Peer l

First remains of the enormous alligatoroid Deinosuchus from the Upper Cretaceous Menefee Formation, New Mexico

Benjamin F. Mohler^{[1](#page-0-0)}, Andrew T. McDonald^{[2](#page-0-1)} and Douglas G. Wolfe^{[3](#page-0-2)}

¹ Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, United States of America

³ Zuni Dinosaur Institute for Geosciences, Springerville, Arizona, United States of America

ABSTRACT

The neosuchian Deinosuchus is known from numerous localities throughout the Campanian of North America, from New Jersey to Montana (USA) and as far south as Coahuila (Mexico). Here we describe six osteoderms, two vertebrae, and a partial tooth discovered in the Menefee Formation of New Mexico and assign them to Deinosuchus sp., representing one of the earliest occurrences of this genus on the Laramidian subcontinent, and among the earliest known occurrences of this large alligatoroid in all of North America. The osteoderms are morphologically distinct in their inflated construction, with deep and radially distributed pitting, which closely matches osteoderms of Deinosuchus.

Subjects Evolutionary Studies, Paleontology Keywords Deinosuchus, Menefee Formation, Campanian, Alligatoroid, Laramidia

INTRODUCTION

The gigantic alligatoroid Deinosuchus is known from material on both sides of the Western Interior Seaway throughout the Campanian Stage in North America, giving the genus a wide temporal and geographic range. The holotype of the type species, Deinosuchus hatcheri, was reported from the Judith River Formation of Montana (*Holland*, 1909). Fossils belonging to the eastern species, Deinosuchus schwimmeri (Cossette \mathcal{O}_S Brochu, [2020](#page-15-1)), have been reported from the Coffee Sand Formation of Mississippi and the Mooreville Formation of Alabama. Other eastern remains of Deinosuchus have been described from the Marshalltown Formation of New Jersey ([Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0)), the Black Creek Formation of North Carolina ([Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0)), the Blufftown Formation of Georgia and Alabama ([Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0)), and the Demopolis Chalk of Alabama and Mississippi ([Manning & Dockery, 1992](#page-16-1); [Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0)). A third species, Deinosuchus riograndensis ([Colbert & Bird, 1954](#page-15-2); [Cossette & Brochu, 2020](#page-15-1)), is known from the Aguja Formation of Texas. Material referable to Deinosuchus sp. ([Cossette & Brochu, 2020](#page-15-1)) has been found in the Fruitland Formation of New Mexico ([Lucas, Sullivan & Spielmann,](#page-16-2) [2006](#page-16-2); [Sullivan & Lucas, 2006](#page-17-0)) and the Kaiparowits Formation of Utah ([Titus et al., 2008](#page-17-1); [Irmis et al., 2013](#page-15-3)).

Beginning in 2011, yearly expeditions to exposures of the Upper Cretaceous Menefee Formation located in the San Juan Basin of northwestern New Mexico have been

Submitted 7 January 2021 Accepted 29 March 2021 Published 21 April 2021

Corresponding author Benjamin F. Mohler, [benfmohler@email.arizona.edu](mailto:benfmohler@�email.arizona.edu)

[Academic editor](https://peerj.com/academic-boards/editors/) [Mathew Wedel](https://peerj.com/academic-boards/editors/)

[Additional Information and](#page-13-0) [Declarations can be found on](#page-13-0) [page 14](#page-13-0)

DOI [10.7717/peerj.11302](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11302)

(cc) Copyright 2021 Mohler et al.

[Distributed under](http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0](http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

OPEN ACCESS

² Western Science Center, Hemet, California, United States of America

conducted by the Western Science Center, Zuni Dinosaur Institute for Geosciences, the Southwest Paleontological Society, and formerly the University of Pennsylvania (until 2013). This ongoing project, undertaken by professional researchers, students, and volunteers alike, has greatly enriched our understanding of this region of southern Laramidia during a poorly sampled interval, estimated to be around 80-79 Ma (middle Campanian). Recent publications include the descriptions of three new dinosaurs: the nodosaurid Invictarx zephyri ([McDonald & Wolfe, 2018](#page-16-3)), the tyrannosaurid Dynamoterror dynastes ([McDonald, Wolfe & Dooley, 2018](#page-16-4)), and the brachylophosaurin hadrosaur Ornatops incantatus ([McDonald et al., 2021](#page-16-5)), while the descriptions of many other vertebrates, invertebrates, and plants recovered from these beds are underway.

Among these fossils are the remains of crocodyliforms, including WSC 285, the fragmentary remains of a large individual (or individuals) including several osteoderms that closely match those of Deinosuchus hatcheri ([Holland, 1909](#page-15-0)). Lucas, Sullivan \mathfrak{G} [Spielmann \(2006\)](#page-16-2) described Deinosuchus material from the Fruitland Formation of the San Juan Basin in northwestern New Mexico, stratigraphically higher than the Menefee, which was previously the only record of this taxon from the state. Though the remains of crocodyliforms have been known to occur in the Menefee Formation for decades (see the description of Brachychampsa sealeyi ([Williamson, 1996](#page-17-2))), it wasn't until the recovery of WSC 285 from the Allison Member in 2018 that alligatoroids of substantial size were known to co-exist with dinosaurs among the coastal territories of mid-Campanian New Mexico.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

WSC 285 was collected on land administered by the United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM) under permit NM 18-03S.

Geologic setting and fossil occurrence

The bones attributed to WSC 285 were collected from the Allison Member of the Menefee Formation ([Sears, 1925](#page-17-3); [Miller, Carey & Thompson-Rizer, 1991](#page-16-6); [Molenaar et al., 2002](#page-16-7)) in the general vicinity where several additional vertebrate specimens have been recovered (descriptions in preparation). The Menefee Formation has traditionally been included in the Mesaverde Group ([Pike, 1947](#page-16-8); Beaumont, Dane \mathcal{C} Sears, 1956) and much of the study of these strata has historically been directed toward potential coal resources (*Hoffman*, [Campbell & Beaumont, 1993](#page-15-4), and references within). [Pike \(1947\)](#page-16-8) noted "one of the chief characteristics of this formation is the extreme irregularity of the individual beds".

