


## Perception of musculoskeletal pain in the state of confinement: associated factors

Carlos Carpintero-Rubio<sup>1</sup>

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7323-0227>

Bárbara Torres-Chica<sup>2</sup>

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1378-0136>

María Alexandra Guadrón-Romero<sup>3,4,5</sup>

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5838-2388>

Laura Visiers-Jiménez<sup>6</sup>

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7120-1422>

David Peña-Otero<sup>6,7,8</sup>

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6896-2984>

**Objective:** to describe the perception of musculoskeletal pain in the population and how the state of confinement (adopted as a measure to control contagion by COVID-19) has interfered with it, as well as identifying the sociodemographic, occupational, physical, and psychosocial factors involved. **Method:** an observational, cross-sectional and analytical study, with simple random probabilistic sampling, aimed at residents in Spain over 18 years old during the confinement period. An *ad hoc* survey was conducted, consisting in 59 items. **Results:** a total of 3,247 surveys were answered. Persistent musculoskeletal pain or significant episodes thereof increased 22.2% during confinement. The main location was the spine (49.5%). The related factors were decreased physical activity, increased seated position, and use of electronic devices. The psychological impact of confinement was also related to the perception of musculoskeletal pain. **Conclusion:** the state of confinement causes an increase in the perception of musculoskeletal pain. The identification of a particularly sensitive population profile, as well as that of the related factors, allows establishing multidisciplinary approaches in health promotion.

**Descriptors:** Pain; Quarantine; Pandemics; Coronavirus Infections; Risk Factors; Home Health Nursing.

<sup>1</sup> Colegio Profesional de Practicantes de Reconstrucción Postural, Estrasburgo, France.

<sup>2</sup> Clínica Sarua, Madrid, Spain.

<sup>3</sup> Hospital de Sierrallana, Servicio Cántabro de Salud, Torrelavega, Cantabria, Spain.

<sup>4</sup> Universidad Católica de Ávila, Escuela Técnico Profesional en Ciencias de la Salud, Clínica Mompía, Cantabria, Spain.





<sup>5</sup> Comité Ético de Investigación con Medicamentos de Cantabria, CEI-CEIm, Santander, Cantabria, Spain.

<sup>6</sup> Instituto de Investigación- Grupo de Enfermería-Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón, IISGM, Madrid, Spain.

<sup>7</sup> Hospital de Sierrallana, Subdirección de Cuidados, Servicio Cántabro de Salud, Torrelavega, Cantabria, Spain.

<sup>8</sup> Instituto de Investigación -Grupo de Enfermería- Sanitaria Valdecilla, IDIVAL, Santander, Cantabria, Spain.

### How to cite this article

Carpintero-Rubio C, Torres-Chica B, Gualdrón-Romero MA, Visiers-Jiménez L, Peña-Otero D. Perception of musculoskeletal pain in the state of confinement: associated factors. Rev. Latino-Am. Enfermagem. 2021;29:e3454. [Access    ]; Available in:  . DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1518-8345.4894.3454>.

## Introduction

Musculoskeletal pain has a high prevalence in the population and some of its manifestations such as low back pain or neck pain are among the main causes of disability worldwide<sup>(1-3)</sup>. Its prevention and treatment constitute an important social and health challenge due to the deterioration that it generates in quality of life, the labor costs that it causes, and the health care required by people who suffer from it<sup>(4-5)</sup>.

Pain is an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience, associated with actual or potential tissue damage. It is subjected to the subjectivity of those who suffer it<sup>(6)</sup> and is multi-factorial, which requires a biopsychosocial and interdisciplinary approach<sup>(7)</sup>. In musculoskeletal pain there are multiple elements that can be involved, from damage in tissues of the locomotor system that triggers nociceptive pain, to others of a neuropathic or psychosocial nature. The latter influence the perception and experience of pain. Chronification of the painful experience can lead to central sensitization and allodynia<sup>(8)</sup>.

To minimize transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus, contain the progression of the COVID-19 disease and strengthen the public health system, on March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2020, the Spanish Government declared the State of Alarm throughout the Spanish territory, according to Royal Decree 463/2020<sup>(9)</sup>. Among the containment measures adopted was limiting the movement of people through public spaces, a situation that was strictly maintained until the entry into force of the "Plano de Desescalada" [De-escalation Plan] approved on April 28<sup>th</sup>, 2020. In such a prolonged state of confinement, several elements can favor the appearance of musculoskeletal pain episodes or increase them if they are already present.

On the one hand, physical inactivity, which causes atrophy of the skeletal muscles and supporting connective tissues<sup>(10-11)</sup>. A pathognomonic relationship has been suggested between the severity of muscle atrophy and the development, for example, of low back pain<sup>(11)</sup>. Apart from that, sedentarism and immobility are factors that increase the stiffness of tendons, fasciae, ligaments, and muscles. Muscle stiffness has also been related to pain in conditions such as low back pain and neck pain<sup>(12-13)</sup>. Another negative effect associated with sedentarism has to do with the impairment of somatosensory stimulation in the locomotor system. Poor proprioceptive stimulation favors the development of dystonias<sup>(14-15)</sup> and of changes in neuromuscular control, situations that can cause excess muscle tension, restrictions in joint mobility, overloads and, pain<sup>(16)</sup>. In addition, as a consequence of sedentarism, body weight

tends to increase, something that also conditions the perception of pain. It is known that the symptomatic treatment of overweight people lasts longer than that of normal weight subjects<sup>(17)</sup>, in addition to requiring higher analgesic doses<sup>(6)</sup>. During confinement there have been changes in the eating habits and behaviors mainly characterized by the increase in the intake of hypercaloric products<sup>(18)</sup>, which promotes an increase in the Body Mass Index (BMI)<sup>(19)</sup>.

Another trigger for musculoskeletal pain is poor posture habits. Remote work or a leisure model based on the consumption of multimedia content and the use of mobile devices, favor the maintenance of deficient ergonomic positions during sustained periods, which can cause overloads and pain<sup>(20)</sup>.

