline ALC, gross tumor volume (GTV, in cm<sup>3</sup>), clinical disease stage, tumor location, smoking history, use of induction chemotherapy, lung and heart V5 (in %), and mean lung dose (MLD) and mean heart dose (in Gy). The criteria for including (or excluding) factors in the final multivariate Cox regression model for severe RIL were P<0.20 for inclusion and P>0.05 for removal in stepwise manner. The risk factors were assumed with equal weight to group patients for risk of severe RIL according to the number of risk factors. Kaplan-Meier curves were generated to visualize the cumulative incidence of severe RIL by risk group. All variables were analyzed as continuous when appropriate. All statistical tests were 2-sided, and analyses were performed using the SPSS ver. 24.0 statistical software package (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) and SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

### RESULTS

Table 1 lists the characteristics of the 178 patients analyzed, of whom 95 were men and 83 were women, with a median age of 66 years (range 37–85 years). Roughly one-third of patients (n=64) received induction chemotherapy, and all patients received concurrent chemoradiation. Ninety percent of patients (n=160) had a baseline ALC of  $>1\times10^9$  cells/L, and the other 10% (n=18) had a baseline ALC of  $<1\times10^9$  cells/L. The ALC nadir value appeared from 1 to 8 weeks during treatment. According to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v 5.0, the ALC nadir was grade 2 (i.e.,  $<0.8-0.5\times10^9$  cells/L) in 16 patients (9%), grade 3 (i.e.,  $<0.5-0.2\times10^9$  cells/L) in 103 patients (58%), and grade 4 (i.e.,  $<0.2\times10^9$  cells/L) in 59 patients (33%). No differences in baseline ALCs or during-treatment nadir ALCs were found according to treatment modality (IMRT vs. PSPT) (Suppl. Table S1 and Suppl. Fig. S1). The median follow-up times were 24.6 months for all patients. In terms of OS, the optimal cutoff value for ALC nadir was  $0.3\times10^9$  cells/L, which was also the median ALC value. ALC values below this threshold were associated with poorer OS after adjustment for other clinical factors (*P*=0.001, Suppl. Table S2; *P*=0.002, Suppl. Fig. S2). Thus we adopted ALC  $<0.3\times10^9$  cells/L as the definition of severe RIL for this study.

In univariate analysis, we found that older age (HR=1.025, 95% CI 1.004–1.046, *P*=0.020), larger GTV (HR=1.001, 95% CI 1.000–1.003, *P*=0.030), higher MLD (HR=1.073, 95% CI 1.023–1.126, *P*=0.004), larger lung V5 (HR=1.031, 95% CI 1.017–1.045, *P*<0.0001), higher mean heart dose (HR=1.028, 95% CI 1.007–1.049, *P*=0.009), larger heart V5 (HR=1.013, 95% CI 1.005–1.020, *P*=0.001) and the XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype (vs. AG/GG: HR=1.065, 95% CI 1.089–2.500, *P*=0.018) were all associated with severe RIL (ALC <0.3×10<sup>9</sup> cells/L) during treatment (Table 1).

In multivariate analysis, older age (HR=1.031, 95% CI 1.009–1.054, *P*=0.005), higher lung V5 (HR=1.039, 95% CI 1.023–1.055, *P*<0.001), and the XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype (vs. AG/GG: HR=1.768, 95% CI 1.165–2.684, *P*=0.007) were all independently associated with

severe RIL (ALC  $< 0.3 \times 10^9$ /L) during treatment. Sex, smoking history, baseline ALC level, MLD, heart V5, mean heart dose, GTV, and radiation modality were not significantly associated with RIL in the multivariate analysis and thus were excluded from the final model (Table 2). Optimal cutoff values for age (56 years) and lung V5 (51%) were identified from the best fit of the Cox proportional hazards model for sever RIL. The cumulative incidence of severe RIL (ALC  $<0.3 \times 10^9$ /L) during treatment stratified by age (56 years, *P*=0.005), lung V5 (51%, P<0.0001), and the XRCC1 rs25487 genotype (AA vs. AG/GG, P=0.014) are shown in Figure 1. Finally, we stratified patients for risk of severe RIL (ALC  $< 0.3 \times 10^9$ cells/L) based on number of risk factors among the three identified in the multivariate analysis: age 56 years, lung V5 51%, and XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype (Table 3). Patients with 2-3 risk factors were at higher risk of severe RIL than were patients with 0-1risk factor (HR=3.111, 95% CI 2.046–4.729, P<0.0001z). The cumulative incidence of severe RIL during treatment stratified by number of risk factors is shown in Figure 2. The cumulative incidence of severe RIL was 75% in the high-risk groups (2-3 risk factors) and 39% in low risk groups (0–1 risk factor). In other words, lung V5 needs to be kept at <51%in patients aged 56 years or those with XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype to reduce the risk of severe RIL.

