



Published in final edited form as:

Nature. 2020 October ; 586(7830): 509–515. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2787-6.

## Animal models for COVID-19

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the article.

### Abstract

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the etiological agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), an emerging respiratory infection caused by introduction of a novel coronavirus into humans in late 2019 in China's Hubei province. As of July 19, 2020, SARS-CoV-2 has spread to 215 countries, has infected more than 14 million people and has caused more than 590,000 deaths. Since humans do not have pre-existing immunity against SARS-CoV-2, there is an urgent need to develop therapeutics and vaccines to mitigate the current pandemic and to prevent the re-emergence of COVID-19 in the future. In February 2020 the World Health Organization (WHO) assembled an international panel of experts to develop animal models for COVID-19 to accelerate testing of vaccines and therapeutics. This review summarizes the findings to date and provides relevant information for preclinical testing of COVID-19 vaccine candidates and therapeutics.

Although there are discrepancies in the estimated case-fatality ratio (CFR) of COVID-19 in humans, it is clear that severity is age-stratified and that the CFR in patients over 65 years of age is likely higher than 1%<sup>1</sup>. Initially, infection with SARS-CoV-2 is characterized by a range of mild symptoms, including fever, cough, dyspnea and myalgia<sup>2</sup>. Partly these are caused by the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to replicate efficiently in the upper respiratory tract. While most patients subsequently resolve the infection, the disease may also progress to severe pneumonia. In severe cases, bilateral lung involvement with ground-glass opacities are the most common chest computed tomography (CT) findings. Disease progression can then involve acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), and in some cases an inflammatory syndrome resembling septic shock. Histological examination of the lungs of patients showed bilateral diffuse alveolar damage (DAD), pulmonary edema and hyaline membrane formation<sup>3</sup>. COVID-19 is also characterized by damage to other organ systems associated with coagulopathy and characterized by elevated fibrinogen and D-dimer levels, indicating increased thrombus generation and fibrinolysis<sup>4</sup>. Those at higher risk of severe COVID-19 are individuals with underlying conditions such as obesity, diabetes, hypertension, chronic respiratory disease and cardiovascular disease<sup>1</sup>.

Through the 'Solidarity' trials, the WHO has launched a global campaign to test therapeutics and vaccines on an unprecedented scale<sup>5</sup>. In order to test these potential medical countermeasures, it is imperative to identify animal models for COVID-19 that provide measurable readouts to test medical countermeasures and that utilize representative virus isolates<sup>6</sup>. To this end, the WHO R&D Blueprint team established an *ad hoc* expert working

\*Correspondence: henaorestrepa@who.int and dbarouch@bidmc.harvard.edu.

group focused on COVID-19 disease modelling (WHO-COM). In this review we provide a summary of the current literature on COVID-19 animal models (Supplementary Table 1), including studies generated by the WHO-COM group since February 2020, which we hope will serve to facilitate further preclinical analysis of vaccines and therapeutics.

## Mouse Models

The main impediment for SARS-CoV-2 infection of murine cells is the lack of appropriate receptors to initiate viral infection. SARS-CoV-2, like SARS-CoV, uses the cellular surface protein angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) to bind and enter cells, and murine ACE2 does not effectively bind the viral spike protein <sup>7</sup>. To solve this problem some strategies have been developed as follows:

### Virus adaptation to mACE2

The spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 can be modified to gain effective binding to murine ACE2. One strategy is sequential passaging of SARS-CoV-2 in mouse lung tissue <sup>8</sup>. This method is successful because RNA virus populations consist of a mutant swarm of closely related viral quasispecies. Rare viruses in the swarm containing spike mutations that increase their binding affinity to murine ACE2 are expected to be selected due to their higher levels of replication in murine lungs. Alternatively, mouse adaptation of SARS-CoV-2 can be achieved using reverse genetics to modify the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the virus so that it can infect murine cells via the mouse ACE2 protein. Using these approaches, mice were sensitized for infection but developed very mild disease <sup>9</sup>. It is likely that additional efforts aimed at mouse-adaptation will result in the outgrowth of additional virus variants that can cause more severe disease. These mice will be useful for pathogenesis studies and for studies of antivirals and vaccines. One potential caveat is that the mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein that enhance affinity for the mouse ACE2 receptors are located in the receptor binding domain, the primary target for the neutralizing antibody response. These mutations could result in a wild type virus neutralizing mAb being falsely considered non-neutralizing.

### Expression of human ACE2 in genetically modified mice

A different approach consists of modifying the mice to express hACE2. There are currently three transgenic models in which hACE2 is under the expression of a tissue-specific promoter (e.g., for epithelial cells, *Krt18* <sup>10</sup>), a universal promoter (CMV enhancer followed by the chicken beta-actin promoter <sup>11</sup>), or the endogenous *mACE2* promoter <sup>12</sup>. All of these mice are susceptible to infection by SARS-CoV-2, but the differences in hACE2 expression result in a pathogenic range from mild to lethal disease. In particular, with the exception of the model in which hACE2 is controlled by the mouse ACE2 promoter, all other models develop encephalitis after infection with SARS-CoV <sup>13</sup> or SARS-CoV-2 <sup>14</sup>. However, while SARS-CoV infection of K18-hACE2 mice results in a highly lethal encephalitis, the neurological infection caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection in these mice is less severe. Some mice appear to succumb to severe pneumonia, at times when the brain infection is not substantial <sup>15</sup>. These mouse models provide a stringent test for vaccine and therapeutic efficacy and may be useful for studies of pathogenesis.

An alternative approach that mirrors tissue-specific expression of human ACE2 is to substitute the *mACE2* gene by the *hACE2* gene. Similar models expressing human dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4), the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) receptor, have been successfully developed<sup>16–18</sup>. One such hACE2 humanized mouse has now been reported, and supports replication of SARS-CoV-2 in respiratory and brain tissues, although mice do not develop severe disease<sup>19</sup>. However, more severe disease is expected to occur in hACE2 knock-in mice if virus is passaged serially through mouse lungs. Overall, these mice will probably be very useful models of human disease especially if combined with viral adaptation that increases virulence of SARS-CoV-2 in mice.

