

MafF Is an Antiviral Host Factor That Suppresses Transcription from Hepatitis B Virus Core Promoter

Marwa K. Ibrahim,ª ^b Tawfeek H. Abdelhafez,ª þ Junko S. Takeuchi,ª ∽d Kosho Wakae,ª Masaya Sugiyama,ª Masataka Tsuge, f Masahiko Ito,^g Koichi Watashi,ª Mohamed El Kassas,ʰ Takanobu Kato,ª Asako Murayama,ª Tetsuro Suzuki,ª Kazuaki Chayama,ˈ Kunitada Shimotohno, ^j Masamichi Muramatsu, ^a [Hussein H. Aly](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2455-0694), ^a Takaji Wakitaa

aDepartment of Virology II, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan

bDepartment of Microbial Biotechnology, Division of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt

cCenter for Clinical Sciences, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

dOrganization for the Strategic Coordination of Research and Intellectual Properties, Meiji University, Kawasaki, Japan

eGenome Medical Sciences Project, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Ichikawa, Japan

f Department of Gastroenterology and Metabolism, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Science, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

gDepartment of Virology and Parasitology, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu, Japan

hEndemic Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt

i Collaborative Research Laboratory of Medical Innovation, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

j Center for Hepatitis and Immunology, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Ichikawa, Japan

ABSTRACT Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a stealth virus that exhibits only minimal induction of the interferon system, which is required for both innate and adaptive immune responses. However, 90% of acutely infected adults can clear the virus, suggesting the presence of additional mechanisms that facilitate viral clearance. Here, we report that Maf bZIP transcription factor F (MafF) promotes host defense against infection with HBV. Using a small interfering RNA (siRNA) library and an HBV/NanoLuc (NL) reporter virus, we screened to identify anti-HBV host factors. Our data showed that silencing of MafF led to a 6-fold increase in luciferase activity after HBV/NL infection. Overexpression of MafF reduced HBV core promoter transcriptional activity, which was relieved upon mutation of the putative MafF binding region. Loss of MafF expression through CRISPR/Cas9 editing (in HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells) or siRNA silencing (in primary hepatocytes [PXB cells]) induced HBV core RNA and HBV pregenomic RNA (pgRNA) levels, respectively, after HBV infection. MafF physically binds to the HBV core promoter and competitively inhibits HNF-4 α binding to an overlapping sequence in the HBV enhancer II sequence (EnhII), as seen by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis. MafF expression was induced by interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) or tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- $α$) treatment in both HepG2 and PXB cells, in an NF-κBdependent manner. Consistently, MafF expression levels were significantly enhanced and positively correlated with the levels of these cytokines in patients with chronic HBV infection, especially in the immune clearance phase.

IMPORTANCE HBV is a leading cause of chronic liver diseases, infecting about 250 million people worldwide. HBV has developed strategies to escape interferon-dependent innate immune responses. Therefore, the identification of other anti-HBV mechanisms is important for understanding HBV pathogenesis and developing anti-HBV strategies. MafF was shown to suppress transcription from the HBV core promoter, leading to significant suppression of the HBV life cycle. Furthermore, MafF expression was induced in chronic HBV patients and in primary human hepatocytes (PXB cells). This induction correlated with the levels of inflammatory cytokines (IL-1 β and TNF- α). These data suggest that the induction of MafF contributes to the host's antiviral defense by suppressing transcription from selected viral promoters. Our data shed light on a novel role for MafF as an anti-HBV host restriction factor.

Citation Ibrahim MK, Abdelhafez TH, Takeuchi JS, Wakae K, Sugiyama M, Tsuge M, Ito M, Watashi K, El Kassas M, Kato T, Murayama A, Suzuki T, Chayama K, Shimotohno K, Muramatsu M, Aly HH, Wakita T. 2021. MafF is an antiviral host factor that suppresses transcription from hepatitis B virus core promoter. J Virol 95:e00767-21. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00767-21) [10.1128/JVI.00767-21.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00767-21)

Editor J.-H. James Ou, University of Southern California

Copyright © 2021 American Society for Microbiology. [All Rights Reserved.](https://doi.org/10.1128/ASMCopyrightv2)

Address correspondence to Masamichi Muramatsu, muramatsu@nih.go.jp, or Hussein H. Aly, ahussein@nih.go.jp.

Received 6 May 2021 Accepted 6 May 2021

Accepted manuscript posted online 12 May 2021 Published 12 July 2021

KEYWORDS MafF, restriction factors, cytokines, hepatitis B virus, inflammation, transcriptional repression, virus-host interactions

In the earliest stages of viral infection, the host initially detects and counteracts infection via induction of innate immune responses [\(1\)](#page-16-0). Host restriction factors are essenn the earliest stages of viral infection, the host initially detects and counteracts infectial components of the innate antiviral immune response; these factors serve critical roles in limiting virus replication before the adaptive immune response engages to promote virus clearance ([2\)](#page-16-1). These antiviral restriction factors are typically induced by cytokines, including interferons (IFNs) ([3](#page-16-2)), transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) ([4\)](#page-16-3), and interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) ([5](#page-16-4)). These restriction factors suppress viral replication by targeting the infection at various stages of the virus life cycle, including viral entry ([6\)](#page-16-5), transcription of the viral genome ([7\)](#page-16-6), viral RNA stability ([8](#page-16-7)), translation of viral proteins ([9](#page-16-8)), viral DNA replication ([10\)](#page-16-9), and production of viral particles ([11](#page-16-10)).

Approximately 250 million people worldwide are chronically infected with hepatitis B virus (HBV). These patients are at high risk of developing life-threatening complications, including hepatic cirrhosis, hepatic failure, and hepatocellular carcinoma. Current treatments include nucleos(t)ide analogs that efficiently suppress HBV replication. However, an HBV replication intermediate, covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA), persists in the nucleus. The cccDNA intermediate gives rise to progeny virus and may lead to the development of drug-resistant mutants and/or relapsing HBV after drug withdrawal ([12](#page-16-11)). Therefore, new strategies for HBV treatment are needed.

HBV has been identified in human remains from \sim 7,000 years ago ([13\)](#page-16-12). This prolonged history and evolution have shaped HBV to be one of the most successful of the "stealth" viruses that can successfully establish infection while evading IFN induction ([14\)](#page-16-13). Although HBV can evade IFN induction, the majority of HBV-infected adults (90%) are ultimately able to clear the virus. This observation suggests that there are likely to be one or more IFN-independent host restriction factors that facilitate HBV clearance.

The small Maf proteins (sMafs) are a family of basic-region leucine zipper (bZIP) type transcription factors. MafF, MafG, and MafK are the three sMafs identified in vertebrate species [\(15\)](#page-16-14). sMafs lack a transcriptional activation domain; therefore, they can act as transcription activator or repressor, based on their expression levels and dimerization partners ([16](#page-16-15)). Intriguingly, previous reports have documented induction of MafF in myometrial cells by inflammatory cytokines, including $IL-1\beta$ and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) [\(17\)](#page-16-16). However, no previous studies have addressed a role for MafF in promoting an antiviral innate immune response.

Using an HBV reporter virus and a small interfering RNA (siRNA) library, we performed functional siRNA screening to identify the host factors that influence the HBV life cycle. Based on the results of this screen, we identified MafF as a negative regulator of HBV infection. Further analysis revealed that MafF functions as a repressor of transcription at the HBV core promoter, thereby suppressing HBV replication. This is the first study to report a role for MafF as an anti-HBV host factor that represses transcription from the promoters of susceptible viruses.

RESULTS

MafF suppresses expression of the HBV/NanoLuc reporter virus. HBV particles carrying a chimeric HBV virus encoding NanoLuc (NL) were prepared as described previously ([18\)](#page-16-17). Since these particles carry a chimeric HBV genome in which HBc is replaced by NL, the NL levels released after infection with these particles can indicate only the early stages of HBV infection, from entry to transcription of HBV pregenomic RNA (pgRNA) ([18](#page-16-17)). We used this high-throughput system, in combination with a druggable genome siRNA library, to screen for host factors that influence these early stages. This approach facilitated testing of 2,200 human genes for their influence on the HBV life cycle. Screening was performed in HepG2-C4 cells expressing the HBV entry receptor, human sodium taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (hNTCP) [\(19](#page-16-18)). Nontargeting siRNAs and siRNAs against hNTCP were used as controls for each plate ([Fig. 1A](#page-2-0)). Cell

FIG 1 MafF suppresses HBV infection. (A) Schematic diagram showing the experimental approach used to screen the siRNA library. (B) HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells were transfected with control, NTCP, or targeting siRNAs from a Silencer Select human druggable genome siRNA library V4 (4397922; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the plates screened are described in Materials and Methods. Two days later, transfected cells were infected with the HBV/NL reporter virus. At day 8 postinfection, luciferase assays were performed, and NL activity was measured and plotted. Of 2,200 host genes, only 10 genes showed an average of >5 -fold induction of NL activity upon silencing with a minimum of two independent siRNAs. (C) HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells were transfected with control, NTCP, or MafF-targeting siRNAs (si-1, si-2, and si-3); 2 days later, transfected cells were infected with the HBV/NL reporter virus. At day 8 postinfection, luciferase assays were performed, and NL activity was measured and plotted. (D) Left, HepG2 cells were transfected with control or MafF-targeting siRNAs (si-1, si-2, and si-3); total protein was extracted after 2 days. Expression of MafF (upper) and actin (control) (lower) was analyzed by immunoblotting with the respective antibodies. Right, HepG2 cells were transfected with control or MafF-targeting siRNA (si-3); total protein was extracted after 10 days. Expression of MafF (upper) and actin (control) (lower) was analyzed by immunoblotting with the respective antibodies. All assays were performed in triplicate and included three independent experiments. The data were pooled ($n=9$) to assess statistical significance. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$; NS, not significant.

viability was determined using the XTT (2,3-bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide) assay; wells with \geq 20% loss of cell viability were excluded from further evaluation. NL activity was induced >5 -fold (average of 3 different siRNAs) upon the independent silencing of only 10 of the 2,200 host genes (0.4%) [\(Fig. 1A](#page-2-0)). These genes were identified as anti-HBV host factors and, based on the induction level of NL activity, these genes were classified into three groups, i.e., genes in which NL activity ranged from 5- to 10-fold induction of NL activity (MST4, RGS4, MafF, ADCK2, ABCB7, and CIB4), from 10- to 20-fold induction of NL activity (PANK4, CALCB, and NEK9), and from 20- to 30-fold induction of NL activity (SEMA3D) [\(Fig. 1B](#page-2-0)). MafF was previously reported to be induced by famous inflammatory cytokines that are known to suppress HBV infection (IL-1 β , and TNF- α), one of the common criteria of antiviral host restriction factors [\(3](#page-16-2)[–](#page-16-3)[5\)](#page-16-4); therefore, we decided to analyze its role in the HBV life cycle. Silencing of MafF expression with si-1 or si-3 resulted in 6-fold ($P < 0.0001$) or 10-fold ($P < 0.001$) increases in NL activity, respectively, compared to that observed in cells transfected with the control siRNA [\(Fig. 1C\)](#page-2-0). The MafF-specific sequence si-2 did not show a similar effect on NL activity [\(Fig. 1C](#page-2-0)). This

