

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript J Allergy Clin Immunol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 May 28.

Published in final edited form as:

J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2017 April ; 139(4): 1131–1134. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2017.02.008.

The National Biome Initiative: An allergy perspective

Glenn T. Furuta, MD^a, Seema S. Aceves, MD, PhD^b

aDivision of Gastroenterology, Children's Hospital of Colorado, Aurora, Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine, Rady Children's Hospital, University of California, San Diego.

bDivision of Allergy and Immunology, Center for Infection, Immunity, and Inflammation, Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine, Rady Children's Hospital, University of California, San Diego.

Keywords

Eosinophilic esophagitis; microbiome; asthma; allergy; biome initiative

In May 2016, the White House Office of Science and Technology, in collaboration with private-sector stakeholders and federal agencies, posted the Fact Sheet for the National Microbiome Initiative (NMI) for immediate release. The NMI was designed to meet 3 specific goals that collectively foster an understanding of the human symbiotic relationship with its microbial flora: (1) to support interdisciplinary research to answer fundamental questions about the microbiome in diverse ecosystems; (2) to develop platform technologies for enhancing data sharing on microbiomes; and (3) to expand the microbiome workforce. The NMI, which was funded at more than \$121 million for fiscal years 2016 and 2017, is comprised of investments from multiple departments of the federal government, including the Departments of Energy, Justice, and Veteran's Affairs; the Office of Research and Development; the Department of Agriculture; the National Science Foundation; the National Institutes of Health; the Aeronautics and Space Administration; private stakeholders, such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation, and a number of academic institutions.

Early scientific publications on the manipulation of the microbiome to understand and intervene in human disease came as reports of self-infection by Dr J. A. Turton with the hookworm Necator americanus larvae. In 1976, Dr Turton reported that hookworm infection decreased his allergic rhinitis symptoms during the pollen seasons of 1975 and 1976and augmented his production of specific $IgE¹$ The case report was met with substantial controversy, skepticism, and generation of alternate hypotheses to explain the findings. For example, it was suggested that alterations in IgE antibody levels were not due to infection but rather to the use of levamisole to eradicate the initial infection before self-reinfection.

Corresponding author: Seema S. Aceves, MD, PhD, Division of Allergy and Immunology, Center for Immunity, Infection, and Inflammation, 9500 Gilman Dr, MC-0760, La Jolla, CA 92093-0760. saceves@ucsd.edu.

Disclosure of potential conflict of interest: G. T. Furuta has consultant arrangements with Shire, has received a grant from the National Institutes of Health, and has received royalties from UpToDate. S. S. Aceves has received a grant and travel support from the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, is a member of the Medical Advisory Board for the American Partnership for Eosinophilic Disorders, has a patent for oral viscous budesonide.

Furuta and Aceves Page 2

Although current randomized controlled trials in patients with Crohn disease and asthma have not borne out that hookworm infection is superior to placebo for controlling disease^{2,3} and popular media have run stories on the side effects and loss of effectiveness of infection, the observations of Turton,¹ along with the hypotheses of his skeptics, were based on the immunologic reality that interventions that induce dysbiosis can have substantive effects on systemic diseases.

Shifts in the human microbiome are affected by developmental age, diet, and medication use (Fig 1). Underscoring the importance of the microbiome's development within its host is the fact that the infant microbiome is highly volatile and prone to changes that can be irreversible. Late developmental replacement of the microbiome in germ-free infant mice does not promote proper development of invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cell function and predisposes to development of asthmatic and colitic phenotypes.⁴ The presence of the commensal microbiome during the neonatal period increases regulatory T-cell numbers and decreases preferential B-cell class-switching to $IgE⁴$ New data demonstrate that infants at risk for asthma have a microbiome depleted of a number of species, including Lactobacillus and Akkermansia species, and that the metabolome of the asthma-prone infants decreases regulatory T-cell numbers while increasing $CD4+IL-4+$ cell numbers in vitro (Table I).⁵ Indeed, the gastrointestinal mucosal immune system is dependent on the microbiome for its genesis, and gut-associated lymphoid tissue does not develop in the absence of the intestinal flora. Diets can also induce dysbiosis and affect systemic immune responses. Foods found in Western diets that are high in salt and lipids can promote low-grade systemic inflammation with increased levels of peripheral IL-1β, adiponectin, TNF- α , and T_H17 cell accumulation. 6

Just as the composition of the microbiome can be modified by the use of medications, such as antibiotics, differences in the intestinal flora can alter how the host metabolizes drugs, leading to complex interactions between the host, its flora, and its environment. The microbiome alters the metabolism of both acetaminophen and irinotecan (used in colon cancer chemotherapy), increasing drug side effects of liver toxicity and diarrhea, respectively.⁷

The adaptive and innate immune systems can be influenced by the microbiome during health and disease (Table I). For instance, Enterobaceriaceae IgA-coated bacteria can contribute to the development of colitis, whereas *Akkermansia muciniphila* might offer protection from colitis. In human subjects IgA deficiency has been associated with a more inflammatory microbiome, but mice that lack IgA affinity maturation have more dysbiosis.⁴ One unifying theme relates to the loss of bacterial diversity and commensal bacteria during an active disease state. In patients with active asthma, there is increased Proteobacteria; neutrophilic therapy-resistant asthma associates with increased sputum concentrations of Haemophilus species, Streptococcus species, and Moraxella catarrhalis; and mechanistic studies suggest that the microbiome in patients with active disease alters the response to corticosteroids.⁸ Although children with atopic dermatitis have more bacterial diversity than adults, both children and adults have increased Staphylococcus aureus and decreased commensal bacterial load compared with those who do not have atopic dermatitis.⁹ Finally, the

microbiome of the esophagi of patients with active eosinophilic esophagitis has decreased bacterial diversity and less *Streptococcus* species, a bacterium also seen in normal skin.¹⁰

