

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Am J Kidney Dis.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 July 16.

Published in final edited form as:

Am J Kidney Dis. 2020 December ; 76(6): 826–841.e1. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.05.015.

Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin Measured on Clinical Laboratory Platforms for the Prediction of Acute Kidney Injury and the Associated Need for Dialysis Therapy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Christian Albert,

University Clinic for Cardiology and Angiology, Medical Faculty, Otto-von-Guericke University

Diaverum Renal Services Germany, Potsdam

Antonia Zapf,

Department of Medical Biometry and Epidemiology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg-Eppendorf

Michael Haase,

Faculty of Medicine, Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg

Christian Röver,

Department of Medical Statistics, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

John W. Pickering,

Department of Medicine, University of Otago Christchurch; Emergency Department, Christchurch Hospital, Christchurch, New Zealand

Annemarie Albert,

Diaverum Renal Services Germany, Potsdam

Department for Nephrology and Endocrinology, Klinikum Ernst von Bergmann, Potsdam, Germany

Rinaldo Bellomo,

Department of Intensive Care, The Austin Hospital

Centre for Integrated Critical Care, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

Tobias Breidthardt,

Address for Correspondence: Christian Albert, MD, Diaverum Renal Services Germany, MVZ Am Neuen Garten 11, 14469, Potsdam, Germany, christian.albert@diaverum.com.

Authors' Contributions: Research idea and study design: CA, MH, AHF; data acquisition and individual-study data reassessment: CA, MH, JWP, AA, RB, TB, FC, ZC, SC, DC, HDG, PD, SDS, KD, ZHE, MGA, PBH, MH, GK, CK, HK, PL, CL, ML, JM, CM, SN, TLN, CP, CR, GRD, AR, KS, AH-F; data analysis/interpretation: CA, MH, JWP, AA, RB, TB, FC, ZC, SC, DC, HDG, PD, SDS, KD, ZHE, MGA, PBH, MH, GK, CK, HK, PL, CL, ML, JM, CM, SN, TLN, CP, CR, GRD, AR, KS, AH-F; aggregate data acquisition: CA, MH, JWP, AA, RB, TB, FC, ZC, SC, DC, HDG, PD, SDS, KD, ZHE, MGA, PBH, MH, GK, CK, HK, PL, CL, ML, JM, CM, SN, TLN, CP, CR, GRD, AR, KS, RBD, AH-F; aggregate data acquisition: CA, MH, AA, AH-F; aggregate data statistical analysis: AZ, CR, CA, JWP, JH; supervision or mentorship: MH, AH-F, RB. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and agrees to be personally accountable for the individual's own contributions and to ensure that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work, even one in which the author was not directly involved, are appropriately investigated and resolved, including with documentation in the literature if appropriate.

Data Sharing: The data sets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Department of Nephrology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Fabrice Camou,

Service de réanimation médicale, hôpital Saint-André, CHU de Bordeaux, France

Zhongquing Chen,

Department of Critical Care Medicine, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangdong, China

Sidney Chocron,

Department of Thoracic and Cardio-Vascular Surgery, University Hospital Jean Minjoz, Besançon, France

Dinna Cruz,

Division of Nephrology–Hypertension, University of California, San Diego, CA

Hilde R.H. de Geus,

Department of Intensive Care, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Prasad Devarajan,

Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH

Salvatore Di Somma,

Emergency Medicine, Department of Medical-Surgery Sciences and Translational Medicine, Sapienza' University of Rome S. Andrea Hospital, Rome, Italy

Kent Doi,

Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Zoltan H. Endre,

Department of Nephrology, Prince of Wales Hospital and Clinical School, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

Mercedes Garcia-Alvarez,

Department of Anesthesiology, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

Peter B. Hjortrup,

Department of Intensive Care, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

Mina Hur,

Diaverum Renal Services Germany, Potsdam

Department of Laboratory Medicine, Konkuk University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Georgios Karaolanis,

Vascular Unit, First Department of Surgery, "Laiko" General Hospital, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Cemil Kavalci,

Emergency Department, Baskent University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

Hanah Kim,

Department of Laboratory Medicine, Konkuk University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Paolo Lentini,

Department of Nephrology and Dialysis, San Bassiano Hospital, Bassano del Grappa, Italy

Christoph Liebetrau,

Department of Cardiology, Kerckhoff Clinic, Bad Nauheim, Germany

Miklós Lipcsey,

CIRRUS, Hedenstierna laboratory, Anaesthesiology and Intensive care, Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala

Johan Mårtensson,

Section of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Christian Müller,

Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Department of Nephrology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Serafim Nanas,

First Critical Care Department, 'Evangelismos' General Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Thomas L. Nickolas,

Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY

Chrysoula Pipili,

First Critical Care Department, 'Evangelismos' General Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Claudio Ronco,

Nephrology Dialysis & Transplantation, University of Padova

International Renal Research Institute, San Bortolo Hospital, Vicenza, Italy

Guillermo J. Rosa-Diez,

Department of Nephrology, Dialysis and Transplantation, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Azrina Ralib,

Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, International Islamic University Malaysia, Pahang, Malaysia

Karina Soto,

Department of Nephrology, Hospital Fernando Fonseca

CEAUL, Centro de Estatística e Aplicações da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

Rüdiger C. Braun-Dullaeus,

University Clinic for Cardiology and Angiology, Medical Faculty, Otto-von-Guericke University

Judith Heinz,

Department of Medical Statistics, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

Anja Haase-Fielitz,

Department of Cardiology, Immanuel Diakonie Bernau, Heart Center Brandenburg, Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

The NGAL Meta-analysis Investigator Group

Abstract

Rationale & Objective: The usefulness of measures of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) in urine or plasma obtained on clinical laboratory platforms for predicting acute kidney injury (AKI) and AKI requiring dialysis (AKI-D) has not been fully evaluated. We sought to quantitatively summarize published data to evaluate the value of urinary and plasma NGAL for kidney risk prediction.

Study Design: Literature-based meta-analysis and individual-study-data meta-analysis of diagnostic studies following PRISMA-IPD guidelines.

Setting & Study Populations: Studies of adults investigating AKI, severe AKI, and AKI-D in the setting of cardiac surgery, intensive care, or emergency department care using either urinary or plasma NGAL measured on clinical laboratory platforms.

Selection Criteria for Studies: PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Scopus, and congress abstracts ever published through February 2020 reporting diagnostic test studies of NGAL measured on clinical laboratory platforms to predict AKI.

Data Extraction: Individual-study-data meta-analysis was accomplished by giving authors data specifications tailored to their studies and requesting standardized patient-level data analysis.

Analytical Approach: Individual-study-data meta-analysis used a bivariate time-to-event model for interval-censored data from which discriminative ability (AUC) was characterized. NGAL cutoff concentrations at 95% sensitivity, 95% specificity, and optimal sensitivity and specificity were also estimated. Models incorporated as confounders the clinical setting and use versus nonuse of urine output as a criterion for AKI. A literature-based meta-analysis was also performed for all published studies including those for which the authors were unable to provide individual-study data analyses.

Results: We included 52 observational studies involving 13,040 patients. We analyzed 30 data sets for the individual-study-data meta-analysis. For AKI, severe AKI, and AKI-D, numbers of events were 837, 304, and 103 for analyses of urinary NGAL, respectively; these values were 705, 271, and 178 for analyses of plasma NGAL. Discriminative performance was similar in both meta-analyses. Individual-study-data meta-analysis AUCs for urinary NGAL were 0.75 (95% CI, 0.73–0.76) and 0.80 (95% CI, 0.79–0.81) for severe AKI and AKI-D, respectively; for plasma NGAL, the corresponding AUCs were 0.80 (95% CI, 0.79–0.81) and 0.86 (95% CI, 0.84–0.86). Cutoff

concentrations at 95% specificity for urinary NGAL were >580 ng/mL with 27% sensitivity for severe AKI and >589 ng/mL with 24% sensitivity for AKI-D. Corresponding cutoffs for plasma NGAL were >364 ng/mL with 44% sensitivity and >546 ng/mL with 26% sensitivity, respectively.

Limitations: Practice variability in initiation of dialysis. Imperfect harmonization of data across studies.

Conclusions: Urinary and plasma NGAL concentrations may identify patients at high risk for AKI in clinical research and practice. The cutoff concentrations reported in this study require prospective evaluation.

Graphical Abstract



Acute kidney injury (AKI) is common and associated with severely increased morbidity and mortality.¹ Current clinical practice guidelines have allocated high priority to risk assessment of AKI performed by clinicians caring for acutely ill patients.² However, current routine biological kidney markers such as serum creatinine (Scr) level and urine output often delay the diagnosis and possible treatment of AKI given that these markers indicate kidney filtration function, which is affected relatively late in the course of kidney injury.³

Markers of kidney tubular damage⁴ and cellular stress⁵ may improve AKI risk prediction.^{6,7} Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) is one of the recently intensely investigated kidney biomarkers indicating early structural damage and patients' kidney prognosis.^{8–10} An increasing number of studies measuring NGAL concentrations on clinical laboratory platforms are available,^{4,11,12} with a previous meta-analysis pointing toward more accurate AKI risk adjudication using such platforms compared with NGAL measured on research assays.⁴ However, meta-analytic data for urine and plasma cutoff concentrations to assist decision making in research and practice are not yet available. A novel meta-analysis would need to address confounders of available individual diagnostic studies being able to provide statistical evaluation beyond the area under the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC).^{13,14}

To address these issues, we performed both a systematic meta-analysis of the literature and individual-study-data meta-analysis of prospective clinical studies using clinical laboratory

platforms for measurement of NGAL in urine or plasma for the prediction of AKI, severe AKI, or AKI requiring dialysis (AKI-D). Using aggregated reanalyzed individual study data, this meta-analysis aimed at standardized and multidimensional assessment of cutoff concentrations at 95% sensitivity, optimal combination of sensitivity/specificity, and 95% specificity for these outcome measures.