On the other hand, the implementation of extemporaneous exercises or sports activities, a generalized situation during confinement as an alternative to the usual physical activity<sup>(21)</sup>, can constitute another situation that generates overloads, injuries, and pain. The recommendations and advice focused on maintaining physical fitness have been very numerous during this period, so that a large number of people have begun to perform activities without proper conditioning or exceeding their functional abilities<sup>(22)</sup>.

Finally, factors of a psychological nature such as anxiety or catastrophism also negatively modify the perception of pain<sup>(23-25)</sup>. Confinement has made it necessary to combine family care, remote work and domestic tasks, an unusual and complex reality for many families that has been able to increase the levels of anxiety and stress in both the child and adult populations. To this situation, on the one hand, a high degree of economic and labor uncertainty has been added<sup>(26-27)</sup> and, on the other, the fear and insecurity generated by living with a health crisis of planetary magnitude, whose epidemiological data at a global level are alarming. The fear of resuming social interaction and habits prior to the pandemic can also increase stress, anxiety, and depression in the population<sup>(28)</sup>.

In view of all the above, the objective of this study was to describe the perception of musculoskeletal pain in the population and how the state of confinement (adopted as a control measure for contagion by COVID-19) has interfered with it, as well as to identify the sociodemographic, labor, physical and psychosocial factors involved.

## Method

An observational, cross-sectional and analytical study, with simple random and probabilistic sample, conducted in Spain. The recruitment period and field study

with dissemination and subsequent answer to the survey was from May 1<sup>st</sup> to May 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

The population studied consisted in individuals over 18 years old living in Spain. The selection criteria adopted were the following: people over 18 years of age living in Spain, with access to an electronic device with Internet (computer, tablet, mobile phone, etc.) and who voluntarily agreed to participate in the study after being invited to collaborate by answering a questionnaire (from May 1<sup>st</sup> to May 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020) sent through public and private institutions to the general population, after approximately 2 months of home confinement established throughout the Spanish territory (started on March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2020).

They were grouped into three blocks: sociodemographic data, pain, and related factors (physical and psychological) before and during the confinement period; the sociodemographic variables were as follows: age, gender, weight, height, marital status, nationality, autonomous community of residence, schooling level, employment status, income level, place of work, outside space of the home, and number of people in the household; pain and related factors (before and/or during the confinement period): perception of the status of the musculoskeletal system, suffering from ailment of the musculoskeletal system, pain duration, pain location, pain intensity (current, weekly average, worst pain), interference of pain with other activities, coping strategies for pain, attending Physiotherapy and Nursing appointment, daily hours of use of electronic devices, daily hours in a seated position, time of sports activity, type of sports activity, frequency of activity sports, feeling of effort during sports activity, perception of restlessness or impatience, perception of fatigue, perception of concentration, perception of irritability/fatigue, perception of sleep disorder, and concern about these symptoms.

The measurement instrument used to carry out the study was an anonymous on-line questionnaire consisting in 59 *ad hoc*-prepared questions, through Google Forms platform. It was designed in its entirety by the researchers, due to the specificity of the situation to be studied, although it was previously piloted to guarantee both the understanding of the questions and the answers included and the mean duration required for its completion. The final questionnaire was distributed through social networks (mainly WhatsApp, Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram) and the International Nursing Network (INN), and was sent by email to the Spanish

Professional Associations of Nursing and Physiotherapy. It was also published by the Cantabrian Health Service in the SCSalud APP. In addition, a press release was published in the web of the *Enfermería en Desarrollo* journal, encouraging its readers to fill out the survey and forward it to their contacts.

Data collection was carried out based on the study variables from the answers indicated in the completed surveys received.

Calculation of the sample size was based on the total Spanish population over 18 years of age (39,047,503 individuals), registered as of January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2020 at the Spanish National Institute of Statistics, considering a Type I error <5% and a confidence level of 95%. A minimum of 2,401 participants was required.

Data was analyzed using the IBM SPSS v.22 program. The continuous variables were described using measures of central tendency (mean) and measures of dispersion (standard deviation); while the categorical variables were described through absolute and relative frequency tables. Before and during confinement, the baseline characteristics shown by the study participants according to variables of severity and complications were compared. The comparison of categorical variables was carried out using the Chi-Square test, and that of continuous variables, by means of the Student's t test. The 95% confidence intervals were determined using the standard methods.

The study was approved by the Cantabrian CEI-CEIm (Code 2020.195). At all times, the Standards of Good Clinical Practice and the current legislation regarding biomedical research (Law 14/2007 on Biomedical Research, of July 3<sup>rd</sup>) were observed. The treatment, communication, and transfer of personal data of all the participants was in accordance with the provisions of the applicable regulations (Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council, of April 27<sup>th</sup>, 2016, General Regulation of Data Protection (*Reglamento General de Protección de Datos*, RGPD) and Organic Law 3/2018, of December 5<sup>th</sup>, for the Protection of Personal Data and guarantee of the digital rights).

## Results

A total of 3,247 surveys were received. The sociodemographic characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 - Frequency estimates for the sociodemographic variables (n=3,247). Spain, 2020

VARIABLES	CATEGORIES	n* (%)
Gender	Female	2,324 (71.6)
	Male	923 (28.4)
Marital status	Married/Domestic partner	1,785 (55.0)
	Separated/Divorced	271 (8.3)
	Single	1,058 (32.6)
	Widower	45 (1.4)
	Other	88 (2.7)
Nationality	Spanish	3,179 (97.9)
	Other	68 (2.1)
Schooling level	PhD	85 (2.6)
	Post-graduate training	484 (14.9)
	University studies	1,346 (41.5)
	Vocational training/Bachelor's degree	1,056 (32.5)
	Basic studies (EGB <sup>†</sup> , ESO <sup>§</sup> )	270 (8.3)
	No studies	6 (0.2)
Employment situation prior to confinement	Exclusive dedication to home and/or family care	137 (4.2)
	Unemployed	236 (7.3)
	Employed by others	2,128 (65.5)
	Self-employed	258 (7.9)
	Retiree	270 (8.3)
	Other	218 (6.7)
Change in the employment situation during confinement	None	1,354 (41.7)
	Remote work	889 (27.4)
	Workday reduction	89 (2.7)
	ERTE <sup>  </sup>	419 (12.9)
Annual gross salary	Other	496 (15.3)
	No income	313 (9.6)
	Less than €12,000	511 (15.7)
	Between €12,001 and €20,000	853 (26.3)
	Between €20,001 and €30,000	803 (24.7)
	Between €30,001 and €50,000	590 (18.2)
	Between €50,001 and €100,000	163 (5.0)
More than €100,000	14 (0.4)	
Perception of economic income of the family unit	Unburdened	1,406 (43.3)
	Tight	1,398 (43.1)
	With difficulties to make ends meet	287 (8.8)
	I need to ask for some kind of help	70 (2.2)
	Serious problems to make ends meet	86 (2.6)
Workplace before confinement	From my home	70 (2.2)
	Outside my home	2,547 (78.4)
	I do not work	630 (19.4)
Housing (garden, outdoor terrace, etc.)	Yes	2,109 (65.0)
	No	1,138 (35.0)
Number of people in the household during confinement (including you)	1	546 (16.8)
	2	965 (29.7)
	3	799 (24.6)
	4	735 (22.6)
	More than 4	(6.2)

