DOI: 10.1177/2050640620977034

REVIEW ARTICLE



Management of pregnancy in women with cirrhosis

Mussarat N. Rahim¹ | Tasneem Pirani¹ | Catherine Williamson² | Michael A. Heneghan¹

¹Institute of Liver Studies, King's College Hospital, London, UK

²Division of Women's Health, King's College London, London, UK

Correspondence

Michael A. Heneghan, Institute of Liver Studies, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Denmark Hill, London SE5 9RS, UK.

Email: michael.heneghan@nhs.net

Funding information

European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) Registry Grant (Liver Disease in Pregnancy); The King's College Hospital NHS Trust Charity (Orpin Bequest); The Kelly Group

Abstract

Although pregnancy is rare in women with cirrhosis, it is increasingly prevalent in an era of modern assisted conception techniques and improved awareness, monitoring and management of underlying liver disease. After overcoming the difficulties of subfertility and becoming pregnant, women undergo a 'high-risk' pregnancy which can be complicated by variceal haemorrhage (≤50%) and hepatic decompensation (≤25%). Management of these complications are similar to non-pregnant individuals. However, there are a few caveats to consider. These pregnancies are associated with adverse maternal and foetal outcomes, such as mortality (0%-8%) and prematurity (19%-67%) in the newborn, and mortality (0%-14%), pregnancy-induced hypertension (5%-22%) and post-partum haemorrhage (5%-45%) in the mother. Prepregnancy counselling, use of predictive scores and appropriate variceal screening during pregnancy can stratify patients and improve outcomes. This review focusses on the complications that can occur during pregnancy in women with cirrhosis.

KEYWORDS

cirrhosis, foetal outcomes, maternal outcomes, pre-pregnancy counselling, pregnancy, variceal bleeding

Clinical case: A 32-year-old woman with well-controlled autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) on azathioprine has unex-pectedly become pregnant, despite years of amenorrhoea. She has Child-Pugh A cirrhosis with a model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score of 13 and a history of variceal haemorrhage (VH) with endoscopic band liga-tion (EBL). She wants to continue the pregnancy but would like to discuss the risks to the baby and her health.

PHYSIOLOGICAL CHANGES DURING PREGNANCY

Portal hypertension (PHTN) is characterised by a hyper-dynamic circulation with low systemic vascular resistance and elevated portal venous pressures (10 mmHg). This process is exaggerated during preg-nancy. Rapidly increasing blood volume during the second

trimester because of renin-angiotensin system activation results in increased aldosterone production and sodium/water retention. Due to mater-nal blood volume expansion, augmented cardiac output and increased compression of the gravid uterus on the inferior vena cava, portal pressures rise and peak during the second trimester, thus increasing the risk of VH.¹

Progesterone and oestrogen rise progressively during pregnancy and influence hepatic metabolism, synthesis and excretory functions, for example several anticoagulant factors decrease, while certain procoagu-lant factors increase during pregnancy. Pregnancy also influences immunological tolerance and hepatic blood flow.

Most liver parameters remain stable during preg-nancy, other than alkaline phosphatase and alpha-fetoprotein which rise during pregnancy because of foetal/placental production. Albumin tends to reduce during pregnancy.1

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2020 The Authors. United European Gastroenterology Journal published by Wiley Periodicals LLC. on behalf of United European Gastroenterology.

Infertility in cirrhosis

Fertility is decreased in women with cirrhosis due to disruption of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis combined with impaired hepatic metabolism of sex hormones, porto-systemic shunting of weak androgens and peripheral aromatisation of androgens. Menstrual irregularities can lead to unexpected pregnancies in these women. Others may seek assisted conception, for example in vitro fertilisation, which is not without risk.²

Pre-pregnancy counselling

Deaths secondary to chronic pre-existing medical con-ditions account for 58% of maternal deaths in the UK, albeit the proportion directly related to liver disease is low.³ Nonetheless, guidance recommends that women with pre-existing conditions have multidisciplinary input during intra-partum care.

Women with cirrhosis should therefore receive pre-pregnancy counselling with a team of experts, for example a midwife, obstetrician, obstetric-physician and hepatologist. This facilitates tailored medication regimens and triage of patients by risk profile (Table 1) in addition to pre-emptive anticipation of complications.⁴

Risk stratification

Pregnancy outcomes are related to the severity of underlying maternal liver disease. Therefore, prognos-tic scoring systems utilised in clinical practice are valu-able in assessing materno-foetal risk during pregnancy. Pre-conception MELD scores <6 predict positive out-comes with minimal complications, whilst MELD scores >10 predict hepatic decompensation during pregnancy. These patients should be carefully coun-selled about the possible risks of decompensation, liver transplantation (LT) and death during pregnancy. Furthermore, a pre-conception albumin-bilirubin (ALBI; \log_{10} bilirubin (mmol/L) 0.66) (albumin (g/L) -0.0852) score of <-2.7 (ALBI grade 1) has been demonstrated to predict live birth, and a pre-conception aspartate aminotransferase (AST)-to-plate-let ratio index (APRI; AST (IU/L)/upper limit of normal/ platelet count (10^9 /L) 100) of 0.84 (APRI grade 1) predicts term pregnancies (\geq 37 weeks).

