

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Orthopaedics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jor

What factors are associated with perceived disease onset in patients with hip and knee osteoarthritis? *



Kenneth Furlough, Harrison Miner, Tom J. Crijns, Prakash Jayakumar, David Ring, Karl Koenig

Department of Surgery and Perioperative Care, Dell Medical School at the University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Patient-Reported outcomes Capability Misconceptions Osteoarthritis Radiographic severity Kellgren-lawrence scale Symptom duration	Introduction: Newly symptomatic osteoarthritis (OA) is often misinterpreted as new pathology or injury, which is associated with pain intensity and incapability. Methods: Adult patients with hip and knee OA completed measures of catastrophic thinking, depression, capability, symptom duration, and perceived injury. Results: Symptom duration was associated with OA grade and symptoms of depression. Perceived injury was common (31%) and associated with men and knee arthritis. Capability was associated with misinterpretation of symptoms and the work status 'other,' but not radiographic severity. Conclusions: Misinterpretation of OA symptoms is common and has a greater influence on capability than radiographic grade of pathophysiology.

1. Introduction

The aging musculoskeletal system undergoes gradual physiological changes such as loss of articular cartilage in the hip and knee.^{1–3} Although pathology develops slowly and gradually over several years, osteoarthritis (OA) that is newly symptomatic is often misinterpreted as a new problem. For instance, one study of gradual onset upper extremity illnesses found that 68% of patients misperceive the condition as having started within a year when the symptoms were first noticed.⁴ Media, marketing, personal experiences, and other factors can reinforce such unhealthy biases.⁵ Patients who recognize symptoms of OA as a normal part of the aging process can have a healthier identity than patients who feel their symptoms are caused by an injury, a common misconception which may be associated with greater incapability.^{6–8} Furthermore, such misconceptions might increase the risk that a patient makes treatment decisions that are not consistent with what matters most to them (their values).⁹

In light of the potential impact of misconceptions about the cause and misinterpretation of perceived disease onset among patients with OA, we asked: 1) What factors are independently associated with patient perception of disease onset (symptom onset in months) related to hip and knee OA? 2) What factors are associated with the belief that osteoarthriits is due to an injury rather than age-related? 3) What factors are associated with the magnitude of capability?

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design and setting

After institutional review board approval, new and return Englishspeaking patients aged 40 to 89 years-old with atraumatic hip or knee OA visiting urban hip and knee arthroplasty specialists were invited to participate in a cross-sectional study over a 9-month period. To avoid repeat enrollment of returning patients, if the patient's previous or first office visit was after enrollment started, then the patient was not enrolled in the study.

Participants were approached before or after their visit with the clinician and invited to complete questionnaires. Completion of the questionnaire represented implied informed consent. Questionnaires were completed in a private exam room on an electronic tablet using the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap; Nashville, TN) secure webbased application.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2021.07.013 Received 17 May 2021; Accepted 11 July 2021

Available online 14 July 2021

0972-978X/© 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Professor P K Surendran Memorial Education Foundation.

 $^{\,\,^{\}star}\,$ This work was performed at the Dell Medical school, The University of Texas at Austin.

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Surgery and Perioperative Care, Medical Director for the Musculoskeletal Institute, UT Health Austin Division Chief, Orthopaedics, Department of Surgery and Perioperative Care, Dell Medical School at the University of Texas at Austin, 1701 Trinity Street, Austin, TX, 78712, USA. *E-mail address:* karl.koenig@austin.utexas.edu (K. Koenig).

2.2. Study population

One hundred and forty-eight people started the questionnaires, but 29 patients (20%) were excluded because they left before completing at least 60% of the questionnaires or there were lapses in enroller-entered details after completion of the visit, leaving 119 for analysis. These logistical lapses occurred at random. The study sample included 80 (67%) women and 39 (33%) men with a mean age of 62 (Standard Deviation: 9.9 years; Table 1). Ninety-one patients (76%) had knee OA; 69% of patients believed their symptoms were due to age-related changes. The median symptom onset was 18 months (Interquartile range: 8 to 60).

2.3. Measures

Participants completed a demographic survey, mental health questionnaires, and a measure of capability. Demographics included age, sex, level of education, work status, yearly household income, and insurance status. People were asked how many months ago they first noticed symptoms, whether the symptoms were in the hip or knee, and if the symptoms were the result of an injury or age-related changes.

The mental health questionnaires included the two question version of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-2) to measure symptoms of depression,^{10,11} the two question version of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-2) to measure symptoms of anxiety,¹² and the 4 question version of the Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS-4) to measure the

Table 1

Patient demographics.

