



Published in final edited form as:

Qual Life Res. 2021 June ; 30(6): 1705–1713. doi:10.1007/s11136-021-02770-z.

Frequency and Influence of “Not Relevant” Responses on the Dermatology Life Quality Index Among Adults With Atopic Dermatitis

John S. Barbieri, MD, MBA¹, Zelma C Chiesa Fuxench, MD, MSCE¹, Daniel B. Shin, PhD¹, Junko Takeshita, MD, PhD, MSCE^{1,2}

¹Department of Dermatology, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA

²Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA

Abstract

Purpose: “Not relevant” responses (NRRs) on the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) are common among adults with psoriasis and may be associated with underestimation of disease burden. Little is known about “not relevant” responses among adults with atopic dermatitis. We aimed to examine the frequency of NRRs on the DLQI and to determine whether NRRs are associated with underestimation of disease burden among adults with atopic dermatitis.

Methods: Adults with atopic dermatitis were identified and evaluated via online survey. We evaluated the frequency of NRRs on the DLQI, stratified by sociodemographic characteristics. To examine the association between NRRs and other measures of disease burden, Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM), Patient-Oriented SCORAD (PO-SCORAD), and Short-Form (SF)-12 scores were compared between those who responded “not relevant” versus “not at all”.

Results: Among 764 adults with atopic dermatitis, most had mild disease. The median (interquartile range [IQR]) POEM, PO-SCORAD, and DLQI scores were 5 (2–10), 24 (14–34), and 2 (1–6), respectively. Most (55.2%) also had at least one NRR, and 17.9% had 4 or more “not relevant” responses, with differences across several sociodemographic characteristics. There were no substantial differences in SF-12, POEM, and PO-SCORAD scores between those who responded “not relevant” versus “not at all”.

Terms of use and reuse: academic research for non-commercial purposes, see here for full terms. <https://www.springer.com/aam-terms-v1>

Corresponding author: John Barbieri, 3400 Civic Center Boulevard, Perelman Center for Advanced Medicine, 7th Floor, South Tower, Philadelphia, PA 19104, john.barbieri@penmedicine.upenn.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This Author Accepted Manuscript is a PDF file of an unedited peer-reviewed manuscript that has been accepted for publication but has not been copyedited or corrected. The official version of record that is published in the journal is kept up to date and so may therefore differ from this version.

Ethics Approval: This study was deemed exempt by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board.

Availability of data and material (data transparency): Not applicable

Code availability (software application or custom code): Available upon request

Conclusion: NRRs on the DLQI are common among adults with atopic dermatitis and differ across sociodemographic characteristics, suggesting issues with content validity. There is not a clear association between NRRs and other measures of disease severity among adults with mostly mild atopic dermatitis.

Keywords

atopic dermatitis; eczema; dermatology life quality index; quality of life; health-related quality of life; not relevant response

Introduction

Given the importance of measuring health-related quality of life when evaluating patients with chronic inflammatory skin disease such as atopic dermatitis, there is increasing interest in capturing this outcome in routine clinical care and research settings. The 10-item Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) is one of the most popular instruments used to assess health-related quality of life in dermatology and is commonly used in clinical trials [1–3]. In addition, in some clinical settings, patient-reported outcome measures such as the DLQI are being used for coverage determination for access to systemic treatments and to assess response to treatment for a variety of skin conditions [4].

Although the DLQI is a popular health-related quality of life instrument, there have been recent concerns about the influence of “not relevant” responses (NRRs) on the DLQI. For 8 of the 10 items included in the DLQI, there is an option to respond “not relevant” which is scored the same as “not at all.” These NRRs are common among patients with chronic inflammatory and autoimmune skin disease such as psoriasis, hidradenitis suppurativa, vitiligo, pemphigus, and morphea [5–9]. Among patients with psoriasis, NRRs are also associated with worse dermatologist- and patient-reported disease activity measures, suggesting that the DLQI may underestimate health-related quality of life impact for those with NRRs [10]. There are also meaningful differences in the frequency of NRRs among adults with psoriasis by age, sex, race/ethnicity, marital status, income, and employment status [11,12]. Given these and other limitations, there have been calls to revise the DLQI scoring to account for the potential influence of NRRs (e.g. DLQI-R) or to discontinue use of the DLQI [8,13,14].

Although NRRs have been well described among adults with several chronic inflammatory and autoimmune skin diseases, little is known about NRRs among adults with atopic dermatitis. The objective of this study was to examine the frequency of NRRs on the DLQI among adults with atopic dermatitis, whether NRRs are associated with underestimation of disease burden, and whether the NRR frequencies differ by sociodemographic characteristics. In addition, as a secondary objective, we sought to evaluate the construct validity of the recently proposed DLQI-R scoring modification in this population.

Materials and Methods

Study population

A cross-sectional analysis was conducted using data from the Atopic Dermatitis in America survey, which has previously been described in detail [15]. Briefly, this survey population is drawn from the GfK knowledge panel, and online surveys were fielded in November and December 2016. The GfK Knowledge Panel is a large, probability-based web panel in the United States that includes over 40,000–50,000 adult members at any given time. This panel is constructed from a national address-based sample of households who are recruited to join and who receive small incentives to participate in web-based surveys. A cross-sectional sample of participants in the GfK panel were recruited to participate in the Atopic Dermatitis in America survey, which sought to identify participants with atopic dermatitis. This survey also captured data on patient disease and treatment history.