The American College of Gastroenterology recom-mends variceal screening in the second trimester in pregnant women with suspected PHTN.⁶ Some experts also advocate pre-conception surveillance. Platelets <110 10⁹ cells/L may predict the presence of varices in the second trimester.⁵ In patients with significant PHTN and intra-abdominal varices, magnetic reso-nance imaging may reveal pelvic varices, which is important to know when considering mode of delivery. Patients with cirrhosis are often malnourished. If there are concerns regarding nutrition pre-pregnancy or antenatally, early referral to a dietician can prove beneficial.

Clinical case

At 22 weeks of gestation, the patient presents with melaena. She is appropriately resuscitated and receives antibiotics. Oesophagogastro-duodenoscopy (OGD) reveals grade 2 oesophageal var-ices with stigmata of recent haemorrhage. She receives EBL and is discharged on a beta-blocker.

MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS

Hepatic decompensation

Up to 25% of women with cirrhosis may experience decompensation (mostly VH) during pregnancy.^{5,7,8} However, a recent retrospective population-based study from North America suggests that the rate may be lower at 1.6%. History of pre-pregnancy hepatic decompensation may significantly increase the risk (13%) of decompensation during pregnancy.⁸

VH

VH has been reported in up to one third of pregnant women with cirrhosis and half with PHTN. $^{5,9-12}$ Associated mortality was up to 50% in older studies, although recent series suggest rates <20% (Table 2). $^{5,11-14}$ Although VH does occur during pregnancy in women with non-cirrhotic PHTN, mortality is only 2%–6% (better liver function). 9,15

Endoscopy

With a few stipulations, OGD can be per-formed safely in pregnant women. All In late pregnan-cy, aorto-caval compression in the supine position can cause reduced venous return, cardiac output and uteroplacental blood flow. Left lateral positioning avoids this. Despite a Food and Drug Administration (FDA) pregnancy category of D, in practice, midazolam is used widely during endoscopy in pregnant women, without significant consequences. Over-sedation (benzodiazepines/opiates) can cause materno-foetal hypotension/hypoxia. Guidelines suggest that meperi-dine (pethidine) and propofol can be used with relative safety in pregnant women.

Management

In acute VH during pregnancy, broad-spectrum antibiotics should be initiated. Use of terli-pressin is controversial; its vasoconstrictive properties may induce uterine contractions, with decreased uter-ine blood flow leading to ischaemia, which can subsequently result in spontaneous abortion and placental abruption.^{7,17} It should only be

 TABLE 1
 Key effects of common medications used in cirrhosis during pregnancy

·	FDA		Presence human	
Drug	- ,	Possible effects on foetus	milk (levels)	Breastfeeding effects on infant
Variceal manageme	ent			
Propranolol	С	IUGR, bradycardia, hypoglycaemia	Yes (low)	Unlikely to cause harm: monitor for signs of $\beta\mbox{-blockade, avoid feeds for }>3\mbox{ hours after}$ dosage
Carvedilol	С	Hypotension, bradycardia, hypoglycaemia, respiratory issues	Yes	NK: can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Octreotide	В	IUGR, sporadic reports of spontaneous abortion in first trimester	NK	NK: can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Terlipressin	NA	Malformations (animal studies), spontaneous abortion Drug not recommended during pregnancy	NK	NK: can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Diuretics				
Spironolactone	С	Foetal demise (animal studies), pro-gestational and anti-androgenic effects, hypovolaemia	Yes	NK: can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Furosemide	С	Foetal demise (animal studies), hypovolaemia, electrolyte disturbances	Yes	NK: can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Hepatic encephalo	pathy			
Rifaximin	С	Teratogenicity: cleft palate, agnathia, jaw shortening, brachygnathia, incomplete ossification (animal studies)	NK	NK: can use if benefits outweighpotential risks
Lactulose	В	No evidence of harm	NK	NK: can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Immunosuppressio	n			
Prednisolone	C/D	Cleft lip \pm palate, cataracts, adrenal suppression and LBW	Yes	Disturbed growth/adrenal sup- pression: use lowest dose, avoid feeds for >4 hours after dose ⁺
Azathioprine	D	Spontaneous abortion, prematurity, LBW/IUGR Leucopaenia, thrombocytopaenia, hypogammaglobulinaemia, thymic hypoplasia (reversibility after birth) In practice, if established on drug, can continue in pregnancy	Yes (low/ undetectable)	Mild neutropaenia and potentiallong-term carcinogenesis effects: avoid feeds for 4-6 hours after dosage ⁺
Mercaptopurine	D	Spontaneous abortion, prematurity, congenital anomalies In practice, if established on drug, can continue in pregnancy	NK	NK: can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Mycophenolate mofetil	D	Early pregnancy loss, congenital malformations (ear/facial abnormalities, cleft lip, anomalies of distal limbs, etc.)	NK	NK: can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Cyclosporine	С	Prematurity/LBW Immunosuppressive effects	NK (variable)	NK (? immunosuppression/carcinogenesis): contraindicated by AAP, monitor for toxicity
Tacrolimus	С	Spontaneous abortion, foetal death, prematurity, LBW Birth defects and congenital anomalies Transient neonatal hypercalcaemia (no long- term sequelae) In practice, if established on drug, can continue in pregnancy	Yes (low)	Not expected to cause adverse effects: breastfed infants should be monitored for toxicity
Cholestatic therapi	es			
UDCA	В	Foetal demise and teratogenicity (animal studies) In practice, it is widely used without adverse effects	NK	NK: can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Cholestyramine	С	No reported animal studies In practice, it is widely used without adverse effects	NK (unlikely)	Considered acceptable, caution recommended