Finally, instead of permanent genetic modification, it is also possible to generate mice susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection by sensitizing the respiratory tract of these animals to SARS-CoV-2 replication through transduction with adenovirus (Ad5) or adeno-associated virus (AAV) expressing hACE2. This system, pioneered for MERS studies<sup>20</sup>, allows transient replication of SARS-CoV-2 in lungs of mice for several days until immune clearance, and it has the advantage that it can be applied quickly to different strains of mice. Upon infection with SARS-CoV-2, Ad5-*hACE2* mice develop a widespread infection of the lungs and histopathological changes consistent with a viral pneumonia. Mice developed clinical disease characterized by changes in body scoring (hunching) and weight loss. Virus is generally cleared by 7 days after infection, although not in some immunocompromised mice<sup>21,14</sup>. Mice sensitized via AAV-*hACE2* delivery are also susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection, but virus replication seems to be lower than in Ad5-*hACE2* transduced mice<sup>22</sup>. Ad5-*hACE2* and AAV-*hACE2* sensitized mice are useful for evaluating vaccines and antiviral therapies, as well identifying SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody and T cell epitopes. A limitation with these mice, as well as in some of the transgenic hACE2 mice is that hACE2 is expressed ectopically, which may change the tissue or cellular tropism of the virus.

### Other mouse models and approaches

Additional ongoing efforts to develop mouse models for SARS-CoV-2 infection studies involve mice humanized with hACE2 and human hematopoiesis, and Collaborative-Cross (CC) mouse studies. Mice transplanted with human immune cells or human immune system (HIS) mice, have been widely used to study human-specific viral infections<sup>23,24</sup> and the combination of HIS and ACE2 expression could help to further explore the efficacy of vaccines and therapies, in particular those that modulate human immune cells. Similarly, previous studies using the CC model of genetic diversity, a panel of recombinant inbred mice with expanded susceptibility to viruses that normally do not cause disease in laboratory mice can be used to enhance virus disease susceptibility, however, infection remains heavily dependent on a functional entry receptor<sup>25,26</sup>. CC mice were previously used with mouse-adapted SARS-CoV to identify mechanisms of pathogenesis and genetic loci that determine susceptibility<sup>27</sup>. Presumably, CC studies could enable exploration of an expanded range of SARS-CoV-2 phenotypes in mice that potentially better recapitulate human disease, as mouse adapted strains become available.

In summary, a variety of murine models for mild and severe COVID-19 have been described, or are under development. All will be useful for vaccine and antiviral evaluation

and some share features with the human disease. No murine model at present recapitulates all aspects of human COVID-19, especially unusual features such as the pulmonary vascular disease and hyperinflammatory syndromes observed in adults and children, respectively<sup>28,29</sup>. However, continued refinement may eventually result in models for even these aspects of the human disease.

## Syrian Hamster Model

Syrian hamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*) are small mammals that have been used as animal models for other respiratory viruses, including SARS-CoV, influenza virus, and adenovirus<sup>30–33</sup>. *In silico* comparison of the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) sequence of humans known to interact with the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein receptor-binding domain (RBD) with that of hamsters<sup>34</sup> suggested that Syrian hamsters might be susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Indeed, upon experimental intranasal infection, Syrian hamsters show mild to moderate disease with progressive body weight loss starting very early after infection (days 1–2 post-inoculation). All animals challenged by different groups and with different SARS-CoV-2 isolates consistently showed respiratory signs, including labored breathing<sup>34,35</sup>. Additional signs of morbidity included lethargy, ruffled fur and hunched posture<sup>34</sup>. After two weeks of infection, hamsters typically recovered. Of particular interest is the fact that SARS-CoV-2 infection in hamsters reflect some of the demographic differences of COVID-19 in humans. Thus, aged hamsters as well as male hamsters seem to develop a more severe disease than young and female hamsters respectively<sup>36,37</sup> (Table 1).

SARS-CoV-2 disease in hamsters is associated with high levels of virus replication and histopathological evidence of disease, which included ground glass opacities and evidence of gas in the cavity surrounding the lungs<sup>37</sup>. These findings are similar to those previously reported for SARS-CoV infection in this model<sup>31</sup>. Viral RNA is readily detected in the respiratory tract and other tissues, such as the small intestine, which could be useful for the evaluation of therapeutics and vaccines. Virus transmission to cage mates has also been observed<sup>34</sup>, suggesting that hamsters could be useful in transmission studies. Histologically, inflammatory infiltrates with abundant viral antigen expression and apoptosis were observed in the upper and lower respiratory tract starting at 2 days post-infection (dpi), being most severe at 4 dpi and resolving at 14 dpi. Among the non-respiratory tract tissues, only the intestine demonstrated viral antigen expression in association with severe epithelial cell necrosis, damaged and deformed intestinal villi, and increased *lamina propria* mononuclear cell infiltration. Lung disease was also demonstrated by CT. High-resolution micro-CT scans showed airway dilation and significant consolidations in the lungs of infected hamsters<sup>35</sup>. A quantitative analysis revealed an increase of the non-aerated lung volume in these hamsters. This method thus allows quantitative monitoring of disease without the need of euthanizing the animals.

Expression of chemokines/cytokines in the lungs of hamsters peaked at 4 dpi and then gradually resolved at 7 dpi. Interferon- $\gamma$  and proinflammatory chemokines/cytokines were potently induced at 2 dpi and 4 dpi and dropped to the baseline level at 7 dpi. SARS-CoV-2 induced lung pathology in hamsters appears to be driven by immune pathology, as lung injury at 4 dpi is markedly reduced in STAT2 knockout hamsters while viral loads are

massively increased and viral RNA disseminated in multiple peripheral tissues<sup>35</sup>. Serum neutralizing antibodies were detected as early as 7 dpi. Passive immunization of naïve hamsters with these convalescent serum samples resulted in significantly reduced respiratory tract viral loads but no obvious improvement in clinical signs and histological changes. Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2 can be transmitted between hamsters via close contact and non-contact routes<sup>34,38</sup>. Transmission via fomites on the other hand was possible, but not efficient<sup>38</sup>.