The transgressive marine Cliff House Sandstone intertongues with, and eventually supersedes, the terrestrial Menefee Formation; because of their complex stratigraphic relationship, any assessment of age and depositional environment of Menefee rocks near this boundary require that careful attention be paid to the Cliff House as well. *[Donselaar](#page-15-5)* [\(1989\)](#page-15-5) identified two principal units of the Cliff House Sandstone where the former coastline was roughly stationary, leading to thick vertically stacking deposits. The lowermost unit is sometimes called the La Ventana tongue and is best exposed in southern Colorado and in more eastern Cliff House Sandstone exposures near Cuba,

New Mexico. Vertebrate fossils described from the Menefee Formation by [Williamson](#page-17-2) [\(1996](#page-17-2), [1997\)](#page-17-4) were collected from below the La Ventana tongue and are stated to be lower Campanian. [Donselaar \(1989\)](#page-15-5) provided a model for the Cliff House Sandstone near our locality as the product of stacked west-northwest to east-southeast directed elongate barrier bars, behind which the Menefee Formation mudstones and carbonaceous sediments were deposited.

The Campanian-age index fossil Baculites perplexus at localities within the Cliff House Sandstone near to, and along the depositional strike of, the study area (Siemers \mathcal{E} King, [1974](#page-17-5); [Varela, Santucci & Tweet, 2019](#page-17-6)) provide a minimum age of 78.5 Ma for Menefee Formation vertebrate fossils. Furthermore, *Lucas et al.* (2005) obtained a radioisotopic date of 78.22 ± 0.26 Ma from high in the Menefee Formation in the Gallina hogback in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, east of our study area in San Juan County.

The Allison Member (formerly called the "Allison barren member" ([Sears, 1925](#page-17-3); [Beaumont, Dane & Sears, 1956](#page-14-0))) lies above the Cleary Coal Member of the Menefee, and consists of three informal sub-units; the Lower Beds, the Juans Lake Beds, and La Vida Beds in ascending order ([Miller, Carey & Thompson-Rizer, 1991](#page-16-6)). WSC 285 and nearby dinosaurs were recovered from the Juans Lake Beds. Alternatively, stratigraphic reconstructions of the Menefee Formation based on sites east of our study area (e.g., [Williamson, 1997](#page-17-4); [Lucas et al., 2005](#page-15-6); [Dalman & Lucas, 2018](#page-15-7); also see Fig. 2 in *Beaumont* $\&$ *Hoffman* (1992)) have divided the Menefee Formation into three members with an upper coal member composing the uppermost portion of the formation. However, the coal beds found in the upper portion of our study area do not have sufficient stratigraphic continuity to warrant designating a separate member ([Dane, 1936](#page-15-8); [Miller,](#page-16-6) [Carey & Thompson-Rizer, 1991](#page-16-6)).

The associated bones of WSC 285 were encountered as in situ elements and scattered float across several meters along a steeply gullied cliff face. A fragmentary neosuchian tooth was also collected as float from this layer. The two caudal vertebrae (WSC 285.7 and 285.8) and a large complete osteoderm (WSC 285.2) were recovered from an area of less than a square meter in blocky, olive gray to dark olive gray, silty mudstone. These were encased in a single plaster jacket and prepared at the WSC laboratory.

Lateral to the in situ bones lay large $(>1 \text{ m})$ sideritic concretions, which, being much harder than surrounding mudstone layers, form locally prominent features influencing the steep and complex gully bisecting the fossil locality. Additional osteoderm fragments were collected from the base of the small gully and are presumed to have been weathered from the concretionary interval above. Fossil bone fragments and abundant plant debris were noted in large broken sections of the concretions. Several pyritized internal molds of gastropods and bivalves were collected as floated specimens immediately above the small "shelf" formed by the concretions.

The local stratigraphic sequence consists of highly variable, laterally discontinuous, laminar to blocky olive gray claystones, sandy-silty mudstones, grayish-black carbonaceous mudstones, lignites, and minor, generally discontinuous coal layers. A concretionary fine- to medium-grained sandstone supporting the top of the cliff above the locality appears to be one of the few stratigraphic units extending throughout the

local outcrop belt, and locally divides the *Deinosuchus* locality below this sandstone from partial dinosaur skeletons (including the holotype of Dynamoterror dynastes ([McDonald,](#page-16-4) [Wolfe & Dooley, 2018](#page-16-4)), a new hadrosaurid ([McDonald et al., 2021](#page-16-5)), and a partial ceratopsid skeleton) collected above this sandstone. Several additional vertebrate localities are currently under study within stratigraphic intervals several meters lower than the Deinosuchus locality.

Smaller sandstone stringers, minor sandstone channels, ribbon sands, cut-and-fill structures and soft-sediment deformation features are common throughout; these typically truncate more horizontal features such as coal layers which can rarely be traced laterally for more than a few tens or hundreds of meters. Large irregular sideritic concretions, some extending several meters as sinuous lenticular masses, are common, and these typically contain large quantities of carbonized and silicified plant debris, wood fragments, and rip-up clasts. Silicified wood, including large in situ stumps; carbonized logs and stumps; and widely distributed, transported, and abraded logs are abundant throughout the study area. This close association of terrestrial (dinosaurs, in situ trees), semi-aquatic (turtles, crocodilians) and aquatic organisms (bivalves, gastropods), combined with sedimentary features, suggests a forested floodplain interspersed with smaller rivers and coal swamps subject to periods of desiccation and flood.

The potential influence of coastal processes (tides, cyclones, earthquakes, tsunamis, etc.) upon more inland distributary systems cannot be discounted in a setting such as the Menefee Formation. Larger scale correlations indicate the subject locality lies within a few tens of miles westward of the western pinch-outs of correlative marine units ([Molenaar](#page-16-7) [et al., 2002](#page-16-7); [Varela, Santucci & Tweet, 2019](#page-17-6)). The potential influence of large-scale marine and coastal climate processes upon the terrestrial environments, paleoecology, and taphonomy of the Menefee Formation vertebrates is the subject of ongoing study.

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

Crocodylia [Gmelin, 1789](#page-15-9) Alligatoroidea [Gray, 1844](#page-15-10) Deinosuchus [Holland, 1909](#page-15-0) Deinosuchus sp.

Referred specimen: WSC 285, incomplete associated postcranial remains including six osteoderms and two caudal vertebrae, as well as one fragmentary tooth. Digital 3-D models of WSC 285 are available on MorphoSource (Project name: "Menefee Deinosuchus") and Sketchfab.

Location: Collected in San Juan County, New Mexico, on land administered by the United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM). Precise locality data are on file at WSC and the BLM.

Horizon: Collected in the Juans Lake Beds, Allison Member, Menefee Formation ([Miller,](#page-16-6) [Carey & Thompson-Rizer, 1991](#page-16-6)); middle Campanian, Upper Cretaceous ([Molenaar et al.,](#page-16-7) [2002](#page-16-7); [Lucas et al., 2005](#page-15-6)).

