Intrapartum Group B Streptococcal Prophylaxis and Childhood Allergic Disorders

Miren B. Dhudasia, MBBS, MPH,^{a,b} Jonathan M. Spergel, MD, PhD,^{c,d} Karen M. Puopolo, MD, PhD,^{a,b,c} Corinna Koebnick, PhD,^e Matthew Bryan, PhD,^f Robert W. Grundmeier, MD,^{c,g} Jeffrey S. Gerber, MD, PhD,^{b,c,h} Scott A. Lorch, MD, MSCE,^{a,c} William O. Quarshie, MS,^g Theoklis Zaoutis, MD, MSCE,^{b,c} Sagori Mukhopadhyay, MD, MMSc^{a,b,c}

OBJECTIVES: To determine if maternal intrapartum group B Streptococcus (GBS) antibiotic $a\bar{b}$ Stract prophylaxis is associated with increased risk of childhood asthma, eczema, food allergy, or allergic rhinitis.

METHODS: Retrospective cohort study of 14 046 children. GBS prophylaxis was defined as administration of intravenous penicillin, ampicillin, cefazolin, clindamycin, or vancomycin to the mother, ≥ 4 hours before delivery. Composite primary outcome was asthma, eczema, or food allergy diagnosis within 5 years of age, identified by diagnosis codes and appropriate medication prescription. Allergic rhinitis was defined by using diagnostic codes only and analyzed as a separate outcome. Analysis was a priori stratified by delivery mode and conducted by using Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for multiple confounders and covariates. Secondary analyses, restricted to children retained in cohort at 5 years' age, were conducted by using multivariate logistic regression.

RESULTS: GBS prophylaxis was not associated with increased incidence of composite outcome among infants delivered vaginally (hazard ratio: 1.13, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.95–1.33) or by cesarean delivery (hazard ratio: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.88–1.32). At 5 years of age, among 10 404 children retained in the study, GBS prophylaxis was not associated with the composite outcome in vaginal (odds ratio: 1.21, 95% CI: 0.96–1.52) or cesarean delivery (odds ratio: 1.17, 95% CI: 0.88–1.56) cohorts. Outcomes of asthma, eczema, food allergy, separately, and allergic rhinitis were also not associated with GBS prophylaxis.

CONCLUSIONS: Intrapartum GBS prophylaxis was not associated with subsequent diagnosis of asthma, eczema, food allergy, or allergic rhinitis in the first 5 years of age.

Divisions of ^aNeonatology, ^dAllergy-Immunology, and ^hInfectious Diseases, ^bCenter for Pediatric Clinical Effectiveness, and ^gDepartment of Biomedical and Health Informatics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ^cDepartment of Pediatrics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ^eDepartment of Research and Evaluation, Kaiser Permanente Southern California, Pasadena, California; and ^fIndependent Statistician

Dr Dhudasia contributed to data collection, curation, analysis, and management and drafted the initial manuscript; Dr Spergel contributed to data curation and verification, study design, and analytic plan; Drs Puopolo, Koebnick, Bryan, Gerber, Lorch, and Zaoutis contributed to study design and analytic plan; Dr Grundmeier contributed to data acquisition and management, study design, and analytic plan; Mr Quarshie contributed to data analysis and interpretation; Dr Mukhopadhyay conceptualized and designed the study, contributed to data curation, analysis, and interpretation of results; and all authors reviewed and revised the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-012187>

WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Early-life antibiotics have been associated with increased risk of allergic disorders in childhood. Maternal intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis is one of the earliest antibiotic exposures for neonates, but its effect on childhood atopic diseases is unknown.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Maternal intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent neonatal early-onset group B Streptococcus disease is not associated with increased diagnosis rates of asthma, eczema, food allergy, or allergic rhinitis within the first 5 years of age.

To cite: Dhudasia MB, Spergel JM, Puopolo KM, et al. Intrapartum Group B Streptococcal Prophylaxis and Childhood Allergic Disorders. Pediatrics. 2021;147(5): e2020012187

The reduction of early-onset group B Streptococcus (GBS) disease in the United States is attributed to the use of universal GBS screening and administration of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP) to colonized women.^{[1](#page-7-0)} Despite this success, many high-income countries have not adopted this policy.^{[2](#page-7-0)} One concern that contributes to the lack of international consensus is the substantial antibiotic exposure, and potential consequent effects, to parturient women and their newborns.[3,4](#page-7-0) With increasing understanding of the effect of earlylife antibiotic therapy on the integrity of the microbiome and the microbiome's role in health outcomes, there is heightened concern about GBS IAP–induced microbiome alterations $5-7$ $5-7$ $5-7$ and potential adverse health consequences for the infant.^{[3](#page-7-0)}

In the United States, with universal antenatal screening, ∼30% of women are identified as colonized with GBS and ∼20% are candidates for IAP.[1](#page-7-0),[4](#page-7-0) GBS IAP is administered with the explicit goal of reducing maternal colonization with GBS and preventing mother to child transmission of this pathogen. However, GBS IAP is also associated with nonspecific changes to the neonatal microbiome lasting months beyond the neonatal period[.5](#page-7-0)–[7](#page-7-0) Mother to child microbiome transmission occurs as an expected part of delivery,⁸ and thus, the interruption of this transmission at this critical developmental period may be hypothesized to change the immune priming associated with early microbial colonization. $9-11$ $9-11$ $9-11$ The impact of GBS IAP may therefore be different from antibiotic exposure in mother during pregnancy, or to the infant later in childhood, because of the overlap in timing of GBS IAP administration and establishment of pioneer colonizers.

Allergic disease, defined as an exaggerated immune response to foreign antigens, has been a focus of study because of the link in multiple preclinical mechanistic studies

between early-life antibiotic exposure and immune development. $9-13$ $9-13$ $9-13$ Multiple epidemiological studies in human subjects have also reported an association of maternal antibiotics in pregnancy or pediatric antibiotics in early life with increased risk of childhood atopic diseases such as asthma, eczema, food allergy, and allergic rhinitis.^{[14](#page-7-0)-[21](#page-8-0)} Few studies have explored the association of GBS IAP with the risk of childhood allergies.^{[22,23](#page-8-0)} Yet concern for such association with GBS IAP is apparent in information Web sites for pregnant women^{[24](#page-8-0)-[26](#page-8-0)} and is clinically relevant for providers. To address the question, "Is GBS IAP, as recommended, increasing the prevalence of allergic diseases in the infant?," we used a large birth cohort of term infants without infection at birth to determine if GBS prophylaxis was associated with an increased incidence of childhood atopic diagnosis, specifically, asthma, eczema, food allergy, and allergic rhinitis during the first 5 years of age.

Design This is a retrospective cohort study.

Population The study included mothers and their children with delivery between January 1, 2007, and December 31, 2012, at 2 perinatal centers in Philadelphia, who then established pediatric care within 30 days of birth at any 1 of the 33 Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) primary care network sites located in Pennsylvania and New Jersey [\(Supplemental Fig 1](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/)). Medical records of infants from birth admission were linked with their pediatric care medical records to create a longitudinal database of 15 986 children from birth to 5 years of age (2012–2017), or until the child left the pediatric practice. Linking was achieved via deterministic matching by using names, date of

birth, sex, address, and birth hospital. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of University of Pennsylvania and CHOP with waiver of consent.

Infants born at gestational age \geq 37 weeks and birth weight \geq 2000 g were included in the study. Infants missing delivery room data, with cultureconfirmed sepsis ≤ 72 hours after birth, and with major birth anomalies or congenital conditions (detected ≤ 6 months of age) that would result in chronic illness were excluded from the study [\(Supplemental Fig 1](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/)). We used the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) and 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes based on previously validated criteria to identify infants with congenital conditions and manually verified the exclusion conditions for appropriateness.²⁷

Exposure Our primary exposure was maternal intrapartum GBS prophylaxis defined as intravenous penicillin, ampicillin, cefazolin, clindamycin, or vancomycin administered \geq 4 hours before delivery during the hospital admission leading to birth. This definition was aligned with adequate GBS prophylaxis as recommended by national guidelines during the study period. $1,28$ $1,28$ All other forms of maternal antibiotic therapy were classified as "other" antibiotic exposure, including antibiotics not considered GBS specific, GBS-specific antibiotics administered \leq 4 hours before delivery, and surgical prophylaxis administered to women undergoing cesarean delivery. Exposure definition was the same for both delivery cohorts, but the reference group was "no intrapartum antibiotic" exposure among women who delivered vaginally and "other antibiotics" for the women delivering by cesarean delivery to accommodate surgical prophylaxis.

Outcomes The primary outcome was a composite of any disorder (asthma, eczema, or food allergy) within the

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the Study Cohort

GA, gestational age; HHI, household income; IQR, interquartile range.

a GBS IAP is defined as the intrapartum administration of penicillin, ampicillin, cefazolin, clindamycin, or vancomycin 4 or more hours before delivery; antibiotics not meeting the definition of GBS IAP are categorized as other antibiotics.

 b Missing values for each characteristic were <1% of the total cohort and, thus, are not displayed in the tables. Information is missing for the following numbers of study subjects: maternal age, 4; maternal BMI, 29; parity, 132; proportion of residents with less than high school education, 64; median household income, 91; breastfeeding at 3 mo, 10. The percent missing value is included in the denominator for total proportions; missing values are excluded in proportion for the columns stratified by delivery mode unless $\geq 1\%$

c Proportion of residents with less than high school education and median household income are derived from American Community Survey 2009 to 2012 data including American Community Survey tract-level data (detailed definition in [Supplemental Table 6](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/)).