FIG 2 MafF suppresses the transcriptional activity of the HBV core promoter. (A) HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells were transfected with control or MafF-targeting siRNA (si-3). Two days after transfection, the transfected cells were infected with HBV at 12,000 genomic equivalents (GEq) per cell. Eight days later, the cells were harvested, DNA was extracted, and cccDNA was quantified by quantitative realtime PCR. The data were normalized to the levels of endogenous GAPDH DNA and are presented as fold changes, relative to control siRNA-transfected cells. (B) HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells were transfected with control or MafF-targeting siRNA (si-3). Two days after transfection, the transfected cells were infected with HBV at 12,000 GEq per cell. Eight days later, the cells were harvested, HIRT purification of DNA was performed, and cccDNA was visualized by Southern blotting. DSL, double-stranded linear HBV DNA. (C) Left, HepG2 cells were transfected with a MafF expression vector or empty vector (control) together with firefly luciferase reporter plasmids with HBV promoters (core, X, S1, and S2) and the pRL-TK control plasmid encoding Renilla luciferase. Two days after transfection, the cells were harvested and evaluated by dual luciferase assay. Right, HepG2 cells were transfected with control or MafF-targeting siRNA (si-3); 24 h later, the cells were cotransfected with firefly luciferase reporter-HBV core promoter vector and the pRL-TK plasmid encoding Renilla luciferase. Two days later, the cells were lysed and evaluated by dual luciferase assay. For panel C, firefly luciferase data were normalized to Renilla luciferase levels; relative light units (RLUs) for firefly luciferase were plotted as fold differences, relative to the levels detected in the control groups. All assays were performed in triplicate and included three independent experiments. The data were pooled $(n=9)$ to assess statistical significance. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. DSL, double-stranded linear. ****, $P < 0.0001$; NS, not significant.

result was consistent with the fact that si-2 had a lower silencing efficiency for MafF [\(Fig.](#page-2-0) [1D](#page-2-0), right). Since NL activity was measured 10 days after siRNA silencing of MafF expression, we measured the MafF protein levels at the designated time in order to confirm the prolonged silencing of MafF by si-3 [\(Fig. 1D,](#page-2-0) left). Taken together, these findings suggest that MafF may suppress HBV infection.

MafF strongly suppresses HBV core promoter activity. The HBV/NL reporter system can be used to detect factors affecting the early steps of the HBV life cycle, from HBV entry through cccDNA formation and transcription and translation of HBV pgRNA ([18\)](#page-16-17). Silencing of MafF had no impact on cccDNA levels observed in cells infected with HBV, as shown by quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) ([Fig. 2A](#page-3-0)) and by Southern

blotting ([Fig. 2B\)](#page-3-0); these results indicated that MafF suppressed the HBV life cycle at a stage that was later than that of cccDNA formation. Given that MafF can induce transcriptional suppression ([16\)](#page-16-15), we analyzed the impact of MafF on various HBV promoters (core, X, pre-S1, and pre-S2 promoters from HBV genotype Ce) using a reporter system in which firefly luciferase coding sequence was inserted downstream of the corresponding HBV promoter. We found that overexpression of MafF resulted in significant suppression of transcription from the HBV core promoter (approximately 8-fold; $P < 0.0001$) and significant (albeit smaller) impacts on transcription from the HBV X and pre-S1 promoters (both approximately 2-fold, $P < 0.0001$); overexpression of MafF had no significant impact on transcription from the pre-S2 promoter [\(Fig. 2C,](#page-3-0) left). Similarly, siRNA silencing of endogenous MafF enhanced HBV core promoter activity $(P< 0.0001)$ ([Fig. 2C,](#page-3-0) right). Since the NL gene in HBV/NL virus [\(Fig. 1B](#page-2-0)) is transcribed from an HBV core promoter [\(18](#page-16-17)), the findings presented in [Fig. 1](#page-2-0) and [2](#page-3-0) collectively suggest that MafF-mediated suppression of HBV is mediated primarily by inhibition of transcription from the core promoter.

MafF suppresses HBV replication. The HBV core promoter controls the transcription of the two longest HBV RNA transcripts, the precure RNA and pgRNA. HBeAg is translated from the HBV precore RNA, while translation of HBV pgRNA generates both the polymerase (Pol) and the capsid subunit; the pgRNA also serves as the template for HBV DNA reverse transcription [\(20,](#page-16-19) [21](#page-16-20)). Therefore, we assumed that MafF served to inhibit HBV replication by controlling transcription of the HBV core promoter. In fact, overexpression of MafF resulted in significant suppression of the pgRNA titer of HBV genotype A (GenBank accession number [AB246338.1\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AB246338.1) and genotype D (GenBank accession number [V01460.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/V01460.1)), as demonstrated by RT-qPCR ($P < 0.0001$ for each genotype) [\(Fig. 3A](#page-5-0)). Overexpression of MafF also suppressed the release of HBeAg, as measured by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) $(P < 0.0001)$ ([Fig. 3B](#page-5-0)), as well as the intracellular accumulation of HBV core protein, as detected by immunoblotting $(P< 0.05$ for densitometric analysis) ([Fig. 3C](#page-5-0), upper and lower), and the level of HBV core-associated DNA, as revealed by Southern blotting ([Fig. 3D](#page-5-0)).

MafF KO induces HBV core protein levels. To further clarify the significance of MafF in HBV infection, we established CRISPR/Cas9 MafF-knockout (KO) HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells. Of 11 selected clones, MafF-KO-8 and MafF-KO-11 showed the best KO phenotypes ([Fig. 4A](#page-6-0)). Myrcludex B is a lipopeptide consisting of amino acid residues 2 to 48 of the pre-S1 region of HBV and is known to block HBV entry [\(22\)](#page-16-21); pretreatment with myrcludex B (1 μ M) 1 h before infection was performed to confirm that the detected signals were derived from HBV infection and did not represent nonspecific background signals [\(23](#page-16-22)). Both MafF-KO-8 and MafF-KO-11 showed higher NL secretion levels after HBV/NL infection than did parental HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells, with values ranging from 1.5-fold to 3 fold ([Fig. 4B](#page-6-0)). Accordingly, MafF-KO-11 showed 4 times higher HBc levels after HBV infection [\(Fig. 4C,](#page-6-0) right and left). In comparison to the original HepG2 hNTCP-C4 cells, MafF-KO-11 cells showed similar levels of secreted HBs after HBV infection ([Fig. 4D](#page-6-0)), which can be explained by the major function of MafF as a transcriptional repressor of HBV core promoter, with minimal to no effect on pre-S1 and pre-S2 promoters [\(Fig. 2B](#page-3-0) and [C](#page-3-0)).

MafF binds to the HBV core promoter. MafF is a member of the Maf family of transcription factors, bZIP-type transcription factors that bind to DNA at Maf recognition elements (MAREs). MAREs were initially defined as 13-bp [TGCTGA(G/C)TCAGCA] or 14-bp [TGCTGA(GC/CG)TCAGCA] elements ([24](#page-16-23), [25\)](#page-16-24). The specificity of this binding sequence is greatly affected by the dimerization partners of MafF; multiple studies have presented findings suggesting heterogeneity within MARE sequences, especially when MafF heterodimerizes with other bZIP-type transcription factors ([26](#page-17-0)[–](#page-17-1)[28\)](#page-17-2). We next analyzed the HBV core promoter for a putative MafF binding region using the JASPAR database of transcrip-tion factor binding sites [\(29](#page-17-3)). Toward this end, we identified the sequence $5'$ -TGGACTCTCAGCG-3', which corresponded to nucleotides 1667 to 1679 of the HBV C_JPNAT genome (GenBank accession number [AB246345.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AB246345.1)) in enhancer II (EnhII) of the HBV core promoter. This motif had a similarity to a previously defined MARE [\(16](#page-16-15)) and also

Ibrahim et al. Journal of Virology

FIG 3 MafF suppresses the HBV life cycle. (A) HepG2 cells were transfected with empty (control) or MafF expression vector together with expression vectors for HBV genotype A (GTA) and genotype D (GTD). Two days later, the cells were harvested and the pgRNA expression was quantified by RT-qPCR. The data were normalized to the expression of GAPDH and are shown as fold changes, relative to control-plasmid-transfected cells. (B to D) HepG2 cells were transfected with empty (control) or MafF expression vector together with an expression plasmid for HBV genotype D (B). At 2 days posttransfection, HBeAg in the cell culture supernatants was quantified by ELISA. (C) The intracellular levels of HBV core protein and actin (loading control) were evaluated by immunoblotting (upper panel); the intensities of the bands were quantified by ImageJ software (lower panel). (D) At 3 days posttransfection, the levels of intracellular core-associated DNA were determined by Southern blotting; transfected cells treated with 10 μ M entecavir were used as controls. Data are presented as fold differences, relative to the control-plasmid-transfected cells. All assays were performed in triplicate and included results from three independent experiments. The data were pooled $(n=9)$ to assess statistical significance. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. * , P < 0.05; ****, P < 0.0001.

to the DNA binding site for other cap'n'collar (CNC) family proteins, i.e., Nrf1, Nrf2, and Bach1 (Jaspar matrix profiles MA0506.1, MA0150.1, and MA0591.1, respectively), and bZIP transcription factors that are reported to heterodimerize with sMafs [\(Fig. 5A\)](#page-7-0). Therefore, we evaluated the role of this predicted MafF/bZIP site with respect to HBV core promoter activity. We found that the 9th and 11th nucleotides of the aforementioned predicted MafF binding region, which are A and C, respectively, are highly conserved common residues in the predicted MafF/bZIP binding sequence ([Fig. 5A\)](#page-7-0). We disrupted this predicted MafF/bZIP site by introducing two point mutations (A1676C and C1678A) into the HBV core promoter ([Fig. 5A\)](#page-7-0). Despite a minimal but statistically significant reduction of HBV core promoter activity induced by the introduction of these mutations ($P < 0.0001$) [\(Fig.](#page-7-0) [5B](#page-7-0), left), MafF overexpression suppressed the wild-type (WT) core promoter 2 to 3 times more than that carrying mutations (A1676C and C1678A) ($P < 0.0001$) ([Fig. 5B,](#page-7-0) right). Furthermore, chromatin immunoprecipation (ChIP) analysis revealed that there was significantly less physical interaction between MafF and the HBV core promoter with A1676C and C1678A mutations than was observed between MafF and the HBV WT counterpart ($P < 0.05$ for percentage of input and $P < 0.01$ for fold enrichment) [\(Fig. 5C](#page-7-0)). These results confirmed that MafF physically binds to the WT HBV core promoter at the putative

