

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Anesthesiology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 June 22.

Published in final edited form as:

Anesthesiology. 2020 June ; 132(6): 1392–1406. doi:10.1097/ALN.0000000000003197.

# **Altered global brain signal during physiologic, pharmacologic, and pathologic states of unconsciousness in humans and rats**

**Sean Tanabe, B.S.**#b, **Zirui Huang, Ph.D.**#b, **Jun Zhang, M.D., Ph.D.**a,\* , **Yali Chen, M.D.**a, **Stuart Fogel, Ph.D**c,d,e,f , **Julien Doyon, Ph.D.**e,f,g, **Jinsong Wu, M.D., Ph.D.**h, **Jianghui Xu, M.D.**a, **Jianfeng Zhang, M.S.**<sup>i</sup> , **Pengmin Qin, Ph.D.**<sup>j</sup> , **Xuehai Wu, M.D., Ph.D.**h, **Ying Mao, M.D., Ph.D.**h, **George A. Mashour, M.D., Ph.D.**b, **Anthony G. Hudetz, D.B.M., Ph.D.**b, **Georg Northoff, M.D., Ph.D.**c,i

aDepartment of Anesthesiology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, PR China

bDepartment of Anesthesiology and Center for Consciousness Science, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

c Institute of Mental Health Research, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

<sup>d</sup>School of Psychology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

<sup>e</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

<sup>f</sup>Functional Neuroimaging Unit, Centre de Recherche de l'institut Universitaire de Gériatrie de Montréal, Montréal, Quebec, Canada

<sup>g</sup>McConnell Brain Imaging Center, Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

hDepartment of Neurosurgery, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, PR China

<sup>i</sup>Mental Health Center, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, PR China

<sup>j</sup>School of Psychology, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, PR China

# These authors contributed equally to this work.

# **Abstract**

**Background:** Consciousness is supported by integrated brain activity across widespread functionally segregated networks. The functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI)-derived

All authors have read the revised manuscript and agreed to submit the revised manuscript to ANESTHESIOLOGY. Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

<sup>\*</sup>**Corresponding Author**: Jun Zhang, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Anesthesiology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University. 12#, Wulumuqi Middle Road, Shanghai, 200040, China. Tel.:+86-21-52887693; Fax: +86-21-52887690; snapzhang@aliyun.com. Authors' Contributions

S.T. and Z.H. analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript; Z.H. and Jun Z. conceived and supervised the collaborative project. Jun Z. performed the anesthetic procedures and collected the human propofol anesthesia and rat propofol anesthesia data; Y.C. collected the rat propofol anesthesia data and coordinated the experiment; S.F. and J.D. conceived of and designed the initial study which collected the human natural sleep data; J.W., J.X. and Jianfeng Z. contributed to the human propofol anesthesia data collection; P.Q., X.W. and Y.M. collected the data of patients with disorders of consciousness; S.T., Z.H., Jun Z., G.A.M., A.G.H. and G.N. wrote the article; S. T., Z.H., Jun Z., Y.C., S.F., J.D., J.W., J.X. Jianfeng Z., P.Q., X.W., Y.M., G.A.M., A.G.H. and G.N. interpreted the data, revised the manuscript, and ensured the accuracy of the contents.

global brain signal is a candidate marker for a conscious state and thus we hypothesized that unconsciousness would be accompanied by a loss of global temporal coordination, with specific patterns of decoupling between local regions and global activity differentiating among various unconscious states.

**Methods:** fMRI global signals were studied in physiologic, pharmacologic, and pathologic states of unconsciousness in: human natural sleep (n=9), propofol anesthesia (humans, n=14; male rats,  $n=12$ ), and neuropathological patients ( $n=21$ ). The global signal amplitude as well as the correlation between global signal and signals of local voxels were quantified. The former reflects the net strength of global temporal coordination and the latter yields global signal topography.

**Results:** A profound reduction of global signal amplitude was seen consistently across the various unconscious states: wakefulness (median  $[1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>$  quartile], 0.46 [0.21, 0.50]) vs. NREM3 sleep (0.30 [0.24, 0.32]; P=0.035), wakefulness (0.36 [0.31, 0.42]) vs. general anesthesia (0.25  $[0.21, 0.28]$ ; P = 0.001), healthy controls  $(0.30 [0.27, 0.37])$  vs. unresponsive wakefulness syndrome  $(0.22 \, [0.15, 0.24]$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ), and low dose  $(0.07 \, [0.06, 0.08])$  vs. high dose of propofol  $(0.04 [0.03, 0.05]; P = 0.028)$  in rats. Furthermore, NREM3 sleep was characterized by a decoupling of sensory and attention networks from the global network. General anesthesia and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome were characterized by a dissociation of the majority of functional networks from the global network. This decoupling, however, was dominated by distinct neuroanatomic foci (e.g., precuneus and anterior cingulate cortices).

**Conclusion:** The global temporal coordination of various modules across the brain may distinguish the coarse-grained state of consciousness vs. unconsciousness, while the relationship between the global and local signals may define the particular qualities of a particular unconscious state.

## **Summary Statement**

We demonstrate a breakdown of global temporal coordination of brain activity during physiologic, pharmacologic, and pathologic states of unconsciousness in human and rats. There are specific alterations of global signal topography that differentiate unconscious states.

#### **Keywords**

unconsciousness; sleep; anesthesia; unresponsive wakefulness syndrome; global brain signal

# **Introduction**

Despite various distinctions among modern theories of consciousness,  $1-4$  there seems to be a common requirement for a global component that is tightly associated with conscious states, i.e., integrated brain activity across widespread functionally segregated networks. Integrated Information Theory states that consciousness is integrated information, resulting in experience that is both differentiated and integrated.<sup>1</sup> Global Neuronal Workspace Theory argues that conscious access emerges when incoming sensory information is broadcast globally to multiple cognitive systems allowing information to be flexibly shared across cortical processors.<sup>2,3</sup> Temporospatial Theory of Consciousness emphasizes the central role of temporospatial nestedness of neuronal activity throughout the brain, which enables

sensory inputs to be aligned with the ongoing brain activity, expanded, and globalized both in time and space.<sup>4</sup>

The fMRI-derived global signal refers to the average time course of brain activity of all gray matter voxels and might be a candidate marker of conscious states.<sup>5–7</sup> The value of the global signal at each time point reflects transient global temporal coordination across voxels at that time. $8-11$  When the temporal coordination is high, i.e., most voxels have the same sign (either positive or negative), the summation of the values across voxels will tend to be either positive or negative. On the other hand, when the temporal coordination is low, i.e., the proportions of positive and negative voxel values are roughly equal, the voxel values tend to cancel out and the global signal value will be close to zero. By measuring the amplitude of global signal, referring to the standard deviation of the global signal over the course of the scan, one can infer the net strength of global temporal coordination or overall brain connectivity.<sup>6</sup> Furthermore, the correlation between the global signal and the signals of local voxels reflects how much each voxel's temporal variance is shared with that of the global signal. We defined the resulting spatial map as the global signal representation across the brain – global signal topography.<sup>6,7,12</sup> Despite some controversy about the origin of the global fMRI signal, e.g., that it may in part reflect noise,  $^{13}$  recent empirical and neural modeling studies suggest that the global signal has a physiological and neural basis. 5,8,9,11,14–23 However, the relevance of the global brain signal in general, and that of the global signal amplitude and global signal topography in particular, to consciousness remains an open question.