 $^{\text{d}}$ Sex-specific Birth weight for GA z scores were derived from Fenton growth charts. 38 38 38

^e Neonatal antibiotics are defined as any intravenous or intramuscular antibiotic administered to an infant within 72 h after birth.

f Includes all infants who had at least 1 pediatric visit after 4 completed years of age.

 ϵ Percent displayed are percentage of cohort retained for >4 completed years of age.

first 5 years of age. Asthma was defined as prespecified ICD-9 and ICD-10 diagnosis codes, 29 29 29 documented at least twice after 1 year of age and ≥ 6 months apart,

combined with a prescription for asthma-related medication such as an inhaled b-agonist. Eczema was defined as prespecified diagnosis codes,²⁹ used at least twice and ≥ 6

months apart, combined with a prescription for topical steroids. Food allergy was defined as a prescription for epinephrine autoinjector (eg, EpiPen), with either TABLE 2 Unadjusted HRs for the Composite Primary Outcome

GA, gestational age; HHI, household income; N/A, not applicable.

^a GBS IAP is defined as the intrapartum administration of penicillin, ampicillin, cefazolin, clindamycin, or vancomycin 4 or more hours before delivery; antibiotics not meeting the definition of GBS IAP are categorized as other antibiotics.

b Proportion of residents with less than high school education and median household income are derived from the American Community Survey 2009 to 2012 data including American Community Survey tract-level data (detailed definition in [Supplemental Table 6\)](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/).

^c Neonatal antibiotics defined as any intravenous or intramuscular antibiotic administered to an infant within 72 h after birth.

d Breastfeeding at 3 mo of age compared with not breastfeeding at 3 mo of age.

a specific food allergy entry in the electronic health record's module for capturing allergy information (Epic Systems, Verona, WI) or a prespecified diagnosis code for food allergy.[22](#page-8-0),[29,30](#page-8-0) For entries in the allergy module, we manually verified text descriptions to adjudicate inclusion. Allergic rhinitis was not included in the primary composite outcome because of the nonavailability of consistent diagnosis-specific prescribed medications. It was defined as

prespecified diagnosis codes,²⁹ documented at least twice ≥ 6 months apart. Secondary outcomes included asthma, eczema, food allergy, and allergic rhinitis in the first 5 years of age analyzed as separate outcomes. In [Supplemental Table 5](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/), we list diagnosis codes and medications used to define these outcomes.

Covariates

A priori covariates were chosen for potential association with exposure and/or outcome.^{[22](#page-8-0),[23,30](#page-8-0)-[37](#page-8-0)} Maternal factors included maternal age, race and ethnicity, BMI, parity (postdelivery), history of asthma or allergy, GBS colonization, and diagnosis of intraamniotic infection. Infant covariates included sex and birth weight-for-gestation z scores.³⁸ To obtain markers of socioeconomic status, we linked the tract generated from the first residential address of the child with the American Community Survey Block Group data (2009–2012). We used these tractlevel data to generate aggregate geographic surrogates of individual social markers, specifically, proportion of residents with less than high school education, and distribution of median household income.[39](#page-8-0) Postexposure variables included neonatal antibiotics (intravenous antibiotics administered \leq 72 hours after birth), and any breastfeeding at age 3 months. Covariate definitions are shown in [Supplemental Table 6.](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/)

------**-----**
The analysis was stratified by delivery mode. We chose stratification a priori to avoid confounding, because delivery mode affects perinatal antibiotic decisions and is associated with atopic μ outcomes 1,8,34 1,8,34 1,8,34 1,8,34 1,8,34 1,8,34 1,8,34 Furthermore perinatal antibiotics are administered in all cesarean deliveries for surgical skin prophylaxis, preventing any true "no antibiotic exposure" comparison among these dyads. Bivariate associations were measured by using x^2 test, Student's t test, analysis of variance, and Kruskal–Wallis test, as appropriate. Our primary approach was to compare time to initial diagnosis of an atopic disorder in the first 5 years for infants with and without GBS IAP exposure. We used a Cox proportional hazards model to allow for censoring. We categorized GBS IAP by duration $(4 - 24$ hours, \geq 24 hours) and analyzed results in a separate model to detect impact of prolonged exposure. Secondary

TABLE 3 Adjusted HRs for Childhood Atopic Disorders

Adjusted for maternal age, maternal race and ethnicity, maternal BMI, parity, GBS colonization status, chorioamnionitis, maternal asthma, maternal allergy, proportion of residents with less than high school education, median household income quartile, infant's sex, birth wt-for-gestation z score, neonatal antibiotics, and breastfeeding at 3 mo. N/A, not applicable.

a Includes asthma, eczema, and food allergy.

b Excludes covariates neonatal antibiotics and breastfeeding at 3 mo.

c Includes all covariates from primary model except maternal allergy.

d Includes all covariates from primary model except maternal asthma.

analyses were done restricting the cohort to infants who attended at least 1 primary care visit >4 years of age. In this cohort, we used a logistic regression model to investigate the association of GBS IAP with the composite and individual outcomes at any time in the first 5 years of age. Power calculation is described in [Supplemental Information](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/). Missing values occurred for $<$ 1% of the cohort and were incorporated as a separate category for categorical variables.

