Revised: 26 April 2021

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRESS & SUSTAINABLE ENERGY

How changes in human activities during the lockdown impacted air quality parameters: A review

Samuele Marinello¹ | Maria Angela Butturi² | Rita Gamberini^{1,2}

¹En&Tech Interdipartimental Center of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

²Department of Sciences and Methods for Engineering, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

Correspondence

Samuele Marinello, En&Tech Interdipartimental Center of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Amendola 2, Padiglione Morselli, 42122 Reggio Emilia, Italy.

Email: samuele.marinello@unimore.it

Abstract

The health emergency linked to the spread of COVID-19 has led to important reduction in industrial and logistics activities, as well as to a drastic changes in citizens' behaviors and habits. The restrictions on working activities, journeys and relationships imposed by the lockdown have had important consequences, including for environmental quality. This review aims to provide a structured and critical evaluation of the recent scientific bibliography that analyzed and described the impact of lockdown on human activities and on air quality. The results indicate an important effect of the lockdown during the first few months of 2020 on air pollution levels, compared to previous periods. The concentrations of particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide have decreased. Tropospheric ozone, on the other hand, has significantly increased. These results are important indicators that can become decision drivers for future policies and strategies in industrial and logistics activities (including the mobility sector) aimed at their environmental sustainability. The scenario imposed by COVID-19 has supported the understanding of the link between the reduction of polluting emissions and the state of air quality and will be able to support strategic choices for the future sustainable growth of the industrial and logistics sector.

KEYWORDS

air quality, atmospheric pollutants, COVID-19, COVID-19 conseguences, environmental impact, lockdown

INTRODUCTION 1

A cluster of citizens affected by a new form of disease was first detected in Wuhan (China) in December 2019.¹ The World Health Organization (WHO) called it SARS-COV-2 and the disease COVID-19. Among the possible causes of COVID-19 (primarily a respiratory disease), air pollution has been analyzed as a possible means of spreading and cause of susceptibility to the disease.²⁻⁴ In fact, there is a large amount of evidence of the relationship between air pollution and increased susceptibility to exposure to respiratory diseases and, now, to COVID-19.5-13

Since, during the acute phase of the spread of COVID-19, effective medicines and vaccines were not available to deal with the epidemic and reduce the negative impact on the infected, the solution recognized as the most effective to follow was that of social distancing (or lockdown), a practice that limits human interactions and contacts at close distances.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2021 The Authors. Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Institute of Chemical Engineers.

These lockdowns, by imposing limitations on, or blocking, industrial and logistical activities and bringing in many social restrictions among citizens, had a strong impact on the economic and productive aspects of the countries.

The containment and contrast measures introduced to limit the spread of COVID-19 have caused a double negative shock on the global industrial and economic sectors, with consequences on the related supply chians¹⁷: on the demand side, with the postponement of consumer spending decisions, the closure of numerous commercial activities (in the catering, accommodation, transport, cultural and entertainment sectors) and the elimination of tourist flows. On the supply side, with the blocking of numerous production activities, both by decree and to allow the sanitation of the workplaces of operating companies. Initial disruptions in GSCs started on the supply side with factory closures in China.¹⁸ instituted to slow the spread of COVID-19. As reported by The Economist Intelligence Unit¹⁹ there are four major routes through which China has impacted the global economy: (1) the China's role as an international supplier of goods: (2) China's role as a major consumer of imported goods and commodities; (3) China's role as the world's biggest source of tourists; (4) worsening of investor and business confidence, increasing volatility on international financial markets. The effects have spread and amplified internationally, causing some enterprises to slow production or cease operations altogether.²⁰

The critical issues on the supply side arose through the lack of parts and equipment to downstream industries (e.g., automotive, chemicals, IT, textiles, machinery, metal and metal products industries). The critical issues on the demand side concerned a wide range of primary extractive, manufacturing and service industries (e.g., demand for materials such as copper and nickel, oil and dairy products).¹⁸ Estimates released by the ILO (International Labor Organization) quantify for the European Union a 50% drop in sales in the textile and clothing sector for 2020.²¹ In the case of services, tourism and aviation suffered a strong negative shock. The estimates provided by the United Nations World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) impute a loss of 850 million–1.1 billion of international tourist arrivals, \$910 million–\$1.1 trillion in export revenues, and 100–120 million jobs.²²

Global manufacturing output growth, according to data released by the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNCTAD) for the first quarter of 2020, had a sharp drop of 6% due to economic lockdown, with estimates reaching global reductions of 5%–15%. This is the second-most significant drop in recent history (after the 7% of the 2008/2009 financial crisis). In the first quarter of 2020, industrialized economies recorded a 2.5% contraction in manufacturing production (–2.4% in North America, –4.4% in Europe, –14.1% in China).²³ The data released by ILO (International Labor Organization)¹⁸ quantifies for 2020 a global job loss of 8.8% which corresponds to 255 million full-time jobs (four times higher than those recorded during the world financial crisis of 2009). In particular, these consequences have been particularly concentrated in Latin America and the Caribbean, in southern Europe and in southern Asia. This trend in industrial and economic sectors is confirmed by a relevant reduction in the electrical energy demand and supply: in 16 European Countries, a drop in nuclear and coal power production and distribution has been correlated with the stop of the nonessential production activities by Werth et al.²⁴ Kanitkar²⁵ estimates that, in India, during the first pandemic lockdown the daily supply from coal sourced power plants has reduced by almost 26%. This situation recovered during the central months of the year, but the current second peak of infections is again negatively affecting trends.

From the logistic point of view, several studies have been done to understand the impact of the lockdown on the movement of people and goods. A study by the International Energy Agency²⁶ reports that global road transport activity at the end of March 2020 during the COVID-19 emergency fell by 50% compared to the same period in 2019. Marinello et al.¹² analyzed the specific case of the city of Reggio Emilia (Italy), reporting a general decline in light and heavy vehicles moving inside and outside the urban area. Public transport was also very affected, with very high percentages of less use of means: in the study presented by Aloi et al.,²⁷ it is reported that Wuhan (China) and Delhi (India) registered reductions of 80-90% in the number of users. Flight activity decreased by about 75% compared to the previous year and a expected passenger revenue loss for 2020 have been estimated in \$113 billion.²⁸ Also the freight transport has suffered serious consequences, in particular through a reduction in sea shipments²⁹ (eg in the first months of 2020, the total container volumes handled at Chinese ports dropped by 10%³⁰ while the total volume of goods decreased by 19.7%¹⁷) and the air cargo volumes (in the EU they decreased by 53% and by 3% in the United States²⁹).

Production and transport activities have a direct relationship with the air quality. By analyzing the atmospheric pollutants emission inventories, it is possible to identify the impact of each activity on the emissions of substances harmful to air quality. Figure 1 reports the impact of these activities at European level.³¹ The main industrial and logistical impacts are the following:

- Industrial processes and product use: NMVOC (44%) and PM_{2.5} (12%)
- Energy use in industry: SO_x (20%) and NO_x (12%)
- Road transport: NO_x (36%) and PM_{2.5} (11%)
- Non road transport: NO_x (9%)

Overall, this condition has generated a strong impact on environmental quality, with positive effects on numerous environmental matrices such as soil and water,^{32,33} but also negative, for example on waste management.^{34,35} Since there is a direct relationship between human activities and emissions of air pollutants, this blocking condition has favored a significant improvement in environmental quality.^{36-44,45-47}

In order to understand the (positive or negative) effect of environmental and socio-economic factors on air quality, approaches are needed that make it possible to connect these aspects, providing an overall assessment in particular of the effect of policies and strategies



FIGURE 1 Emissions of the main air pollutants by sector group in the EEA-33³¹ [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

for improving the air quality. As described by Wang et al.,⁴⁸ some commonly used approaches include regression analysis, spatial econometric models, Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC),⁴⁹ and many others. To overcome some limitations that characterize these approaches, Wang et al.⁴⁸ proposes and experiments with the use of the Geographical Detector as a new and alternative tool. As reported by Zhan et al.,⁵⁰ the positive relationship between air pollution levels and a different socioeconomic factors has been discussed by several authors, as well as the negative association between air quality and natural factors. This knowledge also allows to make forecasts on pollution levels starting from the study of the evolution of socioeconomic and natural factors used as proxy variables.⁵¹

Starting from the above-described aspects, the aim of this article is to review the scientific literature analyzing the effect of the lockdown on industrial and logistical activities (including the issue of citizen mobility) and, consequently, on the state of air quality as a direct conseguence.