Methods

Study aims, search strategy, data extraction, and data synthesis were registered with the International Database of Prospectively Registered Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; registration number CRD42016042735). The Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Individual Participant Data (PRISMA-IPD) guidelines were adhered to.¹⁵ Selection of studies was restricted to diagnostic test studies of adult humans investigating AKI or kidney replacement therapy (KRT) in the setting of critical illness related to cardiac surgery or admission to emergency department or intensive care unit using either urinary or plasma NGAL measured on clinical laboratory platforms. Unpublished studies were not included. Extensive methodology of data sourcing, search strategy, and the process of study selection, data extraction, and quality assessment are provided in Item S1.

The individual-study-data meta-analysis used custom-made standardized data sheets requesting data reanalysis on the patient level by the authors of original diagnostic test studies. In brief, authors of relevant studies were requested to exclude patients with known AKI or KRT at admission or NGAL measurement within 24 hours before the diagnosis of AKI or KRT initiation from the evaluation. For each study, the following prespecified NGAL indexes were then recalculated for each outcome measure (separately by specimen type [urine vs plasma]):

- Cutoff concentrations at 3 standardized points on the summary ROC curve, specifically:
 - the cutoff concentration with 95% sensitivity and corresponding specificity,
 - the Youden index (which gives equal weight to sensitivity and specificity),
 - and the cutoff concentration with 95% specificity and corresponding sensitivity;
- Corresponding rates of true-positives, false-positives, false-negatives, and truenegatives, as well as linked risk assessment variables positive- and negative likelihood ratio and diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) within each study with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs)
- The paired sensitivity and specificity (with 95% CI) for NGAL cutoff concentrations derived from individual ROC curves.

The individual-study-level data received from all participating study authors were then pooled and meta-analyzed. Data from studies not providing individual study data were included in the literature-based meta-analysis only.

Study Outcome Measures

The meta-analysis was performed for 3 outcome measures (end points) separately for urine and plasma specimens: AKI, severe AKI (defined as AKI Network [AKIN] or KDIGO [Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes] stages 2 and 3 or RIFLE [risk, injury, failure, loss of kidney function and end-stage kidney disease] stages I [injury] or F [failure]), and AKI requiring dialysis (AKI-D). Predefined subgroup analyses were performed for potential confounders, including patient clinical setting and use/nonuse of urine output criterion for the classification of AKI. To investigate the presence of a potential selection bias, we performed subgroup analyses separately for studies providing and not providing reanalyzed individual study data and graphically displayed the diagnostic accuracy in the subgroups.

Definition of AKI

For the literature-based meta-analysis, estimates were reported separately for each AKI definition (ie, AKIN, RIFLE, and KDIGO). Previous meta-analyses have pooled various definitions of AKI, introducing inherent bias.¹³ Therefore, the patient-level data were reanalyzed according to a standardized consensus AKI definition classified by severity according to the R (risk), I (injury), or F (failure) RIFLE criteria based on increases in Scr level from baseline within 7 days, as well as urine output criteria if available.¹⁶

Statistical Analysis

Literature-based meta-analysis was performed based on estimated AUC values and standard errors, derived from reported CI widths or using Hanley's method¹⁷ when indicated. We used random-effects models and Mandel-Paule estimators for between-study heterogeneity, ¹⁸ quantified in terms of between-study standard deviation (tau) and the relative measure I². Summary CIs were additionally computed using the modified Knapp-Hartung approach¹⁹ to complement estimates for small subgroups.

For individual-study-data meta-analysis, the approach proposed by Hoyer et al²⁰ was used, in which the ROC curve is interpreted as a bivariate time-to-event model for intervalcensored data. The resulting bivariate nonlinear mixed-effects model is a single-step approach. Studies were weighted by the respective number of events in each group of study participants who did or did not reach an end point. NGAL concentrations were assumed to follow a log-normal distribution. AUC was estimated (using the trapezoidal rule with a 2-sided 95% bootstrap CI with 1,000 bootstrapped samples), as well as sensitivity and specificity, with 2-sided 95% CIs at specific cutoff concentrations (optimal as indicated by the Youden index, 95% sensitivity, and 95% specificity). CIs for sensitivity and specificity derived from the confusion matrix were calculated using the Wald or Clopper-Pearson method, as appropriate. The DOR was calculated based on sensitivity, specificity, and prevalence of the study population using Bayes' theorem.

For visualization of the diagnostic accuracy regarding sensitivity and specificity, we created ROC plots separately for study outcome measures and specimen type.

To obtain an overview of the variability of the provided raw NGAL values, we calculated weighted descriptive statistics separately for the 3 outcome measures and specimen type illustrated as box and whiskers plots with median, quartile, and 10th to 90th percentiles. Wilcoxon signed rank test was used for comparison.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute) and the R Environment for Statistical Computing²² with packages "metafor,"²³ "mada" (Meta-Analysis of Diagnostic Accuracy, version 0.5.7), and "meta."²⁴

Results

A flow chart illustrating in detail the structure of the meta-analysis is shown in Fig 1. Accumulative NGAL was measured in 13,040 patients. Characteristics of included studies and diagnostic laboratory platforms used are shown in Item S2.

Identification of Studies

In total, we included 52 observational studies.^{25–76}

In the literature-based meta-analysis, we included 20 studies reporting on urinary NGAL^{25–41,44–46} and 36 studies reporting on plasma NGAL,^{31–38,49–76} of which 8 studies reported on NGAL in both urine and plasma.^{31–38} In the individual-study-data meta-analysis, we included 30 data sets from 26 studies. Twelve studies reported on urinary NGAL,^{35–46} 18 on plasma NGAL,^{36–39,47–60} and 4 reported on NGAL in both urine and plasma.^{36–39}

Quality Assessment

Quality assessment results are provided in Item S3. In brief, for the literature-based metaanalysis, risk of bias and applicability was moderate. The quality of studies providing individual study data was assessed before and after application of standardization criteria. After standardization, Quadas-2 (Quality Assessment Tool for Diagnostic Accuracy, version 2) studies showed improvement for risk of bias of the index test. Funnel plots showed no strong asymmetry, suggesting no indication of small-study effects.⁷⁷ However, the ability of funnel plots to detect publication bias is limited when the number of studies is small⁷⁸ or heterogeneity between studies is present.⁷⁹

Evidence Synthesis: Literature-Based Meta-analysis

Table 1 shows the number of included studies, patients, and events, as well as estimated pooled AUCs. Corresponding forest plots with pooled estimates separated by AKI definition are provided in Figures 2 and 3. In brief, in the literature-based meta-analysis, the AUCs for urinary NGAL were 0.74 (95% CI, 0.69–0.79), 0.73 (95% CI, 0.64–0.82), and 0.74 (95% CI, 0.66–0.82) for prediction of AKI, severe AKI, and AKI-D, respectively. For plasma NGAL, the corresponding AUCs were 0.77 (95% CI, 0.74–0.80), 0.83 (95% CI, 0.74–0.91), and 0.78 (95% CI, 0.74–0.81).

Evidence Synthesis: Individual-Study-Data Meta-analysis

The estimated pooled AUC of the individual-study-data meta-analysis, as well as patient and event numbers, are shown in Table 1. The AUCs for AKI and severe AKI were similar to the literature-based meta-analysis results. There was a trend for increased AUC with increased AKI severity (Table 1). Summary AUC plots for individual-study-data meta-analysis are provided in Figure 4.

Descriptive Statistics of NGAL Values Provided for the Individual-Study-Data

Meta-analysis—To obtain an overview on the collected raw individual-study NGAL data, we derived weighted descriptive statistics separately for the end points and specimen types. NGAL values increased incrementally with the severity of AKI. The number of outliers was low and represented studies with low patient numbers³⁷ (Item S4).

Meta-analysis of NGAL Cutoff Concentrations and Discriminative Accuracy—

Only individual-study-data meta-analysis enabled calculation of NGAL cutoff concentrations, sensitivity and specificity, predictive values, likelihood ratios, and DORs for AKI, severe AKI, and AKI-D (Table 2). Cutoff concentrations calculated and provided from 30 individual study data sets were included in the meta-analysis using the approach proposed by Hoyer et al.²⁰ Cutoff concentrations meta-analyzed from the individual study data also increased incrementally with AKI severity (Table 2).

For example, the urinary NGAL cutoff concentration was 12 ng/mL for severe AKI at 95% sensitivity (with specificity of 21% [95% CI, 7%–35%]; DOR, 5.1). At an optimal combination of sensitivity and specificity (Youden index), a cutoff concentration of 105 ng/mL had sensitivity of 65% and specificity of 71% (DOR, 4.5), while at 95% specificity, the cutoff was 580 ng/mL (sensitivity, 27% [95% CI, 10%–45%]; DOR, 7.0; Table 2). The AUC for urinary NGAL was 0.75 (95% CI, 0.73–0.76) for severe AKI (Fig 4).

For plasma NGAL and severe AKI, at 95% sensitivity, the cutoff concentration was 79 ng/mL (specificity, 33% [95% CI, 17%–41%]; DOR, 9.4). A cutoff concentration of 231 ng/mL, with 67% (95% CI, 46%–77%) sensitivity and 89% (95% CI, 76%–92%) specificity (DOR, 16.4), was calculated for the Youden Index. At 95% specificity, the cutoff was 364 ng/mL with 44% (95% CI, 23%–55%) sensitivity (DOR, 14.9; Table 2). The AUC for plasma NGAL was 0.80 (95% CI, 0.79–0.81) for severe AKI (Fig 4).