\*n = Sample size; % = Statistical frequency; <sup>†</sup>EGB = *Enseñanza General Básica* (Basic General Education); <sup>§</sup>ESO = *Educación Secundaria Obligatoria* (Compulsory Secondary Education); <sup>||</sup>ERTE = *Expediente de Regulación Temporal de Empleo* (File of Temporary Employment Regulation)

Regarding the musculoskeletal system, only 48.5% of the surveyed participants considered that their health status prior to confinement was good. 47.2% asserted suffering constant pain or significant episodes before this period, with 57.7% of them lasting more than 6 months and the most common locations being the spine (51.2%) and the lower limbs. 63.5% of the participants perceived that the confinement situation

worsened their musculoskeletal health status, attributing it to a reduction in regular physical or sports activity in 80.6% of the cases. During the confinement period, the percentage of participants who reported having constant pain or significant episodes thereof increased by 22.2%. However, the percentage values of its most common locations remained similar: spine (49.5%) and lower limbs (Table 2).

Table 2 - Frequency estimates of the main locations of perceived pain before and during the confinement situation (n=3247). Spain, 2020

MAIN LOCATIONS OF PERCEIVED PAIN		BEFORE n* (%)	DURING n* (%)
<b>TOTAL OF PARTICIPANTS WITH PAIN</b>		<b>n* = 1,534 (47.2)</b>	<b>n* = 2,253 (69.4)</b>
	Head	71 (4.63)	180 (7.99)
	Cervical	299 (19.51)	422 (18.73)
Spine	Dorsal (central part of the back)	133 (8.68)	216 (9.59)
	Lumbar	353 (23.03)	478 (21.21)
	Lower limb (hip, leg...)	296 (19.31)	410 (18.19)
	Upper limb (shoulder, arm...)	220 (14.35)	249 (11.05)
	Chest/Abdomen	10 (0.65)	37 (1.64)
	Other locations	152 (9.92)	261 (11.58)

\*n = Sample size; % = Statistical frequency

35.1% of those surveyed reported a pain intensity between 5 and 7 Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)<sup>(29)</sup> points (moderate-intense pain) when answering the questionnaire, with a similar average pain in 36.6% and a maximum intensity of 7-9 points (intense-very intense) in 38.9% of the participants.

During the confinement situation, the time of use of electronic devices increased, as well as the time that the respondents remained seated, while the

time of physical activity was reduced, increasing only in the anaerobic modality, which rose from 8.9% to 13.1%. Despite everything, the performance of physical exercises with aerobic characteristics continued to predominate (30.4%). Sports activity began to be carried out more constantly throughout the week, but with less duration and intensity. Table 3 presents the data related to the physical factors studied before and during confinement.

Table 3 - Frequency estimates of the associated physical factors before and during the confinement situation (n=3,247). Spain, 2020

VARIABLES	CATEGORIES	BEFORE	DURING
		n* (%) <sup>1</sup>	n* (%) <sup>1</sup>
Daily time spent on electronic devices (television, computer, tablet, mobile, videogames...) for leisure and/or work (n*=3,247)	1 hour	247 (7.6)	55 (1.7)
	Between 1 and 2 hours	912 (28.1)	264 (8.1)
	Between 2 and 5 hours	1,124 (34.6)	1,034 (31.8)
	Between 5 and 8 hours	425 (13.1)	881 (27.1)
	Between 8 and 10 hours	355 (10.9)	565 (17.4)
	More than 10 hours	156 (4.8)	436 (13.4)
	None	15 (0.5)	8 (0.2)
	Other	13 (0.4)	4 (0.1)
Daily time in a seated position (n*=3,247)	1 hour	155 (4.8)	25 (0.8)
	Between 1 and 2 hours	675 (20.8)	173 (5.3)
	Between 2 and 5 hours	1,118 (34.4)	852 (26.2)
	Between 5 and 8 hours	685 (21.1)	901 (27.7)
	Between 8 and 10 hours	485 (14.9)	690 (21.3)
	More than 10 hours	101 (3.1)	589 (18.1)
	None	15 (0.5)	11 (0.3)
	Other	13 (0.4)	6 (0.2)

(continues on the next page...)

VARIABLES	CATEGORIES	BEFORE	DURING
		n* (%)	n* (%)
Type of sports activity (n*=3,247)	Aerobic (walking, running, swimming, riding a bicycle...)	1,821 (56.1)	988 (30.4)
	Anaerobic (weight lifting, crossfit...)	288 (8.9)	424 (13.1)
	Yoga or Pilates	402 (12.4)	471 (14.5)
	None	581 (17.9)	1,115 (34.3)
	Other	155 (4.8)	249 (7.7)
		<b>n* = 2,666</b>	<b>n* = 2,132</b>
Days/week of sports activity	1 day	202 (7.58)	123 (5.77)
	2 days	566 (21.23)	238 (11.16)
	3 days	725 (27.20)	420 (19.70)
	4 days	454 (17.03)	310 (14.54)
	5 days	414 (15.53)	396 (18.57)
	6 days	131 (4.91)	289 (13.56)
	7 days	174 (6.53)	356 (16.70)
		<b>n* = 2,666</b>	<b>n* = 2,132</b>
Daily time of sports activity	Less than 1 hour	737 (27.64)	1,233 (57.83)
	Between 1 and 2 hours	1,691 (63.43)	821 (38.51)
	More than 2 hours	197 (7.39)	58 (2.72)
	Other	41 (1.54)	20 (0.94)
		<b>n* = 2,666</b>	<b>n* = 2,132</b>
Perception of training intensity	Soft	820 (30.76)	943 (44.23)
	Moderate	1,267 (47.52)	880 (41.28)
	A little hard	412 (15.45)	221 (10.37)
	Hard	124 (4.65)	68 (3.19)
	Very hard	22 (0.83)	10 (0.47)
	Other	21 (0.79)	10 (0.47)