To fill this gap of knowledge, we investigated how the global brain signal changes across the spectrum of different states of consciousness. We hypothesized that unconsciousness is accompanied by a loss of global temporal coordination, while specific patterns of decoupling between local regions and global activity may distinguish different kinds of unconscious states. In order to obtain a generally valid answer, we examined fMRI-derived global signals across physiologic, pharmacologic, and pathologic states of unconsciousness: different natural sleep stages (NREM1, NREM2 and NREM3) in humans; sedation and general anesthesia in humans and rats; and minimally conscious state and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome in human patients.

# **Materials & Methods**

#### **Human natural sleep**

The human natural sleep electroencephalography fMRI data has been previously published. 24,25 The experiment was approved by Research Ethics Board at the Institut Universitaire de Gériatrie de Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. The study included nine right-handed healthy participants (male/female:  $5/4$ ). The original data set included thirteen subjects<sup>25</sup> but four subjects were excluded due to insufficient segment size (eliminated segments with < 8 time points) for NREM3, leaving nine subjects for this study. Participants had normal body weight (body mass index  $25$ ) and no history of psychiatric or neurologic disorder (see 25) for more details of inclusion criteria). At least 7 days before the study, the participants were asked to keep regular sleep-wake cycle (bedtime 10pm~1am, waketime 7am~10am) and

avoid taking daytime naps. Adherence to this schedule was confirmed using actigraphy and a sleep diary. All participants underwent an acclimatization night in a mock scanner.

Electroencephalography data was used to determine the sleep stages. The human natural sleep study used 64 channel magnetic resonance compatible electroencephalography cap (Braincap MR, Easycap, Herrsching, Germany). Data was recorded at 5000 samples/second with 500-nV resolution and filtered to 0.016–250 Hz. Data was transferred and synchronized to scanner clock using Brain Products Recording Software, Version 1.x (Brain Products, Gilching, Germany). The electroencephalography data was sleep stage scored using standard American Academy of Sleep Medicine criteria after preprocessing by removing gradient artifacts using adaptive average subtraction and correcting ballistocadiographic artifacts using a combination of artifact template subtraction and event-related independent component analysis using the "fMRI Artifact rejection and Sleep Scoring Toolbox". Data were then low-pass filter 60 Hz, down sampled to 250 samples/second, and re-referenced to average mastoids.

All human natural sleep fMRI data were acquired by 3T Siemens Trio Total imaging matrix systems (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with 12 channel head coil. The echo-planar images were acquired at repetition-time/echo-time=2160/30ms, slice thickness=3mm, 40 slices, field of view=220mm, flip angle=90°, matrix size=64×64. Using AFNI 3dTproject, whole sleep recordings were cut and concatenated to wakefulness, NREM1, NREM2 and NREM3, where segments smaller than 8 time points were eliminated.

#### **Human propofol anesthesia**

The human propofol anesthesia dataset has been previously published.<sup>26,27</sup> This study was approved by Ethics Committee of Huashan Hospital, Fudan University. All participants gave written informed consent. The study included 14 subjects (male/female: 6/8; age: 32–36 years) who were selected for elective resection of pituitary microadenoma via a transsphenoidal approach (< 10 mm in diameter without sella expansion by radiological and plasma endocrinic indicators). The blinding method was not used, and there was no missing subject. Participants were American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I or II grade with no history of craniotomy, cerebral neuropathy, or vital organs dysfunction.

By target-controlled infusion, we sustained 1.3 μg/ml effect-site concentration for sedation state. The patients then followed by remifentanil  $(1.0 \mu g/kg)$  and succinylcholine  $(1.5 \mu g/kg)$ mg/kg) to facilitate endotracheal intubation. For general (deep) anesthesia state, we maintained the stable effect-site concentration (4.0 μg/ml) which reliably kept patients under unconscious state. Propofol effects on the brain are assumed to be not affected by other medications like analgesic remifentanil and depolarized neuromuscular relaxant succinylcholine because they are rapidly removed from plasma. During general anesthesia, intermittent positive pressure ventilation was used with tidal volume 8–10 ml/kg, respiratory rate  $10-12$  beats per minute, and  $PetCO<sub>2</sub>$  35-40 mmHg. In the postoperative follow-up, no subject reported any memory of the anesthetic state during the fMRI scanning and surgical procedure.

A Siemens 3T scanner (Siemens MAGNETOM, Germany) was used extract whole brain gradient echo-planar images (number of slices=33, repetition-time/echo-time=2000/30ms, slice thickness=5mm, field of view=210mm, flip angle= $90^{\circ}$ , image matrix: 64×64). Three 8minute resting-state scans were recorded in wakefulness, sedation and general anesthesia. High-resolution anatomical images were obtained. Prior to sedation scanning, subjects were given intravenously hydroxyethyl starch (500 ml) to prevent hypotension caused by propofol-induced vasodilation.

#### **Rat propofol anesthesia**

The experiment was performed on 12 adult male Sprague-Dawley rats in sequential order (age: 8–10 weeks; weight: 280–320g; Shanghai Sippr-BK laboratory animal Co. Ltd, China). The blinding method was not used, and there were no missing data. The study protocol was approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Medical College, Fudan University. The experiment was carried out in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and ARRIVE guidelines. All animals were housed in a temperature-controlled facility with a reverse light-dark cycle (lights on at 6:00 AM) for at least 7 days prior to experiment and had ad libitum access to food and water. We used only male animals for the following considerations: 1) female rats may introduce uncontrolled variables caused by hormonal fluctuations associated with the female reproductive cycle; 2) there may be potential sex differences in response to propofol dosages (same dosage but distinct behavioral responsiveness), which may pose technique challenges for animal experimental settings; 3) we followed the majority of fMRI studies in rats, where adult male rats were commonly used.

