

## **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *ACS Nano*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 May 25.

Published in final edited form as:

ACS Nano. 2021 May 25; 15(5): 8155-8170. doi:10.1021/acsnano.0c10567.

## Interferon-Induced Transmembrane Protein 3 Blocks Fusion of Diverse Enveloped Viruses by Altering Mechanical Properties of Cell Membranes

Xiangyang Guo<sup>1</sup>, Jan Steinkühler<sup>2</sup>, Mariana Marin<sup>1</sup>, Xiang Li<sup>3</sup>, Wuyuan Lu<sup>4</sup>, Rumiana Dimova<sup>2</sup>, Gregory B. Melikyan<sup>1,5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322, United States

<sup>2</sup>Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, 14424 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>3</sup>School of Pharmacy, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, 200433, China

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Human Virology and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, United States

<sup>5</sup>Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

## Abstract

Interferon-induced transmembrane protein 3 (IFITM3) potently inhibits entry of diverse enveloped viruses by trapping the viral fusion at a hemifusion stage, but the underlying mechanism remains unclear. Here, we show that recombinant IFITM3 reconstituted into lipid vesicles induces negative membrane curvature and that this effect maps to its small amphipathic helix (AH). We demonstrate that AH: (i) partitions into lipid-disordered domains where IAV fusion occurs, (ii) induces negative membrane curvature, and (iii) increases lipid order and membrane stiffness. These effects on membrane properties correlate with the fusion-inhibitory activity, as targeting the ectopically expressed AH peptide to the cytoplasmic leaflet of the cell plasma membrane diminishes IAV-cell surface fusion induced by exposure to acidic pH. Our results thus imply that IFITM3 inhibits the transition from hemifusion to full fusion by imposing an unfavorable

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Gregory B. Melikyan, gmeliki@emory.edu. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XG and GBM conceived this study; XG, JS and MM performed the experiments; WL and XL provided reagents; RD advised on bending modulus measurements; XG and GBM wrote the first draft of the manuscript; all authors read and edited the manuscript. ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at http://pubs.acs.org/. Supplemental appendix; Figure S1: IFITM3 induces negative membrane curvature *in vitro*; Figure S2: IFITM3 amphipathic helix is responsible for induction of negative membrane curvature; Figure S3: membrane binding activity analysis of IFITM3 AH; Figure S4: IFITM3 AH is sufficient to induce the formation of ILVs and nanotubes in GUVs; Figure S5: negative membrane curvature induced by IFITM3 is facilitated by cholesterol, POPE and counteracted by lyso-lipids; Figure S6: IFITM3 partitions into liquid-disordered membrane domains that support IAV fusion; Figure S7: full-length IFITM3 increases lipid order; Figure S8: IFITM3 AH is sufficient to inhibit membrane fusion; Table S1: key resources used in this study, including antibodies, recombinant proteins, chemicals, bacterial and virus strains, cell lines and software; Supplemental Video 1: 3D image series of GUVs containing ILVs and nanotubes. A version of this manuscript has been previously deposited to a pre-print server: Xiangyang Guo; Jan Steinkuhler; Mariana Marin; Xiang Li; Wuyuan Lu; Rumiana Dimova; and Gregory B. Melikyan. Interferon-Induced Transmembrane Protein 3 Blocks Fusion of Diverse Enveloped Viruses by Altering Mechanical Properties of Cell Membranes. BioRxiv. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.25.171280 (accessed 02/22/2021).

membrane curvature and increasing the order and stiffness of the cytoplasmic leaflet of endosomal membranes. Our findings reveal a universal mechanism by which cells block entry of diverse enveloped viruses.

## For Table of contents only



#### Keywords

IFITM3; viral fusion; hemifusion; amphipathic helix; membrane curvature; membrane rigidity

Infection by enveloped viruses proceeds through fusion of the viral membrane with the target cell membrane. Viral fusion, which is a critical step for establishing infection, is mediated by viral fusion proteins that are transmembrane glycoproteins protruding from the virus envelope. When activated by binding to cellular receptors and/or by acidic pH in endosomes, viral fusion proteins undergo extensive conformational changes.<sup>1</sup> As a result of this refolding, the two contacting membrane leaflets merge to form a hemifusion diaphragm, <sup>2</sup> which allows lipid mixing between the contacting leaflets, and ultimately culminates in full fusion through the formation of a fusion pore. Finally, enlargement of a fusion pore allows the release of the viral content into the cytosol and initiates infection.

Interferon-induced transmembrane proteins (IFITMs) are host factors that broadly and potently inhibit infection of diverse enveloped viruses, including the Influenza A virus (IAV), Dengue virus, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV), Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) and Ebola virus (EBOV).<sup>3, 4</sup> The IFITM family includes IFITM1 that localizes predominantly at the plasma membrane,<sup>5, 6</sup> as well as IFITM2 and IFITM3, which contain an endocytic signal in their cytoplasmic N-terminal domain and are predominantly found in late endosomes and lysosomes.<sup>7, 8</sup> IFITM3 alone is responsible for the bulk of antiviral effects of interferon in cell culture <sup>3</sup> and has been shown to be important for restricting viral replication in cell culture and *in vivo*. <sup>9–12</sup>

While the importance of IFITM3 in host antiviral defenses *in vitro* and *in vivo* is welldocumented, its mechanism of action is not clearly defined. IFITMs are type II transmembrane proteins with a cytoplasmic N-terminus, followed by a hydrophobic membrane-associated region and a C-terminal transmembrane domain.<sup>13–15</sup> A number of

residues scattered across the cytoplasmic region of IFITM3 have been shown to be essential for antiviral activity.<sup>16</sup> A model for the IFITM3's antiviral activity is through creation of "tough membranes" that are not conducive to fusion, perhaps by altering membrane curvature and fluidity,<sup>16–20</sup> but direct evidence and detailed mechanisms are lacking. Using single virus tracking in live cells, we have previously discovered that IFITM3 traps IAV at a "dead-end" hemifusion stage that does not culminate in full fusion.<sup>21</sup> In other words, IFITM3 does not restrict the lipid-mixing stage of viral fusion, but rather inhibits the formation of a fusion pore.

There is evidence suggesting that IFITMs may inhibit viral fusion via an indirect mechanism that involves recruitment or modulation of other host factors. First, IFITM3 has been reported to bind to and inhibit the function of vesicle-associated membrane proteinassociated protein A (VAPA),<sup>8</sup> which disrupts cholesterol transport from late endosomes and causes its accumulation in these compartments. However, high cholesterol content itself does not appear to inhibit virus-endosome fusion.<sup>18, 21-23</sup> Second, it has been proposed that IFITM3 works by recruiting zinc metalloprotease ZMPSTE24 to endosomes and that this effector protein is responsible for virus restriction.<sup>24</sup> However, several lines of evidence support a direct mechanism of IFITM3-mediated virus restriction. We have employed single virus tracking in live cells expressing a functional IFITM3 protein tagged with autofluorescent proteins and shown that IAV enters IFITM3-enriched endosomes where it undergoes hemifusion, but fails to complete the fusion process.<sup>25</sup> We found that, by contrast, the IFITM3-resistant Lassa virus enters through a distinct pathway that utilizes endosomes devoid of IFITM3. Moreover, IFITM3 incorporation into the viral membrane inhibits fusion mediated by both IFITM3-sensitive and -resistant viral glycoproteins.<sup>25, 26</sup> These results suggest that IFITM3 inhibits viral fusion by a proximity-based mechanism that requires the presence of this factor at the sites of viral fusion.

Here, using liposome-based *in vitro* reconstitution assays, we provide evidence that IFITM3 restricts membrane fusion by inducing unfavorable negative curvature and stiffening the cytoplasmic leaflet of a target membrane at the site of viral hemifusion and that these effects map to the small amphipathic helix region of IFITM3.

## **RESULTS/DISCUSSION**

#### IFITM3 Induces Negative Membrane Curvature in Vitro

To understand the molecular mechanism by which IFITM3 inhibits viral fusion, we sought to purify recombinantly expressed IFITM3 and its derivatives, reconstitute these into liposomes and assess their effects on the properties of lipid bilayers. For the purification purposes, we tagged IFITM3 with an N-terminal Strep-tag (Figure 1A) and verified its antiviral activity by expressing it in HEK 293T cells (Figure S1A) and testing its ability to inhibit the influenza A virus (IAV) infection (Figure S1B). Next, the tagged IFITM3 was expressed in *Escherichia coli*, extracted by Triton X-100 and purified (Figure 1B). In order to visualize the IFITM3 association with membranes, we expressed and purified a fluorescently-tagged IFITM3 (referred to as IFITM3-iEGFP, Figure 1A), with EGFP inserted into the N-terminal region of the protein.<sup>25</sup> We have previously shown that IFITM3-iGFP exhibits robust antiviral activity against IAV.<sup>25</sup> The purified proteins were then

incorporated into preformed large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) made of 16:0-18:1 phosphatidylcholine (POPC) and cholesterol, using a detergent-mediated reconstitution protocol.<sup>27</sup> Here, detergent removal from a lipid/protein mixture through hydrophobic adsorption onto Bio-Beads SM-2 triggers protein insertion into lipid bilayers to generate proteoliposomes. Density gradient LUV flotation showed that both IFITM3 and IFITM3-iEGFP were efficiently incorporated into the liposomes (Figure S1C).

We next tested the sidedness of IFITM3 incorporation based upon its accessibility to proteolysis by trypsin. Addition of trypsin to IFITM3- or IFITM3-iEGFP-reconstituted liposomes resulted in a nearly complete cleavage of both molecules, similar to cleavage following proteoliposome solubilization in a detergent (Figure S1D). Thus, both reconstituted proteins appear to insert into liposomes in a preferential orientation, with their large cytoplasmic domains exposed to external medium. This result supports proper reconstitution of IFITM3 proteins in liposomes with an orientation similar to that in endosomal membranes <sup>13, 14</sup> (Figure 1A).

In order to assess whether IFITM3 possesses membrane remodeling activity, IFITM3-or IFITM3-iEGFP-reconstituted proteoliposomes were dehydrated and electroformed into giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) using a previously described method.<sup>28</sup> After formation, the Nterminally strep-tagged IFITM3 reconstituted into GUVs could be visualized by staining with the AlexaFlour-647 labeled streptavidin, further supporting its preferential orientation in lipid bilayers (Figure 1D). Importantly, we consistently observed that, in contrast to control GUVs, vesicles containing either IFITM3 or IFITM3-iEGFP protein contained intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) and nanotubes - high curvature structures formed through inward budding of the GUV membrane (Figure 1D and Video 1). The diameter of nanotubes was below the optical resolution. IFITM3-induced inward budding was confirmed via the addition of fluorescein (a small aqueous marker) to the GUV resuspension buffer immediately after electroformation. Fluorescein signal was observed within ILVs of IFITM3-reconstituted GUVs, demonstrating de novo inward budding after electroformation, while virtually no vesicles were detected in control GUVs (Figure S1E). Note that some fluoresceinnegative ILVs were also observed in IFITM3-reconsituted GUVs, indicating that inward budding occurred during GUV electroformation. The formation of ILVs or tubes from GUVs is a direct visualization of preferred or spontaneous membrane curvature.<sup>29, 30</sup> This important finding implies that IFITM3 is capable of inducing negative membrane curvature, *i.e.* promoting membrane bending away from the protein.<sup>31</sup>

We next asked whether the induction of negative membrane curvature by IFITM3 is mediated by its cytosolic domain (residues 1-108).<sup>14, 16</sup> We therefore constructed a soluble IFITM3 fragment with GFP inserted into its N-terminal region (designated IFITM3(1-108)iEGFP, Figure 1A) and purified it without detergent solubilization (Figure 1C). Interestingly, IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP showed very weak membrane binding to LUVs (<5% of protein input), as assessed by a liposome co-sedimentation assay <sup>32</sup> (Figure S1F). Next, purified IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP was added to preformed GUVs containing POPC and cholesterol prepared using a standard electroformation method.<sup>33</sup> IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP, but not EGFP protein induced inward budding and formation of ILVs within 20 minutes of addition to GUVs, as illustrated by time-resolved imaging (Figure 1E). As expected, intraluminal

vesicles trapped IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP from external solution (Figure 1E). Quantification of the inward budding effect of this protein showed that 75% of GUVs treated with IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP contained ILVs and each GUV contained, on average, 1.7 ILVs (Figure 1F, G). By contrast, EGFP-treated GUVs rarely contained ILVs and any ILVs detected were not filled with EGFP, suggesting that these structures formed during GUVs electroformation. These results imply that the IFITM3 cytosolic domain induces negative membrane curvature, in spite of poor binding to membranes.