The contribution of this article on the scientific literature is a critical and global reading of the studies that have been conducted on the evaluation of the effect of the lockdown on air quality. Through this review, scholars have at their disposal a complete representation of the trends in the concentrations of the main air pollutants in various international regions, favoring a dissemination of knowledge on this recent problem. The identification of the main industrial and logistical causes also helps to define a link between emission sources and the effect on air quality.

The use of the results of this research can support researchers in understanding the effect that the reduction of some of the main sources of impact on air pollution can generate on the concentrations of atmospheric pollutants and how these effects have a common behavior, but with specific influences due to specific territorial characteristics (in particular the meteorological parameters and the presence of other pollutants that may be precursors in the formation of secondary pollutants as in the case of ozone).

2 | METHODOLOGY

2.1 | Scope of review

In response to the recent emergency scenario that has developed internationally, the reference scientific bibliography has undergone a rapid increase in the available contributions, favoring highly specific knowledge and many case studies distributed globally. The purpose of the review is, therefore, to allow a detailed collection of available contributions, selecting and analyzing them through the use of a structured and detailed methodological approach.

2.2 | Material search and selection

Table 1 shows the approach adopted to identify and select the articles used in this review work. The research of the papers was completed on November 10, 2020 using the ScienceDirect and Scopus databases and without applying any limitations on the type of journal, year and type of publication.

The identification of the papers has been carried out using 15 keywords, obtained by combining two groups of words, called "group A" and "group B". The first group focuses research on COVID-19, while the second group specializes in research on the specific topic of air quality and concentrations of polluting substances in the atmosphere. ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRESS & SUSTAINABLE ENERGY

TABLE 1 Research and selection protocol

TABLE I Research and selection proto				
A Database				
A1.	ScienceDirect			
A2.	Scopus			
B Search criteria				
B1.	Journal		All	
B2.	Year		All	
ВЗ.	Article type		All	
B4.	Date of search		10th November 2020	
C Keywords for papers identification				
	Group A		Group B	
	COVID-19		Air pollution	
	Lockdown		Air quality	
	SARS-CoV-2	AND	Environmental impact	
			NO2	
			PM10	
D Steps for material selection				
D1.	Duplicate removal			
D2.	Keywords and highlights assessment			
D3.	Application of inclusion criteria on the content of the text			
		D3.1	Treats the air quality of specific areas	OR
		D3.2	Assess the effect of COVID-19	OR
		D3.3	It evaluate the results quantitatively	
D4.	Full text assessment			
E Other paper sources				
E1.	From informal approach			
E2.	From browsing method			
F Descriptive analysis				
Year				
Journal				
Country type				
	Research paper			
	Review			
	Others			
G Case studies analysis				
Location of the case studies	Location of the case studies			
Impact of lockdown on logistics and industrial/economic activities	Impact of lockdown on logistics and industrial/economic activities			

All the papers identified as potential for review have been analyzed by applying a scalar approach consisting of numerous steps (point D of Table 1), analyzing more and more in detail the articles collected and eliminating those inappropriate. The first step eliminates duplicate papers, selected on both databases used or by the use of different keywords in the search. It is a process that eliminates many results. The remaining papers have been evaluated through the keywords and highlights to understand the content and results discussed by the authors and to verify if the results obtained could be useful for this study. Therefore, on the content of the text, some inclusion criteria have been applied to evaluate in depth the detail of the data processed by the authors. In particular, the papers that analyzed the air quality in different areas, evaluating the effect of COVID-19 and providing quantitative results, have been considered suitable. Papers that did not provide these indications have been discarded. The entire text has been analyzed only on the residual papers.

Finally, a further enrichment of the contributions has been conducted through browsing other known references and tracking down references in the selected papers. A total of 101 papers resulted from keywords search and selection, while other 20 from informal and browsing methods, for a total of 121 papers analyzed.

2.3 | Material analysis

The review analysis was conducted on the collected material by applying the evaluation approach shown in Table 1.

The descriptive analysis provides a summary representation of the main elements characterizing the selected articles, in particular for the characteristics of the publication, the country of origin of the corresponding author, the type of publication and its origin (expressed as a form of collection).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section describes the results of the papers analyzed with respect to each element reported in Table 1 with the aim of answering the identified research questions.

3.1 | Descriptive analysis

Considering the recent evolution of the health emergency connected to COVID-19, the papers analyzed have been published during the year 2020 (83% of the selected papers), while the remaining part of 17% is scheduled to be published in 2021.

The distribution of the papers compared to the publication journals shows that "Science of the Total Environment" is the journal with the highest frequency of articles (about 41%), followed by "Environmental Pollution" (5%), "Atmosphere" (4%) and "Environmental Research" (4%). Other journals are present with less publications each.

The distribution of the papers with respect to the author's country of origin highlight a marked prevalence of authors from countries initially seriously affected by the health emergency (China, India, USA, Italy, Spain). China and India, together, concentrate 50% of the research analyzed. From the point of view of the type of paper, 111 papers are classified as "research paper", 7 as "short communication" and 3 as "review". In particular, some authors,^{15,52-56} presented short communications describing the effect of lockdown on air quality. The review papers are the works presented by Arora et al.,⁴⁶ which analyze the effect of the health emergency on the global environment (air pollutants, ozone layer, water, industrial, noise pollution and wildlife), by Paital⁵⁷ that analyses several aquatic and terrestrial environmental parameters such as pH, surface type, temperature and air pollution, and by Rume and Islam⁵⁸ who analyze the effects of the lockdown on environment.

3.2 | Case study analysis

This evaluation aims to provide a descriptive representation of the main results reported by the scientific literature on numerous case studies distributed internationally.

Analyzing the case studies available in the literature, Table 2 and Figure 2 report the study area and their spatial resolution. China is the

most analyzed territory (28% of selected papers), followed by India (21%), Italy and the United States (12% each) and Spain (8%). These five countries represent over 80% of the total papers collected in this review and coincide with the countries that were initially the most affected by the spread of the epidemic. From the point of view of the spatial domain, urban areas, especially large megacities, are the most analyzed case studies (about 55% of selected papers). Delhi is the most investigated city (about 12% of papers studied this area), followed by Wuhan (11%), Mumbai (10%). Milan, London, Barcelona and Madrid are the European cities with the largest studies (about 3% each). In the Americas, the two main cities of Brazil (Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo) and New York have been studied most frequently. A discussion of some of these cities is reported in the following section. Figure 3 and Table S1 report the main effects induced by the lockdown on human activities. All the papers identify the lockdown as the cause of a significant reduction in the industrial and economic activities of each study area, as well as the decrease in road transport which led to a lowering of atmospheric pollutant emissions which favored a substantial improvement in the air quality status (as reported in Table 3 and Figure 4). In particular, Baldasano¹³ studying the areas of Madrid and Barcelona, indicates a significant impact from the closure of manufacturing, commerce and construction industries, as well as from old traffic. Still analyzing the Spanish territorial context, Briz-Redón et al.,⁵⁹ attribute the reduction of NO₂ concentrations to the suspension of many combustion processes, such as vehicles, industrial boilers, power plants and ships. Chen et al.,⁶⁰ analyzing the profiles of NO_x concentrations in Shanghai, attributes them to the nearby industrial parks, ports and to the heavy duty truck transportation. Through a statistical approach, Wang et al.⁶¹ demonstrate in China a significantly positive relationships of industrial activities with Air Quality Index (AQI), CO, NO₂, SO₂ and PM_{2.5} concentrations. In India, Mor et al.⁶² attribute the maximum decrease of air pollutant to the restriction on automobiles and the shutting of industries.