FIG 4 Enhanced expression of HBc in MafF-KO cells. (A) HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells were cotransfected with MafF CRISPR/Cas9 KO plasmid (sc-411785) and MAfF HDR plasmid (sc-411785-HDR). Puromycin selection was conducted at $3\,\mu$ g/ml for 2 weeks, and 11 isolated colonies were picked and scaled up. MafF expression was detected by immunoblotting; as positive and negative controls, we used WT HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells and si-3 treated HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells, respectively. (B) HepG2-hNTCP-C4, MafF-KO-8, and MafF-KO-11 cells were infected with HBV/NL reporter virus. Cells were pretreated with or without 1 μ M myrcludex B (Myr-B) or vehicle (DMSO) for 3 h before infection. At day 8 postinfection, luciferase assays were performed, and NL activity was measured and plotted as the fold difference, relative to the mean luciferase levels in HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells. (C) HepG2-hNTCP-C4 or MafF-KO-11 cells were infected with HBV (6,000 GEq/cell) at 12 days postinfection, HBc in the cells was detected by immunofluorescence (left), and fluorescence intensity was plotted as the fold difference, relative to mean levels in HBV-infected HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells (right). (D) HepG2-hNTCP-C4 or MafF-KO-11 cells were infected with HBV (6,000 GEq/cell). Cells were pretreated with or without 1 μ M myrcludex B or vehicle (DMSO) for 3 h before infection. At 12 days postinfection, HBsAg in the cell culture supernatants was measured and plotted as the fold difference, relative to mean levels in the supernatant of HBV-infected HepG2 hNTCP-C4 cells. All assays were performed in triplicate and included results from three independent experiments. The data were pooled ($n = 9$) to assess statistical significance. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. Dapi, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$; NS, not significant.

MafF/bZIP binding region and thereby suppresses transcription. Interestingly, the 5' end of the identified MafF/bZIP binding region in the HBV core promoter showed high levels of conservation in all HBV genotypes, including ancient HBVs from the Bronze Age to the early modern age (approximately 4,500 to 250 years ago), while the $3'$ end showed relatively less conservation in HBV genotypes A to E, with more sequence divergence in New World HBV genotypes F, G, and H [\(Fig. 5D\)](#page-7-0). The role of these mutations in the escape from MafF-mediated transcriptional repression in these genotypes needs to be further analyzed.

MafF is a competitive inhibitor of hepatocyte nuclear factor- 4α binding to HBV **EnhII.** Hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 α (HNF-4 α) is a transcription factor that was reported previously to bind HBV core promoter and to induce its transcriptional activity [\(30](#page-17-4)[–](#page-17-5)[32\)](#page-17-6). We found that the predicted MafF/bZIP binding region in the EnhII overlaps, in its conserved 5' region, an HNF-4 α binding site that is located between nucleotide 1662 and nucleotide 1674 of the HBV C_JPNAT core promoter ([33\)](#page-17-7) [\(Fig. 6A\)](#page-8-0). This finding suggests the possibility that MafF may compete with HNF-4 α at these binding sites within the EnhII region. To examine this possibility, we constructed a deletion mutant of EnhII/core promoter (EnhII/Cp Δ HNF-4 α #2) that extends from nucleotide 1591 to nucleotide 1750;

FIG 5 Physical interaction of MafF with HBV core promoter is required for transcriptional repression. (A) Schematic representation of the putative MafF/ bZIP binding region within EnhII of the HBV core promoter from HBV genotype C. A mutant construct was prepared by introducing two point mutations (A1676C and C1678A) into the MARE sequence identified in the WT core promoter. (B) HepG2 cells were mock transfected (left) or transfected with a MafF expression plasmid (right) along with an HBV core promoter (WT or mutant)-reporter plasmid and pRL-TK encoding Renilla luciferase. At 2 days posttransfection, a dual luciferase assay was performed; firefly luciferase data were normalized to Renilla luciferase levels, and RLUs for firefly luciferase are plotted as fold differences, relative to activity in the control group. (C) 293FT cells were transfected with either the WT or mutant HBV core promoterluciferase reporter plasmid together with a MafF expression plasmid (at a ratio of 1:4). At 2 days posttransfection, cell lysates were collected; two aliquots (1/10 volume each) were removed from each sample. One aliquot was used for the detection of MafF protein (input) and actin (loading control) by immunoblotting (right upper and middle); the second aliquot was used for DNA extraction and detection of HBV core promoter (input) by quantitative real-time PCR. The remaining cell lysates (each 8/10 of the original volume) were subjected to ChIP using either isotype control antibody (rabbit IgG) or rabbit anti-MafF IgG to detect MafF. Following IP, 1/10 volume of each IP sample was analyzed by immunoblotting for MafF (right lower); each remaining IP sample was subjected to DNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR in order to detect associated HBV core promoter DNA. The fraction of core promoter DNA immunoprecipitated, compared to the input value, was determined by quantitative real-time PCR and was expressed as percentage of input and as the fold enrichment over the fraction of GAPDH DNA immunoprecipitated. (D) Schematic representation of the putative MafF/bZIP binding region within EnhII of the HBV core promoter from different HBV genotypes. A total of 10,846 HBx sequences were collected from HBVdb, and 13 old HBV sequences were identified. The multiple sequence alignments of the putative MafF/bZIP binding region were depicted by using WebLogo version 2.8. The overall height of the stack indicates the conservation at the site, while the relative frequency of each nucleic acid is shown as the height of the characters within the stack. The assays of panels B and C were performed in triplicate and included data from three independent experiments. The data were pooled $(n=9)$ to assess statistical significance. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001.

this construct includes the overlapping binding regions identified for MafF and HNF-4 α (i.e., HNF-4 α site 1 at nucleotides 1662 to 1674) but lacks the second HNF-4 α binding site (HNF-4 α site 2 at nucleotides 1757 to 1769), as shown in [Fig. 6A](#page-8-0). We performed a ChIP assay and found that the interaction between HNF-4 α and EnhII/Cp Δ HNF-4 α #2 was significantly reduced in the presence of MafF ($P < 0.01$ for percentage of input and $P < 0.05$ for fold enrichment) [\(Fig. 6B\)](#page-8-0). Furthermore, MafF had no impact on the

FIG 6 MafF competes with HNF-4 α for binding to the HBV core promoter. (A) Schematic representation of the EnhII and the basal HBV core promoter (Cp) (nucleotides 1591 to 1798), featuring the putative MafF binding region (nucleotides 1667 to 1679) and the two HNF-4 α binding sites, HNF-4 α #1 (nucleotides 1662 to 1674) and HNF-4 α #2 (nucleotides 1757 to 1769). A deletion mutant construct (EnhII/Cp Δ HNF-4 α #2, nucleotides 1591 to 1750) was prepared to eliminate HNF-4 α #2. (B) 293FT cells were cotransfected with the EnhII/Cp Δ HNF-4 α #2luciferase reporter plasmid, a FLAG-tagged HNF-4^a expression plasmid, and a MafF (or control) expression plasmid at a ratio of 1:1:2. At 2 days posttransfection, cell lysates were collected and two aliquots (1/10 volume each) were removed from each sample. One aliquot was used for the detection of HNF-4 α protein (input) and actin (loading control) by immunoblotting (right panel, upper lanes); the second aliquot was used for DNA extraction and detection of HBV core promoter (input) by quantitative real-time PCR. The remaining cell lysates (each 4/10 of the original volume) were subjected to ChIP using isotype control antibody (rabbit IgG) or rabbit anti-HNF-4 α IgG to precipitate FLAG-tagged HNF-4 α . Following IP, 1/5 volume of each IP sample was analyzed by immunoblotting to detect HNF-4 α (right panel, lower lane), and each remaining IP sample was subjected to DNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR for the detection of associated HBV core promoter DNA. The fraction of core promoter DNA immunoprecipitated, compared to the input value, was determined by quantitative real-time PCR and was expressed as percentage of input (left panel) and as the fold enrichment (middle panel) over the fraction of GAPDH DNA immunoprecipitated. (C) Left, HepG2 cells were transfected with empty vector (control) or MafF expression vector. After 24 h or 48 h, total RNA was extracted and $HNF-4\alpha$ expression was quantified by RT-qPCR. The data were normalized to GAPDH expression and are presented as fold differences, relative to the control cells. Right, HepG2 cells were transfected with control or MafF-targeting siRNA (si-3), and $HNF-4\alpha$ expression was evaluated 48 h later, as noted just above. (D) HepG2 cells were transfected with control or MafF-targeting siRNA (si-3), and HNF1A and ApoA1 expression was evaluated after 48 h, as mentioned previously. All assays were performed in triplicate, and data from three independent experiments are presented. The data were pooled $(n=9)$ to assess statistical significance. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. * , P < 0.05; ** , P < 0.01; NS, not significant.

expression of HNF-4 α [\(Fig. 6C\)](#page-8-0). Together, these data indicated that MafF interacts directly with the HBV core promoter at the putative binding region and suppresses the transcriptional activity of the HBV core promoter by competitive inhibition of HNF-4 α binding at an overlapping site in the EnhII region. This competitive suppression is due to partial overlapping of HNF4- α and the putative MafF binding regions in HBV core promoter and did not affect other host genes like ApoA1 and HNF1A genes, which are known to be regulated by HNF-4 α [\(34](#page-17-8), [35](#page-17-9)) [\(Fig. 6D](#page-8-0)).

