

Corrigendum 3

Khalil A, Beune I, Hecher K, Wynia K, Ganzevoort W, Reed K, Lewi L, Oepkes D, Gratacos E, Thilaganathan B, Gordijn SJ. Consensus definition and essential reporting parameters of selective fetal growth restriction in twin pregnancy: a Delphi procedure. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2019; 53: 47–54.

In the above article, there is an error in the Discussion on Page 51. For selective fetal growth restriction in monochorionic pregnancies, the definition should state ‘two out of four parameters’ rather than ‘three out of four parameters’.

The text should read:

‘Alternatively, the combination of two out of four parameters (EFW of one twin < 10th centile, AC of one twin < 10th centile, EFW discordance of $\geq 25\%$, UA-PI of the smaller twin > 95th centile) would indicate sFGR in a MC twin pregnancy’

Corrigendum 4

Jansen CHJR, Kleinrouweler CE, Kastelein AW, Ruiter L, van Leeuwen E, Mol BW, Pajkrt E. Follow-up ultrasound in second-trimester low-positioned anterior and posterior placentae: prospective cohort study. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2020; 56: 725–731.

In the Abstract and Table 2 of the above article, the relative risk values for the association of previous Cesarean delivery and second-trimester side of the placenta and type of placental location with abnormal placental location in the third trimester are incorrect. The correct relative risk values are as follows:

- previous Cesarean delivery *vs* no previous Cesarean delivery: 3.35 (95% CI, 1.86–6.02);
- second-trimester posterior *vs* anterior placental side: 2.33 (95% CI, 1.17–4.62);
- second-trimester placenta previa *vs* low-lying placenta: 14.44 (95% CI, 7.51–27.75).