Subgroup Analysis—Results of prespecified subgroup analyses including: (1) patient clinical setting and (2) studies using the urine output criterion in addition to Scr level increase for AKI definition¹⁶ and those not using the urine output criterion are provided as Items S5, S6, and S7. In brief, cutoff concentrations were lower in studies using the urine output criterion. With increasing AKI severity, we found increasing cutoff concentrations for studies with and without use of the urine output criterion. The highest AUC values were calculated for the emergency department setting.

This meta-analysis provides a systematic overview of the literature summarizing data from 52 observational studies to test the predictive accuracy of NGAL level in 13,040 patients at risk for AKI. Discriminative accuracy and cutoff concentrations of urine and plasma NGAL measured on clinical laboratory platforms for prediction of AKI, severe AKI, or AKI-D were assessed using both literature-based meta-analysis and individual-study-data meta-analysis. After addressing several confounders, individual-study-data meta-analysis quality assessment showed improvement for risk of bias of the index test and high applicability. Moreover, using reanalyzed individual-study-level data, individual-study-data meta-analysis enabled derivation and meta-analysis of prespecified NGAL cutoff concentrations for prediction of AKI, severe AKI, and AKI-D. Cutoff concentrations and discriminative accuracy increased with increasing AKI severity and were highest for patients with AKI-D. AUCs were similar for both meta-analyses (greatest difference was 0.08, for plasma NGAL predicting AKI-D). Finally, use or nonuse of the urine output criterion for AKI affected NGAL's predictive and discriminatory ability.

NGAL has been increasingly measured on clinical laboratory platforms.^{4,11} Individual observational studies infrequently reported on statistical indexes other than AUC.¹³ Less than 60% of studies reported on cutoff concentrations, and 85%, on AUCs. However, AUC may be substantially confounded by the heterogeneity of underlying AKI definitions,⁸⁰ and methods and timing of NGAL measurement will render data synthesis and applicability of a literature-based meta-analysis difficult.⁸¹ Notably, no previous publication has demonstrated "perfect" accuracy of NGAL level for AKI^{4,11,13,81–85} or AKI-D⁸⁶ prediction.

A summary of the studies that reported on NGAL cutoff concentrations for AKI found a range from 105 to 350 ng/mL for adult patients on clinical laboratory platforms but provided no statistical assessment on discriminatory ability or cutoff concentrations.¹¹ Also, a subgroup analysis of a previous meta-analysis⁴ pointed toward more accurate AKI prediction for a cutoff value 150 ng/mL on clinical platforms compared with measurements on research assays. However, this meta-analysis did not separately report on urinary or plasma NGAL.⁴ Neither study provided cutoff concentrations at high (95%) sensitivity or high (95%) specificity.

NGAL is one of the most extensively investigated renal biomarkers, but addressing the mentioned issues is needed for meaningful interpretation of biomarker test results. Determination of potentially applicable cutoff values in different settings has been recommended to be the next important step in validation of kidney biomarkers aiming at improved patient care.^{2,6,87} Therefore, providing specimen-specific NGAL cutoff concentrations measured on clinical laboratory platforms is needed.

Our finding that predictive ability increased with more severe AKI (DOR up to 16) is biologically plausible. However, NGAL level failing to show perfect AKI prediction in the present meta-analysis may be interpreted as a shortcoming of NGAL (index test) or as a shortcoming of Scr (reference test) or both because these tests reflect different types of kidney injury.

Urinary and plasma NGAL may indicate tubular injury before declining filtration function but concentrations and discriminative ability may also be influenced by systemic conditions such as sepsis⁶⁹ or NGAL originating from nonkidney tissues.⁸⁸ In contrast, Scr level may exhibit limited sensitivity and specificity⁸⁹ for accurately estimating rapid changes in glomerular filtration rate⁶³ and may not indicate tubular pathology. Such understanding may point toward possible dissociation of tubular injury and glomerular functional decline precluding NGAL or other tubular biomarkers^{5,90,91} from predicting Scr-based AKI with greater accuracy.⁹²

Accordingly, the concepts of subclinical and hemodynamic AKI may help interpret the findings of the present study.⁹³ Subclinical AKI (false-positive test in relation to Scr) may explain adverse outcomes in patients with high NGAL concentrations but without subsequent development of Scr-based AKI.^{94–96} In a complementary fashion, patients with Scr-based AKI and low NGAL concentrations have been attributed to hemodynamic AKI (false negative). ⁹⁷ Such scenarios would reduce the accuracy of NGAL in predicting Scr-based AKI.⁸⁹ This is reflected by the finding of our meta-analysis that >30% of patients were identified as potentially having misclassified AKI or non-AKI using an Scr-based AKI definition (23.5% subclinical [NGAL-positive]). The observed proportions of patients with subclinical or hemodynamic AKI are in line with reports from previous studies for NGAL and other kidney biomarkers.^{41,51,94,95,98}

Finally, a recent meta-analysis⁹⁹ based on 891 critically ill patients from 4 studies showed similar accuracy of a test based on the combination of urine concentrations of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 and insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7 ([TIMP-2] × [IGFBP7]) in predicting severe AKI compared with that of NGAL reported in the present study. For the high-specificity cutoff of [TIMP-2] × [IGFBP7] (>2.0 ng/mL²/1,000)⁹⁹ and plasma NGAL (>364 ng/mL [present study]), statistical indexes were 93% versus 100% for specificity, 45% versus 44% for sensitivity, 11.4 versus 14.9 for DOR, and 0.84 versus 0.80 for AUC, respectively. However, discussion of whether the mentioned limitations and concepts may also apply to kidney biomarkers other than NGAL is beyond the scope of the present meta-analysis.

We found that the literature-based investigation was limited because most studies reported outcome measures for AKI or severe AKI only, but not for AKI-D, and vice versa, although the omitted data might be calculable from the original studies' data sets. Reanalysis of individual-study-level data therefore offers meaningful advantages.¹⁰⁰ Aggregation of reanalyzed individual-study-level data for all 3 outcome measures provided the ability to include and meta-analyze outcome data from previously published studies that have not been reported before. Specifically, this individual-study-data meta-analysis implied standardization of outcome data across multiple data sets and enabled uniform synthesis of cutoff concentrations and their predictive indexes from 3 predefined points on the summary ROC curve (95%/high sensitivity, optimal, 95%/high specificity; Items S8 and S9) complementing the AUC for clinical decision making.¹⁴

The mixed-effects approach²⁰ used for this individual-study-data meta-analysis has several advantages compared with existing methods.¹⁰¹ A 1-step approach avoids accumulation of type one error. The number of thresholds does not have to be identical across included studies, concrete values are considered, and the approach is applicable with extreme values. Therefore, individual-study-data meta-analysis allowed for more precise calculation of predictive accuracy by considering various distributions of individual full ROC curves with several pairs of thresholds and bivariate outcome of sensitivity and specificity.²⁰

The individual-study-data reassessment focused on NGAL measurement in advance of AKI diagnosis or commencement of KRT. Patients with known AKI or KRT initiation within 24 hours of NGAL assessment were excluded. These multicontinental literature-based and individual-study-data meta-analyses included a substantial sample size and number of AKI and AKI-D events. Finally, all studies used certified widely available clinical laboratory platforms featuring superior turn-around times and reproducibility comparable to enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay or research kits.¹⁰² However, interassay variability should be taken into account when interpreting results from the various platforms measuring NGAL, which may affect the transferability of results.^{102,103}

Our analyses were limited to an adult population and did not include unpublished studies potentially influencing the results of systematic reviews.¹⁰⁴ Not all authors who were initially requested to contribute to the individual-study-data meta-analysis responded or provided reanalyzed data. However, in the funnel plots, in which literature-based and individual-study-data meta-analyses were presented together, no pattern potentially indicating systematic differences between the 2 cohorts was apparent (Item S3).

All included studies used Scr level as reference for the diagnosis of AKI. Authors of each study included in the individual-study-data meta-analysis returned data according to the RIFLE criteria. Rarely, data were returned on other AKI definitions, which precluded further analysis. In support of the decision favoring RIFLE criteria, there is literature indicating noninferior discriminative value of the RIFLE classification in predicting adverse kidney-related outcomes compared with AKIN or KDIGO criteria.^{105–108} In the literature-based meta-analysis, the AUC was reported separately for RIFLE, KDIGO, and AKIN criteria. The present study was limited by clinical practice variation regarding KRT initiation for AKI.^{109,110} Cutoff concentrations and corresponding diagnostic indexes were derived from an individual-study-data meta-analysis, which cannot replace an appropriately powered end point study for cutoff derivation. Therefore, identified cutoff concentrations require further prospective evaluation. Finally, NGAL tests are currently not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for diagnostic use in the United States.

