

HHS Public Access

JAm Soc Echocardiogr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 May 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2020 May ; 33(5): 641–644.e2. doi:10.1016/j.echo.2020.01.011.

Simulation of Transcatheter Atrial and Ventricular Septal Defect Device Closure Within 3D Echo Derived Heart Models On Screen and in Virtual Reality

Hannah H. Nam, BA¹, Christian Herz, MS¹, Andras Lasso, PhD², Simon Drouin, PhD³, Adriana Posada, MD¹, Brian Morray, MD⁵, Michael L. O'Byrne, MD⁶, Beatriz Paniagua, PhD⁴, Denise Joffe, MD⁷, Burkhard Mackensen, MD⁷, Lindsay Rogers, MD⁶, Gabor Fichtinger, PhD², Matthew A. Jolley, MD^{1,6}

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 3401 Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia, PA, USA

²Laboratory for Percutaneous Surgery, Queen's University, Goodwin Hall, 25 Union St, Kingston, ON, CA

³Department of Radiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis Street, Boston, MA 02115, USA

⁴Kitware, Inc, Clifton Park, NY, USA

⁵Division of Pediatric Cardiology, Seattle Children's Hospital, 4800 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA, USA

⁶Division of Cardiology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 3401 Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁷Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Division of Cardiothoracic Anesthesiology, University of Washington Medical Center, 1959 NE Pacific Street, Seattle, WA, USA

Transcatheter device closures of atrial septal defects (ASD) and ventricular septal defects (VSD) have many advantages over surgical repair. Percutaneous intervention avoids the morbidity and mortality associated with open heart surgery, and is associated with a shorter hospital stay, lower risk of complications, and lower procedural costs.[1] Appropriate matching of the device to the defect is crucial to successfully close the defect and to prevent complications such as device embolization, erosion, and obstruction of structures near the device. Two-dimensional (2D) echocardiographic and three-dimensional (3D) echocardiographic (3DE) data are used to inform this process, but typically require multiple measurements in different imaging planes. The complexity of cardiac anatomy can make 2D and even 3D derived linear measurements difficult to translate into improved contextualization of relevant patient anatomy and appropriate device selection.

Corresponding Author: Matthew Jolley, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 3401 Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia, PA 19104, Phone 267-426-8794, JOLLEYM@email.chop.edu.

Disclosures: Beatriz Paniagua is an employee of Kitware, Inc., an open-source software company.

Nam et al.

In order to inform patient selection and appropriate device selection for ASD and VSD closure, we created a modeling and visualization system to insert virtual device models into both segmented and volume rendered 3DE images of patients with ASD and VSD using custom code in 3D Slicer.[2, 3] First, we generated parametric models mimicking the size and shape of commonly used commercial occlusion devices including 30 ASD occlusion devices, 8 muscular VSD occlusion devices, and 13 devices designed for patent ductus arteriosus occlusion (Video 1). In addition to these pre-configured devices, we constructed a simple user interface enabling users to create new "prototype" devices (Video 2). We then created static 3D segmentations of hearts with septal defects from 3D transesophogeal images using segmentation tools in 3D Slicer as we have previously described (Figure S1). [3, 4] Segmentation takes time and manual effort, and although necessary for modalities such as 3D printing, is not practical for visualization in multiple frames of data. To address this, we also created custom echo-focused volume rendering functionality(Figure 1, Figure S2, Video 1, Video 3, Video 4).

We then inserted these virtual devices into the segmented and volume rendered images to better understand 3D spatial relationships of the device with surrounding cardiac structures(Videos 3 and 4). Devices were moved within the 3D models and volume rendered images using linear and rotational transforms. While feasible, we found it relatively challenging to place devices in 3D onscreen views due to the inability to appreciate the "depth" of the object relative to the heart models. As such, we explored visualization of models and rendered images in virtual reality (VR) on an HTC Vive system (HTC, Taoyuan City, Taiwan) using the SlicerVR extension.[2] VR provides the depth cues of stereo disparity and motion parallax, and an immersive experience not available via traditional viewing. Subjectively, it is much more intuitive to place devices in VR than to move devices through orthogonal 2D planes or in "3D" views on flat screens.

Finally, we created handles on the devices which allowed the devices to be deformed, or curved around the visualized anatomy. The difference in shape between the deformed device and the original device was calculated across the device, and then displayed as a color map showing regions of the device which were displaced(Figure S2).

We believe that having this ability to build both novel and existing devices, and to interact with them in routine, clinically acquired 3D images has the potential to inform both patient candidacy for device closure and appropriate device selection. Modeling such as this could eventually be incorporated into commercial echo platforms, allowing virtual "testing" of device placements in multiple cardiac phases, rather than inferring adequate fit from multiple 2D measurements. The combination of the deformation measurements and spring constants from commercial devices could, in the future, allow calculation of force of the device on the tissue which may be relevant for predicting embolization and erosion.[3] We postulate that application of these methods could inform device selection for multiple procedures, as recently demonstrated using 3D printing.[5]

We have made this device insertion functionality part of the SlicerHeart module within the 3D Slicer Extension manager. The 3D Slicer platform is available at http://www.slicer.org. Open-source code and documentation are available at https://github.com/SlicerHeart.

J Am Soc Echocardiogr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 May 01.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, A Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Cardiac Center Innovation Grant, National Institute of Health NIBIB R01EB021391, and CANARIE's Research Software Program.

References

- Holzer R, Balzer D, Cao QL, Lock K, Hijazi ZM, Amplatzer Muscular Ventricular Septal Defect I. Device closure of muscular ventricular septal defects using the Amplatzer muscular ventricular septal defect occluder: immediate and mid-term results of a U.S. registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43:1257–63. [PubMed: 15063439]
- [2]. Lasso A, Nam HH, Dinh PV, Pinter C, Fillion-Robin JC, Pieper S, et al. Interaction with Volume-Rendered Three-Dimensional Echocardiographic Images in Virtual Reality. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2018;31:1158–60. [PubMed: 30093145]
- [3]. Jolley MA, Lasso A, Nam HH, Dinh PV, Scanlan AB, Nguyen AV, et al. Toward predictive modeling of catheter-based pulmonary valve replacement into native right ventricular outflow tracts. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;93:E143–E52. [PubMed: 30444053]
- [4]. Scanlan AB, Nguyen AV, Ilina A, Lasso A, Cripe L, Jegatheeswaran A, et al. Comparison of 3D Echocardiogram-Derived 3D Printed Valve Models to Molded Models for Simulated Repair of Pediatric Atrioventricular Valves. Pediatr Cardiol. 2018;39:538–47. [PubMed: 29181795]
- [5]. Fan Y, Yang F, Cheung GS, Chan AK, Wang DD, Lam YY, et al. Device Sizing Guided by Echocardiography-Based Three-Dimensional Printing Is Associated with Superior Outcome after Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2019;32:708–19 e1. [PubMed: 30948144]

Nam et al.



Figure 1.

Volume Rendered Images of VSD and Virtual Implantation of a Muscular VSD Occlusion Device. A. Philips Qlab volume rendering of VSD; B. 3D Slicer volume rendering of VSD; C. Virtual implantation of muscular VSD occlusion device in volume rendered image in 3D Slicer.