All the rats were first settled in a rat fixator in prone position and received tail vein cannulation. Propofol anesthetic was induced with a 20 mg/kg intravenous propofol bolus following by 20mg/kg/h propofol continuous intravenous infusion. They were then turned to supine position for endotracheal intubation rapidly. After confirmation of the tube position, rats were given neuromuscular blocker rocuronium and ventilated by a volume-cycled magnetic resonance compatible rodent ventilator (SAR-1000, CWE, USA), with a mixture of  $O_2$ /air (30/70), at 50–60 pushes per minute and 4.5–5.0 ml tidal volume delivered at a flow rate of 5L/min. The rat's core temperature was maintained at  $37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C by a heated blanket. Rats continuously received infusion of 20mg/kg/h propofol at least 30 minutes before first fMRI scan via 5 m infusion line connected to a Graseby TM 3500 TCI Syringe Pump (Smiths Medical UK). During the fMRI procedure, each rat was successively administrated propofol from 20mg/kg/h, then 40mg/kg/h, to 80 mg/kg/h with a 30-min interval during which the propofol dosage was adjusted by changing the infusion rate on the pump.

To understand the physiological changes at three different propofol dosages during mechanical ventilation, a separate group of 3 rats were examined outside the scanner, with the same protocol as in the fMRI procedure. Briefly, after tail vein cannulation and endotracheal intubation, sterile, 2% lidocaine was administered subcutaneously for local anesthesia and femoral artery cannulation was performed. Then we monitored arterial blood pressure and heart rate on the monitor (Datex Ohmeda S/5, Helsinki, Finland) and took an

arterial blood sample at each propofol dosage to perform arterial blood gas analysis. The rats in the fMRI study and physiological measurements were executed with intravenous propofol and rocuronium for euthanasia after the experiment.

The fMRI data were acquired on a 7T Bruker Biospec system (Bruker BioSpin, Ettlingen, Germany) in supine position with a volume transmit/surface receive coil configuration. Three resting-state functional scans were taken with a single-shot echo-planar imaging sequence at low (20 mg/kg/h), medium (40 mg/kg/h) and high dosages (80 mg/kg/h) propofol with the following parameters: number of slices=80, repetition-time/echotime=4000/16ms, slice thickness=0.3mm, field of view=35mm×35mm, flip angle=90°, image matrix: 96×96, repetition=100. High-resolution anatomical images were obtained. We increased propofol dosage incrementally by changing the infusion rate at the end of each resting scan and waited 15 min for equilibrium at each propofol dosage, followed by the subsequent resting state scans. The muscle relaxant, rocuronium was given intravenously every 30 minutes to eliminate artifacts from spontaneous respiration and involuntary movement.

#### **Patients with disorders of consciousness**

The dataset of patients with disorders of consciousness has been previously published. 26,28,29 The dataset included 21 patients (male/female: 18/3) with disorders of consciousness (patients with disorders of consciousness) and 28 healthy control subjects (male/female: 14/14). The patients with disorders of consciousness patients were assessed using the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised<sup>30</sup> on the day of fMRI scanning. 13 patients were diagnosed as unresponsive wakefulness syndrome, and 8 were diagnosed as minimally conscious state. There were no missing subjects in the analysis. For the healthy controls, none had a history of neurological or psychiatric disorders, nor were they taking any kind of medication. Informed written consent was obtained from the patients' legal representatives, and from the healthy participants. The study was also approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Huashan Hospital, Fudan University.

The fMRI data were acquired on Siemens 3T scanner. Echo-planar images covered the whole brain: 33 slices, repetition-time/echo-time=2000/35 ms, slice thickness=4 mm, field of view=256 mm, flip angle=90°, image matrix: 64×64. Two hundred echo-planar imaging volumes as well as high-resolution anatomical images were acquired.

#### **Data preprocessing**

Preprocessing steps were implemented in AFNI [\(https://afni.nimh.nih.gov/\)](https://afni.nimh.nih.gov/) including: (1) discarding the first four frames of each fMRI run; 2) slice timing correction; 3) rigid body correction/realignment within and across runs; 4) coregistration with high-resolution anatomical images; 5) spatial normalization into Talaraich stereotactic space; 6) resampling to  $3\times3\times3$  mm<sup>3</sup> voxels; 7) regressing out linear and nonlinear drift, head motion and its temporal derivative, mean time series from the white matter and cerebrospinal fluid to control for physiological and non-neural noise, and band-pass filtered to 0.01–0.10 Hz; 8) spatial smoothing with 6 mm full-width at half-maximum isotropic Gaussian kernel; 9) the time-course per voxel of each run was z-score normalized, accounting for differences in

variance of non-neural origin (e.g., distance from head coil).<sup>31,32</sup> 10) The issue of head motion artifacts was addressed rigorously based on prior studies.<sup>33,34</sup> Frame-wise displacement motion censoring was calculated using frame-wise Euclidean Norm (square root of the sum squares) of the six-dimension motion derivatives. A frame and its each previous frame were censored out from the original data using 3dTproject if the given frame's derivative value has a Euclidean Norm above frame-wise displacement is 0.4 mm  $(0.1 \text{ mm}$  for rats).<sup>26,35</sup> Simultaneous cardiac and respiratory data (time locked to the fMRI signal acquisition) were not available due to the technical difficulties in the clinical settings. Nevertheless, the potential effects of physiological noise were controlled by regressing out timecourses based on non-gray matter signals and band-pass filtering during the above preprocessing steps. Since our study focuses on global signal, global signal regression will terminate any effects by global signal. Therefore, global signal regression was not done for the analysis. This was in line with the recommendations that it is reasonable to leave out global signal regression if the scientific question requires it.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Global signal measurements**

For each subject (or each state), we first extracted the average fMRI time series across all voxels in the gray-matter, namely global signal. The standard deviation of the global signal time series was defined as the global signal amplitude. We computed Pearson correlations between the global signal and the signal of each voxel in the gray matter. This yielded a whole-brain voxel-wise correlation map with a correlation coefficient (Fischer's Z transformed) per voxel. The gray-matter average of correlation coefficients was defined as global signal functional connectivity.

The whole-brain voxel-wise correlation map derived during global signal functional connectivity calculation was defined as the global signal topography. Next, we adopted a well-established node template<sup>36</sup> that had been slightly modified for a previous study<sup>26</sup> containing 10 functional networks: subcortical, dorsal attention, ventral attention, default mode, frontoparietal task control, cingulo-opercular task control, salience, sensory/ somatomotor, auditory, and visual networks. The averaged correlation coefficient (Fischer's Z transformed) across voxels for each network was extracted from the whole-brain correlation map, namely global-to-network functional connectivity, which indexes the degree to which the signal of each network is temporally coordinated with the global signal. This analysis was not done on the rat data as there is no agreeable brain network coordinate between rats and humans.