The present meta-analysis provides urinary and plasma cutoff concentrations for the subsequent development of severe AKI or AKI-D, potentially facilitating more standardized judgment by nonkidney specialists and nephrologists in unclear clinical situations.^{7,30} Although acknowledging the heterogeneity of clinical context, limitations of this meta-analysis, and nonperfect match between kidney function and injury markers, nonetheless urinary and plasma NGAL cutoff concentrations may complement the identification of patients at high kidney risk in clinical research and practice. Our findings support the

conclusion that patients with NGAL concentrations below the 95% sensitivity cutoff are at very low risk for developing Scr-based AKI within the next 24 hours. Supported by the understanding that AKI occurs on a continuum,² NGAL concentrations between identified 95% sensitivity and 95% specificity cutoffs may call for intensified kidney observation. It is intriguing to speculate that sequential measurements of NGAL and consideration of trends and delta values might be valuable, especially in patients in whom absolute NGAL values do not exceed or fall below the suggested threshold.¹¹¹ However, the present meta-analysis did not address this question. For NGAL concentrations above the identified 95% specificity cutoff, initiation of kidney care bundles or KRT may be considered even before Scr level increases.^{112–115} Nonetheless, we acknowledge that in such patients, interventions other than those recommended by the KDIGO guideline² for prevention and treatment of AKI are not reported to improve outcome.¹¹⁶ A complementary direct comparison between plasma NGAL and contemporaneous Scr levels for predicting the necessity of KRT might favor NGAL over Scr level, but the analysis was post hoc and thus results are preliminary.

Finally, further studies beyond assessment of the relationship between kidney biomarkers and Scr-based AKI are needed to refine the assessment of potentially applicable NGAL cutoff concentrations used in conjunction with other clinical and diagnostic findings.⁷

There is continued clinical interest in improved risk assessment and early identification of AKI. Notwithstanding the heterogeneity of clinical context and other limitations of this meta-analysis, derived urinary and plasma cutoff concentrations may complement the identification of patients at high risk for the development of AKI, severe AKI, and AKI-D.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Prof Annika Hoyer and Prof Oliver Kuss for providing the SAS program for the analysis and Prof Siegfried Kropf for advice and substantive comments on an earlier draft of this article.

Support: Dr Devarajan is supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH; P50DK096418). Dr Haase-Fielitz was supported by the B. Braun Foundation and Dr. Werner Jackstädt Foundation. Dr Kavalci was supported by Baskent University Scientific Research Support Fund (Ankara, Turkey). The funders did not have a role in study design; collection, analysis, or reporting of data; preparation of the manuscript; or decision to submit for publication.

Financial Disclosure: Dr C. Albert has received lecture honoraria and travel reimbursement from Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics. Dr Haase has received lecture honoraria and travel reimbursement from Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Abbott Diagnostics, Roche, Alere, Astute, and Baxter on unrelated work. Dr Pickering has received payment as a consultant statistician from Abbott Diagnostics on unrelated work. Dr A. Albert has received lecture honoraria and travel reimbursement for A lbert has received lecture honoraria and travel reimbursement from Abbott Diagnostics on unrelated work. Dr A. Albert has received lecture honoraria and travel reimbursement from Abbott on unrelated work. Dr Devarajan is a co-inventor on patents submitted for the use of NGAL as a biomarker of kidney injury. Dr Hjortrup states that the study he contributed was supported by BioPorto Diagnostics A/S (Gentofte, Denmark); BioPorto made suggestions to the study protocol, but the authors had final say, and BioPorto had no role in data collection or analyses, the writing of the manuscript, or the decision to publish. The Department of Intensive Care, Rigshospitalet, where Dr Hjortrup is based, receives support for research from The Novo Nordisk Foundation. Dr Nickolas reports receipt of grant support from NIH/National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases and Amgen and reports that Columbia University Irving Medical Center has licensed patents for the use of NGAL as a marker of AKI. The remaining authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

References

- Hoste EAJ, Bagshaw SM, Bellomo R, et al. Epidemiology of acute kidney injury in critically ill patients: the multinational AKI-EPI study. Intensive Care Med. 2015;41(8):1411–1423. [PubMed: 26162677]
- 2. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Acute Kidney Injury Work Group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. Kidney Int Suppl. 2012;2(1): 1–138.
- 3. Waikar SS, Bonventre JV. Creatinine kinetics and the definition of acute kidney injury. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;20(3):672–679. [PubMed: 19244578]
- 4. Haase M, Bellomo R, Devarajan P, Schlattmann P, Haase-Fielitz A; and the NGAL Meta-analysis Investigator Group. Accuracy of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) in diagnosis and prognosis in acute kidney injury: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2009;54(6):1012–1024. [PubMed: 19850388]
- 5. Kashani K, Al-Khafaji A, Ardiles T, et al. Discovery and validation of cell cycle arrest biomarkers in human acute kidney injury. Crit Care. 2013;17(1):R25. [PubMed: 23388612]
- McCullough PA, Shaw AD, Haase M, et al. Diagnosis of acute kidney injury using functional and injury biomarkers: workgroup statements from the tenth Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative Consensus Conference. Contrib Nephrol. 2013;182: 13–29. [PubMed: 23689653]
- Albert C, Albert A, Bellomo R, et al. Urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin-guided risk assessment for major adverse kidney events after open-heart surgery. Biomark Med. 2018;12(9):975–985. [PubMed: 30088425]
- Coca SG, Yusuf B, Shlipak MG, Garg AX, Parikh CR. Long-term risk of mortality and other adverse outcomes after acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2009;53(6):961–973. [PubMed: 19346042]
- Singer E, Schrezenmeier EV, Elger A, et al. Urinary NGAL-positive acute kidney injury and poor long-term outcomes in hospitalized patients. Kidney Int Rep. 2016;1(3):114–124. [PubMed: 29142920]
- Jotwani V, Katz R, Ix JH, et al. Urinary biomarkers of kidney tubular damage and risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality in elders. Am J Kidney Dis. 2018;72(2):205–213. [PubMed: 29602632]
- Haase-Fielitz A, Haase M, Devarajan P. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin as a biomarker of acute kidney injury: a critical evaluation of current status. Ann Clin Biochem. 2014;51(pt 3):335–351. [PubMed: 24518531]
- Liu KD, Yang W, Go AS, et al. Urine neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin and risk of cardiovascular disease and death in CKD: results from the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) Study. Am J Kidney Dis. 2015;65(2):267–274. [PubMed: 25311702]
- Codorniu A, Lemasle L, Legrand M, Blet A, Mebazaa A, Gayat E. Methods used to assess the performance of biomarkers for the diagnosis of acute kidney injury: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Biomarkers. 2018;23(8):766–772. [PubMed: 29943660]
- de Grooth H-J, Parienti J-J, Schetz M. AKI biomarkers are poor discriminants for subsequent need for renal replacement therapy, but do not disqualify them yet. Intensive Care Med. 2018;44(7):1156–1158. [PubMed: 29651499]
- Stewart LA, Clarke M, Rovers M, et al. Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Individual Participant Data. JAMA. 2015;313(16):1657–1659. [PubMed: 25919529]
- Bellomo R, Ronco C, Kellum JA, Mehta RL, Palevsky P. Acute renal failure definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy and information technology needs: the Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. Crit Care. 2004;8(4):R204–R212. [PubMed: 15312219]
- 17. Hanley JA, McNeil BJ. The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Radiology. 1982;143(1):29–36. [PubMed: 7063747]
- Hedges LV, Olkin I. Statistical Methods for Meta-analysis. Orlando, FL: Academic Press Inc; 1985.

- Röver C, Knapp G, Friede T. Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman approach and its modification for random-effects meta-analysis with few studies. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2015;15(1):99. [PubMed: 26573817]
- 20. Hoyer A, Hirt S, Kuss O. Meta-analysis of full ROC curves using bivariate time-to-event models for interval-censored data. Res Syn Meth. 2018;9(1):62–72.
- Glas AS, Lijmer JG, Prins MH, Bonsel GJ, Bossuyt PMM. The diagnostic odds ratio: a single indicator of test performance. J Clin Epidemiol. 2003;56(11):1129–1135. [PubMed: 14615004]
- R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 2020, R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Austria: Vienna. http://www.R-project.org. Accessed July 22, 2020.
- 23. Viechtbauer W Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J Stat Softw. 2010;36(1):1–48.
- 24. Schwarzer G meta: an R package for meta-analysis. R News. 2007;7(3):40-45.
- 25. De Loor J, Herck I, Francois K, et al. Diagnosis of cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury: differential roles of creatinine, chitinase 3-like protein 1 and neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin: a prospective cohort study. Ann Intensive Care. 2017;7(1):1–12. [PubMed: 28050894]
- 26. Munir MU, Khan DA, Khan FA, Shahab Naqvi SM. Rapid detection of acute kidney injury by urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin after cardiopulmonary bypass surgery. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2013;23(2): 103–106. [PubMed: 23374511]
- Thanakitcharu P, Jirajan B. Determination of urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) cut-off level for early detection of acute kidney injury in Thai adult patients undergoing open cardiac surgery. J Med Assoc Thai. 2014;97(suppl 11):S48–S55. [PubMed: 25509695]
- McMahon BA, Galligan M, Redahan L, et al. Biomarker predictors of adverse acute kidney injury outcomes in critically ill patients: the Dublin Acute Biomarker Group Evaluation Study. Am J Nephrol. 2019;50(1):19–28. [PubMed: 31203271]
- Park HS, Kim JW, Lee KR, et al. Urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin as a biomarker of acute kidney injury in sepsis patients in the emergency department. Clin Chim Acta. 2019;495:552–555. [PubMed: 31175848]
- Albert C, Haase M, Albert A, et al. Urinary biomarkers may complement the Cleveland score for prediction of adverse kidney events after cardiac surgery: a pilot study. Ann Lab Med. 2020;40(2):131–141. [PubMed: 31650729]
- Matsa R, Ashley E, Sharma V, Walden AP, Keating L. Plasma and urine neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin in the diagnosis of new onset acute kidney injury in critically ill patients. Crit Care. 2014;18(4):1–10.
- 32. Delcroix G, Gillain N, Moonen M, et al. NGAL usefulness in the intensive care unit three hours after cardiac surgery. ISRN Nephrol. 2012;2013(2):1–6.
- Parikh CR, Coca SG, Thiessen-Philbrook H, et al. Postoperative biomarkers predict acute kidney injury and poor outcomes after adult cardiac surgery. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2011;22(9):1748–1757. [PubMed: 21836143]
- 34. Glassford NJ, Schneider AG, Xu S, et al. The nature and discriminatory value of urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in critically ill patients at risk of acute kidney injury. Intensive Care Med. 2013;39(10):1714–1724. [PubMed: 23917325]
- 35. Dai X, Zeng Z, Fu C, Zhang S, Cai Y, Chen Z. Diagnostic value of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, cystatin C, and soluble triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-1 in critically ill patients with sepsis-associated acute kidney injury. Crit Care. 2015;19(1):223. [PubMed: 25944130]
- 36. de Geus HRH, Bakker J, Lesaffre EMEH, le Noble JLML. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin at ICU admission predicts for acute kidney injury in adult patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;183(7):907–914. [PubMed: 20935115]
- Hjortrup PB, Haase N, Treschow F, Møller MH, Perner A. Predictive value of NGAL for use of renal replacement therapy in patients with severe sepsis. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2014;59(1):25– 34. [PubMed: 25363361]