Table 3 shows the pollutants used to characterize the air guality. They are extremely numerous: over 17 air pollutants are described in the selected literature. Among these, however, there are some compounds that have been used more frequently. Specifically, nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) is the most widely analyzed, with over 85% of the investigated authors reporting data for this pollutant (in some cases, in addition to NO2, the concentrations of nitrogen monoxide (NO) and consequently of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) have been analyzed). The choice of this pollutant is linked to the widespread availability of air quality monitoring points at a global level that measure this pollutant and that make data available in almost real time, in addition to being a good indicator of the pollution caused by various anthropogenic sources (in particular for industrial and household combustion processes and for vehicular traffic). The particulate matter PM_{2.5} represents the fine fraction of the atmospheric particulate and, as in the case of NO₂, widespread use of it has been found in the studied bibliography: about 69% of the authors investigated data provided for this pollutant. Particulate PM10, ground-level ozone (O3), sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and carbon monoxide (CO) complete the group of the most analyzed pollutants (51%, 41%, 36% and 40%, respectively). Black carbon (BC), ammonia (NH₃), volatile organic compounds (VOCs),

TABLE 2 Characterization of case studies

AMERICAS			
Continent or country	USA	33.53.57.86-90	
	Canada	57	
	Colombia	72	
	Argentina	91	
Region	California	54.92	
	Some LIS states	93	
	Ontario region	73	
City	New York	65.81.94	
,	l os Angeles	81.94	
	Somerville	95	
	Mexico city	81.96	
	Rio de Janeiro	97.98	
	Sao Paulo	81.85	
	Bogotá	99	
	Medellín	99	
	Quito	100.101	
	Lima	81.102	
	12 Ecuadorian cities	103	
ASIA AND OCEANIA			
Continent or country	Asia	104	
	India	46,105-107	
	China	33,53,61,67,89,108-112	
	Japan	57,64	
	Korea	57,113	
	Bangladesh	114	
	Australia	57	
Region	YRD region	115	
	Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region	116,117	
	Regions of India	76	
	Hat Yai region	118	
City	Shanghai	15,80,81	
	Beijing	81,94,119	
	Wuhan	53,75,79,81,84,119-123	
	Guangzhou	119,124	
	Shijiazhuang	125	
	Hangzhou	126	
	Some Chinese cities	43,127-130	
	Seoul	75,131,132	
	Daegu	131	
	Tokyo	75	
	Hong Kong	52,5752	
	New Delhi	56,69,81,82,94,120,133-135	
	Mumbai	82,94,134-136	
	Chennai	135,137	
	Ghaziabad	45	
	Chandigarh	62	

TABLE 2 (Continued)

7 of 18

AIVIEKILAS	Abmodohod	74
	Anneuabau	/4
	Gujarat	138
	Kolkata	135
	Some Indian cities	136,139-142
	Dubai	94
	Tehran	81
	Baghdad	66
	Kathmandu	143
	Almaty	78
	Chittagong	144
	Dhaka city	145
EUROPE		
Continent or country	Europe	47,53,57,110,146
	Spain	33,53,147,148
	Italy	33,53,148
	France	53,148
	Germany	148
	UK	55,149
Region	Lombardy region	
	Veneto region	151
	Emilia-Romagna region	151
City	London	81,120,136
	Paris	81
	Barcelona	13,83
	Madrid	13,81
	Zaragoza	94
	Valencia	84
	11 Spanish cities	59
	Berlin	81
	Düsseldorf	152
	Moscow	81
	Graz	153
	Milan	63,77,154
	Rome	81,84,94
	Turin	84
	Florence	19
	Padova	155
	Pisa	19
	Lucca	19
	Brescia	156
	Palermo	157
	5 Polish cities	68
	Istanbul	70,81
	Athens	158
	Nice	84

8 of 18 ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRESS & SUSTAINABLE ENERGY		MARINELLO ET AL.
TABLE 2 (Continued)		
AMERICAS		
AFRICA		
Continent or country	Egypt	159
City	Salé City	160
	Johannesburg	81
INTERNATIONAL		
	Various international cities	161-165



FIGURE 2 Spatial coverage of the case studies available in literature [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]



Lockdown effects on logistic and industrial activities

FIGURE 3 Consequences of the lockdown on logistic and industrial activities [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

benzene-toluene-ethylbenzene-xylenes (BTEXs), carbon dioxide (CO_2) and lead (Pb) are the other pollutants described, but with very low percentages. At most, 4% of the authors studied these compounds.

Reference 62 analyze 14 air pollutants, including particulate matter (PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$), trace gases (NO_2 , NO, NO_x , SO_2 , O_3 , NH_3 , CO) and VOC's (benzene, toluene, o-xylene, m, p-xylene, ethylbenzene), to study the variation in ambient air quality during COVID-19 lockdownwas in Chandigarh (India). Collivignarelli et al.⁶³ who analyze the effect of air quality lockdown in the Metropolitan City of Milan (Italy), provides an overall assessment for nine pollutants. Table 3 shows the trend in the concentrations of each pollutant during the lockdown period with different colors, compared to periods prior to the epidemic. This allowed to highlight (often also quantitatively) a characteristic behavior for some of the most studied pollutants.

It is interesting to observe how the authors agree on the general reduction of the concentrations of NO_2 , PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, SO_2 and CO. All the studies that analyze NO_2 agree in identifying a general trend towards a reduction in concentrations. The only exceptions

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRESS 9 of 18 & SUSTAINABLE ENERGY



TABLE 3 Results from case studies: pollutants analyzed by each study [Color table can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

(Continues)



	Pollutant						
Reference	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	NO ₂	SO ₂	со	O ₃	Others
131	х	-36%	х		х		
140	х	х	х	х	х		
134			-50%			_	
84	-8% / -42%	-8% / -42%	-56%			+17 / +36%	NO
98			х			х	NMVOC
76	x	Х	х	х	х	х	
135	x	х	х	Х	х	х	
93		-12%				х	
118	-23%	-21%	-33%				_
79	-33%	-41%	-50%		-16%	+149%	
83	x		-50%	х		+50%	BC
102	x	х	х	х	х	х	
146			-85%				
157	-45%		-50%		-51%		
61	x	Х	х	Х	х	х	
112			x				
43		x				x	
116	-33%	-21%	-38%		-20%		
149			-14% / -38%				
90		-33%	-29%		-17%		NO, NO _x
136	-50%	-50%	-65%				
126	-58%	-47%	-83%	-11%	-30%	+125%	
101		-29%	-68%	-48%	-38%		
33		х	Х				
65		X	х				_
124	-30%	-37%	-52%	-29%	-33%	х	
130			x			x	
117		x	x	Х	Х	x	
123		x					
154	X	Х		_			_
77			x			x	

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Note: Concentration values worsened during lockdown were indicated in red, unchanged values in yellow and decreasing values in green and, when available, the relative percentage values.

(indicating similar values) are the works of Azuma et al.⁶⁴ and Zangari et al.⁶⁵ For NO₂, the minimum reduction (–6%) has been reported in the study by Hashim et al.⁶⁶ that studies the impact of COVID-19 lockdown in Baghdad (Iraq). The maximum value has been reported by Huang et al.⁶⁷ which, studying the area of East China, found a 90% reduction in NO₂ concentrations. PM₁₀ range from negative percentage differences of 9%⁶⁸ to 60%.⁶⁹ Only the study by Kuksan and Ulutaş⁷⁰ does not detect significant changes. For PM_{2.5}, the differences range between $-7\%^{71}$ and - 86%.⁷² Adams⁷³ and Aman et al.⁷⁴ found no differences. SO₂ and CO also have a general tendency to decrease. The minimal difference (–2%) is described in the study by Chitra et al.,⁷¹ while the maximum (–71%) is described by

Ghahremanloo et al.⁷⁵ Singh et al.⁷⁶ found no differences. O₃ is the pollutant that most frequently reports increasing percentage values during the health emergency period. On average, a 47% percentage increase is reported. Manut et al.⁴⁷ reports data for various European countries, with percentage variations ranging from -2.7% in Ireland to +17.6% in Belgium. Zoran et al.,⁷⁷ describing the situation at Milan city (Italy), reports an increase in concentrations by a factor 2.25.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRESS & SUSTAINABLE ENERGY

11 of 18

From the percentage differences reported by the authors, some situations are evident in which the variations in the concentrations of different pollutants are very significant (close to or greater than 100%). Particularly significant negative percentage differences, indicating a reduction in pollutant concentrations as a result of the





MARINELLO ET AL.

lockdown, are mainly linked to NO_2 and $PM_{2.5}$. There are several authors who highlight differences between -83% and -90%. The causes of these differences are attributable to the following reasons:

- The considerable reduction of some pollutants is attributed by the authors analyzed to particular local conditions that determine (under normal conditions) high concentrations of NO_x and PM_{2.5} (especially in large Asian urban areas) which, with the blocking of many activities, have suffered strong reductions in polluting emissions. This decline is also due to the combined contribution of the lockdown and the benefits induced by the clean air regulations. Finally, meteorological variables are considered important elements in determining this trend.
- The significant increase in O₃ concentrations is due to the sharp reduction in NO and PM_{2.5} concentrations, while VOCs remain available.

