## Article



**THE** EMBO

**IOURNAI** 

# m<sup>6</sup>A-mediated alternative splicing coupled with nonsense-mediated mRNA decay regulates SAM synthetase homeostasis

Eichi Watabe<sup>1</sup>, Marina Togo-Ohno<sup>1</sup>, Yuma Ishigami<sup>[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5704-291X)</sup><sup>D</sup>, Shotaro Wani<sup>1</sup>, Keiko Hirota<sup>3,†</sup> , Mariko Kimura-Asami<sup>1,‡</sup>, Sharmin Hasan<sup>1</sup> D, Satomi Takei<sup>1</sup>, Akiyoshi Fukamizu<sup>3</sup>, Yutaka Suzuki<sup>4</sup> , Tsutomu Suzuki<sup>2</sup> & Hidehito Kuroyanagi<sup>1,5,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1563-1134)</sup>

### Abstract

Alternative splicing of pre-mRNAs can regulate gene expression levels by coupling with nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD). In order to elucidate a repertoire of mRNAs regulated by alternative splicing coupled with NMD (AS-NMD) in an organism, we performed long-read RNA sequencing of  $poly(A)^+$  RNAs from an NMD-deficient mutant strain of Caenorhabditis elegans, and obtained full-length sequences for mRNA isoforms from 259 highconfidence AS-NMD genes. Among them are the S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) synthetase (sams) genes sams-3 and sams-4. SAM synthetase activity autoregulates sams gene expression through AS-NMD in a negative feedback loop. We furthermore find that METT-10, the orthologue of human U6 snRNA methyltransferase METTL16, is required for the splicing regulation in vivo, and specifically methylates the invariant AG dinucleotide at the distal 3' splice site (3'SS) in vitro. Direct RNA sequencing coupled with machine learning confirms m<sup>6</sup>A modification of endogenous sams mRNAs. Overall, these results indicate that homeostasis of SAM synthetase in C. elegans is maintained by alternative splicing regulation through m<sup>6</sup>A modification at the 3'SS of the sams genes.

Keywords Caenorhabditis elegans; machine learning; N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine; nanopore direct RNA sequencing; S-adenosyl-L-methionine synthetase Subject Categories Chromatin, Transcription & Genomics; RNA Biology DOI 10.15252/embj.2020106434 | Received 4 August 2020 | Revised 29 April 2021 | Accepted 7 May 2021 | Published online 21 June 2021

#### The EMBO Journal (2021) 40: e106434

### Introduction

Alternative splicing of precursor messenger RNAs (pre-mRNAs) contributes not only to proteome diversity (Nilsen & Graveley, 2010; Ule & Blencowe, 2019) but also to regulation of gene expression levels by generating mRNA isoforms with a premature termination codon (PTC) (Hamid & Makeyev, 2014; Sibley, 2014). Such unproductively spliced mRNAs are unstable and almost undetectable due to an mRNA surveillance system termed nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) (Lareau & Brenner, 2015; Kishor et al, 2019; Kurosaki et al, 2019). Genetic studies in yeast and nematode identified evolutionarily conserved NMD factors including UPF1, UPF2, and UPF3 (Pulak & Anderson, 1993; He & Jacobson, 1995). Searches for mRNAs stabilized under NMD-deficient conditions in a variety of organisms identified many splicing variants as natural NMD substrates in addition to mRNAs from snoRNA host genes, pseudogenes, long noncoding genes, and viral genes (Chapin et al, 2014; Kawashima et al, 2014; Celik et al, 2017; Colombo et al, 2017; Muir et al, 2018). Among the genes whose expression is regulated through alternative splicing coupled with NMD (AS-NMD), many splicing factors and regulators have been shown to negatively autoregulate their own expression at the level of pre-mRNA splicing (Jumaa & Nielsen, 1997; Lejeune et al, 2001; Sureau et al, 2001; Lareau et al, 2007; Ni et al, 2007; McGlincy et al, 2010; Turunen et al, 2013; Jangi et al, 2014; Sun et al, 2017).

An advantage of utilizing C. elegans as a model organism for studying AS-NMD is in that its NMD factors are not essential for the development or fertility, allowing for genetic studies and genomewide survey of natural NMD substrates in vivo. The C. elegans genome is rich in introns like those of higher organisms (Consortium CeS, 1998), and > 25% of its protein-coding genes undergo alternative pre-mRNA splicing (Ramani et al, 2009; Ramani et al,

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Gene Expression, Medical Research Institute, Tokyo Medical and Dental University (TMDU), Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Graduate School of Engineering, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Life Science Center for Survival Dynamics, Tsukuba Advanced Research Alliance (TARA), University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, Japan

<sup>4</sup> Department of Computational Biology and Medical Sciences, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa-shi, Chiba, Japan

<sup>5</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Graduate School of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara-cho, Okinawa, Japan

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel: <sup>+</sup>81 98 895 1112; E-mail: hidehito@med.u-ryukyu.ac.jp †

Present address: Department of Hygiene and Public Health, School of Medicine, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan ‡

Present address: Center for Preventive Medicine, St. Luke's International Hospital Affiliated Clinic, St. Luke's International University, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

2011; Tourasse et al, 2017). Early studies with splicing-sensitive microarrays identified 30 genes regulated by AS-NMD, including those for splicing regulators (Barberan-Soler & Zahler, 2008; Barberan-Soler et al, 2009). We previously reported RNA-seq analysis of mRNAs in an NMD-deficient mutant smg-2 and demonstrated that eight of ribosomal protein genes undergo negative autoregulation through AS-NMD (Takei et al, 2016). Recently, AS-NMD has been demonstrated to play crucial roles in longevity of long-lived mutants or by dietary restriction and RNA-seq analyses identified alternative splicing events relevant to longevity (Son et al, 2017; Tabrez et al, 2017). A caveat of the RNA-seq analyses, however, is that an entire sequence of each mRNA molecule cannot be accurately reconstructed from the short-read RNA-seq data.

Post-transcriptional base modification of mRNAs has emerged as a new layer of regulatory mechanisms controlling gene expression (Frye et al, 2016). Among such modifications,  $N^6$ -methyladenosine (m6 A) is the most prevalent internal modification in mRNAs in higher eukaryotic species (Wei *et al*, 1975). The m<sup>6</sup>A modification is reversible; methyltransferases ("writers") and demethylases ("erasers") methylate and demethylate mRNAs, respectively (Duan et al, 2019; Shi et al, 2019; Zaccara et al, 2019; Huang et al, 2020a; Huang et al, 2020b). Almost all of m<sup>6</sup>A modifications in mRNAs are deposited by a multicomponent m<sup>6</sup>A methyltransferase complex composed of a core component, the methyltransferase-like 3 (METTL3)/METTL14 heterodimer, and other regulatory factors (Liu *et al*, 2014; Ping *et al*, 2014; Schwartz *et al*, 2014). The m<sup>6</sup>A modifications may alter local RNA structures to switch interaction with RNA-binding proteins (Liu et al, 2015) or may affect splicing, nuclear export, translation initiation, and stability of mRNAs through specific recognition by  $m^6A$ -binding proteins ("readers") such as YTHDC1, YTHDF1, and YTHDF2 (Wang et al, 2015; Xiao *et al*, 2016; Roundtree *et al*, 2017). The m<sup>6</sup>A modifications in RNAs can be removed by erasers FTO and ALKBH5 (Jia et al, 2011; Zheng et al, 2013). In C. elegans, however, orthologous genes for the m<sup>6</sup>A writers, erasers, and readers mentioned above are absent from the genome (Cunningham et al, 2019; Arribere et al, 2020) and recent studies demonstrated that only a limited fraction of mRNAs would have m<sup>6</sup>A modification (van Delft et al, 2017; Liberman et al, 2020). It is therefore still unclear whether the m<sup>6</sup>A modification also plays regulatory roles in mRNA metabolism in C. elegans.

In this study, we performed high-throughput long-read sequencing of mRNAs to reveal their full-length sequences in an NMD-deficient mutant strain with a mutation in the smg-2 gene, encoding the crucial NMD factor UPF1. We summarize features of genes subjected to AS-NMD. To search for a novel regulatory mechanism of AS-NMD other than autoregulation by RNA-binding proteins, we focused on Sadenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) synthetase genes and demonstrate that m<sup>6</sup>A modification at the invariant AG dinucleotide of a 3' splice site (SS) by a methyltransferase METT-10 switches the choice of competing 3′SSs, leading to AS-NMD for homeostasis of the enzyme.

### Results

#### Full-length sequences of NMD isoforms in C. elegans

In order to reveal full-length sequences of natural NMD substrates in C. elegans, we performed long-read sequencing of  $poly(A)^+$  RNAs from the smg-2 (yb979) mutant (Kuroyanagi et al, 2007) with a direct RNA sequencing protocol on a Nanopore MinION platform (Garalde et al, 2018). To minimize over representation of abundant mRNAs from housekeeping genes, we purified newly synthesized RNAs after 6-h metabolic labeling with 4-thiouracil (4TU) in synchronized L1 larvae and directly sequenced the nascent  $poly(A)^+$ RNAs (see Methods). After base-calling, 1,402,229 reads were mapped to the C. elegans genome and we identified 12,517 mRNA isoforms from 8,028 genes (Dataset EV1) supported by at least 3 reads whose exon-exon junctions were also supported by short-read RNA-seq data from smg-2 mutants in previous studies (Kuroyanagi et al, 2013; Takei et al, 2016; Son et al, 2017). For comparison, we collected and pooled as many direct RNA sequencing data in a public database as possible for mRNAs from various stages of a wild-type strain N2 (Roach et al, 2020). With the same procedure, 3,511,592 reads were mapped to the genome and 18,376 isoforms from 11,331 genes (Dataset EV1) were supported by short-read RNA-seq data from N2 in previous studies (Takei et al, 2016; Son et al, 2017). Figure 1A summarizes numbers of exon-exon junctions identified in the smg-2 mutant and/or N2 as well as those deduced from gene models in WormBase (WS271) in 6,642 genes commonly detected in the two strains. Even though the number of reads and the stage of the worms are limited in our analysis, we detected more than 700 novel junctions that were not detected in N2 or predicted in WormBase (Fig 1A), suggesting that mRNAs with unusual splicing patterns are stabilized in the smg-2 mutant.