\*n = Sample size; % = Statistical frequency

The participants who reported pain before confinement considered that it did not interfere with any of their activities (37.1%) and, if it did, it mainly limited their sports (36.9%) or work (25.9%) activities or carrying out household chores (25.3%). The main strategies used to cope with pain were drug treatment (analgesics, muscle relaxants, etc.) in practically all of the respondents (97.6%), attendance to a specialized consultation (45.7%) or stretching (44.0%) or doing some sports activity (35.4%), either in isolation or in combination, while a minority sought advice for pain management on the Internet or in self-help books (1.5%). Pain during confinement mainly interfered with the performance of household chores (38.9%) and of sports activities (28.4%), using stretching (54.0%) and use of medications (50.6%) as coping strategies, either in isolation or in combination, while only a minority sought advice for pain management on the Internet or in self-help books (3.5%) or consulted a specialist (4.4%).

On the other hand, it should be noted that 35.6% of the participants stated that, before confinement, they regularly experienced restlessness or impatience; 33.0%, muscular tension; 29.7%, fatigability or tiredness; and 28.2%, sleep disorders. 32.3% of the respondents did not report having any symptoms on a regular basis. In addition, of the 67.7% who regularly felt any symptoms before confinement, 28.8% were not concerned at all if the symptoms would disappear, compared to 43.0% who were a little concerned and 25.3% who were moderately concerned. In this sense, the percentage of participants who stated suffering from regular psychosocial symptoms during confinement, in addition to pain, increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ), reaching a percentage of 88.0% of the total respondents. In turn, the number of people who perceived symptomatic worsening increased in all the symptoms analyzed (Table 4), consequently increasing the concern about whether these symptoms would disappear.

Table 4 - Frequency estimates of the perception of psychosocial symptoms derived from the confinement situation (n=3,247). Spain, 2020

	PSYCHOSOCIAL SYMPTOMS n* (%†)					
	RESTLESSNESS IMPATIENCE	FATIGABILITY TIREDNESS	CONCENTRATION	IRRITABILITY	MUSCLE TENSION	SLEEP HABITS
<b>It has worsened</b>	1,731 (53.3)	1,523 (46.9)	1,526 (47.0)	1,612 (49.6)	1,675 (51.6)	1,894 (58.3)
<b>It has improved</b>	337 (10.4)	484 (14.9)	260 (8.0)	328 (10.1)	335 (10.3)	269 (8.3)
<b>It has remained unchanged</b>	1,179 (36.6)	1,240 (38.2)	1,461 (45.0)	1,307 (40.3)	1,237 (38.1)	1,084 (33.4)

\*n = Sample size; †% = Statistical frequency

In relation to the physiotherapy consultation, before confinement 14.5% of the respondents attended regularly and 32.1% did so punctually. During confinement, only 14.8% of these attended with the usual frequency and 65.2% did not attend any appointment. 3.6% of the participants attended the Nursing consultation regularly before confinement and 10.9%, punctually. Of these, 57.9% attended the Nursing consultation during confinement with the usual frequency.

According to the data presented in Table 5, the relationship between the variables studied and pain before and during confinement is statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). In turn, the existence of a positive correlation between pain during confinement and all the sociodemographic, physical, and psychosocial factors studied is observed (Table 5).

Table 5 - Frequency estimates, correlations and statistical significance between pain and sociodemographic, physical, and psychosocial factors according to the subjects grouped in factors before and during confinement (n=3,247). Spain, 2020

FACTORS	PAIN BEFORE		p†	PAIN DURING		p†	r§	
	YES n* (%†)	NO n* (%†)		YES n* (%†)	NO n* (%†)			
<b>Sociodemographic, cultural and work-related</b>								
Gender	Female	1,151 (35.4)	1,173 (36.1)	0.07	1,485 (45.8)	839 (25.7)	0.01	0.114
	Male	383 (11.8)	540 (16.6)		475 (14.6)	448 (13.9)		
BMI	Normal (18.5-25)	721 (22.2)	995 (30.6)	0.01	983 (30.3)	733 (22.6)	0.01	0.069
	Overweight (>25-30)	545 (16.8)	520 (16)		667 (20.5)	397 (12.2)		
	Obesity (>30)	267 (8.2)	199 (6.1)		308 (9.5)	159 (4.9)		
Marital status	With a partner	877 (27)	908 (28)	0.02	1,097 (33.8)	688 (21.2)	0.01	0.025
	No partner	659 (20.3)	803 (24.7)		862 (26.5)	600 (18.5)		
Age	18 to ≤65 years old	1,455 (44.8)	1,634 (50.3)	0.05	1,874 (57.7)	1,215 (37.4)	0.05	0.030
	>65 years old	78 (2.4)	80 (2.4)		85 (2.6)	73 (2.2)		
Schooling level	With higher education	835 (25.7)	1,080 (33.3)	0.01	1,102 (34)	813 (25)	0.01	0.068
	Without higher education	697 (21.4)	635 (19.6)		865 (26.6)	467 (14.4)		
Wage	Up to 30,000	1,211 (37.3)	1,269 (39.1)	0.01	1,543 (47.5)	937 (28.8)	0.01	0.088
	More than 30,000	321 (9.8)	446 (13.7)		415 (12.8)	352 (10.9)		
Perception of economic income	Without difficulties	1,279 (39.4)	1,519 (46.8)	0.01	1,670 (51.4)	1,134 (34.9)	0.01	0.089
	With difficulties	249 (7.7)	200 (6.1)		290 (8.9)	153 (4.7)		
Housing (with garden, terrace, etc..)	Yes	997 (30.7)	1,112 (34.2)	0.8	1,231 (37.9)	878 (27)	0.01	0.054
	No	536 (16.5)	602 (18.5)		728 (22.4)	410 (12.6)		
People in the household	≤3	1,106 (34.1)	1,204 (37.1)	0.01	1,405 (43.3)	905 (27.9)	0.03	0.017
	>3	426 (13.1)	511 (15.7)		553 (17)	384 (11.8)		
<b>Physical</b>								
Daily use time of electronic devices	Less than 8 hours	1,391 (42.8)	1,345 (41.4)	0.01	1,311 (40.4)	935 (28.8)	0.01	0.059
	More than 8 hours	233 (7.2)	278 (8.6)		647 (19.9)	354 (10.9)		
Daily time in a seated position	Less than 8 hours	1,260 (38.8)	1,401 (43.2)	0.01	1,137 (35)	831 (25.6)	0.01	0.065
	More than 8 hours	273 (8.4)	313 (9.6)		822 (25.3)	457 (14.1)		