Finally, Table S2 shows the reference time periods used by each study to evaluate the air quality of its case studies. In particular, the months of the year 2020 during which the representative data of the -COVID-19 condition have been collected, as well as the relative comparison periods with respect to previous years. The period of greatest interest begins with the third week of March and ends after the first week of April. Seventy-six percent of the authors analyze the polluting concentrations covering this period (in some cases not every day of the period).

3.3 | The most analyzed cities

As reported in the previous section, cities have been extensively studied by numerous authors.

Some representative aspects of cities present in some areas heavily impacted by the COVID-19 emergency are described below and, therefore, particularly analyzed in the literature.

Wuhan has also been the subject of many studies. Again, the literature agrees on the observed results, although the percentages vary between studies. PM_{2.5} decreased between -8%/-42%, PM₁₀ between -33%/-42%, NO2 decreased between -50%/-83%, SO2 decreased by 71% and CO decreased between -4%/-16%. The authors identify an increase in ozone concentrations, with very different percentage values: +14% in the study by Kerimray et al.,⁷⁸ up to the maximum increase of +149% reported by Sulaymon et al.79 Shanghai is another city described in the literature by various studies.^{15,80,81} Bai et al.⁸⁰ conducted a study in different regions (downtown, suburbs) of Shanghai city in order to assess the airborne pollutant concentrations before, during and after the lockdown. The results showed a significant improvement in air quality, in particular for PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and CO. Ozone is the only pollutant that has increased its concentrations. In general, some differences in concentration changes were found in the downtown region and the suburbs of Shanghai. Chauhan and Singh¹⁵ analyzed several cities around the world, including Shanghai, which found a marked reduction in air pollution attributed to the reduction of emissions in transport and industries. Fu et al.⁸¹ analyzed several international cities, highlighting the significant drop in pollutant concentrations.

Delhi is the city that has focused the attention of many studies. All, although the time periods studied are slightly different, agree on the observed results. In particular, a reduction between -25%/-60%was observed for PM_{2.5}, between -36%/-60% for PM₁₀ and between -40%/-65% for NO₂. SO₂ is decreasing, but only Kumari et al.⁸² provides a quantitative value (-19%). For the ozone, there are conflicting indications: Kerimray et al.⁷⁸ reports an increase in O₃ values during lockdown, while Goel⁶⁹ indicates that the ozone levels were reduced by 30–40%. For Mumbai PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and NO₂ values have been reported ranging from -36%/-50%, -10%/-50% and -40%/-65%, respectively. Mumbai has also concentrated several assessments on its territory, where the trends in the reduction of pollutant concentrations are confirmed, except for O₃ which has a growing trend. The NO₂ trend decreased on average by 60\%, particulate matter decreased by about 50% and SO₂ by about 19%.

The Spanish territory was investigated through studies conducted in the cities of Barcelona, Madrid, Zaragoza and Valencia. Baldasano¹³ investigated the trend of NO₂ in Barcelona and Madrid, highlighting how the drastic reduction in road traffic favored the reductions of NO₂ concentrations of 62% and 50%, respectively. Tobias et al. and Fu et al.^{81,83} contributed to the study of these two Spanish cities. New data on Barcelona have been integrated, highlighting a reduction of about 30% of PM₁₀. Ozone levels increased of 33%–57%. The Italian territory has also been extensively analyzed, through case studies in numerous cities. In particular, Milan and Rome are the cities most investigated with the evaluation of the trends of numerous atmospheric pollutants. Particulate matter, NO₂ and SO₂ have shown decreasing concentrations, while SO₂ has been reduced or constant compared to the periods before the lockdown, while O₃ is always increasing. Sicard et al.⁸⁴ reported quantitative data for the city of Rome and Turin, with the largest reductions in NO₂ (over 50%).

Even the Americas, due to the high number of infections and critical issues related to the COVID-19 emergency, has been the subject of many studies available in the literature. In the USA, Fu et al. and Zangari et al.^{65,81} provided specific indications for the city of New York, highlighting the reduction in pollutant concentrations during the lockdown and, in particular, quantifying the NO₂ values in about -60%. Similar conditions were also relevant in the urban area of Los Angeles.

In South America, the cities of Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo in Brazil all pollutants showed decreasing trends, except for ozone which has increasing concentration values during the lockdown. In particular, Nakada and Urban⁸⁵ quantified the reduction of NO₂ at -54%, CO by -65%, while O₃ increased by 30%.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

This paper has been developed with the aim of collecting and describing in a synthetic and organized way the main evidences emerged in the literature about the effects of lockdown on industrial and logistical activities and the conseguences on air quality. These aspects have been analyzed through the characterization of the case studies reported in the scientific literature, their geographical distribution, the main evidence on the state of air quality and on the impact on the spread of COVID-19. The results made it possible to highlight the strong scientific commitment to analyzing these aspects, with a significant presence of articles prepared by authors coming mainly from some of the areas most impacted by the health emergency (China, India, Italy, the USA). The geography of the case studies investigated also reflects the spatial distribution of the epidemic, focusing in particular on the urban areas of the megacities heavily populated and impacted by COVID-19 (e.g., Delhi, Wuhan, Mumbai with millions of inhabitants each). The blockage of production activities, the limitation of logistical activities and the closure of traffic are the factors that have strongly influenced the rapid improvement in air quality, thanks to the significant reduction of polluting substances.

This research also highlighted the widespread and common practice among authors of using specific air pollutants, in particular NO_2 and PM (both PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$), with a lower presence of SO_2 , CO and O_3 . Undoubtedly, the positive effect of lockdown on environmental quality is confirmed, when analyzing its effects in the short term (especially March and April, which was the most widely investigated time frame). Most pollutant concentrations decreased during this period, in some cases very significantly (NO2 and PM). O3, on the other hand, showed the opposite behavior, with significant increases in the measured values. In fact, being a secondary pollutant heavily dependent on solar radiation (intensity and duration), this trend can be explained by the significant lower presence in concentrations of primary ozone precursor pollutants (e.g. NO) and by meteorological conditions. Finally, we want to underline how this condition (imposed by the health emergency) offered a very important opportunity to evaluate and "test" in a real context the possible effects of sustainable policies and strategies. The observed results allow to observe some effects on air quality and can become important decision-making elements for the planning and management of the territory and its activities. At the same time, important information can be provided to companies to identify the best technologies to improve their environmental performance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Open Access Funding provided by Universita degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia within the CRUI-CARE Agreement. [Correction added on May 18, 2022, after first online publication: CRUI-CARE funding statement has been added.]

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Samuele Marinello: Conceptualization; data curation; methodology; writing-original draft. Maria Angela Butturi: Data curation; validation; writing-review & editing. Rita Gamberini: Conceptualization; investigation; supervision; validation; writing-review & editing.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

ORCID

Samuele Marinello D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0792-0478 Maria Angela Butturi D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1639-6065

REFERENCE

- Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1199-1207. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.
- Hendryx M, Luo J. COVID-19 prevalence and fatality rates in association with air pollution emission concentrations and emission sources. *Environ Pollut*. 2020;265:115126. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.envpol.2020.115126.
- Contini D, Costabile F. Does air pollution influence COVID-19 outbreaks? Atmosphere (Basel). 2020;11:377. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ATMOS11040377.
- Conticini E, Frediani B, Caro D. Can atmospheric pollution be considered a co-factor in extremely high level of SARS-CoV-2 lethality in northern Italy? *Environ Pollut*. 2020;261:114465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114465.
- Domingo JL, Rovira J. Effects of air pollutants on the transmission and severity of respiratory viral infections. *Environ Res.* 2020;187: 109650. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109650.