Adults who participated in the Atopic Dermatitis in America survey and who met the UK Working Party diagnostic criteria for atopic dermatitis were included in this study (with modified age of onset criteria of <18 years, since recall of childhood atopic dermatitis is low) [16,17]. The UK Working Party definition of atopic dermatitis that was used was the presence of itchy skin plus three or more of the following: skin fold involvement, history of asthma or hay fever, history of dry skin in the past year, or age of onset under the age of 18.

Patient-reported outcomes

Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI)—The DLQI is a 10-item questionnaire that measures dermatology-specific health-related quality of life based on patient report with a 1-week recall period. Scores range from 0–30, with higher scores indicating greater health-related quality of life impact. For items 3–10 on the DLQI, the patient has the additional response option of “not relevant”, which is scored the same as “not at all” [1]. Score bands for the DLQI have been proposed as follows: 0–1: no effect on health-related quality of life; 2–5: small effect; 6–10: moderate effect; 11–20: very large effect; 21–30: extremely large effect [18]. The DLQI-R scoring modification is calculated by multiplying the traditional DLQI score by a conversion factor that increases with the number of NRRs [13].

Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM)—The POEM is a 7-item symptom inventory for eczema with a 1-week recall period. Scores range from 0–28, with higher scores indicating worse severity of disease [19]. POEM is recommended by the Harmonizing Outcome Measures for Eczema) initiative as the core outcome instrument for measuring patient-reported symptoms in eczema trials [20]. Specific severity strata for POEM for use in this population have been proposed: 0–7: mild; 8–19: moderate; 20–28: severe [21].

Patient-Oriented SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (PO-SCORAD)—The PO-SCORAD is a self-assessment score, which uses subjective and objective criteria from the SCORAD physician clinical assessment tool to allow patients to comprehensively evaluate their atopic dermatitis. It is a static assessment and scores range from 0–103, with higher scores

indicating greater burden of disease [22]. Severity strata for the PO-SCORAD have been proposed: 1–27: mild, 28–56: moderate; 57–103: severe [21].

Short-Form (SF)-12—The SF-12 is a 12-item generic health-related quality of life patient-reported outcome measure, which was derived from the SF-36. It uses a 4-week recall period. Scores range from 0–100, with lower scores indicating greater health-related quality of life impact. The SF-12 also includes two aggregate summary measures: the mental health score and physical health score [23–26].

Study outcomes and statistical analysis

To evaluate whether NRRs may be associated with underestimation of disease burden, for items 3–10 on the DLQI, severity of disease and health-related quality of life measures were compared between those who responded “not relevant” and those who responded “not at all”. In addition, to examine for sociodemographic differences with respect to NRRs, we evaluated the NRR frequency for items 3–10 on the DLQI, stratified by sex, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, income, employment status, and marital status.

Differences in median scores between those who responded “not relevant” and those who responded “not at all” were evaluated using quantile regression. Pearson chi-squared tests were used to evaluate for differences in the frequency of NRRs for each DLQI item by sociodemographic characteristics. Multivariable logistic regression was used to evaluate for associations between these sociodemographic characteristics and having at least one NRR, adjusting for DLQI score and PO-SCORAD score, since disease severity has been shown to be associated with NRRs for psoriasis.[10] Model fit was assessed using the Hosmer and Lemeshow’s goodness-of-fit test chi-square. Since the NRR data were overdispersed, negative binomial regression was used to evaluate for associations between sociodemographic characteristics and the total number of NRRs.

To examine the construct validity of the DLQI-R, both the DLQI and DLQI-R were calculated and their correlation with POEM, PO-SCORAD, and SF-12 scores was assessed.

Spearman’s correlations were used to evaluate for correlation between the DLQI-R and DLQI with POEM, PO-SCORAD, and SF-12 scores. Correlation coefficients were interpreted using the following categorization schema: 0 to 0.29: negligible correlation; 0.3 to 0.49: low correlation; 0.5 to 0.69: moderate correlation; 0.7 to 0.89: high correlation; 0.9 to 1.0: very high correlation [27]. Steiger’s Z was used to evaluate for significant differences between correlation coefficients calculated for the DLQI and DLQI-R.

Known-groups validity of the DLQI and DLQI-R were assessed by comparing DLQI and DLQI-R scores across the severity categories for the POEM and PO-SCORAD, which have been previously been proposed for use in this population (POEM: mild = 0–7, moderate = 8–19, and severe = 20–28; PO-SCORAD: mild = 1–27, moderate = 28–56, severe = 57–104) [21].