### DISCUSSION

We hypothesized that genetic variations in the DNA repair gene XRCC1 could, with other clinical and dosimetric factors, predict RIL (defined in this study as ALC  $<0.3\times10^9$  cells/L). Indeed, we confirmed in the present study that the XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype was associated with severe RIL during treatment for NSCLC. The protein product of the DNA repair gene XRCC1 is crucial in DNA repair, both in base excision repair [24, 25] and nonhomologous end-joining [26-28]. The functional effect, if any, of the single nucleotide polymorphism rs25487, also known as Gln399Arg, is not clear, although some studies suggest that amino acid substitutions in evolutionary conserved regions can affect protein function [29]. In one study, the (A) allele was associated with reduced repair of genetic damage from the nitrosamine NNK in cultured human lymphocytes, leading the authors to propose that the amino-acid change in the XRCC1 protein could have led to deficiencies in DNA repair [30]. These findings lead us in turn to propose that the reduced DNA repair capacity of XRCC1 rs25487 AA may explain the RIL observed in the current study, perhaps through compromises in immunity that lead to decreased inflammatory responses of normal tissues (e.g., lungs and skin) and worse OS. Specifically, the (A) allele has been linked with decreased radiation-associated toxicity in normal tissues, and correspondingly in less pneumonitis, less acute skin reactions, and less subcutaneous and deep tissue fibrosis [20-22]. A meta-analysis suggested that both the XRCC1 rs25487 AG and AA genotypes could predict poor OS among patients with lung cancer (G/A vs. G/G: HR 1.23; 95% CI 1.06-1.44; A/A vs. G/G: HR 2.03; 95% CI 1.20–3.45) [31]. However, direct evidence that XRCC1 399Gln/Arg determines lymphocyte radiosensitivity and affects radiotherapy outcomes remains limited. Therefore, the above assumptions need to be further verified through basic research.

The current study further showed increasing age to be associated with severe RIL during treatment for NSCLC. This phenomenon could be explained by the telomere theory, that is,

telomeres become shorter with age (i.e., over time) [32–34] and suggests that telomeres may be a marker of cellular senescence. Age-related decreases in mean telomere restriction fragment length have been linked with chromosomal radiosensitivity and apoptotic response in breast cancer [35]. This association between radiosensitivity and telomere shortening was also observed in peripheral blood lymphocytes, which could result from defects in homologous recombination repair of double-strand breaks and telomere uncapping [36]. Therefore aging could possibly induce severe RIL via age-related telomere shortening and increased radiosensitivity.

Finally, we found that lung V5 was independently associated with lymphocyte nadir during radiotherapy. Although MLD, mean heart dose, heart V5, and GTV were linked with RIL in univariate analyses, these factors were excluded from the final multivariate model because of their correlation with lung V5. This result was consistent with findings from another study in which 711 patients with NSCLC were treated with definitive radiotherapy; lung V5 was the factor most strongly associated with lymphocyte nadir, and higher lymphocyte counts during treatment were associated with better OS and disease control [15]. In a mathematical modeling approach, Yovino et al. [37] found that the radiation dose to circulating lymphocytes in patients receiving fractionated radiation for high-grade glioma could be as high as 2.2 Gy, with 99% of circulating lymphocytes receiving at least 0.5 Gy, after a typical regimen of 30 daily treatments with 2-Gy fractions. The incidental doses received when lymphocytes are within the radiation portal during fractionated radiation therapy could be sufficient to result in lymphopenia [38]. We realize that the most accurate dosimetric variable for RIL would be the dose to the lymphocytes when lymphocytes are considered an organ at risk. However, no reliable way of calculating dose to lymphocytes has been found to date. Therefore, in the current analysis, we included dose variables for the lung and heart. (Although we acknowledge that dose to the great vessels may have added valuable information, that dose was not measured and thus that information was not available to us). Finally, we used lung V5 as a surrogate for low-dose exposure in multivariate model to clarify the effects of radiation dose and volume on RIL because it was the strongest predictor of severe RIL. Exposure of more circulating lymphocytes to low-dose irradiation associated with the use of larger radiation fields could increase lymphocyte destruction, as suggested by our findings regarding greater reductions of circulating lymphocyte numbers being associated with lung V5.

