

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Letters to the Editor

determined and adjusted for in multivariate analysis as that can be a potential contributor to liver injury.⁸ The large number of drugs used in clinical trials and variable management of such patients based on evolving evidence also makes comparisons of cohorts difficult.⁹

In conclusion, we believe that Ding *et al.*¹ have meticulously analyzed various liver biochemistry abnormalities during COVID-19. However, the retrospective nature of the cohort, limitations of data, lack of external validation and other confounding factors described merit further investigation and research. It would be premature to attribute deranged liver function to COVID-19 infection alone with the available evidence.

Financial support

The authors received no financial support to produce this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Please refer to the accompanying ICMJE disclosure forms for further details.

Authors' contributions

AS: writing and critical revision, MP: writing and critical revision, VS: writing and critical revision.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2021.03.010

References

 Ding Zy, Li Gx, Chen L, Shu C, Song J, Wang W, et al. Association of liver abnormalities with in-hospital mortality in patients with COVID-19. J Hepatol 2021;74(6):1295–1302.

- [2] Guan W-j, Ni Z-y, Hu Y, Liang W-h, Ou C-q, He J-x, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. New Engl J Med 2020;382(18):1708–1720.
- [3] Kulkarni AV, Kumar P, Tevethia HV, Premkumar M, Arab JP, Candia R, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: liver manifestations and outcomes in COVID-19. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2020 Aug;52(4):584–599. https:// doi.org/10.1111/apt.15916.
- [4] Lavarone M, D'Ambrosio R, Soria A, Triolo M, Pugliese N, Del Poggio P, et al. High rates of 30-day mortality in patients with cirrhosis and COVID-19. J Hepatol 2020;73(5):1063–1071.
- [5] Moon AM, Webb GJ, Aloman C, Armstrong MJ, Cargill T, Dhanasekaran R, et al. High mortality rates for SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with preexisting chronic liver disease and cirrhosis: preliminary results from an international registry. J Hepatol 2020;73(3):705–708.
- [6] Cai Q, Huang D, Yu H, Zhu Z, Xia Z, Su Y, et al. COVID-19: abnormal liver function tests. J Hepatol 2020;73(3):566–574.
- [7] Ebert EC. Hypoxic liver injury. Mayo Clinic Proc 2006;81(9):1232–1236.
- [8] Jing J, Teschke R. Traditional Chinese medicine and herb-induced liver injury: comparison with drug-induced liver injury. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2018;6(1):57–68.
- [9] Wong GL, Wong VW, Thompson A, Jia J, Hou J, Lesmana CRA, et al. Asia-pacific working group for liver derangement during the COVID-19 pandemic. Management of patients with liver derangement during the COVID-19 pandemic: an asia-pacific position statement. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;5(8):776–787. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30190-4. Epub 2020 Jun 23. PMID: 32585136; PMCID: PMC7308747.

Aditya Singh¹ Madhumita Premkumar² Virendra Singh^{2,*}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

²Department of Hepatology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

^{*}Corresponding author. Address: Department of Hepatology, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India, 160012; Tel.: 91-172-2756338, fax-91-0172-2744401. *E-mail address:* virendrasingh100@hotmail.com (V. Singh)



Risk stratification in hospitalized COVID-19 patients

To the Editor:

Ding *et al.* recently published a retrospective analysis of potential predictors for mortality in 2,073 Chinese patients hospitalized with COVID-19.¹ As their main findings, they reported that increased liver parameters as well as liver injury were predictive for 28-day mortality, and proposed a nomogram that estimates the mortality risk of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 disease. However, as the understanding of COVID-19 disease improves, it becomes evident that the disease may present differently in different regions. Liver injury, as well as other gastrointestinal symptoms were associated with worse outcome in some, but not all studies.^{2–7} Therefore, we set out to validate

Keywords: liver function abnormality; mortality; SARS-Cov-2. Received 11 March 2021; received in revised form 2 April 2021; accepted 12 April 2021; available online 24 April 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2021.04.024 the main findings in an Austrian cohort of 405 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 disease.

We retrospectively collected demographic and laboratory data as well as in-hospital mortality from all patients with a positive SARS-Cov-2 PCR test hospitalized at either the University Hospital Graz or the State Hospital Graz II between February 28^{th} , 2020 and May 30^{th} , 2020. Due to availability, the nomogram parameters direct bilirubin and troponin I were substituted with total bilirubin and troponin T, respectively. Cox-Regression was used to estimate hazard ratios for 7-, 14-, 21- and 28-day inhospital mortality; *p* values below 0.05 were considered significant. Monte-Carlo simulation were run to define cut-offs with the highest overall accuracy.