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Drug	FDA category ^a	Possible effects on foetus	Presence human milk (levels)	Breastfeeding effects on infant
Naltrexone	С	Early foetal loss (high doses), no teratogenicity (animal studies)	Yes	NK (? carcinogenesis): can use if benefits outweigh potential risks
Rifampicin	С	Congenital malformations, cleft palate and imperfect osteo- genesis (animal studies) Inhibition of vitamin K production in mother→supplementation	Yes	NK (? carcinogenesis): AAP/WHO classify drug as compatible with breastfeeding

Category A: adequate and well-controlled studies have failed to demonstrate foetal risk in the first and later trimesters of pregnancy. Category B: animal reproduction studies have failed to demonstrate foetal risk and there are no adequate well-controlled studies in pregnant women.

Category C: Animal reproduction studies have shown an adverse effect on the foetus, but there are no adequate well-controlled studies in humans. So, potential benefits may warrant use of the drug in pregnant women, despite potential risks.

Category D: positive evidence of human foetal risk based on adverse reaction data from investigational/marketing experience or human studies, but potential benefits may warrant use of the drug in pregnant women despite potential risks.

NA: not assigned, insufficient data exist regarding this drug in pregnant women to determine the risk of adverse outcomes.

Abbreviations: AAP, American Academy of Pediatrics; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction; NK, not known; LBW, low birth weight; UCDA, ursodeoxycholic acid; WHO, World Health Organization.

used in cases when endoscopic therapy has failed. Octreotide may be a suitable alternative (Table 1).

EBL remains the mainstay of therapy for acute VH.¹⁸ Sclerotherapy has previously been used successful-ly, but potential shunting of toxic material to the placenta remains concerning.^{10,19} Prospective studies comparing these two methods in pregnancy are lacking, although EBL is superior in the non-pregnant population.

In those with refractory bleeding despite optimal endoscopic therapy, rescue trans-jugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPSS) insertion can successfully control bleeding.²⁰ In this situation, the benefits of per-forming this procedure are likely to outweigh the risks.

Each case should be individually evaluated in a multi-disciplinary setting. Historically, a surgical shunt (sple-norenal/portocaval) was an option to manage life-threatening VH. TIPSS has superseded the requirement for surgical intervention.

Portal-vein flow usually increases during pregnancy, although the impact of pregnancy on TIPSS function is unknown.²¹ In women with previous TIPSS, regular (frequency unclear) ultrasound monitoring of the shunt during pregnancy is recommended. A few case reports have described ongoing TIPSS patency during pregnancy, with possible increased flow velocity in the portal vein, stent and hepatic artery.^{22,23}

If varices are identified, prophylactic EBL to prevent VH remains controversial, but is practiced in some centres.²⁴ Primary prophylaxis with non-selective beta-blockers may be beneficial but should be balanced with risks (Table 1).

Hepatic encephalopathy

Similar principles apply to managing hepatic encepha-lopathy (HE) during pregnancy, although certain med-ications should be avoided

where possible (Table 1). At delivery, the presence of HE may influence the admin-istration of anaesthesia, as certain drugs may precipitate hypotension and worsen HE.

Ascites

Ascites is relatively rare (7%–11%) during pregnan-cy. 14.25 If present, diuretics and paracentesis should be avoided, depending on the risk-benefit balance. If spontaneous bacterial peritonitis is confirmed, manage-ment includes antibiotics and human albumin solution. It is important to consider alternative aetiologies of intra-abdominal infection, as secondary peritonitis increases the risk of premature delivery and placental abruption. Prophylactic antibiotics (norfloxacin/cipro-floxacin) should be avoided during pregnancy if possible, but can be used safely during breastfeeding, provided the infant is monitored for side effects (diar-rhoea/candidiasis).