N 119 Age 62 ± 9.9 Gender 80 (67%) Men 39 (33%) Education 119 High school or less 45 (38%) 2-year college 19 (16%) 4-year college 19 (16%) 4-year college 31 (26%) Post graduate degree 24 (20%) Work status Employed Employed 43 (36%) Retired 44 (37%) Other 32 (27%) Annual household income 43 (36%) <\$30,000 47 (40%) \$30,000-\$99,999 43 (36%) >\$100,000 29 (24%) Insurance 74 (62%) Private 45 (38%) Public or no insurance 74 (62%) GAD-2 2 (0-3) PHQ-2 1 (0-3) PCS-4 4 (2-7) PSEQ-2 6 (4-10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8-60) Perceived injury <th>Variables</th> <th>Value</th>	Variables	Value
Age 62 ± 9.9 Gender 80 (67%) Men 39 (33%) Education 1 High school or less 45 (38%) 2-year college 19 (16%) 4-year college 13 (26%) Post graduate degree 24 (20%) Work status 12 (26%) Employed 43 (36%) Retired 44 (37%) Other 32 (27%) Annual household income 47 (40%) \$30,000-\$99,999 43 (36%) \$30,000-\$99,999 43 (36%) >\$100,000 45 (38%) Public or no insurance 74 (62%) GAD-2 2 (0-3) PHQ-2 1 (0-3) PCS-4 4 (2-7) PSEQ-2 6 (4-10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8-60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint 1 25 (21%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 25 (21%) 1 25 (21%) 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) <	Ν	119
Gender 80 (67%) Men 80 (63%) Education 90 (33%) 2-year college 19 (16%) 4-year college 19 (16%) 4-year college 24 (20%) Work status 90 (36%) Employed 43 (36%) Retired 44 (37%) Other 32 (27%) Annual household income 45 (38%) <\$30,000	Age	62 ± 9.9
Women 80 (67%) Men 39 (33%) Education	Gender	
Men 39 (33%) Education 4 High school or less 45 (38%) 2-year college 19 (16%) 4-year college 31 (26%) Post graduate degree 24 (20%) Work status 44 (37%) Employed 43 (36%) Retired 44 (37%) Other 20 (27%) Annual household income 43 (36%) \$30,000 47 (40%) \$30,000.\$99,999 43 (36%) \$30,000.\$99,999 43 (36%) \$30,000.\$99,999 43 (36%) Pitvate 45 (38%) Public or no insurance 74 (62%) GAD-2 2 (0-3) PHQ-2 1 (0-3) PCS-4 4 (2-7) PSEQ-2 6 (4-10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8-60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint 4 Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Law	Women	80 (67%)
Education High school or less 45 (38%) 2-year college 19 (16%) 4-year college 31 (26%) Post graduate degree 24 (20%) Work status 2 Employed 43 (36%) Retired 44 (37%) Other 32 (27%) Annual household income 44 (36%) <\$30,000	Men	39 (33%)
High school or less 45 (38%) 2-year college 19 (16%) 4-year college 31 (26%) Post graduate degree 24 (20%) Work status Employed Employed 43 (36%) Retired 44 (37%) Other 32 (27%) Annual household income 47 (40%) \$30,000 47 (40%) \$30,000-\$99,999 43 (36%) >\$100,000 29 (24%) Insurance 74 (62%) Private 45 (38%) Public or no insurance 74 (62%) GAD-2 2 (0-3) PHQ-2 1 (0-3) PCS-4 4 (2-7) PSEQ-2 6 (4-10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8-60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%) <td>Education</td> <td></td>	Education	
2-year college 19 (16%) 4-year college 31 (26%) Post graduate degree 24 (20%) Work status	High school or less	45 (38%)
4-year college 31 (26%) Post graduate degree 24 (20%) Work status	2-year college	19 (16%)
Post graduate degree 24 (20%) Work status 43 (36%) Employed 43 (36%) Retired 44 (37%) Other 32 (27%) Annual household income 43 (36%) <\$30,000	4-year college	31 (26%)
Work status 43 (36%) Retired 43 (37%) Retired 44 (37%) Other 32 (27%) Annual household income - <\$30,000	Post graduate degree	24 (20%)
Employed 43 (36%) Retired 44 (37%) Other 32 (27%) Annual household income	Work status	
Retired 44 (37%) Other 32 (27%) Annual household income 32 (27%) <\$30,000	Employed	43 (36%)
Other 32 (27%) Annual household income - <\$30,000	Retired	44 (37%)
Annual household income <\$30,000	Other	32 (27%)
<\$30,000	Annual household income	
\$30,000-\$99,999 43 (36%) >\$100,000 29 (24%) Insurance 45 (38%) Private 45 (38%) Public or no insurance 74 (62%) GAD-2 2 (0–3) PHQ-2 1 (0–3) PCS-4 4 (2–7) PSEQ-2 6 (4–10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8–60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint 1 Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 24 (20%) 1 25 (21%) 2 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	<\$30,000	47 (40%)
>\$100,000 29 (24%) Insurance Private 45 (38%) Public or no insurance 74 (62%) GAD-2 2 (0-3) PHQ-2 1 (0-3) PCS-4 4 (2-7) PSEQ-2 6 (4-10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8–60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 3 (0 (25%) 4 (0 (34%)	\$30,000-\$99,999	43 (36%)
Insurance Private 45 (38%) Public or no insurance 74 (62%) GAD-2 2 (0-3) PHQ-2 1 (0-3) PCS-4 4 (2-7) PSEQ-2 6 (4-10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8-60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint	>\$100,000	29 (24%)
Private 45 (38%) Public or no insurance 74 (62%) GAD-2 2 (0-3) PHQ-2 1 (0-3) PCS-4 4 (2-7) PSEQ-2 6 (4-10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8-60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	Insurance	
Public or no insurance 74 (62%) GAD-2 2 (0-3) PHQ-2 1 (0-3) PCS-4 4 (2-7) PSEQ-2 6 (4-10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8-60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	Private	45 (38%)
GAD-2 2 (0-3) PHQ-2 1 (0-3) PCS-4 4 (2-7) PSEQ-2 6 (4-10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8-60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint 1 Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	Public or no insurance	74 (62%)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	GAD-2	2 (0–3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	PHQ-2	1 (0-3)
PSEQ-2 6 (4–10) PROMIS Physical Function (t-score) 38 ± 6.9 Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8–60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint 1 Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 2 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	PCS-4	4 (2–7)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	PSEQ-2	6 (4–10)
Duration of symptoms (months) 18 (8-60) Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	PROMIS Physical Function (t-score)	38 ± 6.9
Perceived injury 37 (31%) Joint Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	Duration of symptoms (months)	18 (8–60)
Joint Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	Perceived injury	37 (31%)
Knee 91 (76%) Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	Joint	
Hip 28 (24%) Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	Knee	91 (76%)
Kellgren-Lawrence grade 1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	Hip	28 (24%)
1 25 (21%) 2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	Kellgren-Lawrence grade	
2 24 (20%) 3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	1	25 (21%)
3 30 (25%) 4 40 (34%)	2	24 (20%)
4 40 (34%)	3	30 (25%)
	4	40 (34%)