Description. Deinosuchus is distinct among Campanian crocodilians in exhibiting large osteoderms with notably thick cross-sections, giving them an "inflated" appearance. This inflation has a considerable range, as noted by [Holland \(1909\)](#page-15-0), who characterized medium-sized osteoderms as "almost hemispherical" and the smallest osteoderms as "spherical". Smaller to medium-sized osteoderms can be weakly keeled, a trait that disappears with additional bony overgrowth in larger specimens ([Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0)). Pitting in these osteoderms is circular, deep, and radially distributed; the depth and irregularity of pitting varies within the species of Deinosuchus, with increasing depth and increasing irregularity associated with increasing osteoderm size (Cossette \mathfrak{G} Brochu, [2020](#page-15-1)). As established by [Holland \(1909\)](#page-15-0) and reaffirmed by subsequent descriptions of Deinosuchus fossils ([Colbert & Bird, 1954](#page-15-2); [Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0); [Lucas, Sullivan & Spielmann,](#page-16-2) [2006](#page-16-2); [Cossette & Brochu, 2020](#page-15-1)), alligatoroid osteoderms can be assigned to Deinosuchus based on these features. No described specimen of Deinosuchus has preserved the entirety of the nuchal, dorsal, and sacrocaudal shields, making comparison of osteoderm morphology between species difficult (see "Discussion").

WSC 285 comprises an incomplete postcranium recovered from a single locality within the Juans Lake Beds of the Allison Member of the Menefee Formation. Material includes two complete osteoderms, one nearly complete osteoderm, and three additional osteoderm fragments ([Fig. 1](#page-5-0)). WSC 285.1, the smaller of the two complete osteoderms (Figs. $1A-1C$ $1A-1C$), likely represents a nuchal or sacrocaudal osteoderm due to its smaller size, rounded edges, and cross-sectional thickness approaching the total width ([Schwimmer,](#page-16-0) [2002](#page-16-0); also see Fig. 4H (CM 963) in *Cossette & Brochu* (2020), but also note on WSC 285.1 the lack of marginal indentation that possibly distinguishes *D. hatcheri* from other species). It is weakly keeled and highly inflated, displaying deep tear-shaped pitting- similar to the smaller, oval-shaped, and keeled osteoderms of D . schwimmeri that Cossette $\mathcal C$ Brochu [\(2020\)](#page-15-1) assigned to the nuchal shield. The larger complete osteoderm, WSC 285.2 ([Figs. 1D](#page-5-0)–[1F](#page-5-0)), measures 7.5 cm long and 11.1 cm wide at the most extreme margins, and 3.6 cm thick from its ventral surface to the apex of its keel. It shows similarities to osteoderms of D. riograndensis that Cossette $\&$ Brochu (2020) assigned a caudal position along the dorsal shield, due to width greatly exceeding length and a keel that skews slightly towards the lateral margin (see Figure 17I-J (TMM43632-1) in *[Cossette & Brochu](#page-15-1)* [\(2020\)](#page-15-1)). It also features a distinct cross-hatching texture on the ventral surface ([Fig. 1E\)](#page-5-0), a feature which *Cossette & Brochu* (2020) considered strongly indicative of dorsal placement. The large partial osteoderm, WSC 285.3 ([Figs. 1G](#page-5-0), [1H](#page-5-0)), also likely represents a component of the dorsal shield, showing similarities to the large and rectangular osteoderms of D. schwimmeri that Cossette $\&$ Brochu (2020) assigned to the dorsal shield (see the leftmost osteoderm in Figure 25J (ALMNH 1002) in *Cossette & Brochu* (2020)). Pitting on these larger osteoderms is more circular and similarly deep. Additional osteoderm fragments were recovered which exhibit the same inflated and deeply pitted structure [\(Figs. 1I](#page-5-0)–[1L\)](#page-5-0). Definitive *Deinosuchus* osteoderms, such as with the holotype of Deinosuchus hatcheri (CM 963 ([Fig. 2](#page-5-1)), and also see Fig. 4 in [Cossette & Brochu \(2020\)](#page-15-1)), show a considerable range in density of pitting as well as overall shape, even among specimens with finished edges; however, specimens show the same combination of a

Figure 1 Osteoderms of WSC 285. Osteoderms of Deinosuchus sp., including nuchal or sacrocaudal osteoderm WSC 285.1 in dorsal (A), ventral (B), and cranial or caudal (C) views; dorsal osteoderm WSC 285.2 in dorsal (D), ventral (E), and cranial or caudal (F) views; dorsal osteoderm WSC 285.3 in dorsal (G) and ventral (H) views; fragmentary osteoderms WSC 285.4 and WSC 285.5 in dorsal view (I and J); and fragmentary osteoderm WSC 285.6 in dorsal (K) and ventral (L) views. All scale bars = 5 cm. Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11302/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11302/fig-1)fig-1

Figure 2 Holotype osteoderms of Deinosuchus hatcheri. CM 963, various osteoderms from the holotype of Deinosuchus hatcheri in dorsal (A–C) views. Scale bar = 5 cm. Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11302/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11302/fig-2)fig-2

Figure 3 Caudal Vertebra of WSC 285. Caudal vertebra of Deinosuchus sp., WSC 285.7, in cranial (A), left lateral (B), and caudal (C) views. Abbreviations: ns, neural spine; poz, postzygapophysis; tp, transverse process. All scale bars = 5cm. Full-size \Box [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11302/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11302/fig-3)fig-3

typically thick cross-section (though eastern Deinosuchus schwimmeri can be much thinner), deep pitting, and inflation of the keel, if a keel is present.

The site also yielded two nearly complete caudal vertebrae. The more complete of the two (WSC 285.7; [Fig. 3](#page-6-0)) measures 11.2 cm along the craniocaudal length of the centrum, and 15.0 cm from the ventral surface of the centrum to the top of the neural spine. The neural spine is largely complete, with an elongated base extending nearly the full length of the centrum and tapering to around half its basal length at its broken apex. The left transverse process is nearly complete, extending 8.1 cm from its origin at the centrum to its tip. This process projects ventrolaterally with a moderate caudal inclination. The left postzygapophysis is well-preserved, originating in the caudal region of the base of the neural spine and projecting caudolaterally. The other caudal vertebra is less complete but approximately the same size (WSC 285.8; [Fig. 4](#page-7-0)), measuring 11.3 cm from end to end. It is strongly procoelous and both articular surfaces are well-preserved. The ventral surface of the centrum is gently concave in lateral view. The ventral surface of the centrum exhibits two parallel ridges extending craniocaudally, with a depression in between. The transverse processes are broken near their bases. The neural arch is intact but the neural spine is not preserved beyond the first few centimeters that make up its base.