Splenic artery aneurysm rupture

Spontaneous rupture of a splenic artery aneurysm (SAA) is rare. Risk is greatest in pregnant women with cirrhosis and PHTN in the third trimester due to increased splenic blood flow from a hyper-dynamic circulation.²⁶

Symptoms of rupture include abdominal pain and syncope. A curvilinear calcification in the left upper quadrant on imaging may represent a SAA.²⁷ Up to 25% of patients experience the 'double rupture phe-nomenon': warning symptoms from an initial small self-contained rupture, which hours later results in sig-nificant rupture with rapid intra-abdominal bleeding and haemorrhagic shock. Materno-foetal mortality rates are high (70%–95%) in these cases.^{7,27}

TABLE 2 Summary of studies evaluating materno-foetal outcomes of pregnancies in women with cirrhosis

Study	Britton et al. 1982	Pajor et al. 1994	Aggarwal et al. 1999	Murthy et al. 2009	Shaheen et al. 2010	Westbrook et al. 2011	Rasheed et al. 2013	Puljic et al. 2015	Hagstrom et al. 2018	Tolunay et al. 2020	Flemming et al. 2020
No. of cirrhotic women/ pregnancies	53/83	11/12	6/2	NOWNS/ 187 infant deliveries	NOWNS/339 obstetric hospitalisations	29/62	129/129	NOWNS/37	76/103	11/11	2022/?
Maternal complications	Suc										
Maternal death (%)	13.2	1	14.3	1.0* (control: 0.01)	1.8 * (control: 0)	1.6	7.8 * (control: 0.2) OR = 50.4	1	0	0	0.3%
PIH (%)	1	1	I	21.5* (control: 7.7)	14.5 * (control: 9.4)	I	5.4 * (control: 2.2) OR = 2.4	1	1	1	Hypertensive disorders
Pre-eclampsia (%)	ı		ı	ı	6.8 (control: 3.9) N.S.	ı	12.4 * (control: 2.6) OR = 5.3	13.5* (control: 3.0)	3.9 (control: 2.8) N.S.	ı	11.2*; RR = 1.3 (N.S. on multi- variate analysis)
Gestational diabetes (%)	ı	ı	ı	ı	6.5 (control: 6.0) N.S.	I	1	ı	3.0 (control: 1.0) N.S.	N.S.	5.9 (control: 5.8) N.S.
Infections (%)	1	45.5	1	1.8 * (control: 0.5)	1.8* (control: 2.1 (control: 1.4) 0.5) N.S.	1	1.6 (control: 0.8) N.S.	1	1	1	4.2 * (control: 3.1) RR = 1.3-1.4
Thrombosis (%)	ı	1	1	2.6* (control: 0.1)	2.6* (control: 0 (control: 0.2) 0.1) N.S.	1	0.8 (control: 0.3) N.S.	1	1	N.S.	ı
Decompensation (%)	VH: 15.6	VH: 36.3; ascites: 9.0% (antenatal), 18.1 (puerperal)	VH: 12.5	VH: 6.5	VH: 5.5; ascites: 11.0	VH: 4.8; Ascites: 3.2; HE: 1.6	ı	VH: 2.7	VH: 1.0	VH: 33.3 All: 1.6	All: 1.6
Foetal complications											
Live birth rate (%)	1	1	100.0	1	ı	58.0	ı	ı	ı	81.8	1
Neonatal death (%)	3.6	8.3	ı	1	5.9 * (control: 2.1)	0	4.7* (control: 0.8) OR 2.7* (control: 0.1) = 6.3	2.7* (control: 0.1)	1.0 * (control: 0.2) RR = 8.1	1	0.7 * (control: 0.3)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Study	Britton et al. 1982	Pajor et al. 1994	Aggarwal et al. 1999	Murthy et al. Shaheen 2009 et al. 203	Shaheen et al. 2010	Westbrook et al. 2011	Rasheed et al. 2013	Puljic et al. 2015	Hagstrom et al. 2018	Tolunay et al. 2020	Flemming et al. 2020
Abortion (%)	MC: 9.6; TN: 6.0	ı	ı	ı	ı	MC: 1.9; TN: 15.0	6.2 (control: 4.8) N.S.	I	ı	MC: 9.1; TN: 9.1	I
Stillbirths (%)	8.	8.0	1	1	6.0	9.0	I	1	1.0 (control: 0.3) N.S.	I	0.5 * (control: 0.2)
Prematurity (%)	ı	25.0	50.0	38.0 * (control: 7.2)	39.0* (control: 10) 64.0	64.0	26.4* (control: 10.4) OR = 3.1	29.0* (control: 9.0)	19.0 * (control: 5.0) 66.7 RR = 3.5	66.7	11.3* (control: 7.0) RR = 1.6-1.7
Foetal growth Restriction (%)	ı	LBW: 41.7; IUGR: 50.0	LBW: 62.5	1	1	1	LBW: 35.7* (control: 8.2) OR = 6.2; IUGR: 22.5* (control: 2.3) OR = 12.3	LBW: 19.0* (control: 4.5); SGA: 16.7 (control: 10.5) N.S.	LBW: 15.0* (control: 3.0) RR = 3.9; SGA: 7.8 (control: 2.6) N.S.	55.6	SGA: 10.5 (control: 10.2) N.S.
Congenital malformations (%)	ı	1	I	ı	0.4 (control: 0.5) N.S.	ı	ı	1	2.0 (control: 3.5) N.S.	ı	1
Obstetric complications	ons										
C-section (%)		33.3	12.5	50.4 * (control: 20.6)	42.0 * (control: 28.0) OR = 1.4		81.4* (control: 34.2) OR = 8.3	Multiparous 28.0* (control: 10.0); nulliparous 42.0 (control: 29.0) N.S.	36.0° (control: 16.0) RR = 2.0	77.8	32.4 (control: 30.0) N.S.
РРН (%)		45.5	37.5		13.3* (control: 3.0)		16.3 * (control: 5.1) OR = 3.6	5.4 (control: 2.9) N.S.			6.8 (control: 5.7) N.S.