Firstly, we analysed whether elevated liver enzymes (aspartate aminotransferase [AST], alanine aminotransferase [ALT]) or liver injury are predictive of 28-day mortality, as proposed in the original article. AST levels above the upper limit of normal were

Journal Of Hepatology



Fig. 1. Prediction of in-hospital mortality. (A) AUROC for the proposed nomogram and the simplified version. (B) Kaplan-Meier curves according to the simplified nomogram (*p* <0.0001, Log-rank test).

found in 189 of 305 (61,4%) patients, elevated ALT levels in 85 of 310 (27.4%) patients; 21 of 243 (8.6%) patients fulfilled the criteria for liver injury. We found that neither elevated AST (hazard ratio [HR] 1.23; 95% CI 0.77–1.96; p = 0.4), elevated ALT (HR 0.61; 95% CI 0.35–1.07; p = 0.09) nor liver injury (HR 0.74; 95% CI 0.27–2.05; p = 0.6) could predict hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients in Graz, Austria. Similar, non-predictive results were obtained for 7–, 14–, or 21-day mortality. GI symptoms in general, as well as diarrhoea in particular, were not associated with 28-day mortality (HR 0.58; 95% CI 0.15–2.16; p = 0.4 and HR 0.42; 95% CI 0.04–4.00; p = 0.5, respectively).

Secondly, we tested whether the proposed nomogram, including age, severe pneumonia, lymphocyte count, platelet count, C-reactive protein, D-dimer, creatinine, troponin, AST and bilirubin, could predict 28-day mortality in the Austrian cohort. A complete data set could be obtained from 151 patients, 37 (24.5%) of whom died within 28 days of hospitalization. The score derived from the nomogram was significantly associated with 28-day inhospital mortality (HR 1.009; 95% CI 1.005-1.013; p <0.001) and showed a high predictive accuracy (AUROC 0.80; 95% CI 0.71-0.88; p <0.001). Similar results were obtained for 7-, 14-, or 21-day mortality. However, not all factors of the nomogram could predict in-hospital mortality individually. While age points (i.e. age*1.11-1.11), severe pneumonia, C-reactive protein over 10 mg/L, D-dimer over 1.3 mg/L (adjusted cut-off), creatinine over 84 µmol/L and troponin T over 15.6 ng/ml were predictive, lymphocyte count, platelet count, AST and bilirubin were not.

Although the proposed nomogram is performing well, it is rather complicated to calculate which could be a considerable disadvantage in well-frequented COVID-19 wards. We propose a simplified version based on the predictors that we could reliably reproduce in the Austrian cohort. The simplified score is composed of the sum of the following: age decade, 4 points for severe pneumonia, 8 points for C-reactive protein over 10 mg/L, 4 points for creatinine over 84 µmol/L. This simplified version can still predict 28-day mortality (HR 1.24; 95% CI 1.16–1.34; *p* <0.001) and shows comparable accuracy to the full version of the nomogram (AUC difference: -0.001; 95% CI -0.05 to 0.05; *p* = 0.9). A score of 22 or higher best identified patients at risk of 28-day in-hospital mortality (Fig. 1).

In conclusion, we validated and simplified the nomogram proposed by Ding *et al.*¹ although liver injury itself was not predictive in our cohort. The divergence in liver functionrelated findings is not unexpected. Several meta-analyses in the past 6 months showed that a certain fraction of individual studies indicated no association between liver function abnormalities and outcome, although, overall, they were associated with disease severity and outcome.^{3,5,6} Our retrospective study design is not suited to address the source of this discrepancy. However, direct comparison of the 2 study populations showed that patients in our cohort were 74 (±14) years old and therefore 12 years older on average compared to Ding et al. which might explain the considerably higher mortality rate as well as the higher prevalence of liver function abnormalities (74% vs. 61%, respectively) in our cohort. Our cohort is limited by the smaller sample size compared to Ding et al. and the unavailability of direct bilirubin which might also contribute to the observed discrepancies. Despite these limitations we could validate the nomogram proposed by Ding et al. and call for validation of the full¹ as well as our simplified version of the nomogram in other cohorts across the globe to improve the risk stratification of COVID-19 patients.

Financial support

No financial support was given in order to complete the study or write the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Please refer to the accompanying ICMJE disclosure forms for further details.

Authors' contributions

TL, NF, HW collected data, AH, VS analysed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2021.04.024.

Letters to the Editor

References

Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship

- [1] Ding ZY, Li GX, Chen L, Shu C, Song J, Wang W, et al. Association of liver abnormalities with in-hospital mortality in patients with COVID-19. J Hepatol 2021 Jun;74(6):1295–1302.
- [2] Wang H, Qiu P, Liu J, Wang F, Zhao Q. The liver injury and gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with Coronavirus Disease 19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2020;44:653–661.
- [3] Kulkarni AV, Kumar P, Tevethia HV, Premkumar M, Arab JP, Candia R, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: liver manifestations and outcomes in COVID-19. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2020;52:584–599.
- [4] Wu Y, Li H, Guo X, Yoshida EM, Mendez-Sanchez N, Levi Sandri GB, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and prognosis of abnormal liver biochemical tests in COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hepatol Int 2020;14:621–637.
- [5] Wu ZH, Yang DL. A meta-analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on liver dysfunction. Eur J Med Res 2020;25:54.
- [6] Del Zompo F, De Siena M, Ianiro G, Gasbarrini A, Pompili M, Ponziani FR. Prevalence of liver injury and correlation with clinical outcomes in patients

with COVID-19: systematic review with meta-analysis. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020;24:13072–13088.