#### IFITM3 Amphipathic Helix is Responsible for Induction of Negative Membrane Curvature

It has been shown that a highly conserved small region (residues 59-68) of the IFITM3's cytoplasmic domain, predicted to form an amphipathic helix (AH), is essential for antiviral activity.<sup>17, 34</sup> Since many amphipathic helices can sense membrane curvature or induce membrane remodeling,<sup>35</sup> we asked whether the AH of IFITM3 is responsible for its ability to induce negative membrane curvature. To answer this question, we made a triple alanine substitutions S61A, N64A, T65A (designated Strep-IFITM3-3M, Figure 2A) in the AH region, which have been shown to greatly reduce the amphipathic moment of the AH by disrupting the hydrophilic face and abrogate the antiviral activity against IAV.<sup>17</sup> The Strep-IFITM3-3M mutant was purified and reconstituted into GUVs (Figure S2A). We found that the inward budding activity of the GUV-reconstituted IFITM3-3M was significantly impaired compared to wild-type IFITMs. Only 16.7% of IFITM3-3M-reconstituted GUVs contained at least one ILV, as compared 81.7% of wild-type IFITM3-reconstituted GUVs (Figure 2B, C). We also tested the triple alanine mutant in the context of the IFITM3 cytosolic domain tagged with EGFP (IFITM3(1-108)-3M-iEGFP) and found that this soluble construct also exhibited impaired ILV-forming activity when added to preformed GUVs (Figure S2B-E). The above results map the negative curvature-promoting activity of IFITM3 to its AH.

To determine whether IFITM3 AH alone is sufficient to induce negative membrane curvature, a peptide corresponding to this region (residues 59-68) and a longer peptide (residues 56-71) were synthesized and labeled with Cy-5 dye at their N terminus (Figure 2A). A peptide with scrambled amino acid sequence (Scrambled AH(56-71)) and the F63Q AH(59-68) mutant peptide (AH(59-68) F63Q) were used as controls. Substitution of the hydrophobic F63 residue to glutamine has been shown to markedly decrease the peptide's amphipathicity by disrupting the hydrophobic face of AH (Figure 2A) and nearly abrogate its antiviral activity.<sup>17</sup> The partition coefficients of the peptides, which reflect their membrane binding affinity, were measured using a liposome co-sedimentation assay (Figure S3A). The AH(56-71), AH(59-68) and Scrambled AH(56-71) peptides exhibited similar membrane binding affinities, with more than 80% of the input peptide binding to liposomes (at input peptide to lipid ratio 1:50). The AH(59-68) F63Q exhibited a slightly weaker membrane binding affinity, with 58.4% of the input peptide binding to liposomes.

Next, membrane binding and remodeling activity of these peptides added to GUVs were tested by imaging. All peptides were clearly enriched at the GUV membrane (Figure 2D). The peptide to lipid ratio of IFITM3 AH on the GUV membrane was about 1:200, as calculated by comparing the fluorescent intensity of the Cy5-labeled AH peptide with a

standard curve generated by analyzing GUVs containing varied concentrations of Cy5-PE (Figure S3B, C). This result is consistent with the membrane partitioning data from liposome co-sedimentation assay (Figure S3A). To examine the effect of membrane curvature on the AH binding, we pulled membrane nanotubes from GUVs using a methodology similar to that previously described in.<sup>36</sup> IFITM3 AH bound similarly to highly positively curved nanotubes and GUVs (Figure S3D). This result implies that the membrane binding activity of IFITM3 AH is mainly driven by hydrophobic interactions and is rather independent of membrane curvature, implying a homogenous AH coverage on GUV and ILV membrane segments.

The addition of AH(56-71) or AH(59-68) peptides to preformed GUVs containing POPC and cholesterol led to efficient inward budding, resulting in, respectively, 68.5% and 74.7% of the GUVs containing at least one ILV, and, on average, 3.3 and 3.4 ILVs in each GUV (Figure 2D–F). These inner vesicles contained the aqueous marker fluorescein, which was added to GUVs externally, along with the peptides, in order to ensure that ILVs were formed de novo through inward budding (Figure 2D). Importantly, addition of the same concentration of the Scrambled AH(56-71) peptide or a 2-fold excess of the AH(59-68) F63Q peptide (to compensate for its slightly lower membrane affinity) did not induce considerable GUV vesiculation, with respectively 9.9% and 16.6% of the GUVs containing, on average, 0.39 and 0.5 ILVs, which was just slightly higher than the basal level of spontaneous ILV formation in control GUVs (Figure 2E,F). A similar effect was observed with GUVs prepared from a natural lipid mixture (liver polar extract) of lipids (Figure S4A). ILVs with optically resolvable diameters, corresponding to low membrane curvature, appear to separate off from the outer membrane, as judged by their position in the GUVs. This is indicative of a high spontaneous membrane curvature generation potential of AH, as membrane fission of buds is triggered by mismatch between ILV curvature and membrane spontaneous curvature.<sup>30</sup> Notably, inward-facing nanotubes – high curvature structures that are below the optical resolution were consistently observed in the lumen of GUVs that were treated with AH peptide, but not in GUVs treated with AH(59-68) F63Q mutant peptide (Figure S4B,C). Based on the ability to produce sub-resolution nanotubes and low peptide/ lipid ratio, we estimated the local curvature, sometimes called intrinsic, curvature per peptide density ( $m_0$ ) generated by IFITM3 AH to be rather high (-6.4 nm<sup>-1</sup>, see Suppl. Appendix). This curvature magnitude is comparable to that of the well-characterized cellular curvature inducing proteins, such as amphiphysin 1.<sup>37</sup> Taken together, these results confirmed that IFITM3 AH alone is sufficient to induce negative membrane curvature and that this effect is critically dependent on the amphipathicity of this region.

# Negative Membrane Curvature Induced by IFITM3 is Facilitated by Cholesterol and Counteracted by Lyso-lipids

Different lipids have different effective shapes manifested in spontaneous curvature that can impose positive or negative curvature to a lipid bilayer.<sup>38</sup> Lipids are categorized as "cylindrical", "cone", and "inverted cone" shaped. Cylindrical lipids, such as phosphatidylcholine (PC), prefer planar membranes, whereas cone-shaped lipids, such as cholesterol and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), prefer negative membrane curvature, and inverted cone-shaped lipids, like lyso-PC (LPC), promote positive membrane curvature <sup>39</sup>.

Curvature of biological membrane is determined by an interplay between membrane proteins and lipids.<sup>30, 40, 41</sup>

We therefore tested whether lipids play a role in IFITM3-mediated GUV vesiculation. First, we electroformed POPC GUVs with or without cholesterol (0.5 mol%) and treated these with IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP. Whereas this treatment resulted in 75.5% of cholesterol-containing GUVs with ILVs, a significant impairment of inward budding (8.5% GUVs with ILVs) was observed in the absence of cholesterol (Figure 3A, B). Similarly, addition of the AH(56-71) peptide to GUVs without cholesterol also failed to induce efficient inward budding, although the binding of AH(56-71) peptide to GUVs was not affected by the absence of cholesterol (Figure S5A–C). Notably, IFITM3-induced inward budding in GUVs was independent of cholesterol concentration up to 20 mol% (Figure S5D). Importantly, AH(56-71) mediated inward budding of GUVs without cholesterol could be rescued by inclusion of another conical lipid, DOPE (20 mol%) (Figure S5E). This finding indicates that IFITM3-induced negative membrane curvature is facilitated by cone shaped lipids.

We next tested the effect of an inverted conical lipid, LPC, on GUV budding mediated by IFITM3. LPC was first titrated by adding to preformed GUVs containing POPC and cholesterol. As expected based upon positive curvature induced by this lipid,<sup>42</sup> we observed outward budding of the GUV membrane in an LPC dose-dependent manner (Figure S5F). A moderate concentration of LPC (10  $\mu$ M) was then added together with the AH(56-71) peptide to preformed GUVs containing POPC and cholesterol. LPC abolished the AH(56-71)-induced GUV inward budding (Figure 3C, D), showing that negative membrane curvature caused by IFITM3 is counteracted by the presence of an inverted conical lipid in the membrane.

#### IFITM3 Partitions into Liquid-Disordered Membrane Domains That Support IAV Fusion

Cell membranes contain lipid rafts, which are liquid-ordered domains with more tightly packed lipids than the non-raft, liquid-disordered phase of the bilayer.<sup>43</sup> Lipid rafts form a platform for signaling proteins and receptors and have been suggested to serve as potential virus entry sites,<sup>44</sup> but a formal proof is still lacking due to the fact that small and dynamic lipid microdomains are difficult to visualize in living cells. Post-translational modifications, such as S-palmitoylation, target transmembrane proteins to lipid rafts.<sup>45</sup> Palmitoylation of the conserved cysteine residues downstream of the IFITM3 AH region regulate the membrane domain targeting and antiviral activity of this protein.<sup>46</sup> We therefore asked whether IFITM3 has any preference to lipid microdomains and whether lipid rafts may play a role in IFITM3's antiviral activity. To address this question, we utilized phase-separated GUVs as a model for microdomains within the endosomal membrane.<sup>47</sup> GUVs containing sphingomyelin (SM), cholesterol and unsaturated phosphatidylcholine (DOPC) segregate into lipid ordered (Lo) and lipid disordered (Ld) phases <sup>48</sup> corresponding to lipid raft and non-raft microdomains of biological membranes, respectively. Incorporation of fluorescent markers partitioning into Lo (Top-cholesterol) or Ld (Liss-Rho-PE) phases allowed the visualization of the two phases (Figure 4A, B). The AH(56-71) peptide added to phaseseparated GUVs partitioned to the Ld phase and caused inward budding from this domain, as evidenced by ILVs containing exclusively the Ld marker Liss-Rho-PE (Figure 4C). The

Scrambled AH(56-71) peptide also exhibited an Ld phase preference, but failed to cause inward budding from this phase (Figure 4C). Intriguingly, palmitoylation of Cys71 of a fluorescently-tagged AH(56-75) peptide did not target this peptide to Lo phase. This peptide clearly partitioned to the Ld phase and appeared to concentrate at the phase boundary (Figure S6A).

We then asked whether the full-length IFITM3 protein similarly localizes to the Ld phase in cellular membranes. IFITM3 transits through the plasma membrane *en route* to endolysosomal compartments and is thus present on the cell surface.<sup>15, 49</sup> We used plasma membrane-derived spheres <sup>50</sup> to visualize IFITM3 partitioning into membrane rafts. We expressed IFITM3 with an internal SNAP-tag inserted at the same site as EGFP in IFITM3-iGFP.<sup>25</sup> SNAP-tagged IFITM3 was expressed in A549 cells and specifically labeled with a fluorescent substrate SNAP-Cell 647-SiR. Next, membrane spheres derived from the plasma membrane were obtained by cell swelling, and phase separation within these spheres was induced by crosslinking a lipid raft marker GM1 with a labeled cholera toxin B subunit <sup>50</sup> (Figure 4D). The IFITM3-iSNAP partitioned to the Ld phase of membrane spheres, suggesting that the full-length IFITM3 is also localized to non-raft domains in living cells (Figure 4D).