#### **Statistical analysis**

The global signal amplitude, global signal functional connectivity and global signal topography (network- and voxel-wise) was computed for each dataset; human natural sleep: wakefulness, NREM1, NREM2 and NREM3; human propofol anesthesia: wakefulness, sedation and general anesthesia; rat propofol anesthesia: low, medium, and high dose of propofol; patients with disorders of consciousness: healthy control, minimally conscious state and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome. Second-level analyses included the following: (1) Spearman's rank correlation analyses between global signal amplitude (and global signal functional connectivity) and level of consciousness (assigned as ranks) were

performed across human and rat subjects, respectively, with a 95% confidence interval (CI) based on 1000 bootstrap samples. The wakefulness state in humans (or low dosage of propofol in rats) was ranked at 3; intermediate states (NREM1, NREM2, sedation, and minimally conscious state in humans; medium dosage of propofol in rats) were ranked at 2; and unconscious states (NREM3, general anesthesia, and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome in humans; high dosage of propofol in rats) were ranked as 1. (2) To assay the main treatment effects of global signal amplitude (and global signal functional connectivity) on the level of consciousness, Friedman's tests were performed for paired samples (human natural sleep, human propofol anesthesia and rat propofol anesthesia) and Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed for unpaired samples (patients with disorders of consciousness). (3) Post-hoc tests were performed with Wilcoxon (paired samples) and Mann-Whitney (unpaired samples) tests on the global signal amplitude (and global signal functional connectivity). (4) To assay the state differences in the global signal topography at the network level, Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney tests were performed for the contrasts of wakefulness vs. unconsciousness states (NREM3 stage of sleep, general anesthesia, and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome), as well as between different unconsciousness states. For (3) and (4), all tests were two-tailed. Using the Benjiamini-Hochberg procedure, results in (3) were false discovery rate corrected for multiple comparisons for each dataset (e.g., for natural sleep there are four stages, so we correct for six comparisons) and thresholded at  $\alpha$  = 0.05. Results in (4) were false discovery rate corrected for multiple comparisons across networks for each dataset (e.g., ten comparisons per dataset) and thresholded at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . (5) Whole-brain non-parametric tests for wakefulness vs. unconsciousness states, as well as between different unconsciousness states, were performed for the global signal topography at the voxel level. The resulting delta-maps were thresholded at  $\alpha = 0.05$  at the cluster level by AFNI function: 3dWilcoxon (paired samples) and 3dMannWhitney (unpaired samples).

# **Results**

# **Global signal amplitude and global signal functional connectivity decreases across states of consciousness**

We observed a gradual reduction in the global temporal coordination of brain activity when consciousness was reduced to a state in which subjects are still arousable or show residual behavioral signs of consciousness (NREM1 and NREM2 stages of sleep, sedation, and minimally conscious state). We found a profound reduction of global temporal coordination during states in which subjects are generally considered to be unconscious (NREM3 stage of sleep, general anesthesia, and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome) in humans and propofol anesthesia in rats (Fig. 1). This was quantified by Spearman rank correlations between the global signal amplitude (and global signal functional connectivity) and the level of consciousness. We found statistically significant positive correlations in humans (rho=0.41, p<0.001, 95% CI: 0.27–0.53) and rats (rho=0.53, p<0.001, 95% CI: 0.20–0.78) for global signal amplitude, and in humans (rho=0.41,  $p<0.001$ , 95% CI: 0.27–0.53) and rats  $(rho=0.55, p<0.001, 95\% \text{ CI: } 0.24-0.80)$  for global signal functional connectivity.

Statistically significant treatment effects were present in global signal amplitude (human natural sleep:  $p = 0.003$ , Chi<sup>2</sup> = 14.20; human propofol anesthesia:  $p = 0.005$ , Chi<sup>2</sup> = 10.43;

rat propofol anesthesia:  $p = 0.028$ , Chi<sup>2</sup> = 7.17; disorder of consciousness:  $p < 0.001$ , Chi<sup>2</sup> = 18.04), and global signal functional connectivity (human natural sleep:  $p = 0.002$ , Chi<sup>2</sup> = 14.73; human propofol anesthesia:  $p = 0.005$ , Chi<sup>2</sup> = 10.43; rat propofol anesthesia:  $p =$ 0.017, Chi<sup>2</sup> = 8.17; disorder of consciousness:  $p < 0.001$ , Chi<sup>2</sup> = 17.73) (Table 1). Post-hoc analysis showed a statistically significant reduction of global signal amplitude and global signal functional connectivity in various unconscious states. For global signal amplitude (Fig. 2A), the comparisons were wakefulness (median  $[1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>$  quartile], 0.46 [0.21, 0.50]) vs. NREM3 sleep (0.30 [0.24, 0.32]; P=0.035), wakefulness (0.36 [0.31, 0.42]) vs. general anesthesia (0.25 [0.21, 0.28];  $P < 0.001$ ), healthy controls (0.30 [0.27, 0.37]) vs. unresponsive wakefulness syndrome  $(0.22 \, [0.15, 0.24]; P < 0.001)$ , and low dose  $(0.07$ [0.06, 0.08] *vs.* high dose of propofol  $(0.04 \, [0.03, 0.05]$ ; P = 0.028) in rats. For global signal functional connectivity (Fig. 2B), the comparisons were wakefulness  $(0.51 \, [0.22, 0.59])$  *vs.* NREM3 sleep (0.31 [0.25, 0.33]; P=0.035), wakefulness (0.40 [0.32, 0.51]) *vs.* general anesthesia (0.26 [0.22, 0.29];  $P = 0.001$ ), healthy controls (0.32 [0.28, 0.39]) vs. unresponsive wakefulness syndrome  $(0.23 \, [0.15, 0.26]; P < 0.001)$ , and low dose  $(0.15$ [0.13, 0.18]) vs. high dose of propofol  $(0.07 \, [0.06, 0.11]$ ; P = 0.015) in rats.

Global signal amplitude and global signal functional connectivity yielded similar results, which was expected given that the two measurements are mathematically related with both quantifying the shared variances across voxels.<sup>11,37</sup> In fact, statistically significant correlations (across subjects) between global signal amplitude and global signal functional connectivity were observed in both humans (rho=0.99, p<0.001, 95% CI: 0.98–0.99) and rats (rho=0.97, p<0.001, 95% CI: 0.93–0.99) (Fig. 2C). Collectively, the above results suggest a strong association between the net strength of overall brain connectivity (i.e. global temporal coordination) and the level of consciousness.