Since studies in hamsters can be completed quickly and in a cost-effective manner, there is an increasing interest in the use of this model for screening of therapeutics. Until now, lack of/or limited efficacy was demonstrated for the re-purposed drugs hydroxychloroquine (with or without azithromycin) and favipiravir<sup>39,40</sup>, whereas a YF17D-vectored SARS-CoV-2 vaccine candidate conferred efficient protection against SARS-CoV-2 challenge in hamsters<sup>41</sup>. Adoptive transfer of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies protected hamsters from SARS-CoV-2-induced disease<sup>42</sup>. A putative caveat of the model is the lack of research tools for this species which are still scarce compared for example with those for mice.

## Ferret models for COVID-19

Ferrets (*Mustela putorius furo*) have been shown to be a highly valuable model to test pathogenicity and transmission of human respiratory viruses, including influenza virus and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)<sup>43,44</sup>. It is thus not surprising that the ferret model has been investigated for studies of COVID-19 pathogenesis and SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Despite the use of different isolates of SARS-CoV-2, the results have been remarkably consistent across all laboratories.

Following mucosal exposure with SARS-CoV-2, clinical alterations in ferrets are undetectable or mild and may include lethargy, nasal discharge, wheezing, oropharyngeal mucus buildup, sneezing, and loose stool<sup>45</sup>. Ferrets infected by small-particle aerosol had similar disease, albeit at 100-fold lower doses. Peaks of elevated body temperatures have been observed in some studies, although alterations in body weight are absent or minimal. Minor alterations in hematological parameters such as mild lymphopenia and neutrophilia have been also observed. SARS-CoV-2 virus shedding is observed in nasal and oropharyngeal swabs<sup>46-49</sup>. As with Syrian hamsters, virus replication is detected in the upper respiratory tract very early after infection (day 2) and is detectable during two weeks of infection. Virus replication in ferrets appears to be restricted to the respiratory and GI tracts (Table 1).

The predominant histopathology findings in SARS-CoV-2 infected ferrets euthanized at the peak of virus replication include mixed (pyogranulomatous or eosinophilic and histiocytic) inflammation within alveolar spaces and perivascular mononuclear inflammation. In addition, in the larger airways of these animals, bronchial submucosal granulomatous foci with eosinophilic material and collagen fragments (suggesting collagen degeneration) were observed. Microscopic findings in euthanized animals were mild and included bronchoalveolar or alveolar inflammation.

Ferrets also are able to transmit virus efficiently to uninfected animals in experimental settings. Efficient transmission occurred from experimentally infected ferrets to naïve cage mates; transmission from exposed ferrets to companion animals that were separated by steel grids did occur but was not efficient<sup>48,50</sup>. These studies indicated that airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2 can occur, and suggested that the ferret model may be useful for further transmission studies.

All the studies performed so far, strongly indicate that experimental SARS-CoV-2 infection in ferrets results in a predominantly upper respiratory tract infection. These findings make the ferret model especially suited to test the efficacy of mucosal vaccines and therapeutics aimed to prevent upper airway infection and/or transmission.

## Non-Human Primate Models

Non-human primate models have been explored for COVID-19 in rhesus macaques, cynomolgus macaques, and African green monkeys. Studies from several investigators have shown high levels of viral replication in both the upper and lower respiratory tract, pathologic features of viral pneumonia, and variable induction of mild clinical disease<sup>51–54</sup>. Consistent severe clinical disease has not yet been reported in nonhuman primates, and insufficient comparable data exists at this time to determine if there is more clinical disease in rhesus macaques, cynomolgus macaques, or African green monkeys. Induction of innate, humoral and cellular immune responses as well as robust protection against re-challenge has also been reported, demonstrating induction of natural protective immunity in this model<sup>53</sup>. NHPs inoculated via multi-route mucosal, intrabronchial, or aerosol exposure routes showed radiographic abnormalities (chest X-ray, CT scan, or 18FDG-PET scan) within two days that tended to resolve by days 11–15 post-infection. Evidence of live virus shedding has been found in both the respiratory and GI tract. In addition, hematological changes with evidence of T cell activation, mild lymphopenia and neutrophilia may be observed in infected NHPs.

Infection with SARS-CoV-2 in the elderly is also associated with adverse clinical outcome. Currently, two NHP studies in rhesus and cynomolgus macaques have focused on the effect of age on SARS-CoV-2 infection<sup>52,55</sup>. Both studies showed that aged animals shed virus from nose and throat for longer compared to young adult animals. In rhesus macaques, higher viral loads were also detected in lung tissue of aged animals. In addition, advanced age in rhesus macaques was also associated with increased radiological and histopathological changes. These studies highlight the importance of including age in the selection criteria of animals, as testing treatment options for severe disease require animal models that recapitulate the disease seen in humans.

Two recent studies have reported the immunogenicity and protective efficacy of prototype inactivated virus vaccines, DNA vaccines and vectored vaccines in the rhesus macaque model<sup>56,57</sup>. In these studies, the vaccines induced binding and neutralizing antibodies and resulted in substantial reductions of viral replication in the lower respiratory tract, and to a lesser extent the upper respiratory tract, following SARS-CoV-2 challenge. These findings raise the possibility that vaccines may be more effective at blocking lower respiratory tract disease compared with upper respiratory tract disease. Anamnestic immune responses were

observed following challenge, even in animals that had no virus detected after SARS-CoV-2 challenge, suggesting that protection is likely mediated by rapid immunologic control rather than true sterilizing protection. Vaccine-elicited neutralizing antibody titers also correlated with protective efficacy<sup>56</sup>.