Next, we sought to investigate the link between the IFITM3 localization to Ld phase and its antiviral activity. To answer this question, we employed a lipid mixing assay between IAV labeled with a self-quenching concentration of the lipophilic dye DiD and GUVs. Here, addition of labeled IAV to GUVs followed by acidification should trigger lipid mixing which can be detected by the appearance of dequenched DiD in the GUV membrane (Figure 4E). Using phase-separated GUVs, we found that, upon triggering IAV-GUV fusion by exposure to low pH, DiD accumulated within the Ld domain (Figure S6B). This DiD accumulation occurred in spite of the preference of the IAV receptor ganglioside GM1 for Lo domain (Figure S6C), suggesting that IAV lipid mixing occurred in a non-raft phase. To test the possibility of DiD redistribution between Lo and Ld phases after viral fusion, single-phase GUVs were prepared. Low pH-induced IAV lipid mixing was detected between IAV and Lo-phase GUVs, in spite of the efficient binding of labeled viruses to these vesicles (Figure 4F). Taken together, these results imply that IFITM3 concentrates in non-raft domains which appear to be the sites supporting IAV fusion with synthetic membranes.

#### **IFITM3 Amphipathic Helix Increases Lipid Order and Stiffens Membranes**

Since IFITM3 tends to localize to non-raft microdomains of membranes and induces negative curvature through its AH, we asked whether this helical domain affects other membrane properties, such as lipid order and membrane stiffness. Lipid order, which reflects the mobility of hydrocarbon tails of lipids,<sup>51</sup> has been assessed using the lipophilic probe Laurdan. Laurdan is an environment-sensitive dye that intercalates between the hydrocarbon tails of lipids and exhibits a red-shift in the emission spectrum upon exposure to polar solvent, *i.e.*, in lipid disordered domains. The Laurdan emission shift is parameterized by generalized polarization (GP), which is a normalized difference between Laurdan's emission at two wavelengths <sup>52</sup> (Figure S7A). We thus used Laurdan to probe the effect of the

IFITM3 AH peptides on LUVs. Addition of either AH(56-71) or AH(59-68) peptide caused a strong positive shift in the Laurdan GP, indicating a marked increase in lipid order (Figure 5A). In contrast, the AH(59-68) F63Q mutant did not have a significant effect on GP, and the Scrambled AH(56-71) peptide only modestly altered GP. A detectable effect of the scrambled peptide on GP is likely due to its higher binding affinity to membranes (Figure 5A). These findings imply that IFITM3 AH specifically increases the lipid order. We then asked whether full-length IFITM3 also increases lipid order. To address this question, we performed Laurdan GP measurements using plasma membrane spheres derived from IFITM3-iSNAP expressing A549 cells (Figure S7 B, C). The presence of IFITM3 in the plasma membrane significantly increased the GP value, suggesting that full-length IFITM3 also increases lipid order.

It has been reported that lipid order correlates with the bending rigidity of cellular membranes.<sup>53</sup> We therefore asked whether IFITM3 AH can affect the membrane's bending rigidity. The membrane bending rigidity was measured by fluctuation analysis of the thermally induced motion of GUV membrane, as described previously.<sup>54</sup> Addition of AH(56-71) resulted in significant increase in the bending rigidity of the GUV membrane (Figure 5B). In contrast, the Scrambled AH(56-71) peptide did not have a significant effect on the bending rigidity. These important findings show that IFITM3 AH specifically stiffens lipid membranes and increases the lipid order.

#### IFITM3 Amphipathic Helix Alone is Sufficient to Inhibit Membrane Fusion

The ability of IFITM3 AH to induce negative curvature, increase the lipid order and stiffen the membrane implies that this region is responsible for the protein's inhibitory effect on viral fusion. We hypothesized that AH interaction with the cytoplasmic leaflet of endosomal membranes is necessary and sufficient for the antiviral activity IFITM3. However, testing this hypothesis in vitro using reconstituted IFITM3 is not feasible. This is because incoming viruses do not come into contact with the N-terminal cytoplasmic region of IFITM3 that encompasses the AH, whereas reconstituted IFITM3 and IFITM3 AH localize to the external leaflet of liposomes (Figures 1A, 1E and 3D) that comes in contact with exogenously added viruses. To fulfill the topological requirement for virus/IFITM3 positioning across a target membrane, using exogenously added peptides, we resorted to exocytosis (Figure 6A). Secretory vesicle fusion with the plasma membrane affords an easy access to the external (trans) leaflet of a target membrane. We used glucose-stimulated insulin secretion as a model to study the effect of IFITM3 on SNARE-mediated vesicle fusion (Figure 6A). INS-1E cells secrete insulin upon stimulation with high glucose.<sup>55</sup> To increase the sensitivity of detection of secreted insulin, INS-1E cells were transduced with a vector expressing a proinsulin-luciferase fusion protein,<sup>56</sup> which can be detected by luciferase activity following exposure to a high glucose solution (Figure 6B). When cells were pretreated with AH(59-68), which effectively bound to the plasma membrane (Figure S8A), high glucose stimulation resulted in significantly reduced insulin secretion. In contrast, the AH(59-68) F63Q peptide had no effect on insulin secretion, even when added in a higher concentration than the wild-type peptide (Figures 7B and S8B). To test whether inhibition of secretion was related to the IFITM3 AH-mediated negative membrane curvature, we pretreated cells with LPC, which induces positive curvature. LPC promoted

insulin secretion, in agreement with the previous study <sup>57</sup> (Figure 6C). Importantly, LPC added with IFITM3 AH counteracted the suppression of insulin secretion by this peptide. Taken together, these results imply that IFITM3 AH is sufficient to inhibit membrane fusion and that this inhibition is dependent on its ability to induce negative membrane curvature.

To directly explore the role of IFITM3 AH in the context of viral fusion, we expressed IFITM3 AH with an EGFP tag in cells and targeted it to the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane with a CAAX motif<sup>58</sup> (Figure 6D). Interestingly, expression of this construct induced lots of protrusions/filopodia-like structures on the plasma membrane, which were not observed in cells expressing the AH F63Q mutant (Figure S8C). This finding suggests that IFITM3 AH induces negative curvature in cellular membranes. We then attached the influenza A viruses to cells expressing wild-type or mutant AH in the cold and forced viral fusion with the plasma membrane by exposing to a warm acidic buffer. The presence of IFITM3 AH in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane inhibited the forced IAV fusion with the plasma membrane, whereas the F63Q mutant did not exhibit an inhibitory effect (Figure 6 E-G). We also verified the above inhibitory effect of the IFITM3 AH using a direct IAV pseudovirus fusion assay. Similar to the AH effect on IAV infectivity, incorporation of this peptide into the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane reduced the efficiency of forced IAV pseudovirus-cell fusion, whereas the F63Q mutant was without effect (Figure S8D). These results support the notion that IFITM3 AH is sufficient to directly inhibit viral fusion.

To further investigate the link between membrane curvature and antiviral activity of IFITM3, we asked whether the IFITM3 AH can be replaced with unrelated amphipathic helixes of other proteins, such as M2 of IAV (M2 AH). The M2 AH has been reported to induce negative curvature and increase lipid order during IAV budding from the plasma membrane. <sup>59, 60</sup> We thus replaced the IFITM3 AH with M2 AH in the context of an mTFP1 tagged protein (abbreviated IFITM3-M2 AH-imTFP1, Figure 7A). We also constructed a scrambled IFITM3 AH mutant (IFITM3-Scrambled AH-imTFP1) as a control (Figure 7A). When overexpressed in HEK 293/17 cells, wild-type IFITM3 significantly inhibited the infection of the mCherry-expressing IAV (Figure 7B, C). As expected, the scrambled IFITM3 chimera with the M2 AH showed strong antiviral activity, without significantly affecting cell viability (Figure S8E). The demonstration that IFITM3 AH can be replaced by other negative curvature-inducing and lipid-ordering AHs further confirms that IFITM3 inhibits viral fusion by modulating the proprieties of endosomal membrane through its amphipathic helix.

The broad-spectrum of enveloped viruses that are restricted by IFITM proteins suggests a universal mechanism for their antiviral activity. This mechanism may involve modifying the properties of the host cell membranes to disfavor fusion pore formation and thereby trapping viral fusion at a "dead-end" hemifusion stage.<sup>21, 25</sup> Here, we provided direct evidence for this mechanism by showing that lipid bilayer-reconstituted recombinant IFITM3 induces negative curvature and increases the lipid order and membrane stiffness, thereby disfavoring viral fusion. Importantly, we found that these effects on lipid bilayers *in vitro* are linked to the IFITM3's ability to inhibit viral fusion with cells. Specifically, incorporation of IFITM3 AH into the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane inhibits the forced IAV fusion with

the plasma membrane (Figures 6F, G and S8D), whereas its incorporation into the external leaflet of the plasma membrane inhibits exocytosis (Figure 6B). Although exocytic fusion is the topological opposite of viral fusion, it provides a convenient model system for assessing the effects of exogenously added amphipathic peptides and lipids.<sup>56, 57</sup> The relatively modest effect of AH on insulin secretion may be due to an insufficiently high concentration of AH at the insulin granule fusion sites on the plasma membrane.

According to the stalk-pore hypothesis,<sup>61, 62</sup> membrane fusion proceeds through a series of highly curved intermediates – stalk, hemifusion and fusion pore – that are characterized by different net curvatures and thus respond differently to changes in lipid shape/composition. The formation of a lipid stalk involves a local disruption and bending of contacting membrane leaflets into a net negative curvature structure (Figure 7D). The hemifusion intermediate is also a net negative curvature structure, whereas the fusion pore possesses a net positive curvature (Figure 7D).<sup>2</sup> Thus, the presence of lipids that induce positive curvature, such as LPC, in the contacting leaflets disfavors the stalk formation, whereas their incorporation into the distal leaflet of a target membrane, promotes rupture of the hemifusion diaphragm and fusion pore formation.<sup>57, 61, 63, 64</sup> In contrast, fusion pore formation is impaired by lipids inducing negative curvature, such as oleic acid (OA).<sup>65</sup> Thus, spontaneous curvature of lipids is an essential determinant of membrane hemifusion and fusion mediated by cellular and viral proteins.<sup>2</sup>

Our experiments demonstrate that IFITM3 AH induces negative curvature of GUVs which is manifested in inward budding and ILV formation. Thus, in the context of IFITM3 expressed in cells, AH inserted into the cytoplasmic leaflet of an endosomal membrane is expected to stabilize the hemifusion diaphragm formed by incoming viruses and thus prevent the fusion pore formation (Figure 7D). In addition, the increased membrane order and stiffness induced by IFITM3 are expected to further disfavor membrane fusion.<sup>16–19</sup> These different effects on the membrane's mechanical properties caused by IFITM3 AH may have a compound inhibitory outcome on membrane fusion, since any effects that counteract membrane restructuring are expected to directly influence the kinetics and the efficiency of fusion.<sup>66, 67</sup>

Our finding that IFITM3 induces negative curvature and inward budding in GUVs is consistent with the observation that its overexpression in cells robustly induces the formation of large multivesicular bodies that are full of IFITM3-containing intralumenal vesicles.<sup>8</sup> These results also support the "fusion decoy" model, according to which excess of intralumenal vesicles in IFITM3-expressing cells favors non-productive viral fusion with intralumenal vesicles, instead of fusion with the limiting membrane of endosomes.<sup>21</sup> The above considerations suggest that a potent inhibition of diverse enveloped viruses by IFITM3 may involve a combination of modulation of membrane properties and generation of an excess of decoy vesicles in endosomes.