We conducted 5 additional analyses to assess possible gaps in the data. The first was effect of GBS IAP antibiotic spectrum; we recategorized GBS IAP exposure in a sensitivity analysis to only include penicillin and ampicillin and repeated the primary analysis to assess for changes in

results. The second was effect of health care received outside of CHOP network; care received outside the CHOP network would not be captured in our data. Previous work has identified neighborhoods where $>70\%$ pediatric families preferentially choose CHOP for health care.⁴⁰ We performed a subgroup analysis among children receiving health care from 1 of the 4 CHOP-affiliated practices serving that region to detect differences from the main analysis. The third was effect of GBS colonization; to assess effect of IAP versus GBS colonization, we conducted a sensitivity analysis restricting the cohort to women with known GBS colonization. The fourth was effect of chorioamnionitis; among premature infants, chorioamnionitis has been associated with asthma diagnosis in childhood. 41 To assess effect of chorioamnionitis we ran a model after

removing women with a diagnosis of chorioamnionitis. The fifth was effect of stratification; we analyzed the full cohort without stratification including delivery mode as a covariate to visualize changes on the main estimate. For this model, we coded the antibiotics potentially used as skin prophylaxis in cesarean deliveries as "no antibiotic exposure." Statistical significance was set at $P < .05$. Estimates from analytic models were considered significant when 95% confidence interval (CI) did not cross 1. Analyses were conducted by using Stata 14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX), SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC), and R statistical packages version 3.5.1 (R Foundation, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

RESULTS Of 15 986 children in the linked cohort, 14 046 met eligibility criteria [\(Supplemental Fig 1](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/)). Of these, 9718 (69%) were delivered vaginally and 4328 (31%) by cesarean delivery. Overall, 2492 (18%) mothers were exposed to GBS IAP: 1919 (20%) in the vaginal group and 573 (13%) in the cesarean delivery group.

Women and children in the GBS IAP group differed from the reference groups in multiple characteristics (Table 1). In both delivery groups, mothers exposed to GBS IAP were younger, primiparous, and Black and non-Hispanic, with history of asthma or allergy, delivery $BMI \geq 30$, and residence in areas where a higher proportion of residents had less than high school education, and the lowest quartile of median household income. Infants in the reference groups of both delivery groups had greater frequency of breastfeeding at 3 months of age compared with infants exposed to GBS IAP. More than 90% of women who received GBS IAP were GBS positive. More women with chorioamnionitis received "other antibiotics" in the vaginal cohort and GBS IAP in the cesarean delivery cohort.

TABLE 4 Adjusted Odds for Childhood Atopic Disease in the Cohort Retained Beyond 4 Years

	Vaginal Cohort ^a ($n = 7162$)		Cesarean Cohort ^a ($n = 3242$)	
	Odds Ratio	95% CI	Odds Ratio	95% CI
Composite outcome ^b				
No GBS IAP	Reference	Reference	N/A	N/A
GBS IAP	1.21	$0.96 - 1.52$	1.17	$0.88 - 1.56$
Other antibiotics	1.00	$0.78 - 1.28$	Reference	Reference
Asthma ^c				
No GBS IAP	Reference	Reference	N/A	N/A
GBS IAP	1.15	$0.88 - 1.50$	1.23	$0.89 - 1.71$
Other antibiotics	0.98	$0.73 - 1.31$	Reference	Reference
Eczema ^d				
No GBS IAP	Reference	Reference	N/A	N/A
GBS IAP	1.37	$0.99 - 1.88$	1.39	$0.95 - 2.04$
Other antibiotics	1.04	$0.73 - 1.47$	Reference	Reference
Food allergy ^d				
No GBS IAP	Reference	Reference	N/A	N/A
GBS IAP	1.37	$0.87 - 2.15$	1.02	$0.61 - 1.73$
Other antibiotics	1.46	$0.93 - 2.29$	Reference	Reference
Allergic rhinitis ^d				
No GBS IAP	Reference	Reference	N/A	N/A
GBS IAP	0.85	$0.62 - 1.18$	0.99	$0.66 - 1.48$
Other antibiotics	0.91	$0.64 - 1.29$	Reference	Reference

Adjusted for maternal age, maternal race and ethnicity, maternal BMI, parity, GBS colonization status, chorioamnionitis, maternal asthma, maternal allergy, proportion of residents with less than high school education, median household income quartile, infant's sex, birth wt-for-gestation z score, neonatal antibiotics, and breastfeeding at 3 mo. N/A, not applicable.

a Cohorts include all infants who had at least 1 pediatric visit after 4 completed years of age.

b Includes asthma, eczema, and food allergy.

c Includes all covariates from primary model except maternal allergy.

d Includes all covariates from primary model except maternal asthma.