Respondents with missing DLQI, POEM, SF-12, PO-SCORAD, or covariate data were excluded (one respondent was excluded due to missing SF-12 data, no other respondents had

missing data). Statistical analyses were performed using Stata 15 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Analyses were performed using post-stratification sample weights to account for the survey design. These weights were developed to ensure all samples follow the equal probability of selection method and are designed to adjust for any differential non-response during the survey data acquisition. They are developed using several geodemographic benchmarks including gender, age, race/ethnicity, region, income, home ownership status, and metropolitan area status [28]. Standard errors were calculated using Taylor-linearized variance estimation. This study was deemed exempt by Institutional Review Board of the University of Pennsylvania with a waiver of informed consent. This study is reported according to the STROBE guidelines [29].

Results

Among 764 adults with atopic dermatitis, 58.1% were female and the median age was 41 years (IQR 30–56). History of systemic medication use (e.g. cyclosporine, mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, methotrexate) and oral steroid use were reported by 8.7% and 17.8% of participants, respectively. The median DLQI score was 2 (IQR 1–6), corresponding to small effect on quality of life. Median POEM score was 5 (IQR 2–10), and median PO-SCORAD score was 24 (IQR 14–34) (Table 1).

The median number of NRRs was 1 (IQR 0–3) and 55.2% of participants had at least one NRR, with 17.9% having 4 or more NRRs. NRRs were most common for item 6 (“sport”, 32.4%), item 3 (“daily routines”, 30.5%), and item 9 (“sexual relationships”, 27.9%) (Supplemental Table 1). For items 5–10 of the DLQI, those who responded “not relevant” had significantly lower (worse) SF-12 mental health scores than those who responded “not at all.” For items 6–9 of the DLQI, those who responded “not relevant” had significantly lower (worse) SF-12 physical health scores than those who responded “not at all,” although these differences were small in magnitude. While there were some statistically significant differences in POEM and PO-SCORAD scores between those who responded “not relevant” and “not at all”, the differences were generally small and in different directions depending on the item (Table 2).

For Items 7–9, NRRs were more common among those with lower income. Compared to those who were married, NRRs were more common among those who were never married or widowed/divorced for Items 8 and 9. Compared to those who were working, NRRs were more common among those who were disabled or retired for Items 6, 7 and 9 (Table 3).

In multivariable analyses, compared to white individuals, Hispanic individuals had fewer NRRs (IRR 0.71; 95% CI 0.53–0.96). Black individuals also had fewer NRRs (IRR 0.70; 95% CI 0.45–1.11), although this did not reach statistical significance. Compared to those with annual income <\$25,000, those with income >\$100,000 (IRR 0.50; 95% CI 0.35–0.73) had fewer NRRs. Compared to those who were married, those who were never married had more NRRs (IRR 1.38; 95% CI 1.02–1.87) (Table 4).

The median DLQI-R score was 2.2 (IQR 1–7). The DLQI-R scoring modification had stronger correlation with the SF-12 Physical Health Score (–0.09 vs –0.07, Steiger’s Z

$p=0.02$) and SF-12 Mental Health Score (-0.44 vs -0.41 , Steiger's Z $p<0.001$) than the traditional DLQI score. The DLQI-R scoring modification performed similarly to the traditional DLQI score with respect to correlation with POEM and PO-SCORAD scores (Table 5). Consistent with prior studies of the DLQI, more severe disease as assessed by POEM and PO-SCORAD was associated with higher DLQI scores indicating larger impact on health-related quality of life (Supplemental Figure 1) [7,30].

Discussion

While studies among patients with psoriasis and hidradenitis suppurativa have found that 20–48% of patients have at least one NRR [6,9], in our cohort over 55% had at least one NRR. In addition, nearly a fifth of patients with atopic dermatitis in this cohort had NRRs for at least half of the items on the DLQI compared to 2–10% among patients with psoriasis [6]. The high frequency of NRRs suggests that there may be content validity problems with the DLQI when administered to adults with atopic dermatitis. Similar issues have been noted with the DLQI among patients with vitiligo, with one study finding 76.6% had at least one NRR [9].

Consistent with prior studies, NRRs were most common among items 3 (“daily routines”), 6 (“sport”), 7 (“work/study”), and 9 (“sexual relationships”) [12,31,32]. These items may be particularly problematic as they may not apply broadly to diverse sociodemographic groups, which is supported by differences in the frequencies of NRRs for these items by sex, race/ethnicity, income, employment status, and marital status. In addition, the one week recall period on the DLQI could influence the frequencies of NRRs as some individuals may not be engaged in these activities on a weekly basis (e.g. “sport”, “sexual relationships”) [12].

While several studies have highlighted that NRRs are associated with underestimation of disease severity among patients with psoriasis [10,13], our data do not demonstrate a clear pattern of NRRs being associated with greater disease burden. Although those who responded “not relevant” had worse SF-12 scores than those who responded “not at all,” which could suggest that NRRs are associated with underestimation of health-related quality of life impact, similar patterns were not consistently observed for DLQI, POEM, and PO-SCORAD scores. In addition, the magnitude of these differences was small, and the clinical significance of these differences is unclear.

We found that several sociodemographic factors were associated with having fewer NRRs, including Hispanic race/ethnicity, increasing income, and being married. Similarly, studies in psoriasis have also found that sociodemographic factors such as increasing income and being married are associated with decreased NRRs [11,12]. These differences suggest there may be issues when the DLQI is used among diverse populations of patients with atopic dermatitis.