Since most of AHs known to date induce positive curvatures,<sup>35</sup> assessment of the mechanism by which the IFITM3 AH induces a negative curvature is obviously an important and interesting subject. However, insights into the molecular mechanism of IFITM3 AH-induced negative curvature would require detail structural analyses of IFITM3 or its AH peptide in membranes and of their effects on the lipid structure and dynamics. In this study,

we show that IFITM3 AH increases lipid order. It is thus reasonable to speculate that the increased lipid order (decrease of area per lipid) in the AH-interacting monolayer might induce negative curvature.<sup>68</sup> It is possible, for example, that the observed negative curvature generation occurs through lipid condensation induced by the adsorption of the peptide on the outer membrane leaflet, an effect analogous to that of calcium ions which upon adsorption onto negatively charged membranes induce negative curvature.<sup>69, 70</sup> Alternatively, unlike the positive-curvature-inducing peptides, AH may promote negative curvature by penetrating deeper into the hydrophobic milieu of lipid bilayers and splaying the acyl chains apart.<sup>71</sup>

Lipid raft domains have been proposed to be the entry sites of viruses due to the raft localization of certain signaling proteins and receptors.<sup>44</sup> However, direct evidence supporting this model is lacking due, in part, to the dynamic nature of raft micro- and nanodomains in living cells.<sup>72</sup> In addition, the rigid nature of lipid raft domains is expected to disfavor membrane fusion. Interestingly, it has been reported that the boundaries between ordered and disordered lipid domains, which are characterized by high line tension (energy per unit length), are the predominant sites of HIV-1 fusion.<sup>73</sup> However, such apparent preference for domain boundaries is not universal, since IAV lipid mixing occurs efficiently with Ld-phased GUVs lacking lipid rafts or phase boundaries (Figure 4F). No lipid mixing could be detected with Lo GUVs. IFITM3 AH and full-length IFITM3 clearly prefer Ld phase in phase-separated GUVs/GPMVs, which are powerful models for studying the microdomain localization of membrane proteins. However, imaging of IFITM3 localization in microdomains of endosomes, which is more physiologically relevant to its function, is technically very challenging. We will attempt to address this question in the future by superresolution microscopy. A correlation between the apparent IAV fusion site and the IFITM3 localization to Ld phase may offer a clue regarding the potential mechanism of virus resistance. It is tempting to speculate that IFITM3-resistant viruses, like Lassa virus, may localize to and fuse with lipid raft domains devoid of this restriction factor.

It is worth pointing out that AH is present in IFITM1 and IFITM2 proteins and is highly conserved across vertebrates.<sup>17, 34</sup> It is therefore very likely that the AH region is key to the antiviral activity of all three human restriction factors. Clearly, this proximity-based antiviral mechanism is dependent on proper trafficking of IFITMs to ensure their concentration at the sites of virus entry.<sup>19, 25</sup> Accordingly, incorporation of IFTIMs into virions ensures their presence at the site of fusion and effectively inhibits infection of nearly all tested viruses, including those that are otherwise resistant to IFITM restriction when expressed in target cells. <sup>25, 26, 74</sup>

## CONCLUSIONS

Our findings reveal a universal defense mechanism employed by cells to effectively ward off invading enveloped viruses through modification of the cytoplasmic leaflet of cellular membranes. The IFITM AH-mediated increases in negative curvature and stiffness of the cytoplasmic leaflet disfavor the transition from hemifusion to full fusion and thereby block entry of diverse enveloped viruses. This restriction mechanism informs us of antiviral strategies targeting the cytoplasmic leaflet of cell membranes.

### Methods/Experimental

#### Key resources, cell lines and peptides

Key resources used in this study, including antibodies, recombinant proteins, chemicals, bacterial and virus strains, cell lines and software are shown in Table S1. HEK293T/17 and A549 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Corning, Corning, NY) containing 10% heat-inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Atlanta Biologicals, Flowery Branch, GA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gemini Bio-products, West Sacramento, CA). For HEK 293T/17 cells, the growth medium was supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml G418 (Genesee Scientific, San Diego, CA). INS-1E cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 Medium (Addexbio), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 10 mM HEPES, and 55  $\mu$ M  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). mCherry-expressing IAV PR/8/34 was a gift from Dr. Luis Martinez-Sobrido (University of Rochester).

N-terminally Cy5-labeled peptides were synthesized and purified to > 95% purity by Gen-Script (Piscataway, NJ). Peptide sequences used were: AH(56-71), Cy5-DHVVWSLFNTLFMNPC; scrambled AH(56-71), Cy5-LVWHMFLSDNFTNPV; AH(59-68), Cy5-CVWSLFNTLFM and AH(59-68) F63Q, Cy5-CVWSLQNTLFM. The fluorescently labeled, palmitoylated IFTIM3 peptide (FITC-Ahx-DHVVWSLFNTLFMNPC(PAL)CLGF) was chemically synthesized on Rink Amide MBHA resin using Fmoc solid phase peptide synthesis, and Fmco-Cys(Mmt)-OH and Fmoc-Ahx-OH, among other Fmoc-protected amino acids. After chain assembly, the Fmoc group of the N-terminus was removed and extended by the linker amino acid Ahx, followed by the coupling of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC, 2 eq.) and DIPEA (2 eq.) in DMF (6 mL) at room temperature overnight. The monomethoxytrityl (Mmt) group was removed with diluted TFA (2%, DCM) and scavengers (10% TIPS), followed by the addition of palmitic anhydride (10 eq.) dissolved in DCM and DIEA (20 eq.) for 3 h. After cleavage from the resin and precipitation with cold diethyl ether, the crude peptide was purified by revered phase HPLC and its molecular mass verified by ESI-MS.

#### Protein expression and purification

IFITM3 gene was PCR-amplified and cloned into the pET28a vector to produce recombinant proteins fused to Strep-tag II (WSHPQFEK). Point mutations and deletions were introduced by site-directed mutagenesis. The IFITM3 constructs were transformed into Escherichia coli Rosetta<sup>TM</sup> 2 (DE3) pLysS Singles<sup>TM</sup> Competent Cells to overexpress the proteins. The bacteria were cultured in Terrific broth medium at 37°C. Protein expression was induced by the addition of 0.3 mM IPTG when OD600 was 1.0 and culture for additional 20 h at 16°C. Cells were harvested after centrifugation at 2,500 ×g for 30 min, resuspended and sonicated in HND buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT) supplemented with cOmplete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The lysate was then centrifuged at 4°C in the SW32Ti rotor with a speed of 30,000 rpm for 1 h to pellet the membrane fraction using an Optima L-90K Ultracentrifuge (Beckman Instruments, Brea, CA). The pellet was resuspended in HND buffer and bulk membrane proteins were extracted by adding 2% ANAPOE-X-100 and incubating for 1 h at 4°C, followed by a 1 h-centrifugation at 30,000 rpm. The supernatant was loaded on Strep-

Tactin resin (QUIGEN, Hilden, Germany), incubated for 3 h at 4°C, and the column was washed twice with 15 ml HND buffer supplemented with 0.1% ANAPOE-X-100. Proteins were eluted by adding 10 ml HND buffer supplemented with 0.1% ANAPOE-X-100 and 2.5 mM desthiobiotin and then concentrated using an Amicon Ultra 5,000 MW cutoff filter (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Protein purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Blue staining. For purification of wild-type and mutant transmembrane-truncated IFITM3, cell lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 4°C with a speed of 18,000 rpm for 45 min after sonication, and the supernatant was directly loaded on Strep-Tactin resin and incubated for 3 h at 4°C, washed and eluted with the buffer used for purification of the full-length IFITM3 except that ANAPOE-X-100 was omitted.

#### Large Unilamellar Vesicles

Lipids (99.0 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % cholesterol, 0.5 mol % Liss-Rho-PE) were mixed in a glass tube and dried down to a film under a gentle stream of Argon, followed by further drying under a vacuum for 30 min. Next, the lipid film was hydrated and resuspended with HND buffer to a final lipid concentration of 10 mM. Large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) were formed from the lipid suspension by ten freeze-thaw cycles using liquid nitrogen and room temperature water bath. Uniform-sized LUVs were formed by extruding through polycarbonate filters with 100-nm pore size (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL) 11 times.

To reconstitute IFITM3, preformed liposomes and purified IFITM3 (molar protein to lipid ratio, 1:500) were mixed with 0.1% Triton X-100 at an effective detergent to lipid ratio of ~1 and incubated for 1h at 4 °C. Triton X-100 was then removed by adding BioBeads SM-2 absorbent beads at a Bio-Beads/Triton X-100 ratio of 10 (wt/wt) in five portions during the course of hour, and incubating overnight after the final addition of beads. Insoluble protein aggregates were pelleted by centrifugation of samples in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge (10 min, 16,000×g).

#### Liposome co-floatation assay

Peptide binding to LUVs was measured by mixing 204  $\mu$ l proteoliposomes with 600  $\mu$ l of a 67% sucrose solution, bringing the final sucrose concentration to 50%. The mixture was transferred to a clear polycarbonate ultracentrifuge tube and overlaid with two layers consisting of 10.2 ml of 25% sucrose and 1 ml of 5% sucrose. After centrifugation at 30,000 rpm (4 °C for 3 h) in the SW45Ti rotor (Beckman Instruments), eight 1.5-ml fractions were collected from the top of a gradient. 30  $\mu$ l aliquots from each fraction were analyzed by Western blotting with IFITM3 antibody.

#### Trypsin cleavage assay

To determine the accessibility of LUV-reconstituted peptides, 20  $\mu$ l proteoliposomes was incubated with 0.6  $\mu$ g trypsin (TPCK-Treated, Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 30 min. Next, 95°C pre-heated SDS sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 1%  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, 12.5 mM EDTA, 0.02 % bromophenol blue) was added to stop the reaction. The samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue.

Peptides were sonicated in water bath for 30 min and possible aggregates were removed by spinning down at 20,000 ×g for 5 min. Two mM of LUVs made of 99.0 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % cholesterol, 0.5 mol % Liss-Rho-PE in PBS were incubated at room temperature with 20  $\mu$ M IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP protein or 40  $\mu$ M of Cy5-labeled peptides for 10 min in a 100  $\mu$ l reaction volume. The mixture was then diluted with PBS and centrifuged at 30,000 rpm for 30 min at 20 °C. Fluorescence of supernatant was measured on a SpectraMax i3x microplate reader (Molecular Devices, CA, USA). The binding efficiency of proteins/ peptides was decided by reduction of EGFP or Cy5 fluorescence in supernatant and normalized by comparing with the fluorescence of input samples.

#### **Giant Unilamellar Vesicles**

GUVs were prepared from a 5 mM solution of lipids in chloroform (99 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % cholesterol and 0.5 mol % of Liss-Rho-PE). Fifty  $\mu$ l of the lipid solution was spread onto a 10 cm<sup>2</sup> area of the conductive side of each of two indium-tin oxide (ITO) coated slides (70-100  $\Omega$ , Delta Technologies, Stillwater, MN), allowed to evaporate and kept under vacuum for 1 hr. Electroformation chambers were constructed by sandwiching a 1 mm spacer between two lipid-coated slides. Next, the chamber was filled with 0.1 M sucrose, 1 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, and a 10 Hz sine wave voltage (1 V peak-to-peak) was applied across the chamber for 3 h using a function generator. GUVs were gently collected with a pipette and used immediately.

To prepare phase-separated GUVs, we used a mixture of 33 mol % DOPC, 33 mol % SM, 33 mol % cholesterol, 0.5% TopFluor-cholesterol, 0.5% Liss-Rho-PE. Lipids were dissolved in 50 µl chloroform/methanol (9:1) in a glass tube and placed in a preheated block at 60 °C for 1 min after gentle vortexing and centrifugation. The mixture was then immediately deposited and spread over 2 preheated ITO coverslips, and the solvent was evaporated at 60 °C. After ITO coverslips dried out, they were immediately placed into a vacuum chamber for 1h to remove residual solvent. All these steps were done in a timely manner to minimize possible lipid oxidation. GUV electroformation was carried out in 0.1 M sucrose, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM HEPES, pH 7.0 at 60 °C for 4 h. Collected GUVs were cooled to room temperature and used immediately.