A single shed neosuchian tooth was also recovered in association with the Deinosuchus postcrania [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-7-1). Though highly fragmented, portions of the crown and root are present. While we do not assign WSC 285 beyond the generic level (see Discussion), the thickness of tooth enamel has been proposed as potentially diagnostic to Deinosuchus and as a potential differentiator between eastern and western 'morphs' of Deinosuchus (see *Schwimmer*, 2002). However, the lack of definitive parameters for this kind of

Figure 4 Caudal Vertebra of WSC 285. Caudal vertebra of Deinosuchus sp., WSC 285.8, in left lateral (A), right lateral (B), dorsal (C), ventral (D), cranial (E), and caudal (F) views. Abbreviations: tp, transverse process. All scale bars = 5 cm. Full-size \Box [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11302/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11302/fig-4)fig-4

Figure 5 Associated crocodyliform tooth. Tooth found associated with WSC 285 in cross-sectional (A) and external (B) views. Scale = 5 mm. Full-size \Box [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11302/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11302/fig-5)fig-5

evaluation, along with newly introduced osteological differentiators between D. riograndensis and D. schwimmeri ([Cossette & Brochu, 2020](#page-15-1)) means that this method has fallen out of favor.

DISCUSSION

Though osteoderm morphology appears to be sufficient for assignment of neosuchian material to Deinosuchus, it is important to consider cases where assignment was unclear or has since been disputed. Foster $\&$ Hunt-Foster (2015) reported a neosuchian osteoderm from the Williams Fork Formation of Colorado, at around 74.6–72.7 Ma ([Fowler, 2017](#page-15-12)). This specimen, MWC 8240, is a large osteoderm resembling those of Deinosuchus in its deep pitting, but atypical in that it is not inflated and appears to be more subrectangular. Osteoderms morphologically similar to MWC 8240 but smaller in size are abundant in the Williams Fork Formation ([Foster & Hunt-Foster, 2015](#page-15-11)), indicating an abundance of this morph as juveniles, or perhaps that few adults achieved maximum size. Whether this represents a new species of Deinosuchus or a separate genus of neosuchian is unclear, and therefore a diagnosis beyond Neosuchia was not made ([Foster & Hunt-Foster, 2015](#page-15-11)). Similar to MWC 8240 is a specimen reported from the Mesaverde Formation of Wyoming, assigned directly to Deinosuchus sp. ([Wahl & Hogbin, 2003](#page-17-7)). This specimen, UW 16040, is an osteoderm of similar construction to MWC 8240 in that it displays deep pitting and large size typical of Deinosuchus, but differs from Deinosuchus in its subrectangular shape and thin cross-section. Wahl $\mathcal{O}(1003)$ argued that the thin cross-section is a taphonomic artefact resulting from erosion of the ventral surface, though Foster \mathfrak{G} [Hunt-Foster \(2015\)](#page-15-11) considered this unlikely.

[Cossette & Brochu \(2020\)](#page-15-1) revised the taxonomy of Deinosuchus and recognized three species: D. hatcheri, D. riograndensis, and D. schwimmeri. The latter two species, D. riograndensis and D. schwimmeri, are known from more complete material and are distinguished primarily using characters present in cranial material, which WSC 285 lacks for comparison. Osteoderm morphology is also a suggested differentiator, with D. hatcheri displaying the highest degree of keel inflation along with lumpy and overall irregular shape; D. riograndensis displaying less exaggerated keel inflation but invariably lumpy and overall irregular shape; and D. schwimmeri displaying exaggerated keel inflation (but variable degree of inflation and shape of the keel) and more regular overall shape ([Cossette](#page-15-1) $\&$ Brochu, 2020). A feature considered possibly diagnostic for D. hatcheri by Cossette $\&$ [Brochu \(2020\)](#page-15-1) is a unique indentation along one edge of dorsal osteoderms, which might indicate placement along the lateral edge of the dorsal shield. None of the six complete or partial osteoderms of WSC 285 exhibit such an indentation, thereby precluding referral to D. hatcheri. Nevertheless, the osteoderms of WSC 285 are consistent with specimens of the three species of Deinosuchus in having a rounded to subglobose shape, inflated keel, and deep circular pitting, which we consider sufficient for assignment to Deinosuchus; a taxonomic assignment no more specific than Deinosuchus sp. is most prudent at this time.

Although WSC 285 probably ranks among the oldest examples known for the genus Deinosuchus, resolving an exact age for any material recovered from the Menefee Formation is difficult. Based upon correlation with marine biostratigraphic zones, [Molenaar et al. \(2002\)](#page-16-7) showed a range of approximately 84.0-78.5 Ma for the formation. The occurrence of the ammonite index fossil Baculites perplexus in the overlying Cliff

House Sandstone near our field area indicates that WSC 285 must be older than 78.5 Ma ([Siemers & King, 1974](#page-17-5); [Molenaar et al., 2002](#page-16-7)). [Lucas et al. \(2005\)](#page-15-6) determined a more precise age of 78.22 ± 0.26 Ma for the upper reaches of the Menefee Formation based on radioisotopic data from the Gallina hogback, located in the eastern San Juan Basin. However, this date is in conflict with palynostratigraphic data reported in the same study: pollen recovered from carbonaceous mudstone throughout Menefee exposures at the Gallina hogback yielded an assemblage similar to late Santonian to earliest Campanian pollen from the Milk River, Telegraph Creek, and lower Eagle Formations farther north in Laramidia (*[Lucas et al., 2005](#page-15-6)*). The possibility of a transgressive unconformity at the base of the Cliff House Sandstone, as well as potential issues with extrapolating age based on palynomorphs from as far north as Alberta, Canada, means that more intensive study is needed before this discrepancy can be explained (*Lucas et al.*, 2005).

Within the Allison Member are three informally recognized subdivisions: from lowest to highest, these are the Lower Beds, the Juans Lake Beds, and the La Vida Beds ([Miller, Carey & Thompson-Rizer, 1991](#page-16-6)). Deinosuchus sp. (WSC 285) is herein reported from the Juans Lake Beds (*[Miller, Carey & Thompson-Rizer, 1991](#page-16-6)*), high in the Allison Member of the Menefee Formation but well below the Cliff House Sandstone in which Baculites perplexus occurs (Siemers \mathcal{O}_K King, 1974), leading to a tentative age estimation of 80-79 Ma. Elsewhere in the San Juan Basin of northwestern New Mexico, Deinosuchus sp. has previously been recovered from the Fossil Forest Member of the Fruitland Formation ([Lucas, Sullivan & Spielmann, 2006](#page-16-2); [Sullivan & Lucas, 2006](#page-17-0); [Cossette & Brochu,](#page-15-1) [2020](#page-15-1)) which is dated to a narrow window of 75.4 to 75.2 Ma ([Fowler, 2017](#page-15-12)).