Given the potential bias introduced from NRRs, the DLQI-R scoring modification has been proposed as a simple approach to adjust the DLQI score to account for the potential influence of NRRs [13]. Although some studies among patients with psoriasis have found that the DLQI-R has improved measurement properties compared to the traditional DLQI

scoring method, others have not [5,8,13]. In this study among a cohort of patients with mostly mild atopic dermatitis, the DLQI-R did demonstrate stronger correlation with SF-12 scores than the traditional DLQI, although the correlations were weak and differences observed between the DLQI and DLQI-R as assessed by Spearman's rank correlation were small, each differing by less than 0.03. Furthermore, while the DLQI-R scoring modification may help account for bias introduced by NRRs, it does not address the fundamental issue of content validity with the DLQI, which is considered the most important measurement property of a patient-reported outcome measure by the COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement INstruments (COSMIN) initiative [33].

This study should be interpreted in the context its design. Although the broad population included in the Atopic Dermatitis in America cohort is a strength of this cohort, patients have relatively mild skin disease which may have contributed to the lack of clear association between NRRs and burden of disease in our study. This limitation is particularly relevant as studies in psoriasis have found that NRRs are more common among those with more severe disease [10,12,34]. In addition, these data were collected from an online platform. The relatively mild skin disease in this cohort may also have limited our ability to compare for differences between the DLQI and DLQI-R scoring modification. Future studies are needed to examine whether these findings are similar among patients with more moderate-to-severe disease. Given the nature of the survey design, we are unable to assess how NRRs may influence clinical decisions and treatment recommendations at the point of care. In addition, we are unable to evaluate the underlying factors contributing to NRRs in this population.

Conclusions

NRRs on the DLQI are common among a cohort of adults with atopic dermatitis and differ across several sociodemographic characteristics, suggesting important issues with respect to content validity. Unlike what has been observed for psoriasis, there is not a clear association between NRRs and underestimation of disease severity among a cohort of adults with mostly mild atopic dermatitis. Further study is needed to understand the factors contributing to NRRs, the impact of NRRs on patient outcomes when the DLQI is used in routine clinical care, and optimal strategies to assess health-related quality of life among patients with atopic dermatitis and other inflammatory skin diseases.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Funding:

Dr. Barbieri is supported by the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under award number T32-AR-007465 and receives partial salary support through a Pfizer Fellowship in Dermatology Patient Oriented Research grant to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania. Dr. Takeshita is supported by NIAMS K23-AR068433. Dr. Chiesa Fuxench is supported by a Career Development Award through the Dermatology Foundation for work related to atopic dermatitis.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor:

The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Conflict of Interests Disclosures:

Dr. Takeshita receives a research grant from Pfizer Inc (to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania) for work that is unrelated to this study and has received payment for continuing medical education work related to psoriasis that was supported indirectly by Eli Lilly. Dr. Chiesa Fuxench has served as a consultant for the National Eczema Association, Asthma and Allergy Foundation, Sanofi, Pfizer, and AbbVie receiving honoraria, and receives or has received research grants (to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania) from Regeneron, Sanofi, Leo, Menlo Therapeutics, Tioga and Vanda pharmaceuticals for clinical trials related to atopic dermatitis; and has received payment for continuing medical education work related to atopic dermatitis that was supported indirectly by Sanofi and Regeneron.

References:

1. Finlay AY, Khan GK. Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI)--a simple practical measure for routine clinical use. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 1994;19:210–6. [PubMed: 8033378]
2. Basra MKA, Fenech R, Gatt RM, Salek MS, Finlay AY. The Dermatology Life Quality Index 1994–2007: a comprehensive review of validation data and clinical results. *Br J Dermatol.* 2008;159:997–1035. [PubMed: 18795920]
3. Vincent R, Chalmers JR, McWilliams C, Thomas KS, Dodd S, Rogers N, et al. Assessing uptake of the Harmonising Outcome Measures for Eczema (HOME) Core Outcome Set and Recommended Instruments. *Br J Dermatol.* 2020;
4. Smith CH, Jabbar-Lopez ZK, Yiu ZZ, Bale T, Burden AD, Coates LC, et al. British Association of Dermatologists guidelines for biologic therapy for psoriasis 2017. *Br J Dermatol.* 2017;177:628–36. [PubMed: 28513835]
5. Rencz F, Gulácsi L, Péntek M, Szegedi A, Remenyik É, Bata-Csörgő Z, et al. DLQI-R scoring improves the discriminatory power of Dermatology Life Quality Index in psoriasis, pemphigus and morphea patients. *Br J Dermatol.* 2019;
6. Rencz F, Brodszky V, Gulácsi L, Péntek M, Poór AK, Holló P, et al. Time to revise the DLQI scoring in psoriasis treatment guidelines. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* 2019;
7. Gergely LH, Gáspár K, Brodszky V, Kinyó Á, Szegedi A, Remenyik É, et al. Validity of EQ-5D-5L, Skindex-16, DLQI and DLQI-R in patients with hidradenitis suppurativa. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* 2020;
8. Barbieri J, Gelfand JM. Evaluation of the Dermatology Life Quality Index scoring modification, the DLQI-R score, in two independent populations. *Br J Dermatol.* 2018;
9. Gupta V, Taneja N, Sati HC, Sreenivas V, Ramam M. Evaluation of “not relevant” responses on the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) and the DLQI-R scoring modification among Indian patients with vitiligo. *Br J Dermatol.* 2020;
10. Barbieri JS, Gelfand JM. Influence of “Not Relevant” Responses on the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) for Patients With Psoriasis in the United States. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2019;155:743–5. [PubMed: 30969312]
11. Barbieri JS, Shin DB, Syed MN, Takeshita J, Gelfand JM. Evaluation of the Frequency of “Not Relevant” Responses on the Dermatology Life Quality Index by Sociodemographic Characteristics of Patients With Psoriasis. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2020;
12. Rencz F, Poór AK, Péntek M, Holló P, Kárpáti S, Gulácsi L, et al. A detailed analysis of “not relevant” responses on the DLQI in psoriasis: potential biases in treatment decisions. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* 2018;32:783–90. [PubMed: 29114942]
13. Rencz F, Gulácsi L, Péntek M, Poór AK, Sárdy M, Holló P, et al. Proposal of a new scoring formula for the DLQI in psoriasis. *Br J Dermatol.* 2018;
14. Nijsten T. Dermatology life quality index: time to move forward. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2012;132:11–3. [PubMed: 22158607]