Abbreviations: C-section, Caesarean section; MC, miscarriage; NOWNS, no. of women not specified; N.S., not significant; OR, odd ratio; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; PPH, post-partum haemorrhage; RR, relative risk; SGA, small for gestational age; TN, termination; VH, variceal haemorrhage. Note: SGA is define as <10th percentile. Prematurity is defined as <37 weeks of gestation. LBW is defined as <2500 g. p < 0.05

Trans-catheter embolisation is now the mainstay of treatment in cases of rupture, with surgical intervention (ligation splenectomy) reserved for failed therapy or when interventional radiology is unavailable. Prophylactic intervention can be considered if a SAA has previously ruptured or if a large aneurysm (>2-3 cm) has been identified pre-conception.

Malignancy

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in pregnancy is rare. Hyperoestrogenaemia and increased vascularity has been postulated to accelerate HCC progression during pregnancy, but data are inconsistent. Due to foetal production, alpha-fetoprotein cannot be used as a diagnostic/surveillance marker of HCC during pregnancy. HCC in pregnancy has been associated with poorer obstetric outcomes, with a 12.5% risk of spon-taneous rupture and inferior maternal survival rates. Prans-arterial embolisation, radiofrequency ablation and surgery are all possible during pregnancy, although careful consideration of risk versus benefit must occur in a multidisciplinary setting.

Maternal outcomes

Death rates in pregnant women with cirrhosis range from 0% to 14%; older studies report higher rates, while newer ones report rates <2%. 5.8.9.12,14,25,31-33

Women with cirrhosis are more likely to have pre-pregnancy comorbidities (e.g. diabetes, hypertension, obesity and dyslipidaemia) when compared to the gen-eral population.⁸

Rates of pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH) range widely between 5.4% and 21.5% in various studies. Comparisons with control groups suggest higher rates than the general population. 14,25,32 It is difficult to interpret whether rates (3.9%–13.5%) of pre-eclampsia are greater in pregnant women with cirrhosis. 11,14,25,33

Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, calcium channel blockers and certain beta-blockers should be avoided where possible. Studies have shown no signif-icant difference in rates of gestational diabetes in pregnant women with cirrhosis compared to background risk ^{12,14,33}

Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP) is more common in women with cirrhosis, with one study sug-gesting a relative risk of 10.6 when compared to the general population.^{8,34}

Specific liver diseases

Hepatitis B virus

In selected women (hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA >200,000 IU/ml), antiviral therapy from 24 to 28 weeks of gestation (to 12 weeks post-

delivery) can reduce the risk of vertical transmission in addition to HBV immunoglobulin and vaccination at birth.³⁵ Tenofovir has a FDA pregnancy category of B, with no evidence of foetal harm if used during pregnancy. Alternatively, entecavir has a FDA pregnancy category of C and should be avoided where possible.

Hepatitis C virus

Mother-to-infant transmission occurs in ~5%–10%, although this varies in the presence of human immunodeficiency virus co-infection and high hepatitis C virus (HCV) viral load. Prolonged rupture of membranes has been associated with increased risk of perinatal transmission. The second stage of labour should therefore be brief in these women. Obstetric outcomes amongst HCV-infected pregnant women are variable. One large cohort study reported an asso-ciation between HCV infection and gestational diabetes, low birth weight (LBW) and admission to neonatal intensive care.³⁶ ICP is also more common in these women.³⁴ Direct-acting antiviral therapies are not cur-rently recommended in HCV-infected pregnant women, although pilot studies are evaluating safety and efficacy.