Material comparable in age to WSC 285 has been found in the lower to middle Campanian Aguja Formation of Texas. The lower shale member of the Aguja Formation, which is constrained to between 82 and 80.5 Ma ([Fowler, 2017](#page-15-12)), has produced an osteoderm tentatively assigned to cf. Deinosuchus sp. by [Lehman et al. \(2019\)](#page-15-13). The younger upper shale member of the Aguja Formation, between 80 and 77 million years old, contains Deinosuchus in higher abundance ([Lehman et al. \(2019\)](#page-15-13); assigned to D. riograndensis by [Cossette & Brochu \(2020\)](#page-15-1)), while the upper shale member of the Aguja Formation in neighboring Coahuila, northern Mexico, has produced a partial left surangular, teeth, and postcranial material including osteoderms (Rivera-Sylva \mathcal{E} Frey, [2011](#page-16-9); [Rivera-Sylva et al., 2011](#page-16-10)). The lower-to-middle Campanian Wahweap Formation of Utah, roughly similar in age to the Allison Member, thus far lacks any material of Deinosuchus ([Irmis et al., 2013](#page-15-3)), while the overlying Kaiparowits Formation, at about 76.5 to 74.5 Ma ([Fowler, 2017](#page-15-12)), has produced material referable to Deinosuchus sp. ([Titus et al., 2008](#page-17-1); [Irmis et al., 2013](#page-15-3); [Cossette & Brochu, 2020](#page-15-1)). The holotype of Deinosuchus, CM 963, was found farther north in the Judith River Formation of Montana, at approximately 79.5 to 75 Ma ([Holland, 1909](#page-15-0); [Lucas, Sullivan & Spielmann, 2006](#page-16-2); [Fowler,](#page-15-12) [2017](#page-15-12)).

To the east, Deinosuchus schwimmeri has been reported from the Mooreville Formation of Alabama ([Cossette & Brochu, 2020](#page-15-1)), which is between 84 and 79.5 Ma (see Fig. 1 in [Puckett, 2005](#page-16-11)). This represents the oldest currently known remains of Deinosuchus, estimated at 82 Ma ([Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0)). The Blufftown Formation in Alabama and

Georgia, which correlates to the Mooreville Formation in Alabama ([Sohl, 1964](#page-17-8)), has also produced Deinosuchus fossils dating to between 82 and 79 Ma ([Schwimmer et al., 1993](#page-17-9); [Schwimmer, Stewart & Williams, 1994](#page-16-12); Fig. 4.6 in [Schwimmer \(2002\)](#page-16-0)), itself closely corresponding in age to the Allison Member of the Menefee Formation. *Schwimmer* (2002) reported Deinosuchus from the Demopolis Chalk in Alabama, between 79.5 and 74 Ma (see Fig. 2 in [Prieto-Márquez, Erickson & Ebersole \(2016\)](#page-16-13)); [Manning & Dockery \(1992\)](#page-16-1) also reported Deinosuchus from the Demopolis Chalk in neighboring Mississippi. Deinosuchus schwimmeri was recently named based upon material from the Coffee Sand Formation of Mississippi (*[Cossette & Brochu, 2020](#page-15-1)*), a formation which correlates to the Blufftown Formation of Alabama and Georgia in its lower portion and the Demopolis Chalk of Mississippi and Alabama in its upper portion ([Sohl, 1964](#page-17-8)). Cossette $\mathcal C$ Brochu [\(2020\)](#page-15-1) referred to the Coffee Sand Formation as middle Campanian, constraining it to between approximately 81 and 76.5 Ma according to *[Fowler \(2017\)](#page-15-12)*. Fossils of *Deinosuchus* have also been recovered from the Black Creek Formation of North Carolina, which is between 80 and 71 Ma (see Fig. 4.6 in [Schwimmer \(2002\)](#page-16-0)); however, [Schwimmer \(2002\)](#page-16-0) reported that eastern Deinosuchus appear to go extinct in the southeastern United States by about 77 Ma. Finally, Deinosuchus is also reported from the Marshalltown Formation of New Jersey ([Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0)), which dates to between 79.6 and 76.4 Ma ([Denton &](#page-15-14) [Tashjian, 2012](#page-15-14); [Brownstein, 2018](#page-14-2)).

Therefore, WSC 285 from the Allison Member of the Menefee Formation represents one of the oldest records of Deinosuchus from Laramidia, and is likely within a few million years of the oldest occurrences in Appalachia. This indicates an early and wide distribution of the genus throughout the southern regions of both subcontinents (New Mexico, Texas, Coahuila, Alabama, Georgia, and Mississippi) by the middle Campanian ([Fig. 6](#page-11-0)). More intensive sampling of Campanian rocks in these regions, along with more precise dating, may make it possible to discern which region the genus originally evolved in and what route was taken to spread across North America. Though Deinosuchus was certainly capable of travelling through aquatic environments as well as on land, it is unlikely that this radiation was achieved directly by swimming across the Western Interior Seaway ([Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0)). Wells drilled in the southern portion of the former Seaway have recovered pyroclastics, lava flows, and breccia-filled plugs that point to the presence of a volcanic island chain in the region, which might have provided a terrestrial or near-shore route of dispersion for *Deinosuchus*, as well as for other fauna (*Carpenter*, [1982](#page-15-15)). Alternatively, [Cossette & Brochu \(2020\)](#page-15-1) posited that radiation of an ancestral alligatoroid before the transgression of the Western Interior Seaway is likely, leading to allopatric speciation among early populations of Deinosuchus.