(continues on the next page...)

FACTORS		PAIN BEFORE		p <sup>‡</sup>	PAIN DURING		p <sup>‡</sup>	r <sup>§</sup>
		YES n* (%†)	NO n* (%†)		YES n* (%†)	NO n* (%†)		
Type of sports activity (n before=2,666; n during=2,132)	Aerobic	877 (32.9)	1,024 (38.4)	<b>0.01</b>	618 (29)	495 (23.2)	<b>0.01</b>	0.078
	Anaerobic	143 (5.4)	220 (8.2)		251 (11.8)	297 (13.9)		
	Yoga and/or Pilates	245 (9.2)	157 (5.9)		323 (15.2)	148 (6.9)		
Daily time of sports activity (n before=2,666; n during=2,132)	Less than 1 hour	392 (14.7)	386 (14.5)	<b>0.01</b>	754 (35.4)	499 (23.4)	<b>0.01</b>	0.082
	More than 1 hour	866 (32.5)	1,022 (38.3)		458 (21.5)	421 (19.7)		
Perception of training intensity (n before=2,666; n during=2,132)	Soft to moderate	1,066 (40)	1,043 (39.1)	<b>0.01</b>	1,107 (51.9)	726 (34)	<b>0.01</b>	0.180
	Hard to extreme	198 (7.4)	360 (13.5)		104 (4.9)	195 (9.1)		
Coping strategies for pain (n before=1534; n during=2253)	Pharmacological	793 (51.7)		<b>0.01</b>	1,195 (53.0)		<b>0.01</b>	0.149
	Non-pharmacological	741 (48.3)			1,058 (47.0)			
<b>Psychosocial</b>								
Psychosocial symptoms	Yes	1,274 (39.2)	936 (28.8)	<b>0.01</b>	1,858 (57.2)	1,003 (30.9)	<b>0.01</b>	0.259
	Did not feel any symptom	258 (8)	779 (24)		100 (3.1)	286 (8.8)		

\*n = Sample size; †% = Statistical frequency; ‡p = Level of statistical significance; §r = Pearson's correlation obtained for the result between factors before and after confinement; †BMI = Body Mass Index

## Discussion

The respondents were mainly women (71.6%), with a mean age of 43.75 years old [Standard Deviation (SD)=12.71], of Spanish nationality (97.9%) and with a mean BMI of 25.91 (SD=10.64), in the lower limit of overweight. In general, the participants had a partner and university studies, and were active at work prior to confinement, carrying out their professional activity outside their homes. To facilitate discussion, data prior to confinement and those corresponding to that period will be independently analyzed.

*Musculoskeletal pain prior to confinement and associated factors.* The results obtained in relation to the main location of musculoskeletal pain converge with the epidemiological data published to date, which place low back pain and neck pain among the ten disorders with the highest incidence in the world population<sup>(30)</sup>. The least symptomatic locations were chest, abdomen, and head. It should be noted that, in more than half of the cases, pain was chronic, that is, lasting more than 6 months<sup>(31)</sup>, and with high intensity. These data reveal a problem that is often underestimated<sup>(32-33)</sup>. The low percentage of individuals who, despite living with severe symptoms, resorted to Physiotherapy or Nursing professionals to receive treatment or consult their ailments is noteworthy. Chronic pain is especially striking in the aged population, where incidence is very high<sup>(34-35)</sup>. The data obtained in this paper coincide with this reality, reflecting a greater impact of musculoskeletal pain in the advanced age groups (over 65 years old).

Among the sociodemographic indicators that show a relationship with the musculoskeletal pain perceived before confinement, the following stand out: gender, with women experiencing more habitual pain; age and BMI, which are directly proportional to perceived pain; and level of studies and salary. Having high academic training, as well as high income, make it possible, on the one hand, to manage information related to health in an efficient manner, as well as to make use of unsubsidized health coverage. Both elements can justify minimizing the impact of musculoskeletal pain in this segment of the population. On the other hand, unskilled jobs carry a higher level of workload and physical demand than skilled jobs, which could have a negative effect on the musculoskeletal level. However, some characteristics of highly qualified jobs such as sedentarism or stress could be considered equally harmful to the locomotor system<sup>(36)</sup>.

Regarding the relationship of pain with physical activity, the results obtained indicate that maintaining a regular level of activity constitutes an effective strategy in pain management<sup>(37)</sup>. In addition, high intensity training was more effective in pain control than light training. Individuals capable of high intensity training may have allostatic adaptations that increase their resistance to physical stress<sup>(38)</sup>, although too intense a training load could cause cumulative harms to the musculoskeletal system<sup>(39)</sup>.

In the analyzed population, the presence of numerous psychosocial factors favoring the development of musculoskeletal symptoms was found, namely: restlessness, impatience, irritability, lack of concentration, fatigability, and sleep disorders. These elements are



clearly related to usual pain in the population studied. The contextual factors of a psychosocial nature are valued in the management of musculoskeletal pain, coming to be considered as “yellow flags” on which social health care should fall<sup>(6)</sup>. In certain conditions such as chronic nonspecific low back pain, cognitive-behavioral treatment has come to be proposed as a priority therapeutic line<sup>(40)</sup>.