In addition, we found no statistically significant sex effect (female vs. male; median [1st, 3rd quartile]) for either conscious or unconscious states in the human datasets. For global signal amplitude, the results were  $(0.39 [0.27, 0.49])$  vs.  $(0.47 [0.22, 0.52]; P = 0.730)$  and  $(0.28$ [0.23, 0.31]) *vs.* (0.31 [0.24, 0.33];  $P = 0.730$ ) during wakefulness and NREM3 of human sleep dataset respectively, (0.38 [0.31, 0.44]) vs. (0.36 [0.33, 0.37]; P = 0.852) and (0.26 [0.21, 0.28]) vs. (0.25 [0.23, 0.28]; P = 0.852) during wakefulness and general anesthesia of human propofol dataset respectively, and  $(0.30 \, [0.27, 0.35])$  vs.  $(0.31 \, [0.28, 0.44]; P =$ 0.175) in the healthy control group of neuropathological patients (biologic sex analysis was not performed in patients due to the limited number of females). For global signal functional connectivity, the results were  $(0.42 \, [0.28, 0.56])$  vs.  $(0.52 \, [0.23, 0.59])$ ; P = 0.905) and  $(0.29 \,$ [0.24, 0.32]) vs.  $(0.33 \, [0.25, 0.35]$ ; P = 0.905) during wakefulness and NREM3 of human sleep dataset respectively,  $(0.41 [0.32, 0.55])$  vs.  $(0.39 [0.34, 0.40]; P = 0.852)$  and  $(0.27$ [0.21, 0.29]) vs.  $(0.26 [0.24, 0.29]$ ; P = 0.852) during wakefulness and general anesthesia of human propofol dataset respectively, and  $(0.32 [0.28, 0.39])$  vs.  $(0.33 [0.29, 0.49]; P =$ 0.175) in the healthy control group of neuropathological patients.

# **Global signal topography**

We compared the global-to-network functional connectivity, indexing the degree to which the signal of each network is temporally coordinated with the global signal, between wakeful

and unconscious states (NREM3 sleep, general anesthesia and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome). Adopting a pre-defined network template (Fig. 3A), we found statistical significance in reduced global-to-network functional connectivity in auditory, visual, sensory/somatomotor, ventral and dorsal attention networks during NREM3 sleep (Fig. 3B), reduced global-to-network functional connectivity in all except in cingulo-opercular, salience and frontoparietal task control networks during general anesthesia (Fig. 3C). Statistical significance in reduced global-to-network functional connectivity was observed in all networks in unresponsive wakefulness syndrome patients (Fig. 3D). Statistics are reported in Table 2. These results suggest that the reduction of global temporal coordination of brain activity (measured by global signal amplitude and global signal functional connectivity) during NREM3 sleep was likely due to selective decoupling of sensory and attention networks from the global activity. In contrast, during what is presumably complete loss of consciousness (general anesthesia and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome), the majority of functionally segregated networks were uncoupled from the global brain network. In addition, comparisons between different states of unconsciousness were performed at the network level. No statistically significant result was seen after multiple comparison corrections (uncorrected p values are reported in Table 2).

The voxel-level topographical representation of the global signal showed relatively sparse changes, limited to sensory and attention networks, during NREM3 sleep, whereas it showed widespread changes during general anesthesia and in unresponsive wakefulness syndrome patients (Fig. 4). Interestingly, there seemed to be distinct topographical changes in general anesthesia and in unresponsive wakefulness syndrome. Specifically, the peak clusters of group differences were located in the precuneus in general anesthesia, and in the anterior cingulate cortex in unresponsive wakefulness syndrome. Moreover, statistically significant regional differences between unconscious conditions were found in the thalamus (NREM3 > unresponsive wakefulness syndrome  $>$  general anesthesia), precuneus (NREM3  $=$ unresponsive wakefulness syndrome > general anesthesia), and anterior cingulate cortex (NREM3 = general anesthesia > unresponsive wakefulness syndrome).

# **Discussion**

The goal of this investigation was to examine how the fMRI-based global brain signal changes across the spectrum of different states of consciousness. We demonstrated a strong association between the net strength of overall brain connectivity (measured by global signal amplitude and global signal functional connectivity) and the level of consciousness in both humans and rats. Furthermore, we found specific alterations in the global signal topography during unconsciousness states. NREM3 sleep was characterized by a decoupling of sensory and attention networks from the global network. General anesthesia and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome were characterized by a dissociation of the majority of functionally segregated networks from the global network. Finally, the reduction of global temporal coordination of brain activity during general anesthesia and in unresponsive wakefulness syndrome patients seems to be mediated by distinct neuroanatomic foci (e.g., precuneus and anterior cingulate cortices). Collectively, our findings suggest that the global temporal coordination defines the coarse-grained state of consciousness vs. unconsciousness, while

the relationship of the global and local signals defines the particular qualities of that unconscious state, which may be determinants of reversibility.

Our findings are in agreement with neuroimaging studies suggesting that global functional connectivity of the brain is an indicator of level of consciousness in both humans<sup>26,29,38–40</sup> and rodents. $41,42$  The current study adds support to this proposition based on the assessment of the global brain signal for the first time. Furthermore, our findings on the net strength of overall brain connectivity offer a complementary view with regard to the alterations of functional network interactions during loss of consciousness.<sup>43</sup>

Importantly, we identified both state-invariant and state-specific features of the global signal during various states of consciousness. There was a clear correlation of global signal amplitude and global signal functional connectivity with level of consciousness that was, in terms of unconsciousness, independent of the physiologic, pharmacologic, or pathologic etiology. However, the relationship of the global signal to local signals demonstrated specificity, as we would expect from these distinct states.

Global brain activity makes up a large portion of the resting-state fMRI signal and appears related to fluctuations in arousal and vigilance,  $8,9,16$  memory consolidation,  $44$  and psychiatric symptoms.<sup>7</sup> Recent studies have provided evidence for a link between fMRIderived global signal and electrophysiology.<sup>8,16,19</sup> Nevertheless, the exact origin and mechanism of the global brain signal and its functional role remain unsettled. The global signal could result from either a common source (e.g., thalamus or basal forebrain) that broadcasts local signals to the rest of the brain<sup>8,16</sup> or from a widespread interaction of functional networks via feedback pathways.<sup>37</sup> Our results on the topographical representation of global signal map suggest multiple sources of the global signal. Although a reduction of global signal accompanies loss of consciousness due to various reasons, some of the networks and focal brain regions (e.g., precuneus and anterior cingulate) that are involved in this phenomenon may be different. This may be due to the fact that different conditions (e.g., natural sleep, anesthesia, neuropathological disorders) involve different molecular mechanisms, neural circuits, and brain functions, which likely result in different global signal topographies.