Eastern remains of Deinosuchus have been recovered from marine beds, suggesting an association with saltwater. However, due to the nearly complete lack of terrestrial beds representing the Appalachian landmass during the Campanian, it is unclear whether these animals lived in near-shore marine environments or were merely deposited in them ([Schwimmer, 2002](#page-16-0)). In contrast, Laramidian Deinosuchus have been found in terrestrial beds, such as the Fruitland, Kaiparowits, Judith River, and Aguja formations (*Holland*, [1909](#page-15-0); [Colbert & Bird, 1954](#page-15-2); [Lucas, Sullivan & Spielmann, 2006](#page-16-2); [Irmis et al., 2013](#page-15-3);

Figure 6 Biogeography of Deinosuchus. Dashed bars indicate either uncertainty in the ages of Deinosuchus-bearing units or portions of units in which Deinosuchus has not been documented. The skeletal reconstruction of Deinosuchus used here was provided by the artist, Tyler Holmes, and is used with permission. The map of North America was created by approximately tracing the paleogeographic map of the Western Interior Seaway created by the Cretaceous Atlas of Ancient Life [\(https://www.](https://www.cretaceousatlas.org/geology/) [cretaceousatlas.org/geology/\)](https://www.cretaceousatlas.org/geology/), licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/deed.en_US). Data for the Coffee Sand Formation occurrence comes from [Cossette & Brochu \(2020\)](#page-15-1) and [Fowler \(2017\)](#page-15-12); for the Demopolis Chalk, from [Manning & Dockery \(1992\)](#page-16-1), [Schwimmer \(2002\)](#page-16-0), and [Prieto-Márquez,](#page-16-13) [Erickson & Ebersole \(2016\)](#page-16-13); for the Mooreville Formation, from [Schwimmer \(2002\)](#page-16-0), [Puckett \(2005\)](#page-16-11), and [Cossette & Brochu \(2020\)](#page-15-1); for the Blufftown Formation, from [Sohl \(1964\)](#page-17-8), [Schwimmer et al. \(1993\)](#page-17-9), [Schwimmer, Stewart & Williams \(1994\)](#page-16-12), and [Schwimmer \(2002\)](#page-16-0); for the Black Creek Formation, from

Figure 6 (continued)

[Schwimmer \(2002\)](#page-16-0); for the Marshalltown Formation, from Schwimmer (2002), [Denton & Tashjian \(2012\)](#page-15-14), and [Brownstein \(2018\)](#page-14-2); for the Judith River Formation, from [Holland \(1909\)](#page-15-0), Lucas, Sullivan \mathfrak{G} [Spielmann \(2006\)](#page-16-2), and [Fowler \(2017\)](#page-15-12); for the Aguja Formation, from [Rivera-Sylva & Frey \(2011\)](#page-16-9), [Rivera-Sylva et al. \(2011\)](#page-16-10), [Fowler \(2017\)](#page-15-12), and [Lehman et al. \(2019\)](#page-15-13); for the Menefee Formation, from [Dane](#page-15-8) [\(1936\)](#page-15-8), [Siemers & King \(1974\)](#page-17-5), [Miller, Carey & Thompson-Rizer \(1991\)](#page-16-6), [Beaumont & Hoffman \(1992\)](#page-14-1), [Molenaar et al. \(2002\)](#page-16-7), and [Lucas et al. \(2005\)](#page-15-6); for the Fruitland Formation, from [Sullivan & Lucas \(2006\)](#page-17-0), [Lucas, Sullivan & Spielmann \(2006\)](#page-16-2), [Fowler \(2017\)](#page-15-12), and [Cossette & Brochu \(2020\)](#page-15-1); and for the Kaiparowits Formation from [Titus et al. \(2008\)](#page-17-1), [Irmis et al. \(2013\)](#page-15-3), [Fowler \(2017\)](#page-15-12), and [Cossette & Brochu \(2020\)](#page-15-1). Abbreviations are as follows: MS, Mississippi; AL, Alabama; GA, Georgia; NC, North Carolina; NJ, New Jersey; MT, Montana; TX, Texas; CH, Coahuila; NM, New Mexico; UT, Utah.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11302/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11302/fig-6)fig-6

[Lehman et al., 2019](#page-15-13); [Cossette & Brochu, 2020](#page-15-1)). [Rivera-Sylva et al. \(2011\)](#page-16-10) specifically reported Deinosuchus material from deltaic brackish facies of the Aguja Formation in Coahuila, Mexico. Very few fossils of D. riograndensis and D. schwimmeri have been found in deep-water deposits despite favorable preservation conditions existing there, further suggesting that the large alligatoroid did not often enter open water (*Cossette & Brochu*, [2020](#page-15-1)). Furthermore, Deinosuchus has no clear adaptations for processing and secreting excess salt ([Cossette & Brochu, 2020](#page-15-1)).

WSC 285 was recovered from a site geographically and stratigraphically among numerous dinosaur and freshwater trionychid turtle localities. This, along with the aforementioned freshwater bivalves present at the field site, indicate that WSC 285 is another example of Deinosuchus from a terrestrial freshwater setting. However, detailed stratigraphic and sedimentological data for this and other localities in our field area are required to fully elucidate the depositional history of the Allison Member; [Lewis et al.](#page-15-16) [\(2007\)](#page-15-16) reported a vertebrate microsite in the Allison Member in the eastern San Juan Basin which preserves a mixed fauna of terrestrial and marine taxa, stressing the need for close examination of these beds before drawing strong paleobiological conclusions. This work is ongoing and will be published elsewhere.

It is also worth considering available food sources when evaluating the distribution of *Deinosuchus.* It has been suggested by *Cossette & Brochu* (2020) that the larger body size of the western species Deinosuchus riograndensis over the eastern species Deinosuchus schwimmeri may have been due to more favorable climate, more abundant prey, or both. Further paleoecological and paleoclimatological reconstructions of conditions during deposition of the Allison Member may help contribute to a better understanding of coastal Western Interior productivity and climate, which in turn would help test that hypothesis. To date, dinosaur faunas from the few "middle" Cretaceous terrestrial strata deposited during maximum sea-level rise are composed of smaller members of well-known groups that reached larger sizes by the Campanian ([Nesbitt et al., 2019](#page-16-14)). As seas retreated, coastal areas expanded, and as forests became larger so did the herbivores and predators dependent upon them. The middle Campanian Menefee Formation dinosaurs are much larger than the Turonian dinosaurs of the Moreno Hill Formation in west-central New Mexico, within comparable clades (ceratopsians, tyrannosauroids, hadrosauroids).

The size and distribution of Deinosuchus may thus reflect the increased size and abundance of prey within expanding coastal environments.

CONCLUSIONS

Fragmentary associated remains of Deinosuchus sp. are reported from the Allison Member of the Menefee Formation, which extends the temporal record of this alligatoroid in the San Juan Basin of northwestern New Mexico back to the middle Campanian. While it was previously known that Deinosuchus was widely distributed in southern Appalachia (now the southeastern United States) around this time, and tentatively suggested that it had reached as far westward as Texas, it is now known definitively that the genus was also present within the coastal ecosystems of southern Laramidia by the middle Campanian.