In order to identify mRNA isoforms whose proportions to the total mRNAs within the genes are significantly different between the smg-2 mutant and N2, we performed Fisher's exact tests with read numbers in the Nanopore sequencing data for 2,931 genes with two or more isoforms and with at least 10 total reads in each strain (Dataset EV1). Here, we assumed that the proportions of the NMD

- Figure 1. Long-read sequencing reveals putative NMD isoforms enriched in the smg-2 mutant.<br>A Venn diagram of exon-exon junctions in 6,642 common genes identified among the Nanopore reads from the smg-2 (yb979) mutant and those deduced from gene models in WormBase (WS271).
- B Volcano plot of 8,701 mRNA isoforms from 2,931 genes with multiple isoforms. X-axis indicates a difference in percentage of each mRNA isoform within the gene ( $\Delta\%_{\text{smg-2-N2}}$ ). Y-axis indicates -log<sub>10</sub> of P-value in Fisher's exact tests. Blue symbols indicate 219 mRNA isoforms significantly depleted from the smq-2 mutant  $(P < 0.05, \Delta\%_{smg\text{-}2\text{-}N2} < -10)$ . Red symbols indicate 420 isoforms significantly enriched in the smg-2 mutant  $(P < 0.05, \Delta\%_{smg\text{-}2\text{-}N2} < 10)$
- C, D Length distribution of 3'UTRs (C) and proportions of putative PTC-containing isoforms (D) in 10,136 mRNA isoforms detected in N2 and/or smg-2 (All), the 219 isoforms depleted from and the 420 isoforms enriched in the smg-2 mutant.
- E GO terms significantly enriched in 259 genes with smg-2-enriched PTC isoforms (top) and in 30 genes with smg-2-depleted PTC isoforms (bottom). Modified Fisher's exact P-values in the DAVID Bioinformatics system are indicated. Note that 14 of the 30 genes with the smg-2-depleted mRNA PTC isoforms are common with those having smg-2-enriched PTC isoforms. This is why the same GO terms are enriched in these two groups. The mRNAs from such genes likely contain upstream open reading frames (uORFs), which may not be directly involved in or differentially affect NMD.



Figure 1.

isoforms within the genes remain constant throughout development and in nascent RNAs like those of ribosomal protein genes (Takei et al, 2016) and used the pooled data for N2. Figure 1B summarizes a difference in percentages of an mRNA isoform within the gene  $(\Delta\%_{smge-2-N2},$  percentage in smg-2 subtracted by percentage in N2) and a P-value for each of 8,701 isoforms. We identified 219 of smg-2-depleted isoforms  $(\Delta\%_{smg\text{-}2\text{-}N2} < -10, P < 0.05)$  from 208 genes and 420 of smg-2-enriched isoforms  $(\Delta\%_{smg-2-N2} > 10, P < 0.05)$ from 375 genes (Fig 1B and Dataset EV1). Assuming that an mRNA is translated from the first AUG codon, the smg-2-enriched isoforms (median =  $613$  nt) had significantly longer  $3'$  untranslated regions  $(3'UTRs)$  compared to the smg-2-depleted isoforms (median = 194 nt) as well as to total of 10,136 detected isoforms from 4,366 genes with at least 10 total reads in both strains (median = 218 nt)  $(P < 2e-16$ , Wilcoxon rank sum test) (Fig 1C). Assuming that a premature termination codon (PTC) resides > 50 nucleotides (nt) upstream from the downstream-most exon-exon junction in an mRNA, 68.8% (289/420) of the smg-2-enriched mRNA isoforms had PTCs, whereas only 13.7% (30/219) of the smg-2-depleted isoforms and 28.1% (2,851/10,136) of all detected isoforms had PTCs (Fig 1D and Dataset EV1). We performed reverse transcription (RT)-semiquantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of 12 genes with smg-2-enriched PTC isoforms and confirmed stabilization of all the PTC isoforms in the smg-2 mutant except for extra isoforms with retained introns (Appendix Fig S1). These results indicate that 259 genes that produces the 289 PTC-containing and smg-2-enriched mRNA isoforms are high-confidence genes regulated by AS-NMD in C. elegans.

Gene ontology (GO) analysis of the 259 genes that undergo AS-NMD revealed enrichment of genes related to RNA translation and processing (Fig 1E). This is consistent with previous findings that many of RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) including ribosomal proteins can negatively autoregulate their own expression by modulating alternative splicing patterns in both mammals and nematodes (Jangi & Sharp, 2014; Wani & Kuroyanagi, 2017).

#### Dynamic alternative splicing regulation of SAM synthetase genes upon feeding

Genes related to metabolism are also significantly enriched among those that undergo AS-NMD (Fig 1E). During the course of analysis of such genes, we found that alternative splicing of the sams-3 and sams-4 genes (Fig 2A) drastically changed upon feeding and fasting in the smg-2 mutant (Fig 2B lanes 1–2 and Appendix Fig S2). RTquantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis revealed 5.6-fold and 2.0-fold increase in the total amounts of sams-3 and sams-4 mRNAs, respectively, upon feeding and confirmed divergent changes in the

amounts the productive and PTC-containing mRNA isoforms (Fig EV1A). We confirmed that the PTC-containing sams-3 and sams-4 isoforms are also induced upon feeding and actually degraded by NMD in the wild-type background by feeding L1 larvae of N2 in the absence and presence of a translation inhibitor emetine that eventually inhibits the translation-dependent NMD process (Fig 2B lanes 4–6 and Fig EV1B). Alternative splicing of their paralogue sams-5 was also dynamically regulated upon feeding and fasting (Figs 2 and EV1, Appendix Fig S2). We reasoned that expression of these genes is regulated by AS-NMD under certain environmental conditions and therefore focused on these genes in the following sections.

The sams genes are members of a gene family encoding SAM synthetase that produces SAM from L-methionine (L-Met) and adenosine tri-phosphate (ATP). The C. elegans genome contains five members of the SAM synthetase genes (Fig 2A) (Tamiya et al, 2013). sams-3 and sams-4 are highly homologous to each other (91.9% nucleotide sequence identity in the coding region), share exon organization (Fig 2A), and are divergent neighbors in the C. elegans genome. sams-5 also shares the gene structure and the alternative splicing patterns (Fig 2). We noticed that nucleotide sequences of intron 2, the site of the alternative splicing regulation, in these genes are extraordinarily conserved for introns (87.5% identity between sams-3 and sams-4) (Fig EV2). In contrast, sams-1 lacks an intron at this position (Figs 2A and EV2) and is therefore constitutively expressed. sams-2 is the most homologous to sams-3 (94.2% overall nucleotide sequence identity), yet is a pseudogene because of a frame-shifting insertion in exon 3 (Fig EV2) and is constitutively spliced due to a substitution at the proximal  $3'$  splice site (SS) for intron 2 (Fig EV2).

To ask whether the feeding-induced change in the alternative splicing patterns of the sams genes requires newly synthesized proteins, we fed the smg-2 worms in the presence of emetine. The ratios and the amounts of the mRNA isoforms changed to some extent even in the presence of emetine (Figs 2B and EV1). This is in good contrast to the amounts of fat-7 and acs-2 mRNAs whose induction and reduction, respectively, were completely suppressed by the emetine treatment (Fig EV1A). These results indicated that induction and dynamic splicing regulation of the sams genes do not depend on synthesis of new proteins upon feeding.

#### Negative feedback regulation of alternative splicing of the SAM synthetase genes

To address biological roles for the dynamic AS-NMD of the sams genes in vivo, we tested whether or not SAM synthetase activity autoregulates SAMS expression. Because sams-1 is the only sams

- Figure 2. Alternative splicing of sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 is dynamically regulated upon feeding.<br>A Schematic representation of the sams genes in C. elegans. Boxes indicate exons. Coding regions of productive mRNAs are premature termination codon in a pseudogene sams-2 is colored cyan. Double arrows illustrate regions deleted in mutant alleles indicated.
- B Alternative splicing of sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 in unfed and fed worms without or with a protein synthesis inhibitor emetine. Synchronized L1 larvae of an NMDdeficient strain KH1668: smg-2 (yb979) (lanes 1-3) and a wild-type strain N2 (lanes 4-6) were incubated in S-complete medium alone (lanes 1, 4), with a standard E. coli strain OP50 (OD<sub>600</sub> = 10.0) (lanes 2, 5) or OP50 supplemented with 10 mg/ml emetine (lanes 3, 6) for 3 h at 20°C. Total RNAs were extracted from whole animals and subjected to semi-quantitative RT–PCR, whose products were analyzed by capillary electrophoresis. Representative gel-like presentation is indicated (n = 3). Schematic structure of each PCR product is indicated on the right. Open reading frames (ORFs) for full-length and truncated proteins are in orange and cyan, respectively. rpl-12 was used as an unaffected control.



Figure 2.

gene that is constitutively expressed and because sams-3 and sams-4 are neighboring genes, we tested effects of deleting sams-1 and sams-5 on splicing patterns of sams-3 and sams-4 in the smg-2 mutant background (Fig 3A). In unfed worms, the splicing patterns of sams-3 and sams-4 were not so much different between the smg-2 (lane 1) and the smg-2; sams-5; sams-1 (lane 5) mutants. Upon feeding, however, proportions of the enzyme-coding isoforms were apparently higher in the smg-2; sams-5; sams-1 mutant (lane 6) compared to the smg-2 mutant (lane 2). RT–qPCR analysis revealed comparable induction of total sams-3 (5.6-fold in smg-2 vs 6.4-fold in smg-2; sams-5; sams-1) and sams-4 (1.7-fold vs 1.6-fold) mRNAs (Fig EV3A–C), indicating that disruption of sams-1 and sams-5 did not affect transcriptional regulation of sams-3 or sams-4. Isoformspecific qPCR analysis confirmed divergence of the feeding-induced splicing change between the two strains (Fig EV3A and B). We also fed these worms in the presence of a substrate or a competitive inhibitor of SAM synthetase. The substrate L-Met had little effect (lanes 3 and 7) while the inhibitor cycloleucine (Lombardini & Talalay, 1970) slightly but significantly increased the proportions (lanes 4 and 8) and the amounts (Fig EV3A) of the productive isoform of sams-3 in either strains. These results indicated that SAM synthase activity regulates AS-NMD of the sams-3 and sams-4 genes.

To test whether increase in the amounts of the productive mRNA isoforms leads to increase in the amounts of SAMS proteins, we performed Western blot analysis with antibodies specific to each of the four SAMS proteins. The amounts of SAMS-3 and SAMS-4 remain constant throughout larval development in the smg-2 mutant, whereas they gradually increased in the smg-2; sams-5; sams-1 mutant (Fig 3B). Consistent upregulation of SAMS-3 and SAMS-4 mRNAs and proteins in the sams-1 mutant was observed in the wildtype background (Figs 3C and EV3D). These results indicated that homeostasis of SAM synthetase activity in C. elegans is maintained by negative feedback through AS-NMD of the sams genes.

#### mett-<sup>10</sup> regulates alternative splicing of the sams genes in vivo

Because SAM is the major donor of a methyl group for methyltransferases that methylate proteins, DNAs, RNAs, or lipids (Fontecave et al, 2004), we speculated that altered SAM synthetase activity affects alternative splicing of the sams genes through altered methylation levels of specific target molecule(s) for certain methyltransferase(s). We first analyzed histone methylation levels because some of histone marks are known to affect alternative splicing by recruiting splicing factors or by affecting the transcription elongation rate in mammals (Allo et al, 2009; Schor et al, 2009; Luco et al, 2010; Saint-Andre et al, 2011). Chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled with high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq) analyses of histone H3 with dimethylation on lysine 4 (H3K4me2), trimethylation on lysine 27 (H3K27me3) or trimethylation on lysine 36 (H3K36me3), however, did not reveal a significant difference at the alternatively spliced exon in the sams-3 or sams-4 locus between the smg-2 and smg-2; sams-5; sams-1 mutants (Appendix Fig S3), precluding the scenario.