Therefore, we built a predictive model consisting of the XRCC1 rs25487 genotype, lung V5, and age for predicting the occurrence of severe lymphopenia during radiation therapy (Figure 2). Notably, the only factor that is modifiable in the proposed model is the lung V5, suggesting that every effort should be made to meet the dose constraint for lung V5, especially for older patients or those with the XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype. We also propose that this model could be used to aid in the choice of radiation modality (protons vs. photons) that would allow the greatest reduction in lung V5.

Our study did have several limitations. First, we did not have information on lymphocyte subtypes (e.g. CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells), and thus we could not evaluate associations of lymphocyte subtypes with genetic, tumor, or patient characteristics. Second, we did not perform studies to verify the mechanism of XRCC1 399Gln/Arg genotype and lymphocyte

radiosensitivity. Finally, our data were obtained exclusively from one treatment center, and thus findings from the current study and the model developed should be validated with independent data sets from multicenter studies. We are planning future collaborative studies with other institutions to enroll larger numbers of patients, or perhaps patients with different diseases, to validate our findings.

In conclusion, older age, higher lung V5, and the presence of the XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype were found to be independently associated with higher risk of severe RIL. Our risk stratification analysis further showed that the risk of severe RIL (ALC  $<0.3\times10^9$ /L) during treatment for individual patients was increased by the number of risk factors present for that patient. With validation, our predictive model can help to guide personalized treatment for patients with NSCLC receiving definitive radiotherapy and immunotherapy.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are extremely grateful for the expert editorial work performed by Ms. Christine Wogan.

Funding: Supported in part by National Cancer Institute Grants P01 CA021230 and P30 CA016672.

## REFERENCES

- Terrones-Campos C, Ledergerber B, Vogelius IR, Specht L, Helleberg M, Lundgren J. Lymphocyte count kinetics, factors associated with the end-of-radiation-therapy lymphocyte count, and risk of infection in patients with solid malignant tumors treated with curative-intent radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2019 11 15;105(4):812–823. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.07.013. [PubMed: 31344435]
- Reckzeh B, Merte H, Pflüger KH, Pfab R, Wolf M, Havemann K. Severe lymphocytopenia and interstitial pneumonia in patients treated with paclitaxel and simultaneous radiotherapy for nonsmall-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 1996 4;14(4):1071–6. PubMed PMID: 8648359. [PubMed: 8648359]
- Mendez JS, Govindan A, Leong J, Gao F, Huang J, Campian JL. Association between treatmentrelated lymphopenia and overall survival in elderly patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma. J Neurooncol. 2016 4;127(2):329–35. doi:10.1007/s1106-0015-2037-1. [PubMed: 26725885]
- 4. Wild AT, Ye X, Ellsworth SG, Smith JA, Narang AK, Garg T, et al. The association between chemoradiation-related lymphopenia and clinical outcomes in patients with locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Am J Clin Oncol. 2015 6;38(3):259–65. doi: 10.1097/ COC.0b013e3182940ff9. [PubMed: 23648440]
- Grossman SA, Ellsworth S, Campian J, Wild AT, Herman JM, Laheru D, et al. Survival in patients with severe lymphopenia following treatment with radiation and chemotherapy for newly diagnosed solid tumors. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2015 10;13(10):1225–31. [PubMed: 26483062]
- Campian JL, Sarai G, Ye X, Marur S, Grossman SA. Association between severe treatment-related lymphopenia and progression-free survival in patients with newly diagnosed squamous cell head and neck cancer. Head Neck. 2014 12;36(12):1747–53. doi: 10.1002/hed.23535. [PubMed: 24174270]
- Zhuang Y, Yuan BY, Chen GW, Zhao XM, Hu Y, Zhu WC, et al. Association between circulating lymphocyte populations and outcome after stereotactic body radiation therapy in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Front Oncol. 2019 9 10; 9:896. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00896. [PubMed: 31552194]