## Additional Animal Models

### Mink

The mink (*Neovison vison*), which is listed in the zoological family Mustelidae, was shown previously to be susceptible to SARS-CoV infection<sup>58</sup> and also mink lung epithelial cells and lung-derived cells could be infected with SARS-CoV<sup>59</sup>. Minks also turned out to be naturally susceptible for SARS-CoV-2 infection. In the Netherlands, an infection of mink with SARS-CoV-2 in two breeding farms was detected at the end of April 2020, most likely as the result of contact with a SARS-CoV-2-infected farm worker<sup>60</sup>. In contrast to ferrets, minks displayed moderate respiratory signs which included labored breathing, and some animals died as a result of infection. SARS-CoV-2 virus was found in the majority of throat and rectal swabs, collected from dead animals from both farms. Similar to ferrets, the viral loads in mink were higher in the throat swabs than in the rectal swabs. While minks may represent a suitable model for moderate to severe COVID-19, these animals are difficult to handle under laboratory conditions.

### Cats

Three experiments so far have demonstrated that domestic cats (*Felis catus*) are highly susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection, and are able to transmit the virus to naïve contact cats<sup>49,61,62</sup>. For example, the inoculation of 10<sup>5</sup> PFU of the Chinese SARS-CoV-2 isolate CTan-H through the intranasal route into juvenile (70-100 days old) and subadult cats (6-9 months old) resulted in virus replication in the upper and lower respiratory tract as well as the GI tract. Both experimentally infected and contact cats seroconverted. At necropsy, interstitial pneumonia, loss of cilia and epithelial necrosis as well as inflammation in nasal turbinates and trachea were observed. The authors did not describe clinical signs in any of the infected cats, except that two juvenile cats (out of 10 total) died on day 3 and 13 post-infection<sup>49</sup>. Virus antigen was found in epithelial cells of the nasal turbinates, necrotic debris in the tonsil, submucosal glands of the trachea and enterocytes of the small intestine. SARS-CoV-2 transmission by droplets was also demonstrated<sup>49</sup>. While cats may represent a suitable model for asymptomatic to moderate COVID-19, the benefits should outweigh the concerns of using companion animals for research; also, cats are rather difficult to handle in biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) containment and are not a standard animal model. However, due to their close contact to humans, additional studies e.g. on environmental contamination (cages, beds, food/water bowls, litterboxes, etc.) or transmission efficiency studies may be important to inform veterinary and public health authorities about the risk of cats as intermediate hosts/virus carriers in the SARS-CoV-2 human-animal interface.

## Dogs

Dogs (*Canis lupus familiaris*) have been shown to be susceptible to SARS-CoV-2, but to a very mild degree. Two experiments so far have been published in this species, concluding that dogs have a low susceptibility to the SARS-CoV-2 infection <sup>49,62</sup>.

## Pigs

*In silico* data suggested that swine ACE2 should bind the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. However, several experimental infections performed in pigs (*Sus scrofa domestica*) by different research groups indicate that this species is not susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection *in vivo* <sup>48,49</sup>. No clinical signs and no clear evidence of virus replication have been observed in pigs. Therefore, pigs do not appear to represent a suitable animal model for COVID-19. Conversely, previous studies reported SARS-CoV infection in pigs <sup>63</sup>. Experimental infection of pigs with SARS-CoV resulted in detection of viral RNA in the blood and seroconversion, but not in clinical signs or virus isolation, which ruled out pigs as amplifying hosts for SARS-CoV <sup>64</sup>. In contrast, infection with another bat betacoronavirus, called swine acute diarrhoea syndrome coronavirus (SADS-CoV), has been demonstrated in swine <sup>65</sup>. Therefore, due to their importance as livestock species and the enormous global number of pigs, it may be still important for future studies to address the putative susceptibility of additional pig breeds to SARS-CoV-2 infection.

## Chickens and Ducks

At least one *in silico* study using the informational spectrum methodology proposed chicken as a potential susceptible animal species for SARS-CoV-2 infection <sup>66</sup>. However, the limited experimental studies performed so far have suggested that chicken, including embryonated chicken eggs, and ducks are not susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection <sup>48,49</sup>. Neither chicken nor ducks appear to represent suitable animal models for SARS-CoV-2 infection studies. These findings are similar to those previously reported for SARS-CoV infection, in which experimental inoculation of different bird species with SARS-CoV, including chickens, resulted in neither replication nor seroconversion <sup>67</sup>.

## Fruit bats

Bats are regarded as the natural reservoir of many coronaviruses including SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 <sup>68,69</sup>. Intranasal inoculation of fruit bats (*Rousettus aegyptiacus*) with SARS-CoV-2 resulted in efficient replication in the upper respiratory tract and seroconversion in 7 out of 9 animals. Transmission occurred to one out of 3 direct contact animals. Clinical signs were absent, but rhinitis could be detected by immunohistology <sup>48</sup>. Conversely, previous studies showed that a SARS-like coronavirus did not replicate in fruit bats after experimental inoculation <sup>70</sup>. These findings suggest that, although *Rousettus* bats are not the original reservoir species of SARS-CoV-2, experimental infection of these fruit bats could help to model the physiopathology of the virus in its host.

## Preclinical Alternatives to Animal Models

Historically animal alternatives for studying respiratory viruses have involved *in vitro* approaches such as cell lines (e.g. Vero cells, A549, MDCK) or primary tissue-derived



human cells in conventional cell culture. However, over the past decade advances in engineering, cell biology, and microfabrication have come together to enable development of new human cell-based alternatives to animal models. In this regard, microengineered organ-on-chips and lung organoids have been shown to support key hallmarks of the cytopathology and inflammatory responses observed in human airways after infection with SARS-CoV-2 and have served to study human disease pathogenesis and test new candidate COVID-19 therapeutics and expedite drug repurposing <sup>71,72</sup>.