- Ralib AM, Pickering JW, Shaw GM, Than MP, George PM, Endre ZH. The clinical utility window for acute kidney injury biomarkers in the critically ill. Crit Care. 2014;18(6): 601. [PubMed: 25366893]
- Martensson J, Glassford NJ, Jones S, et al. Urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin to hepcidin ratio as a biomarker of acute kidney injury in intensive care unit patients. Minerva Anestesiol. 2015;81(11):1192–1200. [PubMed: 25479470]
- Garcia-Alvarez M, Glassford NJ, Betbese AJ, et al. Urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin as predictor of shortor long-term outcomes in cardiac surgery patients. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2015;29(6):1480–1488. [PubMed: 26296821]
- Nickolas TL, Schmidt-Ott KM, Canetta P, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic stratification in the emergency department using urinary biomarkers of nephron damage. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;59(3):246–255. [PubMed: 22240130]
- Haase M, Haase-Fielitz A, Plass M, et al. Prophylactic perioperative sodium bicarbonate to prevent acute kidney injury following open heart surgery: a multicenter double-blinded randomized controlled trial. PLoS Med. 2013;10(4): e1001426. [PubMed: 23610561]
- Karaolanis G, Katsaros A, Palla V-V, et al. Urine NGAL as a biomarker of kidney damage after onand off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a prospective pilot study. Hellenic J Cardiol. 2015;56(2):160–168. [PubMed: 25854446]
- Varela CF, Greloni G, Schreck C, et al. Assessment of fractional excretion of urea for early diagnosis of cardiac surgery associated acute kidney injury. Ren Fail. 2015;37(10): 327–331. [PubMed: 26398357]
- Liebetrau C, Dörr O, Baumgarten H, et al. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) for the early detection of cardiac surgery associated acute kidney injury. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2013;73(5):392–399. [PubMed: 23668886]
- 46. Pipili C, Ioannidou S, Tripodaki E-S, et al. Prediction of the renal replacement therapy requirement in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients by combining biomarkers for glomerular filtration and tubular damage. J Crit Care. 2014;29(4):692.e7–692.e13.
- 47. Lipcsey M, Hayward P, Haase M, et al. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin after off pump versus on pump coronary artery surgery. Biomarkers. 2014;19(1):22–28. [PubMed: 24475761]
- 48. Lentini P, de Cal M, Clementi A, D'Angelo A, Ronco C. Sepsis and AKI in ICU patients: the role of plasma biomarkers. Crit Care Res Pract. 2012;2012(6):1–5.
- Kim H, Hur M, Cruz DN, Moon H-W, Yun Y-M. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin as a biomarker for acute kidney injury in critically ill patients with suspected sepsis. Clin Biochem. 2013;46(15):1414–1418. [PubMed: 23747960]
- Katagiri D, Doi K, Matsubara T, et al. New biomarker panel of plasma neutrophil gelatinase– associated lipocalin and endotoxin activity assay for detecting sepsis in acute kidney injury. J Crit Care. 2013;28(5):564–570. [PubMed: 23499422]
- 51. Di Somma S, Magrini L, De Berardinis B, et al. Additive value of blood neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin to clinical judgement in acute kidney injury diagnosis and mortality prediction in patients hospitalized from the emergency department. Crit Care. 2013;17(1):R29. [PubMed: 23402494]
- 52. Park CM, Kim JS, Moon H-W, et al. Usefulness of plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin as an early marker of acute kidney injury after cardiopulmonary bypass in Korean cardiac patients: a prospective observational study. Clin Biochem. 2015;48(1–2):44–49. [PubMed: 25284002]
- 53. Perrotti A, Miltgen G, Chevet-Noel A, et al. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin as early predictor of acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery in adults with chronic kidney failure. Ann Thorac Surg. 2015;99(3):1–6.
- 54. Soto K, Papoila AL, Coelho S, et al. Plasma NGAL for the diagnosis of AKI in patients admitted from the emergency department setting. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;8(12):2053–2063. [PubMed: 24009223]
- 55. Breidthardt T, Socrates T, Drexler B, et al. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin for the prediction of acute kidney injury in acute heart failure. Crit Care. 2012;16(1):R2. [PubMed: 22226205]

- Doi K, Urata M, Katagiri D, et al. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in acute kidney injury superimposed on chronic kidney disease after cardiac surgery: a multicenter prospective study. Crit Care. 2013;17(6):R270. [PubMed: 24215663]
- 57. Pickering JW, Endre ZH. The clinical utility of plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in acute kidney injury. Blood Purif. 2013;35(4):295–302. [PubMed: 23712081]
- 58. Cruz DN, de Cal M, Garzotto F, et al. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin is an early biomarker for acute kidney injury in an adult ICU population. Intensive Care Med. 2010;36(3):444–451. [PubMed: 19956925]
- Camou F, Oger S, Paroissin C, et al. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) predicts acute kidney injury in septic shock at ICU admission. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim. 2013;32(3):157–164. [PubMed: 23453486]
- 60. Cemil K, Elif C, Serkan YM, et al. The value of serum NGAL in determination of dialysis indication. J Pak Med Assoc. 2014;64(7):739–742. [PubMed: 25255577]
- 61. Shapiro NI, Trzeciak S, Hollander JE, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in the prediction of acute kidney injury in emergency department patients with suspected sepsis. Ann Emerg Med. 2010;56(1): 52–59.e1. [PubMed: 20363526]
- 62. Constantin J-M, Futier E, Perbet S, et al. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin is an early marker of acute kidney injury in adult critically ill patients: a prospective study. J Crit Care. 2010;25(1):176.e1–6.
- Kim H, Hur M, Lee S, et al. Proenkephalin, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, and estimated glomerular filtration rates in patients with sepsis. Ann Lab Med. 2017;37(5):388–397. [PubMed: 28643487]
- 64. Kidher E, Harling L, Ashrafian H, et al. Pulse wave velocity and neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin as predictors of acute kidney injury following aortic valve replacement. J Cardiothorac Surg. 2014;9(1):1–10. [PubMed: 24387601]
- 65. Khawaja S, Jafri L, Siddiqui I, Hashmi M, Ghani F. The utility of neutrophil gelatinase-associated Lipocalin (NGAL) as a marker of acute kidney injury (AKI) in critically ill patients. Biomark Res. 2019;7(1):4. [PubMed: 30834123]
- 66. Onk OA, Onk D, Ozcelik F, Gunay M, Turkmen K. Risk factors for acute kidney injury after coronary artery bypass surgery and its detection using neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin. Cardiorenal Med. 2016;6(3):216–229. [PubMed: 27275158]
- Hang C-C, Yang J, Wang S, Li C-S, Tang Z-R. Evaluation of serum neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin in predicting acute kidney injury in critically ill patients. J Int Med Res. 2017;45(3):1231–1244. [PubMed: 28553762]
- 68. Introcaso G, Nafi M, Bonomi A, et al. Improvement of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin sensitivity and specificity by two plasma measurements in predicting acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery. Biochem Med. 2018;28(3):2444–2447.
- Ralib AM, Mat Nor MB, Pickering JW. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin diagnosed acute kidney injury in patients with systemic inflammatory disease and sepsis. Nephrology (Carlton). 2017;22(5):412–419. [PubMed: 27062515]
- Haase-Fielitz A, Bellomo R, Devarajan P, et al. Novel and conventional serum biomarkers predicting acute kidney injury in adult cardiac surgery—a prospective cohort study*. Crit Care Med. 2009;37(2):553–560. [PubMed: 19114878]
- Perry TE, Muehlschlegel JD, Liu K-Y, et al. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin and acute postoperative kidney injury in adult cardiac surgical patients. Anesth Analg. 2010;110(6):1541–1547. [PubMed: 20435938]
- Yamashita T, Doi K, Hamasaki Y, et al. Evaluation of urinary tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 in acute kidney injury: a prospective observational study. Crit Care. 2014;18(6):R73–R79. [PubMed: 24731244]
- 73. Haase-Fielitz A, Bellomo R, Devarajan P, et al. The predictive performance of plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) increases with grade of acute kidney injury. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009;24(11): 3349–3354. [PubMed: 19474273]