*Evolution of pain during confinement and associated factors.* In general terms, the incidence of musculoskeletal pain increased during the confinement period, with the main affected body regions remaining unchanged. From a sociodemographic point of view, the participants who lived as a couple were the most affected, especially women. In many cases, the effort to reconcile professional obligations and domestic tasks has been added to the continuous care of children, dependent family members, support in schoolwork, as well as the need to share physical spaces and electronic resources with the family members. From a gender perspective, this situation has fallen mainly on women, and the existing gap has been reinforced<sup>(41)</sup>. It is likely that this situation, rather than having a direct impact on the physical load, has triggered or increased stressors of a psychological nature clearly related to the perception of pain.

With regard to physical and sports activity, the musculoskeletal pain perceived during confinement shows a clear association with the increase, first of all, in the use of electronic devices (more than 8 hours a day); secondly, by staying in a seated position (more than 8 hours a day); and, finally, meager sports practice (less than 1 hour a day). These elements can be considered indicators of sedentarism<sup>(42)</sup>, a condition that causes, among other disorders, muscle atrophy and of the supportive skeletal tissues, increased myofascial stiffness, somatosensory deficits and, linked to all of the above, musculoskeletal pain<sup>(43)</sup>. During confinement, the practice of anaerobic activities and disciplines such as Yoga or Pilates increased, while the practice of aerobic activities decreased.

It is worth noting the benefit of having a garden or terrace at home in relation to the perception of musculoskeletal pain. A space with these characteristics invites people to maintain an adequate regimen of physical activity by offering more possibilities than closed and reduced spaces, which has positive repercussions on pain and quality of life, without forgetting other psychological or emotional benefits.

In general, the strategies used to combat musculoskeletal pain during confinement have consisted of pharmacological treatments. This can be due to difficulties in traveling outside the home to receive other types of treatments as a consequence of mobility restrictions, and this is demonstrated by the reduction in the number of Physiotherapy or Nursing appointments during this period.

The most used non-pharmacological strategy was muscle stretching followed by the application of cold or heat. This indicates, on the one hand, the increased perception of muscle tension in the participants, something that could be related to psychological factors such as stress or sleep disorders, as well as to an increase in sedentary behaviors and prolonged posture maintenance<sup>(44-45)</sup>. On the other hand, the perception of inflammation, hence the therapeutic resource of cryotherapy. These non-traumatic inflammatory processes can derive from the adoption of certain postures for a long time, for example, the seated position<sup>(46)</sup>. However, a traumatic origin of these conditions cannot be ruled out since, on numerous occasions, sports activities began to be practiced within the home inspired by generic recommendations from social networks or television programs<sup>(47)</sup>. It is possible that people were not sufficiently conditioned for this type of exercise or that the basic recommendations for a good practice without risk of injury were not followed.

The onset, in some cases, and the increase in others, of the psychological symptoms in the population studied during confinement is very striking, that is, the influence that both the pandemic and the associated confinement have had on the emotional and behavioral stability of people<sup>(18)</sup>. A number of research studies during previous infectious outbreaks have revealed psychological repercussions on the population<sup>(48)</sup>. Feelings of loss of control and of being trapped in confinement are likely to substantially intensify the symptoms<sup>(48)</sup>. It is also necessary to highlight, as unavoidable, instability and uncertainty at the work level (a large majority of the participants were forced to work remotely, suffered some contractual regulation, or were fired), as well as the need to combine work/school obligations and recreational activities for all members of the family nucleus at home. In many cases, the insufficiency or obsolescence of computer equipment and Internet coverage would have to be added to the aforementioned, something that would only increase the levels of tension and perceived stress.

Among the study limitations are both sample dispersion and female predominance. However, the high number of answers obtained makes it possible to define numerous features of the Spanish population that usually perceive musculoskeletal pain, as well as the influence that confinement has had on it.

The present study provides new evidence on the high prevalence of musculoskeletal pain in the healthy population, as well as its complex multi-factoriality. It has been proven that many of the causal factors involved in the onset or aggravation of this type of symptoms are inherently present in a state of home confinement such as that which occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020.

The results obtained in this study will make it possible to adapt health promotion and prevention strategies from a biopsychosocial perspective that ultimately improve the quality of life of the population. Likewise, these could be extrapolated internationally, across populations with similar characteristics, given that the pandemic continues to require more or less restrictive confinement measures worldwide, in order to contain the spread of the virus.

## Conclusion

Confinement has caused an increase in the perception of lumbar and cervical pain in women, especially in those over 65 years of age, with the following related factors: reduction in the intensity and duration of aerobic physical activity, increase in the use of electronic devices, increase in the permanence in a seated position, and worsening of the psychosocial symptoms.

The definition of a population profile that is especially sensitive to the impact of confinement with regard to the perception of musculoskeletal pain, as well as the identification of the causal factors involved in such perception, will allow establishing multidisciplinary approaches in health promotion.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Pedro Herrera Carral, representing the team of the Care Subdirectorate of the Cantabrian Health Service, for the scientific-technical support provided.