AIH

Disease activity usually improves during pregnancy. However, flares can occur antenatally or post-partum.^{37,38} The best outcomes occur in those who have well-controlled disease pre-conception.³⁸ Immunosuppression (except mycophenolate mofetil) should be continued during pregnancy (Table 1). A flare during pregnancy can usually be managed with augmentation of immunosuppression. Rarely, a flare may precipitate an episode of decompensation (LT). Irrespective of cirrhosis status, pregnant women with AIH have increased rates of foetal loss, prematurity and LBW.^{37,38}

Primary biliary cholangitis

Disease course during preg-nancy is variable. Ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) can be continued safely during pregnancy, with cautious use of anti-pruritics for symptomatic or de novo pru-ritus (Table 1). Rates of ICP and prematurity are increased in these pregnancies.³⁹ Post-partum, liver enzymes can deteriorate.⁴⁰

Primary sclerosing cholangitis

Course of disease during pregnancy depends on the presence of cirrhosis/PHTN. UDCA can be continued/escalated during pregnancy. Complications during pregnancy include pruritus, jaundice and cholangitis secondary to gallstones or bil-iary strictures. If imaging during pregnancy reveals obstructed stones or a dominant stricture, an endo-scopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography can be

considered. These pregnancies are associated with increased risk of preterm birth and ICP.³⁹

Wilson's disease

This condition can affect fertility. Increased rates of spontaneous abortion have also been reported. During pregnancy, treatment must be continued, as disruption can lead to liver failure. Penicillamine has a FDA pregnancy category of D, with evidence of possible teratogenicity and congenital cutis laxa. Trientine has a FDA pregnancy category of C, with evidence of possible teratogenicity. However, satisfactory outcomes have been reported with both chelating agents during pregnancy. The American Association Study of Liver Diseases recommends reducing penicillamine/trientine to 25%–50% of the pre-pregnancy dose. This reduces foetal risk, in addi-tion to improving wound healing following a Caesarean section (C-section). There is no evidence of harm with zinc therapy during pregnancy. However, it is not recommended during breastfeeding due to potential zinc-induced copper deficiency in the infant.

Foetal outcomes

Live birth rates in pregnancies of women with cirrhosis are poorly reported, with rates varying between 58% and 100%.^{5,12,31} Rates of neonatal death are between 0% and 8.3%, and are believed to be greater than the general population.^{5,9-11,14,25,33} A proportion of these deaths are likely to be related to prematurity/LBW. Stillbirth rates range between 1% and 8%.^{5,9,10,14,33}

Congenital malformation rates (0.4%–2%) are compara-ble to the general population. ^{14,33} Prematurity in pregnant women with cirrhosis is common, although reported rates vary widely between 19% and 67%. ^{5,10–12,14,25,31–33} In association, 15%–63% of neonates have LBW (<2500 g). ^{10–12,25,31,33} Birth weight is dependent on gestational age and is not a true reflection of pathological growth. Assessment of intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), the definition of which has changed over years, is a more accurate method of evaluation.

Flemming et al. have recently demonstrated that pregnancies in women with cirrhosis are independently associated with induction of labour, puerperal infec-tions, preterm delivery, large for gestational age infants and neonatal respiratory distress.⁸

Clinical case

At 32 weeks of gestation, a growth scan reveals a small baby with potential IUGR. After careful discussion, the baby is delivered early and weighs 1475 g. The C-section is complicated by significant blood loss (managed conservatively). Post-delivery, the baby requires neonatal intensive care for minimal respiratory support and establishment of enteral feeds.

Delivery

An area of controversy in women with PHTN is approach to delivery. Concerns arise from excessive straining and repeated Valsalva manoeuvres during labour, which change intra-abdominal/portal pressures and may precipitate VH. There are no recent studies evaluating the impact of vaginal delivery on the risk of VH. Consequently, many experts recommend an elective C-section, which is not without risk.

In pregnant women with cirrhosis, C-section rates (12%–81%) vary widely. 10–12,14,25,31–33 Patient/institutional preference and temporal trends in obstetric practice may explain these discrepancies. Where appro-priate, a C-section may require corrective products and pre-emptive surgical planning to avoid intra-abdominal/pelvic varices. Furthermore, women with cirrhosis may experience poor wound healing and infection. Our institutional preference is to reserve C-sections for obstetric indications only. Assisted vag-inal deliveries with a shortened second stage of labour, to avoid excessive elevations in intra-abdominal pres-sures, are also suitable.

Post-partum haemorrhage (PPH) occurs in 5%–45% of women with cirrhosis. 8,10,11,14,25,31 This is due to a combination of factors: thrombocytopaenia, imbalance of coagulation factors and aberrant variceal formation. Management includes blood/coagulation factors, uter-ine contractile agents, ligation of bleeding vessels and, if all fails, hysterectomy.

Clinical case

Ten weeks post delivery, mother remains in biochemical remission. She asks about suit-able contraceptive options.