## Conclusions

Since SARS-CoV-2 emerged in the human population in late 2019, it has spread via human-to-human transmission to most countries in the world, leading to a coronavirus pandemic of an unprecedented scale. Under the umbrella of the WHO, the WHO-COM is fostering the development of COVID-19 animal models through international exchange of protocols, unpublished data and ideas across many laboratories in the world. As discussed in this review, an important number of studies have been conducted, many of them by members of the WHO-COM, indicating that most of the animal models susceptible to infection with SARS-CoV-2 show mild to moderate disease, while others do not support viral replication. In studies based on the three dimensional X-ray structure of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein bound to human ACE2, Zhai et al discussed the variance observed between 19 different animal species as well as within three colonies of the same species of bat from different provinces within China. This analysis noted that many predicted ACE2 receptor affinities (especially dog and pig) did not match their relative natural resistance to SARS-CoV-2. This was proposed to be due to differences in the ACE2 expression levels between species in the respiratory epithelium <sup>73</sup>. Similarly, a recent study aimed to predict the host range of SARS-CoV-2 by a comparative structural analysis of ACE2 in more than 400 vertebrates. These data show discrepancies between the predicted susceptibilities and those experimentally observed, with ferrets for example predicted to have very low susceptibility to infection <sup>74</sup>. These data suggest that susceptibility to infection may be a function of several factors, including genetic ACE2 composition, organ-specific ACE2 expression and other host factors such as additional receptors and host immune responses.

One immediate goal of the group is to evaluate whether mimicking human co-morbidities, co-infections or the immune senescence associated with age in these animal models may result in more severe disease phenotypes. The existing animal models have been valuable for testing vaccines and therapeutics. Several vaccine candidates have shown protection in rhesus macaques <sup>56,57</sup>, and both the cynomolgus and the rhesus macaque models have been useful for testing of therapeutics <sup>75</sup>. Many of the pathogenesis studies described in this review have also highlighted an important caveat in COVID-19 research, which are the methods utilized to measure virus replication. The group found that viral RNA/genome copy numbers measured by qPCR assays were three to four orders of magnitude higher than infectious virus titers measured by cell culture assays. These findings have important implications for the future evaluation of vaccines and therapeutics.

There have been concerns that coronaviruses might pose a risk of vaccine-associated enhanced respiratory disease (VAERD) or antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of virus

entry and replication in Fc receptor-bearing cells<sup>76</sup>. These types of syndromes have been linked to vaccines that induced substantial levels of non-neutralizing antibodies or type 2 helper CD4 T cell (Th2) biased responses. Therefore, evaluating the relative potency of neutralizing activity to overall binding antibody and obtaining evidence for CD4 T cell subset biased responses through cytokine production or antibody subtype response patterns would be informative. To ensure such models are able to provide these vital readouts, it is important to attempt to induce VAERD in COVID-19 challenge models using suboptimal doses of candidate vaccines or antigenic preparations specifically designed to induce the required detrimental immune profile and associated lung pathology. Such studies are of high priority for the WHO-COM group, which intends to provide some guidance on the potential for vaccine-elicited immunopathology. In summary, the work presented here demonstrates that there are a number of potential small and large animal models that investigators can utilize to explore important aspects of COVID-19, including mechanisms underlying the pathology, transmission, and host response to the infection as well as the safety and efficacy of potential therapeutics or vaccines. With respect to the future studies, there is an urgent need for standardizing the challenge-protection model to allow comparison of different vaccine candidates, and for establishing appropriate animal models for assessing the VAERD potential. In the context of VAERD, the establishment of a positive control allowing comparisons between different vaccine candidates in preclinical models is a high priority.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Authors

César Muñoz-Fontela<sup>1</sup>, William E. Dowling<sup>2</sup>, Simon G. P. Funnell<sup>3</sup>, Pierre-S Gsell<sup>4</sup>, Ximena Riveros Balta<sup>4</sup>, Randy A. Albrecht<sup>5</sup>, Hanne Andersen<sup>6</sup>, Ralph S. Baric<sup>7</sup>, Miles W. Carroll<sup>3</sup>, Chuan Qin<sup>8</sup>, Ian Crozier<sup>9</sup>, Kai Dallmeier<sup>10</sup>, Leon de Waal<sup>11</sup>, Emmie de Wit<sup>12</sup>, Leen Delang<sup>10</sup>, Erik Dohm<sup>13</sup>, W. Paul Duprex<sup>14</sup>, Darryl Falzarano<sup>15</sup>, Courtney Finch<sup>16</sup>, Matthew B. Frieman<sup>17</sup>, Barney S. Graham<sup>18</sup>, Lisa Gralinski<sup>19</sup>, Kate Guilfoyle<sup>11</sup>, Bart L. Haagmans<sup>20</sup>, Geraldine A. Hamilton<sup>21</sup>, Amy L. Hartman<sup>14</sup>, Sander Herfst<sup>20</sup>, Suzanne J. F. Kaptein<sup>10</sup>, William Klimstra<sup>22</sup>, Ivana Knezevic<sup>4</sup>, Jens Kuhn<sup>16</sup>, Roger Le Grand<sup>23</sup>, Mark Lewis<sup>6</sup>, Wen-Chun Liu<sup>5</sup>, Pauline Maisonnasse<sup>23</sup>, Anita K. McElroy<sup>24</sup>, Vincent Munster<sup>12</sup>, Nadia Oreshkova<sup>25</sup>, Angela L. Rasmussen<sup>26</sup>, Joana Rocha-Pereira<sup>10</sup>, Barry Rockx<sup>20</sup>, Estefanía Rodríguez<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Rogers<sup>27</sup>, Francisco J. Salguero<sup>3</sup>, Michael Shotsaert<sup>5</sup>, Koert Stittelaar<sup>11</sup>, Hendrik Jan Thibaut<sup>10</sup>, Chien-Te Tseng<sup>28</sup>, Júlia Vergara-Alert<sup>29</sup>, Martin Beer<sup>30</sup>, Trevor Brasel<sup>28</sup>, Jasper F. W. Chan<sup>31</sup>, Adolfo García-Sastre<sup>5</sup>, Johan Neyts<sup>10</sup>, Stanley Perlman<sup>32</sup>, Douglas S. Reed<sup>14</sup>, Juergen A. Richt<sup>33</sup>, Chad J. Roy<sup>34</sup>, Joaquim Segalés<sup>29</sup>, Seshadri S. Vasan<sup>35</sup>, Ana María Henao-Restrepo<sup>4,\*</sup>, Dan H. Barouch<sup>36,\*</sup>

## Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Bernhard Nocht Strasse 74, 20359 Hamburg, Germany <sup>2</sup>Centre for Epidemic Preparedness, 1901 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Suite 1003, Washington, DC 20006, US <sup>3</sup>National Infection Service, Public