INSTITUTIONAL ABBREVIATIONS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Photographs of the Deinosuchus holotype (CM 963) were provided by Amy Henrici (Carnegie Museum of Natural History) and are used with permission. Photographs used in [Fig. 5](#page-7-1) were provided by Mark Fredricksen and Brett Dooley (Western Science Center). The authors would also like to recognize the contributions of co-discoverer Sherman Q. Mohler, who through his years of leadership at the Southwest Paleontological Society has provided critical financial and logistical support to the Menefee Project for a full decade. Fossils were discovered by Mark Howard and Sherman Q. Mohler, collected by Mark Howard, Kara A. Kelley, Andrew T. McDonald, Benjamin F. Mohler, Sherman Q. Mohler, Joe Reavis, and Douglas G. Wolfe. Preparation at the Western Science Center was carried out by Leya Collins, Darla Radford, and Joe Reavis. The digital 3-D models were created at Western Science Center by Alton Dooley and Brett Dooley. We thank the reviewers, Joshua Cohen, Joseph Frederickson, and David Schwimmer, for their comments that improved the manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

The field work that led to discovery of the specimen was supported by small individual donations to the Zuni Dinosaur Institute for Geosciences and Southwest Paleontological Society. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Zuni Dinosaur Institute for Geosciences. Southwest Paleontological Society.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Benjamin F. Mohler is employed by the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona; Andrew T. McDonald is employed by Western Science Center, Hemet, California; and Douglas G. Wolfe is employed by Zuni Dinosaur Institute for Geosciences, Springerville, Arizona.

Author Contributions

- Benjamin F. Mohler performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Andrew T. McDonald conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Douglas G. Wolfe conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

Field Study Permissions

The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

Fieldwork was conducted under permit issued by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (Permit NM 18-03S).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

Fossils are available at the Western Science Center (WSC), ID WSC 285.1-285.8 The 3D files are available at MorphoSource:

[DOI 10.17602/M2/M348667](https://dx.doi.org/10.17602/M2/M348667).

[DOI 10.17602/M2/M348663](https://dx.doi.org/10.17602/M2/M348663).

[DOI 10.17602/M2/M348659](https://dx.doi.org/10.17602/M2/M348659).

[DOI 10.17602/M2/M348655](https://dx.doi.org/10.17602/M2/M348655).

REFERENCES

Beaumont EC, Hoffman GK. 1992. Interrelationships between the upper coal member of the Menefee Formation, the La Venta Tongue, and the Lewis Shale in the southeastern San Juan Basin, New Mexico. In: San Juan Basin IV, New Mexico Geological Society 43rd Annual Fall Field Conference Guidebook 207-216.

Beaumont EC, Dane CH, Sears JD. 1956. Revised nomenclature of Mesaverde Group in San Juan Basin, New Mexico. Bulletin of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists 40:2149–2162.

Brownstein CD. 2018. The distinctive theropod assemblage of the Ellisdale site of New Jersey and its implications for North American dinosaur ecology and evolution during the Cretaceous. Journal of Paleontology 92(6):1115–1129 [DOI 10.1017/jpa.2018.42](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/jpa.2018.42).

- Carpenter K. 1982. The oldest Late Cretaceous dinosaurs in North America? Mississippi Geology $3(2):11-17.$
- Colbert EH, Bird RT. 1954. A gigantic crocodile from the Upper Cretaceous beds of Texas. American Museum Novitates 1688:1–22.
- Cossette AP, Brochu CA. 2020. A systematic review of the giant alligatoroid Deinosuchus from the Campanian of North America and its implications for the relationships at the root of Crocodylia. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 40(1):e1767638 [DOI 10.1080/02724634.2020.1767638.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2020.1767638)
- Dalman SG, Lucas SG. 2018. Tyrannosaurid dinosaurs (Theropoda: Tyrannosauridae) from the upper Cretaceous (early Campanian) Allison Member of the Menefee Formation, New Mexico: implications for the origin of Tyrannosauridae in North America. Fossil Record 6. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 79:99–112.
- Dane CH. 1936. The La Ventana-Chacra Mesa coal field in: Geology and fuel resources of the southern part of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico. U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 860(3):81-166.
- Denton RK, Tashjian PL. 2012. The Ellisdale Member of the Lower Marshalltown Formation: evidence from the Ellisdale Dinosaur Site, Campanian (Late Cretaceous) of New Jersey. Geologic Society of America Abstracts with Programs 44:445.
- Donselaar ME. 1989. The Cliff House Sandstone, San Juan Basin, New Mexico; model for the stacking of transgressive barrier complexes. Journal of Sedimentary Research 59(1):13-27.
- Foster JR, Hunt-Foster RK. 2015. First report of a giant neosuchian (Crocodyliformes) in the Williams Fork Formation (Upper Cretaceous: Campanian) of Colorado. Cretaceous Research 55(supplement to 2):66–73 [DOI 10.1016/j.cretres.2015.02.003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2015.02.003)
- Fowler DW. 2017. Revised geochronology, correlation, and dinosaur stratigraphic ranges of the Santonian-Maastrichtian (Late Cretaceous) formations of the Western Interior of North America. PLOS ONE 12(11):e0188426 [DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0188426.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188426)
- Gmelin JF. 1789. Caroli a Linné. Sistema Naturae per regna tri naturae: secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Leipzig 1(3):1033–1516.
- Gray JE. 1844. Catalogue of the tortoises, crocodiles, and amphibaenians in the collection of the British Museum. London: The Trustees, 102.
- Hoffman GK, Campbell FW, Beaumont EC. 1993. Quality assessment of strippable coals in northwestern New Mexico; Fruitland, Menefee, and Crevasse Canyon formation coals in San Juan Basin, and Moreno Hill Formation coals in Salt Lake field. New Mexico Bureau of Mines & Mineral Resources, Bulletin 141.
- Holland WJ. 1909. Deinosuchus hatcheri, a new genus and species of crocodile from the Judith River Beds of Montana. Annals of the Carnegie Museum 6:281–294.
- Irmis RB, Hutchison JH, Sertich JJW, Titus AL. 2013. Crocodyliforms from the Late Cretaceous of Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument and vicinity, Southern Utah, U.S.A. In: Titus AL, Loewen MA, eds. At the Top of the Grand Staircase: The Late Cretaceous of Southern Utah. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 424–444.
- Lehman TM, Wick SL, Brink AA, Shiller TA. 2019. Stratigraphy and vertebrate fauna of the Lower Shale Member of the Aguja Formation (Lower Campanian) in west Texas. Cretaceous Research 99(3):291–314 [DOI 10.1016/j.cretres.2019.02.028.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2019.02.028)
- Lewis C, Heckert AB, Lucas SG, Williamson TE. 2007. A diverse new mixed marine-nonmarine microvertebrate fauna from the Upper Cretaceous (late Santonian-early Campanian) Menefee Formation of New Mexico. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 27(3):105A.
- Lucas SG, Spielmann JA, Braman DR, Brister BS, Peters L, McIntosh WC. 2005. Age of the Cretaceous Menefee Formation, Gallina hogback, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

In: Lucas SG, Zeigler KE, Lueth VW, Owen DE, eds. Geology of the Chama Basin. New Mexico Geological Society 56th Annual Fall Field Conference Guidebook. 231-235.