[7] Tariq R, Saha S, Furqan F, Hassett L, Pardi D, Khanna S. Prevalence and mortality of COVID-19 patients with gastrointestinal symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Mayo Clin Proc 2020;95:1632–1648.

> Angela Horvath^{1,2} Theresa Lind¹ Natalie Frece¹ Herbert Wurzer³ Vanessa Stadlbauer^{1,*}

¹Divison of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria

²Center for Biomarker Research in Medicine (CBmed), Graz, Austria ³Department of Internal Medicine, State Hospital Graz II, Graz, Austria

^{*}Corresponding author. Address: Vanessa Stadlbauer,

Auenbruggerplatz 15, 8036 Graz, Austria, +43 316 385 82282. *E-mail address:* vanessa.stadlbauer@medunigraz.at (V. Stadlbauer)



Reply to: Comments on "Association of liver abnormalities with in-hospital mortality in patients with COVID-19"

To the Editor:

We thank Singh et al., Horvath et al., and Luo et al. for their comments on our recent study.¹ In this study, we focused on investigating the association between abnormal liver chemistries at admission and in-hospital death, rather than the etiology of liver injury in COVID-19. We agree with comments from Singh et al. that liver injury in COVID-19 may not be attributable to COVID-19 infection alone, and associations of hypoxia, systemic inflammation, and hepatotoxic drugs with liver injury were explored in another study from our institute.² In our study, parameters of hypoxic injury (severity of COVID-19) and systemic inflammation (abnormal C-reactive protein or interleukin-6 levels) are listed in the predictive model for COVID-19-related fatal outcome, and we did not find the use of traditional Chinese medicine drugs (univariate OR 0.895; 95% CI 0.738–1.085; *p* = 0.26, logistic regression analysis) or antiviral drugs (univariate OR 0.922; 95% CI 0.775–1.096; p = 0.357) before admission are associated with liver injury at admission. High flow oxygen or invasive ventilation was not used before admission, thus these parameters were not included in the predict model of our study. In addition, only 41 patients had oral use of lopinavir/ritonavir before admission, and 13 patients had history of alcohol abuse in the cohort. We performed sensitivity analyses by excluding these patients; the associations of (at admission) liver injury (adjusted HR 1.88; 95% CI 1.22-2.89; p = 0.004), abnormal aspartate aminotransferase (adjusted HR 1.37; 95% CI 1.01–1.83; p = 0.041) and abnormal direct bilirubin (adjusted HR 1.61; 95% CI 1.18–2.21; *p* = 0.003) with in-hospital death of COVID-19 patients were similar.

Singh et al. mentioned that severity scoring systems of liver function were not described in our study. We and others have reported that serum levels of albumin, bilirubin, creatinine, prothrombin time, and international normalized ratio might be influenced by COVID-19 and result in deterioration of Child-Pugh, model for end-stage liver disease and Maddrey's discriminant function scores.³ However, we were not able to retrieve prehospital status of liver function tests in these patients, thus we did not evaluate the baseline liver function of patients by using severity scores. Singh et al. also mention that the limited sample size of patients with chronic liver disease (CLD) in the cohort may account for the association of CLD and COVID-19-related mortality in our study. Notably, CLD constitutes a spectrum of diseases such as hepatitis B, MAFLD, cirrhosis, etc., and the prognosis of COVID-19 varies in patients with different CLD,⁴ thus the association of CLD with COVID-19 mortality is always determined by the constitution of CLD in the investigated cohort, thus we suggested that the characteristics and outcome of COVID-19 patients with different CLD should be analyzed independently.

We appreciate the work done by Horvath *et al.* They validated the robustness of our predictive model for COVID-19 mortality and simplified it in an Austrian cohort of COVID-19 patients. We tested the robustness of the simplified model in our cohort and found that this simplified predictive model can still predict 28day mortality (HR 1.31; 95% CI 1.26–1.37; *p* <0.001). However, the simplified model showed reduced predictive accuracy in our cohort (AUC-difference -0.07; 95% CI -0.075 to -0.064; *p* <0.001) (Fig. 1A) and provided less net benefit across the range of fatal risk compared with the full model in decision curve analysis (Fig. 1B). We are expecting these predictive models to be validated in more cohorts in the future.

Received 26 May 2021; accepted 28 May 2021; available online 6 June 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2021.05.027