As the current data show, putting the microbiome and its complexities into context can be astounding. The human microbiome has been estimated to have at least 100 genes for every 1 human gene. Although the human has 10 trillion cells, the microbiome has 100 trillion cells. Because the microbiome itself is diverse in its bacterial composition, alterations in the type of microbiotic load changes the range of genomic diversity. As such, microbial genome-wide association studies have to account not only for higher numbers and diversity of genes but also for the relative abundance of any given taxa.⁷ Given the complexities of the immune response to bacterial products and metabolites, the need for understanding the microbiome, its interaction with the host and its constituents, its temporal and developmental fluctuations, and its metabolomics become daunting tasks requiring interactions between clinical and basic specialists and systems biologists. To this end, combining the NMI with other initiatives, such as the international initiative Developmental Origins of Health and Disease, the Metabolomics Standards Initiative, and the Human Exposome Project could push our understanding of the human microbiome and its mechanisms of interaction with the immune system, and help us determine how it is influenced by the environment.

A vast number of questions remain regarding the effects, importance, and consequences of the microbial exposome, perhaps more than can be answered even by the NMI. These outstanding inquiries include how the microbiome interacts with its own members, such as how viruses, bacteria, and fungi could alter each another's functional differences; which changes in the microbiome are causal to versus an effect of the disease state or therapeutic intervention; and the mechanisms by which the microbiome alters structural and/or inflammatory cell function and vice versa. In addition, it is not always clear whether live bacteria, their metabolome, or just bacterial components are required to induce immunologic alterations. Through a herculean and integrated effort, our improved understanding of the microbiome and its influences on the human immune system could lead to insights that are pivotal for understanding how to improve disease states.

Acknowledgments

Supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) grant AI 092135 and Office of Rare Disease Research (ORDR)/National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS)/NIAID/National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) CEGIR grant U54 AI117804 (G.T.F. and S.S.A.). CEGIR (U54 AI117804) is part of the Rare Disease Clinical Research Network (RDCRN), an initiative of the Office of Rare Diseases Research (ORDR), NCATS, and is funded through collaboration between NIAID, NIDDK, and NCATS. CEGIR is also supported by patient advocacy groups including APFED CURED and EFC.

REFERENCES

- 1. Turton JA. Letter: IgE, parasites, and allergy. Lancet 1976;2:686.
- 2. Feary JR, Venn AJ, Mortimer K, Brown AP, Hooi D, Falcone FH, et al. Experimental hookworm infection: a randomized placebo-controlled trial in asthma. Clin Exp Allergy 2010;40:299–306. [PubMed: 20030661]
- 3. Garg SK, Croft AM, Bager P. Helminth therapy (worms) for induction of remission in inflammatory bowel disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;(1): CD009400.

- 4. Honda K, Littman DR. The microbiota in adaptive immune homeostasis and disease. Nature 2016;535:75–84. [PubMed: 27383982]
- 5. Fujimura KE, Sitarik AR, Havstad S, Lin DL, Levan S, Fadrosh D, et al. Neonatal gut microbiota associates with childhood multisensitized atopy and T cell differentiation. Nat Med 2016;22:1187– 91. [PubMed: 27618652]
- 6. Prescott SL. Early-life environmental determinants of allergic diseases and the wider pandemic of inflammatory noncommunicable diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;131:23–30. [PubMed: 23265694]
- 7. Gilbert JA, Quinn RA, Debelius J, Xu ZZ, Morton J, Garg N, et al. Microbiome-wide association studies link dynamic microbial consortia to disease. Nature 2016;535:94–103. [PubMed: 27383984]
- 8. Huang YJ, Nariya S, Harris JM, Lynch SV, Choy DF, Arron JR, et al. The airway microbiome in patients with severe asthma: associations with disease features and severity. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015;136:874–84. [PubMed: 26220531]
- 9. Shi B, Bangayan NJ, Curd E, Taylor PA, Gallo RL, Leung DY, et al. The skin microbiome is different in pediatric versus adult atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016;138:123–6. [PubMed: 26947180]
- 10. Dellon ES. The esophageal microbiome in eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastroenterology 2016;151:364–5. [PubMed: 27375194]

Furuta and Aceves **Page 5** Page 5

FIG 1.

The microbiota of allergic diseases. Environmental exposures alter bacterial diversity and increase inflammation. The result is tissue and gut dysbiosis with decreased bacterial diversity and changes in the microbiome of target organs. The alterations in normal flora likely interact with increased inflammation to alter patient phenotypes, such as disease response.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

This table is representative of the literature published close to 2016. A complete comprehensive review is out of the scope of this article, but many excellent reviews on the microbiome and allergy are This table is representative of the literature published close to 2016. A complete comprehensive review is out of the scope of this article, but many excellent reviews on the microbiome and allergy are available.

EoE, Eosinophilic esophagitis; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease. EoE, Eosinophilic esophagitis; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease.