

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Estuaries Coast.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 July 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Estuaries Coast.* 2021 July ; 44(5): 1320–1343. doi:10.1007/s12237-020-00867-1.

Blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) population structure in southern New England tidal rivers: Patterns of shallow-water, unvegetated habitat use and quality

David L. Taylor^{*}, Molly M. Fehon

Roger Williams University, Department of Marine Biology, One Old Ferry Road, Bristol, RI 02809, USA

Abstract

The blue crab, Callinectes sapidus, has a broad geographic distribution encompassing coastal waters of the eastern United States and Gulf of Mexico, but intraspecific patterns of habitat use and quality are lacking at northern latitudes. This study examined the population structure of blue crabs in the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers (Rhode Island and Massachusetts, USA): two tidally influenced rivers contiguous with the Narragansett Bay Estuary and dominated by shallow-water, unvegetated habitats. Crabs were collected fortnightly from May through August (2012-2016), and abundance- and growth-based metrics were used to assess riverine habitat use and quality. These metrics were also analyzed with respect to crab life history traits and *in situ* abiotic conditions to elucidate patterns of habitat selection throughout ontogeny. Crabs measuring 8 to 185 mm carapace width (CW; n = 2,577) were collected, and two distinct age-classes occupied the rivers during the spring and summer (maximum abundance ~ 5 crabs/10 m²). The younger age-0+ cohort was numerically dominant (~ 88% of total catch) and comprised of male and juvenile female crabs $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SD abundance} = 0.28 \pm 0.26 \text{ males}/10 \text{ m}^2 \text{ and } 0.14 \pm 0.12 \text{ juvenile females}/10 \text{ m}^2)$. Males accounted for the majority of age-1+ crabs (~ 83% of cohort), yet sexually mature females were also observed (9% of cohort; mean \pm SD abundance = 0.04 ± 0.06 adult females/100 m²; size at 50% maturity \pm 95 CI = 129.0 \pm 0.2 mm CW). Crabs were spatially segregated along a salinity gradient with males and juvenile females prevalent in oligonaline waters (upper river salinity ~ 5 ppt) and adult females mainly concentrating in higher salinity areas (mid- and lower river salinity ~ 11-21 ppt). Seasonal and interannual patterns in crab abundance also differed by sex and ontogeny. Peak catches of males and juvenile females occurred during the spring and mid-summer, and annual abundances were positively related to dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations. In contrast, mature females were most abundant during August and years with elevated water temperatures. The absolute and relative growth rates of juvenile crabs equaled 0.9 ± 0.3 mm CW/day and 1.5 ± 0.6 % CW/day, respectively, and were directly related to DO levels. A synoptic examination of crab abundance and growth across a broad geographic range indicated that

 $Terms \ of \ use \ and \ reuse: \ academic \ research \ for \ non-commercial \ purposes, \ see \ here \ for \ full \ terms. \ http://www.springer.com/gb/open-access/authors-rights/aam-terms-v1$

^{*} corresponding author: Telephone: (401) 254-3759, Fax: (401) 254-3310, dtaylor@rwu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This Author Accepted Manuscript is a PDF file of a an unedited peer-reviewed manuscript that has been accepted for publication but has not been copyedited or corrected. The official version of record that is published in the journal is kept up to date and so may therefore differ from this version.

shallow-water, unvegetated habitats presently serve as functional nurseries in southern New England tidal rivers.

Keywords

Blue crab; *Callinectes sapidus*; Abundance; Growth; Maturity; Shallow-water unvegetated nursery habitat; Tidal river; Salinity; Dissolved oxygen

Introduction

Estuaries are composed of mosaics of interconnected habitats that serve as nurseries for juvenile fishes and invertebrates (Peterson 2003; Sheaves 2009; Fulford et al. 2011). The nursery value of discrete habitat types within an estuarine complex is variable, however, and depends on their respective quality and availability. The overall functioning and quality of a nursery is determined by each habitat's relative contribution of recruits to the adult population (Beck et al. 2001), and formal conceptualizations of nursery habitats have been proposed and empirically examined (Lefcheck et al. 2019 and references therein). There is a general consensus that survival and recruitment success of estuarine fauna are closely associated with rates of somatic growth and development during early ontogeny (Anderson 1988), and the abundance of juvenile fishes and invertebrates is higher in nursery habitats that optimize intraspecific growth performance (Heck et al. 2003; Minello et al. 2003; Sheridan and Hays 2003; Igulu et al. 2014). Accordingly, the functional value of nursery habitats is quantifiable through direct estimates of juvenile growth and abundance, operating on the premise that high quality nurseries are those in which these metrics are maximized (Beck et al. 2001; Lefcheck et al. 2019).

Previous studies that used abundance- and growth-based metrics to evaluate nursery value indicate that structurally complex habitats in coastal environments, e.g., seagrass beds, salt marshes, mangroves, and biogenic reefs, are critical nurseries for juvenile fishes and invertebrates (Nagelkerken et al. 2000; Heck et al. 2003; Minello et al. 2003; McDevitt-Irwin et al. 2016). These specific habitat types are spatially limited, however (Orth and van Montfrans 1990; Lefcheck et al. 2019), and have further experienced significant declines due to anthropogenic insults (Lotze et al. 2006; Waycott et al. 2009; Polidoro et al. 2010; Ganju et al. 2017; Watson et al. 2017). Shallow-water, unvegetated habitats, in contrast, are spatially and temporally ubiquitous (Rakocinski et al. 2003; Lipcius et al. 2005), and mounting evidence suggests this habitat type functions as a concurrent or alternative nursery for estuarine fauna (Rozas and Hackney 1984; Ruiz et al. 1993; Dittel et al. 1995; Hines and Ruiz 1995; James et al. 2019). Further, oligo-mesohaline estuarine environments, which are typically shallow, non-structured habitats, are particularly valued because of their optimal physicochemical conditions (Ross 2003), reduced predator densities (Currin et al. 1984; Miller et al. 1985; Posey et al. 2005), and abundant prey resources (Burke 1995; Seitz et al. 2005; Taylor and Gervasi 2017), which collectively increase the growth, condition, and survival of juveniles (Ross 2003; Lipcius et al. 2005; Seitz et al. 2005). It is also noteworthy that evaluating nursery quality for singular habitat units is problematic for mobile fauna that undergo ontogenetic shifts in habitat use (Gillanders et al. 2003). As such, compiling data on

the functional significance of multiple habitat types, i.e., "seascape nursery concept", is necessary for transient species across their biogeographic ranges (Nagelkerken et al. 2015).

The blue crab, Callinectes sapidus Rathbun (Family Portunidae), is a decapod crustacean with a broad distribution extending from Cape Cod (Massachusetts, MA, USA) to Argentina (Millikin and Williams 1984; Johnson 2015). Along the eastern and southern United States, blue crabs are primarily concentrated in the Middle and South Atlantic Bight (Delaware to Florida) and Gulf of Mexico, and their complex life history has been extensively studied in these geographic regions (Kennedy and Cronin 2007 and references therein). The blue crab life cycle encompasses numerous larval (zoeae), post-larval (megalopae), and juvenile phases, punctuated by an abrupt transition to adults (Millikin and Williams 1984). Throughout this complex life cycle, patterns of habitat use vary by sex and ontogenetic stage. For example, after mating in low-salinity waters, adult female crabs migrate to the polyhaline zones of lower estuaries to produce and incubate eggs prior to spawning (Aguilar et al. 2005). Subsequent to spawning, planktonic larvae are advected offshore to the continental shelf (Epifanio 2007), after which early-stage crabs in the megalopal stage return inshore and ingress into estuaries (Epifanio 2007; Lipcius et al. 2007). Fine-scale habitat selection, i.e., dispersion into shallow-water nurseries, occurs thereafter by directed movements of metamorphosed, juvenile crabs (initially 3 mm carapace width, CW) (Lipcius et al. 2007). Juvenile crabs utilize a diverse array of nurseries throughout ontogeny, including initial residency in structurally complex habitats for individuals < 12 to 25 mm CW (Sogard and Able 1991; Heck et al. 2003; Shervette et al. 2011), followed by juveniles > 25 mm CW migrating to secondary unvegetated nurseries that are low-salinity, soft-bottom habitats (Lipcius et al. 2005; Posey et al. 2005). Blue crabs remain in secondary nurseries until attaining sexual maturity at ~ 100-200 mm CW (age-at-maturity and longevity ~ 1-2 years and 3 years, respectively, depending on phenology and geographic location) (Hines 2007). Mating commences immediately after the female pubertal-terminal molt (Jivoff et al. 2007), after which females undergo the directional reproductive migration described above, and adult male crabs remain dispersed in upper estuarine habitats (Hines 2007).

In contrast to the Middle-South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico, there is a paucity of bioecological information on blue crabs at higher latitudes. Research focused on crab populations at the northern extent of their geographic range is warranted, however, given the species' ecological and economic significance (Hines 2007; Kennedy et al. 2007). The objective of this investigation was to examine the population structure of blue crabs collected from the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers (Rhode Island, RI, and MA, USA): two tidally influenced rivers contiguous with the Narragansett Bay Estuary and dominated by shallow, unvegetated habitats. This report is an extension of ongoing efforts to evaluate the role of tidal rivers as juvenile nursery habitat in southern New England (Taylor et al. 2016; Taylor and Gervasi 2017). Moreover, unvegetated, soft-substrate habitats have been previously ascribed as critical nurseries for juvenile blue crabs in southerly locations, but it is unknown if this habitat type maintains the same functional importance at the northern latitudes. The current study, therefore, sought to: (1) measure the abundance, size-structure, and growth of blue crabs in the tidal rivers and use these metrics to assess habitat use and quality; (2) analyze abundance- and growth-based metrics relative to crab life history traits, e.g., sex, maturity, and ontogeny, and *in situ* abiotic factors to elucidate patterns of habitat

selection; and (3) perform a synoptic examination of blue crab abundance and growth across a broad geographic range to ascertain the functional significance of southern New England tidal rivers as juvenile nurseries.

Methods

Study Area and Field Sampling

The Narragansett Bay is a southern New England estuary that adjoins RI Sound at its mouth and extends northward into RI and MA (Fig. 1). The geographically complex system is comprised of sub-estuaries, bays, and coves and serves as a drainage basin for several tidally influenced rivers. Two tidal rivers, the Seekonk River (SR) and Taunton River (TR), were the subject of this investigation (Fig. 1). The SR and TR are located in the northwest and northeast portions of the main estuary with total areas equal to 2.7 and 10.2 km², respectively, and the mean depth of each river is ~ 1.3 m (maximum depths in narrow channels ~ 3-4 m). The tidal rivers have salinities ranging from < 2 to 28 ppt in upper and lower reaches, respectively, and annual water temperatures range from 2 to 29 °C (Kremer and Nixon 1978; Taylor and Gervasi 2017). The rivers are further characterized by fine-grain substrates with high organic content (% silt-clay content ~ 48%; total organic content ~ 2-3% dry weight; Taylor et al. 2016) and the waters are prone to episodic hypoxia during the summer (Deacutis et al. 2006; Desbonnet and Costa-Pierce 2008; this study). The riverine habitats are unvegetated with only limited occurrences of terrestrially derived plant material in the upper- and mid-reaches and unattached macroalgae, e.g., Ulva spp., in the lower portions. The rivers are also intermittently bordered by fringing salt marshes comprised of Spartina alterniflora and S. patens (total salt marsh area in SR and TR = 0.02 and 0.36 km². respectively; NBEP 2017). For a more detailed description of marsh characteristics in the SR and TR, including spatial coverage, refer to NBEP (2017).

Blue crabs were surveyed in the SR and TR from May through August over a 5-year period (2012-2016). Three fixed sites per river (SR1-3 and TR1-3; Fig. 1) were sampled fortnightly with a 15×1.8 m beach-seine set (0.64 cm mesh size and 0.48 cm bunt), and previous research indicates catches from seine nets are representative of portunid crab populations (Bellchambers and De Lestang 2005). A fixed-site sampling design was chosen for this study to ensure sampling occurred across a salinity gradient, which was further constrained by the number of sites accessible to boats and seining gear. Moreover, a key objective of this research was to assess temporal patterns in crab abundance and size-structure, including growth, which is optimally achieved through a fixed-site design (Quist et al. 2006; Boone et al. 2019). Consequently, statistical comparisons between the SR and TR described hereafter are limited to the 3 fixed sites per river and do not necessarily reflect conditions throughout the entirety of each riverine system. Sampling occurred during daylight (~ 0800-1400; ± 2 hr of low tide), and sites were accessed by boat, after which seines were deployed by unfurling the net by hand and subsequently pulling the gear to shore (1 haul per site per date; water depth sampled ~ 0-1.5 m). The area swept by each seine effort was recorded and varied due to tidal stage and beach profiles (mean ~ 850 m^2 ; range = 243 to 1774 m²). Crabs collected during field sampling were immediately preserved in 95% ethanol for subsequent laboratory analyses. Surface water temperature (°C), salinity (%o), and dissolved oxygen (mg/L) were

also measured at each site per date with YSI meters (YSI Incorporated, 1700/1725 Brannum Lane Yellow Springs, OH). Further, substrate characteristics at sites SRI-3 and TR1-3, including sediment grain size and total organic carbon, are reported in Murray et al. (2007) and Taylor et al. (2016).

Blue Crab Abundance and Maturity

In the laboratory, field-collected blue crabs were enumerated, measured to the nearest millimeter for CW, and identified by sex. Life stage (juvenile or adult) was also determined for female crabs by inspection of their external abdomen morphology (Jivoff et al. 2007). Crab enumeration data were converted to abundance estimates using the known area sampled by each seine effort (# crabs/10 m²) and assuming 50% seine efficiency; the latter was reported for seines (0.7 cm mesh size) sampling blue crabs > 25 mm CW in soft substrates (Hines 2007). Specific to a site (SR1-3 and TR1-3) and year (2012-2016), crab abundances estimated from multiple seine efforts were averaged within a month (May-August); hereafter referred to as monthly abundance. Mean differences in crab monthly abundance between rivers were analyzed with a 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) model with river site as a fixed explanatory factor (SR1-3 vs. TR1-3). Within each river, variations in crab abundance were examined as a function of sex (male vs. female), month (May-August), and year (2012-2016) using 3-way ANOVA models. Mean values of abundance across 4 levels of month and 5 levels of year were analyzed with Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (Ryan's Q) multiple comparison tests. Prior to the ANOVA and Ryan's Q tests, abundance data were log(x+1)-transformed to meet assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance. Lastly, stepwise multiple linear regression analyses were used to assess the effects of water temperature (°C), salinity (ppt), and dissolved oxygen (mg/L) on male, juvenile female, and adult female crab abundances. Here, crab abundance and environmental data were expressed as monthly means averaged across years at each site (n = 29), and abundance data were log(x+1)-transformed prior to analysis. The significance level for each response variable's entry and retention into the regression models was set at p < 0.05.

The size at maturity for female blue crabs was determined by calculating the proportion of individuals mature at 1-mm CW intervals and fitting a linearized logistic function to the resulting data:

$$Ln[(1 - P_i)/P_i] = rCW_m - rCw_i \tag{1}$$

where P_i is the proportion of crabs mature at size *i* (mm CW_{*i*}), *r* is the slope of the equation, and CW_{*m*}, is the size at which 50% of females attain sexual maturity. Size at 50% maturity (CW_{*m*}) was calculated as -(*a*/*r*), where *a* is the estimated *y*-intercept parameter of the logistic function (Sumer et al. 2013).

Blue Crab Size-Structure and Growth

Size-frequency distributions were created for blue crabs collected from each seine effort using class intervals of 10 mm CW. From these size-frequency distributions, the modal progression routine of FiSAT II was used to identify distinct cohorts, i.e., age-classes (Food and Agriculture Organization-International Center for Living Aquatic Resources

Management stock assessment tools; Gayanilo et al. 2002). The FiSAT II software uses the Bhattacharya's (1967) method to fit normal components to mode means in the size-frequency distributions. The procedure NORMSEP then refines parameter estimates by using an iterative process of the maximum likelihood concept, which decomposes size-frequency distributions into a series of normal curves that represent each cohort within the data set. Modes were only accepted as distinct cohorts when differentiated by a separation index above a critical value of 2.0 (Gayanilo et al. 2002).

The absolute growth rates of blue crabs (G_A) at each site and year were analyzed by fitting least-squares linear regression models to the mean CW data over time (G_A = slope of linear regression; mm CW/day). Linear regression models were only applied to the numerically dominant cohort at a specific site and year, as identified by FiSAT II (i.e., 1 growth estimate per site per year), and these cohorts were comprised exclusively of male and juvenile female crabs (see below). Relative growth rates for each dominant cohort (G_R ; % CW/day) were calculated as:

$$G_R = \frac{\left[In(CW_F) - In(CW_I)\right]}{T_F - T_I} \tag{2}$$

where, T_F and T_I are the final and initial dates (day of year) when the dominant cohort was observed, and CW_F and CW_I represent the mean body size of crabs on these respective days. This instantaneous rate of growth per unit width minimizes biases associated with sizedependent effects on blue crab growth (Hines 2007), and this approach is valid for organisms with discontinuous growth (e.g., crustaceans) when the evaluation period is sufficiently narrow (Chang et al. 2012), i.e., juvenile crab growth from late May to early August. Mean differences in crab growth rates [G_A , and G_R ; log(x)-transformed] as a function of river (SR1-3 vs. TR1-3) and year (2012-2016) were analyzed using 2-way ANOVA models, as described above, with river site and year as fixed factors. Further, stepwise multiple linear regression models were used to examine the effect of water temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and conspecific abundance (# crabs/10 m²) on crab growth rates, expressed as annual growth rate at each riverine site (n = 29). For this analysis, the explanatory variables included in the regression model were averages calculated over the determined growth period and growth rates were log(x)-transformed.

Geographic Analysis of Blue Crab Abundance and Growth

The abundance and juvenile growth rates of blue crabs from the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers were compared to conspecifics from other geographic locations to evaluate the relative importance of these tidal rivers as nursery habitat. The focal areas were shallow-water (typically < 2 m), unvegetated, soft-bottom habitats previously described as crab nurseries in the Middle Atlantic, South Atlantic, and Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 1). The selected areas were the Great Bay-Little Egg Harbor Estuary, New Jersey (Wilson et al. 1990, Rountree and Able 1992), Delaware Bay Estuary, Delaware (Boutin and Targett 2013), upper and lower Chesapeake Bay, Maryland-Virginia (Hines et al. 1987, Seitz et al. 2003a, 2005, Puckett 2006), Newport River Estuary, New River, and Cape Fear River, North Carolina (Posey et al. 2005, Johnson and Eggleston 2010), Charleston Harbor Estuary, South Carolina (Mense and Wenner 1989), Duplin River, Georgia (Fitz and Wiegert 1992), and estuarine complexes in

Alabama (Tatum 1980, McClintock et al. 1993), Mississippi (Perry 1975), Louisiana (Darnell 1959, Adkins 1972), and Texas (Hammerschmidt 1982, Zimmerman and Minello 1984, Thomas et al. 1990).

One-sample *t*-tests were used to compare annual monthly peak abundances (# crabs/10 m²) and annual growth rates (mm CW/day) of blue crabs from the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers (combined) to southerly locations averaged separately for the Middle Atlantic, South Atlantic, and Gulf of Mexico. Crab abundance data were adjusted to account for differences in sampling gear efficiencies, as reported for beach seines (50% efficiency; Hines 2007; exception = Boutin and Targett 2013 that reported 95% efficiency with replicated seines), suction and drop samplers (88%; Orth and van Montfrans 1987), sweeps (15-25%; Posey et al. 2005, sweep = $-0.138 + 0.294 \times drop$), otter trawls (22%; Homer et al. 1980), and beam trawls (50% across collected decapods; Reiss et al. 2006). Growth rates of juvenile blue crabs were assessed in previous studies using field enclosure experiments (Seitz et al. 2005) and the progression of size-frequency means or modes over time (Darnell 1959, Adkins 1972, Perry 1975, Tatum 1980, Hammerschmidt 1982, Puckett 2006). When previous studies only reported ranges in peak crab abundances or growth rates, the means within the ranges were used for statistical comparisons.

Results

Environmental Conditions

Mean monthly water temperatures were generally consistent between the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers (mean \pm SD = 23.2 \pm 2.9 and 23.9 \pm 3.2 °C, respectively), with moderate variation among sites (range = 17.9-29.5 °C; Tables 1). In contrast, temperatures varied considerably across months, steadily increasing from May through July and declining modestly thereafter (mean May, July, and August temperatures = 20.1 \pm 1.7, 26.2 \pm 1.3, and 25.9 \pm 1.7 °C, respectively; Fig. 2a, b). Mean annual temperatures were also higher in 2016 relative to other years (24.7 \pm 3.5 and 23.3 \pm 2.8 °C, respectively).

Monthly salinity values differed markedly among the Seekonk and Taunton River sites (Tables 1). Specifically, the lower portion of the Taunton River (TR3) was characterized as polyhaline with a mean salinity of 21.1 ± 4.1 ppt. Conversely, the mid- and lower Seekonk River and mid-Taunton River were defined as mesohaline waters (mean SR2, SR3, and TR2 salinity = 9.5 ± 5.3 ppt), whereas the upper reaches of both rivers were consistently oligohaline (mean SR1 and TR1 salinity = 4.7 ± 3.5 ppt). Further, salinities gradually increased throughout the seasonal survey irrespective of river and site (mean May and August salinity = 6.8 ± 5.6 and 15.0 ± 7.0 ppt, respectively; Fig. 2 c, d) and varied annually (range = 5.9 ± 5.7 in 2013 and 12.7 ± 6.9 in 2016).

Dissolved oxygen (DO) levels measured across river sites ranged between 1.9 and 13.3 mg/L, and concentrations were greater at the Seekonk River relative to the Taunton River sites (means = 7.7 ± 2.2 and 6.7 ± 1.8 mg/L, respectively; Tables 1). Mean monthly DO concentrations also differed temporally, decreasing from spring to late summer (mean May and August DO = 8.8 ± 1.4 and 6.6 ± 2.2 mg/L, respectively; Fig. 2e, f) and lower in 2014 relative to other years (5.5 ± 2.3 and 7.7 ± 1.8 mg/L, respectively). Episodic hypoxia (DO <

3.0 mg/L, as defined by Deacutis et al. 2006 for the Narragansett Bay estuarine complex) was also prevalent at river sites, with low DO events occurring in 6.8% of independent measurements (range across sites = 3.6 to 10.7% occurrence). Moreover, hypoxic events occurred between early July and mid-August but were most common in late July (mean and range of day of year of occurrence = 207 ± 11 ; 190-224).

Blue Crab Abundance and Maturity

In this study, 2,577 blue crabs were collected from the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers between 2012 and 2016. Crabs were observed in 95.7% of the seine hauls (161 total hauls), and the occurrence of crabs was similar between rivers (96.3% and 95.0% of 81 and 80 hauls in SR and TR, respectively). However, the mean monthly abundance of crabs was greater at the Seekonk River sites compared to the Taunton River sites (SR1-3 = 0.60 ± 0.72 ; TR1-3 = 0.23 ± 0.32 crabs/10 m²; 1-way ANOVA: $F_{(df)} = 6.18_{(1,100)}$, p = 0.015), although catch differences were inconsistent across sex, months, and years. At the Seekonk River sites, male crabs were more abundant than females, and irrespective of sex, crab catches were highest in 2013 relative to all other years (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 3a). In contrast, crab abundance at the Taunton River sites did not differ by sex, and catches were notably lower in 2014 and 2015 (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 3b).

Blue crab abundances varied spatially and seasonally within each river, and these patterns differed by sex and ontogeny (Table 1; Fig. 4). Irrespective of sex, crab abundances were maximal in the upper reaches of the Seekonk River and were typically highest during mid-summer months (SR1; Fig. 4a; maximum abundance = $4.7 \text{ crabs}/10 \text{ m}^2$). Conversely, in the mid- and lower regions of the Seekonk River, male and juvenile female crabs reached peak abundances in May with numbers declining thereafter, whereas the abundance of adult female crabs continually increased throughout the season (SR2 and SR3; Fig. 4c, e). Crab abundances were highest in the mid-region of the Taunton River (TR2; Table 1; Fig. 4d; maximum abundance = $2.0 \text{ crabs}/10 \text{ m}^2$), followed by the upper and lower reaches, respectively (TR1 and TR3; Fig. 4b, f). Seasonal patterns in crab abundance in the Taunton River were generally consistent with observations from the Seekonk River, i.e., the abundances of male and juvenile female crabs were maximal in spring or mid-summer, and adult female crabs were more prominent in later months (Fig. 4b, d). An exception to this trend occurred in the lower Taunton River, where adult female crabs were more abundant in May (2013 only) and June (Fig. 4f).

Spatial and interannual variations in blue crab abundance were related to several environmental parameters (Table 3; Fig. 5); however, the responsiveness of crabs to these factors differed by sex and life stage. The abundances of male and juvenile female crabs were related to salinity and dissolved oxygen (Fig. 5a–d), and these parameters accounted for 22 to 25% of the total variation in the response variables (Table 3). The estimated coefficients for salinity were negative (slope coefficients = $-5.59E^{-3}$ and $-1.35E^{-3}$ for male and juvenile female, respectively) and positive for dissolved oxygen (slopes = $1.58E^{-2}$ and $1.58E^{-2}$, respectively), indicating that male and juvenile female crab abundances were maximal in low-salinity, highly oxygenated waters. In contrast, the abundance of adult female crabs was greatest in high-salinity, warm waters (Table 3; Fig. 5e, f). Specifically,

salinity and temperature accounted for 28% of spatiotemporal variation in adult female catches, and the coefficients for both explanatory variables were positive (slopes = $1.26E^{-3}$ and $7.27E^{-3}$, respectively) (Table 3).

A total of 955 female blue crabs, ranging from 11 to 172 mm CW, were evaluated for sexual maturity based on their abdomen morphology. The estimated size (\pm 95% CI) at which 50% of the females attained maturity (CW_m) was 129.0 \pm 0.2 mm. The minimum size for an adult female crab was 123 mm CW, and the largest juvenile female was 132 mm CW.

Blue Crab Size-Structure and Growth

A broad size range of blue crabs was collected from the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers (8-185 mm CW; Table 1), and the decomposition of monthly size-frequency distributions revealed a bimodal size-structure and presence of 2 distinct cohorts (Fig. 6). The younger age-class, hereafter referred to as age-0+ (Hines 2007), overwhelmingly dominated total catches (87.9% of catch). The age-0+ cohort was comprised exclusively of male and juvenile female crabs (60.3 and 39.7% of catch, respectively). In late May, the mean body size of age-0+ crabs equaled 31.0 ± 3.5 mm CW (monthly mean averaged across rivers and years; Figs. 6 and 7) with a maximum size of ~ 75 mm CW. The age-0+ cohort persisted in subsequent months with pronounced shifts in their size-frequency distributions over time. Between May and early August, for example, the mean CW of age-0+ crabs increased by 64.5 mm (mean August CW = 95.5 ± 5.8 mm; Figs. 6 and 7), and by late summer these crabs attained a maximum size of ~ 135 mm CW.

Relative to younger blue crabs, the age-1+ cohort exhibited greater spatial and seasonal variations in their occurrence during field sampling (Figs. 6 and 7). During the late spring and early summer, for example, age-1+ crabs were scarce in the upper and mid-regions of the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers, accounting for only 7.6% of the total catch. Conversely, across all months, proportional catches of age-1+ crabs were relatively high in the lower reaches of both rivers (18.4% of catch). Also in comparison to younger crabs, the age-1+ cohort differed by sex and ontogenetic composition. Specifically, a larger percentage of age-1+ crabs were male (83.2% of catch), and adult, sexually mature females accounted for 8.8% of this older age-class.

Age-0+ blue crabs were analyzed for growth performance because of their numerical dominance and monthly persistence in this study (Fig. 7). Further, it was necessary to exclude adult female crabs from growth analyses because these individuals cease molting after reaching sexual maturity (Hines 2007). The absolute growth rate (G_A) of juvenile crabs ranged between 0.5-1.6 mm CW/day (mean = 0.9 ± 0.3 mm CW/day), and relative growth rates (G_R) ranged between 0.5-2.7 % CW/day (mean 1.5 ± 0.6 % CW/day) (Table 1). Both growth measurements differed between rivers (SR1-3 vs. TR1-3) and among years (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 8). Specifically, crabs inhabiting Seekonk River sites had faster G_A and G_R in comparison to conspecifics from Taunton River sites (mean G_A and G_R : SR1-3 = 1.0 ± 0.3 mm CW/day and 1.3 ± 0.6 % CW/day; TR1-3 = 0.8 ± 0.3 mm CW/day and 1.3 ± 0.6 % CW/day). Crabs in 2012 also experienced higher rates of G_A and G_R relative to other years (mean 2012 and 2013-2016 $G_A = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ and 0.8 ± 0.2 mm CW/day; mean 2012 and 2014-2015 $G_R = 2.0 \pm 0.4$ and 1.1 ± 0.5 % CW/day). Finally, there was a positive

relationship between crab growth and site-specific DO concentrations (slope coefficients = 0.045 and 0.058), and this explanatory variable accounted for ~ 19% and 16% of the variation in G_A and G_B , respectively (Table 3; Fig. 9).

Geographic Analysis of Blue Crab Abundance and Growth

The annual monthly peak abundance of blue crabs in the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers (SR1-3 and TR1-3 = $2.6 \pm 1.8 \text{ crabs/10 m}^2$; range = $0.8-4.7 \text{ crabs/10 m}^2$) was ~ 10 to 39% lower than the abundances of conspecifics from similar habitats in the Middle Atlantic ($2.9 \pm 1.9 \text{ crabs/10 m}^2$; range = $1.0-5.0 \text{ crabs/10 m}^2$), South Atlantic ($3.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ crabs/10 m}^2$; range = $2.1-5.0 \text{ crabs/10 m}^2$), and Gulf of Mexico ($3.6 \pm 4.5 \text{ crabs/10 m}^2$; range = $0.3-10.2 \text{ crabs/10 m}^2$), although these values did not differ statistically at p < 0.05 (Tables 4 and 5). Although blue crabs were collected using different sampling gears among the abovementioned studies, catches were adjusted to account for gear-specific efficiencies (Table 4). Potential methodological biases in abundance estimates were also minimized because of consistencies in sampled water depths (< 2 m; exception = Hines et al. 1987; Lipcius et al. 2005) and bottom composition (unvegetated, mud-sand substrates).

Despite efforts to minimize sampling biases across studies, direct comparisons of abundance values derived from different sampling methodologies should be interpreted with caution. To that end, an additional t-test was performed on abundance data derived exclusively from seine surveys, which included the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers (this study), Great Bay-Little Egg Harbor (Rountree and Able 2002), Delaware Bay Estuary (Boutin and Targett 2013), and southeastern Texas Bays (Hammerschmidt 1982). The seine specifications for these studies were as follows: Great Bay-Little Egg Harbor (net dimension = 18.3×1.2 m; mesh = 0.64 cm; area sampled per haul = 100 m²), Delaware Bay (dimension = 7.6×1.2 m; mesh = 0.5 cm; area = 45.6 m²), and Texas bays (dimension = 18.3×1.8 m; mesh = 1.3-1.9 cm; area = 300-600 m²). The seine mesh diameter used in the Texas survey was greater than meshes reported elsewhere, yet the larger mesh did not ostensibly yield a different size-structure of blue crabs. Hammerschmidt (1982), specifically, documented crab sizes between 6 mm and > 126 mm CW, and crabs measuring 6 to 30 mm CW constituted 38% of the total catch. For comparison, in this study, crabs of 6 to 30 mm CW comprised 25% of the catch. Furthermore, the seine net length in the Delaware survey was considerably shorter than those used in other studies, and smaller seines have reportedly higher catch efficiencies (Steele et al. 2006). Note, however, that crab abundances in Boutin and Targett (2013) were adjusted using 95% efficiency (as opposed to 50%), given that catches were from two immediately replicated seine hauls and this correction factor was verified in their study. Exclusively for the seine survey comparisons, the annual abundance of blue crabs in the Seekonk and Taunton River was ~ 10% greater than values combined for the Middle Atlantic and Gulf coasts ($2.0 \pm 2.4 \text{ crabs}/10 \text{ m}^2$; range = $0.3-4.8 \text{ crabs}/10 \text{ m}^2$), but this difference was not statistically significant (Table 5).

Mean annual growth rates of juvenile blue crabs from the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers (SR1-3 and TR1-3 = 0.9 ± 0.1 mm CW/day; range = 0.8-1.1 mm CW/day) exceeded estimates reported in the Middle Atlantic (0.7 ± 0.3 mm CW/day; range = 0.5-0.9 mm CW/day) and Gulf of Mexico (0.6 ± 0.2 mm CW/day; range = 0.4-0.8 mm CW/day) (Tables 4

and 5). Moreover, there were no apparent differences in juvenile growth rates estimated in previous studies that implemented field enclosure experiments versus size-frequency analysis (ranges = 0.3-0.7 and 0.2-1.1 mm CW/day, respectively; Table 4).

Discussion

Blue Crab Abundance and Factors Affecting Habitat Use and Selection

To the knowledge of the authors, this study represents the first detailed description of blue crab population structure at northern latitudes, with a focus on southern New England tidal rivers. Here, blue crabs occupied several unvegetated, shallow-water sites throughout the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers, demonstrating a tolerance to a wide range of physicochemical conditions. From May through August over a 5-year period, crabs were collected in > 95% of the seine hauls conducted in the rivers at temperatures ranging from 18 to 30 °C, salinities from < 1 to 28 ppt, and hypoxic to normoxic conditions (1.9-13.3 mg DO/L). Riverine crabs are therefore aptly characterized as habitat generalists, especially with respect to their euryhaline designation during post-larval stages (Millikin and Williams 1984; Pequeux 1995).

Despite the evidence for broad habitat usage, blue crabs were spatially segregated along a salinity gradient in accordance to sex and ontogenetic life stage. Male and juvenile female crabs preferentially occupied upriver oligohaline waters, whereas adult females were more frequent at the meso- and polyhaline segments of the rivers. These spatially explicit abundance patterns correspond to the current paradigm of blue crab habitat use in other geographic locations (Kennedy and Cronin 2007; Bromilow and Lipcius 2017). Following a planktonic larval phase in coastal waters, megalopal blue crabs recruit into estuaries and settle in structurally complex habitats that confer a predator refuge for individuals < 12 to 25 mm CW (Posey et al. 2005 and references therein). After reaching ~ 25 mm CW, juvenile crabs then disperse to secondary unvegetated, i.e., non-structured, nurseries that are lowsalinity, shallow-water habitats with mud-sand substrates (Lipcius et al. 2005; Posey et al. 2005; Seitz et al. 2005; Bromilow and Lipcius 2017; this study). In this study, peak abundances of age-0+ crabs in the mid- and lower reaches of the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers occurred in May, whereas crab densities were greatest in the upper portion of the rivers during June and July. This seasonal pattern in abundance may reflect the progressive movement of juvenile crabs upriver along a salinity gradient, eventually settling in oligohaline, unvegetated nurseries by mid-summer (Rountree and Able 1992).

Several mechanisms may explain the ontogenetic change in blue crab habitat use through sequential juvenile stages (i.e., migration from structured to non-structured nurseries). The predator refuge provided by structured nurseries is lost when juvenile crabs increase in size, such that protective interstices of the complex habitat become obsolete (Bromilow and Lipcius 2017). Larger juveniles that disperse into secondary nurseries may also benefit from reduced predation pressure in oligohaline waters owing to fewer predators and decreased size-dependent cannibalism (Moksnes et al. 1997; Reyns and Eggleston 2004; Lipcius et al. 2005). Moreover, relative to seagrass and high-salinity unvegetated habitats, juvenile crab growth rates are higher in oligohaline, soft-bottom environments due to the exploitation of ample prey resources (Seitz et al. 2003a; Seitz et al. 2005). Maximal abundances of age-0+

crabs in this study occurred in the upper Seekonk River, a site characterized as low-salinity with fine-grain, organically enriched substrates (% silt-clay content ~ 44%; total organic content ~ 6 % dry weight; Murray et al. 2007; Taylor et al. 2016). Sediments rich in organic matter in the Narragansett Bay estuarine complex support high densities of benthic infauna and epifauna (Rudnick et al. 1985; Calabretta and Oviatt 2008), including important prey for blue crabs, e.g., bivalves, polychaetes, amphipods, and shrimp (Lipcius et al. 2007). It is also noteworthy that peak catches of age-0+ crabs at the 6 tidal river sites occurred in 2013, corresponding to the lowest annual salinity. Prior investigations purport that elevated freshwater discharge into estuaries, and thus reduced salinities, correspond to increased blue crab post-larval survival and abundance (Posey et al. 2005; Guillory 2000; Bishop et al. 2010; Sanchez-Rubio et al. 2011), fishery landings (More 1969; Wilber 1994), and decreased prevalence of disease-induced mortality (Lee and Frischer 2004).

Blue crabs often remain in secondary unvegetated nurseries until reaching sexual maturity, after which mating occurs in estuarine basins and river mouths coinciding with the female terminal molt (Hines et al. 1987; Jivoff et al. 2017). Specific to this study, female crabs achieved sexual maturity at ~ 129 mm CW, which is consistent with previous reports (Jivoff et al. 2007). Further, the majority of adult female crabs and sexually mature male crabs (107 mm CW; Jivoff et al. 2017) occupied the lower Seekonk and Taunton Rivers, in close proximity to riverine mouths. Mating was apparent during July and August when > 75% of the mature crabs were collected and several occurrences of pre-copulatory embraces were observed (D. Taylor, *personal observation*). Although field sampling concluded in August, it is probable that mating continued into the early fall, similar to the reproductive behavior of crabs in the mid-Atlantic region (Tankersley et al. 1998; Medici et al. 2006).

Spatial and annual variations in blue crab habitat use in the tidal rivers were related to dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations. First, crab abundances were reduced at the Taunton River sites, and DO concentrations at these sampling locations were -15% lower compared to the Seekonk River sites. Second, cumulative crab abundances at the Taunton River sites declined significantly in 2014, corresponding to the lowest annual DO levels. Third, hypoxia was relatively frequent at all river sites during July (12% of July DO recordings < 3 mg/L), and the abundance of male and juvenile female crabs declined ~ 63 to 114%, respectively, during these oxygen-stressed conditions. It is also notable that environmental parameters assessed in this study were diurnal measurements; hence, DO concentrations reported herein likely overestimate conditions crabs experienced over a complete diel cycle, i.e., lower DO levels are expected at night due to the absence of photosynthetic activity (Deacutis et al. 2006; Saarman et al. 2008). Previous studies report that deficiencies in DO adversely affect numerous estuarine biota and alter faunal assemblages in the affected environments (Diaz et al. 2004; Boutin and Targett 2013). Blue crabs, in particular, are highly sensitive to oxygen stress (Tankersley and Forward 2007; Stover et al. 2013), although recent research contends that blue crabs are more hypoxia-tolerant than previously recognized (Brill et al. 2015). Field survey and telemetry data indicate that DO concentrations < 2-4 mg/L initiate an avoidance response in blue crabs (Phil et al. 1991; Eby and Crowder 2002; Bell et al. 2003a), and exposure to < 1.2 mg/L causes acute mortality in the laboratory (Das and Stickle 1993). This study corroborates the supposition that low DO negatively affects blue crab abundance and growth (see below), but there was no evidence of hypoxia-induced mortality.

Blue Crab Growth and Factors Affecting Habitat Quality

Juvenile blue crab absolute and relative growth rates equaled 0.5-1.6 mm CW/day and 0.5-2.7 % CW/day, respectively, and were significantly higher for crabs at the Seekonk River sites compared to the Taunton River sites. Spatially explicit growth estimates for mobile fauna are arguably confounded by large-scale movements and exchange across select habitats. These concerns are mostly alleviated for blue crabs, however, owing to their high site fidelity during the late spring and summer (Taylor and Calabrese 2018). For example, numerous tagging studies confirmed that juvenile blue crabs (20-85 mm CW) have limited movements (< 100 m) within post-dispersal nurseries during the spring and summer (Fitz and Wiegert 1991; 1992; van Montfrans et al. 1991; Davis et al. 2005; Johnson and Eggleston 2010). Similarly, adult male blue crabs typically remain in residential summer habitats with movements rarely exceeding 10 km (Oesterling and Adams 1982; Hines 2007). Conversely, post-mating females are highly migratory, initiating large-scale directional movements toward saline spawning areas in the late summer and fall (Aguilar et al. 2005; Eggleston et al. 2015). In this study, it is important to reiterate that growth estimates were limited to age-0+ crabs comprised exclusively of juvenile females and males. Therefore, given the seasonality (May-August) and crab life history traits (juvenile and/or male) relevant to the present study, distances between the Seekonk and Taunton River were sufficient to negate crab exchange among sites, therefore enabling a spatially explicit analysis of growth.

Differences in blue crab growth rates between river sites (SR1-3 vs. TR1-3) were partly attributed to spatial variations in DO concentrations. The absolute and relative growth rates of age-0+ crabs from the Taunton River sites were ~ 18 to 28% lower, respectively, than conspecifics from the Seekonk River, and this suboptimal growth performance may be caused by depressed DO levels, as noted above. Biotelemetry and laboratory-exposure studies revealed that moderate hypoxia (< 2-3 mg/L) resulted in suppressed feeding rates and reduced growth in blue crabs (Nestlerode and Diaz 1998; Bell et al. 2003b; Seitz et al. 2003b), the latter quantified by less frequent molts and decreased percent weight gain over time (Das and Stickle 1993). However, a concurrent dietary study in the Seekonk and Taunton Rivers determined that there was no habitat effect on the amount of prey in blue crab stomachs (D. Taylor, *unpublished data*); hence, the ostensive effect of oxygen stress on crab growth was not related to impaired feeding.

Spatial differences in the abundance and availability of food resources may alternatively explain the site-specific growth rates of blue crabs. Although prey densities were not quantified in this investigation, blue crabs in the Seekonk River consumed more bivalves, a dominant food resource that included *Mya arenaria, Modiolus modiolus,* and *Geukensia demissa,* as compared to crabs from the Taunton River (D. Taylor, *unpublished data*). In the York River, Virginia (VA), a Chesapeake Bay tributary, blue crab growth rates were maximal at upriver locations, where densities of clams *Macoma balthica* and *M. arenaria* were highest. Moreover, across a broad range of habitat types, i.e., seagrasses and unvegetated soft-substrates, blue crab growth rates were positively related to the abundance of *M. balthica* (Seitz et al. 2005).

Blue crab growth rates were unrelated to the other abiotic and biotic factors evaluated in this study, including water temperature, salinity, and conspecific abundance. Previous research purports that temperature is a key determinant in crab growth, including the duration of interecdysial periods and body size increases per molt (Cadman and Weinstein 1988; Brylawski and Miller 2006; Cunningham and Darnell 2015). In the present study, insufficient interannual and spatial variation in temperature among riverine sites may have contributed to the absence of a temperature-dependent growth response. Further, the monthly water temperatures reported herein (mean ~ 23 °C; maximum ~ 29 °C) closely correspond to the conditions at which blue crab growth is maximized (23-27 °C; Cadman and Weinstein 1988; Hines et al. 2010), signifying that New England tidal rivers provide an optimal thermal regime during the summer growth period. In contrast to temperature, salinity varied spatially and was an important factor underlying blue crab abundance patterns, but salinity had no apparent effect on growth performance. Prior investigations that examined the relationship between salinity and blue crab growth are often inconclusive or contradictory (Cadman and Weinstein 1988; Cunningham and Darnell 2015). Agonistic interactions among blue crabs hinders foraging efficiency (Taylor and Eggleston 2000; Seitz et al. 2017), possibly to the determinant of crab growth (Moksnes 2004), but mutual interference occurs at crab densities above those typically observed in this study (2-4 crabs/m²; Mansour and Lipcius 1991; Clark et al. 1999).

Southern New England Tidal Rivers as Blue Crab Nurseries

The functional significance of nurseries is determined by the habitat's relative contribution of recruits to adult populations, and it is widely accepted that high quality nurseries maximize the abundance and growth of resident biota (Beck et al. 2001). Shallow, unvegetated habitats in low-salinity waters are important nurseries for juvenile blue crabs > 25 mm CW because of the habitat's expansive areal coverage (Rakocinski et al. 2003; Lipcius et al. 2005), high prey densities (Seitz et al. 2005), and reduced predator abundances (Posey et al. 2005). Moreover, accelerated growth and the attainment of large body sizes increase the survival of blue crabs, especially during early life stages (Lipcius et al. 2007). Estimates of juvenile crab growth, therefore, are frequently used as indicators of nursery quality, such that valued nurseries are habitats that maximize growth potential (Perkins-Visser et al. 1996; Heck et al. 2003; Minello et al. 2003; Seitz et al. 2005). To evaluate the importance of southern New England tidal rivers as blue crab nurseries, the results herein were compared to conspecifics from the same habitat type at southerly locations. Accordingly, blue crab abundances and age-0+ growth rates in this study were consistent with or exceeded estimates from the Middle Atlantic, South Atlantic, and Gulf of Mexico. The corresponding crab abundances among estuarine systems show a consistent pattern in habitat use during the juvenile life stage, and further indicates that tidal rivers at the northern extent of the crab's geographic range may likewise function as valuable nurseries. Moreover, the high growth performance of crabs herein was attributed to optimal thermal conditions and abundant prey resources (e.g., bivalves; Rudnick et al. 1985; Calabretta and Oviatt 2008; D. Taylor, personal observation) in the tidal rivers, which reinforce the posit that softsediment, unvegetated habitats serve as high quality nurseries for this species (Lipcius et al. 2005; Seitz et al. 2005).

This study represents the first detailed quantitation of the population abundance and sizestructure of blue crabs at northern latitudes. Previously, Ropes (1989) analyzed the seasonal abundance patterns of blue crabs in the Pettaquamscutt River, RI, from 1955 to 1957 and collected 190 crabs (20 to 160 mm CW) by towing a scallop dredge over subtidal shoal bars $(n = 104 \text{ total tows}; \text{ water depth } 1-2 \text{ m}); \text{ yet catches were sporadic in 1955 and 1957 (#$ crabs/tow = 0 to 12.4), and no crabs were observed in 1956. From August 1985 to July 1986, Able et al. (2002) conducted seasonal seine and trawl surveys in several habitat types of the Nauset Marsh Estuary, MA, including eelgrass, mud-sand sediments, and macroalgae (depth < 1 to 5 m), and collected 10 species of decapod crustaceans (> 33,000 individuals), none of which were blue crabs (see also Heck et al. 1989). Further, from June to August 2001 and 2002, deRivera et al. (2005) performed a latitudinal assessment of blue crab abundance using trap data (depth 0-1.5 m), and detected a progressive decline in crab numbers with increasing latitude between Chesapeake Bay, VA, and Waquoit Bay, MA, and no catches at Nauset Marsh and Casco Bay, Maine. These aforementioned studies indicate that historical populations of blue crabs were ephemeral at the northernmost part of their geographic range. More recently, between 2012 and 2014, Johnson (2015) reported isolated occurrences of blue crabs in the Gulf of Maine, northward of their historical range, and further proposed a poleward expansion of the species due to elevated water temperatures.

Several laboratory investigations have articulated the thermal tolerances of blue crabs across different ontogenetic life stages, which may delineate their northern biogeographical limits. The egg incubation period for blue crabs is prolonged at temperatures < 16-19 °C (Amsler and George 1984), and < 19 °C may compromise hatching success (Sandoz and Rogers 1944). Blue crab survival and developmental rates also decrease at < 20 °C and 15 °C for the zoeal and megalopal stages, respectively (Sandoz and Rogers 1944; Costlow and Brookhout 1959; Costlow 1967). In the upper regions of the Middle-Atlantic Bight, e.g., Delaware Bay, these early life history stages occur primarily from July through October (Epifanio et al. 1984; 1989; Epifanio 1995). Juvenile and adult crabs also endure thermal stress in subsequent months, as feeding and ecdysis are inhibited at temperature < 9-10 °C (Brylawski and Miller 2006). Moreover, small juveniles (< 30 mm CW) and mature females experience high mortality rates at < 3 °C (Rome et al. 2005; Bauer and Miller 2010), and previous studies contend that the intensity and duration of over-wintering periods can drastically affect blue crab population dynamics (Hines et al. 2010; Glandon et al. 2019).

Coastal and inshore waters of northwest Atlantic have significantly increased in temperature over the last several decades (Belkin 2009), including the Narragansett Bay and adjoining coastal systems (Collie et al. 2008; Smith et al. 2010). Specifically for the Narragansett Bay, water temperatures have increased 1.4 to 1.6 °C over the past 50 years with the most pronounced warming having occurred during winter months (December to February, 1.6-2.0 °C increase) (Fulweiler et al. 2015). Current water temperatures in southern New England, therefore, may exceed levels that induce thermal stress and mortality in blue crabs across distinct ontogenetic stages (Johnson 2015), leading to a concomitant increase in recruitment success and over-wintering survival at northern latitudes. In this context, additional research in southern New England should examine the population dynamics and ecological role of blue crabs in structured and non-structured nurseries, and explore each habitat type's relative contribution of recruits to the adult population.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to A. Scro, N. Calabrese, J. Jacques, and K. Cribari [Roger Williams University (RWU), Bristol, RI] for assistance in field sampling. The project described was supported in part by the Rhode Island (RI) National Science Foundation Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, the RI Science & Technology Advisory Council Research Alliance Collaborative Grant, the RWU Foundation Fund Based Research Grant, and by Award P20RR016457 from the National Center for Research Resources. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Center for Research Resources or the National Institutes of Health.

References

- Able KW, Fahay MP, Heck KL Jr., Roman CT, Lazzari MA, and Kaiser SC. 2002. Seasonal distribution and abundance of fishes and decapod crustaceans in a Cape Cod estuary. Northeastern Naturalist 9: 285–302.
- Adkins G 1972. Study of the blue crab fishery in Louisiana. Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission, Technical Bulletin 3, Baton Rouge.
- Aguilar R, Hines AH, Wolcott TG, Wolcott DL, Kramer MA, and Lipcius RN. 2005. The timing and route of movement and migration of post-copulatory female blue crabs, *Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun, from the upper Chesapeake Bay. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 319: 117–128.
- Amsler MO, and George RY. 1984. The effect of temperature on the oxygen consumption and developmental rate of the embryos of *Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 82: 221–229.
- Anderson JT 1988. A review of size-dependent survival during pre-recruit stages of fishes in relation to recruitment. Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science 8: 55–66.
- Bauer LT, and Miller TJ. 2010. Temperature-, salinity-, and size-dependent winter mortality of juvenile blue crabs (*Callinectes sapdius*). Estuaries and Coasts 33: 668–677.
- Beck MW, Heck KL Jr., Able KW, Childers D, Eggleston D, Gillanders BM, Halpern B, Hays C, Hoshino K, Minello T, Orth R, Sheridan P, and Weinstein M. 2001. The identification, conservation, and management of estuarine and marine nurseries for fish and invertebrates. Bioscience 51: 633– 641.
- Belkin IM 2009. Rapid warming of Large Marine Ecosystems. Progress in Oceanography 81: 207–213.
- Bell GW, Eggleston DB, and Wolcott TG. 2003a. Behavioral responses of free-ranging blue crabs to episodic hypoxia. I. Movement. Marine Ecology Progress Series 259: 215–225.
- Bell GW, Eggleston DB, and Wolcott TG. 2003b. Behavioral responses of free-ranging blue crabs to episodic hypoxia. II. Feeding. Marine Ecology Progress Series 259: 227–235.
- Bellchambers LM, and De Lestang S. 2005. Selectivity of different gear types for sampling the blue swimmer crab, Portunus pelagicus L. Fisheries Research 73: 21–27.
- Bhattacharya CG 1967. A simple method of resolution of a distribution into Gaussian components. Biometrics 23: 115–135. [PubMed: 6050463]
- Bishop TD, Miller III HL, Walker RL, Hurley DH, Menken T, and Tilburg CE. 2010. Blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun, 1896) settlement at three Georgia (USA) estuarine sites. Estuaries and Coasts 33: 688–698.
- Boone EC, Meiners SJ, Frankland LD, Laursen JR, and Colombo RE. 2019. A comparison between fixed and random sampling of a low density spotted bass population in a large river. Journal of Freshwater Ecology. 34: 533–540.
- Boutin B, and Targett TE. 2013. Fish and blue crab assemblages in the shore zone of tidal creeks in the Delaware coastal bays. Northeastern Naturalist 20: 69–90.
- Brill RW, Bushnell PG, Elton TA, and Small HJ. 2015. The ability of blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*, Rathbun 1886) to sustain aerobic metabolism during hypoxia. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 471: 126–136.

- Bromilow AM, and Lipcius RN. 2017. Mechanisms governing ontogenetic habitat shifts: role of tradeoffs, predation, and cannibalism for the blue crab. Marine Ecology Progress Series 584: 145–159.
- Brylawski BJ, and Miller TJ. 2006. Temperature-dependent growth of the blue crab (Callinectes sapidus): a molt process approach. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 63: 1298–1308.
- Burke JS 1995. Role of feeding and prey distribution of summer and southern flounder in selection of estuarine nursery habitats. Journal of Fish Biology 47: 355–366.
- Burnham KP, and Anderson DR. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a Practical information-theoretical approach, 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Cadman LR, and Weinstein MP. 1985. Size-weight relationships of postecdysial juvenile blue crabs (Callinectes sapidus Rathbun) from the lower Chesapeake Bay. Journal of Crustacean Biology 5: 306–310.
- Cadman LR, and Weinstein MP. 1988. Effects of temperature and salinity on the growth of laboratoryreared juvenile blue crabs Callinectes sapidus Rathbun. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 121: 193–207.
- Calabretta CJ, and Oviatt CA. 2008. The response of benthic macrofauna to anthropogenic stress in Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island: a review of human stressors and assessment of community conditions. Marine Pollution Bulletin 56: 1680–1695. [PubMed: 18774145]
- Chang YJ, Sun CL, Chen Y, and Yeh SZ. 2012. Modelling the growth of crustacean species. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 22: 157–187.
- Clark ME, Wolcott TG, Wolcott DL, and Hines AH. 1999. Intraspecific interference among foraging blue crabs Callinectes sapidus: interactive effects of predator density and prey patch distribution. Marine Ecology Progress Series 178: 69–78.
- Collie JS, Wood AD, and Jeffries HP. 2008. Long term shifts in the species composition of a coastal fish community. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 65: 1352–1365.
- Costlow JD 1967. The effect of salinity and temperature on survival and metamorphosis of megalops of the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus*. Helgoländer Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen 15: 84–97.
- Costlow JD, and Brookhout CG. 1959. The larval development of Callinectes sapidus Rathbun reared in the laboratory. Biological Bulletin 116: 373–396.
- Cunningham SR, and Darnell MZ. 2015. Temperature-dependent growth and molting in early juvenile blue crabs Callinectes sapidus. Journal of Shellfish Research 34: 505–510.
- Currin BM, Reed JP, and Miller JM. 1984. Growth, production, food consumption and mortality of juvenile spot and croaker: a comparison of tidal and non-tidal nursery areas. Estuaries 7: 451–459.
- Darnell RM 1959. Studies of the life history of the blue crab Callinectes sapidus Rathbun in Louisiana waters. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 88: 294–304.
- Das TA, and Stickle WB. 1993. Sensitivity of crabs *Callinectes sapidus* and *C. similis* and the gastropod Stramonita haemastoma to hypoxia and anoxia. Marine Ecology Progress Series 98: 263–274.
- Dauer DM 1993. Biological criteria, environmental health and estuarine microbenthic community structure. Marine Pollution Bulletin 26: 249–257.
- Davis JLD, Young-Williams AC, Hines AH, and Zohar Y. 2005. Assessing the potential for stock enhancement in the case of the Chesapeake Bay blue crab (Callinectes sapidus). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 62: 109–122.
- Deacutis CF, Murray D, Prell W, Saarman E, and Korhun L. 2006. Hypoxia in the upper half of Narragansett Bay, RI during August 2001 and 2002. Northeastern Naturalist 13: 173–198.
- deRivera CE, Ruiz GM, Hines AH, and Jivoff P. 2005. Biotic resistance to invasion: native predator limits abundance and distribution of an introduced crab. Ecology 86: 3364–3376.
- Desbonnet A, and Costa-Pierce B (eds.). 2008. Science for ecosystem-based management: Narragansett Bay in the 21st century, 570. New York: Springer Verlag.
- Diaz RJ, Nestlerode J, and Diaz ML. 2004. A global perspective on the effects of eutrophication and hypoxia on aquatic biota. In Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Fish Physiology,

Toxicology and Water Quality, eds. Rupp GL, and White MD, 1–33. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ecosystems Research Division, EPA 600/R-04/049, Athens, GA.

- Dittel AI, Hines AH, Ruiz GM, and Ruffin KK. 1995. Effects of shallow water refuge on behavior and density-dependent mortality of juvenile blue crabs in Chesapeake Bay. Bulletin of Marine Science 57: 902–916.
- Eby LA, and Crowder LB. 2002. Hypoxia-based habitat compression in the Neuse River estuary: context-dependent shifts in behavioral avoidance thresholds. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 59: 952–965.
- Eggleston DB, Millstein E, and Plaia G. 2015. Timing and route of migration of mature female blue crabs in a tidal estuary. Biology Letters 11: 20140936. [PubMed: 26018830]
- Epifanio CE 1995. Transport of blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) larvae in the waters off mid-Atlantic states. Bulletin of Marine Science 57: 713–725.
- Epifanio CE 2007. Larval biology. In *The blue crab* Callinectes sapidus, eds. Kennedy VS, and Cronin LE, 513–533. Maryland Sea Grant College Program, College Park, MD.
- Epifanio CE, Valenti CC, and Pembroke AE. 1984. Dispersal and recruitment of blue crab larvae in Delaware Bay, U.S.A. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 18: 1–12.
- Fitz HC, and Wiegert RG. 1991. Utilization of the intertidal zone of a salt marsh by the blue crab Callinectes sapidus: density, return frequency, and feeding habits. Marine Ecology Progress Series 76: 249–260.
- Fitz HC, and Wiegert RG. 1992. Local population dynamics of estuarine blue crabs: abundance, recruitment and loss. Marine Ecology Progress Series 87: 23–40.
- French DP, Rhines H, Boothroyd J, Galagan C, Harlin M, Keller A, Klein-MacPhee G, Pratt S, Gould M, Villalard-Bohnsack M, Gould L, and Porter S. 1992. Habitat inventory/resource mapping for Narragansett Bay and associated coastline. Applied Science Associates Report 89–33, October 1992. Final Report, Narragansett Bay Project, Providence, RI.
- Fulford RS, Peterson MS, and Grammer PO. 2011. An ecological model of the habitat mosaic in estuarine nursery areas: Part I–Interaction of dispersal theory and habitat variability in describing juvenile fish distributions. Ecological Modelling 222: 3203–3215.
- Fulweiler RW, Oczkowski AJ, Miller KM, Oviatt CA, and Pilson MEQ. 2015. Whole truths vs. half truths – and a search for clarity in long-term water temperature records. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 157: A1–A6.
- Ganju NK, Defne Z, Kirwan ML, Fagherazzi S, D'Alpaos A, and Carniello L. 2017. Spatially integrative metrics reveal hidden vulnerability of microtidal salt marshes. Nature Communications doi: 10.1038/ncomms14156
- Gayanilo FC Jr., Sparre P, and Pauly D. 2002. FAO–ICLARM fish stock assessment tools (FiSAT II): user's manual. Rome: International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Gillanders B, Able K, Brown J, Eggleston DB, and Sheridan PF. 2003. Evidence of connectivity between juvenile and adult habitats for mobile marine fauna: an important component of nurseries. Marine Ecology Progress Series 247: 281–295.
- Glandon HL, Kilbourne KH, and Miller TJ. 2019. Winter is (not) coming: warming temperatures will affect the overwinter behavior and survival of blue crab. PLoS One 14(7): e0219555. [PubMed: 31344064]
- Guillory V 2000. Relationship of blue crab abundance to river discharge and salinity. Proceedings of the 510 Annual Conference of Southeast Association of Fisheries and Wildlife Agencies 54: 213–220.
- Guillory V, Perry H, and VanderKooy S. 2001. The blue crab fishery of the Gulf of Mexico, United States: a regional management plan. Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, Ocean Springs.
- Hammerschmidt PC 1982. Population trends and commercial harvest of the blue crab Callinectes sapidus Rathbun, in Texas bays September 1978–August 1979. Texas Parks and Wildlife, Coastal Fisheries Branch, Management Data Series 38, Austin, TX.
- Heck KL Jr., Hays G, and Orth RJ. 2003. Critical evaluation of the nursery role hypothesis for seagrass meadows. Marine Ecology Progress Series 253: 123–136.

- Heck KL Jr., Able KW, Fahay MP, and Roman CT. 1989. Fishes and decapod crustaceans of Cape Cod eelgrass meadows: species composition and seasonal abundance patterns. Estuaries 12: 59–65.
- Hines AH 2007. Ecology of juvenile and adult blue crabs. In The blue crab Callinectes sapidus, eds. Kennedy VS, and Cronin LE, 565–654. Maryland Sea Grant College Program, College Park, MD., eds.
- Hines AH, and Ruiz GM. 1995. Temporal variation in juvenile blue crab mortality: nearshore shallows and cannibalism in Chesapeake Bay. Bulletin of Marine Science 57: 884–901.
- Hines AH, Johnson EG, Darnell MZ, Rittschof D, Miller TJ, Bauer LJ, Rodgers P, and Aguilar R. 2010. Predicting effects of climate change on blue crabs in Chesapeake Bay. In Biology and management of exploited crab populations under climate change, eds. Kruse GH, Eckert GL, Foy RJ, Lipcius RN, Sainte-Marie B, Stram DL, and Woodby D, 109–127. University of Alaska Fairbanks: Alaska Sea Grant.
- Hines AH, Lipcius RN and Mark HA. 1987. Population dynamics and habitat partitioning by size, sex and molt stage of blue crabs *Callinectes sapidus* in a subestuary of central Chesapeake Bay. Marine Ecology Progress Series 36: 55–64.
- Homer M, Mihursky JA, and Jones P. 1980. Quantitative approaches towards characterizing estuarine fish populations and communities. In Proceedings of the 4th Annual Meeting of the Potomac Chapter, American Fisheries Society, ed. Schaefer RH, 39–99. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.
- Igulu MM, Nagelkerken I, Dorenbosch M, Grol MGG, Harborne AR, Kimirei IA, Mumby PJ, Olds AD, and Mgaya YD. 2014. Mangrove habitat use by juvenile reef fish: meta-analysis reveals that tidal regime matters more than biogeographic region. PLoS One 9: e114715. [PubMed: 25551761]
- James NC, Leslie TD, Potts WM, Whitfield AK, and Rajkaran A. 2019. The importance of different juvenile habitats as nursery areas for a ubiquitous estuarine-dependent marine fish species. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 226: doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106270.
- Jivoff P, Hines AH, and Quackenbush LS. 2007. Reproduction biology and embryonic development. In *The blue crab* Callinectes sapidus, eds. Kennedy VS, and Cronin LE, 255–298. Maryland Sea Grant College Program, College Park, MD.
- Jivoff PR, Smith JM, Sodi VL, VanMorter SM, Faugno KM, Werda AL, and Shaw MJ. 2017. Population structure of adult blue crabs, Callinectes sapidus, in relation to physical characteristics in Barnegat Bay, New Jersey. Estuaries and Coasts 40: 235–250.
- Johnson DS 2015. The savory swimmer swims north: a northern range extension of the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*? Journal of Crustacean Biology 35: 105–110.
- Johnson EG, and Eggleston DB. 2010. Population density, survival and movement of blue crabs in estuarine salt marshes. Marine Ecology Progress Series 407: 135–147.
- Kennedy VS, and Cronin LE. 2007. The blue crab Callinectes sapidus, 774. Maryland Sea Grant College Program, College Park, MD.
- Kennedy VS, Oesterling M, and van Engel W. 2007. History of blue crab fisheries on the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf coasts. In *The blue crab* Callinectes sapidus, eds. Kennedy VS, and Cronin LE, 595–649. Maryland Sea Grant College Program, College Park, MD.
- Kremer J, and Nixon S, 1978. A coastal marine ecosystem: simulation and analysis. In Ecological studies 24, 217. New York: Springer Verlag.
- Lee RF, and Frischer ME. 2004. The decline of the blue crab. American Scientist 92: 548–553.
- Lefcheck JS, Hughes BB, Johnson AJ, Pfirrmann BW, Rasher DB, Smyth AR, Williams BL, Beck MW, and Orth RJ. 2019. Are coastal habitats important nurseries? a meta-analysis. Conservation Letters 12: e12645.
- Lipcius RN, Eggleston DB, Heck KL Jr., Seitz RD, and van Montfrans J. 2007. Ecology of juvenile and adult blue crabs. In The blue crab Callinectes sapidus, eds. Kennedy VS, and Cronin LE, 535– 564. Maryland Sea Grant College Program, College Park, MD..,
- Lipcius RN, Seitz RD, Seebo MS, and Colón-Carrión D. 2005. Density, abundance and survival of the blue crab in seagrass and unstructured salt marsh nurseries of Chesapeake Bay. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 219: 69–80.

- Lotze HK, Lenihan HS, Bourque BJ, Bradbury RH, Cooke RG, Kay MC, Kidwell SM, Kirby MX, Peterson CH, and Jackson JBC. 2006. Depletion, degradation, and recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas. Science 312: 1806–1809. [PubMed: 16794081]
- Mansour RA, and Lipcius RN. 1991. Density-dependent foraging and mutual interference in blue crabs preying upon infaunal clams. Marine Ecology Progress Series 72: 239–246.
- McClintock JB, Marion KR, Dindo J, Hsueh P-W, and Angus RA. 1993. Population studies of blue crabs in soft-bottom, unvegetated habitats of a subestuary in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Journal of Crustacean Biology 13: 551–563.
- McDevitt-Irwin JM, Iacarella JC, and Baum JK. 2016. Reassessing the nursery role of seagrass habitats from temperate to tropical regions: a meta-analysis. Marine Ecology Progress Series 557: 133–143.
- Medici DA, Wolcott TG, and Wolcott DL. 2006. Scale-dependent movements and protection of female blue crabs (*Callinectes sapidus*). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 63: 858–871.
- Mense DJ, and Wenner EL. 1989. Distribution and abundance of early life history stages of the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*, in tidal marsh creeks near Charleston, South Carolina. Estuaries 12: 157–168.
- Miller JM, Crowder LB, and Moser ML. 1985. Migration and utilization of estuarine nurseries by juvenile fishes: an evolutionary perspective. Contributions in Marine Science 27: 338–352.
- Millikin MR, and Williams AB. 1984. Synopsis of biological data on the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun. NOAA technical report NMFS 51, 1–38. Fisheries Synopsis No. 138.
- Minello TJ, Able KW, Weinstein MP, and Hays CG. 2003. Salt marshes as nurseries for nekton: testing hypotheses on density, growth and survival through meta-analysis. Marine Ecology Progress Series 246: 39–59.
- Moksnes P-O 2004. Interference competition for space in nursery habitats: density-dependent effects on growth and dispersal in juvenile shore crabs *Carcinus maenas*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 281: 181–191.
- Moksnes P-O, Lipcius RN, Pihl L, and van Montfrans J. 1997. Cannibal-prey dynamics in young juveniles and postlarvae of the blue crab. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 215: 157–187.
- More WR 1969. A contribution to the biology of the blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun) in Texas, with a description of the fishery. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Technical Series 1, 31 p.
- Murray DW, Prell WL, Rincon CE, and Saarman E. 2007. Physical property and chemical characteristics of surface sediment grab samples from Narragansett Bay and the Providence and Seekonk Rivers, a summary of the Brown University Narragansett Bay Sediment Project (BUNBSP), Narragansett Bay Estuary Program, Report NBEP-07-127.
- Nagelkerken I, Sheaves M, Baker R, and Connolly RM. 2015. The seascape nursery: a novel spatial approach to identify and manage nurseries for coastal marine fauna. Fish and Fisheries 16: 362–371.
- Nagelkerken I, der Velde G, Gorissen MW, Meijer GJ, Van't Hof T, and Den Hartog C. 2000. Importance of mangroves, seagrass beds and the shallow coral reef as a nursery for important coral reef fishes, using a visual census technique. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 51: 31–44.
- NBEP Narragansett Bay Estuary Program. 2017. Chapter 12: Salt Marsh. In State of Narragansett Bay and Its Watershed Technical Report, 235–245. Providence, RI.
- Nestlerode JA, and Diaz RJ. 1998. Effects of periodic environmental hypoxia on predation of a tethered polychaete, *Glycera americana*: implications for trophic dynamics. Marine Ecology Progress Series 172: 185–195.
- Orth RJ, and van Montfrans J. 1987. Utilization of a seagrass meadow and tidal marsh creek by blue crabs *Callinectes sapidus*. I. Seasonal and annual variations on abundance with emphasis on postsettlement juveniles. Marine Ecology Progress Series 41: 283–294.
- Orth RJ, and van Montfrans J. 1990. Utilization of marsh and seagrass habitats by early stages of *Callinectes sapidus*: a latitudinal perspective. Bulletin of Marine Science 46: 126–144.
- Orth RJ, and van Montfrans J. 2002. Habitat quality and prey size as determinants of survival in postlarval and early juvenile instars of the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 231: 205–213.

- Oesterling MJ, and Adams CA. 1982. Migration of blue crabs along Florida's Gulf Coast. In Proceedings of the Blue Crab Colloquium, eds. Perry HM, and Van Engel WA, 37–57. Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission Publication 7. Ocean Springs, MS.
- Pequeux A 1995. Osmotic regulation in crustaceans. Journal of Crustacean Biology 15: 1-60.
- Perkins-Visser E, Wolcott TG, and Wolcott DL. 1996. Nursery role of seagrass beds: enhanced growth of juvenile blue crabs (*Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 198: 155–173.
- Perry HM 1975. The blue crab fishery in Mississippi. Gulf Research Reports 5: 39-57.
- Peterson MS 2003. A conceptual view of environment-habitat-production linkages in tidal river estuaries. Reviews in Fisheries Science 11: 291–313.
- Phil L, Baden SP, and Diaz RJ. 1991. Effects of periodic hypoxia on distribution of demersal fish and crustaceans. Marine Biology 108: 349–360.
- Polidoro BA, Carpenter KE, Collins L, Duke NC, Ellison AM, et al. 2010. The loss of species: mangrove extinction risk and geographic areas of global concern. PLoS One 5: e10095. [PubMed: 20386710]
- Posey MH, Alphin TD, Harwell H, and Allen B. 2005. Importance of low salinity areas for juvenile blue crabs, *Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun, in river-dominated estuaries of the southeastern United States. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 319: 81–100.
- Puckett BJ 2006. Growth and recruitment rates of juvenile blue crabs (*Callinectes sapidus*) in Chesapeake Bay. M.S. thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, MD.
- Quist MC, Gerow KG, Bower MR, and Hubert WA. 2006. Random versus fixed-site sampling when monitoring relative abundance of fishes in headwater streams of the upper Colorado River basin. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 26: 1011–1019.
- Rakocinski CF, Perry HM, Abney MA, and Larsen KM. 2003. Soft-sediment recruitment dynamics of early blue crab stages in Mississippi Sound. Bulletin of Marine Science 72: 393–408.
- Reiss H, Kröncke I, and Ehrich S. 2006. Estimating the catching efficiency of a 2-m beam trawl for sampling epifauna by removal experiments. ICES Journal of Marine Science 63: 1453–1464.
- Reyns NB, and Eggleston DB. 2004. Environmentally controlled, density-dependent secondary dispersal in a local estuarine crab population. Oecologia 140: 280–288. [PubMed: 15146323]
- Rome MS, Young-Williams AC, Davis GR, and Hines AH. 2005. Linking temperature and salinity tolerance to winter mortality of Chesapeake Bay blue crabs (*Callinectes sapidus*). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 319: 129–145.
- Ropes JW 1989. The food habits of five crab species at Pettaquamscutt River, Rhode Island. Fishery Bulletin 87: 197–204.
- Ross SW 2003. The relative value of different estuarine nursery areas in North Carolina for transient juvenile fishes. Fishery Bulletin 101: 384–404.
- Rountree RA, and Able KW. 1992. Fauna of polyhaline subtidal marsh creeks in southern New Jersey: composition, abundance and biomass. Estuaries 15: 171–185.
- Rozas LP, and Hackney CT. 1984. Use of oligohaline marshes by fishes and macrofaunal crustaceans in North Carolina. Estuaries 7: 213–224.
- Rudnick DT, Elmgren R, and Frithsen JB. 1985. Meiofaunal prominence and benthic seasonality in a coastal marine ecosystem. Oecologia 67: 157–168. [PubMed: 28311304]
- Ruiz GM, Hines AH, and Posey MH. 1993. Shallow water as a refuge habitat for fish and crustaceans in non-vegetated estuaries: an example from Chesapeake Bay. Marine Ecology Progress Series 99: 1–16.
- Saarman E, Prell WL, Murray DW, and Deacutis CF. 2008. Summer bottom water dissolved oxygen in Upper Narragansett Bay. In Science for ecosystem-based management: Narragansett Bay in the 21st century, eds. Desbonnet A, and Costa-Pierce BA, 325–348. New York: Springer Verlag.
- Sanchez-Rubio G, Perry HM Perry PM Biesiot DR Johnson, and Lipcius RN. 2011. Climate-related hydrological regimes and their effects on abundance of juvenile blue crabs (*Callinectes sapidus*) in the northcentral Gulf of Mexico. Fishery Bulletin 109:139–146.
- Sandoz M, and Rogers R. 1944. The effect of environmental factors on hatching, moulting, and survival of zoea larvae of the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun. Ecology 25: 216–228.

- Seitz RD, Marshall LS, Hines AH, and Clark KL. 2003b. Effects of hypoxia on predator-prey dynamics of the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus* and the Baltic clam *Macoma balthica* in Chesapeake Bay. Marine Ecology Progress Series 257: 179–188.
- Seitz RD, Lipcius RN, and Hines AH. 2017. Consumer versus resource control and the importance of habitat heterogeneity for estuarine bivalves. Oikos 126: 121–135.
- Seitz RD, Lipcius RN, and Seebo MS. 2005. Food availability and growth of the blue crab in seagrass and unvegetated nurseries of Chesapeake Bay. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 319: 57–68.
- Seitz RD, Lipcius RN, Stockhausen WT, Delano KA, Seebo MS, and Gerdes PD. 2003a. Potential bottom-up control of blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) distribution at broad spatial scales. Bulletin of Marine Science 72: 471–490.
- Sheaves M 2009. Consequences of ecological connectivity: the coastal ecosystem mosaic. Marine Ecology Progress Series 391: 107–115.
- Sheridan P, and Hays C. 2003. Are mangroves nursery habitat for transient fishes and decapods? Wetlands 23: 449–458.
- Shervette VR, Gelwick F, and Hadley N. 2011. Decapod utilization of adjacent oyster, vegetated marsh, and non-vegetated bottom habitats in a Gulf of Mexico estuary. Journal of Crustacean Biology 31: 660–667.
- Smith LM, Whitehouse S, and Oviatt CA. 2010. Impacts of climate change on Narragansett Bay. Northeastern Naturalist 17: 77–90.
- Sogard SM, and Able KW. 1991. A comparison of eelgrass, sea lettuce, macroalgae, and marsh creeks as habitat for epibenthic fishes and decapod crustaceans. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 33: 501–520.
- Steele MA, Schroeter SC, and Page HM. 2006. Experimental evaluation of biases associated with sampling estuarine fishes with seines. Estuaries and Coasts 29: 1172–1184.
- Stover KK, Burnett KG, McElory EJ, and Burnett LE. 2013. Locomotory fatigue during moderate and severe hypoxia and hypercapnia in the Atlantic blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. Biological Bulletin 224: 68–78.
- Sumer C, Teksam I, Karatas H, Beyhan T, and Aydin CM. 2013. Growth and reproduction biology of the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun, 1896, in the Beymelek Lagoon (southwestern coast of Turkey). Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 13: 675–684.
- Tankersley R, Wieber M, Sigala M, and Kachurak K. 1998. Migratory behavior of ovigerous blue crabs *Callinectes sapidus*: evidence for selective tidal-stream transport. Biological Bulletin 195: 168–173.
- Tankersley RA, and Forward RB Jr. 2007. Environmental physiology. In *The blue crab* Callinectes sapidus, eds. Kennedy VS, and Cronin LE, 451–483. Maryland Sea Grant College Program, College Park, MD.
- Tatum W 1980. The blue crab fishery of Alabama. In Symposium on the Natural Resources of the Mobile Estuary, Alabama, eds. Loyacano HA, and Smith J, 211–220. Mississippi/Alabama Sea Grant Consortium, Publication MASGP-80-022.
- Taylor DL, and Gervasi CL. 2017. Feeding habits and dietary overlap between age-0 winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*) and summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*) in southern New England tidal rivers. Fishery Bulletin 115: 167–185.
- Taylor DL, and Eggleston DB. 2000. Effects of hypoxia on an estuarine predator-prey interaction: foraging behavior and mutual interference in the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus* and the infaunal clam prey *Mya arenaria*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 196: 221–237.
- Taylor DL, and Calabrese NM. 2018. Mercury content of blue crabs (*Callinectes sapdius*) in southern New England coastal habitats: contamination in an emergent fishery and risks to human consumers. Marine Pollution Bulletin 126: 166–178. [PubMed: 29421084]
- Taylor DL, McNamee J, Lake J, Gervasi CL, and Palance DG. 2016. Juvenile winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*) and summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*) utilization of southern New England nurseries: comparisons among estuarine, tidal river, and coastal lagoon shallow-water habitats. Estuaries and Coasts 3: 1505–1525.

- Thomas JL, Zimmerman RJ, and Minello TJ. 1990. Abundance patterns of juvenile blue crabs (*Callinectes sapidus*) in nursery habitats of two Texas bays. Bulletin of Marine Science 46: 115–125.
- van Montfrans J, Ryer CH, and Orth RJ. 1991. Population dynamics of the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun in a lower Chesapeake Bay tidal marsh creek. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 153: 1–14.
- Watson EB, Wigand C, Davey EW, Andrews HM, Bishop J, and Raposa KB. 2017. Wetland loss patterns and inundation-productivity relationships prognosticate widespread salt mash loss for southern New England. Estuaries and Coasts 40: 662–681. [PubMed: 30008627]
- Waycott M, Duarte CM, Carruthers TJB, Orth RJ, Dennison WC, Olyarnik S, Calladine A, Fourqurean JW, Heck KL, Hughes AR, Kendrick GA, Kenworthy WJ, Short FT, and Williams SL. 2009. Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 106: 12377–12381.
- Wilber DH 1994. The influence of Apalachicola River flows on blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*, in north Florida. Fishery Bulletin 92: 180–188.
- Wilson KA, Able KW, and Heck KL Jr. 1990. Habitat use by juvenile blue crabs: a comparison among habitats in southern New Jersey. Bulletin of Marine Science 46: 105–114.
- Zimmerman RJ, and Minello TJ. 1984. Densities of *Penaeus aztecus, Penaeus setiferus* and other natant macrofauna in a Texas salt marsh. Estuaries 7: 421–433.



Fig. 1.

Map of the coastal United States showing southern New England, Middle Atlantic, South Atlantic, and Gulf of Mexico. Inset maps illustrate the Narragansett Bay Estuary, Seekonk River (SR), Rhode Island, and Taunton River (TR), Massachusetts. Solid points demarcate collection sites of blue crabs. Three sites were sampled fortnightly in each river (SR1-3 and TR1-3) from May to August 2012-2016, with the exception of SR1, which was not surveyed in 2014



Fig. 2.

Water temperature (°C; **a-b**), salinity (ppt; **c-d**), and dissolved oxygen (mg/L; **e-f**) in the Seekonk River (SR) and Taunton River (TR). Data points are monthly means at each site (SR1-3 and TR1-3; Fig. 1) and averaged across years (2012-2016). Error bars denote ± 1 standard error



Fig. 3.

Blue crab abundance $(\#/10 \text{ m}^2)$ in the Seekonk River (**a**) and Taunton River (**b**) as a function of sex and year. Box plots illustrate the median, 1st and 3rd quartiles, and maximum and minimum values. Data were pooled across sites (SR1-3 or TR1-3; Fig. 1) and months (May-August). Note *y*-axes are scaled differently between figures



Fig. 4.

Blue crab abundance in the Seekonk River (SR) and Taunton River (TR) as a function of site [SR1-3 (**a**, **c**, **e**) and TR1-3 (**b**, **d**, **f**); Fig. 1], sex, and life stage (male and juvenile female = $\#/10 \text{ m}^2$ and adult female = $\#/100 \text{ m}^2$). Data points are monthly means averaged across years (2012-2016), and error bars denote ± 1 standard error



Fig. 5.

Blue crab abundance in the Seekonk River (SR) and Taunton River (TR) as a function of salinity (ppt), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), and water temperature (°C) displayed for males (#/10 m²; **a-b**), juvenile females (#/10 m²; **c-d**), and adult females (#/100 m²; **e-f**). Crab abundance and environmental variables are expressed as monthly means averaged across years at each site (SR1-3 and TR1-3; Fig. 1). Least-squares logarithmic or exponential regressions were fit to the full data sets (n = 29)



Fig. 6.

Blue crab monthly size-frequency distributions (mm carapace width, CW) in the Seekonk River (SR) and Taunton River (TR), displayed in relation to site (SR1-3 and TR1-3; Fig. 1). Frequencies were calculated as the proportion of total monthly catch averaged across years (2012-2016). Note *y*-axes are scaled differently among figures



Fig. 7.

Blue crab carapace width (CW; mm) in the Seekonk River (SR) and Taunton River (TR) as a function of site [SR1-3 (\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{c} , \mathbf{e}) and TR1-3 (\mathbf{b} , \mathbf{d} , \mathbf{f}); Fig. 1], sex, and age class. Data points are monthly means averaged across years (2012-2016), and error bars denote ±1 standard deviation



Fig. 8.

Age-0+ blue crab absolute growth rate [mm carapace width (CW)/day; **a**] and relative growth rate (% CW/day; **b**) in the Seekonk River (SR) and Taunton River (TR) as a function of year (2012-2016 Box plots illustrate the median, 1st and 3rd quartiles, and maximum and minimum values. Data were pooled across sites (SR1-3 or TR1-3; Fig. 1)

Page 32



Fig. 9.

Age-0+ blue crab absolute growth rate [mm carapace width (CW)/day; **a**] and relative growth rate (% CW/day; **b**) in the Seekonk River (SR) and Taunton River (TR) as a function of dissolved oxygen (mg/L). Data points are annual growth estimates at each site (SR1-3 and TR1-3; Fig. 1), and least-squares logarithmic regressions were fit to the full data sets (n = 29)

Summary of environmental conditions and biological characteristics across rivers and sites

		Seekonk River		Taunton River			
	SR1	SR2	SR3	TR1	TR2	TR3	
Environmental conditions							
Temperature	23.0 (18.2-27.7)	23.8 (19.4-29.0)	22.7 (17.9-27.5)	24.0 (18.6-28.8)	24.6 (20.1-29.5)	23.3 (18.4-28.4)	
Salinity	5.1 (1.2-12.6)	7.8 (2.3-18.9)	10.9 (2.2-24.0)	4.4 (0.1-12.8)	10.8 (0.3-21.5)	21.1 (8.0-27.8)	
Dissolved oxygen	7.0 (2.9-9.5)	7.6 (1.9-12.9)	8.3 (5.0-13.3)	6.4 (2.7-9.2)	7.2 (2.6-9.8)	6.5 (2.6-8.5)	
Occurrence of hypoxia	$8.7~(207\pm24)$	10.7 (190 ± 13)	3.6 (203)	$7.4~(208\pm23)$	3.8 (224)	$7.4(208 \pm 23)$	
Biological characteristics							
Abundance (male)	0.67 (0.06-1.39)	0.38 (0-2.39)	0.23 (0.04-1.26)	0.09 (0-0.24)	0.26 (0-0.78)	0.07 (0-0.17)	
Abundance (juvenile female)	0.21 (0-0.51)	0.20 (0-1.15)	0.14 (0-0.72)	0.06 (0-0.42)	0.20 (0-0.54)	0.08 (0-0.30)	
Abundance (adult female)	0.01 (0-0.21)	0.02 (0-0.18)	0.04 (0-0.23)	0.02 (0-0.18)	0.09 (0-0.77)	0.06 (0-0.28)	
Size (male)	65.1 (8-155)	52.0 (13-153)	87.9 (12-171)	71.9 (12-170)	53.1 (14-173)	79.9 (12-185)	
Size (juvenile female)	57.8 (11-140)	45.4 (11-125)	63.4 (13-125)	57.9 (14-132)	50.3 (12-114)	63.9 (14-121)	
Size (adult female)	144	141.3 (135-153)	145.0 (123-164)	149.0 (138-160)	144.5 (135-157)	142.7 (127-172)	
Absolute growth rate	0.96 (0.72-1.34)	0.92 (0.77-1.14)	1.05 (0.74-1.64)	0.92 (0.58-1.56)	0.74 (0.52-1.25)	0.83 (0.48-1.20)	
Relative growth rate	1.55 (0.86-2.36)	1.81 (1.01-2.34)	1.72 (1.00-2.58)	1.58 (0.78-2.72)	1.18 (0.48-1.85)	1.24 (0.79-1.85)	

Environmental conditions include water temperature (°C), salinity (ppt), dissolved oxygen (DO; mg/L), and the occurrence of hypoxic conditions (DO < 3.0 mg/L, as defined in Deacutis et al. 2006). Biological characteristics include blue crab abundance (male and juvenile female: $\#/10 \text{ m}^2$; adult female: $\#/100 \text{ m}^2$), body size (mm carapace width, CW), and absolute and relative growth rates (mm CW/day and % CW/day, respectively). Temperature, salinity, DO, size, and abundance data are monthly means averaged across years and ranges are reported in parentheses. Hypoxia data are the percent of DO measurements < 3.0 mg/L, with the mean ± 1 standard deviation of the occurrence date (day of year) reported in parentheses. Growth data are averages across years and ranges are reported in parentheses. Sites are defined in Fig. 1

Summary statistics for 3-way and 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) models

	Abundance							
	Seekonk Riv	er (<i>n</i> = 106)	Taunton Ri	ver (<i>n</i> = 96)				
Factor	<i>F</i> (df)	р	$F(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{f})$	р				
Sex	8.76 (1)	0.0042	0.40(1)	0.531				
Month	0.44 (3)	0.724	1.96 (3)	0.130				
Year	6.09 (4)	0.0003	6.59 (4)	0.0002				
$Sex \times Month$	0.06 (3)	0.983	0.12 (3)	0.951				
$\mathbf{Sex}\times\mathbf{Year}$	0.30 (4)	0.875	0.27 (4)	0.893				
$Month \times Year$	0.60 (11)	0.823	0.53 (8)	0.826				
$Sex \times Month \times Year$	0.08 (11)	1.00	0.12 (8)	0.998				
	Absolute grov	wth $(n = 29)$	Relative gro	vth $(n = 29)$				
Factor	F (df)	р	F (df)	р				
River	5.35 (1)	0.032	4.8 (1)	0.041				
Year	7.98 (4)	0.0006	5.4 (4)	0.0046				
River \times Year	0.72 (4)	0.587	1.8 (4)	0.176				

ANOVA models were used to examine differences in monthly mean blue crab abundance (#/10 m²), absolute growth rates [mm carapace width (CW)/day], and relative growth rates (% CW/day) as a function of sex (male and female), month (May-August), year (2012-2016), and river (Seekonk River = SR1-3 vs. Taunton River = TR1-3; Fig. 1)

Summary statistics for stepwise multiple linear regression analyses

Response variable	Optimal model explanatory variables	F (df)	р	R ²
Abundance (male)	Salinity (-) / DO (+)	4.40 (2, 28)	0.023	0.253
Abundance (juvenile female)	Salinity (-) / DO (+)	3.72 (2, 28)	0.038	0.222
Abundance (adult female)	Salinity (+) / Temperature (+)	4.94 (2, 28)	0.015	0.275
Absolute growth rate	DO (+)	6.46 (1, 28)	0.017	0.193
Relative growth rate	DO (+)	5.02 (1, 28)	0.034	0.157

Regression analyses were used to examine blue crab abundance (male and juvenile female: $\#/10 \text{ m}^2$; adult female: $\#/100 \text{ m}^2$), absolute growth rate [mm carapace width (CW)/day], and relative growth rate (% CW/day) as a function of salinity (ppt), dissolved oxygen (DO; mg/L), and water temperature (°C). Crab abundance and environmental variables are expressed as monthly means averaged across years. Positive (+) and negative (-) symbols in parentheses after each explanatory variable denote their directional effect on each response variable

Literature review of blue crab abundance and juvenile growth rates in shallow-water, unvegetated, soft-bottom habitats from the Middle Atlantic, South Atlantic, and Gulf of Mexico, including the methodology and environmental conditions during field sampling

	Sampli	ng method	ology	Environm conditio	nental	Biologica	stics ²	
Location	Gear and techniques	Depth	Months	Temperature	Salinity	Abundance	Growth	Size
Middle Atlantic								
Great Bay-Little Egg Harbor, NJ (Rountree and Able 2002)	Seine	0-1.2	Apr-Nov	8-28	23-33	4.8 ± 7.5 (9.8)	_	11-127 ⁶
Little Egg Harbor, NJ Wilson et al. $(1990)^3$	Suction sampler	1	May-Aug	20-25	25-34	$5.0\pm8.9 \\ (20.5)$	-	4-103
Miller Creek, DE Boutin and Targett (2013) Boutin (<i>personal</i> <i>communication</i>)	Seine	0-1.2	Apr-Nov	13-33	5-31	1.0 ± 0.2 (1.2)	_	3-153
Rhode River, MD Hines et al. (1987)	Otter trawl	2-4	Apr-Nov	~ 29 (Jul)	4-15	$4.1 \pm 4.6 \ (7.3)$	_	~5-195
Choptank and Patuxent Rivers, MD Puckett (2006)	Size- frequency	0-20	Jun-Oct	13-29	< 1-12	_	0.6-1.1	33-49
York River, VA Seitz et al. (2003a)	Otter trawl	1-2	Jul-Aug	nr	12-217	1.5 ± 0.9 (8.3)	-	nr
York River, VA Lipcius et al. $(2005)^3$	Suction sampler and otter trawl	< 3	Summer and fall	nr	nr	1.2 ± 1.2 (2.5) ⁹	-	< 100
York River, VA Seitz et al. (2005)	Field enclosure	0.25	Jul-Sep 2001	nr	12-217	_	0.3-0.7	25-52
South Atlantic								
Newport River Estuary, NC Johnson and Eggleston (2010) ⁴	Beam trawl	nr	Jun-Oct	nr	nr	$\begin{array}{c} 4.1 \pm 5.2 \\ (45.5) \end{array}$	_	22-153
New and Cape Fear Rivers, NC Posey et al. (2005)	Sweep	0.1-0.3	Jun-Aug	27-35	0-36	$2.1 \pm 0.8 \\ (16.6)$	_	> 24
Charleston Harbor Estuary, SC Mense and Wenner (1989) ^{3}	Suction sampler	1.2	Monthly for 16 months	8-32	5-32	3.6 ± 2.0 (5.2)	-	1-36
Duplin River, GA Fitz and Wiegert $(1992)^3$	Otter trawl	1.5	~ Monthly for 2.5 years	10-30 ⁸	10-30 ⁸	0.9 (9.1)	_	< 50 to > 125
Gulf of Mexico								
Weeks Bay, AL McClintock et al. (1993)	Otter trawl	1.5	Monthly for 14 months	10-32	0-19	1.5 ± 1.9 (2.8)	-	< 20 to > 120
Mobile Bay, AL Tatum (1980)	Size- frequency	nr	Dec-Mar, Mar-Sep	nr	nr	_	0.2-0.6	10-20
Mississippi Sound, MS Perry (1975)	Size- frequency	0-5	Jul-Jan	10-33	0-32	_	0.8	15-30
Lake Pontchartrain, LA Darnell (1959)	Size- frequency	0-1.2	Monthly for ~ 2 years	10-30	3-12	_	0.6	15-25

	Sampling methodology			Environmental conditions ¹		Biological characteristics ²		
Location	Gear and techniques	Depth	Months	Temperature	Salinity	Abundance	Growth	Size
Terrebonne Bay, LA Guillory et al. (2001) citing Adkins (1972)	Size- frequency	nr	nr	nr	nr	_	0.5-0.7	nr
Galveston Bay, TX Zimmerman and Minello (1984) ⁴	Suction sampler	< 1	May-Aug	15-32	16-36	2.7 ± 1.7 (1.1-9.1)	_	< 20 to > 60
West Bay, TX Thomas et al. $(1990)^{3}$	Drop sampler	< 1	Monthly for 1 year	11-30	15-30	$\begin{array}{c} 10.2 \pm 12.9 \\ (19.3) \end{array}$	-	5-40
Southeastern Texas bays, TX Hammerschmidt (1982) ⁵	Seine	0-1	May-Aug	26-32	2-35	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26 \pm 0.09 \\ (0.35) \end{array}$	_	6 to > 126
Southeastern Texas bays, TX Hammerschmidt (1982) ⁵	Size- frequency	0-1	Mar-Aug	18-32	2-35	_	0.7	26-47

^{*I*}Water depth (m), water temperature (°C), and salinity (ppt);

²Abundances (#/10 m²) reported as mean \pm 1 SD (maximum value in parentheses) and adjusted for gear efficiencies (see text). Growth [mm carapace width (CW)/day] reported as ranges. Sizes (mm CW) reported for "Abundance" are for duration of field sampling, whereas "Growth" sizes are reported for start of growth period

 3 Abundances reported for unvegetated, sandy-mud, or mud habitats only;

 4 Mean abundance for subtidal and intertidal habitats combined and maximum abundance for subtidal habitat only;

⁵ Bays include Galveston Bay, Matagorda Bay, San Antonio Bay, Aransas Bay, Corpus Christi Bay, and upper-lower Laguna Madre Bay;

⁶Crab CW converted from wet weight (g; Cadman and Weinstein 1985);

⁷Salinities reported in Dauer (1993);

 ${}^{\it 8}$ Salinities and temperatures reported in Fitz and Wiegert (1991)

 9 Abundances pre-adjusted for gear efficiency (Lipcius et al. 2005); nr = not reported

Summary statistics for one-sample *t*-tests

	А	bundance		Growth			
Location	Mean ± 1 SD	<i>t</i> -value (df)	<i>p</i> -value	Mean ± 1 SD	<i>t</i> -value (df)	<i>p</i> -value	
Southern New England	2.6 ± 1.8	-	-	0.93 ± 0.11	-	_	
Middle Atlantic	2.9 ± 1.9	-0.77 (4)	0.487	0.67 ± 0.26	5.17 (4)	0.0066	
South Atlantic	3.7 ± 1.2	-1.54 (4)	0.199	-	-	-	
Gulf of Mexico	3.6 ± 4.5	-1.50 (4)	0.207	0.62 ± 0.17	6.05 (4)	0.0038	
Middle Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico (seine only)	2.0 ± 2.4	0.36 (4)	0.736	-	-	-	

One-sample *t*-tests were used to contrast log(x+1)-transformed annual monthly peak abundances (#/10 m²) and growth rates (mm carapace width/ day) of blue crabs from southern New England tidal rivers (this study) with conspecifics from the Middle Atlantic, South Atlantic, and Gulf of Mexico. A fourth *t*-test was performed on abundance data derived exclusively from seine surveys (this study compared to Middle Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico combined; Hammerschmidt 1982; Rountree and Able 2002; Boutin and Targett 2013). A summary of biological data for all geographic locations is provided in Table 4