We next speculated methylation of the sams pre-mRNAs themselves. Although the most abundant internal chemical modification in higher eukaryotic mRNAs is  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  (Wei et al, 1975), the C. elegans genome lacks orthologous genes for METTL3, METTL14, and other components of mammalian m<sup>6</sup>A methyltransferase complex that are responsible for the vast majority of the  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modifications (Cunningham et al, 2019; Arribere et al, 2020). Recently, it has been shown that another human methyltransferase METTL16 specifically catalyzes m<sup>6</sup>A modification in a consensus sequence UACAGARAA within a loop of six hairpin structures on 3'UTR of human MAT2A mRNA that is translated into SAM synthetase (Pendleton et al, 2017; Shima et al, 2017; Doxtader et al, 2018). We noticed that each of sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 pre-mRNAs potentially forms a hairpin structure with a loop sequence similar to the METTL16 consensus and that the potential  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  site is exactly at the invariant AG dinucleotide of the distal 3'SS that is specifically used for the productive mRNA isoform (Figs 4A and B and EV2). We therefore tested whether the METTL16 orthologue of C. elegans, METT-10 (Appendix Fig S4), is involved in the splicing regulation of sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 in vivo (Fig 4C). The proportions of the NMD isoforms upon feeding drastically decreased in a smg-2; mett-10 double mutant (lane 4) compared to those in the smg-2 single mutant (lane 2), indicating that mett-10 is required for shifting the splice site choice from the distal/productive to the proximal/unproductive 3'SSs upon feeding. We also found that the productive isoforms of sams-3 and sams-4 mRNAs are upregulated in a mett-10 single mutant (Fig EV4A) and SAMS-3 and SAMS-4 protein levels are higher in the mett-10 mutant (Fig EV4B) compared to the wildtype strain, confirming that mett-10 is required for negative regulation of sams-3 and sams-4 in the wild-type background.

### METT-10 specifically catalyzes the m<sup>6</sup>A modification at the distal 3'SS in vitro

We next asked whether C. elegans METT-10 can directly methylate the sams pre-mRNAs in vitro. We prepared a 127-nucleotide (nt) RNA spanning from intron 2 to exon 3 of the sams-3/sams-4 genes (Fig EV2) and performed in vitro methylation reactions with recombinant full-length METT-10 protein as well as methyltransferase domain of human METTL16. As expected, liquid chromatography (LC) coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) revealed that

**Figure 3. Expression of sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 is negatively regulated by SAM synthetase activity through alternative splicing.**<br>A Alternative splicing of sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 in sma-2 (vb979) and sma-2 (vb979):

- Alternative splicing of sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 in smg-2 (yb979) and smg-2 (yb979); sams-5 (ak147); sams-1 (ok2946) mutants. Synchronized L1 larvae of each strain were incubated in S-complete medium alone (lanes 1 and 5), with OP50 (OD<sub>600</sub> = 10.0) (lanes 2 and 6) and with OP50 supplemented with 25 mM L-Met (lanes 3 and 7) or 25 mM cycloleucine (cLeu) (lanes 4 and 8) for 3 h at 20°C. The splicing patterns were analyzed and presented as in Fig 2B ( $n = 3$ ).
- B, C Western blot analysis of SAMS-1, SAMS-3, and SAMS-4 during larval development in the smg-2 (yb979) (B) and wild-type (C) backgrounds. Genotypes of the worms are smg-2 (w) and smg-2; sams-5; sams-1 (s) in (B) and wild-type (w) and sams-1 (s) in (C). Synchronized L1 larvae of each strain were incubated with OP50 at 20°C and subjected to Western blot analysis at indicated time points. Anti-ß-tubulin (B) or Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining (C) was used as a loading control. Specificity of the antibodies is confirmed in Appendix Fig S12. Note that upregulation of SAMS-1 protein in the wild type during larval development in (C) is consistent with feeding-induced upregulation of sams-1 mRNA in Fig EV3D.



Figure 3.

these recombinant proteins specifically and efficiently methylated the adenine base within the AG dinucleotide at the distal 3'SS in a SAM-dependent manner (Fig 5A and B, Appendix Figs S5 and S6).

### Endogenous *sams* mRNAs have the m<sup>6</sup>A modification at the<br>distal 2<sup>/56</sup> distal 3′SS

We finally investigated m<sup>6</sup>A modification of endogenous sams mRNAs. The potential m<sup>6</sup>A modification site is at the end of intron 2 and is excised from the productive mRNA, whereas it is retained in all the NMD isoforms. RNA immunoprecipitation with an antibody specific to  $m^6A$  ( $m^6A$ -IP) followed by semi-quantitative RT– PCR revealed depletion of the productive mRNA and enrichment of all the NMD isoforms for sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 (Fig 6A). RT– qPCR analysis confirmed specific enrichment of the NMD isoforms (Fig 6B), consistent with the idea that the NMD isoforms have the m<sup>6</sup>A modification in the NMD-isoform-specific regions.

We further investigated  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modification of the endogenous sams mRNAs by utilizing our direct RNA sequencing data. For comparison, we prepared barcoded, unmodified and  $m<sup>6</sup>$ A-modified 161-nt sams-3/sams-4 and 163-nt sams-5 pre-mRNAs in vitro (see Methods for details; sequences are available in Fig EV2). Specific and efficient ( $> 97.5\%$ ) m<sup>6</sup>A modification at the AG dinucleotide of the distal 3'SS of the sams-3/sams-4 and sams-5 RNAs methylated with the METTL16 methyltransferase domain was confirmed by LC-MS/MS (Appendix Fig S7). These four RNAs were pooled and subjected to Nanopore direct RNA sequencing, which records ion current signals as an RNA strand passes through a nanopore (Garalde et al, 2018). The sams-3/sams-4 and sams-5 RNAs were successfully distinguished by standard base-calling and mapping. There was not a crucial difference, however, between unmodified and m<sup>6</sup>A-modified RNAs in mean and standard deviation of the fluctuating current and duration time at each nucleotide position (Fig 6C and D, Appendix Fig S8); the unmodified and  $m<sup>6</sup>A$ -modified sams-3/sams-4 RNAs were classified with only 64.58% of accuracy based only on the mean of the current at the  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  site (Fig 6D). We therefore conducted machine learning with a variety of algorithms to classify modification status of each of the sams RNA reads. Most of the thirteen algorithms tested successfully classified methylated test reads as "m<sup>6</sup>A-modified" with 86–90% sensitivity and unmethylated test reads as "unmodified" with 11–19% false positives (Figs 6E and EV5A). As expected, the means of the current and the standard deviations at and near the m<sup>6</sup>A modification site contributed to the successful classification as demonstrated by some of the algorithms (Figs 6F and EV5B–E). With these classifiers, 73–100% of the endogenous sams-3 or sams-4 mRNA isoforms carrying the

putative m<sup>6</sup>A modification site were classified as "m<sup>6</sup>A-modified" (Figs 6E and EV5A). These results indicated that most, if not all, of the NMD isoforms of the endogenous sams mRNAs have the  $m<sup>6</sup>A$ modification at the distal/productive 3'SS.

### **Discussion**

In the present study, we demonstrated that homeostasis of SAM synthetase in C. elegans is regulated at the pre-mRNA splicing level of the sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 genes through m<sup>6</sup>A modification at the invariant  $AG$  dinucleotide of the distal  $3'SS$  of intron  $2$  $(Fig 7A)$ . At low levels of SAM, the distal  $3'SS$  remains unmodified and is preferentially used as a splice acceptor for the productive mRNA, which is translated into active SAM synthetase proteins that eventually increase the SAM level. At excess concentrations of SAM, the methyltransferase METT-10 specifically catalyzes the m<sup>6</sup>A modification at the distal 3'SS, which leads to selection of the proximal 3'SS and production of the NMD isoforms.

This is the first demonstration that the  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modification at the 3'SS interferes with splicing in any organisms. The invariant AG dinucleotide at the 3'SS is recognized by a small subunit of an evolutionarily conserved heterodimeric protein complex U2 auxiliary factor (U2AF) (Merendino et al, 1999; Wu et al, 1999). In C. elegans, the consensus 3'SS sequence UUUUCAG is recognized by U2AF composed of the large subunit UAF-1 and the small subunit UAF-2 (Zorio & Blumenthal, 1999; Hollins et al, 2005). Crystal structure of the small subunit of U2AF binding to a 3'SS sequence has been solved only for the orthologue in fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe, U2AF23, in complex with a part the large subunit U2AF59 (Yoshida et al, 2015; Yoshida et al, 2020). It has also been demonstrated that  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modification of a 3'SS sequence  $5'$ -UUAGGU-3' at the position  $-2$  (UUm<sup>6</sup>AGGU) dramatically decreased affinity to the U2AF23 complex in vitro (Yoshida et al, 2020). To ask whether  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modification at the AG dinucleotide would also affect 3'SS recognition by C. elegans UAF-2, we modeled three-dimensional structure of UAF-2 binding to 5'-UAGGU-3' after its homology to S. pombe U2AF23 (Appendix Fig S9). The amino group of the adenine base at position  $-2$  that is methylated upon m6 A modification is embedded in a pocket on the surface of Zn finger domain 1 (Fig 7B) and is intimately surrounded by the identical residues as S. pombe U2AF23 forming the pocket (Yoshida et al, 2020), consistent with our finding that the  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modification at the invariant AG dinucleotide interferes with its use as the 3'SS (Fig 7A) even in the absence of a reader protein in C. elegans. As for the function of the m<sup>6</sup>A modification in alternative splicing regulation

Figure 4. mett-10 is required for effective production of NMD isoforms from the sams genes in vivo.<br>A Nucleotide sequence alignment of putative stem-loop structures in the C. elegans sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 genes toget the human MAT2A gene. Conserved residues are shaded in black. Loop, transition, and stem regions of the MAT2A hairpins (Doxtader et al, 2018) are boxed in magenta, green, and gray, respectively. An arrowhead indicates adenine bases in the MAT2A hairpins that are specifically modified into m<sup>6</sup>A by METTL16 in vitro (Shima et al, 2017).

B Schematic representation of the predicted hairpin structures in sams-3/sams-4 (left) and sams-5 (right) pre-mRNAs. The boundaries between intron 2 and exon 3 are indicated. Arrowheads indicate the m<sup>6</sup>A modification sites.

C Alternative splicing of sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 in smg-2 (yb979) and smg-2 (yb979); mett-10 (ok2204) mutants. Synchronized L1 larvae of each strain were incubated in S-complete medium alone (lanes 1 and 3) or with OP50 (lanes 2 and 4) for 3 h at 20°C, and the splicing patterns were analyzed and presented as in Fig 2B.