- 74. Linko R, Pettila V, Kuitunen A, et al. Plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin and adverse outcome in critically ill patients with ventilatory support. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2013;57(7):855–862. [PubMed: 23556459]
- Sumida M, Doi K, Kinoshita O, et al. Perioperative plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin measurement in patients who undergo left ventricular assist device implantation surgery. Circ J. 2014;78(8):1891–1899. [PubMed: 24931412]
- Cuartero M, Betbesé AJ, Núñez K, Baldirà J, Ordonez-Llanos J. Does whole-blood neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin stratify acute kidney injury in critically ill patients? Dis Markers. 2019;2019:8480925. [PubMed: 31191757]
- Sterne JAC, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JPA, et al. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2011;343: d4002. [PubMed: 21784880]
- Lau J, Ioannidis JPA, Terrin N, Schmid CH, Olkin I. The case of the misleading funnel plot. BMJ. 2006;333(7568):597–600. [PubMed: 16974018]
- 79. Terrin N, Schmid CH, Lau J, Olkin I. Adjusting for publication bias in the presence of heterogeneity. Stat Med. 2003;22(13): 2113–2126. [PubMed: 12820277]
- Zeng X, McMahon GM, Brunelli SM, Bates DW, Waikar SS. Incidence, outcomes, and comparisons across definitions of aki in hospitalized individuals. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;9(1): 12–20. [PubMed: 24178971]
- Hjortrup PB, Haase N, Wetterslev M, Perner A. Clinical review: predictive value of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin for acute kidney injury in intensive care patients. Crit Care. 2013;17(2):211. [PubMed: 23680259]
- Ho J, Tangri N, Komenda P, et al. Urinary, plasma, and serum biomarkers' utility for predicting acute kidney injury associated with cardiac surgery in adults: a meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2015;66(6):993–1005. [PubMed: 26253993]
- Zhang A, Cai Y, Wang P-F, et al. Diagnosis and prognosis of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin for acute kidney injury with sepsis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care. 2016;20(1):1–13. [PubMed: 26728475]
- 84. Kim S, Kim H-J, Ahn H-S, et al. Is plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin a predictive biomarker for acute kidney injury in sepsis patients? A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Crit Care. 2016;33(C):213–223. [PubMed: 27017333]
- Zhou F, Luo Q, Wang L, Han L. Diagnostic value of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin for early diagnosis of cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury: a meta-analysis. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2016;49(3):746–755. [PubMed: 26094017]
- 86. Klein SJ, Brandtner AK, Lehner GF, et al. Biomarkers for prediction of renal replacement therapy in acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Intensive Care Med. 2018;44(3):1– 14. [PubMed: 29199388]
- Kashani K, Cheungpasitporn W, Ronco C. Biomarkers of acute kidney injury: the pathway from discovery to clinical adoption. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2017;55(8):1074–1089. [PubMed: 28076311]
- Schrezenmeier EV, Barasch J, Budde K, Westhoff T, Schmidt-Ott KM. Biomarkers in acute kidney injury - pathophysiological basis and clinical performance. Acta Physiol (Oxf). 2017;219(3):554– 572. [PubMed: 27474473]
- 89. Waikar SS, Betensky RA, Emerson SC, Bonventre JV. Imperfect gold standards for kidney injury biomarker evaluation. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012;23(1):13–21. [PubMed: 22021710]
- Hoste EAJ, McCullough PA, Kashani K, et al. Derivation and validation of cutoffs for clinical use of cell cycle arrest biomarkers. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2014;29(11):2054–2061. [PubMed: 25237065]
- Bihorac A, Chawla LS, Shaw AD, et al. Validation of cell-cycle arrest biomarkers for acute kidney injury using clinical adjudication. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2014;189(8):932–939. [PubMed: 24559465]
- 92. Pickering JW, Endre ZH. Linking injury to outcome in acute kidney injury: a matter of sensitivity. PLoS One. 2013;8(4): e62691. [PubMed: 23626850]
- Haase M, Kellum JA, Ronco C. Subclinical AKI-an emerging syndrome with important consequences. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2012;8(12):735–739. [PubMed: 23007617]

- 94. Haase M, Devarajan P, Haase-Fielitz A, et al. The outcome of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin-positive subclinical acute kidney injury. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57(17):1752–1761. [PubMed: 21511111]
- Albert C, Albert A, Kube J, et al. Urinary biomarkers may provide prognostic information for subclinical acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2018;155(6): 2441–2452.e13. [PubMed: 29366580]
- Moledina DG, Hall IE, Thiessen Philbrook H, et al. Performance of serum creatinine and kidney injury biomarkers for diagnosing histologic acute tubular injury. Am J Kidney Dis. 2017;70(6): 807–816. [PubMed: 28844586]
- Huen SC, Parikh CR. Molecular phenotyping of clinical AKI with novel urinary biomarkers. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2015;309(5):F406–F413. [PubMed: 26084933]
- 98. Xie Y, Ankawi G, Yang B, et al. Tissue inhibitor metalloproteinase-2 (TIMP-2) x IGF-binding protein-7 (IGFBP7) levels are associated with adverse outcomes in patients in the intensive care unit with acute kidney injury. Kidney Int. 2019;95(6):1486–1493. [PubMed: 30982674]
- Zhang D, Yuan Y, Guo L, Wang Q. Comparison of urinary TIMP-2 and IGFBP7 cut-offs to predict acute kidney injury in critically ill patients. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019;98(26):e16232. [PubMed: 31261582]
- 100. Riley RD, Lambert PC, Abo-Zaid G. Meta-analysis of individual participant data: rationale, conduct, and reporting. BMJ. 2010;340:c221. [PubMed: 20139215]
- 101. Hamza TH, Arends LR, van Houwelingen HC, Stijnen T. Multivariate random effects metaanalysis of diagnostic tests with multiple thresholds. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2009;9(1):982.
- 102. Kift RL, Messenger MP, Wind TC, et al. A comparison of the analytical performance of five commercially available assays for neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin using urine. Ann Clin Biochem. 2013;50(pt 3):236–244. [PubMed: 23605129]
- 103. Cruz DN, Virzì GM, Brocca A, Ronco C, Giavarina D. A comparison of three commercial platforms for urinary NGAL in critically ill adults. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2016;54(2):353–362. [PubMed: 26087067]
- 104. Halfpenny NJA, Quigley JM, Thompson JC, Scott DA. Value and usability of unpublished data sources for systematic reviews and network meta-analyses. Evid Based Med. 2016;21(6): 208– 213. [PubMed: 27686328]
- 105. Joannidis M, Metnitz B, Bauer P, et al. Acute kidney injury in critically ill patients classified by AKIN versus RIFLE using the SAPS 3 database. Intensive Care Med. 2009;35(10):1692–1702. [PubMed: 19547955]
- 106. Englberger L, Suri RM, Li Z, et al. Clinical accuracy of RIFLE and Acute Kidney Injury Network (AKIN) criteria for acute kidney injury in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Crit Care. 2011;15(1):R16. [PubMed: 21232094]
- 107. Xiong J, Tang X, Hu Z, Nie L, Wang Y, Zhao J. The RIFLE versus AKIN classification for incidence and mortality of acute kidney injury in critical ill patients: a meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2015;5(1):1–9.
- 108. Fujii T, Uchino S, Takinami M, Bellomo R. Validation of the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes criteria for AKI and comparison of three criteria in hospitalized patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;9(5):848–854. [PubMed: 24578334]
- 109. Haase-Fielitz A, Haase M, Bellomo R, et al. Perioperative hemodynamic instability and fluid overload are associated with increasing acute kidney injury severity and worse outcome after cardiac surgery. Blood Purif. 2017;43:298–308. [PubMed: 28142133]
- 110. Ostermann M, Joannidis M, Pani A, et al. Patient selection and timing of continuous renal replacement therapy. Blood Purif. 2016;42(3):224–237. [PubMed: 27561956]
- 111. de Geus Hilde RH, Ronco C, Haase M, Jacob L, Lewington A, Vincent J. The cardiac surgeryassociated neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (CSA-NGAL) score: A potential tool to monitor acute tubular damage. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2016;151(6):1476–1481. [PubMed: 26952930]
- 112. Göcze I, Jauch D, Götz M, et al. Biomarker-guided intervention to prevent acute kidney injury after major surgery: the prospective randomized BigpAK Study. Ann Surg. 2018;267(6): 1013– 1020. [PubMed: 28857811]

- 113. Meersch M, Schmidt C, Hoffmeier A, et al. Prevention of cardiac surgery-associated AKI by implementing the KDIGO guidelines in high risk patients identified by biomarkers: the PrevAKI randomized controlled trial. Intensive Care Med. 2017;43(11):1–11. [PubMed: 27637719]
- 114. Zarbock A, Kellum JA, Schmidt C, et al. Effect of early vs delayed initiation of renal replacement therapy on mortality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: the ELAIN randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2016;315(20):2190–2199. [PubMed: 27209269]
- 115. Engelman DT, Crisafi C, Germain M, et al. Using urinary biomarkers to reduce acute kidney injury following cardiac surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2020;160(5):1235–1246.e2. [PubMed: 31757451]
- 116. Gaudry S, Hajage D, Schortgen F, et al. Initiation strategies for renal-replacement therapy in the intensive care unit. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(2):122–133. [PubMed: 27181456]

PLAIN-LANGUAGE SUMMARY

This meta-analysis provides neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) cutoff concentrations for kidney risk prediction. Recent practice guidelines for acute kidney injury (AKI) renewed the importance of the earliest possible detection of AKI and adjustment of treatment accordingly. Literature-based meta-analysis revealed that the predictive value of NGAL measured on clinical laboratory platforms may improve the prediction of AKI risk. NGAL cutoff concentrations in clinical settings have not been sufficient. We performed an individual-study-data meta-analysis that demonstrated results similar to the literature-based meta-analysis regarding NGAL's discriminative ability. Using an individual-study-data meta-analysis that incorporated confounding variables enabled derivation of cutoff concentrations for NGAL to identify patients at risk for severe stages of AKI, including the associated need for dialysis. Notwithstanding the heterogeneity of clinical context, urinary and plasma concentrations of NGAL may enable identification of patients at high risk for AKI in clinical research and practice.