15. Chiesa Fuxench ZC, Block J, Boguniewicz M, Boyle J, Fonacier L, Gelfand JM, et al. Atopic Dermatitis in America Study: a cross-sectional study examining the prevalence and disease burden of atopic dermatitis in the US adult population. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2018;
16. Williams HC, Burney PG, Pembroke AC, Hay RJ. Validation of the U.K. diagnostic criteria for atopic dermatitis in a population setting. U.K. Diagnostic Criteria for Atopic Dermatitis Working Party. *Br J Dermatol.* 1996;135:12–7. [PubMed: 8776351]
17. Naleway AL, Belongia EA, Greenlee RT, Kieke BA, Chen RT, Shay DK. Eczematous skin disease and recall of past diagnoses: implications for smallpox vaccination. *Ann Intern Med.* 2003;139:1–7. [PubMed: 12834312]
18. Y H, Cl T, Ma H, Ms S, Ay F. Translating the science of quality of life into practice: What do dermatology life quality index scores mean? *J Invest Dermatol.* 2005;125:659–64. [PubMed: 16185263]
19. Charman CR, Venn AJ, Williams HC. The patient-oriented eczema measure: development and initial validation of a new tool for measuring atopic eczema severity from the patients' perspective. *Arch Dermatol.* 2004;140:1513–9. [PubMed: 15611432]
20. Spuls PI, Gerbens L a. A, Simpson E, Apfelbacher CJ, Chalmers JR, Thomas KS, et al. Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM), a core instrument to measure symptoms in clinical trials: a Harmonising Outcome Measures for Eczema (HOME) statement. *Br J Dermatol.* 2017;176:979–84. [PubMed: 27858989]
21. Silverberg JI, Gelfand JM, Margolis DJ, Fonacier L, Boguniewicz M, Schwartz LB, et al. Severity strata for POEM, PO-SCORAD, and DLQI in US adults with atopic dermatitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2018;121:464–468.e3. [PubMed: 30003968]
22. Stalder J-F, Barbarot S, Wollenberg A, Holm EA, De Raev L, Seidenari S, et al. Patient-Oriented SCORAD (PO-SCORAD): a new self-assessment scale in atopic dermatitis validated in Europe. *Allergy.* 2011;66:1114–21. [PubMed: 21414011]
23. Busija L, Ackerman IN, Haas R, Wallis J, Nolte S, Bentley S, et al. Adult Measures of General Health and Health-Related Quality of Life. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2020;72 Suppl 10:522–64.
24. Ware J, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care.* 1996;34:220–33. [PubMed: 8628042]
25. Jenkinson C, Layte R, Jenkinson D, Lawrence K, Petersen S, Paice C, et al. A shorter form health survey: can the SF-12 replicate results from the SF-36 in longitudinal studies? *J Public Health Med.* 1997;19:179–86. [PubMed: 9243433]
26. Gandek B, Ware JE, Aaronson NK, Apolone G, Bjorner JB, Brazier JE, et al. Cross-validation of item selection and scoring for the SF-12 Health Survey in nine countries: results from the IQOLA Project. International Quality of Life Assessment. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1998;51:1171–8. [PubMed: 9817135]
27. Hinkle D, Wiersma W, Jurs S. *Applied Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences.* 5th edition. Boston: Houghton Mifflin; 2003.
28. The GfK Group Project Report for the Atopic Dermatitis in America Study. GfK; 2017.
29. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet Lond Engl.* 2007;370:1453–7.
30. He Z, Lu C, Basra MKA, Ou A, Yan Y, Li L. Psychometric properties of the Chinese version of Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) in 851 Chinese patients with psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV.* 2013;27:109–15. [PubMed: 22145712]
31. Hahn HB, Melfi CA, Chuang TY, Lewis CW, Gonin R, Hanna MP, et al. Use of the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) in a midwestern US urban clinic. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2001;45:44–8. [PubMed: 11423833]
32. Mazzotti E, Barbaranelli C, Picardi A, Abeni D, Pasquini P. Psychometric properties of the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) in 900 Italian patients with psoriasis. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 2005;85:409–13. [PubMed: 16159732]
33. Terwee CB, Prinsen C a. C, Chiarotto A, Westerman MJ, Patrick DL, Alonso J, et al. COSMIN methodology for evaluating the content validity of patient-reported outcome measures: a Delphi study. *Qual Life Res Int J Qual Life Asp Treat Care Rehabil.* 2018;27:1159–70.