Figure 4.



Figure 5. METT-10 catalyzes m $^6$ A modification at the invariant AG dinucleotide of the distal 3'SS *in vitro*.

A Mass chromatograms of RNA fragments to detect m<sup>6</sup>A (top) or unmodified A (bottom) after in vitro incubation of 127-nt sams-3/sams-4 pre-mRNA (sequence available in Fig EV2) with recombinant full-length METT-10 protein in the presence (left) or absence (right) of 1 mM SAM. The sequence, m/z value, and charge state for each fragment are indicated on the right. Asterisks indicate non-specific signals.

B The negatively charged ions of RNase T1 fragment is decomposed in the instrument by collision-induced dissociation (CID) using helium gas. The product ions produced by CID are assigned on the sequence illustrated on the top right inset panel. Nomenclature of the product ions are described in the literature (McLuckey et al, 1992). Product ions of c and y series derive from the 5' and 3' termini of the fragment, respectively.



## Figure 6. Endogenous unproductive s*am*s mRNAs have m<sup>6</sup>A modification at the AG dinucleotide of the distal 3'SS.<br>

- A, B m<sup>6</sup>A-IP specifically enriched sams mRNA isoforms that retain the AG dinucleotide at the distal/productive 3'SS. (A) Representative gel-like images (n = 3) of semiquantitative RT–PCR analysis of sams-3, sams-4, and sams-5 in input and immunoprecipitated (IP) RNAs from the smg-2 (yb979) mutant. The splicing patterns were analyzed and presented as in Fig 2B. (B) Relative enrichment of total and each of mRNA isoforms compared to eef-1A.1 mRNA by RT–qPCR. Error bars indicate standard error of mean  $(n = 3)$ .
- C Examples of normalized Nanopore currents for unmodified and m<sup>6</sup>A-modified in-vitro transcribed sams-3/sams-4 RNAs at nucleotide positions -4 through +4 relevant to the m<sup>6</sup>A site. One hundred reads are plotted for each. Color codes are indicated.
- D Distribution of mean (top) and standard deviation (bottom) of normalized Nanopore currents at nucleotide positions -4 through +4 relevant to the m<sup>6</sup>A site for 5,000 of the unmodified and 2,680 of the m<sup>6</sup>A-modified sams-3/sams-4 RNAs and 85 of an endogenous sams-3 mRNA isoform E2/E3L. Color codes are indicated. Central bands represent the median, boxes represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers represent the lowest and highest values. A red line at position 0 in the top panel indicates a cutoff line (mean current = 1.7557) that discriminates between the unmodified and m<sup>6</sup>A-modified sams-3/sams-4 RNAs with accuracies of 64.58% for both.
- E Machine learning-based classification of sams RNA reads in Nanopore direct RNA sequencing data. The statistics in (D) as well as duration time of the Nanopore current at nucleotide positions  $-50$  through +50 of up to 80% of mapped reads from the unmodified and methylated *in vitro* transcribed sams-3/sams-4 and sams-5 RNAs were pooled and used for training with a machine learning algorithm Gradient Boosting and accuracy of the classifier was tested with 20% of the mapped reads. The classifier was also applied to reads identified as unproductive sams-3, sams-4, or sams-5 mRNA isoforms in the analysis of endogenous mRNAs shown in Fig 1. Numbers of reads used in the tests are indicated at the top; percentages of reads classified as "m<sup>6</sup>A-modified" are shown with color code.
- F Relative importance of the statistics at each position for Gradient Boosting.

of mammalian pre-mRNAs, conflicting results have been reported (Xiao et al, 2016; Ke et al, 2017b; Louloupi et al, 2018; Zhou et al, 2019), yet there is not a reported case where the invariant AG dinucleotide at the 3'SS has the m<sup>6</sup>A modification.

This study demonstrated that homeostasis of SAM in C. elegans is maintained by an indirect negative feedback loop relying on METT-10 that utilizes SAM to catalyze  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modification for AS-NMD of SAM synthetase pre-mRNAs (Fig 7A). In human cells, the mRNA level of the SAM synthetase gene MAT2A is also indirectly regulated by the SAM level and dual roles have been reported for METTL16 that methylates MAT2A mRNA on six hairpin structures in the 3'UTR; prolonged occupancy of METTL16 on the upstreammost hairpin due to lack of SAM promotes splicing of a retained intron to maturate the MAT2A mRNA (Pendleton et al, 2017), whereas m<sup>6</sup>A modification of the six hairpins by METTL16 leads to nuclear degradation of the mRNA via a nuclear reader protein YTHDC1 (Bresson et al, 2015; Shima et al, 2017). SAM is the major methyl-donor reagent in all living organisms and is also used as a source of methylene groups, amino groups, ribosyl groups, and aminopropyl groups (Fontecave et al, 2004). Altered SAM levels affect a variety of biological processes including longevity (Hansen et al, 2005), lipid homeostasis (Li et al, 2011; Walker et al, 2011), and immune response (Ding et al, 2015) in C. elegans as well as longevity in Drosophila (Obata & Miura, 2015), maintenance and differentiation of human-induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) (Shiraki et al, 2014), and osteoclast differentiation in the mouse





A A model for AS-NMD regulation of the sams genes in C. elegans. See text for details.

B Modeled structure of C. elegans UAF-2 binding to 5'-UAGGU-3'. The structure was modeled based on sequence homology to U2AF23 from S. pombe (Appendix Fig S9) and crystal structure of S. pombe U2AF23/U2AF59 complex bound to the RNA (Yoshida et al, 2020). N-terminal Zn finger 1 (ZnF1), U2AF homology motif (UHM), and Cterminal Zn finger 2 (ZnF2) domains are colored in blue, yellow and green, respectively. Red spheres indicate zinc ions. The position of the amino group methylated upon m<sup>6</sup>A modification ( $N^6$ ) is indicated with an arrowhead.

(Nishikawa et al, 2015). Autoregulation of SAM synthetase gene expression by alternative pre-mRNA splicing in C. elegans demonstrated in this study emphasizes the importance of maintaining the SAM level in eukaryotes.

We demonstrated that METT-10 as well as human METTL16 methyltransferase domain specifically methylated the adenine base

at the AG dinucleotide of the distal 3'SS of sams-3/sams-4 and sams-5 pre-mRNAs in vitro. A recent study on crystal structures of the METTL16 catalytic domain bound to the hairpins of the MAT2A mRNA revealed structural basis for recognition of the target RNAs (Doxtader et al, 2018). Most of the amino acid residues directly involved in recognition of the RNAs are conserved in METT-10

(Appendix Fig S4A). Each nucleotide in a UACAG stretch in the loop is directly recognized by METTL16 (Doxtader et al, 2018) and is perfectly conserved in the sams genes (Fig 4A). There is not a direct contact between METTL16 and the stem structures (Doxtader et al, 2018), consistent with less conserved sequences in the stem region (Fig 4A). The transition region between the loop and the stem is highly conserved among the human MAT2A hairpins (Fig 4A) and forms three unusual base pairs between GU and AGAA motifs (Doxtader et al, 2018). However, the sequence of the transition region is not well conserved in the sams genes (Fig 4A) and a key residue Arg200 that recognizes the transition region is not conserved in METT-10 (Appendix Fig S4A). Nevertheless, METTL16 as well as METT-10 can specifically target the AG dinucleotide of the sams pre-mRNAs (Fig 5 and Appendix Figs S5–S7). This is consistent with an idea that the transition region of the stem-loop is a key region to tune the methylation efficiency and not the target recognition based on previous findings that a G-to-A substitution in the latter motif or an R200Q substitution rather increased the in vitro methylation activity of METTL16 (Doxtader et al, 2018). Considering that regulation of sams gene expression is based on balanced competition between splicing and m<sup>6</sup>A modification (Fig 7A), it is reasonable to suggest that highly conserved nucleotide sequences flanking the distal as well as proximal 3'SSs of intron 2 (Appendix Fig S10) play critical roles in the genus Caenorhabditis. MAT2A and sams mRNAs are so far the only known mRNA targets for human METTL16 and C. elegans METT-10, respectively. Although the  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modification is very rare (0.0008%) in C. elegans mRNAs (Liberman et al, 2020), this study revealed the critical and specific role for the m<sup>6</sup>A modification in the mRNA metabolism. Quite recently, Mendel et al (2021) have reached essentially the same conclusion with distinct approaches.

We performed long-read direct RNA sequencing of  $poly(A)^+$ RNAs from L1 larvae of the smg-2 mutant of C. elegans and revealed full-length sequences of 3,056 splice variants that are not registered as gene models in WormBase including 1,532 variants with novel junctions and 1,963 putative NMD isoforms with PTCs. This result indicates that the long-read sequencing is a powerful tool to elucidate the full-length sequences of mRNAs and hence the repertoire of the isoforms.

We demonstrated that a metabolite SAM can negatively regulate expression of the SAM-synthesizing enzyme genes through AS-NMD for homeostasis. It is common in bacteria that metabolites directly and specifically bind to mRNAs to regulate their transcription and/ or translation for feedback regulation (Pavlova et al, 2019), including SAM (McDaniel et al, 2003; Winkler et al, 2003; Montange & Batey, 2006). This study identified many non-RBP genes whose expression may be regulated through AS-NMD in C. elegans (Fig 1E). mett-10 is among the genes whose novel isoforms were discovered in this study and have a putative PTC. We found that the PTC-containing isoform of mett-10 is stabilized in the smg-2 mutant (Appendix Fig S4B and C), confirming that mett-10 is also regulated by AS-NMD. Further studies on splicing regulation of such genes will elucidate further examples of gene expression control by environmental conditions at the pre-mRNA splicing level.

We conducted machine learning to classify  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modification status at a single specific position in individual reads for the sams mRNA isoforms from the direct Nanopore RNA sequencing data (Fig 6D) because the properties of the electric current were not so

much different between unmodified and m<sup>6</sup>A-modified RNAs prepared in vitro (Fig 6C). Sequencing with the Nanopore technology deconvolutes changes in the electric current as a single stranded RNA transverses a pore protein (Garalde et al, 2018). Since the measured current fluctuated even while the RNA strand stays in a given position, we considered not only the mean but also the standard deviation of the recorded electric current as well as the duration time at each position in the machine learning. Unbiased parameter tuning for higher accuracy revealed major contribution of the mean and the standard deviation of the electric current at the relevant positions (Figs 6E and EV5B–E), indicating that these features are useful for classifying the base modifications. The direct Nanopore RNA sequencing technology has recently been applied by other groups to detecting the  $m<sup>6</sup>A$  modifications. Liu et al (2019) reported that systematic errors and decreased base-calling qualities can predict m<sup>6</sup>A RNA modifications. Parker et al (2020) also reported higher base-calling error rates for an m<sup>6</sup>A-modified RNA than an unmodified RNA and identified  $> 17,000$  possible m<sup>6</sup>A modification sites with a motif DRAYH in mRNAs from a plant Arabidopsis thaliana by comparing the error rates for an  $m<sup>6</sup>A$ -writer mutant line to those for a complemented line. The differential error rate and base quality, however, were not evident in our direct RNA sequencing data for in vitro-modified sams-3/sams-4 or sams-5 RNAs (Appendix Fig S11), suggesting that the differential error rate analysis approach may be context-dependent. Lorenz et al developed a software MINES to detect m<sup>6</sup>A modifications in mammalian mRNAs de novo (Lorenz et al, 2020), yet it relies on rich data of verified m<sup>6</sup>A modification sites from cross-linking and immunoprecipitation with high-throughput sequencing (CLIP-seq) and a well-established motif DRACH for the METTL3/METTL14 complex (Linder et al, 2015; Ke et al, 2017b). Thus, classification of the base modification status at a given or new site in individual direct sequencing reads is still challenging and requires a high-quality training data set or a large number of reads with a writer mutant control.