Among women categorized as GBS IAP exposed, antibiotic type and duration differed by delivery mode [\(Supplemental Table 7](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/)). More women with cesarean delivery who received GBS IAP received ampicillin (23% vs 18%, P .005) and additional other antibiotics (15% vs. 6%, $P < .001$), and less received penicillin (69% vs. 76%, P .001), compared with women with vaginal delivery. They also received GBS-specific antibiotics for longer duration (median 13 vs 9 hours, $P < .001$).

A total of 3887 (28%) children had one of the composite outcomes of asthma, eczema, or food allergy by age 5 years. Separately, asthma was most frequent (18%), followed by eczema (11%), allergic rhinitis (11%) and food allergy (6%) [\(Supplemental](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/) [Table 8\)](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/).

In unadjusted analysis, GBS IAP was associated with the composite primary outcome in both vaginal (hazard ratio [HR]: 1.19, 95% CI:

1.09–1.31) and cesarean delivery (HR: 1.39, 95% CI: 1.20–1.62) cohorts (Table 2). Multiple other maternal and infant factors, in both cohorts, were associated with the composite outcome, including Black or Asian maternal race, high maternal BMI, maternal history of asthma or allergy, residence in areas of low education and income quartile, and male infant sex.

After adjusting for the prespecified covariates, the HR for asthma, eczema, or food allergy, in both vaginal (HR: 1.12, 95% CI: 0.95–1.32) and cesarean delivery (HR: 1.07, 95% CI: 0.88–1.31) cohorts, was not significantly different for children exposed to GBS IAP compared with children without such exposure (Table 3). Findings were similar after excluding postexposure variables (neonatal antibiotics and breastfeeding) and for models that analyzed asthma, eczema, food allergy, and allergic rhinitis separately (Table 3). Few women received GBS IAP for \geq 24 hours: 82 women in the vaginal cohort and 99 in the cesarean delivery cohort. A numerically higher hazard for the primary composite outcome was noted with GBS IAP administered for \geq 24 hours in both vaginal (HR: 1.23, 95% CI: 0.82–1.85) and cesarean delivery (HR: 1.22, 95% CI: 0.86–1.74) cohorts, but the results were not statistically significant.

A total of 10 404 (74%) children were retained at >4 years of age. In the adjusted analysis restricted to these infants, we found no significant difference in the odds of developing asthma, eczema, or food allergy as a composite outcome or individually, or of being diagnosed with allergic rhinitis, between GBS IAP–exposed and unexposed groups in either delivery cohorts (Table 4).

Analyses conducted to account for the effect of type of GBS IAP, health care outside the CHOP network, GBS colonization, and chorioamnionitis did not change the direction nor the significance of the main estimate for either cohort [\(Supplemental Table 9\)](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/). Modeling the cohort without stratification did not show a significant association with either cesarean delivery compared with vaginal delivery (HR: 1.03, 95% CI: 0.96–1.10) or with GBS IAP (HR: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.96–1.23).

DISCUSSION

DISCUSSION In a retrospective longitudinal birth cohort of $>$ 14 000 mothers and their children, we investigated the effect of GBS IAP on childhood asthma, eczema, and food allergy in the first 5 years of age. We did not find an association for any of the diagnoses when adjusting for potential confounders.

In contrast to our findings, multiple observational studies have reported an association of early-life antibiotics with atopic disease outcomes. Authors of a meta-analysis of 22 studies on risk of childhood asthma found a significantly increased pooled

adjusted odds of asthma among children with antibiotic exposure in infancy, which was attenuated but not eliminated when restricting to studies that adjusted for respiratory illness or later asthma diagnosis.¹⁹ Odds of childhood asthma were also increased with antibiotic exposure in pregnancy. Similar increases in risk for food allergy,^{[18](#page-7-0),[30](#page-8-0),[37](#page-8-0),[42,43](#page-8-0)} allergic rhinitis,⁴⁴ and eczema^{21,31,[43](#page-8-0),[45](#page-8-0)} have been reported, although some studies have also reported a lack of association.^{15,17[,32,46,47](#page-8-0)} None of these studies explicitly include or focus on GBS IAP.

GBS IAP is different from outpatient prescribed antibiotics in timing, route, antibiotic type, and, perhaps most importantly, intention. Most outpatient antibiotics are prescribed for a change in clinical status, whereas GBS IAP is administered electively in asymptomatic women targeting colonizing microorganisms. Separating the effect of "changed clinical status" from the antibiotics can be challenging. GBS colonization, the main indication for GBS IAP, can be accounted for more robustly.^{[48](#page-8-0)} We adjusted for GBS colonization in the primary analysis to account for antenatal colonization and conducted a sensitivity analysis restricted to women who were colonized [\(Supplemental Table 9](https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2020-012187/-/DCSupplemental/)). Additionally, every dose of GBS IAP administered is scanned into the database, minimizing recall and information bias that may be present with prescription data or patient recall. These differences in exposure may account for our results compared with other epidemiological studies that report a higher risk of atopic outcomes among infants exposed to antenatal or childhood antibiotics.