Post-partum

Women with cirrhosis should be able to breastfeed, provided they are not on contraindicated medications (Table 1). Early advice on contraception should be given. Women with compensated cirrhosis have no lim-itations in their contraceptive options. However, those with decompensated cirrhosis are restricted to barrier methods and copper intrauterine devices.⁴³ Other methods can be considered on an individualised basis after specialist discussion. A caveat to consider is the use of copper intrauterine devices in Wilson's disease, as the manufacturers list this condition as a contraindication.⁴⁴

CONCLUSION

Pregnancy in women with cirrhosis is not without risk, with increased rates of maternal mortality, VH, PIH and PPH, and increased rates of neonatal mortal-ity, prematurity and LBW. Women should be offered PPC, which not only better informs the patient, but also allows the opportunity to prognosticate and predict outcomes, with the initiation of individu-alised therapies/monitoring during pregnancy.

Unfortunately, data are limited in the field, making accurate counselling problematic. However, valuable registry data will be available in the upcoming years through the UK Obstetric Surveillance System and European pregnancy registries, which will better edu-cate the hepatology and obstetric communities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial sup-port for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: Michael A. Heneghan was supported by an European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) Registry Grant (Liver Disease in Pregnancy), The King's College Hospital NHS Trust Charity (Orpin Bequest) and The Kelly Group.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- Joshi D, James A, Quaglia A, et al. Liver disease in preg-nancy. Lancet 2010;375:594-605.
- Giugliano E, Cagnazzo E, Pansini G, et al. Ovarian stim-ulation and liver dysfunction: is a clinical relationship possible? A case of hepatic failure after repeated cycles of ovarian stimulation. Clin Exp Reprod Med 2013;40:38–41.
- Mother and Babies: Reducing Risk through Audits and Confidential Enquiries across the UK (MBRRACE-UK). Saving lives, improving mothers' care: lessons learned to inform maternity care from the UK and Ireland Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2015–17, https://www.npeu.ox.ac.uk/assets/downloads/mbrrace-uk/reports/ MBRRACE-UKMaternalReport2019-WEBVERSION.pdf Accessed 17 Nov 2020.
- 4. Gonsalkorala ES, Cannon MD, Lim TY, et al. Non-inva-sive markers (ALBI and APRI) predict pregnancy out-comes in women with chronic liver disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019;114:267–75.
- Westbrook RH, Yeoman AD, O'Grady JG, et al. Model for end-stage liver disease score predicts outcome in cir-rhotic patients during pregnancy. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011;9:694–9.
- 6. Tran TT, Ahn J and Reau NS. ACG clinical guideline: liver disease and pregnancy. Am J Gastroenterol 2016;111:176–94.
- 7. Benjaminov FS and Heathcote J. Liver disease in preg-nancy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:2479–88.
- 8. Flemming, JA, Mullin, M, Lu, J, et al. Outcomes of preg-nant women with cirrhosis and their infants in a population-based study. *Gastroenterology*. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.07.052.
- Britton RC. Pregnancy and esophageal varices. Am J Surg 1982;143: 421-5.
- Pajor A and Lehoczky D. Pregnancy in liver cirrhosis: assessment of maternal and fetal risks in eleven patients and review of the management. Gynecol Obstet Invest 1994;38:45–50.
- Puljic A, Salati J, Doss A, et al. Outcomes of pregnancies complicated by liver cirrhosis, portal hypertension, or esophageal varices. J Matern Neonatal Med 2016;29:506–9.
- Tolunay, HE, Aydin, M, Cim, N, et al. Maternal and fetal outcomes of pregnant women with hepatic cirrhosis. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2020;2020: 5819819.
- 13. Whelton MJ and Sherlock S. Pregnancy in patients with hepatic cirrhosis. Management and outcome. *Lancet* 1968;2:995–999.
- Shaheen AAM and Myers RP. The outcomes of pregnan-cy in patients with cirrhosis: a population-based study. Liver Int 2010;30: 275–83.