## References

1. James SL, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, Abdelalim A, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet*. 2018 Nov 10;392(10159):1789-858. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32279-7
2. Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, Louw Q, Ferreira ML, Genevay S, et al. What Low Back Pain Is and Why We Need to Pay Attention. *Lancet*. 2018 Jun 9;391(10137):2356-67. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X
3. Traeger AC, Buchbinder R, Elshaug AG, Croft PR, Maher CG. Care for low back pain: can health systems deliver? *Bull World Health Organ*. 2019;97(6):423-33. doi: 10.2471/BLT.18.226050
4. Katz JN. Lumbar disc disorders and low-back pain: socioeconomic factors and consequences. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2006 Apr;88 Suppl 2:21-4. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.E.01273

5. Popescu A, Lee H. Neck Pain and Lower Back Pain. *Med Clin North Am*. 2020 Mar;104(2):279-92. doi: 10.1016/j.mcna.2019.11.003
6. Alonso Becerra I, Gandoy Crego M, Caamaño Ponte J. Visceral obesity and chronic pain in the institutionalized elderly without cognitive impairment. *Gerokomos*. 2013;24(2):74-7. doi: 10.4321/S1134-928X2013000200005
7. Farre A, Rapley T. The New Old (and Old New) Medical Model: Four Decades Navigating the Biomedical and Psychosocial Understandings of Health and Illness. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2017;5(4). doi: 10.3390/healthcare5040088
8. Tousignant-Laflamme Y, Martel MO, Joshi AB, Cook CE. Rehabilitation management of low back pain - it's time to pull it all together! *J Pain Res*. 2017;10:2373-85.
9. Ministerio de la Presidencia, Relaciones con las Cortes y Memoria Democrática. Real (ES). Decreto 463/2020, de 14 de marzo, por el que se declara el estado de alarma para la gestión de la situación de crisis sanitaria ocasionada por el COVID-19. [Internet]. Boletín Oficial del Estado, 14 Mar 2020 [Acceso 11 Sep 2020]. Disponible en: <https://www.boe.es/buscar/act.php?id=BOE-A-2020-3692>
10. Klein L, Heiple KG, Torzilli PA, Goldberg VM, Burstein AH. Prevention of ligament and meniscus atrophy by active joint motion in a non-weight-bearing model. *J Orthop Res*. 1989;7(1):80-5. doi: 10.1002/jor.1100070111
11. Skorupska E. Muscle Atrophy Measurement as Assessment Method for Low Back Pain Patients. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2018;1088:437-61. doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-1435-3\_20
12. Taş S, Korkusuz F, Erden Z. Neck Muscle Stiffness in Participants With and Without Chronic Neck Pain: A Shear-Wave Elastography Study. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 2018 09;41(7):580-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jmpt.2018.01.007
13. Sadler SG, Spink MJ, Ho A, De Jonge XJ, Chuter VH. Restriction in lateral bending range of motion, lumbar lordosis, and hamstring flexibility predicts the development of low back pain: a systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2017;18(1):179. doi: 10.1186/s12891-017-1534-0
14. Okun MS, Nadeau SE, Rossi F, Triggs WJ. Immobilization dystonia. *J Neurol Sci*. 2002 Sep 15;201(1-2):79-83. doi: 10.1016/S0022-510X(02)00198-3
15. Barr C, Barnard R, Edwards L, Lennon S, Bradnam L. Impairments of balance, stepping reactions and gait in people with cervical dystonia. *Gait Posture*. 2017;55:55-61. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2017.04.004
16. Mousavi-Khatir R, Talebian S, Toosizadeh N, Olyaei GR, Maroufi N. Disturbance of neck proprioception and feed-forward motor control following static neck flexion in healthy young adults. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol*. 2018;41:160-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jelekin.2018.04.013

17. Espí-López GV, Muñoz-Gómez E, Arnal-Gómez A, Fernández-Bosch J, Balbastre-Tejedor I, Ramírez-Iñiguez MV, et al. Obesity as a determining factor in low back pain: bibliographic review. *Rev Asoc Esp Espec Med Trab.* [Internet]. 2019 [cited Sep 3, 2020];28(3):217-28. Available from: [http://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S1132](http://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1132)
18. Balluerka Lasa N, Gómez Benito J, Hidalgo Montesinos MD, Gorostiaga Manterola A, Espada Sánchez JP, Padilla García JL, et al. Las consecuencias psicológicas de la Covid-19 y el confinamiento. [Internet]. Bilbao: Universidad del País Vasco; 2020 [Acceso 11 Sep 2020]. Disponible en: <http://hdl.handle.net/10810/45924>
19. Mediouni M, Madiouni R, Kaczor-Urbanowicz KE. COVID-19: How the quarantine could lead to the depreobesity. *Obes Med.* 2020;19:100255. doi: 10.1016/j.obmed.2020.100255
20. Rodrigues MS, Leite RDV, Lelis CM, Chaves TC. Differences in ergonomic and workstation factors between computer office workers with and without reported musculoskeletal pain. *Work.* 2017;57(4):563-72. doi: 10.3233/WOR-172582
21. Matias T, Dominski FH, Marks DF. Human needs in COVID-19 isolation. *J Health Psychol.* 2020 June 1,25(7):871-82. doi: 10.1177/1359105320925149
22. Givli S. Contraction induced muscle injury: towards personalized training and recovery programs. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2015 Feb;43(2):388-403. doi:10.1007/s10439-014-1173-7
23. Marshall PWM, Schabrun S, Knox MF. Physical activity and the mediating effect of fear, depression, anxiety, and catastrophizing on pain related disability in people with chronic low back pain. *PLoS ONE.* 2017;12(7):e0180788. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180788
24. Dolphens M, Vansteelandt S, Cagnie B, Vleeming A, Nijs J, Vanderstraeten G, et al. Multivariable modeling of factors associated with spinal pain in young adolescence. *Eur Spine J.* 2016;25(9):2809-21. doi: 10.1007/s00586-016-4629-7
25. Sá S, Silva AG. Repositioning error, pressure pain threshold, catastrophizing and anxiety in adolescents with chronic idiopathic neck pain. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract.* 2017;30:18-24. doi: 10.1016/j.msksp.2017.04.011
26. Zhang SX, Wang Y, Rauch A, Wei F. Unprecedented disruption of lives and work: Health, distress and life satisfaction of working adults in China one month into the COVID-19 outbreak. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020;288:112958. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112958
27. Mackolil J, Mackolil J. Addressing psychosocial problems associated with the COVID-19 lockdown. *Asian J Psychiatr.* 2020;51:102156. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102156
28. Ahorsu DK, Lin C, Imani V, Saffari M, Griffiths MD, Pakpour AH. The Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Development and Initial Validation. *Int J Ment Health Addict.* 2020;27:1-9. doi: 10.1007/s11469-020-00270-8
29. Thong ISK, Jensen MP, Miró J, Tan G. The validity of pain intensity measures: what do the NRS, VAS, VRS, and FPS-R measure? *Scand J Pain.* 2018 Jan 26;18(1):99-107. doi: 10.1515/sjpain-2018-0012
30. Vos T, Barber RM, Bell B, Bertozzi-Villa A, Biryukov S, Bolliger I, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet.* 2015;386(9995):743-800. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60692-4
31. Hawker GA, Mian S, Kendzerska T, French M. Measures of adult pain: Visual Analog Scale for Pain (VAS Pain), Numeric Rating Scale for Pain (NRS Pain), McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ), Short-Form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ), Chronic Pain Grade Scale (CPGS), Short Form-36 Bodily Pain Scale (SF-36 BPS), and Measure of Intermittent and Constant Osteoarthritis Pain (ICOAP). *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2011 Nov;63 Suppl 11:240. doi: 10.1002/acr.20543
32. van Hecke O, Torrance N, Smith BH. Chronic pain epidemiology and its clinical relevance. *Br J Anaesth.* 2013 Jul;111(1):13-8. doi: 10.1093/bja/aet123
33. Mills SEE, Nicolson KP, Smith BH. Chronic pain: a review of its epidemiology and associated factors in population-based studies. *Br J Anaesth.* 2019 Aug;123(2):e273-83. doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2019.03.023
34. Bicket MC, Mao J. Chronic Pain in Older Adults. *Anesthesiol Clin.* 2015 Sep;33(3):577-90. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h532
35. Blyth FM, Noguchi N. Chronic musculoskeletal pain and its impact on older people. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* 2017;31(2):160-8. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2017.10.004
36. Giorgi G, Arcangeli G, Perminiene M, Lorini C, Ariza-Montes A, Fiz-Perez J, et al. Work-Related Stress in the Banking Sector: A Review of Incidence, Correlated Factors, and Major Consequences. *Front Psychol.* 2017;8:2166. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02166
37. Geneen LJ, Moore RA, Clarke C, Martin D, Colvin LA, Smith BH. Physical activity and exercise for chronic pain in adults: an overview of Cochrane Reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;4:CD011279. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD011279.pub2
38. Kjaer M. Role of extracellular matrix in adaptation of tendon and skeletal muscle to mechanical loading. *Physiol Rev.* 2004;84(2):649-98. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00031.2003
39. Soligard T, Schwellnus M, Alonso J, Bahr R, Clarsen B, Dijkstra HP, et al. How much is too much?