Continuous variables as mean \pm standard deviation or median (interquartile range [IQR]); discrete variables as percentage (number). GAD-2 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder 2-item; PHQ-2 = Patient Health Questionnaire 2-item; PCS-4= Pain Catastrophizing Scale 4-item; PSEQ-2 = Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire 2-item. cognitive bias of worst-case thinking regarding nociception.^{8,13} For each measure, higher numbers indicate greater symptom intensity.

The magnitude of capability was measured using the Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System Physical Function upper extremity Computer Adaptive Test (PROMIS PF CAT).^{14–16} A score of 50 is average for the United States population, with each 10 points above or below 50 representing a standard deviation from the mean. Higher scores indicate greater capability.

On completion of the survey, the researcher recorded the radiographic severity of OA according to the Kellgren Lawrence (KL) classification as applied by the clinician.¹⁷ Grade 1 is doubtful joint space narrowing with possible osteophyte lipping; Grade 2 is definite osteophytes and possible narrowing of joint space; Grade 3 is multiple osteophytes, definite narrowing of the joint space, with possible deformity of bone; and Grade 4 is large osteophytes, with marked joint space narrowing, severe subchondral sclerosis, and deformity of bone contour.¹⁷

2.4. Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. For continuous variables, we calculated the mean and standard deviations for variables with a normal distribution, while the median and interquartile range was used for variables with a nonparametric distribution. We performed bivariate analyses to seek factors associated with the duration of symptoms, accounting for gender, education level, work status, income, insurance, provocation (i.e. age-related compared to injury-related), joint involved (i.e. hip or knee), age, GAD-2, PHQ-2, PCS-4, PSEQ-2, PROMIS PF CAT, and KL grade. We calculated Spearman rank-order correlations for continuous variables, and we used Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis tests for categorical variables, where appropriate. All variables with a P-value below 0.10 were moved to negative binomial regression analysis. We used the variance inflation factor (VIF) and correlation matrices to detect multicollinearity between independent variables. Since there were strong bivariate associations between measures of mental health such as the GAD-2, the PHQ-2, and the PSC-4, colinear variables were dropped from the model. We used the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) to assess candidate variables and to construct a model with the lowest prediction error and the best fit.¹⁸ For the secondary hypotheses, we sought factors associated with the PROMIS Physical Function CAT score and perceiving injury as the cause of symptoms. All variables with a P-value <0.10 in bivariate analysis were moved to multivariable linear and logistic regression models respectively to seek factors associated with the PROMIS Physical Function and the perception of symptoms being due to an injury. The KL Grade was entered in the multivariable model for PROMIS PF regardless of bivariate significance because of the assumption that OA grade would impact symptom severity. We reported the Regression Coefficients (RC), Odds Ratios (OR), 95% Confidence Interval (CI), and P-value. P-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

An a priori sample size calculation determined that 102 patients provide 80% statistical power, with α set at 0.05, for a regression with ten predictors if the complete model would account for 15% of the variability in the duration of symptoms. We aimed to enroll 120 patients to account for missing or incomplete questionnaires.