For imaging of GUVs with IFITM3 peptides, peptides were prepared as 2 mg/ml stock solutions in DMSO. Twenty  $\mu$ l GUVs were diluted in 30  $\mu$ l of a hypertonic buffer containing 160 mM sucrose, 1 mM HEPES, pH 7.0 to slightly deflate GUVs. Next, 2  $\mu$ l of peptide diluted with 98  $\mu$ l Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (Corning, NY, USA) was added to and mixed with GUVs, yielding the final concentration of peptide of 10  $\mu$ M. The mixture of GUVs and peptide was immediately added to an 8-well chambered coverslip pretreated with a BSA solution (2 mg/ml) for 30 min to attach GUVs to the bottom of the chamber. GUVs were allowed to sediment for 10 min before imaging on a Zeiss LSM880 laser scanning confocal microscopes, using a 63x/1.4NA oil-immersion objective. Purified wild-type and mutant transmembrane-truncated IFITM3 proteins were imaged the same way except that the final concentration of proteins was 20  $\mu$ M. Quantification of inward budding of GUVs was performed by counting all GUVs with diameter above 2  $\mu$ m, regardless of whether they

encompassed intraluminal vesicles containing aqueous dye (fluorescein or EGFP). Images of at least 2 randomly selected fields of view were acquired using Z-stacks separated by 1  $\mu$ m. For each condition, at least two independent experiments were performed.

GUVs containing IFITM3 protein were prepared through dehydration of IFITM3-containing LUVs, as previously described.<sup>28</sup> Briefly, IFITM3-containing LUVs were prepared, as above, added dropwise onto indium-tin oxide coated slides, and dehydrated under vacuum overnight. The lipids were rehydrated and electroformed in 0.1 M sucrose, 1 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, as described above at room temperature for 6 h and GUVs were gently collected with a pipette and imaged immediately.

Tube-pulling assay of GUV was performed using a methodology similar to that previously described.<sup>36</sup> Briefly, GUVs containing 98 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % 18:1 Biotinyl Cap PE, 0.5 mol % cholesterol and 0.5 mol % of Liss-Rho-PE were formed. GUVs were immobilized on a 0.1% BSA-biotin coated glass by incubating with 0.2 mg/ml streptavidin. Tubes were pulled applying a shear force to tethered GUVs. Ten  $\mu$ M Cy5-AH(59-68) peptide was added after tube formation and imaged immediately.

#### Plasma membrane spheres

Plasma membrane spheres were prepared as previously described.<sup>50</sup> Briefly, A549 cells stably expressing IFITM3-iSNAP were seeded on 8-well chambered coverslip. 24 h later cells were washed and incubated for 18 hr in PMS buffer (1.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1 mg/ml glucose in 1x PBS) at 37 °C. The lipid ordered phase was visualized through GM1 crosslinking by incubating the PMS in 10  $\mu$ g/ml of Alexa-488 labeled cholera-toxin subunit B (Invitrogen) for 2 h at 37°C and IFITM3-iSNAP was stained with 3  $\mu$ M SNAP-cell 647-SIR (New England Biolabs) at the same time. PMS were then washed and imaged at room temperature on a Zeiss LSM880 laser scanning confocal microscopes, using a 63x/1.4NA oil-immersion objective.

#### Lipid mixing between influenza virus and GUVs

Virus labeling was performed essentially as described previously.<sup>25</sup> Briefly, a 100  $\mu$ l aliquot of the 2 mg/ml Influenza A/PR/8/34 virus stock (Charles River, CT, USA) was thawed at room temperature and diluted using 50  $\mu$ l isotonic 145 mM NaCl/50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) buffer. To label the virus membrane, 1.5  $\mu$ l of DiD dye (10 mM stock solution in DMSO) was quickly injected into a mixture during mild vortexing to final concentration of 100  $\mu$ M. The tube was closed, wrapped with aluminum foil and agitated at the lowest speed setting of a vortex for 1 hour at room temperature. The labeled viruses were purified from excess dyes on a Nap-5 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) that was equilibrated with 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 145 mM NaCl at room temperature. The fractions containing labeled viruses were passed through a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter to remove any large lipid and/or virus aggregates. To test the labeling efficiency, 10  $\mu$ l of the purified viruses were diluted to 1000  $\mu$ l in PBS, lysed with 0.5% TX-100 (final concentration) and the extent of DiD dequenching was measured in a plate reader. A more than 20-fold increase in the DiD signal upon lysis has been found to give good results in lipid mixing experiments. The labeled virus was aliquoted into tubes, flash-frozen, and stored at -80 °C until use. The virus lipid mixing assay was performed by

mixing 20  $\mu$ l of the labeled virus with 30  $\mu$ l GUVs, followed by addition of 150  $\mu$ l of 100 mM citrate buffer (pH 4.8) supplemented with 100 mM MES to achieve the final pH of 5.0. The resulting mixture was transferred into a BSA-pretreated 8-well coverslip and imaged on a Zeiss LSM880 laser scanning confocal microscope, using a 63x/1.4NA oil-immersion objective.

#### Lipid order measurement

To assess the lipid order, 2 mM of LUVs were mixed with 25  $\mu$ M of Laurdan dye (Invitrogen) and 40  $\mu$ M of indicated IFITM3-derived peptide in a total volume of 50  $\mu$ l. Fluorescence was measured on a SpectraMax i3x microplate reader using a 355 nm excitation filter and recording fluorescence emissions at two wavelengths centered at 440 and 490 nm. Laurdan general polarization (GP) was calculated using the equation: GP = (I<sub>440</sub>-I<sub>490</sub>)/(I<sub>440</sub> + I<sub>490</sub>), where I<sub>440</sub> and I<sub>490</sub> are fluorescence intensities at 440 nm and 490 nm respectively.

#### Membrane bending rigidity measurement

GUVs were grown on a polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) film as described previously.<sup>75</sup> Briefly, 50 µl of 5% w/v (145000 g/mol) PVA (Merck) solution in water was spread on a cleaned (rinsed in ethanol and double distilled water) glass slide to form a thin film. The PVA film was dried kept in the oven at 50°C for 30 minutes. Five µl of 2 mM lipid solution (80 mol % POPC, 20 mol % cholesterol) in chloroform was spread on the PVA film and the solvent was evaporated in vacuum for 1 hour. An observation chamber with a volume of about 700 µl was assembled using a Teflon spacer sandwiched between two glasses with the lipid film facing inward, and the lipid films were hydrated in solution of 100 mM sucrose (Sigma), 1 mM HEPES (Sigma) at pH 7.4. After swelling for 30 minutes, GUVs were harvested and used right away. An aliquot of 0.1 µl DMSO solution with or without the peptide was carefully pipetted into 100 µl GUV suspension and slowly agitated to ensure mixing. Subsequently, 30 µl of the final solution was placed on a BSA coated glass coverslip. A few percent of the solution was left to evaporate for three to five minutes to ambient air and then closed in an observation chamber. Bending rigidity analysis was performed either on vesicles with added DMSO only (control) or DMSO with IFITM3 at final concentration of the peptide of 3 nM. Membrane bending rigidity was measured by fluctuation analysis of the thermally induced motion of the membrane, as described previously.<sup>54</sup> Experiments were performed on an Axio Observer D1 microscope (Zeiss, Germany) using a 40× objective in phase contrast mode. Imaging was performed using a low noise liquid-cooled digital camera pco.edge 5.5 a total of 1000-2000 snapshots per vesicle were acquired with exposure time of 200  $\mu$ s at 10 frames per second. The bending rigidity  $\kappa$  is estimated from  $\kappa/k_BT = S(q) \left| \left< \left| v_q \right|^2 \right> \right|$  where S(q) is a perfector that depends on the membrane tension, k<sub>B</sub>T is

the thermal energy and  $|v_q|^2$  is calculated from the experimentally obtained spectrum.

#### Insulin secretion assay

An insulin secretion assay was performed, as described previously <sup>56</sup> with some modifications. Briefly, A lentivirus vector expressing luciferase fusion protein was produced using a second-generation viral packaging system. 4 µg of pLX304 vector containing the

fusion construct Proinsulin-NanoLuc, 2 µg of psPAX2 packaging plasmid, 2 µg of pMD2.G envelope plasmid were used to transfect a 10 cm dish of HEK 293T/17cells using JetPRIME transfection reagent. Virus was harvested at 48 hours post-transfection and passed through 0.45 µm cellulose acetate filters prior to use. Viruses were spun onto INS-1E cells at 800 xg for 1 hour at 30 °C. After 24 hours at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in the presence of virus, the medium was placed in fresh growth media with 5 µg/mL blasticidin (Invitrogen) for 3 days to select for infected cells. For insulin secretion assays, INS-1E cells were plated in 96-well plates and cultured overnight at 37 °C in 5% CO2. Cells were washed once with PBS and incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C in sterile, 0.45 µm-filtered Krebs Ringer Buffer (KRB) containing 138 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 2.6 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2.6 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 10 mM HEPES and 5 g/L BSA (Sigma), supplemented with 2.8 mM glucose. The cells were then incubated with 2 µM of indicated IFITM3 peptides in KRB for 5 min and then stimulated for 20 mins in 100 µl of fresh KRB with 20 mM glucose. For the time course study, after incubating the cells for one hour in 2.8 mM glucose KRB, the buffer was changed every 5 minutes to fresh, prewarmed to 37 °C KRB containing either 2.8 mM glucose (for the first time point) or 20 mM glucose (for all subsequent time points). The luciferase signal in samples was determined by adding the coelenterazine substrate (NanoLight, Pinetop, AZ) to the supernatant to a final concentration of 10  $\mu$ M and reading on a TopCount NXT Luminescence counter.

#### Virus production, infection and fusion assays

IAV pseudoviruses were produced by transfecting HEK293T/17 cells using JetPRIME transfection reagent (Polyplus-transfection, SA, NY), as described previously.<sup>76</sup> For producing luciferase-expressing IAV pseudoviruses, HEK293T/17 cells grown in a 100-mm dish were transfected with 2.5 µg of pCAGGS plasmid expressing H1N1 HA and NA proteins, 4 µg NL4-3R-E-Luc, and 1 µg pcRev. To generate BlaM-Vpr IAV pseudoviruses, the cells were transfected as above, except 4 µg of pR9 Env (instead of NL4-3R-E-Luc), and 2 µg of BlaM-Vpr were added to the mix. The transfection medium was replaced with fresh DMEM/10% FBS after 12 h, and cells were cultured for additional 36 h, after which time, the virus-containing culture medium was collected, passed through a 0.45-µm filter, and concentrated 10x using Lenti-X Concentrator (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). Following an overnight concentration with Lenti-X, virus was precipitated by centrifuging at 1439xg for 45 min at 4°C, resuspended in DMEM without phenol red or FBS, and stored at -80 °C. Infection assays were performed using HEK 293T/17 cells transfected with indicated IFITM3 constructs in pcDNA3.1(+) vector using JetPRIME transfection reagent. At 24 h post-transfection, pseudoviruses (0.1 ng of p24) were spinoculated onto cells at 1,500×g, 4°C for 30 min, and cells were cultured for 24 h. Luciferase activity was determined by adding Bright-Glo Luciferase substrate (Promega, WI, USA) and reading with a TopCount NXT Luminescence counter (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

For infection of mCherry-expressing IAV PR8 virus, HEK 293T/17 cells were seeded on 8well chambered coverslip 24 h before transfection with indicated IFITM3 constructs in pQCXIP. At 12 h post-transfection, mCherry-expressing IAV PR8 virus ( $2.5x10^4$  PFU/ml) was spinoculated onto cells at  $1,500 \times g$ , 4°C for 30 min, and cells were cultured for 12 h and imaged on a Zeiss LSM880 laser scanning confocal microscope, using a 20x objective.