- Sooryanarain H, Elankumaran S. Environmental role in influenza virus outbreaks. Annu Rev Anim Biosci. 2015;3:347-373. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev-animal-022114-111017.
- Zhang Y, Ding Z, Xiang Q, Wang W, Huang L, Mao F. Short-term effects of ambient PM1 and PM2.5 air pollution on hospital admission for respiratory diseases: case-crossover evidence from Shenzhen, China. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2020;224:113418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.11.001.
- Zhu F, Ding R, Lei R, et al. The short-term effects of air pollution on respiratory diseases and lung cancer mortality in Hefei: a time-series analysis. *Respir Med.* 2019;146:57-65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. rmed.2018.11.019.
- Wang J, Cao H, Sun D, et al. Associations between ambient air pollution and mortality from all causes, pneumonia, and congenital heart diseases among children aged under 5years in Beijing, China: a population-based time series study. *Environ Res.* 2019;176:108531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108531.
- Portney PR, Mullahy J. Urban air quality and chronic respiratory disease. Regional Sci Urban Economics. 1990;20:407-418.
- Perlmutt LD, Cromar KR. Comparing associations of respiratory risk for the EPA air quality index and health-based air quality indices. *Atmos Environ*. 2019;202:1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv. 2019.01.011.
- 12. Marinello S, Lolli F, Gamberini R. Roadway tunnels: a critical review of air pollutant concentrations and vehicular emissions. *Transp Res Part D Transp Environ*. 2020;86:102478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. trd.2020.102478.
- Baldasano JM. COVID-19 lockdown effects on air quality by NO2 in the cities of Barcelona and Madrid (Spain). *Sci Total Environ*. 2020; 741:140353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140353.
- Paital B, Das K, Parida SK. Inter nation social lockdown versus medical care against COVID-19, a mild environmental insight with special reference to India. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;728:138914. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138914.
- Chauhan A, Singh RP. Decline in PM2.5 concentrations over major cities around the world associated with COVID-19. Environ Res. 2020;187:109634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109634.
- Long N. From social distancing to social containment: reimagining sociality for the coronavirus pandemic. *Med Anthropol Theory*. 2020; 7:247-260.
- 17. Cui Q, He L, Liu Y, et al. The impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on China's transport sectors based on the CGE model coupled with a decomposition analysis approach. *Transp Policy*. 2021;103:103-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2021.01.017.
- ILO ILO Monitor: COVID-19 and the world of work. Seventh edition updated estimates and analysis. Int Labour Organ. 2020:1-11.
- Donzelli G, Cioni L, Cancellieri M, Llopis Morales A, Morales Suárez-Varela MM. The effect of the Covid-19 lockdown on air quality in three Italian medium-sized cities. *Atmosphere (Basel)*. 2020;11:1118. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11101118.
- 20. ILO. COVID-19 and the world of work International Labour Organanization 2020:1-22.
- Internatioal Labour Organization COVID-19 and the textiles, clothing, leather and footwear industries; 2020; Vol. 2019.
- 22. United Nations Industrial Development Organization Covid-19 and tourism assessing the economic consequences; 2020.
- United Nations Industrial Development Organization World Manufacturing Production Statistics for Quarter I; 2020.
- Werth A, Gravino P, Prevedello G. Impact analysis of COVID-19 responses on energy grid dynamics in Europe. *Appl Energy*. 2021; 281:116045.
- 25. Kanitkar T. The COVID-19 lockdown in India: impacts on the economy and the power sector. *Glob Transitions*. 2020;2:150-156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glt.2020.07.005.

- International Energy Agency Global Energy Review 2020 The impacts of the Covid-19 crisis on global energy demand and CO2 emissions; 2020.
- Aloi A, Alonso B, Benavente J, et al. Effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on urban mobility: empirical evidence from the city of Santander (Spain). Sustain. 2020;12:3870. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093870.
- Ceylan RF, Ozkan B, Mulazimogullari E. Historical evidence for economic effects of COVID-19. Eur J Health Econ. 2020;21:817-823. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-020-01206-8.
- 29. International Transport Forum Covid-19 and Transport: A Compendium; Paris, 2021.
- Ho SJ, Xing W, Wu W, Lee CC. The impact of COVID-19 on freight transport: evidence from China. *MethodsX*. 2021;8:101200. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2020.101200.
- European Environment Agency Emissions of the main air pollutants in Europe Available online: https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/ indicators/main-anthropogenic-air-pollutant-emissions/assessment-6 2021.
- SanJuan-Reyes S, Gómez-Oliván LM, Islas-Flores H. COVID-19 in the environment. *Chemosphere*. 2020;263:127973. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127973.
- Zambrano-Monserrate MA, Ruano MA, Sanchez-Alcalde L. Indirect effects of COVID-19 on the environment. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020; 728:138813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138813.
- Xu M. Resources and waste management in COVID-19 and pandemics. *Resour Conserv Recycl.* 2020;161:104895. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104895.
- Sharma HB, Vanapalli KR, Cheela VS, et al. Challenges, opportunities, and innovations for effective solid waste management during and post COVID-19 pandemic. *Resour Conserv Recycl.* 2020;162: 105052. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105052.
- Righi S, Farina F, Marinello S, Andretta M, Lucialli P, Pollini E. Development and evaluation of emission disaggregation models for the spatial distribution of non-industrial combustion atmospheric pollutants. *Atmos Environ*. 2013;79:85-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2013.06.021.
- Paraschiv S, Paraschiv LS. Analysis of traffic and industrial source contributions to ambient air pollution with nitrogen dioxide in two urban areas in Romania. *Energy Procedia*. 2019;157:1553-1560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.11.321.
- Liao HT, Yau YC, Huang CS, et al. Source apportionment of urban air pollutants using constrained receptor models with a priori profile information. *Environ Pollut*. 2017;227:323-333. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.envpol.2017.04.071.
- Kota SH, Zhang H, Chen G, Schade GW, Ying Q. Evaluation of on-road vehicle CO and NOx national emission inventories using an urban-scale source-oriented air quality model. *Atmos Environ*. 2014;85:99-108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013. 11.020.
- Xiao C, Chang M, Guo P, et al. Characteristics analysis of industrial atmospheric emission sources in Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei and surrounding areas using data mining and statistics on different time scales. Atmos Pollut Res. 2020;11:11-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apr.2019.08.008.
- Agnolucci P, Arvanitopoulos T. Industrial characteristics and air emissions: Long-term determinants in the UKmanufacturing sector. *Energy Econ.* 2019;78:546-566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco. 2018.12.005.
- Bai L, Lu X, Yin S, et al. A recent emission inventory of multiple air pollutant, PM2.5 chemical species and its spatial-temporal characteristics in Central China. J Clean Prod. 2020;269:122114. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122114.
- 43. Wang P, Chen K, Zhu S, Wang P, Zhang H. Severe air pollution events not avoided by reduced anthropogenic activities during

COVID-19 outbreak. *Resour Conserv Recycl.* 2020;158:104814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104814.