IL-1 β - and TNF- α -mediated induction of MafF expression in vitro. Given these findings, we speculated that MafF expression might be induced in hepatocytes in

FIG 7 IL-1 β and TNF- α induce MafF expression via NF-kB-mediated signaling. (A) HepG2 cells were treated with IL-1 β (1 ng/ml), TNF- α (10 ng/ml), or PBS (diluent control) for the times indicated. The cells were then lysed, total cellular RNA was extracted, and MafF mRNA was quantified by RT-qPCR. The data were normalized to the expression of ACTB and are shown as the fold change, relative to the mean of the control group. (B) HepG2 cells were treated for 24 h with IL-1 β , TNF- α , or PBS control as in panel A; the cells were then harvested, and total protein was extracted. Expression of MafF (upper) and actin (the loading control) (lower) was analyzed by immunoblotting. (C to E) HepG2 cells were pretreated with NF-κB inhibitors Bay11-7082 or BMS-345541 or with DMSO (diluent control) for 1 h and then were treated with 1 ng/ml IL-1 β (C and D), 10 ng TNF- α (E), or PBS (control) for 1 and 3 h. Expression of MafF was quantified by RT-qPCR and normalized to the expression of ACTB, and data are shown as fold changes, relative to the mean of the control group. (F) HepG2 cells were transfected with the anti-MafF si-3 and EnhII/Cp Δ HNF-4 α #2-luciferase reporter plasmid. At 2 days posttransfection, cells were treated with IL-1 β (1 ng/ml) or mock treated for 3 h, and cell lysates were subjected to ChIP using isotype control antibody (rabbit IgG) or rabbit anti-HNF-4 α IgG to precipitate endogenous HNF-4 α . Following IP, 1/5 volume of each IP sample was analyzed by immunoblotting to detect HNF-4 α (right panel), and each remaining IP sample was subjected to DNA extraction and RT-qPCR for the detection of associated EnhII/Cp $\Delta HNF-4\alpha\#2$ DNA. The fraction of EnhII/ Cp $\Delta HNF-4\alpha$ #2 DNA immunoprecipitated, compared to the input value, was determined by RT-qPCR and was expressed as percentage of input (left panel) and as the fold enrichment (middle panel) over the fraction of GAPDH DNA immunoprecipitated. For panels A to E, assays were performed in triplicate and included the results from three independent experiments. The data were pooled $(n=9)$ to assess statistical significance. For panel E, the assay was performed in triplicate, and data from two independent experiments were pooled (n = 6). Data are presented as mean \pm SD. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$.

response to HBV infection. Based on a previous report of the induction of MafF by both IL-1 β and TNF- α in myometrial cells [\(17](#page-16-16)) and the fact that both of these cytokines have been implicated in promoting host defense against HBV, we explored the possibility that MafF might be induced by one or more of these cytokines in our in vitro sys-tem. As shown in [Fig. 7,](#page-9-0) addition of IL-1 β or TNF- α resulted in significant induction of MafF mRNA expression in HepG2 cells ($P < 0.0001$ for each cytokine) [\(Fig. 7A](#page-9-0)); MafF protein was also detected at higher levels in HepG2 cells exposed to each of these cytokines ([Fig. 7B\)](#page-9-0). NF- κ B is a downstream regulatory factor that is shared by the IL-1 β and TNF- α signaling pathways. We found that chemical inhibition of NF- κ B activity with Bay11-7082 or BMS-3455415 suppressed the induction of MafF expression in response to IL-1 β (P < 0.05 for each of these inhibitors) ([Fig. 7C](#page-9-0) and [D](#page-9-0)) and to TNF- α ($P < 0.01$) [\(Fig. 7E](#page-9-0)). These findings indicate that the IL-1 β - and TNF- α -mediated induction of MafF expression in hepatocytes is regulated by NF-κB signaling. Since we showed that MafF competes with HNF- 4α for its interaction with HBV core promoter ([Fig. 6](#page-8-0)), we hypothesized that this effect could be enhanced by IL-1 β . We performed a ChIP assay and found that, 3 h after treatment with IL-1 β , the interaction between HNF-4 α and EnhII/Cp Δ HNF-4 α #2 was significantly reduced. This effect was partially reversed when MafF expression was silenced ($P < 0.01$ for percentage of input and fold enrichment) ([Fig. 7F](#page-9-0)). These data mechanistically explain the role of MafF in the suppression of HBV core promoter activity by the inflammatory cytokine IL-1 β .

MafF targets HBV infection in human primary hepatocytes. A loss-of-function experiment in primary hepatocytes is the ideal experimental platform to analyze the physiological significance of endogenous MafF in the HBV life cycle. We silenced MafF expression in human primary hepatocytes (PXB cells) using two independent siRNAs, i.e., si-3, which efficiently targets the MafF transcript, and si-2, which is associated with negligible silencing efficiency ([Fig. 1C](#page-2-0) and [Fig. 8A](#page-11-0), upper), followed by infection with HBV (genotype D). MafF silencing in response to si-3 resulted in significant induction of HBV pgRNA, while administration of si-2 did not yield a similar effect ($P < 0.05$) [\(Fig. 8A](#page-11-0), lower). In all experiments, transcription of pgRNA was inversely associated with expression of MafF ($P = 0.008$) [\(Fig. 8B](#page-11-0)); these findings confirmed the role of endogenous MafF with respect to the regulation of HBV pgRNA transcription. To confirm our earlier findings documenting induction of *MafF* by IL-1 β and TNF- α , we treated PXB cells with both cytokines and observed a significant increase in MafF mRNA ($P < 0.05$ for each cytokine) [\(Fig. 8C\)](#page-11-0).

MafF expression is higher in chronically HBV-infected patients, with a positive correlation to $IL-1\beta$ and TNF- α expression. To explore a role for MafF in HBV infection in human subjects, we evaluated data from an open database [\(36\)](#page-17-10) and found that MafF was expressed at significantly higher levels in patients with chronic HBV infection, compared to healthy individuals ($P < 0.0001$) ([Fig. 9A](#page-12-0)); this was notably the case in patients undergoing immune clearance of HBV ($P < 0.0001$) ([Fig. 9B](#page-12-0)). This result confirmed the induction of MafF expression during active inflammation associated with this infection. This observation was strengthened by the demonstration of positive correlations between the levels of IL-1 β and TNF- α transcripts and those for MafF in the immune clearance patient subset [\(Fig. 9C](#page-12-0) and [D](#page-12-0)). Interestingly, no correlations were observed between MafF expression and transcripts encoding IFNs ([Fig. 9E](#page-12-0) to [H\)](#page-12-0). These data suggest that MafF induction associated with chronic HBV disease was unrelated to induction of IFN signaling pathways.

DISCUSSION

The intrinsic or innate immune response is mediated by cellular restriction factors. Many of these factors are induced by cytokines [\(3](#page-16-2)[–](#page-16-3)[5\)](#page-16-4) and serve to suppress different stages of the viral life cycle, from entry to virion release [\(2](#page-16-1)). Several host restriction factors can suppress transcription from DNA virus promoters ([7](#page-16-6), [37](#page-17-11)). In this work, we identified MafF as a new host restriction factor that can inhibit HBV via transcriptional suppression at the targeted viral promoter. MafF significantly suppressed HBV core promoter transcription and consequently HBV pgRNA, core protein, and HBV DNA levels. MafF-KO cells showed a significant increase of HBV core protein with no effect on HBs levels. This can be explained by the major suppressive effect exhibited by MafF on HBV core promoter, in comparison to minimal or no effect of HBV pre-S1 and pre-S2 promoters, respectively [\(Fig. 2C\)](#page-3-0).

MafF is a member of the sMaf family of transcription factors, a group that includes MafG [\(38](#page-17-12)), MafK, and MafF [\(39\)](#page-17-13). The sMafs are bZIP-type transcription factors that bind to DNA at MAREs. MAREs were initially defined as 13-bp [TGCTGA(G/C)TCAGCA] or 14-

FIG 8 MafF suppresses HBV infection in primary human hepatocytes (PXB cells). (A) Primary hepatocytes (PXB cells) were infected with HBV virions at 5,000 GEq per cell. After 3 days, the cells were transfected with control or MafF-targeting siRNAs (si-2 and si-3); at 4 days after transfection, total RNA was extracted. Upper, MafF expression levels were quantified by RT-qPCR and normalized to the expression of ACTB. Lower, levels of pgRNA were quantified by RT-qPCR using a standard curve quantification method. Data are presented as fold differences, relative to the control siRNA-transfected cells. (B) Correlation between expression of MafF mRNA and pgRNA in HBV-infected and siRNA-transfected PXB cells, as described in panel A. (C) Primary hepatocytes (PXB cells) were treated with IL-1 β (at 10 ng/ml), TNF- α (at 10 ng/ml), or PBS (diluent control) for the times indicated. The cells were then lysed, total cellular RNA was extracted, and MafF mRNA was quantified by RTqPCR. The data were normalized to the expression of ACTB and are shown as the fold change, relative to the mean of the control group. All assays were performed in triplicate and included data from two independent experiments. The data were pooled ($n = 9$) to assess statistical significance. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; NS, not significant.

bp [TGCTGA(GC/CG)TCAGCA] elements ([24,](#page-16-23) [25](#page-16-24)). However, multiple studies [\(26](#page-17-0)[–](#page-17-1)[28](#page-17-2)) have presented findings suggesting heterogeneity within MARE sequences, especially when sMafs heterodimerize with other bZIP-type transcription factors. Using the JASPAR database for transcription factor binding sites, we identified a sequence extending from nucleotide 1667 to nucleotide 1679 (TGGACTCTCAGCG) in the EnhII region of HBV as a potential MafF/bZIP binding region. Although we did not identify the dimerization partner of MafF in this study, we hypothesize that MafF binds to this region as a heterodimer with another bZIP transcription factor, based on the weak alignment at the 5' region of the identified MafF/bZIP binding site, compared to the palindromic MARE consensus sequence. In fact, sMafs-Bach1 dimers were previously reported to act as transcriptional repressors [\(40](#page-17-14)), and the Bach1 binding site showed a close similarity to the identified MafF/bZIP binding sequence identified in this study ([Fig. 5\)](#page-7-0), highlighting the possibility that heterodimers of Bach1 and MafF may be behind the MafF-mediated suppression of transcription from HBV core promoter

FIG 9 MafF expression is increased in patients with chronic HBV infections and is positively correlated with the expression of IL-1 β and TNF- α mRNAs. (A) MafF mRNA levels in the liver tissue of patients with chronic HBV infection (CHB) ($n = 122$) and healthy subjects ($n = 6$) (GEO accession number [GSE83148\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE83148). (B) MafF mRNA levels in the liver tissue of immunotolerant HBV-infected patients $(n = 22)$ and those undergoing immune clearance $(n=50)$ (GEO accession number [GSE65359](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE65359)). (C to H) Correlations between the expression of mRNAs for MafF and IL-1 β (C), TNF- α (D), IFN- β 1 (E), IFNA1 (F), IFNL1 (G), and IFNL2 (H) in liver tissue of patients undergoing immune clearance. In panels A and D, data are presented as the mean \pm SD. ****, $P < 0.0001$.

reported in this study. Further studies need to be performed to confirm this hypothesis and to identify the dimerization partner of MafF.

Both ChIP and functional analysis confirmed the importance of the interaction between MafF and this specific sequence in the HBV core promoter; MafF binding at this core promoter region results in suppression of transcriptional activity from the HBV core promoter and inhibition of the HBV life cycle. Interestingly, the putative MafF/bZIP binding region in the HBV core promoter showed considerable similarity among several HBV genotypes, especially genotypes A, B, and C and ancient HBVs. Although the origin of the HBV infection in humans is still controversial, Paraskevis et al. reported that genotype C is the oldest of human HBVs ([41\)](#page-17-15). Indeed, by analyzing ancient HBVs derived from human skeletons or mummies of the Bronze Age to the early modern age (approximately 4,500 to 250 years ago), we observed considerable sequence similarity between the putative MafF/bZIP binding region of these old sequences and that of genotype C [\(41](#page-17-15)). These data suggest that MafF has continuously targeted HBV infection since HBV infected humans $(\sim$ 7,000 years ago). On the other hand, mutations in the 3' region of the putative MafF/bZIP binding site are more frequent in New World HBV genotypes E, F, and G, suggesting that some HBV genotypes may acquire mutations to overcome MafF-mediated host restriction; however, whether these mutations help in evading the suppressive function of MafF and its impact on the HBV life cycle still needs to be addressed.