3. Results

In bivariate analysis, a longer duration of symptoms was associated with annual income, public or no insurance, greater symptoms of anxiety, greater symptoms of depression, greater catastrophic thinking, and higher KL Grade of OA (Table 2). In multivariable analysis, longer duration of symptoms was associated with greater symptoms of depression and higher KL Grade for OA (Table 3).

In bivariate analysis, perceived injury was associated with men, knee symptoms, and younger age (Table 4). In multivariable logistic

Table 2

Bivariate analysis of factors associated with the duration of symptoms in months.

Categorical variables	Median (IQR)	P value
Gender		0.46
Women	18 (6–54)	
Men	24 (9–82)	
Education		0.23
High school or less	18 (11–72)	
2-year college	24 (6–36)	
4-year college	36 (12–60)	
Post graduate degree	12 (5.5–30)	
Work status		0.21
Employed	12 (6–48)	
Retired	24 (12–54)	
Other	36 (9–77)	
Annual household income		0.069
<\$30,000	36 (9–82)	
\$30,000-\$99,999	24 (12–48)	
>\$100,000	11 (4–36)	
Insurance		0.030
Private	12 (6–36)	
Public or no insurance	24 (11–60)	
Perceived provocation		0.79
Injury	16 (6–100)	
Age-related changes	24 (9–48)	
Joint		0.79
Knee	24 (6–60)	
Hip	12 (10–48)	
Continuous variables	Spearman rank correlation	
	coefficient (ρ)	
Age	0.032	0.73
GAD-2	0.21	0.020
PHQ-2	0.29	0.002
PCS-4	0.32	< 0.001
PSEQ-2	·-0.12	0.20
PROMIS Physical Function (t-	-0.26	0.005
score)		
Kellgren-Lawrence grade	0.30	< 0.001

Continuous variables as median (IQR = interquartile range). All variables with P < 0.10 were moved to multivariable analysis. GAD-2 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder 2-item; PHQ-2 = Patient Health Questionnaire 2-item; PCS-4= Pain Catastrophizing Scale 4-item; PSEQ-2 = Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire 2-item.

Table 3

Multivariable negative binomial regression analysis of factors associated with the duration of symptoms in months.

Variables	Regression coefficient	Standard error	P value	Pseudo R ²
	(95% Confidence Interval)			
				0.021
Annual household in	come			
<\$30,000	reference value			
\$30,000-\$99,999	-0.0030 (-0.52 to 0.51)	0.26	0.99	
>\$100,000	-0.51 (-1.1 to 0.043)	0.28	0.071	
Insurance				
Public or no insurance	reference value			
Private	-0.20 (-0.64 to 0.24)	0.22	0.38	
Kellgren-Lawrence grade	0.22 (0.054–0.39)	0.085	0.009	
PHQ-2†	0.18 (0.047–0.31)	0.067	0.008	

Bold indicates statistical significance, P < 0.05. PHQ-2 = Patient Health Questionnaire 2-item. † The GAD-2, PCS-4, and PROMIS Physical Function were omitted due to collinearity with PHQ-2 (Spearman $\rho > 0.5$).

Journal of Orthopaedics 26 (2021) 88-93

Table 4

Bivariate analysis of factors associated with perceived injury.

Categorical variables	Injury (N = 37)	Age-related (N = 82)	P value
Gender			0.006
Women	18 (49)	62 (76)	01000
Men	19 (51)	20 (24)	
Education			0.19
High school or less	19 (51)	26 (32)	
2-year college	4 (11)	15 (18)	
4-year college	9 (24)	22 (27)	
Post graduate degree	5 (14)	19 (23)	
Work status			0.14
Employed	17 (46)	26 (32)	
Retired	9 (24)	35 (43)	
Other	11 (30)	21 (26)	
Annual household income			0.89
<\$30,000	15 (41)	32 (39)	
\$30,000-\$99,999	14 (38)	29 (35)	
>\$100,000	8 (22)	21 (26)	
Insurance			0.31
Private	11 (30)	34 (41)	
Public or no insurance	26 (70)	48 (59)	
Joint			0.009
Knee	34 (92)	57 (70)	
Hip	3 (8)	25 (30)	
Age	60 ± 11	63 ± 9.3	0.065
Duration of symptoms	16 (6-100)	24 (9-48)	0.79
GAD-2	1 (0-4)	2 (0-3)	0.72
PHQ-2	2 (0-3)	1 (0-2)	0.40
PCS-4	4 (2-6)	4 (2–7)	0.50
PSEQ-2	7 (4–10)	6 (4–10)	0.84
PROMIS Physical Function (t- score)	38 ± 7.3	38 ± 6.7	0.74
Kellgren-Lawrence grade	3 (1-4)	3 (2–4)	0.90