For forced fusion of mCherry-expressing IAV PR8 virus with the plasma membrane, stable A549 cell lines expressing EGFP-CAAX, EGFP-IFITM3 AH-CAAX or EGFP-IFITM3 AH CAAX were produced using the second-generation viral packaging system, as described above. Cells were seeded on 8-well chambered coverslips and cultured for 24 h at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The cells were then incubated with 100 nM Bafilomycin A1 for 30 min at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, and mCherry-expressing IAV PR8 virus ( $1x10^5$  PFU/ml) was spinoculated onto cells at  $1,500 \times g$ , 4°C for 30 min. Cells were washed once with cold PBS to remove unbound viruses and were incubated with warm 100 mM Citrate buffer, pH 4.0 at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 15 min. Next, the cells were washed with warm PBS and cultured in medium containing 100 nM Bafilomycin A1 at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 12 h and imaged on a Zeiss LSM880 laser scanning confocal microscope, using a 20x objective.

For forced fusion of  $\beta$ -lactamase-Vpr IAV (IAV/BlaM-Vpr) pseudotyped viruses at the plasma membrane, confluent A549 expressing EGFP-CAAX, EGFP-IFITM3 AH-CAAX or EGFP-IFITM3 AH CAAX seeded in 96-well black clear bottom plate (Corning) were pre-treated with 50 nm Bafilomycin A1 for 30 min at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. IAV/BlaM-Vpr pseudoviruses (0.5 ng p24/well) were bound to the cells, as described above. The unbound virus was removed, and the cells were exposed to either a prewarmed pH 5.0 MES-citrate buffer or a neutral buffer for 10 min at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After replacing the respective buffers, cells were cultured in a growth medium containing 50 nM Bafilomycin A1 at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 2h, after which the samples were placed on ice, loaded with FRET CCF4-AM substrate (Invitrogen), incubated overnight at 11 °C, and the BlaM activity (blue to green ratio) was measured using SpectraMaxi3 plate reader.

#### Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software by unpaired t test.

### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge lab members, Yen-Cheng Chen and Ashwanth Francis for assistance with GUV imaging, You Zhang for functional test of IFITM3-iSNAP and advice on the labeling procedure, Teddy Khan for assistance with molecular cloning, and Hui Wu for assistance with cell culture. We thank Henry Cho (Addexbio Technologies) for technical support with INS-1E cells culture and handling and Zachary Freyberg (University of Pittsburgh) for advice on handling insulin-secreting cells. We also thank Weirong Yuan (University of Maryland) for technical help with peptide synthesis. This work was funded by the NIH R01 grant AI135806 to G.B.M., J.S. and R.D. thank the MaxSynBio consortium, which is jointly funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) of Germany and the Max Planck Society (MPG).

### REFERENCES

- Harrison SC, Viral Membrane Fusion. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2008, 15, 690–698. [PubMed: 18596815]
- (2). Chernomordik LV; Kozlov MM, Protein-Lipid Interplay in Fusion and Fission of Biological Membranes. Annu Rev Biochem 2003, 72, 175–207. [PubMed: 14527322]
- (3). Brass AL; Huang IC; Benita Y; John SP; Krishnan MN; Feeley EM; Ryan BJ; Weyer JL; van der Weyden L; Fikrig E; Adams DJ; Xavier RJ; Farzan M; Elledge SJ, The IFITM Proteins Mediate

Cellular Resistance to Influenza A H1N1 Virus, West Nile Virus, and Dengue Virus. Cell 2009, 139, 1243–1254. [PubMed: 20064371]

- (4). Diamond MS; Farzan M, The Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Functions of IFIT and IFITM Proteins. Nat Rev Immunol 2013, 13, 46–57. [PubMed: 23237964]
- (5). Mudhasani R; Tran JP; Retterer C; Radoshitzky SR; Kota KP; Altamura LA; Smith JM; Packard BZ; Kuhn JH; Costantino J; Garrison AR; Schmaljohn CS; Huang IC; Farzan M; Bavari S, IFITM-2 and IFITM-3 but Not IFITM-1 Restrict Rift Valley Fever Virus. J Virol 2013, 87, 8451–8464. [PubMed: 23720721]
- (6). Huang IC; Bailey CC; Weyer JL; Radoshitzky SR; Becker MM; Chiang JJ; Brass AL; Ahmed AA; Chi X; Dong L; Longobardi LE; Boltz D; Kuhn JH; Elledge SJ; Bavari S; Denison MR; Choe H; Farzan M, Distinct Patterns of IFITM-Mediated Restriction of Filoviruses, SARS Coronavirus, and Influenza A Virus. PLoS Pathog 2011, 7, e1001258. [PubMed: 21253575]
- (7). Feeley EM; Sims JS; John SP; Chin CR; Pertel T; Chen LM; Gaiha GD; Ryan BJ; Donis RO; Elledge SJ; Brass AL, IFITM3 Inhibits Influenza A Virus Infection by Preventing Cytosolic Entry. PLoS Pathog 2011, 7, e1002337. [PubMed: 22046135]
- (8). Amini-Bavil-Olyaee S; Choi YJ; Lee JH; Shi M; Huang IC; Farzan M; Jung JU, The Antiviral Effector IFITM3 Disrupts Intracellular Cholesterol Homeostasis to Block Viral Entry. Cell Host Microbe 2013, 13, 452–464. [PubMed: 23601107]
- (9). Bailey CC; Huang IC; Kam C; Farzan M, IFITM3 Limits the Severity of Acute Influenza in Mice. PLoS Pathog 2012, 8, e1002909. [PubMed: 22969429]
- (10). Everitt AR; Clare S; McDonald JU; Kane L; Harcourt K; Ahras M; Lall A; Hale C; Rodgers A; Young DB; Haque A; Billker O; Tregoning JS; Dougan G; Kellam P, Defining the Range of Pathogens Susceptible to IFITM3 Restriction Using a Knockout Mouse Model. PLoS One 2013, 8, e80723. [PubMed: 24278312]
- (11). Everitt AR; Clare S; Pertel T; John SP; Wash RS; Smith SE; Chin CR; Feeley EM; Sims JS; Adams DJ; Wise HM; Kane L; Goulding D; Digard P; Anttila V; Baillie JK; Walsh TS; Hume DA; Palotie A; Xue Y, et al., IFITM3 Restricts the Morbidity and Mortality Associated with Influenza. Nature 2012, 484, 519–523. [PubMed: 22446628]
- (12). Zhang YH; Zhao Y; Li N; Peng YC; Giannoulatou E; Jin RH; Yan HP; Wu H; Liu JH; Liu N; Wang DY; Shu YL; Ho LP; Kellam P; McMichael A; Dong T, Interferon-Induced Transmembrane Protein-3 Genetic Variant RS12252-C Is Associated with Severe Influenza in Chinese Individuals. Nat Commun 2013, 4, 1418. [PubMed: 23361009]
- (13). Bailey CC; Kondur HR; Huang IC; Farzan M, Interferon-Induced Transmembrane Protein 3 Is a Type II Transmembrane Protein. J Biol Chem 2013, 288, 32184–32193. [PubMed: 24067232]
- (14). Ling S; Zhang C; Wang W; Cai X; Yu L; Wu F; Zhang L; Tian C, Combined Approaches of EPR and NMR Illustrate Only One Transmembrane Helix in the Human IFITM3. Sci Rep 2016, 6, 24029. [PubMed: 27046158]
- (15). Weston S; Czieso S; White IJ; Smith SE; Kellam P; Marsh M, A Membrane Topology Model for Human Interferon Inducible Transmembrane Protein 1. Plos One 2014, 9. e104341. 10.1371/ journal.pone.0104341. [PubMed: 25105503]
- (16). John SP; Chin CR; Perreira JM; Feeley EM; Aker AM; Savidis G; Smith SE; Elia AE; Everitt AR; Vora M; Pertel T; Elledge SJ; Kellam P; Brass AL, The CD225 Domain of IFITM3 Is Required for Both IFITM Protein Association and Inhibition of Influenza A Virus and Dengue Virus Replication. J Virol 2013, 87, 7837–7852. [PubMed: 23658454]
- (17). Chesarino NM; Compton AA; McMichael TM; Kenney AD; Zhang L; Soewarna V; Davis M; Schwartz O; Yount JS, IFITM3 Requires an Amphipathic Helix for Antiviral Activity. EMBO Rep 2017, 18, 1740–1751. [PubMed: 28835547]
- (18). Lin TY; Chin CR; Everitt AR; Clare S; Perreira JM; Savidis G; Aker AM; John SP; Sarlah D; Carreira EM; Elledge SJ; Kellam P; Brass AL, Amphotericin B Increases Influenza A Virus Infection by Preventing IFITM3-Mediated Restriction. Cell Rep 2013, 5, 895–908. [PubMed: 24268777]
- (19). Li K; Markosyan RM; Zheng YM; Golfetto O; Bungart B; Li M; Ding S; He Y; Liang C; Lee JC; Gratton E; Cohen FS; Liu SL, IFITM Proteins Restrict Viral Membrane Hemifusion. PLoS Pathog 2013, 9, e1003124. [PubMed: 23358889]

- (20). Rahman K; Coomer CA; Majdoul S; Ding SY; Padilla-Parra S; Compton AA, Homology-Guided Identification of a Conserved Motif Linking the Antiviral Functions of IFITM3 to Its Oligomeric State. Elife 2020, 9. e58537 DOI: 10.7554/eLife.58537. [PubMed: 33112230]
- (21). Desai TM; Marin M; Chin CR; Savidis G; Brass AL; Melikyan GB, IFITM3 Restricts Influenza A Virus Entry by Blocking the Formation of Fusion Pores Following Virus-Endosome Hemifusion. PLoS Pathog 2014, 10, e1004048. [PubMed: 24699674]
- (22). Wu X; Spence JS; Das T; Yuan X; Chen C; Zhang Y; Li Y; Sun Y; Chandran K; Hang HC; Peng T, Site-Specific Photo-Crosslinking Proteomics Reveal Regulation of IFITM3 Trafficking and Turnover by VCP/P97 ATPASE. Cell Chem Biol 2020, 27. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2020.03.004.
- (23). Wrensch F; Winkler M; Pohlmann S, IFITM Proteins Inhibit Entry Driven by the MERS-Coronavirus Spike Protein: Evidence for Cholesterol-Independent Mechanisms. Viruses 2014, 6, 3683–3698. [PubMed: 25256397]
- (24). Fu B; Wang L; Li S; Dorf ME, ZMPSTE24 Defends against Influenza and Other Pathogenic Viruses. J Exp Med 2017, 214, 919–929. [PubMed: 28246125]
- (25). Suddala KC; Lee CC; Meraner P; Marin M; Markosyan RM; Desai TM; Cohen FS; Brass AL; Melikyan GB, Interferon-Induced Transmembrane Protein 3 Blocks Fusion of Sensitive but Not Resistant Viruses by Partitioning into Virus-Carrying Endosomes. PLoS Pathog 2019, 15, e1007532. [PubMed: 30640957]
- (26). Tartour K; Nguyen XN; Appourchaux R; Assil S; Barateau V; Bloyet LM; Burlaud Gaillard J; Confort MP; Escudero-Perez B; Gruffat H; Hong SS; Moroso M; Reynard O; Reynard S; Decembre E; Ftaich N; Rossi A; Wu N; Arnaud F; Baize S, et al., Interference with the Production of Infectious Viral Particles and Bimodal Inhibition of Replication Are Broadly Conserved Antiviral Properties of IFITMs. PLoS Pathog 2017, 13, e1006610. [PubMed: 28957419]
- (27). Rigaud JL; Levy D, Reconstitution of Membrane Proteins into Liposomes. Method Enzymol 2003, 372, 65–86.
- (28). Girard P; Pecreaux J; Lenoir G; Falson P; Rigaud JL; Bassereau P, A New Method for the Reconstitution of Membrane Proteins into Giant Unilamellar Vesicles. Biophys J 2004, 87, 2098– 2098.
- (29). Karimi M; Steinkuhler J; Roy D; Dasgupta R; Lipowsky R; Dimova R, Asymmetric Ionic Conditions Generate Large Membrane Curvatures. Nano Lett 2018, 18, 7816–7821. [PubMed: 30456959]
- (30). Steinkuhler J; Knorr RL; Zhao Z; Bhatia T; Bartelt SM; Wegner S; Dimova R; Lipowsky R, Controlled Division of Cell-Sized Vesicles by Low Densities of Membrane-Bound Proteins. Nat Commun 2020, 11, 905. [PubMed: 32060284]
- (31). McMahon HT; Gallop JL, Membrane Curvature and Mechanisms of Dynamic Cell Membrane Remodelling. Nature 2005, 438, 590–596. [PubMed: 16319878]
- (32). Julkowska MM; Rankenberg JM; Testerink C, Liposome-Binding Assays to Assess Specificity and Affinity of Phospholipid-Protein Interactions. Methods Mol Biol 2013, 1009, 261–271. [PubMed: 23681541]
- (33). Angelova MI; Dimitrov DS, Liposome Electroformation. Faraday Discuss 1986, 81, 303-+.
- (34). Zhang Z; Liu J; Li M; Yang H; Zhang C, Evolutionary Dynamics of the Interferon-Induced Transmembrane Gene Family in Vertebrates. PLoS One 2012, 7, e49265. [PubMed: 23166625]
- (35). Drin G; Antonny B, Amphipathic Helices and Membrane Curvature. Febs Lett 2010, 584, 1840– 1847. [PubMed: 19837069]
- (36). Pucadyil TJ; Schmid SL, Real-Time Visualization of Dynamin-Catalyzed Membrane Fission and Vesicle Release. Cell 2008, 135, 1263–1275. [PubMed: 19084268]
- (37). Sorre B; Callan-Jones A; Manzi J; Goud B; Prost J; Bassereau P; Roux A, Nature of Curvature Coupling of Amphiphysin with Membranes Depends on Its Bound Density. P Natl Acad Sci USA 2012, 109, 173–178.
- (38). Helfrich W, Elastic Properties of Lipid Bilayers Theory and Possible Experiments. Z Naturforsch C 1973, C 28, 693–703.
- (39). Kozlov MM, Determination of Lipid Spontaneous Curvature from X-Ray Examinations of Inverted Hexagonal Phases. Methods Mol Biol 2007, 400, 355–366. [PubMed: 17951746]