Transcription driven from HBV core promoter is controlled by two enhancers, EnhI and EnhII, with the latter overlapping the core promoter; transcription is also modulated by a negative regulatory element (NRE) ([42\)](#page-17-16). Liver-enriched transcription factors, including C/EBP α , HNF-4 α , HNF3, FTF/LRH-1, and HLF4, can interact with the EnhII/ core promoter region and thereby enhance the core promoter activity [\(43](#page-17-17), [44\)](#page-17-18). Negative regulation of HBV core promoter mainly takes place at the NRE, which is located immediately upstream of EnhII [\(45\)](#page-17-19). Our analysis of the EnhII segment revealed an overlap between MafF and one of the HNF-4 α binding sites located between nucleotide 1662 and nucleotide 1674. We identified MafF as a novel negative regulator of EnhII activity that acts via competitive inhibition of HNF-4 α binding to the HBV core promoter at this site; we present this mechanism as a plausible explanation for MafFmediated suppression of HBV infection.

The expression levels of sMafs serve as strong determinants of their overall function. An excess of sMafs may shift the balance toward transcriptional repression ([46](#page-17-20)). As discussed previously, sMafs dimerize with CNC family proteins Nrf1, Nrf2, Nrf3, Bach1, and Bach2 ([47\)](#page-17-21). Furthermore, MARE consensus sites include an embedded canonical AP1 motif; therefore, some Jun and Fos family factors can also heterodimerize with Maf/ CNC proteins. Finally, large Maf proteins are also capable of binding at MAREs ([48,](#page-17-22) [49\)](#page-17-23). Given the large number of possible homodimeric and heterodimeric combinations of proteins capable of binding to MAREs, transcriptional responses ranging from subtle to robust can be elicited at a single MARE site ([49](#page-17-23)). Our findings revealed that MafF expression was induced by IL-1 β and TNF- α in primary hepatocytes (PXB cells) and that this induction was mediated by NF-κB, an inducible transcription factor that is a central regulator of immune and inflammatory responses [\(50](#page-17-24)). Both IL-1 β and TNF- α have been associated with protection against HBV. For example, a polymorphism in the IL-1 β gene has been linked to disease progression in patients with HBV-related hepatitis ([51\)](#page-17-25), while TNF- α expression in hepatocytes induced by HBV [\(52\)](#page-17-26) has been shown to decrease the extent of HBV persistence [\(53](#page-17-27)). We detected higher levels of MafF expression in patients with chronic HBV, especially among those in the immune clearance group, compared to healthy individuals. Moreover, we also reported that IL-1 β treatment significantly suppressed HNF-4 α interaction with the EnhII region of HBV core promoter in response to the induction of MafF expression. Correlation studies with patients' data alone are not conclusive; however, the combination between the in vitro suppressive function of MafF and patients' data suggests a possibly important role for MafF with respect to the anti-HBV effects of these cytokines in HBV-infected patients.

HBV core promoter regulates the expression of HBV precore and pgRNA transcripts. The precure RNA serves as the template for the translation of HBV precore protein. HBV pgRNA is translated into two proteins, HBc (the capsid-forming protein) and Pol (polymerase); the HBV pgRNA also serves as a template for HBV DNA reverse transcription and viral replication [\(54\)](#page-17-28). MafF inhibits HBV replication by suppressing the production of HBV pgRNA, thereby limiting the production of the corresponding replicationassociated protein ([Fig. 3,](#page-5-0) core). We showed here that HBV pgRNA titers in HBV-infected PXB cells were higher in cells subjected to MafF silencing; levels of HBV pgRNA were inversely correlated with MafF mRNA levels [\(Fig. 7A](#page-9-0) and [B](#page-9-0)). These data confirmed the importance of endogenous MafF with respect to the regulation of HBV pgRNA transcription and viral replication. The HBV precore protein is a well-known suppressor of the anti-HBV immune response [\(55](#page-17-29)[–](#page-17-30)[57](#page-17-31)). Therefore, suppression of HBV precore protein expression may promote MafF-mediated recovery of the anti-HBV immune response and enhanced viral clearance.

To summarize, the results of this work identified MafF as a novel anti-HBV. MafF expression was induced by both IL-1 β and TNF- α in primary hepatocytes and also in patients with chronic HBV. Furthermore, MafF was shown to play an important role in the suppression of transcription from the HBV core promoter. Further analysis will be needed in order to determine whether the antiviral function of MafF is effective against other DNA viruses, as well as its impact on viral evasion mechanisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture, reagents, and establishment of MafF-KO cells. All of the cells used in this study were maintained in culture at 37°C in 5% CO₂. HepG2, HepG2-hNTCP-C4, MafF-KO, HepG2-hNTCP-C4, and HepAD38.7-Tet cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium/F-12 (DMEM/F-12) GlutaMAX medium (Gibco) as described previously [\(19\)](#page-16-18). Primary human hepatocytes (PXB cells; Phoenixbio) were cultured as described previously ([58](#page-17-32)). HEK 293FT cells were cultured in DMEM (Sigma) as described previously ([8\)](#page-16-7). For the establishment of puromycin-resistant MafF-KO cells, HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells were cotransfected with MafF CRISPR/Cas9 KO plasmid (sc-411785) and MafF homology-directed repair (HDR) plasmid (Santa Cruz; sc-411785-HDR), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Puromycin selection was conducted at $3\,\mu$ g/ml. Loss of MafF expression was confirmed by immunoblotting. Myrcludex B was kindly provided by Stephan Urban at University Hospital Heidelberg and was synthesized by CS Bio (Shanghai, China).

Plasmid vectors and construction. An HBV genotype D subtype ayw replicon [\(59](#page-17-33)) was obtained from Addgene. HBV Ae (genotype A), HBV D_IND60, and HBV C_JPNAT are 1.24-genomic-fold HBV replicons that were described previously [\(60](#page-17-34)). A MafF expression plasmid (pFN21AB8874) was purchased from Promega. To add a C-terminal HaloTag, the MafF-encoding sequence was subcloned into the PC14K HaloTag vector using the Carboxy Flexi system (Promega). The reporter plasmid for the HBV core promoter mutant was generated by introducing two point mutations (A1676C and C1678A) in the putative MafF binding region [\(Fig. 4A](#page-6-0)). Briefly, several rounds of PCR amplification were performed using pGL4.10_Ce_xmut as the template; the resulting products were digested with HindIII and EcoRI and subcloned into restriction-digested pGL4.10 (Promega). The set of primers used in the construction of the mutated core promoter included forward primers 5'-TCGAGGAATTCGGGTACTTTACCACAGGAAC-3' and 5'-CTTGGACTCTCCGAAATGTCAACG-3' and reverse primers 5'-TTGCCAAGCTTGAACATGAGATGATTAGGC-3' and 5'-CGTTGACATTTCGGAGAGTCCAAG-3'. The sequence encoding HNF-4 α was amplified from FR_HNF4A2 (Addgene) [\(61](#page-17-35)) by PCR using primers including forward primer 5'-AGCTAGGATCCACCATGCGACTCTCCAAAACC-3' and reverse primer 5'-GAGTCGAATTCTTACTTGTCGTCATCGTCTTTGTAGTCAGCAACTTGCCCAAAGCG-3'. The resulting amplification product was cloned into pCDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) to yield pcDNA3.1-HNF4A-FLAG. The reporter deletion mutant EnhII/CP Δ HNF-4 α #2 [\(Fig. 5A\)](#page-7-0) was constructed using pGL4.10_Ce_xmut as the template and a primer set including forward primer 5'- TCGAGGGTACCGCCTGTAAATAGACCTATTG-3' and reverse primer 5'-CTAACAAGCTTTCCTCCCCCAACTCCTCCC-3'; the amplification product was subcloned into pGL4.10 using HindIII and KpnI restriction enzymes. All constructs were validated by DNA sequencing. Plasmid DNAs used in transfection experiments were purified using the PureLink plasmid midikit (Invitrogen).

siRNA library. A Silencer Select human druggable genome siRNA library V4 (4397922; Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for screening of HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells infected with the HBV/NL reporter virus. The siRNAs were arrayed in a 96-well format; siRNAs targeting the same genes with different target sequences were distributed across three plates (A, B, and C). The following plates from this siRNA library (2,200 human genes) were screened: 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, 3-1, 5-4, 6-2, 6-3, 9-2, 11-3, 11-4, 13-3, 15-1, 15-4, 19-1, 22-2, 25-3, 25-4, 26-1, 26-2, and 26-3. Cell viability was determined using the XTT assay (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Wells with \geq 20% loss of cell viability were excluded from further evaluation. Protocols for the preparation of HBV/NL and screening were described previously [\(18](#page-16-17)).

DNA and RNA transfection. Plasmid DNA transfection was performed according to the manufacturer's guidelines, using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) for HepG2 cells and Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) for HEK 293FT cells. Reverse siRNA transfection into HepG2-hNTCP-C4 or HepG2 cells was performed using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific); forward siRNA transfection was performed in PXB cells only using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX according to the respective manufacturer's guidelines. Silencer Select si-MafF (si-1, s24372; si-2, s24371; si-3, s24370), si-MafK (s194858), si-MafG (s8419), and the negative-control siRNA (number 2) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Western blot analysis. Cells were lysed with PRO-PREP protein extraction solution (Intron Biotechnology). Protein samples were separated on a 12% gel via SDS-PAGE. Immunoblotting and protein detection were performed as reported previously ([8\)](#page-16-7). Primary antibodies included mouse monoclonal anti-HBc (provided by Akihide Ryo, Yokohama City University), anti-HaloTag (Promega), anti-FLAG (M2; Sigma), and anti-actin (Sigma), rabbit polyclonal anti-MafF (Protein Tech), and rabbit monoclonal anti-HNF-4 α (Abcam). The band intensities were quantified by ImageJ software (NIH).