Continuous variables as mean \pm standard deviation or median (interquartile range [IQR]); discrete variables as percentage (number). All variables with P < 0.10 were moved to multivariable analysis. GAD-2 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder 2-item; PHQ-2 = Patient Health Questionnaire 2-item; PCS-4= Pain Catastrophizing Scale 4-item; PSEQ-2 = Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire 2-item.

Table 5

Multivariable logistic regression analysis of factors associated with perceived injury.

5 5				
Variables	Odds Ratio	Standard error	P value	Pseudo R ²
	(95% Confidence Interval)			
				0.12
Age	0.96 (0.92-1.0)	0.022	0.11	
Gender				
Women	reference value			
Men	3.4 (1.4–8.1)	1.5	0.005	
Joint				
Knee	reference value			
Hip	0.25 (0.067–0.92)	0.17	0.037	

Bold indicates statistical significance, P < 0.05.

regression, perceived injury was independently associated with men and knee symptoms (Table 5).

In bivariate analysis, less capability was associated with women, education level, work status, annual household income, public or no insurance, duration of symptoms, greater symptoms of anxiety, greater symptoms of depression, greater catastrophic thinking, and lower selfefficacy in response to pain (Table 6). In multivariable analysis, lower capability was associated with greater catastrophic thinking and other than employed work status, but not with radiographic severity of arthritis (Table 7).

4. Discussion

Hip and knee OA develop gradually and are often initially noticed at

Table 6

Bivariate analysis of factors associated with the PROMIS Physical Function score.

Categorical variables	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	P value
Gender		0.054
Women	37 ± 6.7	
Men	40 ± 6.9	
Education		0.001
High school or less	36 ± 5.7	
2-year college	36 ± 7.2	
4-year college	40 ± 7.5	
Post graduate degree	41 ± 5.9	
Work status		< 0.001
Employed	41 ± 6.2	
Retired	38 ± 7.0	
Other	33 ± 5.1	
Annual household income		< 0.001
<\$30,000	35 ± 6.1	
\$30,000-\$99,999	39 ± 5.5	
>\$100,000	41 ± 7.9	
Insurance		0.009
Private	40 ± 7.0	
Public or no insurance	37 ± 6.5	
Symptom onset		0.10
Sudden	40 ± 8.1	
Gradual	37 ± 6.3	
Perceived provocation		0.74
Injury	38 ± 6.7	
Age-related changes	38 ± 7.3	
Joint		0.16
Knee	38 ± 6.6	
Hip	36 ± 7.4	
Continuous variables	Correlation coefficient	P value
Age (r)	0.064	0.49
Duration of symptoms (p)	-0.26	0.005
GAD-2 (ρ)	-0.22	0.014
PHQ-2 (ρ)	-0.50	< 0.001
PCS-4 (ρ)	-0.52	< 0.001
PSEQ-2 (ρ)	0.70	< 0.001
Kellgren-Lawrence grade (ρ)	-0.080	0.39

Continuous variables as median (IQR = interquartile range). Pearson correlation indicated by r; Spearman correlation indicated by ρ . All variables with P < 0.10 were moved to multivariable analysis. GAD-2 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder 2-item; PHQ-2 = Patient Health Questionnaire 2-item; PCS-4 = Pain Catastrophizing Scale 4-item; PSEQ-2 = Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire 2-item.

a relatively advanced stage.^{19,20} When symptoms are first noticed, the problem might seem new, and can be misperceived as an injury.⁴ Such misperceptions could affect symptom intensity and decision-making.²¹ This study found a relatively short perceived duration of symptoms among people with relatively advanced OA, and symptoms were frequently misinterpreted as an injury. Lower capability was related to common misconceptions (cognitive biases) regarding pain, but not radiographic disease severity.

Our study had several limitations. First, the impact of mental health on capability may be underestimated due to the tendency of people to avoid answering mental health questionnaires honestly (seen as floor effects), perhaps due to the social stigma regarding mental health and perhaps the desire to be taken seriously.^{22,23} Second, the generalizability of our findings may be limited by the fact that the majority of our patients were white and had little variation in socioeconomic status. We encourage additional study in more diverse population, and our hunch is that the findings will be relatively consistent. Third, we had more incomplete records than typical for our studies due to communication errors between clinicians and researchers. These errors led to patients leaving the office before completing the questionnaires. The errors occurred at random, and we do not believe they influenced the results. Fourth, our planned statistical analysis did not anticipate the notable interactions (collinearity) between the behavioral health questionnaires and some of the demographics (work status, income, and education). When all factors were included in multivariable models using the enter

Table 7

Multivariable linear regression analysis of factors associated with the PROMIS Physical Function score.