Studies that are focused specifically on the effects of intrapartum antibiotics on atopic outcomes are limited and have not reported a significant relationship. Wohl et al 23 studied the effect of intrapartum antibiotics on atopic dermatitis

diagnosed by 2 years of age in 492 mother-infant dyads and did not find an association. In a secondary analysis, they found a significant association with intrapartum antibiotic exposure for \geq 24 hours, but this exposure occurred in only 11 women.[23](#page-8-0) We did not find an association between GBS IAP for \geq 24 hours and eczema. Researchers in a second case-control study of 99 infants with food allergy and 119 controls found no association of perinatal antibiotics with food allergy.^{[22](#page-8-0)} Finally, risk of drug allergy was investigated in a birth cohort of 804 infants, and no association was found with intrapartum exposure to penicillin, amoxicillin, or ampicillin.[49](#page-8-0)

Our study has strengths and limitations. We used ICD-9 and ICD-10 diagnosis codes, prescriptions, and information from the electronic health record allergy module to identify outcomes and applied multiple criteria to reduce misclassification. For asthma and eczema, we required presence of a prespecified diagnosis code at 2 separate visits 6 months apart. We excluded asthma diagnosis in the first year after birth to minimize inclusion of early wheezing due to viral infections. All except 12 children with asthma diagnosis continued to have the diagnosis at >24 months age. The allergy module in electronic medical record often captures food allergy not documented elsewhere.²⁹ The descriptive text in the allergy module was manually reviewed by authors (S.M. and validated by J.M.S.), and only verified allergies were included to improve case identification. We additionally required all diagnoses comprising the composite outcome to be associated with a medication prescription specific to the diagnosis. Although this approach reduces capture of equivocal diagnoses and has been used in previous studies[,23,29,47](#page-8-0) mild variants that did not trigger medication prescription would not be captured. Allergic rhinitis could not be included in the

composite outcome because of this prespecification. Although electronic medical record data may not provide the same level of certainty as prospective diagnostic testing, the information is a robust reflection of burden of disease and is unlikely to miss severe cases, especially among the cohort retained for the full study period. We analyzed the data separately among the cohort retained after 4 years of age to account for selective loss of subjects and found similar results. We conducted multiple sensitivity analyses to mitigate gaps in the available data: none of the analyses altered the interpretation of the results. Finally, we accounted for multiple confounders in early life but were limited by a lack of detailed family history of atopic diseases, cigarette smoke exposure, exposure to pets, and day care history.

CONCLUSIONS Concert Conc

Longitudinal studies in which researchers investigate the effect of IAP on childhood health outcomes beyond the immediate neonatal period are needed for accurate risk/ benefit assessment of GBS prevention strategies. We performed such a study in a large retrospective cohort of infants followed from birth to 5 completed years of age. We did not find an association between GBS IAP and increased risk for childhood asthma, eczema, food allergy, or allergic rhinitis.

ABBREVIATIONS CHOP: Children's Hospital of Philadelphia CI: confidence interval GBS: group B Streptococcus HR: hazard ratio IAP: intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis ICD-9: International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision ICD-10: International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision

Accepted for publication Feb 3, 2021

Address correspondence to Sagori Mukhopadhyay, MD, MMSc, Roberts Center for Pediatric Research, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 2716 South St, Office 19- 322, Philadelphia, PA 19146. E-mail: mukhopadhs@chop.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2021 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: Supported by the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health (grant K23HD088753). Additional support was provided by the Society of Pediatric Research Physician Scientist Award: Bridging to Success. Funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Dr Bryan is a Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (Ottawa, ON, Canada) employee. The current work was unrelated to his employment and Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health had no role in the funding, design, or oversight of the work reported; the other authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: We thank Dr Joseph Chou, MD, PhD (Department of Pediatrics, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA) for generating the birth anthropometric percentiles for the study population. Dr Chou did not receive any compensation for their assistance.