Although our results may imply that unconsciousness results in loss of coordination in neuronal activity, caveats need to be pointed out when generalizing fMRI findings to electroencephalographic findings (and vice-versa). Many electroencephalographic studies have documented that unconsciousness is associated with an increase in neuronal activity correlations, presumably reflecting correlated depolarization of cortical neurons.45,46 For instance, low-frequency high-amplitude electroencephalographic oscillations (e.g., slowwave activity) are a neural signature for NREM3 sleep and anesthetic-induced unconsciousness.47 Notably, electroencephalographic recordings and fMRI-derived blood oxygen level dependent timecourses are inherently different signals, measuring different types of brain activity.48 To understand the apparent counterpoint between fMRI and electroencephalographic studies, one may revisit the possible relationship between electrophysiologic and blood-oxygen-level-dependent signals. As reported by Schölvinck et al.  $(2010)$ ,<sup>19</sup> the power of local field potentials at different frequencies (below 12 Hz and

above 40 Hz) were both correlated with global blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal, whereas the power between 12 and 40 Hz was not found to have a significant relationship to global blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal. As different states of sleep and unconscious states are characterized by differences in electrophysiological power spectra relative to conscious wakefulness,  $47$  it is possible that neuronal activity is coordinated in the state of unconsciousness but in a frequency band that is not reflected in the global fluctuations of blood-oxygen-level-dependent signals. Furthermore, as shown in the same study,  $19$  the degree of correlation between global blood-oxygen-level-dependent signals and local field potentials is state dependent. Specifically, when the level of arousal wanes, so does the correlation between global blood-oxygen-level-dependent signals and local field potentials. Therefore, in the case of a conscious brain, global blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal does offer some albeit limited information about brain activity, but in the case of diminished consciousness this information may be lost. Taken together, loss of global fluctuations in blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal during unconsciousness does not necessarily imply lack of coordination of neuronal activity in general. Future studies with concurrent fMRI and electrophysiology may help disentangle those conditions, and hopefully provide deeper insight into the global brain activity in relation to the level of consciousness.

A few limitations of our study are recognized. First, although this study covered a variety of unconscious conditions, it remains unable to clearly distinguish between behavioral arousal and conscious awareness. Nevertheless, given that in unresponsive wakefulness syndrome patients arousal is relatively preserved while awareness is suppressed, the reduction of global temporal coordination of brain activity would likely reflect the level of awareness more than that of arousal. Second, our study focused on the level of consciousness while neglecting other dimensions, such as phenomenal experience or conscious access.49 We cannot address, for example, how the changes in global signal affect the processing of specific contents. Third, we did not find statistically significant sex effect in the human groups. However, due to the limited sample size in human subjects and only male animals were studied, we were not able to exclude possible sex effects in terms of global brain signal alteration during unconsciousness. This possibility will be examined in future studies. Lastly, we did not perform a priori statistical power calculation before acquiring our data. However, we applied a pooled data analysis and drew the major conclusion, i.e., a breakdown of global temporal coordination during unconsciousness, based on the results across physiologic, pharmacologic, and pathologic states of unconsciousness (n=36 in humans; n=12 in rats). We believe that the consistency of results found across different types of unconsciousness enhances the reliability of the observed effects. The specific patterns of decoupling between local regions and global activity in different kinds of unconscious states were based on unpooled analysis with limited sample size, which may be considered as exploratory results that warrant future studies with a larger sample size.

## **Conclusions**

The breakdown of global temporal coordination across the brain seems to be a general, species-invariant finding across physiologic, pharmacologic, and pathologic states of unconsciousness. However, variations in signal topography show state-specific patterns that might differentiate sleep, anesthesia, and disorders of consciousness.

# **Funding Statement**

This research was supported by Medical Guidance Supporting Project from Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Committee (No. 17411961400) to Jun Zhang; supported by the Canada Institute of Health Research (CIHR) to Julien Doyon; supported by the EJLB-Michael Smith Foundation, CIHR, the Hope of Depression Foundation (HDRF), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31271195), and European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation under the Specific Grant Agreement No. 785907 (Human Brain Project SGA2) to Georg Northoff; and supported by grants of the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (R01-GM103894) to Anthony G. Hudetz. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

# **References**

- 1. Tononi G, Boly M, Massimini M, Koch C: Integrated information theory: from consciousness to its physical substrate. Nat Rev Neurosci 2016; 17:450–61 [PubMed: 27225071]
- 2. Dehaene S, Charles L, King J-R, Marti S: Toward a computational theory of conscious processing. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2014; 25:76–84 [PubMed: 24709604]
- 3. Dehaene S, Changeux J-PP: Experimental and theoretical approaches to conscious processing. Neuron 2011; 70:200–27 [PubMed: 21521609]
- 4. Northoff G, Huang Z: How do the brain's time and space mediate consciousness and its different dimensions? Temporo-spatial theory of consciousness (TTC). Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2017; 80:630–45 [PubMed: 28760626]
- 5. Murphy K, Fox MD: Towards a consensus regarding global signal regression for resting state functional connectivity MRI. Neuroimage 2017; 154:169–73 [PubMed: 27888059]
- 6. Yang GJ, Murray JD, Repovs G, Cole MW, Savic A, Glasser MF, Pittenger C, Krystal JH, Wang X-J, Pearlson GD, Glahn DC, Anticevic A: Altered global brain signal in schizophrenia. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2014; 111:7438–43 [PubMed: 24799682]
- 7. Yang GJ, Murray JD, Glasser M, Pearlson GD, Krystal JH, Schleifer C, Repovs G, Anticevic A: Altered global signal topography in schizophrenia. Cereb Cortex 2017; 27:5156–69 [PubMed: 27702810]
- 8. Liu X, Zwart JA De, Schölvinck ML, Chang C, Ye FQ, Leopold DA, Duyn JH: Subcortical evidence for a contribution of arousal to fMRI studies of brain activity. Nat Commun 2018; 9:1–10 [PubMed: 29317637]
- 9. Chang C, Leopold DA, Schölvinck ML, Mandelkow H, Picchioni D, Liu X, Ye FQ, Turchi JN, Duyn JH: Tracking brain arousal fluctuations with fMRI. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2016; 113:4518–23 [PubMed: 27051064]
- 10. Nalci A, Rao BD, Liu TT: Global signal regression acts as a temporal downweighting process in resting-state fMRI. Neuroimage 2017; 152:602–18 [PubMed: 28089677]
- 11. Liu TT, Nalci A, Falahpour M: The global signal in fMRI: Nuisance or Information? Neuroimage 2017; 150:213–29 [PubMed: 28213118]
- 12. Zhang J, Magioncalda P, Huang Z, Tan Z, Hu X, Hu Z, Conio B, Amore M, Inglese M, Martino M, Northoff G: Altered global signal topography and its different regional localization in motor cortex and hippocampus in mania and depression. Schizophr Bull 2018; 45:902–10
- 13. Power JD, Laumann TO, Plitt M, Martin A, Petersen SE: On global fMRI signals and simulations. Trends Cogn Sci 2017; 21:911–3 [PubMed: 28939332]
- 14. Wen H, Liu Z: Broadband electrophysiological dynamics contribute to global resting-state fMRI signal. J Neurosci 2016; 36:6030–40 [PubMed: 27251624]
- 15. Billings J, Keilholz S: The not-so-global blood oxygen level-dependent signal. Brain Connect 2018; 8:121–8 [PubMed: 29430941]
- 16. Turchi J, Chang C, Ye FQ, Russ BE, Yu DK, Cortes CR, Monosov IE, Duyn JH, Leopold DA: The basal forebrain regulates global resting-state fMRI fluctuations. Neuron 2018; 97:940–952.e4 [PubMed: 29398365]
- 17. Liu TT: Reprint of 'noise contributions to the fMRI signal: An Overview.' Neuroimage 2017; 154:4–14 [PubMed: 28647022]