Variables	Regression coefficient	Standard error	P value	Partial R ²
	(95% Confidence Interval)			
Gender				
Women	reference value			
Men	1.6 (-0.69 to 3.9)	1.2	0.17	0.017
Insurance				
Public or no	reference value			
insurance				
Private	1.1 (-1.3 to 3.4)	1.2	0.38	0.0071
Work status*				
Employed	reference value			
Retired	-2.8 (-5.5 to	1.3	0.040	0.038
	-0.13)			
Other	-4.3 (-7.3 to -1.4)	1.5	0.005	0.070
Duration of	-0.0044 (-0.024 to	0.0097	0.66	0.0018
symptoms	0.015)			
PCS-4†	-1.1 (-1.5 to	0.24	< 0.001	0.15
	-0.59)			
Kellgren-Lawrence	0.099 (-0.84 to 1.0)	0.48	0.84	0.00039
grade				

Bold indicates statistical significance, *P* < 0.05. PCS-4= Pain Catastrophizing Scale 4-item. *The variables 'Annual household income' and 'Education' were omitted because they were associated with 'Work status'. † The GAD-2, PHQ-2, PSEQ-2, and PROMIS Physical Function were omitted due to collinearity with PCS-4 (Spearman ρ > 0.40).

method, we recognized the problem and switched to a backward elimination technique to avoid interaction between the independent variables. Fifth, people who present with knee osteoarthritis years after a complete Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) rupture or after a tibial plateau fracture may be right that their OA symptoms are caused by a prior injury, but this could not be accounted for. However, we expect that the majority advanced knee OA is not due to injury and represents misinterpretation of symptoms. Lastly, there may be untracked confounders that we did not account for. For instance, it is possible that men are more likely to be working in labor occupations, but we did not account for occupation.

The finding that longer duration of symptoms is independently associated with greater symptoms of depression in addition to more advanced radiographic arthritis emphasizes the importance of care strategies based in the biopsychosocial model of human illness.²⁴ Perhaps people with better mental health are more adaptive to age-appropriate changes in the knee or hip for longer. That is what we see in the population data of Kim and colleagues,²⁰ as they found that 1) most people over age 65 have some knee arthritis; 2) less than half the people with KL grade 4, advanced knee arthritis have sufficient symptoms and limitations to qualify for a diagnosis of symptomatic knee arthritis (indicating that even severe OA is generally accommodated); and 3) symptoms of depression had a strong influence on symptoms and limitations, particularly among people with moderate radiographic knee arthritis.

The observation that nearly a third of patients misinterpret OA as an injury, more so in the knee, emphasizes the importance of anticipating common misconceptions about pain. Since humans are programmed to interpret pain as injury, this is to be expected. The degree to which people cognitively fuse with these automatic thoughts (i.e. regard them as facts) seems to affect their explanatory model.²⁵ An erroneous explanatory model has the potential to contribute to choices inconsistent with one's values. Health literacy–the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process and understand basic health information needed to make appropriate health decisions–likely has a strong bidirectional interaction with awareness of the human mind's tendency toward cognitive biases and cognitive errors and development of

appropriate debiasing strategies.^{26–28} Orthopedic surgeons can anticipate misconceptions based on common cognitive biases regarding pain and practice communication strategies to help guide people to more accurate, more enabling, and healthier interpretation of symptoms.

The observation that lower capability is associated with cognitive bias regarding pain (catastrophic or worst-case thinking) and not with KL grade of radiographic OA is consistent with a growing body of evidence that emphasizes the importance of the biopsychosocial model.^{20,24,29–33} As mentioned, population-based studies show that pathology corresponds with symptom intensity and capability primarily at the most severe grades of knee OA, with less correspondence and notable influence of mental health at lesser grades of OA.^{20,24} Studies of people that seek care for OA address the subset of the population that are sufficiently unsettled to seek care. Among people who are unsettled there may be even less correspondence with radiographic pathology and among population-based studies as seen in this study and also studies of people presenting to a specialist for care of trapeziometacarpal OA.^{34,35} It is quite notable that the KL grade of arthritis was not associated with capability when accounting for other factors, and this finding reemphasizes the importance of the biopsychosocial paradigm.