### Materials and Methods

#### Worm strains

Genotypes of the strains used are summarized in Appendix Table S1. Some of the strains were obtained from Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) and National BioResource Project (NBRP), Japan. All worms were cultured at 20°C unless otherwise mentioned. KH2418: smg-2 (yb979); sams-1 (ok2946), KH2421: smg-2 (yb979); sams-5 (gk147); sams-1 (ok2946); and VC2428: sams-1 (ok2946) X were maintained in the presence of 20 mM choline chloride (Nacalai Tesque) (Walker et al, 2011).

#### Synchronized worm preparation

Gravid worms raised in S-complete medium (Lewis & Fleming, 1995) supplemented with an E. coli strain OP50 were bleached with standard bleaching solution (Lewis & Fleming, 1995). Embryos were harvested and washed three times with M9 buffer. The embryos were incubated in M9 buffer for 18 h (except for the smg-2; mett-10 mutant that was incubated for 24 h) with gentle agitation for hatching and the L1 larvae were harvested and washed with S-complete.

#### Direct RNA sequencing

Synchronized L1 larvae of KH1668: smg-2 (yb979) were fed with OP50 in S-complete medium supplemented with 4 mM 4-thiouracil (4TU) (Tokyo Chemical Industry) for 6 h with gentle agitation. The worms were harvested and washed with M9, and total RNAs were extracted from the whole animals by using RNeasy Plus Mini (QIAGEN) as described previously (Kuroyanagi et al, 2010; Kuroyanagi et al, 2013). Thio-labeled RNAs were purified essentially as described previously (Duffy et al, 2015) with minor modification; 4TU-labeled RNAs were biotinylated with MTSEA biotin-XX (Biotium) in 90% dimethylformamide at 10°C for 30 min and purified by using Streptavidin Mag Sepharose (GE Healthcare). Ribosomal RNAs were then removed with Ribo-Zero Gold rRNA Removal Kit (Epidemiology) (Illumina), and the remaining RNAs were subjected to direct RNA sequencing. Nanopore libraries were prepared from 500 ng RNAs and sequenced using SQK-RNA002 kit and FLO\_MIN107 flow cells on MinION of Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) according to manual instructions. Nanopore reads of Poly $(A)^+$  RNA from the smg-2 (yb979) mutant and in vitro transcribed sams RNAs were base-called using Guppy version 2.3.4 and 3.0.3 (ONT), respectively, with default RNA parameters. Demultiplexing reads of the in vitro methylated RNAs and unmodified RNAs was performed by using fast5\_subset (ONT) and in-house R scripts by checking 5′-GUCAUCCC-3′ (unmodified) and 5′-GUCAUGGG-3′ (m<sup>6</sup>A) sequences within 40 nt region from the 3'-end of the reads with 1 nt mismatch/indel allowance.

#### Transcript annotations from Nanopore data

Nanopore direct RNA sequencing reads for the smg-2 (yb979) mutant and those for N2 (Roach et al, 2020) were mapped to ce11 reference genome in WormBase [\(https://parasite.wormbase.org](https://parasite.wormbase.org)) by using minimap2 version 2.17 (Li, 2018) with "-x splice". For the N2 data, reads of all developmental stages were pooled before mapping. Full-length transcript annotations were generated from minimap2 bam outputs using spliced\_bam2gff and cluster\_gff in Pinfish version 0.1.0 (ONT) with settings "-c 3 -d 2 -e 30". The transcripts were collapsed using collapse\_partials in Pinfish with "-d 2 -e 30 -f 1000". The generated annotations were labeled with gene names in WormBase (WS271) using bedtools intersectBed version 2.27.1 (Quinlan & Hall, 2010). To filter truncated transcripts, annotations with  $5'$ -ends not within  $+/-100$  nt of annotated 5'-ends in WormBase (WS271) were discarded. Furthermore, artificial transcripts with splice junctions caused by base-calling error were filtered using supporting junction reads of Illumina RNA-seq data and annotated junctions in WormBase (WS271). The supporting junctions were generated from published Illumina RNA-seq data of N2 and the smg-2 mutants with DDBJ accession numbers DRX002713 (Kuroyanagi et al, 2013), DRX021821 and DRX021822 (Takei et al, 2016), SRX2516749, SRX2516750, SRX2516753, and SRX2516754 (Son et al, 2017). The Illumina reads were mapped to ce11 reference genome using STAR version 2.5.3a (Dobin et al, 2013) with options "--alignIntronMin 20 --alignIntronMax 10000 --alignMatesGapMax 10000". The STAR mapping outputs include a list of splice junctions. Transcripts containing not-supported, not-annotated junctions were discarded by in-house R and Python scripts.

#### Analysis of putative NMD isoforms using Nanopore data

Differential isoform analysis was performed on isoforms generated from pooled Nanopore data for N2 (Roach et al, 2020) and the smg-2 (yb979) mutant by using Pinfish in the same way as described above. The read number of each isoform was extracted by using Pinfish and compared between N2 and the smg-2 mutant by Fisher's exact tests with BH adjustment (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) to calculate FDR. Open reading frames (ORFs) in the isoforms were predicted by using predORF in systemPipeR version 3.10 (Backman & Girke, 2016) and the upstream-most ORFs were used. ORFs in isoforms deposited in WormBase (WS271) were re-defined in the same way. A premature termination codon (PTC) was annotated when the stop codon locates > 50 nt upstream from the last exon-exon junction. Gene ontology (GO) analysis was performed on smg-2-enriched PTC isoforms ( $\Delta\%_{smg\text{-}2\text{-}N2}$  > 10,  $P$  < 0.05), and smg-2-depleted PTC isoforms  $(\Delta\%_{smg-2-N2} < -10, P < 0.05)$  by using DAVID version 6.8 (Huang et al, 2009) with the genome background "Caenorhabditis elegans".

#### Total RNA extraction and RT–PCR

Synchronized L1 larvae were incubated in 400 µl of S-complete medium (Lewis & Fleming, 1995) in 1.5-ml non-stick tubes (Ambion) with continual agitation at 1,400 rpm on Thermomixer (Eppendorf). Worms were washed three times with M9 buffer, and total RNAs were extracted as described previously (Kuroyanagi et al, 2010; Kuroyanagi et al, 2013). RT–PCR was performed essentially as described previously (Kuroyanagi et al, 2010; Kuroyanagi et al, 2013). The PCR products were analyzed by using 2100 BioAnalyzer (Agilent Technologies). qPCR was performed with LightCycler 480 (Roche) and SYBR Premix DimerEraser (TaKaRa). Sequences of the primers used in the semi-quantitative RT–PCR and RT–qPCR experiments are available in Appendix Tables S2 and S3, respectively. Sequences of the PCR products were confirmed by direct sequencing or by cloning and sequencing.

#### Western blotting

Synchronized L1 larvae were incubated in 400 µl of S-complete medium (Lewis & Fleming, 1995) in 1.5-ml non-stick tubes (Ambion) with continual agitation at 1,400 rpm on Thermomixer (Eppendorf). Worm lysates were extracted from the synchronized worms, separated by neutral polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (NuPAGE, Invitrogen), and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Protran NC, Amersham). Western blotting was performed with 1∶1,000-diluted rabbit anti-SAMS antisera and 1∶1,000-diluted HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Pierce). Chemiluminescence signals (West Dura, Thermo or Western BLoT Ultra Sensitive HRP Substrate, Takara) were detected by using LAS4000 (GE Healthcare). The rabbit anti-SAMS-1, anti-SAMS-3, and anti-SAMS-4 antisera were raised with synthetic peptides KALKISPALLEKAKGNPI, DVELLKKIGGKTISNGN, and SADM-LAKSQGPAQPDV, respectively, by Sigma-Aldrich Japan.

#### ChIP-seq analysis of histone methylations

Synchronized L1 larvae of the smg-2 and smg-2; sams-5; sams-1 mutants were fed with OP50 in S-complete medium for 30 min. The

worms were then washed with M9, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ground to powder with mortar and pestle. The worm powder was treated with 1% formaldehyde at 20°C for 10 min, and cell nuclei were collected by centrifugation at 1,000 g. The chromatin was solubilized with Covaris M220 (Peak Incident Power = 75 W; Duty Factor =  $20\%$ ; Cycles Per Burst =  $200$ ; time =  $1,800$  s). Nucleosomes with modified histones were immunoprecipitated with mouse monoclonal antibodies (MABI0303, MABI0323, or MABI0333, MBL, Nagoya, Japan) and DNAs were purified with NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up (MACHEREY-NAGEL) after proteinase K digestion of proteins at 55°C and reverse crosslinking at 65°C overnight. Sequencing libraries were prepared with TruSeq ChIP Library Prep Kit (Illumina), and sequencing (Single End 50 bp) was performed with Hiseq3000 (Illumina). Low-quality reads were trimmed by using Trimmomatic version 0.36 (Bolger et al, 2014) with options "-phred33 LEADING:30 TRAILING:30 MINLEN:50". Mapping to the ce11 reference genome was done by using Bowtie2 version 2.4.1 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) with default settings. Differentially bound regions were detected by using csaw (Lun & Smyth, 2016). In the csaw analysis, the ce11 genome was binned into 200-bp windows with a step size of 100 bp. Normalized read counts of each window were compared between the smg-2 and smg-2; sams-5; sams-1 mutants. Significantly changed regions were selected as those windows with  $P < 0.01$ . Genome browser snapshots were generated by using Integrative Genomics Viewer (Robinson et al, 2011).

#### In vitro methylation

Recombinant His-tagged full-length METT-10 and human METTL16 catalytic domain were expressed in E. coli and purified with HisTrap and Capto SP cation exchange columns. A template for the 127-nt sams-3/sams-4 RNA was amplified from a synthetic oligonucleotide 5′-AACAATATGTTTCTTTACCTGTTACAACGGTTACTTTGATTACA GAAACGGTGACTAAAACGGGTATGAT-3′ with 5′-GCTAATACGACT CACTATAGGGAAACGGGGCTGAAGCTTATCGCAACAATATGTTTC TTTACCTGTTAC-3', and 5'-CAGCTTTCGAGGTGATCTCACCGCAC AACATGATCATACCCGTTTTAGTCACC-3′. The template DNA was transcribed in vitro with recombinant T7 RNA polymerase. In vitro methylation of the sams-3/sams-4 RNA was performed with 0.2  $\mu$ M recombinant protein and 2  $\mu$ M RNA in methylation buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 1 m DTT) with or without 1 mM SAM at 37 $\degree$ C for 3 h in a 50 µl reaction mixture.