- Cho O, Chun M, Kim SW, Jung YS, Yim H. Lymphopenia as a potential predictor of ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence in early breast cancer. Anticancer Res. 2019 8;39(8):4467–4474. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.13620. [PubMed: 31366546]
- Deng W, Xu C, Liu A, van Rossum PSN, Deng W, Liao Z, et al. The relationship of lymphocyte recovery and prognosis of esophageal cancer patients with severe radiation-induced lymphopenia after chemoradiation therapy. Radiother Oncol. 2019 4;133:9–15. doi: 10.1016/ j.radonc.2018.12.002. [PubMed: 30935587]
- Pike LRG, Bang A, Mahal BA, Taylor A, Krishnan M, Spektor A, et al. The impact of radiation therapy on lymphocyte count and survival in metastatic cancer patients receiving PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2019 1 1;103(1):142–151. doi: 10.1016/ j.ijrobp.2018.09.010. [PubMed: 30227198]
- Nakamura N, Kusunoki Y, Akiyama M. Radiosensitivity of CD4 or CD8 positive human Tlymphocytes by an in vitro colony formation assay. Radiat Res 1990;123(2):224–227. [PubMed: 2117766]
- Davuluri R, Jiang W, Fang P, Xu C, Komaki R, Gomez DR, et al. Lymphocyte nadir and esophageal cancer survival outcomes after chemoradiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2017;99(1):128–135. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.05.037. [PubMed: 28816138]
- Chadha AS, Liu G, Chen HC, Das P, Minsky BD, Mahmood U, et al. Does unintentional splenic radiation predict outcomes after pancreatic cancer radiation therapy? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2017;97(2):323–332. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.10.046. [PubMed: 28068240]
- Cho O, Chun M, Chang SJ, Oh YT, Noh OK. Prognostic value of severe lymphopenia during pelvic concurrent chemoradiotherapy in cervical cancer. Anticancer Res 2016;36(7):3541–3547. [PubMed: 27354621]
- Tang C, Liao Z, Gomez D, Levy L, Zhuang Y, Gebremichael RA, et al. Lymphopenia association with gross tumor volume and lung V5 and its effects on non-small cell lung cancer patient outcomes. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;89(5):1084–1091. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.04.025. [PubMed: 25035212]
- Sellins KS, Cohen JJ. Gene induction by gamma-irradiation leads to DNA fragmentation in lymphocytes. J Immunol 1987;139(10):3199–3206. [PubMed: 3680944]
- 17. Hoeijmakers JH. DNA repair mechanisms. Maturitas 2001;38(1):17–23. [PubMed: 11311581]
- Batar B, Guven G, Eroz S, Bese NS, Guven M. Decreased DNA repair gene XRCC1 expression is associated with radiotherapy-induced acute side effects in breast cancer patients. Gene 2016;582(1):33–37. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2016.01.040. [PubMed: 26826460]
- Matullo G, Palli D, Peluso M, Guarrera S, Carturan S, Celentano E, et al. XRCC1, XRCC3, XPD gene polymorphisms, smoking and (32)P-DNA adducts in a sample of healthy subjects. Carcinogenesis 2001;22(9):1437–1445. [PubMed: 11532866]
- Alsbeih G, Al-Harbi N, Al-Hadyan K, El-Sebaie M, Al-Rajhi N. Association between normal tissue complications after radiotherapy and polymorphic variations in TGFB1 and XRCC1 genes. Radiat Res 2010;173(4):505–511. doi:10.1667/RR1769.1. [PubMed: 20334523]
- Chang-Claude J, Popanda O, Tan XL, Kropp S, Helmbold I, von Fournier D, et al. Association between polymorphisms in the DNA repair genes, XRCC1, APE1, and XPD and acute side effects of radiotherapy in breast cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11(13):4802–4809. [PubMed: 16000577]
- 22. Yin M, Liao Z, Liu Z, Wang LE, Gomez D, Komaki R, et al. Functional polymorphisms of base excision repair genes XRCC1 and APEX1 predict risk of radiation pneumonitis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer treated with definitive radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011;81(3):e67–73. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.11.079. [PubMed: 21420246]
- 23. Liao Z, Lee JJ, Komaki R, Gomez DR, O'Reilly MS, Fossella FV, et al. Bayesian adaptive randomization trial of passively scattering proton therapy and intensity-modulated photon radiotherapy for locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2018;36(18):1813– 1822. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.74.0720. [PubMed: 29293386]
- Sak A, Fegers I, Groneberg M, Stuschke M. Effect of separase depletion on ionizing radiationinduced cell cycle checkpoints and survival in human lung cancer cell lines. Cell Prolif 2008; 41(4):660–670. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2184.2008.00540.x. [PubMed: 18616699]