HBV and HBV/NL preparation and infection. HBV particles carrying a chimeric HBV virus encoding NL were prepared and used as described previously ([18](#page-16-17)). Briefly, HepG2 cells were transfected with a plasmid encoding HBV in which the core region was replaced by a gene encoding NL and a helper plasmid that carried an HBV genome that was defective in packaging. The resulting HBV/NL particles produced NL upon infection. HBV and HBV/NL stocks used in this study were prepared as described previ-ously [\(18](#page-16-17), [19](#page-16-18)). For infection of HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells, the cells were reverse transfected with MafF or negative-control siRNAs 2 days prior to the HBV infection and then infected 2 days later with inoculation of HBV or HBV/NL as described previously ([18](#page-16-17), [19](#page-16-18)); the experiment was terminated at 8 days postinfection. For PXB cells, the cells were first infected with HBV; the cells were transfected with the siRNAs at 3 days postinfection, and the experiment was terminated at 7 days postinfection.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR. Isolation of total cellular RNA was performed with an RNeasy minikit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's guidelines, and cDNA synthesis was performed using a Superscript VILO cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The relative levels of the MafF mRNA were determined using the TaqMan gene expression assay with primer-probe set Hs05026540_g1 (Applied Biosystems), and expression of ACTB (primer-probe set 748 Hs99999903_m1) was used as an internal control for normalization. The quantification of pgRNA, NTCP, HNF-4 α , APOA1, and HNF1A was performed using Power SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems); for these transcripts, expression of GAPDH was used as an internal control for normalization. Data were expressed as fold changes, relative to the mean for the control group. The set of primers used in these assays included precore forward primer 5'-ACTGTTCAAGCCTCCAAGCTGT-3' and reverse primer 5'-GAAGGCAAAAACGAGAGTAACTCCAC-3', NTCP forward primer 5'-AGGGAGGAGGTGGCAATCAAGAGTGG-3' and reverse primer 5'-CCGGCTGAAGAACA TTGAGGCACTGG-3', HNF-4a forward primer 5'-ACTACGGTGCCTCGAGCTGT-3' and reverse primer 5'-GGCACTGGTTCCTCTTGTCT-3', APOA1 forward primer 5'-CCTTGGGAAAACAGCTAAACC-3' and reverse primer 5'-CCAGAACTCCTGGGTCACA-3', HNF1A forward primer 5'-CCATCCTCAAAGAGCTGGAG-3' and reverse primer 5'-TGTTGTGCTGCTGCAGGTA-3', and GAPDH forward primer 5'-CTTTTGCGTCGCCAG-3' and reverse primer 5'-TTGATGGCAACAATATCCAC-3'.

DNA extraction and cccDNA quantification. For selective extraction of cccDNAs for quantitative real-time PCR, HBV-infected HepG2-hNTCP-C4 cells were harvested and total DNA was extracted using a Qiagen DNA extraction kit according to the manufacturer's instructions but without the addition of proteinase K, as recommended by the concerted harmonization efforts for HBV cccDNA quantification reported at the 2019 International HBV meeting [\(62\)](#page-17-36). Levels of cccDNA were measured by quantitative real-time PCR using the TaqMan gene expression master mix (Applied Biosystems) and specific primers and probe as described previously [\(63\)](#page-18-0). Data were processed using the $2^{(-\Delta\Delta C)}$ method for quantification of cccDNA, with chromosomal GAPDH DNA sequence (via primer-probe set Hs04420697_g1; Applied Biosystems) as an internal normalization control.

Dual luciferase reporter assay. Firefly luciferase reporter plasmids carrying the entire HBV core promoter (nucleotides 900 to 1817), Enh1/X promoter (nucleotides 950 to 1373), pre-S1 promoter (nucleotides 2707 to 2847), or pre-S2/S promoter (nucleotides 2937 to 3204) were constructed as reported previously ([64](#page-18-1)). HepG2 cells were cotransfected with the firefly reporter vectors and the Renilla luciferase plasmid pRL-TK (Promega) as an internal control. At 48 h posttransfection, the cells were lysed and luciferase activities were measured using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega). For experiments involving IL-1 β , cells were treated for 3 h with IL-1 β (1 ng/ml) at 48 h posttransfection, followed by evaluation of dual luciferase activity.

Quantification of HBs and HBe antigens. Cell supernatants were harvested, and an ELISA for HBs was performed as described previously ([65\)](#page-18-2). For HBe, the Enzygnost HBe monoclonal kit (Siemens) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Indirect immunofluorescence analysis. Indirect immunofluorescence analysis was performed essentially as described previously [\(65](#page-18-2)). After fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilization with 0.3% Triton X-100, an anti-HBc antibody (HBP-023-9; Austral Biologicals) was used as the primary antibody.

Southern blotting. HepG2 cells were transfected with MafF-encoding or control vectors together with the HBV ayw plasmid, with or without 5μ M entecavir (Sigma) as a control. At 3 days posttransfection, core-associated DNA was isolated from intracellular viral capsids as described previously ([66](#page-18-3)). Southern blot analysis to detect HBV DNAs was also performed as described previously [\(8](#page-16-7)). For the detection of HBV cccDNA by Southern blotting, the HBV cccDNA was extracted through protein-free Hirt DNA extraction. Hirt DNA was heated at 95°C for 10 min to allow the denaturation of deproteinized relaxed circular DNA (rcDNA) and double-stranded DNA into single-stranded DNA. The heat-treated samples were then digested with EcoRI to linearize the cccDNA. The Hirt DNA samples were then separated by agarose gel electrophoresis at 25 V for 12 h. After Southern blot transfer, the DNA was hybridized with DIG Easy Hyb (11603558001; Roche) and detected with the DIG wash and block buffer set (11585762001; Roche).

ChIP assay. 293FT cells were transfected with a MafF expression plasmid together with a reporter plasmid harboring either the WT or mutated (substitution mutations in the putative MafF/bZIP binding region) core promoter at a 4:1 ratio for assessment of the interactions between MafF and HBV core promoter. In other experiments, 293FT cells were cotransfected with plasmid encoding FLAG-tagged EnhII/ $CP\Delta HNF-4\alpha$ #2 and a MafF expression plasmid (or empty vector) at a 1: 2 ratio for assessment of competitive binding of MafF and HNF-4 α . Rabbit monoclonal anti-HNF-4 α (EPR16885; Abcam) was used for immunoprecipitation (IP). To elucidate the effect of IL-1 β on MafF and HNF-4 α competition, HepG2 cells were transfected with anti-MafF si-3 and plasmid encoding EnhII/CP $\Delta HNF-4\alpha\#2$. At 48 h posttransfection, IL-1 β was added to the culture medium (1 ng/ml) for 3 h. ChIP was carried out using a Magna ChIP G kit (Millipore) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Anti-HNF-4^a rabbit monoclonal antibody (Abcam) was used for IP.

Cytokine treatment and NF-kB inhibitors. Responses to IL-1 β and TNF- α (R&D Systems) were evaluated in HepG2 cells (at 1 ng/ml and 10 ng/ml, respectively) and in PXB cells (both at 10 ng/ml) after 1, 3, and 6 h. For the experiments involving NF-κB inhibitors, Bay11-7082 and BMS-345541 (both from Tocris) were added to final concentrations of 10 μ M and 5 μ M, respectively. HepG2 cells were pretreated for 1 h with each inhibitor, followed by the addition of 1 ng/ml IL-1 β (for 1 and 3 h) or 10 ng/ml TNF- α (for 1 h). All experiments included phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) as the diluent control for the cytokines and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as the diluent control for the NF-κB inhibitors.

Database. Transcriptional profiling of patients with chronic HBV (Gene Expression Omnibus [GEO] accession number [GSE83148](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE83148)) and of HBV patients with immunotolerance and those undergoing HBV clearance (GEO accession number [GSE65359\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE65359) was identified in the GEO public database. Expression data for MafF and for cytokine genes IL-1 β , TNF- α , IFNA1, IFN- β 1, IFNL1, and IFNL2 were extracted by GEO2R. HBx sequences ($n = 10,846$) were collected from HBVdb [\(67](#page-18-4)), and 13 ancient HBV sequences were downloaded from the NCBI nucleotide database ([22,](#page-16-21) [68](#page-18-5), [69\)](#page-18-6). For each genotype, nucleotide sequences were aligned by using MAFFT version 7.471 [\(70\)](#page-18-7). Multiple sequence alignments of the putative MafF/bZIP binding region were depicted using WebLogo version 2.8 ([71\)](#page-18-8). The overall height of the stack indicates the conservation at the site, while the relative frequency of each nucleic acid is shown as the height of the characters within the stack.

Statistical analysis. The data were analyzed with algorithms included in Prism version 5.01 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Tests for normal distribution of the data were performed. Twotailed unpaired t tests and Mann-Whitney U tests were used for statistical analysis of parametric and nonparametric data, respectively. The correlation coefficients were determined by Pearson or Spearman correlation analysis of parametric and nonparametric data, respectively. P values of \leq 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.K.I. was the recipient of a JSPS postdoctoral fellowship. This study was supported by a Grant-In-Aid for JSPS Fellows (grant 18F18098), a Grant-In-Aid for Scientific Research (grant 19K07586), and grants from the Research Program on Hepatitis from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED; grants 21fk0310104j0905, 19fk0310103j0303, 19fk0310109h0003, and 21fk0310109j0405).

Myrcludex B, a pre-S1 lipopeptide, was kindly provided by Stephan Urban at the University Hospital Heidelberg. We gratefully acknowledge Akihide Ryo (Yokohama City University School of Medicine) for the kind gift of the anti-HBV core antibody and Takayuki Murata (Fujita Health University), Iwao Kukimoto, Yingfang Li, Xin Zheng, and Haruka Kudo (NIID) for productive discussions, criticism, and technical support. We are also very grateful to Haitao Guo (University of Pittsburgh) for his excellent technical advice.