- 1. Verani JR, McGee L, Schrag SJ; Division of Bacterial Diseases, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease–revised guidelines from CDC, 2010. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2010;59(RR): 1–36
- 2. Prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease: greentop guideline no. 36. BJOG. 2017;124(12): e280–e305
- 3. Le Doare K, Heath PT, Plumb J, Owen NA, Brocklehurst P, Chappell LC. Uncertainties in screening and prevention of group B streptococcus disease. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;69(4): 720–725
- 4. Seedat F, Stinton C, Patterson J, et al. Adverse events in women and children who have received intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis treatment: a systematic review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2017;17(1):247
- 5. Azad MB, Konya T, Persaud RR, et al.; CHILD Study Investigators. Impact of maternal intrapartum antibiotics, method of birth and breastfeeding on gut microbiota during the first year of life: a prospective cohort study. BJOG. 2016;123(6):983–993
- 6. Corvaglia L, Tonti G, Martini S, et al. Influence of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis for group B streptococcus on gut microbiota in the first month of

life. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2016; 62(2):304–308

- 7. Tapiainen T, Koivusaari P, Brinkac L, et al. Impact of intrapartum and postnatal antibiotics on the gut microbiome and emergence of antimicrobial resistance in infants. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):10635
- 8. Shao Y, Forster SC, Tsaliki E, et al. Stunted microbiota and opportunistic pathogen colonization in caesareansection birth. Nature. 2019;574(7776): 117–121
- 9. Deshmukh HS, Liu Y, Menkiti OR, et al. The microbiota regulates neutrophil homeostasis and host resistance to Escherichia coli K1 sepsis in neonatal mice. Nat Med. 2014;20(5): 524–530
- 10. Olszak T, An D, Zeissig S, et al. Microbial exposure during early life has persistent effects on natural killer T cell function. Science. 2012;336(6080): 489–493
- 11. Oyama N, Sudo N, Sogawa H, Kubo C. Antibiotic use during infancy promotes a shift in the T(H)1/T(H)2 balance toward T(H)2-dominant immunity in mice. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001; 107(1):153–159
- 12. Russell SL, Gold MJ, Reynolds LA, et al. Perinatal antibiotic-induced shifts in gut microbiota have differential effects on inflammatory lung diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015;135(1): 100–109
- 13. Vangay P, Ward T, Gerber JS, Knights D. Antibiotics, pediatric dysbiosis, and disease. Cell Host Microbe. 2015;17(5): 553–564
- 14. Alm B, Erdes L, Möllborg P, et al. Neonatal antibiotic treatment is a risk factor for early wheezing. Pediatrics. 2008;121(4):697–702
- 15. Kummeling I, Stelma FF, Dagnelie PC, et al. Early life exposure to antibiotics and the subsequent development of eczema, wheeze, and allergic sensitization in the first 2 years of life: the KOALA Birth Cohort Study. Pediatrics. 2007;119(1): e225–e231
- 16. Marrs T, Bruce KD, Logan K, et al. Is there an association between microbial exposure and food allergy? A systematic review. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2013;24(4):311–320.e8
- 17. McKeever TM, Lewis SA, Smith C, et al. Early exposure to infections and antibiotics and the incidence of allergic disease: a birth cohort study with the West Midlands General Practice Research Database. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2002;109(1):43–50
- 18. Metsälä J, Lundqvist A, Virta LJ, Kaila M, Gissler M, Virtanen SM. Mother's and offspring's use of antibiotics and infant allergy to cow's milk. Epidemiology. 2013;24(2):303–309
- 19. Murk W, Risnes KR, Bracken MB. Prenatal or early-life exposure to antibiotics and risk of childhood

asthma: a systematic review. Pediatrics. 2011;127(6):1125–1138

- 20. Penders J, Kummeling I, Thijs C. Infant antibiotic use and wheeze and asthma risk: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur Respir J. 2011;38(2): 295–302
- 21. Tsakok T, McKeever TM, Yeo L, Flohr C. Does early life exposure to antibiotics increase the risk of eczema? A systematic review. Br J Dermatol. 2013; 169(5):983–991
- 22. Dowhower Karpa K, Paul IM, Leckie JA, et al. A retrospective chart review to identify perinatal factors associated with food allergies. Nutr J. 2012;11: 87–87
- 23. Wohl DL, Curry WJ, Mauger D, Miller J, Tyrie K. Intrapartum antibiotics and childhood atopic dermatitis. J Am Board Fam Med. 2015;28(1):82–89
- 24. Evidence Based Birth. The evidence on: group B strep. 2017. Available at: [https://evidencebasedbirth.com/](https://evidencebasedbirth.com/groupbstrep/) [groupbstrep/](https://evidencebasedbirth.com/groupbstrep/). Accessed Dec 15, 2020
- 25. Northern Star Doula. What is group B strep (GBS) - and why should I care? Available at: [https://northernstardoula.](https://northernstardoula.com/blog/group-b-strep-pregnancy) [com/blog/group-b-strep-pregnancy.](https://northernstardoula.com/blog/group-b-strep-pregnancy) Accessed Dec 15, 2020
- 26. Aviva Romm MD. Group B strep (GBS) in pregnancy and birth: What's a mom to do? 2020. Available at: [https://](https://avivaromm.com/group-b-strep-gbs-in-pregnancy-whats-a-mom-to-do/) [avivaromm.com/group-b-strep-gbs-in](https://avivaromm.com/group-b-strep-gbs-in-pregnancy-whats-a-mom-to-do/)[pregnancy-whats-a-mom-to-do/](https://avivaromm.com/group-b-strep-gbs-in-pregnancy-whats-a-mom-to-do/). Accessed Dec 15, 2020
- 27. Feudtner C, Hays RM, Haynes G, Geyer JR, Neff JM, Koepsell TD. Deaths attributed to pediatric complex chronic conditions: national trends and implications for supportive care services. Pediatrics. 2001;107(6):E99
- 28. Schrag SJ, Zell ER, Lynfield R, et al.; Active Bacterial Core Surveillance Team. A population-based comparison of strategies to prevent early-onset group B streptococcal disease in neonates. N Engl J Med. 2002;347(4):233–239
- 29. Hill DA, Grundmeier RW, Ram G, Spergel JM. The epidemiologic characteristics of healthcare provider-diagnosed eczema, asthma, allergic rhinitis, and