- Caldecott KW. XRCC1 and DNA strand break repair. DNA Repair (Amst) 2003; 2(9):955–969. [PubMed: 12967653]
- Bétermier M, Bertrand P, Lopez BS. Is non-homologous end-joining really an inherently errorprone process? PloS Genet 2014;10(1):e1004086. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004086.
- Sharma S, Javadekar SM, Pandey M, Srivastava M, Kumari R, Raghavan SC. Homology and enzymatic requirements of microhomology-dependent alternative end joining. Cell Death Dis 2015;6:e1697.
- Dutta A, Eckelmann B, Adhikari S, Srivastava M, Kumari R, Raghavan SC. Microhomologymediated end joining is activated in irradiated human cells due to phosphorylation-dependent formation of the XRCC1 repair complex. Nucleic Acids Res 2017;45(5):2585–2599. doi: 10.1038/ cddis.2015.58. [PubMed: 27994036]
- Savas S, Kim DY, Ahmad MF, Shariff M, Ozcelik H. Identifying functional genetic variants in DNA repair pathway using protein conservation analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004;13(5):801–807. [PubMed: 15159313]
- Abdel-Rahman SZ, El-Zein RA. The 399Gln polymorphism in the DNA repair gene XRCC1 modulates the genotoxic response induced in human lymphocytes by the tobacco-specific nitrosamine NNK. Cancer Lett 2000;159(1):63–71. [PubMed: 10974407]
- Cui Z, Yin Z, Li X, Wu W, Guan P, Zhou B. Association between polymorphisms in XRCC1 gene and clinical outcomes of patients with lung cancer: a meta-analysis. BMC Cancer 2012; 12:71. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-12-71. [PubMed: 22339849]
- Telomeres Olovnikov A., telomerase, and aging: origin of the theory. Exp Gerontol 1996; 31: 443– 448. [PubMed: 9415101]
- Olovnikov A. A theory of marginotomy. The incomplete copying of template margin in enzymic synthesis of polynucleotides and biological significance of the phenomenon. J Theor Biol 1973;41:181–190. [PubMed: 4754905]
- Greider CW, Blackburn EH. The telomere terminal transferase of tetrahymena is a ribonucleoprotein enzyme with two kinds of primer specificity. Cell 1987; 51:887–898. [PubMed: 3319189]
- Barwell J, Pangon L, Georgiou A, Docherty Z, Kesterton I, Ball J, et al. Is telomere length in peripheral blood lymphocytes correlated with cancer susceptibility or radiosensitivity? Br J Cancer 2007;97(12):1696–1700. [PubMed: 18000505]
- Wong KK, Chang S, Weiler SR, Docherty Z, Kesterton I, Ball J, et al. Telomere dysfunction impairs DNA repair and enhances sensitivity to ionizing radiation. Nat Genet 2000;26(1):85–88 [PubMed: 10973255]
- 37. Yovino S, Kleinberg L, Grossman SA, Narayanan M, Ford E. The etiology of treatment-related lymphopenia in patients with malignant gliomas: modeling radiation dose to circulating lymphocytes explains clinical observations and suggests methods of modifying the impact of radiation on immune cells. Cancer Invest 2013;31(2):140–144. doi: 10.3109/07357907.2012.762780. [PubMed: 23362951]
- Venkatesulu BP, Mallick S, Lin SH, Krishnan S. A systematic review of the influence of radiationinduced lymphopenia on survival outcomes in solid tumors. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2018;123:42–51. doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2018.01.003. [PubMed: 29482778]
- Contal Cecile, O'Quigley John. An application of changepoint methods in studying the effect of age on survival in breast cancer. Comp Stat Data Analys 1999;30(3):253–70. 10.1016/ S0167-9473(98)00096-6. In this issue.
- 40. Mandrekar JN, Mandrekar SJ, Cha SS. Cutpoint determination methods in survival analysis using SAS. Proceedings of the 28th SAS Users Group International Conference (SUGI 28) 2003. Paper 261–28. In this issue.