- 18. Shulman RG, Hyder F, Rothman DL: Baseline brain energy supports the state of consciousness. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2009; 106:11096–101 [PubMed: 19549837]
- 19. Scholvinck ML, Maier A, Ye FQ, Duyn JH, Leopold DA: Neural basis of global resting-state fMRI activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2010; 107:10238–43 [PubMed: 20439733]
- 20. Hahamy A, Calhoun V, Pearlson G, Harel M, Stern N, Attar F, Malach R, Salomon R: Save the global: global signal connectivity as a tool for studying clinical populations with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Brain Connect 2014; 4:395–403 [PubMed: 24923194]
- 21. Ponce-Alvarez A, Deco G, Hagmann P, Romani GL, Mantini D, Corbetta M: Resting-state temporal synchronization networks emerge from connectivity topology and heterogeneity. PLoS Comput Biol 2015; 11:e1004100 [PubMed: 25692996]
- 22. Pisauro MA, Benucci A, Carandini M: Local and global contributions to hemodynamic activity in mouse cortex. J Neurophysiol 2016; 115:2931–6 [PubMed: 26984421]
- 23. Thompson GJ, Riedl V, Grimmer T, Drzezga A, Herman P, Hyder F: The Whole-brain "global" signal from resting state fMRI as a potential biomarker of quantitative state changes in glucose metabolism. Brain Connect 2016; 6:435–47 [PubMed: 27029438]
- 24. Fogel S, Albouy G, King BR, Lungu O, Vien C, Bore A, Pinsard B, Benali H, Carrier J, Doyon J: Reactivation or transformation? Motor memory consolidation associated with cerebral activation time-locked to sleep spindles. PLoS One 2017; 12:1–26
- 25. Vahdat S, Fogel S, Benali H, Doyon J: Network-wide reorganization of procedural memory during NREM sleep revealed by fMRI. Elife 2017; 6:1–24
- 26. Huang Z, Liu X, Mashour GA, Hudetz AG: Timescales of intrinsic BOLD signal dynamics and functional connectivity in pharmacologic and neuropathologic states of unconsciousness. J Neurosci 2018; 38:2545–17
- 27. Huang Z, Zhang J, Wu J, Liu X, Xu J, Zhang J, Qin P, Dai R, Yang Z, Mao Y, Hudetz AG, Northoff G: Disrupted neural variability during propofol-induced sedation and unconsciousness. Hum Brain Mapp 2018; 39:4533–44. [PubMed: 29974570]
- 28. Huang Z, Dai R, Wu XX, Yang Z, Liu D, Hu J, Gao L, Tang W, Mao Y, Jin Y, Wu XX, Liu B, Zhang Y, Lu L, Laureys S, Weng X, Northoff G: The self and its resting state in consciousness: An investigation of the vegetative state. Hum Brain Mapp 2014; 35:1997–2008 [PubMed: 23818102]
- 29. Huang Z, Zhang JJ, Wu J, Qin P, Wu X, Wang Z, Dai R, Li Y, Liang W, Mao Y, Yang Z, Zhang JJ, Wolff A, Northoff G: Decoupled temporal variability and signal synchronization of spontaneous brain activity in loss of consciousness: An fMRI study in anesthesia. Neuroimage 2016; 124:693– 703 [PubMed: 26343319]
- 30. Giacino JT, Kalmar K, Whyte J: The JFK Coma Recovery Scale-Revised: Measurement characteristics and diagnostic utility. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2004; 85:2020–9 [PubMed: 15605342]
- 31. Huang Z, Zhang J, Longtin A, Dumont G, Duncan NW, Pokorny J, Qin P, Dai R, Ferri F, Weng X, Northoff G: Is there a nonadditive interaction between spontaneous and evoked activity? Phasedependence and its relation to the temporal structure of scale-free brain activity. Cereb Cortex 2017; 27:1037–59 [PubMed: 26643354]
- 32. He BJ: Scale-free properties of the functional magnetic resonance imaging signal during rest and task. J Neurosci 2011; 31:13786–95 [PubMed: 21957241]
- 33. Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE: Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. Neuroimage 2012; 59:2142–54 [PubMed: 22019881]
- 34. Power JD, Mitra A, Laumann TO, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE: Methods to detect, characterize, and remove motion artifact in resting state fMRI. Neuroimage 2014; 84:320–41 [PubMed: 23994314]
- 35. Horovitz SG, Braun AR, Carr WS, Picchioni D, Balkin TJ, Fukunaga M, Duyn JH: Decoupling of the brain's default mode network during deep sleep. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2009; 106:11376–81 [PubMed: 19549821]
- 36. Power JD, Cohen AL, Nelson SM, Wig GS, Barnes KA, Church JA, Vogel AC, Laumann TO, Miezin FM, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE: Functional network organization of the human brain. Neuron 2011; 72:665–78 [PubMed: 22099467]