The observation that misinterpretation of the duration of disease and its cause is common reinforces the importance of comprehensive, wholeperson, strategies for improving health based on the biopsychosocial model of human illness. Surgeons and their teams can measure symptoms of depression and misinterpretation of pain, and they can also look for the verbal and non-verbal signs of such mental health opportunities.^{36,37} Practiced communication strategies and readily available mental and social health expertise can help ensure treatment that addresses the most favorable opportunities for improving health. Our findings suggest a series of misperceptions related to the experience of OA. Orthopedic surgeons can anticipate, identify, and gently and incrementally reorient such misperceptions to achieve the outcomes that matter to patients.^{38,39} These processes can be embedded in the interaction and facilitated by planned and practiced communications strategies.

Declaration of competing interest

KF, HM, TC, PJ, and KK certify that they have no commercial associations (eg, consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/ licensing arrangements, etc) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article. One of the authors (DR) certifies that he, or a member of his immediate family, has or may receive payment or benefits from Skeletal Dynamics (USD less than 100,000, Wright Medical for elbow implants (USD less than 10,000), Deputy Editor for Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, Universities and Hospitals, and Lawyers outside of the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

The completion of this work and patient enrollment is attributed to the hard work and commitment to our researchers by the names of Devin Garza, Kara Titus, Devin Patel, Niki Mehra, Ray Kitziger, Dhairye Dave, Joshua Mathew, Krishna Anand, Sarah Grant, and Monica Trevino.

References

- Fu Y, Kinter M, Hudson J, et al. Aging promotes sirtuin 3-dependent cartilage superoxide dismutase 2 acetylation and osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2016;68(8): 1887–1898. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.39618.
- 2 Greene MA, Loeser RF. Aging-related inflammation in osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2015;23(11):1966–1971. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2015.01.008.
- 3 Shane Anderson A, Loeser RF. Why is osteoarthritis an age-related disease? Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2010;24(1):15–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2009.08.006.
- 4 van Hoorn BT, Wilkens SC. Ring D. Gradual onset diseases: misperception of disease onset. J Hand Surg Am. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhsa.2017.07.021.

- 5 Loeb S, Sengupta S, Butaney M, et al. Dissemination of misinformative and biased information about prostate cancer on YouTube. *Eur Urol.* 2019. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.eururo.2018.10.056.
- 6 Somers TJ, Keefe FJ, Pells JJ, et al. Pain catastrophizing and pain-related fear in osteoarthritis patients: relationships to pain and disability. J Pain Symptom Manag. 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2008.05.009.
- 7 Zhaoyang R, Martire LM, Darnall BD. Daily pain catastrophizing predicts less physical activity and more sedentary behavior in older adults with osteoarthritis. *Pain. June* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.000000000001959.
- 8 Ong WJ, Kwan YH, Lim ZY, et al. Measurement properties of Pain Catastrophizing Scale in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Clin Rheumatol.* June 2020. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10067-020-05163-8.
- 9 van der Oest MJW, Poelstra R, Feitz R, et al. Illness perceptions of patients with first carpometacarpal osteoarthritis, carpal tunnel syndrome, dupuytren contracture, or trigger finger. J Hand Surg Am. 2020;45(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhsa.2019.10.021, 455.e1-455.e8.
- 10 Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x.
- 11 Löwe B, Kroenke K, Gräfe K. Detecting and monitoring depression with a two-item questionnaire (PHQ-2). J Psychosom Res. 2005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. insychores. 2004.09.006.
- 12 Löwe B, Decker O, Müller S, et al. Validation and standardization of the generalized anxiety disorder screener (GAD-7) in the general population. *Med Care*. 2008. https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e318160d093.
- 13 Hampton SN, Nakonezny PA, Richard HM, Wells JE. Pain catastrophizing, anxiety, and depression in hip pathology. *Bone Joint Lett J.* 2019;101-B(7):800–807. https:// doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.101B7.BJJ-2018-1309.R1.
- 14 Cook KF, Jensen SE, Schalet BD, et al. PROMIS measures of pain, fatigue, negative affect, physical function, and social function demonstrated clinical validity across a range of chronic conditions. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclinepi.2015.08.038.
- 15 Stone AA, Broderick JE, Junghaenel DU, Schneider S, Schwartz JE. PROMIS fatigue, pain intensity, pain interference, pain behavior, physical function, depression, anxiety, and anger scales demonstrate ecological validity. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iclinepi.2015.08.029.
- 16 Driban JB, Morgan N, Price LL, Cook KF, Wang C. Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) instruments among individuals with symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional study of floor/ceiling effects and construct validity. *BMC Muscoskel Disord*. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-015-0715-y.
- 17 Kohn MD, Sassoon AA, Fernando ND. Classifications in brief: kellgren-lawrence classification of osteoarthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2016;474(8):1886–1893. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-016-4732-4.
- 18 Heinze G, Wallisch C, Dunkler D. Variable selection a review and recommendations for the practicing statistician. *Biom J.* 2018. https://doi.org/10.1002/ bimj.201700067.
- 19 Culvenor AG, Øiestad BE, Hart HF, Stefanik JJ, Guermazi A, Crossley KM. Prevalence of knee osteoarthritis features on magnetic resonance imaging in asymptomatic uninjured adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2019;53 (20). https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-099257, 1268 LP - 1278.
- 20 Kim KW, Han JW, Cho HJ, et al. Association between comorbid depression and osteoarthritis symptom severity in patients with knee osteoarthritis. J Bone Jt Surg -Ser A. 2011. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.I.01344.
- 21 Crijns TJ, Bernstein DN, Ring D, Gonzalez R, Wilbur D, Hammert WC. Factors associated with a discretionary upper-extremity surgery. J Hand Surg Am. 2019;44 (2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhsa.2018.04.028, 155.e1-155.e7.
- 22 Guattery JM, Dardas AZ, Kelly M, Chamberlain A, McAndrew C, Calfee RP. Floor effect of PROMIS depression cat associated with hasty completion in orthopaedic surgery patients. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2018. https://doi.org/10.1007/ \$11999.00000000000076
- 23 Bernstein DN, Atkinson J, Fear K, et al. Determining the generalizability of the PROMIS depression domain's floor effect and completion time in patients undergoing orthopaedic surgery. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2019. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/CORR.00000000000782.
- 24 Han S Bin, Lee SH, Ha IH, Kim EJ. Association between severity of depressive symptoms and chronic knee pain in Korean adults aged over 50 years: a crosssectional study using nationally representative data. *BMJ Open.* 2019. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032451.
- 25 Özkan S, Zale EL, Ring D, Vranceanu A-M. Associations between pain catastrophizing and cognitive fusion in relation to pain and upper extremity function among hand and upper extremity surgery patients. Ann Behav Med. 2017;51(4):547–554. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12160-017-9877-1.
- 26 Riva S, Antonietti A, Iannello P, Pravettoni G. What are judgment skills in health literacy? A psycho-cognitive perspective of judgment and decision-making research. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2015. https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S90207.
- 27 Institute of Medicine. Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2004. https://doi.org/10.17226/10883.
- 28 Shealy KM, Threatt TB. Utilization of the newest vital sign (NVS) in practice in the United States. *Health Commun.* 2016. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10410236.2014.990079.
- 29 Crijns TJ, Liu TC, Ring D, Bozic KJ, Koenig K. Influence of patient Activation, pain self-efficacy, and resilience on pain intensity and magnitude of limitations in patients with hip and knee arthritis. J Surg Orthop Adv. 2019;28(1):48–52.