Health England, Porton Down, Manor Farm Road, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP40JG, UK  
<sup>4</sup>World Health Organization, Avenue Appia 20, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland  
<sup>5</sup>Department of Microbiology, Global Health and Emerging Pathogens Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, One Gustave L. Levy Place, New York, NY 10029 US  
<sup>6</sup>Bioqual Inc. 9600 Medical Center Drive Suite 101, Rockville MD20850, US  
<sup>7</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599  
<sup>8</sup>Institute of Laboratory Animal Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Peking, China  
<sup>9</sup>Clinical Monitoring Research Program Directorate, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, MD, US  
<sup>10</sup>KU Leuven, Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, Rega Institute for Medical Research, Laboratory of Virology and Chemotherapy, Herestraat 49, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium; Global Virus Network  
<sup>11</sup>Viroclinics Xplore, Nisterlrooise Baan 3, 5374 RE, Schaijk, Netherlands  
<sup>12</sup>Laboratory of Virology, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Hamilton, MT, US  
<sup>13</sup>University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1800 9th Avenue South, Birmingham, AL 35294-2800, US  
<sup>14</sup>Center for Vaccine Research and Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15206, US  
<sup>15</sup>VIDO-Intervac, University of Saskatchewan, 117 Veterinary Road, Saskatoon, SK Canada S7N 5E3  
<sup>16</sup>Integrated Research Facility at Fort Detrick, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Frederick, Maryland, US  
<sup>17</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, 655 W. Baltimore St., Baltimore MD, 21201, US  
<sup>18</sup>Vaccine Research Center, NIAID, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892, US  
<sup>19</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, US  
<sup>20</sup>Department of Viroscience, Erasmus University Medical Center, Wyternaweg 80, 3015 CN, Rotterdam, The Netherlands  
<sup>21</sup>Emulate Inc. Boston, MA 02210, US  
<sup>22</sup>Department of Immunology and Center for Vaccine Research. University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15261, US  
<sup>23</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, Inserm, CEA, Center for Immunology of Viral, Auto-immune, Hematological and Bacterial diseases » (IMVA-HB/IDMIT), Fontenay-aux-Roses & Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France  
<sup>24</sup>Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases and Center for Vaccine Research, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, US  
<sup>25</sup>Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen Bioveterinary Research (WBVR), Houtribweg 39, 8221 RA Lelystad, the Netherlands  
<sup>26</sup>Center for Infection and Immunity, Columbia Mailman School of Public Health, 722 W 168th St, 17th floor, New York, NY 10032, US  
<sup>27</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Dr, La Jolla, CA 92093, US  
<sup>28</sup>University of Texas Medical Branch, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, 301 University Blvd, Galveston, TX, USA 77555  
<sup>29</sup>Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CRESA, IRTA-UAB), Campus UAB, and Departament de Sanitat i Anatomia animals, Facultat de Veterinària, UAB, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain  
<sup>30</sup>Institute of Diagnostic Virology, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Suedufer 10, 17493 Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany  
<sup>31</sup>Carol Yu Centre for Infection, Department of Microbiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The

University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China <sup>32</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, US <sup>33</sup>College of Veterinary Medicine, Manhattan, KS 66503, USA <sup>34</sup>Tulane National Primate Research Center, 18703 Three Rivers Road, Covington, LA 70433 US <sup>35</sup>Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness, CSIRO, Geelong, VIC 3220, Australia; Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK <sup>36</sup>Center for Virology and Vaccine Research, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, US

## Literature cited

1. Verity R et al. Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2020). doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30243-7
2. Tang D, Comish P & Kang R The hallmarks of COVID-19 disease. *PLoS Pathog* 16, e1008536 (2020). [PubMed: 32442210]
3. The Imperfect Cytokine Storm: Severe COVID-19 With ARDS in a Patient on Durable LVAD Support. *JACC: Case Reports* (2020). doi:10.1016/j.jaccas.2020.04.001
4. Ji H-L, Zhao R, Matalon S & Matthay MA Elevated Plasmin(ogen) as a Common Risk Factor for COVID-19 Susceptibility. *Physiol. Rev* 100, 1065–1075 (2020). [PubMed: 32216698]
5. Hodgson J The pandemic pipeline. *Nature biotechnology* 38, 523–532 (2020).
6. Bauer DC et al. Supporting pandemic response using genomics and bioinformatics: A case study on the emergent SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. *Transbound Emerg Dis* 24, 190718e (2020).
7. Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric RS & Li F Receptor recognition by novel coronavirus from Wuhan: An analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS. *journal of Virology* (2020). doi:10.1128/JVI.00127-20
8. Gu H et al. Rapid adaptation of SARS-CoV-2 in BALB/c mice: Novel mouse model for vaccine efficacy. *bioRxiv* 2020.05.02.073411 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.05.02.073411
9. Dinnon KH et al. A mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 model for the evaluation of COVID-19 medical countermeasures. *bioRxiv* 79, 197 (2020).
10. McCray PB et al. Lethal infection of K18-hACE2 mice infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *journal of Virology* 81, 813–821 (2007). [PubMed: 17079315]
11. Tseng C-TK et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection of mice transgenic for the human Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 virus receptor. *journal of Virology* 81, 1162–1173 (2007). [PubMed: 17108019]
12. Bao L et al. The pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 in hACE2 transgenic mice. *Nature* 1–6 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2312-y
13. Netland J, Meyerholz DK, Moore S, Cassell M & Perlman S Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection causes neuronal death in the absence of encephalitis in mice transgenic for human ACE2. *journal of Virology* 82, 7264–7275 (2008). [PubMed: 18495771]
14. Rathnasinghe R et al. Comparison of Transgenic and Adenovirus hACE2 Mouse Models for SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *bioRxiv* 382, 1708 (2020).
15. Winkler ES et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in the lungs of human ACE2 transgenic mice causes severe inflammation, immune cell infiltration, and compromised respiratory function. *bioRxiv* 2020.07.09.196188 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.07.09.196188
16. Cockrell AS et al. A mouse model for MERS coronavirus-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Nat Microbiol* 2, 16226–11 (2016). [PubMed: 27892925]
17. Li K et al. Mouse-adapted MERS coronavirus causes lethal lung disease in human DPP4 knockin mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 114, E3119–E3128 (2017). [PubMed: 28348219]