REFERENCES

- 1. Orzalli MH, Knipe DM. 2014. Cellular sensing of viral DNA and viral evasion mechanisms. Annu Rev Microbiol 68:477–492. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-091313-103409) [annurev-micro-091313-103409.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-091313-103409)
- 2. Chemudupati M, Kenney AD, Bonifati S, Zani A, McMichael TM, Wu L, Yount JS. 2019. From APOBEC to ZAP: diverse mechanisms used by cellular restriction factors to inhibit virus infections. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res 1866:382–394. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2018.09.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2018.09.012)
- 3. Schoggins JW, MacDuff DA, Imanaka N, Gainey MD, Shrestha B, Eitson JL, Mar KB, Richardson RB, Ratushny AV, Litvak V, Dabelic R, Manicassamy B, Aitchison JD, Aderem A, Elliott RM, Garcia-Sastre A, Racaniello V, Snijder EJ, Yokoyama WM, Diamond MS, Virgin HW, Rice CM. 2014. Pan-viral specificity of IFN-induced genes reveals new roles for cGAS in innate immunity. Nature 505:691–695. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12862>.
- 4. Liang G, Liu G, Kitamura K, Wang Z, Chowdhury S, Monjurul AM, Wakae K, Koura M, Shimadu M, Kinoshita K, Muramatsu M. 2015. TGF- β suppression of HBV RNA through AID-dependent recruitment of an RNA exosome complex. PLoS Pathog 11:e1004780. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004780) [.1004780.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004780)
- 5. Shiromoto F, Aly HH, Kudo H, Watashi K, Murayama A, Watanabe N, Zheng X, Kato T, Chayama K, Muramatsu M, Wakita T. 2018. IL-1 β ATF3mediated induction of Ski2 expression enhances hepatitis B virus x mRNA degradation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 503:1854–1860. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.07.126) [.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.07.126](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.07.126).
- 6. Amini-Bavil-Olyaee S, Choi YJ, Lee JH, Shi M, Huang IC, Farzan M, Jung JU. 2013. The antiviral effector IFITM3 disrupts intracellular cholesterol homeostasis to block viral entry. Cell Host Microbe 13:452–464. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.03.006) [10.1016/j.chom.2013.03.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.03.006)
- 7. Wustenhagen E, Boukhallouk F, Negwer I, Rajalingam K, Stubenrauch F, Florin L. 2018. The Myb-related protein MYPOP is a novel intrinsic host restriction factor of oncogenic human papillomaviruses. Oncogene 37:6275–6284. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0398-6>.
- 8. Aly HH, Suzuki J, Watashi K, Chayama K, Hoshino S, Hijikata M, Kato T, Wakita T. 2016. RNA exosome complex regulates stability of the hepatitis B virus X-mRNA transcript in a non-stop-mediated (NSD) RNA quality control mechanism. J Biol Chem 291:15958–15974. [https://doi.org/10.1074/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.724641) [jbc.M116.724641](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.724641).
- 9. Meurs EF, Watanabe Y, Kadereit S, Barber GN, Katze MG, Chong K, Williams BR, Hovanessian AG. 1992. Constitutive expression of human double-stranded RNA-activated p68 kinase in murine cells mediates phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor 2 and partial resistance to encephalomyocarditis virus growth. J Virol 66:5805–5814. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.66.10.5805-5814.1992) [10.1128/JVI.66.10.5805-5814.1992](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.66.10.5805-5814.1992).
- 10. Meyerson NR, Zhou L, Guo YR, Zhao C, Tao YJ, Krug RM, Sawyer SL. 2017. Nuclear TRIM25 specifically targets influenza virus ribonucleoproteins to block the onset of RNA chain elongation. Cell Host Microbe 22:627–638 e7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.10.003>.
- 11. Chen G, Liu CH, Zhou L, Krug RM. 2014. Cellular DDX21 RNA helicase inhibits influenza A virus replication but is counteracted by the viral NS1 protein. Cell Host Microbe 15:484–493. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.03.002) [.2014.03.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.03.002)
- 12. Nassal M. 2015. HBV cccDNA: viral persistence reservoir and key obstacle for a cure of chronic hepatitis B. Gut 64:1972–1984. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309809) [.1136/gutjnl-2015-309809.](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309809)
- 13. Krause-Kyora B, Susat J, Key FM, Kuhnert D, Bosse E, Immel A, Rinne C, Kornell SC, Yepes D, Franzenburg S, Heyne HO, Meier T, Losch S, Meller H, Friederich S, Nicklisch N, Alt KW, Schreiber S, Tholey A, Herbig A, Nebel A, Krause J. 2018. Neolithic and medieval virus genomes reveal complex evolution of hepatitis B. Elife 7:e36666. [https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36666.](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36666)
- 14. Wieland S, Thimme R, Purcell RH, Chisari FV. 2004. Genomic analysis of the host response to hepatitis B virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:6669–6674. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0401771101.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0401771101)
- 15. Katsuoka F, Yamamoto M. 2016. Small Maf proteins (MafF, MafG, MafK): history, structure and function. Gene 586:197–205. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2016.03.058) [.1016/j.gene.2016.03.058](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2016.03.058).
- 16. Kannan MB, Solovieva V, Blank V. 2012. The small MAF transcription factors MAFF, MAFG and MAFK: current knowledge and perspectives. Biochim Biophys Acta 1823:1841–1846. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.06.012) [.2012.06.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.06.012)
- 17. Massrieh W, Derjuga A, Doualla-Bell F, Ku CY, Sanborn BM, Blank V. 2006. Regulation of the MAFF transcription factor by proinflammatory cytokines in myometrial cells. Biol Reprod 74:699–705. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.105.045450) [.1095/biolreprod.105.045450.](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.105.045450)
- 18. Nishitsuji H, Ujino S, Shimizu Y, Harada K, Zhang J, Sugiyama M, Mizokami M, Shimotohno K. 2015. Novel reporter system to monitor early stages of the hepatitis B virus life cycle. Cancer Sci 106:1616–1624. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.12799) [10.1111/cas.12799](https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.12799).
- 19. Iwamoto M, Watashi K, Tsukuda S, Aly HH, Fukasawa M, Fujimoto A, Suzuki R, Aizaki H, Ito T, Koiwai O, Kusuhara H, Wakita T. 2014. Evaluation and identification of hepatitis B virus entry inhibitors using HepG2 cells overexpressing a membrane transporter NTCP. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 443:808–813. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.12.052.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.12.052)
- 20. Bartenschlager R, Schaller H. 1992. Hepadnaviral assembly is initiated by polymerase binding to the encapsidation signal in the viral RNA genome. EMBO J 11:3413–3420. [https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1992.tb05420.x.](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1992.tb05420.x)
- 21. Hirsch RC, Lavine JE, Chang LJ, Varmus HE, Ganem D. 1990. Polymerase gene products of hepatitis B viruses are required for genomic RNA packaging as well as for reverse transcription. Nature 344:552–555. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1038/344552a0) [.org/10.1038/344552a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/344552a0).
- 22. Kahila Bar-Gal G, Kim MJ, Klein A, Shin DH, Oh CS, Kim JW, Kim TH, Kim SB, Grant PR, Pappo O, Spigelman M, Shouval D. 2012. Tracing hepatitis B virus to the 16th century in a Korean mummy. Hepatology 56:1671–1680. [https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.25852.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.25852)
- 23. Gripon P, Cannie I, Urban S. 2005. Efficient inhibition of hepatitis B virus infection by acylated peptides derived from the large viral surface protein. J Virol 79:1613–1622. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.79.3.1613-1622.2005>.
- 24. Kataoka K, Noda M, Nishizawa M. 1994. Maf nuclear oncoprotein recognizes sequences related to an AP-1 site and forms heterodimers with both Fos and Jun. Mol Cell Biol 14:700–712. [https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.14.1.700) [.14.1.700](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.14.1.700).
- 25. Kerppola TK, Curran T. 1994. A conserved region adjacent to the basic domain is required for recognition of an extended DNA binding site by Maf/ Nrl family proteins. Oncogene 9:3149–3158.
- 26. Kimura M, Yamamoto T, Zhang J, Itoh K, Kyo M, Kamiya T, Aburatani H, Katsuoka F, Kurokawa H, Tanaka T, Motohashi H, Yamamoto M. 2007. Molecular basis distinguishing the DNA binding profile of Nrf2-Maf heterodimer from that of Maf homodimer. J Biol Chem 282:33681–33690. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M706863200>.
- 27. Ney PA, Sorrentino BP, Lowrey CH, Nienhuis AW. 1990. Inducibility of the HS II enhancer depends on binding of an erythroid specific nuclear protein. Nucleic Acids Res 18:6011–6017. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/18.20](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/18.20.6011) [.6011](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/18.20.6011).
- 28. Rushmore TH, Morton MR, Pickett CB. 1991. The antioxidant responsive element: activation by oxidative stress and identification of the DNA consensus sequence required for functional activity. J Biol Chem 266:11632–11639. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)99004-6.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)99004-6)
- 29. Sandelin A, Alkema W, Engstrom P, Wasserman WW, Lenhard B. 2004. JASPAR: an open-access database for eukaryotic transcription factor binding profiles. Nucleic Acids Res 32:D91–D94. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh012) [gkh012.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh012)
- 30. Lopez-Cabrera M, Letovsky J, Hu KQ, Siddiqui A. 1991. Transcriptional factor C/EBP binds to and transactivates the enhancer element II of the hepatitis B virus. Virology 183:825–829. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822\(91\)91019-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(91)91019-D).
- 31. Raney AK, Johnson JL, Palmer CN, McLachlan A. 1997. Members of the nuclear receptor superfamily regulate transcription from the hepatitis B virus nucleocapsid promoter. J Virol 71:1058–1071. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.71.2.1058-1071.1997) [.1128/JVI.71.2.1058-1071.1997.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.71.2.1058-1071.1997)
- 32. Yu X, Mertz JE. 1997. Differential regulation of the pre-C and pregenomic promoters of human hepatitis B virus by members of the nuclear receptor superfamily. J Virol 71:9366–9374. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.71.12.9366](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.71.12.9366-9374.1997) [-9374.1997](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.71.12.9366-9374.1997).
- 33. Gilbert S, Galarneau L, Lamontagne A, Roy S, Belanger L. 2000. The hepatitis B virus core promoter is strongly activated by the liver nuclear receptor fetoprotein transcription factor or by ectopically expressed steroidogenic factor 1. J Virol 74:5032–5039. [https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.74.11.5032-5039.2000.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.74.11.5032-5039.2000)
- 34. Mogilenko DA, Dizhe EB, Shavva VS, Lapikov IA, Orlov SV, Perevozchikov AP. 2009. Role of the nuclear receptors HNF4 α , PPAR α , and LXRs in the TNF α -mediated inhibition of human apolipoprotein A-I gene expression in HepG2 cells. Biochemistry 48:11950–11960. [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi9015742) [bi9015742](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi9015742).
- 35. Wang H, Maechler P, Antinozzi PA, Hagenfeldt KA, Wollheim CB. 2000. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α regulates the expression of pancreatic β -cell genes implicated in glucose metabolism and nutrient-induced insulin secretion. J Biol Chem 275:35953–35959. [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M006612200) [.M006612200.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M006612200)
- 36. Zhou W, Ma Y, Zhang J, Hu J, Zhang M, Wang Y, Li Y, Wu L, Pan Y, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Zhang X, Zhang Z, Zhang J, Li H, Lu L, Jin L, Wang J, Yuan Z, Liu J. 2017. Predictive model for inflammation grades of chronic hepatitis B: large-scale analysis of clinical parameters and gene expressions. Liver Int 37:1632–1641. [https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.13427.](https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.13427)
- 37. Schreiner S, Kinkley S, Burck C, Mund A, Wimmer P, Schubert T, Groitl P, Will H, Dobner T. 2013. SPOC1-mediated antiviral host cell response is antagonized early in human adenovirus type 5 infection. PLoS Pathog 9: e1003775. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003775>.
- 38. Kataoka K, Igarashi K, Itoh K, Fujiwara KT, Noda M, Yamamoto M, Nishizawa M. 1995. Small Maf proteins heterodimerize with Fos and may act as competitive repressors of the NF-E2 transcription factor. Mol Cell Biol 15:2180–2190. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.15.4.2180>.
- 39. Fujiwara KT, Kataoka K, Nishizawa M. 1993. Two new members of the maf oncogene family, mafK and mafF, encode nuclear b-Zip proteins lacking putative trans-activator domain. Oncogene 8:2371–2380.
- 40. Sun J, Muto A, Hoshino H, Kobayashi A, Nishimura S, Yamamoto M, Hayashi N, Ito E, Igarashi K. 2001. The promoter of mouse transcription repressor bach1 is regulated by Sp1 and trans-activated by Bach1. J Biochem 130:385–392. [https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a002997.](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a002997)
- 41. Paraskevis D, Magiorkinis G, Magiorkinis E, Ho SY, Belshaw R, Allain JP, Hatzakis A. 2013. Dating the origin and dispersal of hepatitis B virus infection in humans and primates. Hepatology 57:908–916. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.26079) [.1002/hep.26079.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.26079)
- 42. Park YK, Park ES, Kim DH, Ahn SH, Park SH, Lee AR, Park S, Kang HS, Lee JH, Kim JM, Lee SK, Lim KH, Isorce N, Tong S, Zoulim F, Kim KH. 2016. Cleaved c-FLIP mediates the antiviral effect of TNF- α against hepatitis B virus by dysregulating hepatocyte nuclear factors. J Hepatol 64:268–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2015.09.012>.
- 43. Ishida H, Ueda K, Ohkawa K, Kanazawa Y, Hosui A, Nakanishi F, Mita E, Kasahara A, Sasaki Y, Hori M, Hayashi N. 2000. Identification of multiple transcription factors, HLF, FTF, and E4BP4, controlling hepatitis B virus

enhancer II. J Virol 74:1241–1251. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.74.3.1241](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.74.3.1241-1251.2000) [-1251.2000](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.74.3.1241-1251.2000).