34. Langenbruch A, Radtke MA, Gutknecht M, Augustin M. Does the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) underestimate the disease-specific burden of psoriasis patients? *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV*. 2018;

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Subject characteristics (n=764)

Subject characteristics	
Female, %	58.1
Age, median (IQR)	41 (30–56)
Topical Steroid Use, %	
None	32.1
Rarely	15.8
Few times per week	17.7
Daily	32.1
Not sure/Refused	2.3
History of Systemic Medication Use, %	
Yes	8.7
No	79.6
Not sure/Refused	11.7
History Oral Steroid Use, %	
Yes	17.8
No	71.5
Not sure/Refused	10.7
Visits to Healthcare Provider Past 12 Months, %	
None	57.3
1	14.6
2–5	13.6
>5	4.1
Not sure/Refused	10.4
“Not relevant” responses, median (IQR)	1 (0–3)
None	44.8
1	20.9
2	9.7
3	6.7
4 or more	17.9
DLQI, median (IQR)	2 (1–6)
DLQI, mean (SD)	3.8 (5.3)
DLQI-R, median (IQR)	2.2 (1–7)
DLQI-R, mean (SD)	4.4 (5.6)
PO-SCORAD, median (IQR)	24 (14–34)
PO-SCORAD, mean (SD)	24.9 (15.8)
POEM, median (IQR)	5 (2–10)
POEM, mean (SD)	6.8 (6.2)
SF-12 PHS, median (IQR), n=763	53.4 (52.0–54.7)

Subject characteristics	
SF-12 PHS, mean (SD), n=763	53.0 (2.3)
SF-12 MHS, median (IQR), n=763	47.5 (40.2–55.4)
SF-12 MHS, mean (SD), n=763	46.9 (9.7)

DLQI: Dermatology Life Quality Index; POEM: Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure; PO-SCORAD: Patient-Oriented SCORAD; SF-12: Short-Form-12; PHS: Physical Health Score; MHS: Mental Health Score; IQR: Interquartile range. These characteristics reflect the weighted sample.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2:

Comparison of DLQI scores, POEM scores, PO-SCORAD, and SF-12 scores between those who responded ‘not at all’ and ‘not relevant’ for each DLQI item.