- (Part 1) International Olympic Committee consensus statement on load in sport and risk of injury. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50(17):1030-41. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096581
40. Foster NE, Anema JR, Cherkin D, Chou R, Cohen SP, Gross DP, et al. Prevention and treatment of low back pain: evidence, challenges, and promising directions. *Lancet.* 2018;391(10137):2368-83. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30489-6
41. Wenham C, Smith J, Morgan R. COVID-19: the gendered impacts of the outbreak. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10227):846-8. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30526-2
42. Tremblay MS, Colley RC, Saunders TJ, Healy GN, Owen N. Physiological and health implications of a sedentary lifestyle. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2010 Dec;35(6):725-40. doi: 10.1139/H10-079
43. Bontrup C, Taylor WR, Fliesser M, Visscher R, Green T, Wippert P, et al. Low back pain and its relationship with sitting behaviour among sedentary office workers. *Appl Ergon.* 2019 Nov;81:102894. doi: 10.1016/j.apergo.2019.102894
44. Fernandes IMC, Pinto RZ, Ferreira P, Lira FS. Low back pain, obesity, and inflammatory markers: exercise as potential treatment. *J Exerc Rehabil.* 2018 Apr;14(2):168-74. doi: 10.12965/jer.1836070.035
45. Lundberg U. Psychological stress and musculoskeletal disorders: psychobiological mechanisms. Lack of rest and recovery greater problem than workload. *Lakartidningen.* 2003;100(21):1892-5.
46. Szczygieł E, Zielonka K, Mętel S, Golec J. Musculoskeletal and pulmonary effects of sitting position - a systematic review. *Ann Agric Environ Med.* 2017;24(1):8-12. doi: 10.5604/12321966.1227647
47. Wilke J, Mohr L, Tenforde AS, Vogel O, Hespanhol L, Vogt L, et al. Activity and Health During the SARS-CoV2 Pandemic (ASAP): Study Protocol for a Multi-National Network Trial. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2020;7:302. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2020.00302
48. Parrado-González A, León-Jariego JC. Covid-19: factors associated with emotional distress and psychological morbidity in spanish population. *Rev Esp Salud Pública.* 2020 Jun 8;94:e202006058.

## Authors' contribution:

**Study concept and design:** Carlos Carpintero-Rubio, Laura Visiers-Jiménez, David Peña-Otero. **Obtaining data:** Carlos Carpintero-Rubio, Bárbara Torres-Chica, María Alexandra Guadrón-Romero, Laura Visiers-Jiménez, David Peña-Otero. **Data analysis and interpretation:** Carlos Carpintero-Rubio, Bárbara Torres-Chica, María Alexandra Guadrón-Romero, Laura Visiers-Jiménez, David Peña-Otero. **Statistical analysis:** Bárbara Torres-Chica, María Alexandra Guadrón-Romero, Laura Visiers-Jiménez. **Obtaining financing:** David Peña-Otero. **Drafting the manuscript:** Carlos Carpintero-Rubio, Bárbara Torres-Chica, María Alexandra Guadrón-Romero, Laura Visiers-Jiménez, David Peña-Otero. **Critical review of the manuscript as to its relevant intellectual content:** Carlos Carpintero-Rubio, Bárbara Torres-Chica, María Alexandra Guadrón-Romero, Laura Visiers-Jiménez, David Peña-Otero.

Received: Sep 11<sup>th</sup> 2020

Accepted: Dec 6<sup>th</sup> 2020

Associate Editor:  
César Calvo-Lobo


**Copyright © 2021 Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem**  
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons (CC BY).

This license lets others distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon your work, even commercially, as long as they credit you for the original creation. This is the most accommodating of licenses offered. Recommended for maximum dissemination and use of licensed materials.

Corresponding author:

Laura Visiers-Jiménez

E-mail: lvisiersjimenez@gmail.com

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7120-1422>