- 44. Marinello S, Lolli F, Gamberini R. The Impact of the COVID-19 Emergency on Local Vehicular Traffic and Its Consequences for the Environment: The Case of the City of Reggio Emilia (Italy). *Sustainability*. 2021;13:118.
- Lokhandwala S, Gautam P. Indirect impact of COVID-19 on environment: a brief study in Indian context. *Environ Res.* 2020;188:109807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109807.
- Arora S, Bhaukhandi KD, Mishra PK. Coronavirus lockdown helped the environment to bounce back. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;742: 140573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140573.
- Menut L, Bessagnet B, Siour G, Mailler S, Pennel R, Cholakian A. Impact of lockdown measures to combat Covid-19 on air quality over western Europe. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;741:140426. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140426.
- Wang Y, Liu CG, Wang Q, Qin Q, Ren H, Cao J. Impacts of natural and socioeconomic factors on PM2.5 from 2014 to 2017. *J Environ Manage*. 2021;284:112071. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jenvman.2021.112071.
- Zhao X, Zhou W, Han L, Locke D. Spatiotemporal variation in PM2.5 concentrations and their relationship with socioeconomic factors in China's major cities. *Environ Int.* 2019;133:105145. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envint.2019.105145.
- Zhan D, Kwan MP, Zhang W, Yu X, Meng B, Liu Q. The driving factors of air quality index in China. J Clean Prod. 2018;197:1342-1351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.06.108.
- Shi K, Wu L. Forecasting air quality considering the socio-economic development in Xingtai. Sustain Cities Soc. 2020;61:102337. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2020.102337.
- Huang Y, Zhou JL, Yu Y, Mok WC, Lee CFC, Yam YS. Uncertainty in the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on air quality in Hong Kong, China. *Atmosphere (Basel)*. 2020;11:914. https://doi.org/10.3390/ atmos11090914.
- Muhammad S, Long X, Salman M. COVID-19 pandemic and environmental pollution: a blessing in disguise? *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;728: 138820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138820.
- Pan S, Jung J, Li Z, et al. Air quality implications of COVID-19 in California. Sustain. 2020;12:7067. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12177067.
- Ropkins K, Tate JE. Early observations on the impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on air quality trends across the UK. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021; 754:142374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142374.
- Peters IM, Brabec C, Buonassisi T, Hauch J, Nobre AM. The impact of COVID-19 related measures on the solar resource in areas with high levels of air pollution. *Joule*. 2020;4:1-7. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.joule.2020.06.009.
- Paital B. Nurture to nature via COVID-19, a self-regenerating environmental strategy of environment in global context. *Sci Total Environ.* 2020;729:139088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020. 139088.
- Rume T, Islam SMDU. Environmental effects of COVID-19 pandemic and potential strategies of sustainability. *Heliyon*. 2020;6: e04965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04965.
- Briz-Redón Á, Belenguer-Sapiña C, Serrano-Aroca Á. Changes in air pollution during COVID-19 lockdown in Spain: a multi-city study. *J Environ Sci (China)*. 2021;101:16-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes. 2020.07.029.
- Chen H, Huo J, Fu Q, Duan Y, Xiao H, Chen J. Impact of quarantine measures on chemical compositions of PM2.5 during the COVID-19 epidemic in Shanghai, China. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;743:140758. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140758.
- Wang Y, Yuan Y, Wang Q, Liu CG, Zhi Q, Cao J. Changes in air quality related to the control of coronavirus in China: implications for traffic and industrial emissions. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;731:139133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139133.

- Mor S, Kumar S, Singh T, Dogra S, Pandey V, Ravindra K. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on air quality in Chandigarh, India: understanding the emission sources during controlled anthropogenic activities. *Chemosphere*. 2021;263:127978. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127978.
- Collivignarelli MC, Abbà A, Bertanza G, Pedrazzani R, Ricciardi P, Carnevale Miino M. Lockdown for CoViD-2019 in Milan: what are the effects on air quality? *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;732:1-9. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139280.
- Azuma K, Kagi N, Kim H, Hayashi M. Impact of climate and ambient air pollution on the epidemic growth during COVID-19 outbreak in Japan. *Environ Res.* 2020;190:110042. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envres.2020.110042.
- Zangari S, Hill DT, Charette AT, Mirowsky JE. Air quality changes in New York City during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;742:140496. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020. 140496.
- Hashim BM, Al-Naseri SK, Al-Maliki A, Al-Ansari N. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on NO2, O3, PM2.5 and PM10 concentrations and assessing air quality changes in Baghdad, Iraq. *Sci Total Environ.* 2021;754:141978. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.141978.
- Huang G, Sun K. Non-negligible impacts of clean air regulations on the reduction of tropospheric NO2 over East China during the COVID-19 pandemic observed by OMI and TROPOMI. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;745:141023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.141023.
- Filonchyk M, Hurynovich V, Yan H. Impact of Covid-19 lockdown on air quality in the Poland, Eastern Europe. *Environ Res.* 2020;110454.
- Goel A. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the air quality in Delhi, India. Nat Environ Pollut Technol. 2020;19:1095-1103. https:// doi.org/10.46488/NEPT.2020.v19i03.020.
- KASKUN, S.; ULUTAŞ, K. The effect of COVID-19 pandemic on air quality caused by traffic in Istanbul. 2020:1-24, doi:https://doi.org/ 10.21203/rs.3.rs-28880/v1.
- Chitra J, Rajendran SM, Jeba Mercy J, Jeyakanthan J. Impact of covid-19 lockdown in Tamil Nadu: benefits and challenges on environment perspective. *Indian J Biochem Biophys.* 2020;57:370-381.
- Arregocés HA, Rojano R, Restrepo G. Impact of lockdown on particulate matter concentrations in Colombia during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sci Total Environ*. 2019;2020:142874. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142874.
- Adams MD. Air pollution in Ontario, Canada during the COVID-19 state of emergency. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;742:140516. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140516.
- Aman MA, Salman MS, Yunus AP. COVID-19 and its impact on environment: improved pollution levels during the lockdown period a case from Ahmedabad, India. *Remote Sens Appl Soc Environ*. 2020; 20:100382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2020.100382.
- Ghahremanloo M, Lops Y, Choi Y, Mousavinezhad S. Impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on air pollution levels in East Asia. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;754:142226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.142226.
- Singh V, Singh S, Biswal A, Kesarkar AP, Mor S, Ravindra K. Diurnal and temporal changes in air pollution during COVID-19 strict lockdown over different regions of India. *Environ Pollut*. 2020;266: 115368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115368.
- Zoran MA, Savastru RS, Savastru DM, Tautan MN. Assessing the relationship between ground levels of ozone (O3) and nitrogen dioxide (NO2) with coronavirus (COVID-19) in Milan, Italy. *Sci Total Environ.* 2020;740:140005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020. 140005.
- Kerimray A, Baimatova N, Ibragimova OP, et al. Assessing air quality changes in large cities during COVID-19 lockdowns: the impacts of traffic-free urban conditions in Almaty, Kazakhstan. Sci Total

Environ. 2020;730:139179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.139179.

- 79. Sulaymon ID, Zhang Y, Hopke PK. COVID-19 pandemic in Wuhan: ambient air quality and the relationships between criteria air pollutants and meteorological variables before, during, and after lockdown. Atmos Res. 2020;250:105362.
- 80. Bai Y, Zhou Y, Alatalo JM, Hughes AC. Changes in air quality during the first-level response to the COVID-19 pandemic in Shanghai municipality, China. Sustain. 2020;12:1-12. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su12218887.
- 81. Fu F, Purvis-Roberts KL, Williams B. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown on air pollution in 20 major cities around the world. Atmosphere. 2020;11:1189.
- 82. Kumari P, Toshniwal D. Impact of lockdown measures during COVID-19 on air quality- a case study of India. Int J Environ Health Res. 2020;00:1-8. https://doi.org/10.1080/09603123.2020.1778646.
- 83. Tobías A, Carnerero C, Reche C, et al. Changes in air quality during the lockdown in Barcelona (Spain) one month into the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic. Sci Total Environ. 2020;726:138540. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138540.
- 84. Sicard P, De Marco A, Agathokleous E, et al. Amplified ozone pollution in cities during the COVID-19 lockdown. Sci Total Environ. 2020; 735:139542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139542.
- 85. Nakada LYK, Urban RC. COVID-19 pandemic: impacts on the air guality during the partial lockdown in São Paulo state, Brazil. Sci Total Environ. 2020;730:139087. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020. 139087
- 86. Archer CL, Cervone G, Golbazi M, Al Fahel N, Hultguist C. Changes in air guality and human mobility in the USA during the COVID-19 pandemic. Bull Atmos Sci Technol. 2020;1:491-514. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s42865-020-00019-0.
- 87. Berman JD, Ebisu K. Changes in U.S. air pollution during the COVID-19 pandemic. Sci Total Environ. 2020;739:139864. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139864.
- 88. Chen LWA, Chien LC, Li Y, Lin G. Nonuniform impacts of COVID-19 lockdown on air quality over the United States. Sci Total Environ. 2020;745:13-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141105.
- 89. Chen Z, Hao X, Zhang X, Chen F. Have traffic restrictions improved air quality? A shock from COVID-19. J Clean Prod. 2021;279: 123622. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123622.
- 90. Xiang J, Austin E, Gould T, et al. Impacts of the COVID-19 responses on traffic-related air pollution in a northwestern US city. Sci Total Environ. 2020;747:141325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.141325.
- 91. Bolaño-Ortiz TR, Pascual-Flores RM, Puliafito SE, et al. Spread of covid-19, meteorological conditions and air quality in the city of Buenos Aires, Argentina: two facets observed during its pandemic lockdown. Atmosphere (Basel). 2020;11:1-23. https://doi.org/10. 3390/atmos11101045.
- 92. Liu Q, Harris JT, Chiu LS, et al. Spatiotemporal impacts of COVID-19 on air pollution in California, USA. Sci Total Environ. 2021;750: 141592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141592.
- 93. Son JY, Fong KC, Heo S, Kim H, Lim CC, Bell ML. Reductions in mortality resulting from reduced air pollution levels due to COVID-19 mitigation measures. Sci Total Environ. 2020;744:141012. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141012.
- 94. Chauhan A, Singh A, Jharkharia S. An interpretive structural modeling (ISM) and decision-making trail and evaluation laboratory (DEMATEL) method approach for the analysis of barriers of waste recycling in India. J Air Waste Manag Assoc. 2018;68:100-110. https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2016.1249441.
- 95. Hudda N, Simon MC, Patton AP, Durant JL. Reductions in trafficrelated black carbon and ultrafine particle number concentrations in an urban neighborhood during the COVID-19 pandemic. Sci Total