DLQI Item	Subject	Response	DLQI		POEM		PO-SCORAD		SF-12 Physical Health Score		SF-12 Mental Health Score	
			Median (IQR)	Difference (95% CI)	Median (IQR)	Difference (95% CI)	Median (IQR)	Difference (95% CI)	Median (IQR)	Difference (95% CI)	Median (IQR)	Difference (95% CI)
3	Daily routines	“Not at all”	2 (1–3)	-1 (-1.2 to -0.8) ***	5 (2–10)	-2 (-3.2 to -0.7) **	23 (13–32)	-2.5 (-5.2 to 0.2)	53.6 (52.2–54.8)	-0.1 (-0.5 to 0.3)	49.4 (43.1–57.1)	-0.3 (-2.3 to 1.8)
		“Not relevant”	1 (0–2)		3 (0–7)		20 (11–28)		53.5 (52.6–54.7)		47.8 (40.2–55.9)	
4	Clothing	“Not at all”	1 (1–2)	0 (-0.1 to 0.1)	4 (2–8)	-2 (-3.2 to -0.8) ***	20 (12–30)	-1.8 (-5.2 to 1.6)	53.7 (52.3–54.8)	-0.3 (-0.7 to 0.2)	49.3 (43.1–57.2)	-0.4 (-3.3 to 2.5)
		“Not relevant”	1 (0–2)		2 (0–7)		19 (10–27)		53.3 (52.4–54.5)		49.2 (42.6–55.9)	
5	Social and leisure	“Not at all”	1 (1–2)	0 (-0.3 to 0.3)	4 (2–8)	-1 (-2.3 to 0.3)	20 (12–29)	-1.6 (-4.7 to 1.5)	53.7 (52.3–54.8)	-0.3 (-0.7 to 0.1)	49.6 (43.1–57.1)	-1.6 (-4.1 to 0.8)
		“Not relevant”	1 (0–2)		2 (0–7)		19 (10–26)		53.4 (52.6–54.5)		47.8 (41.6–55.5)	
6	Sport	“Not at all”	1 (1–2)	0 (-0.3 to 0.3)	4 (1–8)	1 (0.0 to 2.0) *	19 (10–28)	3.3 (0.6 to 6.0) *	53.9 (52.5–54.8)	-1.0 (-1.4 to -0.6) ***	51.0 (43.8–57.5)	-4.5 (-6.7 to -2.3) ***
		“Not relevant”	1 (0–4)		5 (1–10)		23 (14–32)		52.9 (51.5–54.1)		46.6 (38.3–53.7)	
7	Work	“Not at all”	1 (1–2)	1 (0.9 to 1.1) ***	4 (1–8)	0 (-0.9 to 0.9)	19 (9–28)	3.0 (-0.1 to 6.1)	53.9 (52.4–54.8)	-0.8 (-1.2 to -0.3) ***	51.3 (44.8–57.2)	-5.5 (-7.8 to -3.2) ***
		“Not relevant”	2 (1–5)		5 (1–10)		25 (14–35)		52.9 (51.6–54.1)		44.2 (38.1–50.2)	
8	Personal relationships	“Not at all”	1 (1–3)	0 (-0.4 to 0.4)	4 (2–9)	0 (-1.3 to 1.3)	21 (12–30)	-0.2 (-3.1 to 2.7)	53.7 (52.3–54.8)	-0.5 (-0.9 to -0.1) *	49.3 (43.1–57.2)	-4.2 (-6.6 to -1.8) ***
		“Not relevant”	1 (0–2)		4 (0–7)		22 (13–29)		53.1 (52.0–53.9)		46.6 (40.0–53.8)	
9	Sexual relationships	“Not at all”	1 (1–3)	0 (-0.4 to 0.4)	4 (2–9)	1 (-0.2 to 2.2)	20 (12–30)	1.1 (-1.5 to 3.7)	53.7 (52.4–54.9)	-0.8 (-1.2 to -0.4) ***	49.6 (43.0–57.2)	-3.3 (-5.5 to -1.2) **
		“Not relevant”	1 (1–4)		4 (1–10)		22 (13–32)		53.1 (51.6–54.1)		46.6 (39.8–53.8)	
10	Treatment	“Not at all”	1 (1–2)	0 (-0.4 to 0.4)	4(2–8)	-2 (-3.2 to -0.8) **	20 (11–29)	-2.1 (-5.2 to 1.0)	53.7 (52.3–54.8)	-0.4 (-0.9 to 0.1)	49.4 (43.0–57.1)	-4.2 (-6.7 to -1.6) ***
		“Not relevant”	1 (0–2)		2 (0–7)		20 (13–28)		53.3 (52.0–54.1)		46.6 (41.6–55.4)	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

DLQI: Dermatology Life Quality Index; POEM: Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure; PO-SCORAD: Patient-Oriented SCORAD; SF-12: Short-Form-12

Statistically significant results are **bolded**.

*
p<0.05,

**
p<0.01,

p<0.001

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3:

Frequency of ‘not relevant’ responses by patient sociodemographic characteristics

	%	Percentage responding ‘not relevant’								Percentage with one or more NRRs	NRRs, mean
		3: Shopping, Home or Garden	4: Clothes	5: Social or Leisure	6: Sport	7: Work or Study	8: Partner, Friends, and Relatives	9: Sexual difficulties	10: Treatment		
Sex, chi2		0.774	0.123	0.961	0.186	0.286	0.423	0.258	0.167	0.253	
Female	58%	30%	15%	17%	35%	29%	19%	30%	15%	57%	1.6
Male	42%	31%	20%	17%	29%	24%	22%	25%	20%	52%	1.6
Race-Ethnicity, chi2		0.341	0.171	0.540	0.009	0.389	0.298	0.594	0.298	0.139	
White	57%	33%	19%	19%	39%	29%	22%	28%	18%	60%	1.8
Black	14%	25%	17%	15%	24%	19%	16%	30%	12%	44%	1.4
Hispanic	19%	25%	11%	14%	23%	27%	22%	29%	22%	50%	1.5
Other	7%	32%	9%	15%	25%	21%	9%	17%	9%	53%	1.2
2+ Races, non-Hispanic	2%	40%	24%	26%	35%	27%	22%	30%	24%	58%	2.0
Education, chi2		0.035	0.049	0.155	0.198	0.116	0.241	0.046	0.304	0.146	
Less than high school degree	16%	19%	7%	9%	29%	36%	16%	25%	13%	52%	1.2
High school degree	23%	26%	19%	18%	37%	30%	20%	32%	17%	55%	1.7
Some college degree	30%	36%	21%	20%	36%	23%	25%	34%	22%	63%	1.9
Bachelor’s degree or higher	31%	35%	17%	19%	27%	23%	17%	20%	15%	50%	1.5
Income, chi2		0.958	0.776	0.695	0.012	0.001	0.011	0.001	0.212	0.270	
<\$25,000	21%	21%	18%	19%	32%	39%	31%	37%	22%	59%	1.9
25,000–49,999	20%	20%	20%	19%	42%	33%	21%	38%	20%	62%	1.9
50,000–74,999	19%	19%	18%	19%	38%	19%	19%	24%	20%	51%	1.7
75,000–99,999	13%	13%	14%	16%	24%	28%	19%	22%	13%	47%	1.4
>100,000	27%	27%	15%	14%	25%	18%	13%	18%	12%	54%	1.3
Employment, chi2		0.594	0.975	0.949	0.016	0.000	0.385	0.045	0.973	0.314	
Working	64%	31%	17%	17%	28%	23%	19%	25%	17%	53%	1.5
Disabled	9%	25%	16%	15%	47%	48%	25%	34%	19%	64%	1.8
Not currently working	14%	35%	17%	18%	39%	24%	18%	28%	16%	61%	1.7