#### Mass spectrometry

Identification of modified bases was performed essentially as described previously (Shima et al, 2017). Collision-induced dissociation (CID) spectrum analysis was performed as described previously (Shima et al, 2017).

#### Preparation of in-vitro methylated RNAs for direct RNA sequencing

sams-3/sams-4 and sams-5 genomic DNA fragments were amplified from N2 genomic DNA by using PrimeStar GXL (Takara). The sams-3/sams-4 and sams-5 DNA fragments were further amplified with a forward primer 5<sup>0</sup> -TAATACGACTCACT ATAGGGAAACGGGGCTGA AGC-3' (sams-3/sams-4) or 5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGTGAC AAGA GGAT GAAAC-3' (sams-5) and a barcoded reverse primer

50 -TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGGGGGGGGGATGACGTTTCGGACGAG AAC-3<sup>0</sup> (C9A20) or 5<sup>0</sup> -TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT TTTCCCCCCCCCAT GACGTTTCGGACGAGAAC-3' (G9A20) to attach a T7 promoter and a C9A20 or G9A20 tail. The four DNA fragments were utilized as templates for in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase, and the RNAs were purified with PAGE and gel extraction. The G9A20 tagged sams-3/sams-4 and sams-5 RNAs were subjected to methylation reaction with 30 µM of recombinant human METTL16 catalytic domain protein in the methylation buffer described above with 1 mM SAM at 37°C for 3 h in a 50 µl reaction mixture and were purified again with PAGE and gel extraction. The four RNA samples were then pooled and subjected to direct RNA sequencing.

### m<sup>6</sup>A RNA immunoprecipitation

Total RNAs were extracted from synchronized L1 larvae of the smg-2 mutant after feeding with OP50 in S-complete medium for 3 h. Immunoprecipitation of m<sup>6</sup>A RNA was performed by using Rabbit anti-m6 A antibody (ab151230, Abcam) and Dynabeads Protein A (Invitrogen). Antibody-bound magnetic beads were prepared by following manual instructions and washed with Binding buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40) three times. Total RNAs in 30  $\mu$ l of TE buffer were denatured for 5 min at 65°C, and then, the RNAs were added to the antibody-bound beads in 270 µl of Binding buffer and incubated on a mixer for 2 h at  $4^{\circ}$ C (40  $\mu$ g of total RNAs and 3  $\mu$ g of anti-m<sup>6</sup>A antibody per sample). After incubation, the beads were washed with Binding buffer twice and Wash buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 1 M NaCl, 1% NP-40) three times. Washed beads were suspended in 50 µl of RLT buffer (QIAGEN) and incubated on a mixer for 5 min at r.t. to elute the beads-bound RNAs. Finally, the eluted RNAs were purified by using RNA Clean & Concentrator-5 kit (Zymoresearch) and were subjected to semi-quantitative RT–PCR and RT–qPCR analysis.

## Classification of m<sup>6</sup>A-modified *sams* RNAs

Raw Nanopore current data for in vitro prepared RNAs and the endogenous mRNAs from the smg-2 mutant were mapped to sams transcript annotations generated by Pinfish using Tombo version 1.5 (ONT). Mean, standard deviation (STD), and duration time of the normalized current at each genomic position were extracted by using Tombo Python API. For machine learning, the data of the normalized current at positions from -50 through +50 relevant to the m<sup>6</sup>A site were used. Among 15,706 reads for the unmodified RNAs and 6,063 reads for the  $m<sup>6</sup>A$ -modified RNAs, up to 80% were used to train classifiers, and 20% were used for tests. The reads for the unmodified RNAs in the training data set were downsampled to the same number as those of the m<sup>6</sup>A-modified RNAs in order to prevent biased learning. To select the best performing models, we compared classifiers xgboost version 1.0.0 [\(https://github.com/](https://github.com/dmlc/xgboost) [dmlc/xgboost\)](https://github.com/dmlc/xgboost) (Chen & Guestrin, 2016) and LightGBM version 2.3.2 [\(https://github.com/microsoft/LightGBM\)](https://github.com/microsoft/LightGBM) (Ke et al, 2017a) and those in scikit-learn version 0.22 [\(https://github.com/scikit-learn/sc](https://github.com/scikit-learn/scikit-learn) [ikit-learn\)](https://github.com/scikit-learn/scikit-learn) (Pedregosa et al, 2011). Scikit-learn includes a variety of algorithms including Decision Tree, Random Forest, Logistic Regression, K-Nearest Neighbor, SVM, Linear Discriminant Analysis, Quadratic Discriminant Analysis, Multilayer Perceptron, Gaussian Naive Bayes, and Adaptive Boosting. Each classifier was tuned by

grid-search of hyperparameters by using hyperopt version 0.2.2 (<https://github.com/hyperopt/hyperopt>) (Bergstra et al, 2013).

#### Protein sequence analysis

Multiple sequence alignment with the Clustal V or W algorithm was performed by using a module MegAlign in Lasergene 15 (DNASTAR). Modeling of the three-dimensional structure of UAF-2 was performed by using Modeller 9.24 (Webb & Sali, 2016) after the structure of S. pombe U2AF23 in complex with U2AF59 and 5′-UAGGU-3′ (PDB Acc. No. 7C06). The 3D structures were analyzed and visualized with a module Protean 3D in Lasergene 17 (DNASTAR).

### Data availability

- RNA-Seq data: DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (DRA) DRX184564 [\(https://ddbj.nig.ac.jp/DRASearch/experiment?acc=DRX184564\)](https://ddbj.nig.ac.jp/DRASearch/experiment?acc=DRX184564).
- RNA-Seq data: DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (DRA) DRX184565 [\(https://ddbj.nig.ac.jp/DRASearch/experiment?acc=DRX184565\)](https://ddbj.nig.ac.jp/DRASearch/experiment?acc=DRX184565).
- Chip-Seq data: DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (DRA) DRA009050 [\(https://ddbj.nig.ac.jp/DRASearch/submission?acc=DRA009050](https://ddbj.nig.ac.jp/DRASearch/submission?acc=DRA009050)).
- Codes used in the sequence analysis: GitHub KUROYANAGI-Lab/ WATABE-SAMS [\(https://github.com/KUROYANAGI-Lab/WATABE-](https://github.com/KUROYANAGI-Lab/WATABE-SAMS)[SAMS\)](https://github.com/KUROYANAGI-Lab/WATABE-SAMS).
- Nucleotide sequence: DDBJ/ENA/GenBank LC603057 [\(http://gete](http://getentry.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/getentry/na/LC603057?filetype=html) [ntry.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/getentry/na/LC603057?filetype=html\)](http://getentry.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/getentry/na/LC603057?filetype=html).
- The materials generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from KUROYANAGI Hidehito ([hidehito@med.u-ryu](mailto:<lhword>hidehito@med.u-ryu<?tjl=20mm?><?tjl?><?hangover 0?>kyu.ac.jp</lhword>) [kyu.ac.jp](mailto:<lhword>hidehito@med.u-ryu<?tjl=20mm?><?tjl?><?hangover 0?>kyu.ac.jp</lhword>)).

Expanded View for this article is available [online.](https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2020106434)

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Monima Alam, Hiroshi Kurokawa, and Yohei Watanabe for their technical assistance in worm culture. We thank Terumi Horiuchi, Kotomi Imamura, and Kazumi Abe for their technical assistance in RNA sequencing. We thank Yutaka Muto of Musashino University and Eiji Obayashi of Shimane University for providing the atomic coordinates data of S. pombe U2AF23 bound to RNA. Some C. elegans strains were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC), which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). Some C. elegans strains were provided by National Bioresource Project for the Experimental Animal "Nematode C. elegans", which is funded by MEXT, Japan. Work in the Kuroyanagi laboratory was supported by JSPS/MEXT KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP20H04839, JP20H03181, JP17H03633, JP17H05596, JP15KK0252, JP15H01350, JP26291003, JP15H01467, JP25118506, JP24657116, and JP20112004, by JST PRESTO Grant Number 1D102 and by Nanken-Kyoten, TMDU. Work in the T. Suzuki laboratory was supported by JSPS/MEXT KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP26113003 and JP18H05272 and by JST ERATO Grant Number JPMJER2002. Work in the Y. Suzuki laboratory was supported JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16H06279 (PAGS). Work in the Fukamizu laboratory was supported by JSPS/MEXT KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP23116004, JP17H01519, and JP17K07746.

#### Author contributions

EW contributed to sequencing library preparation, bioinformatics analysis, RNA-IP, and Western blotting. MT-O and HK contributed to RT–PCR and RT– qPCR analysis. YI and TS contributed to in vitro methylation, and LC-MS/MS. SW, MK-A, SH, and ST contributed to preliminary data acquisition. KH and AF contributed to antibody preparation and validation. YS contributed to RNA and ChIP sequencing. HK organized the study and prepared worm strains. EW and HK wrote the manuscript.