- 15. Aggarwal N, Sawhney H, Vasishta K, et al. Non-cirrhot-ic portal hypertension in pregnancy. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2001;72:1–7.
- Ludvigsson JF, Lebwohl B, Ekbom A, et al. Outcomes of pregnancies for women undergoing endoscopy while they were pregnant: a nationwide cohort study. Gastroenterology 2017;152: 554-63.e9.
- 17. Aggarwal N, Negi N, Aggarwal A, et al. Pregnancy with portal hypertension. *J Clin Exp Hepatol* 2014;4:163–71.
- Starkel P, Horsmans Y and Geubel A. Endoscopic band ligation: a safe technique to control bleeding esophageal varices in pregnancy. Gastrointest Endosc 1998;48:212-4.
- Dhiman RK, Biswas R, Aggarwal N, et al. Management of variceal bleeding in pregnancy with endoscopic vari-ceal ligation and Nbutyl-2-cyanoacrylate: report of three cases. Gastrointest Endosc 2000;51:91–3.
- Savage C, Patel J, Lepe MR, et al. Transjugular intra-hepatic portosystemic shunt creation for recurrent gas-trointestinal bleeding during pregnancy. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2007;18:902-4.
- Daher CH, Gomes AC, Kobayashi S, et al. Ultrasonographic study and Doppler flow velocimetry of maternal kidneys and liver in lowrisk pregnancy. *Radiol Bras* 2015;48:135–42.
- 22. Nicolas C, Ferrand E, D'Alteroche L, et al. Pregnancies after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for noncirrhotic portal hypertension. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014;26:488–90.
- 23. Khan F, Rowe I, Martin B, et al. Outcomes of pregnancy in patients with known Budd-Chiari syndrome. *World J Hepatol* 2017:9:945–52.
- Ghidirim G, Mishin I, Dolghii A, et al. Prophylactic endoscopic band ligation of esophageal varices during pregnancy. J Gastrointest Liver Dis 2008;17:236–7.
- Rasheed SM, Abdel Monem AM, Abd Ellah AH, et al. Prognosis and determinants of pregnancy outcome among patients with post-hepatitis liver cirrhosis. Int J Gynecol Obstet 2013;121: 247–51.
- Chaichian S, Mehdizadeh A, Akbarian A, et al. Rupture of splenic artery aneurysm with portal hypertension during pregnancy: a case report. J Obstet Gynaecol Canada 2006;28:303–4.
- 27. Sadat U, Dar O, Walsh S, et al. Splenic artery aneurysms in pregnancy a systematic review. *Int J Surg* 2008;6:261–5.
- McCarthy CM, McCarthy M and O'Donoghue K. Recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma in pregnancy: a case report and literature review. Obstet Med 2019;12:202-4.
- 29. Choi KK, Hong YJ, Choi SB, et al. Hepatocellular car-cinoma during pregnancy: is hepatocellular carcinoma more aggressive in pregnant patients? *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 2011;18:422–31.
- 30. Milburn J, Black M, Ahmed I, et al. Diagnosis and man-agement of liver masses in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynaecol* 2016;18:43–51.
- 31. Aggarwal N, Sawnhey H, Suril V, et al. Pregnancy and cirrhosis of the liver. Aust New Zeal J Obstet Gynaecol 1999;39:506.
- 32. Murthy SK, Heathcote EJ and Nguyen GC. Impact of cirrhosis and liver transplant on maternal health during labor and delivery. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;7:1367–72.
- Hagstrom H, Hoijer J, Marschall H-U, et al. Outcomes of pregnancy in mothers with cirrhosis: a national population-based cohort study of 1.3 million pregnan-cies. Hepatol Commun 2018;2: 1299–305
- Marschall HU, Wikstrom Shemer E, Ludvigsson JF, et al. Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy and associat-ed hepatobiliary disease: a population-based cohort study. *Hepatology* 2013;58:1385–91.
- Lampertico P, Agarwal K, Berg T, et al. EASL 2017 clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepati-tis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2017;67:370–98.
- 36. Pergam SA, Wang CC, Gardella CM, et al. Pregnancy complications associated with hepatitis C: data from 2003–2005 Washington State birth cohort. *Am J Obstet Gyneco* 2008;38:e1–9.

37. Schramm C, Herkel J, Beuers U, et al. Pregnancy in auto-immune hepatitis: outcome and risk factors. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101: 556-60.

- Westbrook RH, Yeoman AD, Kriese S, et al. Outcomes of pregnancy in women with autoimmune hepatitis. J Autoimmun 2012;38: J239–J244.
- Cauldwell M, Mackie FL, Steer PJ, et al. Pregnancy out-comes in women with primary biliary cholangitis and pri-mary sclerosing cholangitis: a retrospective cohort study. BJOG 2020;127:876–884.
- 40. Efe C, Kahramanoglu-Aksoy E, Yilmaz B, et al. Pregnancy in women with primary biliary cirrhosis. *Autoimmun Rev* 2014;13:931–5.
- 41. Pfeiffenberger J, Beinhardt S, Gotthardt DN, et al. Pregnancy in Wilson's disease: management and out-come. *Hepatology* 2018;67: 1261–9.

- 42. Roberts EA and Schilsky ML. Diagnosis and treatment of Wilson disease: an update. *Hepatology* 2008;47:2089–111.
- 43. Curtis KM, Tepper NK, Jatlaoui TC, et al. U.S. medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use, 2016. MMWR Recomm Rep 2016; 29:1–103.
- Drugs.com. ParaGard. https://www.drugs.com/pro/paragard.html#_
 9 Bleeding Accessed 17 Nov 2020.

How to cite this article: Rahim MN, Pirani T, Williamson C, Heneghan MA. Management of pregnancy in women with cirrhosis. *United European Gastroenterol J.* 2021;9:110–119. https://doi.org/10.1177/2050640620977034