18. Pascal KE et al. Pre- and postexposure efficacy of fully human antibodies against Spike protein in a novel humanized mouse model of MERS-CoV infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 112, 8738–8743 (2015). [PubMed: 26124093]
19. Sun S-H et al. A Mouse Model of SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Pathogenesis. *Cell Host Microbe* (2020). doi:10.1016/j.chom.2020.05.020
20. Zhao J et al. Rapid generation of a mouse model for Middle East respiratory syndrome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 111, 4970–4975 (2014). [PubMed: 24599590]
21. Hassan AO et al. A SARS-CoV-2 Infection Model in Mice Demonstrates Protection by Neutralizing Antibodies. *Cell* (2020). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.011
22. Israelow B et al. Mouse model of SARS-CoV-2 reveals inflammatory role of type I interferon signaling. *bioRxiv* 2020.05.27.118893 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.05.27.118893
23. Spengler JR et al. Severity of Disease in Humanized Mice Infected With Ebola Virus or Reston Virus Is Associated With Magnitude of Early Viral Replication in Liver. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 217, 58–63 (2017).
24. Frias-Staheli N et al. Utility of humanized BLT mice for analysis of dengue virus infection and antiviral drug testing. *Journal of Virology* 88, 2205–2218 (2014). [PubMed: 24335303]
25. Price A et al. Transcriptional Correlates of Tolerance and Lethality in Mice Predict Ebola Virus Disease Patient Outcomes. *Cell Rep* 30, 1702–1713.e6 (2020). [PubMed: 32049004]
26. Rasmussen AL et al. Host genetic diversity enables Ebola hemorrhagic fever pathogenesis and resistance. *Science* 346, 987–991 (2014). [PubMed: 25359852]
27. Gralinski LE et al. Genome Wide Identification of SARS-CoV Susceptibility Loci Using the Collaborative Cross. *PLoS Genet.* 11, e1005504 (2015). [PubMed: 26452100]
28. Feldstein LR et al. Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in U.S. Children and Adolescents. *N. Engl. J. Med.* NEJMoa2021680 (2020). doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2021680
29. Ackermann M et al. Pulmonary Vascular Endothelialitis, Thrombosis, and Angiogenesis in Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med* 383, 120–128 (2020). [PubMed: 32437596]
30. Miao J, Chard LS, Wang Z & Wang Y Syrian Hamster as an Animal Model for the Study on Infectious Diseases. *Front Immunol* 10, 2329 (2019). [PubMed: 31632404]
31. Roberts A et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection of golden Syrian hamsters. *Journal of Virology* 79, 503–511 (2005). [PubMed: 15596843]
32. Iwatsuki-Horimoto K et al. Syrian Hamster as an Animal Model for the Study of Human Influenza Virus Infection. *Journal of Virology* 92, JVI.01693–17 (2018).
33. Wold WSM & Toth K Chapter three--Syrian hamster as an animal model to study oncolytic adenoviruses and to evaluate the efficacy of antiviral compounds. *Adv. Cancer Res* 115, 69–92 (2012). [PubMed: 23021242]
34. Chan JF-W et al. Simulation of the clinical and pathological manifestations of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in golden Syrian hamster model: implications for disease pathogenesis and transmissibility. *Clin. Infect. Dis* (2020). doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa325
35. Boudewijns R et al. STAT2 signaling as double-edged sword restricting viral dissemination but driving severe pneumonia in SARS-CoV-2 infected hamsters. *bioRxiv* 80, 2020.04.23.056838 (2020).
36. Osterrieder N et al. Age-dependent progression of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Syrian hamsters. *bioRxiv* 2020.06.10.144188 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.06.10.144188
37. Imai M et al. Syrian hamsters as a small animal model for SARS-CoV-2 infection and countermeasure development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 117, 16587–16595 (2020). [PubMed: 32571934]
38. Sia SF et al. Pathogenesis and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in golden hamsters. *Nature* 1–7 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2342-5
39. Kaptein SJ et al. Antiviral treatment of SARS-CoV-2-infected hamsters reveals a weak effect of favipiravir and a complete lack of effect for hydroxychloroquine. *bioRxiv* 178, 2020.06.19.159053 (2020).
40. Driouch J-S et al. Favipiravir and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in hamster model. *bioRxiv* 26, 2020.07.07.191775 (2020).