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### References

- All o M, Buggiano V, Fededa JP, Petrillo E, Schor I, de la Mata M, Agirre E, Plass M, Eyras E, Elela SA et al (2009) Control of alternative splicing through siRNA-mediated transcriptional gene silencing. Nat Struct Mol Biol 16: 717 – 724
- Arribere JA, Kuroyanagi H, Hundley HA (2020) mRNA editing, processing and quality control in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 215: 531 – 568
- Backman TWH, Girke T (2016) systemPipeR: NGS workflow and report generation environment. BMC Bioinformatics 17: 388
- Barberan-Soler S, Lambert NJ, Zahler AM (2009) Global analysis of alternative splicing uncovers developmental regulation of nonsense-mediated decay in C. elegans. RNA 15: 1652 – 1660
- Barberan-Soler S, Zahler AM (2008) Alternative splicing regulation during C. elegans development: splicing factors as regulated targets. PLoS Genet 4: e1000001
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J Roy Stat Soc: Ser B 57: 289 – 300
- Bergstra J, Yamins D, Cox DD (2013) Making a science of model search: hyperparameter optimization in hundreds of dimensions for vision architectures. Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning - Volume 28; Atlanta, GA, USA. (MLR.org.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30: 2114 – 2120
- Bresson SM, Hunter OV, Hunter AC, Conrad NK (2015) Canonical poly(A) polymerase activity promotes the decay of a wide variety of mammalian nuclear RNAs. PLoS Genet 11: e1005610
- Celik A, Baker R, He F, Jacobson A (2017) High-resolution profiling of NMD targets in yeast reveals translational fidelity as a basis for substrate selection. RNA 23: 735 – 748
- Chapin A, Hu H, Rynearson SG, Hollien J, Yandell M, Metzstein MM (2014) In vivo determination of direct targets of the nonsense-mediated decay pathway in Drosophila. G3 4: 485 – 496
- Chen T, Guestrin C (2016) XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining; San Francisco, California, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Colombo M, Karousis ED, Bourquin J, Bruggmann R, Muhlemann O (2017) Transcriptome-wide identification of NMD-targeted human mRNAs reveals extensive redundancy between SMG6- and SMG7-mediated degradation pathways. RNA 23: 189 – 201
- Consortium CeS (1998) Genome sequence of the nematode C. elegans: a platform for investigating biology. Science 282: 2012 – 2018.
- Cunningham F, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Allen J, Amode M, Armean IM, Bennett R, Bhai J, Billis K, Boddu S et al (2019) Ensembl 2019. Nucleic Acids Res 47: D745 – D751

van Delft P, Akay A, Huber SM, Bueschl C, Rudolph KLM, Di Domenico T, Schuhmacher R, Miska EA, Balasubramanian S (2017) The profile and dynamics of RNA modifications in animals. ChemBioChem 18: 979 – 984

Ding W, Smulan LJ, Hou NS, Taubert S, Watts JL, Walker AK (2015) s-Adenosylmethionine levels govern innate immunity through distinct methylation-dependent pathways. Cell Metab 22: 633 – 645

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29: 15 – 21

Doxtader KA, Wang P, Scarborough AM, Seo D, Conrad NK, Nam Y (2018) Structural basis for regulation of METTL16, an S-adenosylmethionine homeostasis factor. Mol Cell 71: 1001 – 1011.e4

Duan HC, Wang Y, Jia G (2019) Dynamic and reversible RNA  $N^6$ methyladenosine methylation. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 10: e1507

Duffy EE, Rutenberg-Schoenberg M, Stark CD, Kitchen RR, Gerstein MB, Simon MD (2015) Tracking distinct RNA populations using efficient and reversible covalent chemistry. Mol Cell 59: 858 – 866

Fontecave M, Atta M, Mulliez E (2004) S-adenosylmethionine: nothing goes to waste. Trends Biochem Sci 29: 243 – 249

Frye M, Jaffrey SR, Pan T, Rechavi G, Suzuki T (2016) RNA modifications: what have we learned and where are we headed? Nat Rev Genet 17: 365 – 372

Garalde DR, Snell EA, Jachimowicz D, Sipos B, Lloyd JH, Bruce M, Pantic N, Admassu T, James P, Warland A et al (2018) Highly parallel direct RNA sequencing on an array of nanopores. Nat Methods 15: 201 – 206

Hamid FM, Makeyev EV (2014) Emerging functions of alternative splicing coupled with nonsense-mediated decay. Biochem Soc Trans 42: 1168 – 1173

Hansen M, Hsu AL, Dillin A, Kenyon C (2005) New genes tied to endocrine, metabolic, and dietary regulation of lifespan from a Caenorhabditis elegans genomic RNAi screen. PLoS Genet 1: 119 – 128

He F, Jacobson A (1995) Identification of a novel component of the nonsensemediated mRNA decay pathway by use of an interacting protein screen. Genes Dev 9: 437 – 454

Hollins C, Zorio DA, MacMorris M, Blumenthal T (2005) U2AF binding selects for the high conservation of the C. elegans 3' splice site. RNA 11: 248 – 253

- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA (2009) Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc 4: 44 – 57
- Huang H, Weng H, Chen J (2020a) The biogenesis and precise control of RNA m<sup>6</sup>A methylation. Trends Genet 36: 44-52

Huang H, Weng H, Chen J (2020b) m<sup>6</sup>A modification in coding and noncoding RNAs: roles and therapeutic implications in cancer. Cancer Cell 37:  $270 - 288$ 

Jangi M, Boutz PL, Paul P, Sharp PA (2014) Rbfox2 controls autoregulation in RNA-binding protein networks. Genes Dev 28: 637 – 651

Jangi M, Sharp PA (2014) Building robust transcriptomes with master splicing factors. Cell 159: 487 – 498

Jia G, Fu YE, Zhao Xu, Dai Q, Zheng G, Yang Y, Yi C, Lindahl T, Pan T, Yang Y-G et al (2011) N6-methyladenosine in nuclear RNA is a major substrate of the obesity-associated FTO. Nat Chem Biol 7: 885 – 887

Jumaa H, Nielsen PJ (1997) The splicing factor SRp20 modifies splicing of its own mRNA and ASF/SF2 antagonizes this regulation. EMBO J 16: 5077 – 5085

Kawashima T, Douglass S, Gabunilas J, Pellegrini M, Chanfreau GF (2014) Widespread use of non-productive alternative splice sites in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS Genet 10: e1004249

Ke G, Meng Q, Finley T, Wang T, Chen W, Ma W, Ye Q, Liu T-Y (2017a) LightGBM: A highly efficient gradient boosting decision tree. Adv Neural Inform Process Syst 30: 3146 – 3154

- Ke S, Pandya-Jones A, Saito Y, Fak JJ, Vagbo CB, Geula S, Hanna JH, Black DL, Darnell Jr JE, Darnell RB (2017b) m(6)A mRNA modifications are deposited in nascent pre-mRNA and are not required for splicing but do specify cytoplasmic turnover. Genes Dev 31: 990 – 1006
- Kishor A, Fritz SE, Hogg JR (2019) Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: the challenge of telling right from wrong in a complex transcriptome. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 10: e1548

Kurosaki T, Popp MW, Maquat LE (2019) Quality and quantity control of gene expression by nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 20:  $406 - 420$ 

Kuroyanagi H, Ohno G, Mitani S, Hagiwara M (2007) The Fox-1 family and SUP-12 coordinately regulate tissue-specific alternative splicing in vivo. Mol Cell Biol 27: 8612 – 8621

Kuroyanagi H, Ohno G, Sakane H, Maruoka H, Hagiwara M (2010) Visualization and genetic analysis of alternative splicing regulation in vivo using fluorescence reporters in transgenic Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Protoc 5: 1495 – 1517

Kuroyanagi H, Watanabe Y, Suzuki Y, Hagiwara M (2013) Position-dependent and neuron-specific splicing regulation by the CELF family RNA-binding protein UNC-75 in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nucleic Acids Res 41: 4015 – 4025

Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9: 357 – 359

Lareau LF, Brenner SE (2015) Regulation of splicing factors by alternative splicing and NMD is conserved between kingdoms yet evolutionarily flexible. Mol Biol Evol 32: 1072 – 1079

Lareau LF, Inada M, Green RE, Wengrod JC, Brenner SE (2007) Unproductive splicing of SR genes associated with highly conserved and ultraconserved DNA elements. Nature 446: 926 – 929

Lejeune F, Cavaloc Y, Stevenin J (2001) Alternative splicing of intron 3 of the serine/arginine-rich protein 9G8 gene. Identification of flanking exonic splicing enhancers and involvement of 9G8 as a trans-acting factor. *| Biol* Chem 276: 7850 – 7858

Lewis JA, Fleming JT (1995) Basic culture methods. In Epstein HF, Shakes DC (eds), Caenorhabditis elegans: modern biological analysis of an organism, Vol. 48, 1, pp 3-29. San Diego, CA: Academic Press

Li H (2018) Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 34: 3094 – 3100

Li Y, Na K, Lee HJ, Lee EY, Paik YK (2011) Contribution of sams-1 and pmt-1 to lipid homoeostasis in adult Caenorhabditis elegans. J Biochem 149: 529 – 538

Liberman N, O'Brown ZK, Earl AS, Boulias K, Gerashchenko MV, Wang SY, Fritsche C, Fady PE, Dong A, Gladyshev VN et al (2020) N6-adenosine methylation of ribosomal RNA affects lipid oxidation and stress resistance. Sci Adv 6: eaaz4370

Linder B, Grozhik AV, Olarerin-George AO, Meydan C, Mason CE, Jaffrey SR (2015) Single-nucleotide-resolution mapping of m6A and m6Am throughout the transcriptome. Nat Methods 12: 767 – 772

Liu H, Begik O, Lucas MC, Ramirez JM, Mason CE, Wiener D, Schwartz S, Mattick JS, Smith MA, Novoa EM (2019) Accurate detection of m(6)A RNA modifications in native RNA sequences. Nat Commun 10: 4079

Liu J, Yue Y, Han D, Wang X, Fu Y, Zhang L, Jia G, Yu M, Lu Z, Deng X et al (2014) A METTL3-METTL14 complex mediates mammalian nuclear RNA N6-adenosine methylation. Nat Chem Biol 10: 93 – 95

Liu N, Dai Q, Zheng G, He C, Parisien M, Pan T (2015) N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosinedependent RNA structural switches regulate RNA-protein interactions. Nature 518: 560 – 564

Lombardini JB, Talalay P (1970) Formation, functions and regulatory importance of S-adenosyl-L-methionine. Adv Enzyme Regul 9: 349 – 384