- (40). Stachowiak JC; Hayden CC; Sasaki DY, Steric Confinement of Proteins on Lipid Membranes Can Drive Curvature and Tubulation. P Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107, 7781–7786.
- (41). Bassereau P; Jin R; Baumgart T; Deserno M; Dimova R; Frolov VA; Bashkirov PV; Grubmuller H; Jahn R; Risselada HJ; Johannes L; Kozlov MM; Lipowsky R; Pucadyil TJ; Zeno WF; Stachowiak JC; Stamou D; Breuer A; Lauritsen L; Simon C, et al., The 2018 Biomembrane Curvature and Remodeling Roadmap. J Phys D Appl Phys 2018, 51. 10.1088/1361-6463/aacb98.
- (42). Fuller N; Rand RP, The Influence of Lysolipids on the Spontaneous Curvature and Bending Elasticity of Phospholipid Membranes. Biophys J 2001, 81, 243–254. [PubMed: 11423410]
- (43). Rajendran L; Simons K, Lipid Rafts and Membrane Dynamics. J Cell Sci 2005, 118, 1099–1102. [PubMed: 15764592]
- (44). Chazal N; Gerlier D, Virus Entry, Assembly, Budding, and Membrane Rafts. Microbiol Mol Biol R 2003, 67, 226--+.
- (45). Levental I; Lingwood D; Grzybek M; Coskun U; Simons K, Palmitoylation Regulates Raft Affinity for the Majority of Integral Raft Proteins. P Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107, 22050– 22054.
- (46). Yount JS; Moltedo B; Yang YY; Charron G; Moran TM; Lopez CB; Hang HC, Palmitoylome Profiling Reveals S-Palmitoylation-Dependent Antiviral Activity of IFITM3. Nat Chem Biol 2010, 6, 610–614. [PubMed: 20601941]
- (47). Kaiser HJ; Lingwood D; Levental I; Sampaio JL; Kalvodova L; Rajendran L; Simons K, Order of Lipid Phases in Model and Plasma Membranes. P Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106, 16645–16650.
- (48). Wesolowska O; Michalak K; Maniewska J; Hendrich AB, Giant Unilamellar Vesicles A Perfect Tool to Visualize Phase Separation and Lipid Rafts in Model Systems. Acta Biochim Pol 2009, 56, 33–39. [PubMed: 19287805]
- (49). Jia R; Xu FW; Qian J; Yao YF; Miao CH; Zheng YM; Liu SL; Guo F; Geng YQ; Qiao WT; Liang C, Identification of an Endocytic Signal Essential for the Antiviral Action of IFITM3. Cell Microbiol 2014, 16, 1080–1093. [PubMed: 24521078]
- (50). Lingwood D; Ries J; Schwille P; Simons K, Plasma Membranes Are Poised for Activation of Raft Phase Coalescence at Physiological Temperature. P Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105, 10005– 10010.
- (51). Vanblitterswijk WJ; Vanhoeven RP; Vandermeer BW, Lipid Structural Order Parameters (Reciprocal of Fluidity) in Biomembranes Derived from Steady-State Fluorescence Polarization Measurements. Biochim Biophys Acta 1981, 644, 323–332. [PubMed: 7260077]
- (52). Parasassi T; De Stasio G; Dubaldo A; Gratton E, Phase Fluctuation in Phospholipid-Membranes Revealed by Laurdan Fluorescence. Biophys J 1990, 57, 1179–1186. [PubMed: 2393703]
- (53). Steinkuhler J; Sezgin E; Urbancic I; Eggeling C; Dimova R, Mechanical Properties of Plasma Membrane Vesicles Correlate with Lipid Order, Viscosity and Cell Density. Commun Biol 2019, 2. doi: 10.1038/s42003-019-0583-3. [PubMed: 30740538]
- (54). Gracia RS; Bezlyepkina N; Knorr RL; Lipowsky R; Dimova R, Effect of Cholesterol on the Rigidity of Saturated and Unsaturated Membranes: Fluctuation and Electrodeformation Analysis of Giant Vesicles. Soft Matter 2010, 6, 1472–1482.
- (55). Merglen A; Theander S; Rubi B; Chaffard G; Wollheim CB; Maechler P, Glucose Sensitivity and Metabolism-Secretion Coupling Studied during Two-Year Continuous Culture in Ins-1e Insulinoma Cells. Endocrinology 2004, 145, 667–678. [PubMed: 14592952]
- (56). Burns SM; Vetere A; Walpita D; Dancik V; Khodier C; Perez J; Clemons PA; Wagner BK; Altshuler D, High-Throughput Luminescent Reporter of Insulin Secretion for Discovering Regulators of Pancreatic Beta-Cell Function. Cell Metab 2015, 21, 126–137. [PubMed: 25565210]
- (57). Amatore C; Arbault S; Bouret Y; Guille M; Lemaitre F; Verchier Y, Regulation of Exocytosis in Chromaffin Cells by Trans-Insertion of Lysophosphatidylcholine and Arachidonic Acid into the Outer Leaflet of the Cell Membrane. Chembiochem 2006, 7, 1998–2003. [PubMed: 17086558]
- (58). Jones T. t.; Liu A; Cui B, Light-Inducible Generation of Membrane Curvature in Live Cells with Engineered Bar Domain Proteins. ACS Synth Biol 2020, 9, 893–901. [PubMed: 32212723]
- (59). Rossman JS; Jing XH; Leser GP; Lamb RA, Influenza Virus M2 Protein Mediates ESCRT-Independent Membrane Scission. Cell 2010, 142, 902–913. [PubMed: 20850012]

- (60). Martyna A; Bahsoun B; Badham MD; Srinivasan S; Howard MJ; Rossman JS, Membrane Remodeling by the M2 Amphipathic Helix Drives Influenza Virus Membrane Scission. Sci Rep-Uk 2017, 7.
- (61). Chernomordik LV; Melikyan GB; Chizmadzhev YA, Biomembrane Fusion: A New Concept Derived from Model Studies Using Two Interacting Planar Lipid Bilayers. Biochimica et biophysica acta 1987, 906, 309–352. [PubMed: 3307918]
- (62). Kozlov MM; Leikin SL; Chernomordik LV; Markin VS; Chizmadzhev YA, Stalk Mechanism of Vesicle Fusion. Intermixing of Aqueous Contents. Eur Biophys J 1989, 17, 121–129. [PubMed: 2792021]
- (63). Stiasny K; Heinz FX, Effect of Membrane Curvature-Modifying Lipids on Membrane Fusion by Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus. J Virol 2004, 78, 8536–8542. [PubMed: 15280462]
- (64). Chernomordik LV; Frolov VA; Leikina E; Bronk P; Zimmerberg J, The Pathway of Membrane Fusion Catalyzed by Influenza Hemagglutinin: Restriction of Lipids, Hemifusion, and Lipidic Fusion Pore Formation. J Cell Biol 1998, 140, 1369–1382. [PubMed: 9508770]
- (65). Chernomordik LV; Leikina E; Frolov V; Bronk P; Zimmerberg J, An Early Stage of Membrane Fusion Mediated by the Low PH Conformation of Influenza Hemagglutinin Depends Upon Membrane Lipids. J Cell Biol 1997, 136, 81–93. [PubMed: 9008705]
- (66). Amatore C; Bouret Y; Travis ER; Wightman RM, Interplay between Membrane Dynamics, Diffusion and Swelling Pressure Governs Individual Vesicular Exocytotic Events during Release of Adrenaline by Chromaffin Cells. Biochimie 2000, 82, 481–496. [PubMed: 10865134]
- (67). Chizmadzhev YA; Kuzmin PI; Kumenko DA; Zimmerberg J; Cohen FS, Dynamics of Fusion Pores Connecting Membranes of Different Tensions. Biophys J 2000, 78, 2241–2256. [PubMed: 10777723]
- (68). Hossein A; Deserno M, Spontaneous Curvature, Differential Stress, and Bending Modulus of Asymmetric Lipid Membranes. Biophys J 2020, 118, 91a–91a.
- (69). Graber ZT; Shi Z; Baumgart T, Cations Induce Shape Remodeling of Negatively Charged Phospholipid Membranes. Phys Chem Chem Phys 2017, 19, 15285–15295. [PubMed: 28569910]
- (70). Doosti BA; Pezeshkian W; Bruhn DS; Ipsen JH; Khandelia H; Jeffries GDM; Lobovidna T, Membrane Tubulation in Lipid Vesicles Triggered by the Local Application of Calcium Ions. Langmuir 2017, 33, 11010–11017. [PubMed: 28910109]
- (71). Zemel A; Ben-Shaul A; May S, Modulation of the Spontaneous Curvature and Bending Rigidity of Lipid Membranes by Interfacially Adsorbed Amphipathic Peptides. J Phys Chem B 2008, 112, 6988–6996. [PubMed: 18479112]
- (72). Rajendran L; Simons K, Lipid Rafts and Membrane Dynamics. J Cell Sci 2005, 118, 1099–1102. [PubMed: 15764592]
- (73). Yang ST; Kreutzberger AJB; Kiessling V; Ganser-Pornillos BK; White JM; Tamm LK, HIV Virions Sense Plasma Membrane Heterogeneity for Cell Entry. Sci Adv 2017, 3. DOI: 10.1126/ sciadv.1700338.
- (74). Appourchaux R; Delpeuch M; Zhong L; Burlaud-Gaillard J; Tartour K; Savidis G; Brass A; Etienne L; Roingeard P; Cimarelli A, Functional Mapping of Regions Involved in the Negative Imprinting of Virion Particle Infectivity and in Target Cell Protection by Interferon-Induced Transmembrane Protein 3 against HIV-1. J Virol 2019, 93. e01716–18. doi: 10.1128/ JVI.01716-18. [PubMed: 30355696]
- (75). Weinberger A; Tsai FC; Koenderink GH; Schmidt TF; Itri R; Meier W; Schmatko T; Schroder A; Marques C, Gel-Assisted Formation of Giant Unilamellar Vesicles. Biophys J 2013, 105, 154– 164. [PubMed: 23823234]
- (76). Francis AC; Marin M; Shi J; Aiken C; Melikyan GB, Time-Resolved Imaging of Single HIV-1 Uncoating *in Vitro* and in Living Cells. PLoS Pathog 2016, 12, e1005709. [PubMed: 27322072]