- 37. Bennett MR, Farnell L, Gibson W, Lagopoulos J: On the origins of the "global signal" determined by functional magnetic resonance imaging in the resting state. J Neural Eng 2016; 13:016012 [PubMed: 26678535]
- 38. Monti MM, Lutkenhoff ES, Rubinov M, Boveroux P, Vanhaudenhuyse A, Gosseries O, Bruno M-A, Noirhomme Q, Boly M, Laureys S: Dynamic change of global and local information processing in propofol-induced loss and recovery of consciousness. PLoS Comput Biol 2013; 9:e1003271 [PubMed: 24146606]
- 39. Schroter MS, Spoormaker VI, Schorer A, Wohlschlager A, Czisch M, Kochs EF, Zimmer C, Hemmer B, Schneider G, Jordan D, Ilg R: Spatiotemporal reconfiguration of large-scale brain functional networks during propofol-induced loss of consciousness. J Neurosci 2012; 32:12832–40 [PubMed: 22973006]
- 40. Huang Z, Vlisides PE, Tarnal VC, Janke EL, Keefe KM, Collins MM, McKinney AM, Picton P, Harris RE, Mashour GA, Hudetz AG: Brain imaging reveals covert consciousness during behavioral unresponsiveness induced by propofol. Sci Rep 2018; 8:13195 [PubMed: 30181567]
- 41. Liang Z, King J, Zhang N: Intrinsic organization of the anesthetized Brain. J Neurosci 2012; 32:10183–91 [PubMed: 22836253]
- 42. Hamilton C, Ma Y, Zhang N: Global reduction of information exchange during anesthetic-induced unconsciousness. Brain Struct Funct 2017; 222:3205–16 [PubMed: 28289883]
- 43. Mashour GA, Hudetz AG: Neural correlates of unconsciousness in large-scale brain networks. Trends Neurosci 2018; 41:150–60 [PubMed: 29409683]
- 44. Matsui T, Murakami T, Ohki K: Transient neuronal coactivations embedded in globally propagating waves underlie resting-state functional connectivity. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2016; 113:6556–61 [PubMed: 27185944]
- 45. Sanchez-Vives MV, McCormick DA: Cellular and network mechanisms of rhytmic recurrent activity in neocortex. Nat Neurosci 2000; 3:1027–34 [PubMed: 11017176]
- 46. Vyazovskiy VV, Olcese U, Hanlon EC, Nir Y, Cirelli C, Tononi G: Local sleep in awake rats. Nature 2011; 472:443–7 [PubMed: 21525926]
- 47. Brown EN, Lydic R, Schiff ND: General anesthesia, sleep, and coma. N Engl J Med 2010; 363:2638–50 [PubMed: 21190458]
- 48. Fang Z, Ray LB, Houldin E, Smith D, Owen AM, Fogel SM: Sleep spindle-dependent functional connectivity correlates with cognitive abilities. J Cogn Neurosci 2019:1–22 doi:10.1162/ jocn\_a\_01488
- 49. Bayne T, Hohwy J, Owen AM: Are there levels of consciousness? Trends Cogn Sci 2016; 20:405– 13 [PubMed: 27101880]



# **Figure 1. Average whole-brain global signal correlation maps at the group level.**

Top-left: human subjects in different stages of sleep. Bottom-left: human subjects receiving propofol infusion. Top-right: rats receiving different doses of propofol. Bottom-right: human subjects of healthy controls, patients with minimally conscious state (MCS) and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (UWS). Of note, the distinctions in the images of the healthy controls during wakefulness may due to that different scanners or data acquisition parameters can affect the absolute value of the measurement.





**A.** Global signal amplitude as measured by the standard deviation of the global signal time series. **B.** Global signal functional connectivity as measured by the average correlation coefficient between the global signal and the signal of each voxel in gray matter. Human natural sleep dataset (n=9) includes wakefulness and three sleep stages (NREM1, NREM2 and NREM3). Human propofol anesthesia dataset (n=14) includes wakefulness, sedation and general anesthesia. Rat propofol anesthesia dataset (male, n=12) includes low, medium and high dose. Dataset of disorders of consciousness includes healthy controls (n=28), patients with minimally conscious state (MCS; n=8) and unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (UWS; n=13). \*denotes significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$  (corrected). Bars graph shows 1<sup>st</sup>

quartile, median and 3rd quartile. **C.** Correlation between global signal amplitude and global signal functional connectivity across all human subjects and rats.

Tanabe et al. Page 19



#### **Figure 3. Global signal topography at the network level.**

**A.** Illustration of the pre-defined network template: subcortical (Sub), ventral attention (VA), frontoparietal task control (FPTC), salience (Sal), auditory (Audi), dorsal attention (DA), default mode (DMN), cinguloopercular task control (COTC), sensory/somatomotor (SS), visual (Visual). **B-D.** Global-to-network functional connectivity in various human datasets. MCS: minimally conscious state; UWS: unresponsive wakefulness syndrome. \*denotes significance after false discovery rate correction ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Bars graph shows 1<sup>st</sup> quartile, median and 3rd quartile.



#### **Figure 4. Global signal topography at the voxel level.**

Delta-maps (Cliff's delta or d) from whole-brain voxel-wise non-parametric tests. Top three rows; comparison within conditions. Bottom three rows; comparison across conditions. Delta-maps were thresholded at  $\alpha = 0.05$  at the cluster level. The locations for the peak cluster of within condition differences (precuneus and anterior cingulate) are marked.

# **Table 1.**

Statistics for Friedman Rest and Kruskal-Wallis Rest, Post Hoc Tests on Global Signal Amplitude, and Global Signal Functional Connectivity





Post-hoc tests were performed with Wilcoxon test for Friedman test and Mann-Whitney test for Kruskal-Wallis test. MCS: minimally conscious state, UWS: unresponsive wakefulness syndrome. All post-hoc p-values are false discovery rate corrected  $(\alpha = 0.05)$  across the combinations of states (i.e., for human natural sleep we correct for six comparisons).

#### **Table 2.**

Statistics for Global-to-network Functional Connectivity





\* Normalized by subtracting mean of base state (Wakefulness, Health Control)

Minimally conscious state (MCS), unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (UWS). Subcortical (Sub), ventral attention (VA), frontoparietal task control (FPTC), salience (Sal), auditory (Audi), dorsal attention (DA), default mode (DMN), cinguloopercular task control (COTC), sensory/ somatomotor (SS), visual (Visual). Only p-values for 'Comparison within conditions' have been false discovery rate corrected  $(a = 0.05)$ .