- Lucas SG, Sullivan RM, Spielmann JA. 2006. The giant crocodylian Deinosuchus from the Upper Cretaceous of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico, Late Cretaceous Vertebrates from the Western Interior. In: Lucas SG, Sullivan RM, eds. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 35:245–248.
- Manning EM, Dockery DT. 1992. A guide to the Frankstown vertebrate fossil locality (Upper Cretaceous), Prentiss County, Mississippi. Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality, Geology Circular 4:1–43.
- McDonald AT, Wolfe DG. 2018. A new nodosaurid ankylosaur (Dinosauria: Thyreophora) from the Upper Cretaceous Menefee Formation of New Mexico. PeerJ 6(2):e5435 [DOI 10.7717/peerj.5435.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5435)
- McDonald AT, Wolfe DG, Dooley AC. 2018. A new tyrannosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Upper Cretaceous Menefee Formation of New Mexico. PeerJ 6(7646):5749 [DOI 10.7717/peerj.5749.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5749)
- McDonald AT, Wolfe DG, Freedman Fowler EA, Gates TA. 2021. A new brachylophosaurin (Dinosauria: Hadrosauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous Menefee Formation of New Mexico. PeerJ 9:e11084 [DOI 10.7717/peerj.11084](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11084).
- Miller RL, Carey MA, Thompson-Rizer CL. 1991. Geology of the La Vida Mission Quadrangle, San Juan and McKinley counties, New Mexico. U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1940:1–64.
- Molenaar CM, Cobban WA, Merewether EA, Pillmore CL, Wolfe DG, Holbrook JM. 2002. Regional Stratigraphic Cross Sections of Cretaceous Rocks from East-Central Arizona to the Oklahoma Panhandle. In: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-2382.
- Nesbitt SJ, Denton RK, Loewen MA, Brusatte SL, Smith ND, Turner AH, Kirkland JI, McDonald AT, Wolfe DG. 2019. A mid-Cretaceous tyrannosauroid and the origin of North American end-Cretaceous dinosaur assemblages. Nature Ecology & Evolution 3(6):892-899 [DOI 10.1038/s41559-019-0888-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0888-0).
- Pike WS. 1947. Intertonguing Marine and Nonmarine Upper Cretaceous Deposits of New Mexico, Arizona, and Southwestern Colorado. In: The Geological Society of America, Memoir 24.
- Prieto-Márquez A, Erickson GM, Ebersole JA. 2016. Anatomy and osteohistology of the basal hadrosaurid Eotrachodon from the uppermost Santonian (Cretaceous) of southern Appalachia. PeerJ 4(1):e1872 [DOI 10.7717/peerj.1872](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1872).
- Puckett TM. 2005. Santonian-Maastrichtian planktonic-foraminiferal and ostracode biostratigraphy, northern Gulf Coastal Plain, USA. Stratigraphy 2(2):117–137.
- Rivera-Sylva HE, Frey E. 2011. The first mandible fragment of Deinosuchus (Eusuchia: Alligatoroidea) discovered in Coahuila. Mexico Boletín De La Sociedad Geológica Mexicana 63(3):459–462 [DOI 10.18268/bsgm2011v63n3a7](http://dx.doi.org/10.18268/bsgm2011v63n3a7).
- Rivera-Sylva HE, Frey E, Guzmán-Gutierrez JR, Palomino-Sánzhez F, Stinnesbeck W. 2011. A Deinosuchus riograndensis (Eusuchia: Alligatoroidea) from Coahuila, North Mexico. Revista mexicana de ciencias geológicas 28:267–274.
- Schwimmer DR. 2002. King of the Crocodylians: the Paleobiology of Deinosuchus. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 219.
- Schwimmer DR, Stewart JD, Williams GD. 1994. Giant fossil coelacanths of the Late Cretaceous in the eastern United States. Geology 22(6):503–506 [DOI 10.1130/0091-7613\(1994\)022<0503:GFCOTL>2.3.CO;2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022%3C0503:GFCOTL%3E2.3.CO;2)
- Schwimmer DR, Williams GD, Dobie JL, Siesser WG. 1993. Late Cretaceous dinosaurs from the Blufftown Formation in western Georgia and eastern Alabama. Journal of Paleontology 67(2):288–296 [DOI 10.1017/S0022336000032212.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022336000032212)
- Sears JD. 1925. Geology and coal resources of the Gallup-Zuni Basin, New Mexico. U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 767:1–53.
- Siemers CT, King NR. 1974. Macroinvertebrate paleoecology of a transgressive marine sandstone, Cliff House Sandstone (Upper Cretaceous), Chaco Canyon, northwestern New Mexico. In: New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook. 25th Field Conference, Ghost Ranch (Central-Northern N. M.). 267–277.
- Sohl NF. 1964. Gastropods from the Coffee Sand (Upper Cretaceous) of Mississippi. Geological Survey Professional Paper 331-C:345–391 [DOI 10.3133/pp331c.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/pp331c)
- Sullivan RM, Lucas SG. 2006. The Kirtland land-vertebrate age- faunal composition, temporal position, and biostratigraphic correlation in the nonmarine Upper Cretaceous of western North America, Late Cretaceous Vertebrates from the Western Interior. In: Lucas SG, Sullivan RM, eds. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 35:7–29.
- Titus AL, Knell MJ, Wiersma JP, Getty MA. 2008. First report of the hyper-giant Cretaceous crocodylian Deinosuchus from Utah. Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs 40(1):58.
- Varela PJ, Santucci VL, Tweet J. 2019. Chaco Culture National Historical Park Paleontological Resources Inventory. Natural Resource Report NPS/CHCU/NRR-2019/1915. Available at <irma.nps.gov>.
- Wahl WR, Hogbin J. 2003. Deinosuchus material from the Mesaverde Formation of Wyoming: filling in a gap. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 23(Supplement to number 3):107A.
- Williamson TE. 1996. ?Brachychampsa sealeyi, sp. nov., (Crocodylia, Alligatoroidea) from the Upper Cretaceous (lower Campanian) Menefee Formation, Northwestern New Mexico. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 16(3):421–431 [DOI 10.1080/02724634.1996.10011331.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02724634.1996.10011331)
- Williamson TE. 1997. A new late Cretaceous (early Campanian) vertebrate fauna from the Allison Member, Menefee Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico. New Mexico's Fossil Record 1. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 11:51–59.