Figure 1.

Flow chart of study selection and inclusion includes search performed on February 29, 2020. *One study reported urine neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) data in the literature, only but additionally provided previously unpublished plasma NGAL data for individual-study-data meta-analysis (IDA). [†]The study by Albert et al, 2020, was excluded for IDA because it reports on the same patient cohort as Haase et al, 2013. Abbreviations: AKI, acute kidney injury; Lab, laboratory; LIMA, literature-based meta-analysis; RRT, renal replacement therapy.

0.4 0.6 0.8

AUC

1

A Meta-	-analysis of urine N	IGAL for AKI (a	ll available	studies)	
study	patients (AKI)	weight (%)	AUC	95% CI	
AKI definition: AKIN	40 (15)	0.0	0.000	[0 405 0 004]	_
Munir (2013)	43 (15) 88 (11)	3.0	0.620	[0.435, 0.804]	
Thanakitcharu (2014)	130 (46)	6.0	0.690	[0.595, 0.785]	_
Varela (2015)	66 (15)	4.5	0.770	[0.624, 0.916]	
subgroup (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.11, l²=80%)	327 (87)	21.1	0.762	[0.638, 0.886]	-
AKI definition: RIFLE					
De Geus (2011)	632 (171)	4.0	0.785	[0.618, 0.952]	
Parikh (2011)	1198 (56)	6.5	0.670	[0.592, 0.748]	
Nickolas (2012)	1635 (350)	7.3	0.810	[0.760, 0.860]	
Glassiord (2013) Matea (2014)	102 (54)	4.9	0.550	[0.417, 0.663]	
Albert (2020)	100 (9)	4.8	0.810	[0.675, 0.945]	
subgroup (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.09, l ² =78%)	3861 (699)	34.2	0.739	[0.658, 0.821]	-
AKI definition: KDIGO					
Ralib (2014)	50 (43)	5.6	0.770	[0.660, 0.880]	
Dai (2015)	112 (55)	6.5	0.879	[0.802, 0.956]	
Garcia–Alvarez (2015)	288 (104)	6.9	0.680	[0.615, 0.745]	
Hjortrup (2015) De Loor (2017)	100 (25)	5.4	0.710	[0.595, 0.825]	_
McMahon (2019)	669 (215)	7.4	0.700	[0.656, 0.744]	-
Park (2019)	140 (19)	6.3	0.820	[0.733, 0.907]	
subgroup (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.09, l²=85%)	1562 (556)	44.8	0.732	[0.659, 0.806]	-
overall (normal, p<0.001)	5750 (1342)	100.0	0.742	[0.694, 0.790]	•
(tau=0.09, I ² =81%)					
					0.4.00.00.4
_					0.4 0.6 0.8 1
B Meta–ana	lysis of urine NGA	L for severe Ak	(I (all availa	able studies)	AUC
study	patients (sAKI)	weight (%)	AUC	95% CI	
AKI definition: RIFLE					
Nickolas (2012)	1635 (181)	20.2	0.800	[0.760, 0.840]	
subgroup (pormal p=0.001)	1737 (20)	15.2 35 4	0.650	[0.530, 0.770] [0.591 0.881]	
(tau=0.10, l ² =81%)	1101 (203)	00.4	0.700	[0.001]	
AKI definition: KDIGO	141 (10)	17.0	0.001	[0.010.0.000]	-
Garcia-Alvarez (2015)	141 (19)	17.3	0.901	[0.812, 0.990]	
Hiortrup (2015)	100 (10)	11.3	0.720	[0.540, 0.900]	
De Loor (2017)	203 (28)	18.7	0.650	[0.583, 0.718]	
subgroup (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.12, l²=86%)	732 (105)	64.6	0.722	[0.594, 0.849]	-
overall (normal, p<0.001)	2469 (314)	100.0	0.726	[0.638, 0.815]	*
(tau=0.10, l ² =86%)					
					0.5 0.7 0.91
с					AUC
Meta-	analysis of urine N	IGAL for RRT (a	II available	e studies)	
study	patients (RRT)	weight (%)	AUC	95% CI	
De Geus (2011)	632 (28)	20.4	0.890	[0.812, 0.968]	
Parikh (2011)	1198 (18)	18.3	0.780	[0.682, 0.878]	
Glassford (2013) Bipili (2014)	102 (7)	8.8	0.705	[0.490, 0.920]	-
Garcia–Alvarez (2015)	288 (22)	18.4	0.727	[0.030, 0.824]	
Hjortrup (2015)	162 (26)	17.1	0.620	[0.510, 0.730]	
overall (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.08, l ² =69%)	2488 (151)	100.0	0.742	[0.663, 0.821]	-

Figure 2.

Literature-based meta-analysis (LiMA): forest plots of urinary neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin (NGAL) predicting (A) acute kidney injury (AKI), (B) severe AKI (sAKI), and (C) AKI requiring dialysis (AKI-D). Overall summary estimates presented as pooled areas under the receiver operator characteristic curve (AUCs); with a 95% confidence interval (CI), results for subgroups defined by AKI definitions (AKI Network [AKIN], KDIGO [Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes], and RIFLE [risk, injury, failure, loss of kidney function and end-stage kidney disease]) are quoted. For each study, the

inverse variance weights (in terms of percentage contribution to the overall estimate) are provided.

studv	patients (AKI)	weight (%)	AUC	95% CI	
AKI definition: AKIN	• • • •	• • • •			
Breidthardt (2012)	207 (60)	3.1	0.670	[0.570, 0.770]	
Delcroix (2012)	50 (17)	1.9	0.580	[0.408, 0.751]	
Doi (2013)	146 (53)	2.5	0.760	[0.630, 0.890]	
Kim (2013)	231 (18)	2.3	0.660	0.520, 0.800	
Soto (2013)	616 (130)	4.1	0.810	[0.765, 0.855]	
subgroup (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.07, l ² =64%)	1250 (278)	14.0	0.716	[0.637, 0.794]	•
AKI definition: RIFLE					
Haase-Fielitz (2009)	100 (50)	2.0	0.730	[0.570, 0.890]	
Constantin (2010)	56 (20)	3.8	0.956	[0.892, 1.020]	-
Cruz (2010)	301 (133)	2.6	0.780	0.655, 0.905	
Shapiro (2010)	661 (24)	3.9	0.820	0.760, 0.880	
De Geus (2011)	632 (171)	1.5	0.753	0.551, 0.9551	
Parikh (2011)	1178 (56)	3.5	0.700	0.622. 0.7781	
Di Somma (2013)	665 (49)	3.5	0.799	0.721, 0.8771	
Glassford (2013)	102 (54)	2.8	0.606	[0.490. 0.722]	
Katagiri (2013)	139 (89)	3.8	0.830	0.765, 0.895	-8-
Kidher (2014)	53 (16)	2.6	0.830	[0.705, 0.955]	
Matsa (2014)	194 (59)	3.5	0.767	[0.689, 0.845]	
Park (2015)	112 (13)	2.4	0.812	[0.677, 0.947]	
Onk (2016)	90 (45)	3.1	0.772	[0.674, 0.870]	
Khawaja (2019)	46 (24)	2.3	0.820	[0.680, 0.960]	
subgroup (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.06, l ² =64%)	4329 (8 0 3)	41.4	0.791	[0.748, 0.834]	*
AKI definition: KDIGO					
Pickering (2013)	528 (147)	4.0	0.740	[0.690, 0.790]	-
Ralib (2014)	77 (45)	2.9	0.800	[0.690, 0.910]	
Yamashita (2014)	98 (42)	3.4	0.840	[0.755, 0.925]	
Dai (2015)	112 (55)	3.3	0.830	[0.741, 0.919]	
Hjortrup (2015)	124 (31)	2.8	0.660	[0.545, 0.775]	
Ralib (2016)	225 (87)	3.8	0.810	[0.745, 0.875]	
Hang (2017)	249 (101)	4.3	0.923	[0.892, 0.954]	4
Kim (2017)	167 (41)	3.6	0.675	[0.602, 0.749]	
Introcaso (2018)	92 (24)	2.9	0.710	[0.600, 0.820]	_
Cuartero (2019)	100 (43)	3.5	0.838	[0.759, 0.916]	-8-
subgroup (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.07, l ² =82%)	1772 (616)	34.4	0.788	[0.736, 0.841]	•
AKI definition: other					
Perry (2010)	879 (75)	3.8	0.641	[0.576, 0.706]	
Camou (2013)	50 (43)	3.2	0.898	[0.803, 0.993]	-
Perrotti (2015)	155 (51)	3.3	0.700	[0.609, 0.791]	
subgroup (normal, p=0.002) (tau=0.13, l ² =90%)	1084 (169)	10.2	0.744	[0.592, 0.896]	
overall (normal, p<0.001)	8435 (1866)	100.0	0.773	[0.743, 0.804]	•
(tau=0.07, l ² =78%)					
					LTIT
					0.4 0.6 0.8