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

	%	Percentage responding 'not relevant'								Percentage with one or more NRRs	NRRs, mean
		3: Shopping, Home or Garden	4: Clothes	5: Social or Leisure	6: Sport	7: Work or Study	8: Partner, Friends, and Relatives	9: Sexual difficulties	10: Treatment		
Retired	13%	27%	18%	19%	36%	36%	26%	39%	18%	55%	1.8
Marital Status, chi2		0.166	0.769	0.621	0.914	0.194	0.000	0.000	0.057	0.001	
Married/ Living Together	62%	31%	18%	18%	32%	25%	14%	18%	14%	50%	1.5
Never Married	20%	36%	15%	16%	32%	24%	33%	47%	25%	72%	2.0
Widowed/ Divorced	18%	24%	16%	15%	34%	34%	26%	39%	19%	54%	1.7

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 4.

Association of patient sociodemographic characteristics with ‘not relevant’ responses

	Odds ratio for having at least one NRR, aOR, (95% CI)	Incidence rate ratio for NRRs, aIRR (95% CI)
Sex		
Male	[Reference]	[Reference]
Female	1.23 (0.84 – 1.80)	0.98 (0.79 – 1.22)
Race-Ethnicity		
White	[Reference]	[Reference]
Black	0.40 (0.21 – 0.76)	0.70 (0.45 – 1.11)
Hispanic	0.49 (0.30 – 0.82)	0.71 (0.53 – 0.96)
Other	1.14 (0.51 – 2.56)	0.79 (0.50 – 1.24)
2+ Races, non-Hispanic	0.74 (0.34 – 1.61)	0.93 (0.63 – 1.38)
Education		
Less than high school degree	[Reference]	[Reference]
High school degree	0.87 (0.40 – 1.86)	1.25 (0.85 – 1.85)
Some college degree	1.54 (0.71 – 3.32)	1.81 (1.23 – 2.66)
Bachelor’s degree or higher	0.79 (0.35 – 1.76)	1.46 (0.97 – 2.20)
Income		
<\$25,000	[Reference]	[Reference]
25,000–49,999	1.14 (0.63 – 2.06)	0.81(0.61 – 1.09)
50,000–74,999	0.60 (0.31 – 1.15)	0.67 (0.48 – 0.93)
75,000–99,999	0.61 (0.30 – 1.27)	0.61 (0.41 – 0.91)
>100,000	0.78 (0.39 – 1.55)	0.50 (0.35 – 0.73)
Employment		
Working	[Reference]	[Reference]
Disabled	1.35 (0.60 – 3.03)	1.12(0.78 – 1.60)
Not currently working	1.22 (0.67 – 2.23)	1.03 (0.76 – 1.39)
Retired	0.90 (0.47 – 1.72)	1.08 (0.77 – 1.51)
Marital Status		
Married/Living Together	[Reference]	[Reference]
Never Married	3.15 (1.74 – 5.72)	1.38 (1.02 – 1.87)
Widowed/Divorced	1.39 (0.85 – 2.27)	1.19 (0.92 – 1.54)
Age	1.00 (0.98 – 1.02)	1.00 (0.99 – 1.01)
DLQI	0.87 (0.82 – 0.92)	0.88 (0.84 – 0.91)
PO-SCORAD	1.02 (1.00 – 1.03)	1.00 (0.99 – 1.01)

aOR: adjusted odds ratio; aIRR: adjusted incidence rate ratio; DLQI: Dermatology Life Quality Index; PO-SCORAD: Patient-Oriented SCORAD; NRR: Not relevant response

Statistically significant results are **bolded**. For the logistic regression model, the Hosmer and Lemeshow’s goodness-of-fit test chi-square is 3.00 ($p>0.9340$), suggesting a good model fit. For the negative binomial regression model, the dispersion parameter, alpha, is 1.06 (95% CI 0.87–1.30), suggesting that the data are overdispersed and a negative binomial regression is appropriate.

Table 5:

Correlation between DLQI, DLQI-R and POEM, PO-SCORAD, and SF-12 scores

	DLQI	DLQI-R
Pearson's Rho, POEM	0.616	0.620
Steiger's Z, p-value	0.3746	
Pearson's Rho, PO-SCORAD	0.700	0.700
Steiger's Z, p-value	0.8962	
Pearson's Rho, SF-12 Physical Health Score	-0.073	-0.088
Steiger's Z, p-value	0.0176	
Pearson's Rho, SF-12 Mental Health Score	-0.415	-0.436
Steiger's Z, p-value	0.0002	

DLQI: Dermatology Life Quality Index; POEM: Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure; PO-SCORAD: Patient-Oriented SCORAD; SF-12: Short-Form-12