food allergy in children: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Pediatr. 2016;16:133

- 30. Li M, Lu ZK, Amrol DJ, et al. Antibiotic exposure and the risk of food allergy: evidence in the US medicaid pediatric population. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(2):492–499
- 31. Dom S, Droste JH, Sariachvili MA, et al. Pre- and post-natal exposure to antibiotics and the development of eczema, recurrent wheezing and atopic sensitization in children up to the age of 4 years. Clin Exp Allergy. 2010;40(9): 1378–1387
- 32. Kusel MM, de Klerk N, Holt PG, Sly PD. Antibiotic use in the first year of life and risk of atopic disease in early childhood. Clin Exp Allergy. 2008;38(12): 1921–1928
- 33. Risnes KR, Belanger K, Murk W, Bracken MB. Antibiotic exposure by 6 months and asthma and allergy at 6 years: findings in a cohort of 1,401 US children. Am J Epidemiol. 2011;173(3): 310–318
- 34. Roduit C, Scholtens S, de Jongste JC, et al. Asthma at 8 years of age in children born by caesarean section. Thorax. 2009;64(2):107–113
- 35. Stapleton RD, Kahn JM, Evans LE, Critchlow CW, Gardella CM. Risk factors for group B streptococcal genitourinary tract colonization in pregnant women. Obstet Gynecol. 2005;106(6):1246–1252
- 36. Tollånes MC, Moster D, Daltveit AK, Irgens LM. Cesarean section and risk of severe childhood asthma: a populationbased cohort study. J Pediatr. 2008; 153(1):112–116
- 37. Yamamoto-Hanada K, Yang L, Narita M, Saito H, Ohya Y. Influence of antibiotic use in early childhood on asthma and allergic diseases at age 5. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2017;119(1):54–58
- 38. Fenton TR, Kim JH. A systematic review and meta-analysis to revise the Fenton growth chart for preterm infants. BMC Pediatr. 2013;13:59
- 39. Soobader M, LeClere FB, Hadden W, Maury B. Using aggregate geographic data to proxy individual socioeconomic status: does size matter? Am J Public Health. 2001;91(4):632–636
- 40. Coffin SE, Zaoutis TE, Rosenquist AB, et al. Incidence, complications, and risk factors for prolonged stay in children hospitalized with community-acquired influenza. Pediatrics. 2007;119(4): 740–748
- 41. Getahun D, Strickland D, Zeiger RS, et al. Effect of chorioamnionitis on early childhood asthma. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2010;164(2):187–192
- 42. Hirsch AG, Pollak J, Glass TA, et al. Earlylife antibiotic use and subsequent diagnosis of food allergy and allergic diseases. Clin Exp Allergy. 2017;47(2): 236–244
- 43. Zven SE, Susi A, Mitre E, Nylund CM. Association between use of multiple classes of antibiotic in infancy and allergic disease in childhood. JAMA Pediatr. 2019;174(2):199–200
- 44. Alm B, Goksör E, Pettersson R, et al. Antibiotics in the first week of life is a risk factor for allergic rhinitis at school age. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2014;25(5):468–472
- 45. Schmitt J, Schmitt NM, Kirch W, Meurer M. Early exposure to antibiotics and infections and the incidence of atopic eczema: a population-based cohort study. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2010; 21(2 pt 1):292–300
- 46. Netea SA, Messina NL, Curtis N. Earlylife antibiotic exposure and childhood food allergy: A systematic review. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019;144(5): 1445–1448
- 47. Örtqvist AK, Lundholm C, Kieler H, et al. Antibiotics in fetal and early life and subsequent childhood asthma: nationwide population based study with sibling analysis [published correction appears in BMJ. 2014;349:g7395]. BMJ. 2014;349:g6979
- 48. Cassidy-Bushrow AE, Sitarik A, Levin AM, et al. Maternal group B Streptococcus and the infant gut microbiota. J Dev Orig Health Dis. 2016;7(1):45–53
- 49. May SM, Hartz MF, Joshi AY, Park MA. Intrapartum antibiotic exposure for group B Streptococcus treatment did not increase penicillin allergy in children. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2016;116(2):134–138