K. Furlough et al.

- 30 Crijns TJ, Bernstein DN, Teunis T, et al. The association between symptoms of depression and office visits in patients with nontraumatic upper-extremity illness. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhsa.2019.03.019.
- 31 Kortlever JTP, Janssen SJ, van Berckel MMG, Ring D, Vranceanu AM. What is the most useful questionnaire for measurement of coping strategies in response to nociception? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2015;473(11):3511–3518. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11999-015-4419-2.
- 32 Teunis T, Bot AGJ, Thornton ER, Ring D. Catastrophic thinking is associated with finger stiffness after distal radius fracture surgery. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2015;29(10): e414–e420. https://doi.org/10.1097/BOT.00000000000342.
- 33 Menendez ME, Ring D. Factors associated with greater pain intensity. Hand Clin. 2016;32(1):27–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hcl.2015.08.004.
- 34 Becker SJE, Makarawung DJS, Spit SA, King JD, Ring D. Disability in patients with trapeziometacarpal joint arthrosis: incidental versus presenting diagnosis. J Hand Surg Am. 2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhsa.2014.07.009.
- 35 Wilkens SC, Tarabochia MA, Ring D, Chen NC. Factors associated with radiographic trapeziometacarpal arthrosis in patients not seeking care for this condition. *Hand.* 2019. https://doi.org/10.1177/1558944717732064.
- 36 Wilkens SC, Lans J, Bargon CA, Ring D, Chen NC. Hand posturing is a nonverbal indicator of catastrophic thinking for finger, hand, or wrist injury. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2018. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999.00000000000089.
- 37 Bot AGJ, Vranceanu AM, Herndon JH, Ring DC. Correspondence of patient word choice with psychologic factors in patients with upper extremity illness. In: *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-012-2436-
- 38 Crijns TJ. CORR Insights®: can the QuickDASH PROM be altered by first completing the tasks on the instrument? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2019;477(9):2069–2070. https:// doi.org/10.1097/CORR.00000000000776.
- 39 Shapiro LM, Harris AHS, Eppler SL, Kamal RN. Can the QuickDASH PROM be altered by first completing the tasks on the instrument? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2019;477(9): 2062–2068. https://doi.org/10.1097/CORR.00000000000731.