Environ. 2020;742:140931. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020 140931

- 96. Peralta O, Ortínez-Alvarez A, Torres-Jardón R, Lastra MS, Castro T, Ruíz-Suárez LG. Ozone over Mexico City during the COVID-19 pandemic. Sci Total Environ. 2020;761:143183. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143183.
- 97. Dantas G, Siciliano B, França BB, da Silva CM, Arbilla G. The impact of COVID-19 partial lockdown on the air quality of the city of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Sci Total Environ. 2020;729:139085. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139085.
- 98. Siciliano B, Dantas G, da Silva CM, Arbilla G. Increased ozone levels during the COVID-19 lockdown: analysis for the city of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Sci. Total Environ. 2020;737:139765. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139765.
- 99. Mendez-Espinosa JF, Rojas NY, Vargas J, Pachón JE, Belalcazar LC, Ramírez O. Air quality variations in northern South America during the COVID-19 lockdown. Sci Total Environ. 2020;749:141621. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141621.
- 100. Zambrano-Monserrate MA, Ruano MA. Has air quality improved in Ecuador during the COVID-19 pandemic? A parametric analysis. Air Qual Atmos Health. 2020;13:929-938. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11869-020-00866-y.
- 101. Zalakeviciute R, Vasquez R, Bayas D, et al. Drastic improvements in air quality in Ecuador during the COVID-19 outbreak. Aerosol Air Qual Res. 2020;20:1783-1792. https://doi.org/10.4209/aagr.2020. 05.0254.
- 102. Arias Velásquez RM, Mejía Lara JV. Gaussian approach for probability and correlation between the number of COVID-19 cases and the air pollution in Lima. Urban Clim. 2020;33:100664. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.uclim.2020.100664.
- 103. Pacheco H, Díaz-López S, Jarre E, Pacheco H, Méndez W, Zamora-Ledezma E. NO2 levels after the COVID-19 lockdown in Ecuador: a trade-off between environment and human health. Urban Clim. 2020;34:100674. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim. 2020.100674.
- 104. Kanniah KD, Kamarul Zaman NAF, Kaskaoutis DG, Latif MT. COVID-19's impact on the atmospheric environment in the Southeast Asia region. Sci Total Environ. 2020;736:139658. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139658.
- 105. Biswal A, Singh T, Singh V, Ravindra K, Mor S. COVID-19 lockdown and its impact on tropospheric NO2 concentrations over India using satellite-based data. Heliyon. 2020;6:e04764. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04764.
- 106. Naqvi HR, Datta M, Mutreja G, Siddiqui MA, Naqvi DF, Naqvi AR. Improved air quality and associated mortalities in India under COVID-19 lockdown. Environ Pollut. 2020;268:115691. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115691.
- 107. Sekar, A.; Mohan, P.; Varghese, G.K. Air quality change due to COVID-19 lockdown in India and its perception by public. 2020: 1-33.
- 108. Chu B, Zhang S, Liu J, Ma Q, He H. Significant concurrent decrease in PM2.5 and NO2 concentrations in China during COVID-19 epidemic. J Environ Sci (China). 2021;99:346-353. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jes.2020.06.031.
- 109. Cui Y, Ji D, Maenhaut W, Gao W, Zhang R, Wang Y. Levels and sources of hourly PM2.5-related elements during the control period of the COVID-19 pandemic at a rural site between Beijing and Tianjin. Sci Total Environ. 2020;744:140840. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.140840.
- 110. Giani P, Castruccio S, Anav A, Howard D, Hu W, Crippa P. Shortterm and long-term health impacts of air pollution reductions from COVID-19 lockdowns in China and Europe: a modelling study. Lancet Planet Heal. 2020;4:e474-e482. https://doi.org/10.1016/ \$2542-5196(20)30224-2.

- 111. Griffith SM, Huang WS, Lin CC, et al. Long-range air pollution transport in East Asia during the first week of the COVID-19 lockdown in China. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;741:140214. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140214.
- 112. Wang Q, Su M. A preliminary assessment of the impact of COVID-19 on environment a case study of China. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;728:138915. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020. 138915.
- 113. Ju MJ, Oh J, Choi YH. Changes in air pollution levels after COVID-19 outbreak in Korea. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;750:141521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141521.
- 114. Islam MS, Tusher TR, Roy S, Rahman M. Impacts of nationwide lockdown due to COVID-19 outbreak on air quality in Bangladesh: a spatiotemporal analysis. *Air Qual Atmos Heal*. 2020;14:351-363. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-020-00940-5.
- 115. Li L, Li Q, Huang L, et al. Air quality changes during the COVID-19 lockdown over the Yangtze River Delta region: an insight into the impact of human activity pattern changes on air pollution variation. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;732:139282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.139282.
- Wang J, Xu X, Wang S, He S, Li X, He P. Heterogeneous effects of COVID-19 lockdown measures on air quality in northern China. *Appl Energy*. 2020;282:116179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apenergy.2020.116179.
- 117. Zhao N, Wang G, Li G, Lang J, Zhang H. Air pollution episodes during the COVID-19 outbreak in the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei region of China: an insight into the transport pathways and source distribution. *Environ Pollut*. 2020;267:115617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envpol.2020.115617.
- 118. Stratoulias D, Nuthammachot N. Air quality development during the COVID-19 pandemic over a medium-sized urban area in Thailand. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;746:141320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.141320.
- Pei Z, Han G, Ma X, Su H, Gong W. Response of major air pollutants to COVID-19 lockdowns in China. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;743: 140879. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140879.
- Bogomolova A, Balk I, Polkovnikova N, Ivaschenko N. The impact of the COVID-19 related lockdowns on air quality. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci.* 2020;534:012010. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/534/1/012010.
- Brimblecombe P, Lai Y. Effect of sub-urban scale lockdown on air pollution in Beijing. Urban Clim. 2020;34:100725. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.uclim.2020.100725.
- 122. Lian X, Huang J, Huang R, Liu C, Wang L, Zhang T. Impact of city lockdown on the air quality of COVID-19-hit of Wuhan city. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;742:140556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.140556.
- 123. Zheng H, Kong S, Chen N, et al. Significant changes in the chemical compositions and sources of PM2.5 in Wuhan since the city lockdown as COVID-19. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;739:140000. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140000.
- 124. Zhang K, De Leeuw G, Yang Z, Chen X, Jiao J. The impacts of the COVID-19 lockdown on air quality in the guanzhong basin, China. *Remote Sens*. 2020;12:3042. https://doi.org/10.3390/RS12183042.
- Liu Y, Ni S, Jiang T, et al. Influence of Chinese new year overlapping COVID-19 lockdown on HONO sources in Shijiazhuang. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;745:141025. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.141025.
- 126. Yuan Q, Qi B, Hu D, et al. Spatiotemporal variations and reduction of air pollutants during the COVID-19 pandemic in a megacity of Yangtze River Delta in China. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;751:141820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141820.
- 127. Bao R, Zhang A. Does lockdown reduce air pollution? Evidence from 44 cities in northern China. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;731:139052. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139052.