## Highlights

- Retrospective analysis of prospective clinical trial to identify factors predicting radiation-induced lymphopenia
- Age, lung V5 and XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype were all independently linked with lymphopenia during chemoradiation for locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer
- Combinations of these risk factors distinguished patients at different risk for lymphopenia

Xie et al.



Fig 1.

Cumulative incidence of severe radiation-induced lymphopenia (RIL; absolute lymphocyte count  $<0.3\times10^9$  cells/L) during treatment for non-small cell lung cancer according to age (A), lung V5 (B), and XRCC1 rs25487 genotype (C).



#### Fig. 2.

Cumulative incidence of severe radiation-induced lymphopenia (RIL; absolute lymphocyte count < $0.3 \times 10^9$  cells/L) during treatment by number of the risk factors (age 56 years; lung V5 51%; and XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype). The low-risk groups had 0–1 factor, the high-risk groups had 2–3 factors.

#### Table 1.

Patient characteristics and univariate Cox regression analysis for lymphocyte nadir during radiotherapy

Characteristic	All Patients (n=178)	Lymphocyte Nadir	during Radiotherapy	Hazard	P Value
		>0.3×10 <sup>9</sup> /L (n=76)	<0.3×10 <sup>9</sup> /L (n=102)	Ratio (95% CI)	
Age, years					
Median (range)	66 (37–85)	65 (37-81)	66 (39–85)	1.025 (1.004–1.046)	
0.020					
Mean (SD)	64.7 (9.2)	63.4 (9.7)	65.8 (8.6)		
Sex, no. (%)					
Female	83 (46.6)	34 (19.1)	49 (27.5)	1.00	
Male	95 (53.4)	42 (23.6)	53 (27.8)	0.873 (0.592–1.2089)	
0.495					
Smoking pack-years					
Median (range)	43 (0–244)	37 (0–125)	48 (0–244)	1.003 (0.999–1.007)	
0.150					
Mean (SD)	50.0 (39.8)	43.9 (30.7)	54.5 (44.9)		
Tumor histology					
Squamous	62 (34.8)	25 (14.0)	37 (20.8)	1.00	
Adeno	92 (51.7)	36 (20.2)	56 (31.5)	1.017 (0.671–1.541)	
0.938					
Other	24 (13.5)	15 (8.4)	9 (5.1)	0.542 (0.261-1.124)	
0.100					
GTV, cm <sup>3</sup>					
Median (range)	77.7 (1.9–686.6)	55.5 (1.9-686.6)	108.1 (5.7–673.7)	1.001 (1.000-1.003)	
0.030					
Mean (SD)	132.7 (136.4)	118.8 (140.5)	142.8 (133.1)		
Disease stage, no. (%)*					
IIA-IIB	12 (6.7)	5 (2.8)	7 (3.9)	1.000	
IIIA-IIIB	152 (85.4)	63 (35.4)	89 (50)	1.196 (0.553-2.584)	
0.649					
IV+recurrent	14 (7.9)	8 (4.5)	6 (3.4)	0.743 (0.249–2.214)	
0.593			. ,		
Tumor location					
LLL+RLL+RML	53 (29.8)	23 (12.9)	30 (16.8)	1.000	
LUL+RUL	116 (65.2)	48 (27.0)	68 (38.2)	0.795 (0.280-2.260)	
0.667					
Mediastinum	9 (5.1)	5 (2.8)	4 (2.2)	1.052 (0.683–1.622)	
0.818					
KPS, no. (%)					
90	56 (31.5)	21 (11.8)	35 (19.7)	1.000	
80	109 (61.2)	50 (28.1)	59 (33.1)	0.959 (0.444–2.072)	
0.916		-	·	,	