41. Felipe LS et al. A single-dose live-attenuated YF17D-vectored SARS-CoV2 vaccine candidate. *bioRxiv* 181, 2020.07.08.193045 (2020).
42. Rogers TF et al. Isolation of potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and protection from disease in a small animal model. *Science* eabc7520 (2020). doi:10.1126/science.abc7520
43. Enkirch T & Messling, von V Ferret models of viral pathogenesis. *Virology* 479-480, 259–270 (2015). [PubMed: 25816764]
44. Callaway E Labs rush to study coronavirus in transgenic animals — some are in short supply. *Nature* 579, 183–183 (2020).
45. Blanco-Melo D et al. Imbalanced Host Response to SARS-CoV-2 Drives Development of COVID-19. *Cell* 181, 1036–1045.e9 (2020). [PubMed: 32416070]
46. Kim Y-I et al. Infection and Rapid Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Ferrets. *Cell Host Microbe* 27, 704–709.e2 (2020). [PubMed: 32259477]
47. Ryan KA et al. Dose-dependent response to infection with SARS-CoV-2 in the ferret model: evidence of protection to re-challenge. *bioRxiv* 76, 2020.05.29.123810 (2020).
48. Schlottau K et al. Experimental Transmission Studies of SARS-CoV-2 in Fruit Bats, Ferrets, Pigs and Chickens. *SSRN Journal* (2020). doi:10.2139/ssrn.3578792
49. Shi J et al. Susceptibility of ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated animals to SARS-coronavirus 2. *Science* 368, eabb7015–1020 (2020).
50. Richard M et al. SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted via contact and via the air between ferrets. *bioRxiv* 2020.04.16.044503 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.04.16.044503
51. Munster VJ et al. Respiratory disease in rhesus macaques inoculated with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* (2020). doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2324-7
52. Rockx B et al. Comparative pathogenesis of COVID-19, MERS, and SARS in a nonhuman primate model. *Science* 368, 1012–1015 (2020). [PubMed: 32303590]
53. Chandrashekar A et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection protects against rechallenge in rhesus macaques. *Science* eabc4776 (2020). doi:10.1126/science.abc4776
54. Finch CL et al. Characteristic and quantifiable COVID-19-like abnormalities in CT- and PET/CT-imaged lungs of SARS-CoV-2-infected crab-eating macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*). *bioRxiv* 8, 475 (2020).
55. Yu P et al. Age-related rhesus macaque models of COVID-19. *Animal Model Exp Med* 3, 93–97 (2020). [PubMed: 32318665]
56. Yu J et al. DNA vaccine protection against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. *Science* eabc6284 (2020). doi:10.1126/science.abc6284
57. Gao Q et al. Rapid development of an inactivated vaccine candidate for SARS-CoV-2. *Science* eabc1932 (2020). doi:10.1126/science.abc1932
58. Shi Z & Hu Z A review of studies on animal reservoirs of the SARS coronavirus. *Virus Res.* 133, 74–87 (2008). [PubMed: 17451830]
59. Gillim-Ross L et al. Discovery of novel human and animal cells infected by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus by replication-specific multiplex reverse transcription-PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol* 42, 3196–3206 (2004). [PubMed: 15243082]
60. Oreshkova N et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in farmed minks, the Netherlands, April and May 2020. *Euro Surveill.* 25, 1016 (2020).
61. Halfmann PJ et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Domestic Cats. *N. Engl. J. Med* NEJMc2013400 (2020). doi:10.1056/NEJMc2013400
62. Bosco-Lauth AM et al. Pathogenesis, transmission and response to re-exposure of SARS-CoV-2 in domestic cats. *bioRxiv* 27, 2020.05.28.120998 (2020).
63. Chen W et al. SARS-associated coronavirus transmitted from human to pig. *Emerging Infect. Dis* 11, 446–448 (2005).
64. Weingartl HM et al. Susceptibility of pigs and chickens to SARS coronavirus. *Emerging Infect. Dis* 10, 179–184 (2004).
65. Zhou P et al. Fatal swine acute diarrhoea syndrome caused by an HKU2-related coronavirus of bat origin. *Nature* 556, 255–258 (2018). [PubMed: 29618817]

66. Veljkovic V, Vergara-Alert J, Segalés J & Paessler S Use of the informational spectrum methodology for rapid biological analysis of the novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV: prediction of potential receptor, natural reservoir, tropism and therapeutic/vaccine target. *F1000Research* 9, 52 (2020). [PubMed: 32419926]
67. Swayne DE et al. Domestic poultry and SARS coronavirus, southern China. *Emerging Infect. Dis* 10, 914–916 (2004).
68. Li W et al. Bats Are Natural Reservoirs of SARS-Like Coronaviruses. *Science* 310, 676–679 (2005). [PubMed: 16195424]
69. Andersen KG, Rambaut A, Lipkin WI, Holmes EC & Garry RF The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Med* 26, 450–452 (2020). [PubMed: 32284615]
70. van Doremalen N et al. SARS-Like Coronavirus WIV1-CoV Does Not Replicate in Egyptian Fruit Bats (*Rousettus aegyptiacus*). *Viruses* 10, 727 (2018).
71. Han Y et al. Identification of Candidate COVID-19 Therapeutics using hPSC-derived Lung Organoids. *bioRxiv* (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.05.05.079095
72. Si L et al. Human organs-on-chips as tools for repurposing approved drugs as potential influenza and COVID19 therapeutics in viral pandemics. *bioRxiv* 54, 2020.04.13.039917 (2020).
73. Zhai X et al. Comparison of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Spike Protein Binding to ACE2 Receptors from Human, Pets, Farm Animals, and Putative Intermediate Hosts. *Journal of Virology* 94, 1199 (2020).
74. Damas J et al. Broad Host Range of SARS-CoV-2 Predicted by Comparative and Structural Analysis of ACE2 in Vertebrates. *bioRxiv* 24, 125 (2020).
75. Williamson BN et al. Clinical benefit of remdesivir in rhesus macaques infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 1–7 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2423-5
76. Graham BS Rapid COVID-19 vaccine development. *Science* 368, 945–946 (2020). [PubMed: 32385100]

**Table 1.**  
**SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans and in animal models.**

This table illustrates the main features of SARS-CoV-2 infection in animal models and whether these features are also present in human COVID-19. NHP: Non-human primates; CNS: Central nervous system; GI: gastro-intestinal; ARDS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome.

<b>Virus replication</b>	
Upper respiratory tract	Humans, mice, hamsters, ferrets, NHP, minks, cats, bats
Lower respiratory tract	Humans, mice, hamsters, ferrets, NHP
Other organs	Humans (GI tract, CNS, kidney), hACE2 mice (CNS), hamsters, ferrets (GI tract), NHP (GI tract)
<b>Clinical signs</b>	
Fever	Humans, Ferrets
Nasal discharge	Humans, Ferrets
Labored breathing	Humans, hamsters,
<b>Pneumonia</b>	
Bilateral lung involvement	Humans, hamsters, NHP
Ground-glass opacities	Humans, hamsters, NHP
Focal edema, inflammation	Humans, hamsters, ferrets, NHP
ARDS	Humans
<b>Transmission</b>	
Humans, hamsters, ferrets, cats, bats	
<b>Immunology</b>	
Seroconversion	Humans, hamsters, NHP, ferrets, bats, mice
Neutralizing Ab titers	Humans, hamsters, NHP, ferrets, mice
T-cell immunity	Humans, NHP, ferrets, mice
Pro-inflammatory cytokines	Humans, NHP, mice
<b>Demographics</b>	
More severe disease: males	Humans, hamsters
More severe disease: aged	Humans, hamsters, NHP