- 44. Quarleri J. 2014. Core promoter: a critical region where the hepatitis B virus makes decisions. World J Gastroenterol 20:425–435. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i2.425) [10.3748/wjg.v20.i2.425](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i2.425).
- 45. Moolla N, Kew M, Arbuthnot P. 2002. Regulatory elements of hepatitis B virus transcription. J Viral Hepat 9:323–331. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2893.2002.00381.x) [.1365-2893.2002.00381.x.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2893.2002.00381.x)
- 46. Motohashi H, O'Connor T, Katsuoka F, Engel JD, Yamamoto M. 2002. Integration and diversity of the regulatory network composed of Maf and CNC families of transcription factors. Gene 294:1–12. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(02)00788-6) [.1016/S0378-1119\(02\)00788-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(02)00788-6).
- 47. Motohashi H, Katsuoka F, Shavit JA, Engel JD, Yamamoto M. 2000. Positive or negative MARE-dependent transcriptional regulation is determined by the abundance of small Maf proteins. Cell 103:865–875. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00190-2) [10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)00190-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00190-2)
- 48. Kataoka K, Nishizawa M, Kawai S. 1993. Structure-function analysis of the maf oncogene product, a member of the b-Zip protein family. J Virol 67:2133–2141. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.67.4.2133-2141.1993.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.67.4.2133-2141.1993)
- 49. Motohashi H, Shavit JA, Igarashi K, Yamamoto M, Engel JD. 1997. The world according to Maf. Nucleic Acids Res 25:2953–2959. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.15.2953) [10.1093/nar/25.15.2953](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.15.2953).
- 50. Liu T, Zhang L, Joo D, Sun SC. 2017. NF-κB signaling in inflammation. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2:17023. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sigtrans.2017.23>.
- 51. Migita K, Maeda Y, Abiru S, Nakamura M, Komori A, Miyazoe S, Nakao K, Yatsuhashi H, Eguchi K, Ishibashi H. 2007. Polymorphisms of interleukin- 1β in Japanese patients with hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 46:381–386. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2006.09.015.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2006.09.015)
- 52. Gonzalez-Amaro R, Garcia-Monzon C, Garcia-Buey L, Moreno-Otero R, Alonso JL, Yague E, Pivel JP, Lopez-Cabrera M, Fernandez-Ruiz E, Sanchez-Madrid F. 1994. Induction of tumor necrosis factor alpha production by human hepatocytes in chronic viral hepatitis. J Exp Med 179:841–848. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.179.3.841>.
- 53. Xia Y, Stadler D, Lucifora J, Reisinger F, Webb D, Hosel M, Michler T, Wisskirchen K, Cheng X, Zhang K, Chou WM, Wettengel JM, Malo A, Bohne F, Hoffmann D, Eyer F, Thimme R, Falk CS, Thasler WE, Heikenwalder M, Protzer U. 2016. Interferon- γ and tumor necrosis factor- α produced by T cells reduce the HBV persistence form, cccDNA, without cytolysis. Gastroenterology 150:194–205. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.09.026>.
- 54. Abraham TM, Loeb DD. 2007. The topology of hepatitis B virus pregenomic RNA promotes its replication. J Virol 81:11577–11584. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01414-07) [.org/10.1128/JVI.01414-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01414-07).
- 55. Mitra B, Wang J, Kim ES, Mao R, Dong M, Liu Y, Zhang J, Guo H. 2019. Hepatitis B virus precore protein p22 inhibits alpha interferon signaling by blocking STAT nuclear translocation. J Virol 93:e00196-19. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00196-19) [10.1128/JVI.00196-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00196-19).
- 56. Wang Y, Cui L, Yang G, Zhan J, Guo L, Chen Y, Fan C, Liu D, Guo D. 2018. Hepatitis B e antigen inhibits NF-κB activity by interrupting K63-linked ubiquitination of NEMO. J Virol 93:e00667-18. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00667-18) [.00667-18](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00667-18).
- 57. Yu Y, Wan P, Cao Y, Zhang W, Chen J, Tan L, Wang Y, Sun Z, Zhang Q, Wan Y, Zhu Y, Liu F, Wu K, Liu Y, Wu J. 2017. Hepatitis B virus e antigen activates the suppressor of cytokine signaling 2 to repress interferon action. Sci Rep 7:1729. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01773-6.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01773-6)
- 58. Ishida Y, Yamasaki C, Yanagi A, Yoshizane Y, Fujikawa K, Watashi K, Abe H, Wakita T, Hayes CN, Chayama K, Tateno C. 2015. Novel robust in vitro hepatitis B virus infection model using fresh human hepatocytes isolated from humanized mice. Am J Pathol 185:1275–1285. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2015.01.028) [.1016/j.ajpath.2015.01.028.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2015.01.028)
- 59. Wang H, Kim S, Ryu WS. 2009. DDX3 DEAD-Box RNA helicase inhibits hepatitis B virus reverse transcription by incorporation into nucleocapsids. J Virol 83:5815–5824. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00011-09>.
- 60. Sugiyama M, Tanaka Y, Kato T, Orito E, Ito K, Acharya SK, Gish RG, Kramvis A, Shimada T, Izumi N, Kaito M, Miyakawa Y, Mizokami M. 2006. Influence of hepatitis B virus genotypes on the intra- and extracellular expression of viral DNA and antigens. Hepatology 44:915–924. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.21345) [.1002/hep.21345.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.21345)
- 61. Thomas H, Senkel S, Erdmann S, Arndt T, Turan G, Klein-Hitpass L, Ryffel GU. 2004. Pattern of genes influenced by conditional expression of the transcription factors HNF6, HNF4 α and HNF1 β in a pancreatic β -cell line. Nucleic Acids Res 32:e150. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gnh144.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gnh144)
- 62. Allweiss L, Yu M, Testoni B, Lucifora J, Ko C, Qu B, Glebe D, Kim ES, Lutgehetmann M, Urban S, Cheng G, Delaney W, Levrero M, Protzer U, Zoulim F, Guo H, Dandri M. 2019. Final results of the concerted

harmonization efforts for HBV cccDNA quantification, 2019 International HBV Meeting, Melbourne, Australia. Hepatitis B Foundation, Doylestown, PA.

- 63. Qu B, Ni Y, Lempp FA, Vondran FWR, Urban S. 2018. T5 exonuclease hydrolysis of hepatitis B virus replicative intermediates allows reliable quantification and fast drug efficacy testing of covalently closed circular DNA by PCR. J Virol 92:e01117-18. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01117-18.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01117-18)
- 64. Deng L, Gan X, Ito M, Chen M, Aly HH, Matsui C, Abe T, Watashi K, Wakita T, Suzuki T, Okamoto T, Matsuura Y, Mizokami M, Shoji I, Hotta H. 2019. Peroxiredoxin 1, a novel HBx-interacting protein, interacts with exosome component 5 and negatively regulates hepatitis B virus (HBV) propagation through degradation of HBV RNA. J Virol 93:e02203-18. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02203-18) [.org/10.1128/JVI.02203-18](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02203-18).
- 65. Watashi K, Liang G, Iwamoto M, Marusawa H, Uchida N, Daito T, Kitamura K, Muramatsu M, Ohashi H, Kiyohara T, Suzuki R, Li J, Tong S, Tanaka Y, Murata K, Aizaki H, Wakita T. 2013. Interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor- α trigger restriction of hepatitis B virus infection via a cytidine deaminase activationinduced cytidine deaminase (AID). J Biol Chem 288:31715–31727. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.501122) doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.501122.
- 66. Sakurai F, Mitani S, Yamamoto T, Takayama K, Tachibana M, Watashi K, Wakita T, Iijima S, Tanaka Y, Mizuguchi H. 2017. Human induced-pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocyte-like cells as an in vitro model of human

hepatitis B virus infection. Sci Rep 7:45698. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45698) [srep45698](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45698).

- 67. Hayer J, Jadeau F, Deleage G, Kay A, Zoulim F, Combet C. 2013. HBVdb: a knowledge database for hepatitis B virus. Nucleic Acids Res 41: D566–D570. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1022.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1022)
- 68. Muhlemann B, Jones TC, Damgaard PB, Allentoft ME, Shevnina I, Logvin A, Usmanova E, Panyushkina IP, Boldgiv B, Bazartseren T, Tashbaeva K, Merz V, Lau N, Smrcka V, Voyakin D, Kitov E, Epimakhov A, Pokutta D, Vicze M, Price TD, Moiseyev V, Hansen AJ, Orlando L, Rasmussen S, Sikora M, Vinner L, Osterhaus A, Smith DJ, Glebe D, Fouchier RAM, Drosten C, Sjogren KG, Kristiansen K, Willerslev E. 2018. Ancient hepatitis B viruses from the Bronze Age to the Medieval period. Nature 557:418–423. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0097-z.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0097-z)
- 69. Patterson Ross Z, Klunk J, Fornaciari G, Giuffra V, Duchene S, Duggan AT, Poinar D, Douglas MW, Eden JS, Holmes EC, Poinar HN. 2018. The paradox of HBV evolution as revealed from a 16th century mummy. PLoS Pathog 14:e1006750. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006750.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006750)
- 70. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780. [https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010)
- 71. Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE. 2004. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. Genome Res 14:1188–1190. [https://doi.org/10.1101/gr](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.849004) [.849004](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.849004).