#### Figure 1. IFITM3 induces negative membrane curvature in vitro.

(A) Illustration of domains of recombinant IFITM3 with an N-terminal Strep tag (Strep-IFITM3), Strep-tagged IFITM3 with an internal GFP tag (Strep-IFITM3-iEGFP), and C-terminally truncated IFITM3 lacking the transmembrane domain (TM) with Strep tag and internal GFP tags (Strep-IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP). Lower cartoon illustrates the membrane topology of Strep-IFITM-iEGFP. The numbers indicate the amino acid numbers. (B, C) SDS–PAGE analysis and Coomassie blue staining of purified IFITM3 recombinant proteins shown in A. (C) Strep-IFITM3- and Strep-IFITM3-iEGFP-reconstituted LUVs (99.0 mol %

POPC, 0.5 mol % cholesterol, 0.5 mol % Liss-Rho-PE) or mock-treated LUVs (without protein, top) were dehydrated, electroformed into GUVs, and imaged with a confocal microscope. GUVs prepared from mock-treated LUVs (top) and from Strep-IFITM3-reconstituted LUVs (middle) were stained with Streptavidin AlexaFluor-647. An arrow indicates a nanotube. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m. (D) Time-lapse images of a GUV (99.0 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % cholesterol, 0.5 mol % Liss-Rho-PE) incubated with 20  $\mu$ M Strep-IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP showing an inward budding of GUV membrane. A control GUV (right) was incubated with 20  $\mu$ M EGFP and imaged under the same condition. Scale bars 5  $\mu$ m. (E) Quantification of IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP or EGFP-filled intraluminal vesicle (ILV). Data represent mean ± SEM from two independent experiments. 50 GUVs were analyzed per sample in each experiment. (F) Same as in (E), but the plotted values represent the average number of ILVs per GUV. See also Figure S1.



## Figure 2. IFITM3 amphipathic helix is responsible for induction of negative membrane curvature.

(A) Sequences and helical wheel projection plots created for IFITM3 AH and mutants using HELIQUEST software. Hydrophobic residues are displayed as gray or yellow, while hydrophilic residues are displayed as pink or purple. Arrows represent the magnitude and orientation of the mean hydrophobic moment value calculated by HELIQUEST software.
(B) IFITM3- and IFITM3-3M-reconstituted LUVs were dehydrated, electroformed into GUVs and immediately imaged. Scale bars 10 μm. (C) The percentage of GUVs, prepared and treated as in (B), containing at least one ILV. Data represent mean ± SEM from two

independent experiments, 30 GUVs analyzed per sample in each experiment. (D) GUVs were treated with 10  $\mu$ M AH(56-71), 10  $\mu$ M Scrambled AH(56-71), 10  $\mu$ M AH(59-68) or 30  $\mu$ M AH(59-68) F63Q for 30 min and imaged. 0.3  $\mu$ M fluorescein was added to the external buffer to mark *bona fide* inward budding of GUVs. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m. (E) Percentage of GUVs, prepared and treated as in (D), with at least one ILV containing fluorescein. Mock represents no peptide control that was treated with the same volume of DMSO. Data represent mean  $\pm$  SEM of the results of three independent experiments. (F) Same as in (E), but plotted values represent the average number of ILVs per GUV. See also Figure S2–4.

Guo et al.



## Figure 3. Negative membrane curvature induced by IFITM3 is facilitated by cholesterol and counteracted by lyso-lipids.

(A) GUVs (red channel) with cholesterol (99.0 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % cholesterol, 0.5 mol % Liss-Rho-PE) or GUVs without cholesterol (99.5 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % Liss-Rho-PE) were incubated with 20  $\mu$ M IFITM3(1-108)-iEGFP (green channel) for 30 min and imaged. Scale bars 20  $\mu$ m. (B) Quantification of inward budding shows the percentage of GUVs prepared and treated as in (A) with at least one EGFP-positive intraluminal vesicle (ILV). Data represent mean ± SEM of the results of three independent experiments, with at least 95 GUVs analyzed per sample in each experiment. (C) GUVs (99.0 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % cholesterol, 0.5 mol % Liss-Rho-PE) were treated with 10  $\mu$ M AH(56-71) and 10  $\mu$ M LPC dissolved in methanol (+LPC) or the same volume of methanol (-LPC) for 30 min and imaged. Fluorescein (0.3  $\mu$ M) was added to mark inward budding of GUVs. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m. (D) Quantification of inward budding showing the percentage of GUVs prepared and treated as in (C), with at least one fluorescein-containing intraluminal vesicle (ILV). Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiment such as a sin (C), with at least one fluorescein-containing intraluminal vesicle (ILV). Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments, with at least 35 GUVs analyzed per sample in each experiments, with at least 35 GUVs analyzed per sample in each experiments, with at least 35 GUVs analyzed per sample in each experiments, with at least 35 GUVs analyzed per sample in each experiment. See also Figure S5.

Guo et al.



**Figure 4. IFITM3 partitions into liquid-disordered membrane domains that support IAV fusion.** (A) A diagram of phase-separated GUV. (B) A representative example of phase-separated GUV containing 33.3 mol % DOPC, 33.3 mol % SM, 32.4 mol % cholesterol, 0.5 mol % TopFluor-cholesterol (marker of Lo domain) and 0.5% Liss-Rho-PE (marker of Ld domain). (C) Phase-separated GUVs (33.3 mol % DOPC, 33.3 mol % SM, 32.4 mol % cholesterol, 0.5 mol % TopFluor-cholesterol and 0.5% Liss-Rho-PE) were incubated with 10 μM of Cy-5-labeled AH (56-71) or Scrambled AH(56-71) for 30 min and imaged. Scale bars 10 μm. (D) Plasma membrane spheres were prepared from IFITM3-iSNAP expressing A549 cells by cell swelling. GM1 was crosslinked with Cholera toxin B-AF488 (green) to mark

the Lo phase and SNAP tag was stained with SNAP-cell 647-SIR (red). Scale bar 2  $\mu$ m. (E) A diagram depicting lipid mixing between DiD-labeled IAV and GUV triggered by low pH leading to DiD dequenching. (F) Ld GUVs (top, 97.5 mol % DOPC, 2% GM1, 0.5% NBD-PE ) or Lo GUVs (bottom, 66.6 mol % SM, 30.9 mol % cholesterol, 2% GM1, 0.5 mol % TopFluor-cholesterol) were mixed with DiD labeled IAV, lipids mixing was triggered by addition of citrate buffer to achieve the final pH of 5.0, and samples immediately imaged. Scale bars 5  $\mu$ m. See also Figure S6.



#### Figure 5. IFITM3 amphipathic helix increases lipid order and stiffens membranes.

(A) Membrane binding of IFITM3 AH induces lipid ordering. Two millimoles of LUVs (99.5 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % cholesterol) were incubated with 25 µM Laurdan in the presence or absence of 40 µM of indicated IFITM3-derived peptide at 25°C. Two mM of Ld LUVs (99.5 mol % POPC, 0.5 mol % cholesterol, 37°C) and Lo LUVs (66.6 mol % SM, 33.4 mol % cholesterol, 25°C) were incubated with 25 µM Laurdan without addition of peptide. Laurdan fluorescence was then measured at 440 and 490 nm and the ratio was used to calculate the General Polarization (GP) of Laurdan using the equation  $GP=(I_{440}-I_{490})/$  $(I_{440} + I_{490})$ . Data represent mean  $\pm$  SEM of at least three independent experiments. (B) The membrane bending rigidity increases in the presence of IFITM3 AH peptide. Membrane bending rigidity values were measured by fluctuation analysis of the thermally induced motion of the POPC+cholesterol GUVs membrane in 100 mM sucrose, 1 mM HEPES at pH 7.4, incubated with DMSO (at final concentration of  $\sim 0.1 \text{ v/v}$  %; Mock) or with AH(56-71) or Scrambled AH(56-71) in DMSO at a final peptide concentration of 3 nM. Bending rigidity was measured based on the Fourier spectrum of the cross-sectional contour, as obtained from discrete time series of optical snapshots. Data represent mean  $\pm$  SEM and each data point corresponds to an individual vesicle. See also Figure S7.



#### Figure 6. IFITM3 amphipathic helix inhibits membrane fusion.

(A) A diagram illustrating glucose-stimulated insulin secretion and its block by IFITM3 AH. (B) IFITM3 AH peptide inhibited glucose-stimulated insulin secretion of INS-1E cells. INS-1E cells transduced with proinsulin-luciferase fusion construct were preincubated for 5 min with 5  $\mu$ M AH(59-68) or 10  $\mu$ M AH(59-68) F63Q or same volume of DMSO (mock) and then stimulated for 20 min with high glucose (HG, 20 mM) buffer or with low glucose (LG, 2.8 mM) as control. Luciferase activity was determined by adding the coelenterazine substrate to the supernatant and reading on a Luminescence counter. Data represent mean  $\pm$ 

SEM of the results of three independent experiments. (C) As in (B), but for samples preincubated for 5 min with AH peptide or DMSO supplemented with 20  $\mu$ M LPC. (D) Illustration of the EGFP-CAAX, EGFP-IFITM3 AH-CAAX and EGFP-IFITM3 AH F63Q-CAAX chimeras. (E) A diagram illustrating forced IAV fusion with the plasma membrane. IAV entry through endosomal pathway was blocked by incubating the cells with Bafilomycin A1 to inhibit the acidification of endosomes. (F) Forced fusion mCherryexpressing IAV (red) with the plasma membrane of A549 cells expressing EGFP-CAAX, EGFP-IFITM3 AH-CAAX or EGFP-IFITM3 AH F63Q-CAAX (green) on the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst (blue). Sale bar 100  $\mu$ m. (G) Quantification of IAV infection shown in (F). Infected cells are plotted as percentage of total cells with EGFP fluorescence, while EGFP-negative cells were excluded from analysis. Data represent mean  $\pm$  SEM of the results of three independent experiments. See also Figure S8.

Guo et al.



## Figure 7. IFITM3 amphipathic helix can be replaced by other negative curvature-inducing and lipid-ordering amphipathic helices.

(A) Illustration of the internal mTFP1-tagged wild-type IFITM3, scrambled IFITM3 AH mutant and IFITM3 chimera with the Influenza virus M2 protein AH. (B) Infection assay of HEK 293T/17 cells expressing wild-type IFITM3-imTFP1, its scrambled mutant or the M2 AH chimera using mCherry-expressing IAV. Sale bar 100 µm. (C) Quantification of IAV infection shown in (B). Data represent mean ± SEM of the results of four independent experiments. Total number of cells counted are: Empty vector (3813), IFITM3-imTFP1 (3877), IFITM3-scrambled AH-imTFP1 (3525), IFITM3-M2 AH-imTFP1 (4244). (D) Working model of IFITM3-mediated inhibition of IAV entry. IFITM3 localizes to liquid disordered subdomains of endosomal membrane, which are the sites supporting IAV fusion, and stabilizes the hemifusion diaphragm by inducing negative membrane curvature and increasing lipid ordering and membrane stiffness. This prevents the formation of a fusion pore in the hemifusion intermediate, thus trapping IAV at a "dead-end" hemifusion stage. See also Figure S8.