- Lorenz DA, Sathe S, Einstein JM, Yeo GW (2020) Direct RNA sequencing enables m<sup>6</sup>A detection in endogenous transcript isoforms at base-specific resolution. RNA 26: 19 – 28
- Louloupi A, Ntini E, Conrad T, Orom UAV (2018) Transient N-6 methyladenosine transcriptome sequencing reveals a regulatory role of m6A in splicing efficiency. Cell Rep 23: 3429 – 3437
- Luco RF, Pan Q, Tominaga K, Blencowe BJ, Pereira-Smith OM, Misteli T (2010) Regulation of alternative splicing by histone modifications. Science 327: 996 – 1000
- Lun AT, Smyth GK (2016) csaw: a Bioconductor package for differential binding analysis of ChIP-seq data using sliding windows. Nucleic Acids Res 44: e45
- McDaniel BA, Grundy FJ, Artsimovitch I, Henkin TM (2003) Transcription termination control of the S box system: direct measurement of Sadenosylmethionine by the leader RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 3083 – 3088
- McGlincy NJ, Tan LY, Paul N, Zavolan M, Lilley KS, Smith CW (2010) Expression proteomics of UPF1 knockdown in HeLa cells reveals autoregulation of hnRNP A2/B1 mediated by alternative splicing resulting in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. BMC Genom 11: 565
- McLuckey SA, Van Berkel GJ, Glish GL (1992) Tandem mass spectrometry of small, multiply charged oligonucleotides. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 3:  $60 - 70$
- Mendel M, Delaney K, Pandey RR, Chen KM, Wenda JM, Vagbo CB, Steiner FA, Homolka D, Pillai RS (2021) Splice site m(6)A methylation prevents binding of U2AF35 to inhibit RNA splicing. Cell 184: 1-18
- Merendino L, Guth S, Bilbao D, Martinez C, Valcarcel J (1999) Inhibition of  $msl-2$  splicing by Sex-lethal reveals interaction between U2A $F<sup>35</sup>$  and the 3' splice site AG. Nature 402: 838 – 841
- Montange RK, Batey RT (2006) Structure of the S-adenosylmethionine riboswitch regulatory mRNA element. Nature 441: 1172 – 1175
- Muir VS, Gasch AP, Anderson P (2018) The substrates of nonsense-mediated mRNA Decay in Caenorhabditis elegans. G3 8: 195 – 205
- Ni JZ, Grate L, Donohue JP, Preston C, Nobida N, O'Brien G, Shiue L, Clark TA, Blume JE, Ares Jr M (2007) Ultraconserved elements are associated with homeostatic control of splicing regulators by alternative splicing and nonsense-mediated decay. Genes Dev 21: 708 – 718
- Nilsen TW, Graveley BR (2010) Expansion of the eukaryotic proteome by alternative splicing. Nature 463: 457 – 463
- Nishikawa K, Iwamoto Y, Kobayashi Y, Katsuoka F, Kawaguchi S-I, Tsujita T, Nakamura T, Kato S, Yamamoto M, Takayanagi H et al (2015) DNA methyltransferase 3a regulates osteoclast differentiation by coupling to an S-adenosylmethionine-producing metabolic pathway. Nat Med 21:  $281 - 287$
- Obata F, Miura M (2015) Enhancing S-adenosyl-methionine catabolism extends Drosophila lifespan. Nat Commun 6: 8332
- Parker MT, Knop K, Sherwood AV, Schurch NJ, Mackinnon K, Gould PD, Hall AJ, Barton GJ, Simpson GG (2020) Nanopore direct RNA sequencing maps the complexity of Arabidopsis mRNA processing and m6A modification. Elife 9: e49658
- Pavlova N, Kaloudas D, Penchovsky R (2019) Riboswitch distribution, structure, and function in bacteria. Gene 708: 38 – 48
- Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, Blondel M, Prettenhofer P, Weiss R, Dubourg V et al (2011) Scikit-learn: machine learning in python. J Mach Learn Res 12: 2825 - 2830
- Pendleton KE, Chen B, Liu K, Hunter OV, Xie Y, Tu BP, Conrad NK (2017) The U6 snRNA m<sup>6</sup>A Methyltransferase METTL16 regulates SAM synthetase intron retention. Cell 169: 824 – 835
- Ping X-L, Sun B-F, Wang Lu, Xiao W, Yang X, Wang W-J, Adhikari S, Shi Y, Lv Y, Chen Y-S et al (2014) Mammalian WTAP is a regulatory subunit of the RNA N6-methyladenosine methyltransferase. Cell Res 24: 177 – 189
- Pulak R, Anderson P (1993) mRNA surveillance by the Caenorhabditis elegans smg genes. Genes Dev 7: 1885 – 1897
- Quinlan AR, Hall IM (2010) BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics 26: 841 – 842
- Ramani AK, Calarco JA, Pan Q, Mavandadi S, Wang Y, Nelson AC, Lee LJ, Morris Q, Blencowe BJ, Zhen M et al (2011) Genome-wide analysis of alternative splicing in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genome Res 21: 342 – 348
- Ramani AK, Nelson AC, Kapranov P, Bell I, Gingeras TR, Fraser AG (2009) High resolution transcriptome maps for wild-type and nonsense-mediated decay-defective Caenorhabditis elegans. Genome Biol 10: R101
- Roach NP, Sadowski N, Alessi AF, Timp W, Taylor J, Kim JK (2020) The fulllength transcriptome of C. elegans using direct RNA sequencing. Genome Res 30: 299 – 312
- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP (2011) Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol 29: 24 – 26
- Roundtree IA, Luo G-Z, Zhang Z, Wang X, Zhou T, Cui Y, Sha J, Huang X, Guerrero L, Xie P et al (2017) YTHDC1 mediates nuclear export of N6 methyladenosine methylated mRNAs. Elife 6: e31311
- Saint-Andre V, Batsche E, Rachez C, Muchardt C (2011) Histone H3 lysine 9 trimethylation and HP1 $\gamma$  favor inclusion of alternative exons. Nat Struct Mol Biol 18: 337 – 344
- Schor IE, Rascovan N, Pelisch F, Allo M, Kornblihtt AR (2009) Neuronal cell depolarization induces intragenic chromatin modifications affecting NCAM alternative splicing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106: 4325 – 4330
- Schwartz S, Mumbach M, Jovanovic M, Wang T, Maciag K, Bushkin G, Mertins P, Ter-Ovanesyan D, Habib N, Cacchiarelli D et al (2014) Perturbation of m6A writers reveals two distinct classes of mRNA methylation at internal and 5' sites. Cell Rep 8: 284 – 296
- Shi H, Wei J, He C (2019) Where, when, and how: context-dependent functions of RNA methylation writers, readers, and erasers. Mol Cell 74:  $640 - 650$
- Shima H, Matsumoto M, Ishigami Y, Ebina M, Muto A, Sato Y, Kumagai S, Ochiai K, Suzuki T, Igarashi K (2017) S-adenosylmethionine synthesis is regulated by selective N<sup>6</sup>-adenosine methylation and mRNA degradation involving METTL16 and YTHDC1. Cell Rep 21: 3354 – 3363
- Shiraki N, Shiraki Y, Tsuyama T, Obata F, Miura M, Nagae G, Aburatani H, Kume K, Endo F, Kume S (2014) Methionine metabolism regulates maintenance and differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells. Cell Metab 19: 780 – 794
- Sibley CR (2014) Regulation of gene expression through production of unstable mRNA isoforms. Biochem Soc Trans 42: 1196 – 1205
- Son HG, Seo M, Ham S, Hwang W, Lee D, An SWA, Artan M, Seo K, Kaletsky R, Arey RN et al (2017) RNA surveillance via nonsense-mediated mRNA decay is crucial for longevity in daf-2/insulin/IGF-1 mutant C. elegans. Nat Commun 8: 14749
- Sun Y, Bao Y, Han W, Song F, Shen X, Zhao J, Zuo Ji, Saffen D, Chen W, Wang Z et al (2017) Autoregulation of RBM10 and cross-regulation of RBM10/ RBM5 via alternative splicing-coupled nonsense-mediated decay. Nucleic Acids Res 45: 8524 – 8540
- Sureau A, Gattoni R, Dooghe Y, Stevenin J, Soret J (2001) SC35 autoregulates its expression by promoting splicing events that destabilize its mRNAs. EMBO J 20: 1785 – 1796
- Tabrez SS, Sharma RD, Jain V, Siddiqui AA, Mukhopadhyay A (2017) Differential alternative splicing coupled to nonsense-mediated decay of mRNA ensures dietary restriction-induced longevity. Nat Commun 8: 306
- Takei S, Togo-Ohno M, Suzuki Y, Kuroyanagi H (2016) Evolutionarily conserved autoregulation of alternative pre-mRNA splicing by ribosomal protein L10a. Nucleic Acids Res 44: 5585 – 5596
- Tamiya H, Hirota K, Takahashi Y, Daitoku H, Kaneko Y, Sakuta G, Iizuka K, Watanabe S, Ishii N, Fukamizu A (2013) Conserved SAMS function in regulating egg-laying in C. elegans. J Recept Sig Transd 33: 56 – 62
- Tourasse NJ, Millet JRM, Dupuy D (2017) Quantitative RNA-seq meta-analysis of alternative exon usage in C. elegans. Genome Res 27: 2120 – 2128
- Turunen JJ, Verma B, Nyman TA, Frilander MJ (2013) HnRNPH1/H2, U1 snRNP, and U11 snRNP cooperate to regulate the stability of the U11–48K premRNA. RNA 19: 380 – 389
- Ule J, Blencowe BJ (2019) Alternative splicing regulatory networks: functions, mechanisms, and evolution. Mol Cell 76: 329 – 345
- Walker A, Jacobs RL, Watts J, Rottiers V, Jiang K, Finnegan D, Shioda T, Hansen M, Yang F, Niebergall L et al (2011) A conserved SREBP-1/ phosphatidylcholine feedback circuit regulates lipogenesis in metazoans. Cell 147: 840 – 852
- Wang X, Zhao BS, Roundtree IA, Lu Z, Han D, Ma H, Weng X, Chen K, Shi H, He C (2015)  $N^6$ -methyladenosine modulates messenger RNA translation efficiency. Cell 161: 1388 – 1399
- Wani S, Kuroyanagi H (2017) An emerging model organism Caenorhabditis elegans for alternative pre-mRNA processing in vivo. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 8: e1428
- Webb B, Sali A (2016) Comparative protein structure modeling using MODELLER. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics 54: 5.6.1-5.6.37
- Wei CM, Gershowitz A, Moss B (1975) Methylated nucleotides block 5' terminus of HeLa cell messenger RNA. Cell 4: 379 – 386
- Winkler WC, Nahvi A, Sudarsan N, Barrick JE, Breaker RR (2003) An mRNA structure that controls gene expression by binding S-adenosylmethionine. Nat Struct Biol 10: 701 – 707
- Wu S, Romfo CM, Nilsen TW, Green MR (1999) Functional recognition of the 3' splice site AG by the splicing factor U2AF<sup>35</sup>. Nature 402: 832-835
- Xiao W, Adhikari S, Dahal U, Chen Y-S, Hao Y-J, Sun B-F, Sun H-Y, Li A, Ping X-L, Lai W-Y *et al* (2016) Nuclear m<sup>6</sup>A reader YTHDC1 regulates mRNA splicing. Mol Cell 61: 507 – 519
- Yoshida H, Park S-Y, Oda T, Akiyoshi T, Sato M, Shirouzu M, Tsuda K, Kuwasako K, Unzai S, Muto Y et al (2015) A novel 3' splice site recognition by the two zinc fingers in the U2AF small subunit. Genes Dev 29: 1649 – 1660
- Yoshida H, Park SY, Sakashita G, Nariai Y, Kuwasako K, Muto Y, Urano T, Obayashi E (2020) Elucidation of the aberrant 3' splice site selection by cancer-associated mutations on the U2AF1. Nat Commun 11: 4744
- Zaccara S, Ries RJ, Jaffrey SR (2019) Reading, writing and erasing mRNA methylation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 20: 608 – 624
- Zheng G, Dahl J, Niu Y, Fedorcsak P, Huang C-M, Li C, Vågbø C, Shi Y, Wang W-L, Song S-H et al (2013) ALKBH5 is a mammalian RNA demethylase that impacts RNA metabolism and mouse fertility. Mol Cell 49: 18 – 29
- Zhou KI, Shi H, Lyu R, Wylder AC, Matuszek Z, Pan JN, He C, Parisien M, Pan T (2019) Regulation of co-transcriptional pre-mRNA splicing by m<sup>6</sup>A through the low-complexity protein hnRNPG. Mol Cell 76:  $70 - 81.69$
- Zorio DA, Blumenthal T (1999) Both subunits of U2AF recognize the 3' splice site in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 402: 835 – 838