Characteristic	All Patients (n=178)	Lymphocyte Nadir during Radiotherapy		Hazard	P Value
		>0.3×10 <sup>9</sup> /L (n=76)	<0.3×10 <sup>9</sup> /L (n=102)	Ratio (95% CI)	
70	13 (7.3)	5 (2.8)	8 (4.5)	0.851 (0.406–1.873)	
0.669					
XRCC1 rs25487					
AA	42 (23.6)	9 (5.1)	33 (18.5)	1.065 (1.089–2.500)	
0.018					
AG	80 (44.9)	43 (24.2)	37 (20.8)	_	_
GG	56 (31.5)	24 (13.5)	32 (18.0)	_	_
AG+GG	136 (76.4)	67 (37.6)	69 (38.8)	1.000	
ALC at baseline (x10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L)					
Median (range)	1.63 (0.3-4.12)	1.81 (0.3–3.62)	1.48 (0.39–4.12)	0.832 (0.624–1.109)	
0.210					
Mean (SD)	1.77 (0.72)	1.82 (0.69)	1.72 (0.74)		
Radiation modality					
Photons	114 (64.0)	47 (26.4)	67 (37.6)	1.000	
Protons	64 (36.0)	29 (16.3)	35 (19.7)	0.792 (0.526–1.193)	
0.265					
Induction chemo, no. (%)					
No	114 (64.0)	50 (28.1)	64 (36.0)	1.000	
Yes	64 (36.0)	26 (14.6)	38 (21.3)	1.128 (0.755–1.686)	
0.557					
Lung V5, %					< 0.0001
Median (range)	48.18 (12.3–89.4)	43.84 (18.6–73.9)	52.04 (12.3-89.4)	1.031 (1.017–1.045)	
< 0.001					
Mean (SD)	48.4 (14.1)	45.3 (13.3)	50.7 (14.3)		
Mean lung dose, Gy					
Median (range)	17.8 (1-22.8)	16.0 (1-22.8)	18.5 (1-22.7)	1.073 (1.023–1.126)	
0.004					
Mean (SD)	16.9 (4.3)	16.3 (4.5)	17.6 (3.8)		
Heart V5, %					
Median (range)	38.1 (0-100)	29.5 (0-99.6)	46 (0–100)	1.013 (1.005–1.020)	
0.001					
Mean (SD)	43.4 (27.6)	37.8 (26.0)	47.7 (28.2)		
Mean heart dose, Gy					
Median (range)	8.4 (0-37.0)	5.8 (0-37.0)	10.2 (0-34.6)	1.028 (1.007–1.049)	
0.009					
Mean (SD)	10.8 (9.0)	9.3 (9.1)	12.0 (8.8)		

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation; GTV, gross tumor volume; KPS, Karnofsky performance status score; LLL, left lower lobe; RLL, right lower lobe; RML, right upper lobe; RLL, left upper lobe; RLL, right upper lobe; ALC, absolute lymphocyte count.

<sup>\*</sup>AJCC 6<sup>th</sup> edition.

#### Table 2.

Multivariate Cox regression analysis for severe radiation-induced lymphopenia (absolute lymphocyte count  $<\!\!0.3\times10^9 cells/L)$  during treatment

Characteristics	HR (95% CI)	P Value	
Age	1.031 (1.009–1.054)	0.005	
Lung V5, %	1.039 (1.023–1.055)	< 0.0001	
XRCC1 rs25487			
AA vs. AG	2.088 (1.250-3.226)	0.004	
AA vs. GG	1.499 (0.921–2.439)	0.104	
AA vs. AG+GG	1.768 (1.165–2.684)	0.007	

Note: The thresholds for factors to be included in the final multivariate Cox regression model were P<0.2 for inclusion and P>0.05 for removal.

#### Table 3.

Cox regression analyses of cumulative incidence of severe radiation-induced lymphopenia (absolute lymphocyte count  $< 0.3 \times 10^9$  cells/L) during treatment stratified by the number of risk factors.

Number of Risk Factors	HR	95% CI	P Value
1 vs. 0	2.155	0.659-7.042	0.204
2 vs. 0	5.682	1.767-18.182	0.004
3 vs. 0	9.346	2.625-33.333	0.0006
2 vs. 1	2.632	1.686-4.098	< 0.0001
3 vs. 1	4.329	2.232-8.333	< 0.0001
3 vs. 2	1.642	0.984-3.012	0.110
0–1 vs. 2–3	3.111	2.046-4.729	< 0.0001

Note: Risk factors were age 56 years, Lung V5 51%, and XRCC1 rs25487 AA genotype.

Author Manuscript