- 128. Nie D, Shen F, Wang J, et al. Changes of air quality and its associated health and economic burden in 31 provincial capital cities in China during COVID-19 pandemic. *Atmos Res.* 2021;249:105328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105328.
- 129. He G, Pan Y, Tanaka T. The short-term impacts of COVID-19 lockdown on urban air pollution in China. *Nat Sustain*. 2020;3:1005-1011. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-0581-y.
- Zhang X, Tang M, Guo F, et al. Associations between air pollution and COVID-19 epidemic during quarantine period in China. *Environ Pollut.* 2021;268:115897. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020. 115897.
- Seo JH, Jeon HW, Sung UJ, Sohn J-R. Impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on air quality in Korea. *Atmosphere (Basel)*. 2020;11:1137. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11101137.
- 132. Han BS, Park K, Kwak KH, et al. Air quality change in Seoul, South Korea under covid-19 social distancing: focusing on pm2.5. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:1-12. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph17176208.
- Mahato S, Pal S, Ghosh KG. Effect of lockdown amid COVID-19 pandemic on air quality of the megacity Delhi, India. *Sci Total Environ.* 2020;730:139086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020. 139086.
- Sarfraz M, Shehzad K, Meran Shah SG. The impact of COVID-19 as a necessary evil on air pollution in India during the lockdown. *Environ Pollut*. 2020;266:115080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol. 2020.115080.
- 135. Somani M, Srivastava AN, Gummadivalli SK, Sharma A. Indirect implications of COVID-19 towards sustainable environment: an investigation in Indian context. *Bioresour Technol Rep.* 2020;11: 135577. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2020.100491.
- 136. Yadav R, Korhale N, Anand V, et al. COVID-19 lockdown and air quality of SAFAR-India metro cities. *Urban Clim.* 2020;34:100729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2020.100729.
- Laxmipriya S, Narayanan R. COVID-19 and its relationship to particulate matter pollution case study from part of greater Chennai, India. *Mater Today Proc.* 2020;43:1634-1639. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.matpr.2020.09.768.
- 138. Selvam S, Muthukumar P, Venkatramanan S, Roy PD, Manikanda Bharath K, Jesuraja K. SARS-CoV-2 pandemic lockdown: effects on air quality in the industrialized Gujarat state of India. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;737:140391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020. 140391.
- Mahato S, Ghosh KG. Short-term exposure to ambient air quality of the most polluted Indian cities due to lockdown amid SARS-CoV-2. *Environ Res.* 2020;188:109835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres. 2020.109835.
- Sharma S, Zhang M, Anshika, Gao J, Zhang H, Kota SH. Effect of restricted emissions during COVID-19 on air quality in India. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;728:138878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.138878.
- Kumar S. Effect of meteorological parameters on spread of COVID-19 in India and air quality during lockdown. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020; 745:141021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141021.
- 142. Kumar P, Hama S, Omidvarborna H, et al. Temporary reduction in fine particulate matter due to anthropogenic emissions switch-off during COVID-19 lockdown in Indian cities. *Sustain Cities Soc.* 2020;62: 102382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2020.102382.
- 143. Gautam SP, Silwal A, Poudel P, et al. Comparative study of ambient air quality using air quality index in Kathmandu City, Nepal. IOSR J Environ Sci Toxicol Food Technol. 2020;14:29-35. https://doi.org/10. 9790/2402-1405022935.
- 144. Masum MH, Pal SK. Global journal of environmental science and management statistical evaluation of selected air quality parameters influenced by COVID-19 lockdown. *Global J Environ Sci Manage*. 2020;6:85-94. https://doi.org/10.22034/GJESM.2019.06.SI.08.

- 145. Rahman MS, Azad MAK, Hasanuzzaman M, et al. How air quality and COVID-19 transmission change under different lockdown scenarios? A case from Dhaka city, Bangladesh. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020; 762:143161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143161.
- Vîrghileanu M, Săvulescu I, Mihai B-A, Nistor C, Dobre R. Nitrogen dioxide (NO2) pollution monitoring with sentinel-5P satellite imagery over Europe during the coronavirus pandemic outbreak. *Remote Sens (Basel)*. 2020;12:3575. https://doi.org/10.3390/ rs12213575.
- 147. Martorell-Marugán J, Villatoro-García JA, García-Moreno A, et al. DatAC: a visual analytics platform to explore climate and air quality indicators associated with the COVID-19 pandemic in Spain. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;750:141424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.141424.
- Ordóñez C, Garrido-Perez JM, García-Herrera R. Early spring nearsurface ozone in Europe during the COVID-19 shutdown: meteorological effects outweigh emission changes. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020; 747:141322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141322.
- 149. Wyche KP, Nichols M, Parfitt H, et al. Changes in ambient air quality and atmospheric composition and reactivity in the south east of the UKas a result of the COVID-19 lockdown. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;755:142526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.142526.
- Bontempi E. First data analysis about possible COVID-19 virus airborne diffusion due to air particulate matter (PM): the case of Lombardy (Italy). *Environ Res.* 2020;186:109639. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.envres.2020.109639.
- Filippini T, Rothman KJ, Goffi A, et al. Satellite-detected tropospheric nitrogen dioxide and spread of SARS-CoV-2 infection in northern Italy. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;739:141286. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140278.
- 152. Naethe P, Delaney M, Julitta T. Changes of NOx in urban air detected with monitoring VIS-NIR field spectrometer during the coronavirus pandemic: a case study in Germany. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;748: 141286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141286.
- 153. Lovrić M, Pavlović K, Vuković M, Grange SK, Haberl M, Kern R. Understanding the true effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on air pollution by means of machine learning. *Environ Pollut*. 2020;274: 115900.
- 154. Zoran MA, Savastru RS, Savastru DM, Tautan MN. Assessing the relationship between surface levels of PM2.5 and PM10 particulate matter impact on COVID-19 in Milan, Italy. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020; 738:139825. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139825.
- 155. Rossi R, Ceccato R, Gastaldi M. Effect of road traffic on air pollution. Experimental evidence from COVID-19 lockdown. *Sustainability*. 2020;12:14-17.
- Cameletti M. The effect of Corona virus lockdown on air pollution: evidence from the City of Brescia in Lombardia region (Italy). *Atmos Environ*. 2020;239:117794. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv. 2020.117794.

- 157. Vultaggio M, Varrica D, Alaimo MG. Impact on air quality of the covid-19 lockdown in the urban area of Palermo (Italy). Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:1-12. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph17207375.
- 158. Grivas G, Athanasopoulou E, Kakouri A, et al. Integrating in situ measurements and City scale Modelling to assess the COVID-19 lockdown effects on emissions and air quality in Athens, Greece. *Atmosphere (Basel)*. 2020;11:1174. https://doi.org/10.3390/ atmos11111174.
- Abou El-Magd I, Zanaty N. Impacts of short-term lockdown during COVID-19 on air quality in Egypt. Egypt J Remote Sens Sp Sci. 2020; 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2020.10.003.
- Otmani A, Benchrif A, Tahri M, et al. Impact of Covid-19 lockdown on PM10, SO2 and NO2 concentrations in Salé City (Morocco). *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;735:139541. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.139541.
- 161. Corpus-Mendoza AN, Ruiz-Segoviano HS, Rodríguez-Contreras SF, Yañez-Dávila D, Hernández-Granados A. Decrease of mobility, electricity demand, and NO2 emissions on COVID-19 times and their feedback on prevention measures. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;2:143382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143382.
- 162. Lal P, Kumar A, Kumar S, et al. The dark cloud with a silver lining: assessing the impact of the SARS COVID-19 pandemic on the global environment. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;732:139297. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139297.
- Liu F, Wang M, Zheng M. Effects of COVID-19 lockdown on global air quality and health. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;755:142533. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142533.
- Rodríguez-Urrego D, Rodríguez-Urrego L. Air quality during the COVID-19: PM2.5 analysis in the 50 most polluted capital cities in the world. *Environ Pollut*. 2020;266:115042. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.envpol.2020.115042.
- 165. Dasgupta P, Srikanth K. Reduced air pollution during COVID 19: learnings for sustainability from Indian cities. *Glob. Transitions*. 2020; 2:271-282.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Marinello S, Butturi MA, Gamberini R. How changes in human activities during the lockdown impacted air quality parameters: A review. *Environ Prog Sustainable Energy*. 2021;40(4):e13672. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1002/ep.13672