AUC

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

_
-
_

С

Meta-analysis of plasma NGAL for RRT (all available studies)

study	patients (RRT)	weight (%)	AUC	95% CI	
Haase-Fielitz (2009)	100 (4)	2.9	0.830	[0.640, 1.020]	
Constantin (2010)	88 (7)	9.7	0.788	[0.698, 0.879]	
Cruz (2010)	301 (15)	5.9	0.820	[0.695, 0.945]	
De Geus (2011)	632 (28)	6.5	0.880	[0.762, 0.998]	
Parikh (2011)	1178 (18)	11.7	0.820	[0.742, 0.898]	
Camou (2013)	50 (17)	5.0	0.804	[0.665, 0.943]	
Doi (2013)	146 (9)	3.5	0.750	[0.580, 0.920]	
Glassford (2013)	102 (7)	2.6	0.780	[0.579, 0.982]	
Linko (2013)	369 (47)	12.0	0.733	[0.656, 0.810]	
Pickering (2013)	528 (19)	4.9	0.620	[0.480, 0.760]	
Kavalci (2014)	60 (30)	8.4	0.840	[0.740, 0.940]	
Sumida (2014)	31 (6)	4.6	0.860	[0.715, 1.005]	
Hjortrup (2015)	211 (39)	10.5	0.700	[0.615, 0.785]	_
Kim (2017)	167 (24)	6.4	0.741	[0.622, 0.860]	
Cuartero (2019)	100 (9)	5.4	0.720	[0.587, 0.853]	
overall (normal, p<0.001)	4063 (279)	100.0	0.777	[0.743, 0.811]	*

(tau=0.03, l²=22%)

Meta-analysis of plasma NGAL for severe AKI (all available studies)

study	patients (sAKI)	weight (%)	AUC	95% CI	
AKI definition: AKIN Soto (2013) subgroup (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.00, l ² =0%)	616 (30) 616 (30)	38.5 38.5	0.870 0.870	[0.820, 0.920] [0.820, 0.920]	+
AKI definition: RIFLE Haase-Fielitz (2009) subgroup (normal, p=0.004) (tau=0.00, I ² =0%)	100 (19) 100 (19)	13.0 13.0	0.790 0.790	[0.590, 0.990] [0.590, 0.990]	
AKI definition: KDIGO Yamashita (2014) Hjortrup (2015) subgroup (normal, p=0.004) (tau=0.13, I ² =79%)	98 (27) 124 (12) 222 (39)	30.9 17.5 48.4	0.870 0.670 0.782	[0.785, 0.955] [0.510, 0.830] [0.587, 0.976]	
overall (normal, p<0.001) (tau=0.07, l²=63%)	938 (88)	100.0	0.825	[0.739, 0.911]	0.5 0.7 0.91 AUC

Figure 3.

Literature-based meta-analysis (LiMA): Forest plots of plasma neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin (NGAL) level predicting (A, located on previous page) acute kidney injury (AKI), (B) severe AKI, and (C) AKI requiring dialysis (AKI-D). Overall summary estimates presented as pooled areas under the receiver operator characteristic curve (AUCs) with a 95% confidence interval (CI), results for subgroups defined by AKI definitions (AKI Network [AKIN], KDIGO [Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes], and RIFLE [risk, injury, failure, loss of kidney function and end-stage kidney disease]) are quoted. For each study, the inverse variance weights (in terms of percentage contribution to the overall estimate) are provided. Abbreviation: RRT, renal replacement therapy.

^{0.4 0.6 0.8 11.1} AUC



Figure 4.

Individual-study-data meta-analysis (IDA)-derived accuracy of urine and plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) level for prediction of the study end points, (A) acute kidney injury (AKI), (B) severe AKI, and (C) AKI requiring dialysis (AKI-D) illustrated as summed receiver operator characteristic (sROC) curves (red curve) and individual ROC curves (grey) grouped by sample material. Numbers illustrate the area under the ROC curve (AUC) and 95% CI. The 3 pairs of sensitivity and specificity (95% sensitivity, optimal combination of sensitivity and specificity, and 95% specificity) of 1

individual study are connected by a line. Specifically, the sROC curves for AKI are derived from 12 studies regarding urine NGAL and 18 studies for plasma NGAL; for severe AKI, 10 studies regarding urine NGAL and 16 studies regarding plasma NGAL; for AKI-D, the sROC curve is derived from 9 individual ROC curves for urine NGAL and 12 regarding plasma NGAL (Table 1). Abbreviation: RRT, renal replacement therapy.

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Pooled Diagnostic Accuracy Expressed as AUC in the Literature-Based Meta-Analysis and Individual-Study-Data Meta-analysis

	Literature-	Based Me	ta-analysis				Individual-S	Study-Da	ta Meta-analysi	IS
	Studies, N	Pts, N	Events	AUC (95% CI)	tau	I^2	Studies, N	Pts, N	Events	AUC (95% CI)
AKI End Point										
Urinary NGAL	17	5,750	1,342 (23.3%)	0.742 (0.694–0.790)	0.09	81%	12	3,182	837 (26.3%)	0.694 (0.689–0.705)
Plasma NGAL	32	8,435	1,866 (22.1%)	0.773 ($0.743 - 0.804$)	0.07	78%	18	3,473	705 (20.3%)	0.762 (0.747–0.773)
Severe AKI End F	oint									
Urinary NGAL	9	2,469	314 (12.7%)	0.726 (0.638–0.815)	0.10	86%	10	2,564	304 (11.9%)	0.749 (0.734–0.763)
Plasma NGAL	4	938	88 (9.4%)	0.825 (0.739–0.911)	0.07	63%	16	2,842	271 (9.5%)	0.802 (0.793–0.811)
AKI-D End Point										
Urine NGAL	9	2,488	151 (6.1%)	0.742 (0.663–0.821)	0.08	%69	6	2,966	103 (3.5%)	0.796 (0.790–0.806)
Plasma NGAL	15	4,063	279 (6.9%)	0.777 (0.743–0.811)	0.03	22%	12	2,842	178 (6.3%)	0.859 (0.838–0.864)

Note: The higher number of studies assessed in the individual-study-data meta-analysis as opposed to literature-based meta-analysis relates to the fact that contributing individual-study-data meta-analysis authors provided outcome data not previously reported in the literature.

Abbreviations: AKI, acute kidney injury; AKI-D, acute kidney injury with dialysis; AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; Pt, patient.

Author Manuscript

Table 2.

NGAL Cutoff Concentrations for AKI End Points, Corresponding Sensitivity and Specificity and Predictive Indexes Derived From the Individual-Study-Data Meta-analysis

Biomarker	Criterion	Cutoff, ng/mL	Sensitivity, % (95% CI)	Specificity, % (95% CI)	LR +	LR -	DOR	Prevalence, %	PPV, %	NPV, %
AKI End Point										
Urinary	95% sensitivity	5	95 (91–99)	12 (4–21)	1.08	0.42	2.6	26.3	27.8	87.1
NGAL	Youden	81	56 (43–70)	71 (57–85)	1.93	0.62	3.1	26.3	40.8	81.9
	95% specificity	541	20 (10–30)	95 (91–99)	4.00	0.84	4.8	26.3	58.8	76.9
Plasma	95% sensitivity	71	95 (91–100)	22 (14–31)	1.22	0.23	5.4	20.3	23.7	94.5
NGAL	Youden	165	66 (50–81)	73 (63–83)	2.44	0.47	5.2	20.3	38.4	89.4
	95% specificity	311	30 (15–45)	95 (92–98)	6.00	0.74	8.1	20.3	60.4	84.2
Severe AKI End Poin	t									
Urinary	95% sensitivity	12	95 (90–100)	21 (7–35)	1.20	0.24	5.1	11.9	14.0	96.9
NGAL	Youden	105	65 (46–84)	71 (55–87)	2.24	0.49	4.5	11.9	23.2	93.8
	95% specificity	580	27 (10–45)	95 (90–100)	5.40	0.77	7.0	11.9	42.2	90.6
Plasma	95% sensitivity	<i>7</i> 9	100 (89–100)	33 (17–41)	1.49	0.00	9.4	9.5	13.5	100.0
NGAL	Youden	231	67 (46–77)	89 (76–92)	60.9	0.37	16.4	9.5	39.0	96.3
	95% specificity	364	44 (23–55)	100 (91–100)	NA	0.56	14.9	9.5	100.0	94.4
AKI-D End Point										
Urinary	95% sensitivity	26	95 (89–100)	39 (20–58)	1.56	0.13	12.1	3.5	5.3	99.5
NGAL	Youden	83	78 (65–91)	67 (49–84)	2.36	0.33	7.2	3.5	7.9	98.8
	95% specificity	589	24 (10–38)	95 (90–100)	4.80	0.80	6.0	3.5	14.8	97.2
Plasma	95% sensitivity	162	95 (88–100)	59 (41–77)	2.32	0.08	27.3	6.3	13.5	99.4
NGAL	Youden	214	87 (73–100)	71 (55–87)	3.00	0.18	16.4	6.3	16.8	98.8
	95% specificity	546	26 (5-47)	95 (90–100)	5.20	0.78	6.7	6.3	25.9	95.0

Am J Kidney Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 July 16.

Note: The identified cutoff concentrations require prospective evaluation.

Abbreviations: AKI, acute kidney injury; AKI-D, acute kidney injury with dialysis; CI, confidence interval; DOR, diagnostic odds ratio; LR +/-, positive or negative likelihood ratio; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.