



Minimally Invasive Oncologic Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery can be Performed Safely on all Weekdays: A Nationwide Cohort Study

Daan M. Voeten^{1,2} · Arthur K. E. Elfrink^{2,3} · Suzanne S. Gisbertz¹ · Jelle P. Ruurda⁴ · Richard van Hillegersberg⁴ · Mark I. van Berge Henegouwen¹

Accepted: 27 April 2021 / Published online: 25 May 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Background Existing literature suggests deteriorating surgical outcome of esophagogastric surgery as the week progresses. However, these studies were conducted in the pre-centralization and pre-minimally invasive era. In addition, they failed to correct for fixed weekdays of esophagogastric cancer surgery among hospitals. This study aimed to describe the impact of weekday of minimally invasive upper gastrointestinal surgery on short-term surgical outcomes.

Methods All patients registered in the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit who underwent curative minimally invasive esophageal or gastric carcinoma surgery in 2015–2019, were included in this nationwide cohort study. Using multilevel multivariable logistic regression, the impact of weekday of surgery on 14 short-term surgical outcomes was investigated. To correct for interhospital variance in fixed weekday(s) of surgery multilevel analyses was used. Results were adjusted for patient, tumor, and treatment characteristics using multivariable logistic regression analyses.

Results This study included 4,102 patients undergoing minimally invasive upper gastrointestinal surgery (2,968 esophageal cancer and 1,134 gastric cancer patients). Weekday of surgery did not impact postoperative complications, severe postoperative complications, surgical/technical complications, medical complications, anastomotic leakage, complicated postoperative course, failure to rescue, surgical radicality, lymph node yield, 30-day/in-hospital mortality, reinterventions, length of ICU stay, 30-day readmission, and textbook outcome after neither esophageal cancer nor gastric cancer surgery.

Conclusions Minimally invasive esophagogastric surgery can be performed safely on all weekdays with respect to short-term surgical outcomes, which is important information for operation room scheduling.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-021-06160-x>.

✉ Mark I. van Berge Henegouwen
m.i.vanbergehenegouwen@amsterdamumc.nl

¹ Department of Surgery, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Cancer Center Amsterdam, Room G6-250, Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

² Scientific Bureau, Dutch Institute for Clinical Auditing, Leiden, the Netherlands

³ Department of Surgery, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

⁴ Department of Surgery, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Introduction

Gastric and esophageal carcinoma are the third and sixth leading causes of cancer-related mortality worldwide [1]. Surgical resection combined with (neo)adjuvant chemo(radio)therapy is the cornerstone of curative treatment [2–4]. Upper gastrointestinal resections are invasive procedures with overall complication rates around 65% and 42% after esophagectomy and gastrectomy, respectively [5]. These technically complex procedures require specialized knowledge and skill, experience and concentration. A Swedish nationwide study hypothesized that surgical team precision deteriorates as the week progresses since they found worse overall survival after esophagectomy on Wednesday–Friday compared to Monday–Tuesday [6]. The study suggested that this weekday effect was aggravated by centralization as high-volume surgeons perform several exhaustive esophagectomies per week. Another explanation might be reduced staffing or less experienced surgeons on-call during the weekends. However, a Dutch study group found no association between weekday of surgery and surgical outcomes after esophagogastric surgery [7, 8]. Therefore, results on the weekday effect of esophagogastric cancer surgery are inconclusive. It is, however, important information for operation room scheduling.

The studies referred to above were conducted largely in the pre-centralization and pre-minimally invasive era. Minimally invasive surgery might require even more concentration and surgical team precision than its open equivalent. Additionally, none of these studies [6–8] accounted for differences in day of the week on which hospitals routinely perform upper gastrointestinal surgery. This is vital as significant hospital variation in outcomes after upper gastrointestinal surgery exists [9]. In addition, we believe short-term outcomes (like surgical/technical complications) are better proxies for surgeon accuracy and the quality of early postoperative care (e.g., failure to rescue; a proxy for early identification; and treatment of postoperative complications) than long-term survival which is multifactorial.

Therefore, this study aimed to describe the impact of weekday of surgery, corrected for interhospital variance in fixed weekday(s) of surgery, on short-term surgical outcomes after minimally invasive esophagectomy and gastrectomy.

Materials and methods

Study design

This population-based cohort study used data from the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit (DUCA). Since

2011, this compulsory audit registers all esophagogastric cancer patients undergoing surgery with the intention of resection in the Netherlands [10]. In previous verification, completeness was estimated at 99.2% and outcome measure accuracy at 95.3–100% [11]. This study's protocol received approval from the DUCA scientific committee. Dutch law did not require ethical review or informed consent.

Patient selection

All patients undergoing curative, minimally invasive, esophagogastric cancer surgery between Jan 1, 2015, and Dec 31, 2019, were considered for inclusion. This time-frame was chosen to minimize selection bias; from 2015 onward the majority of both gastric and esophageal resections was performed on a minimally invasive basis [12]. In addition, hospital volumes stabilized in recent years as a result of centralization of upper gastrointestinal surgery in the Netherlands [13]. Converted procedures were included on an intention-to-treat basis. Patients undergoing emergency surgery or surgery during the weekend, were excluded. In addition, to review the current Dutch situation, patients were excluded when undergoing surgery in hospitals that stopped performing esophagogastric cancer surgery before 2019.

Primary outcome measures

The impact of weekday of surgery was investigated for the following surgical outcomes: (1) severe postoperative complications (Clavien–Dindo \geq IIIa) [14], (2) 30-day/in-hospital mortality (i.e., mortality during the primary admission or, in case of discharge, until 30 days postoperatively), (3) textbook outcome [9] (R0 resection, \geq 15 lymph nodes, hospital stay $<$ 21 days, and no severe intra- or postoperative complication, readmission (to the ICU), or mortality).

Secondary outcome measures

The following secondary outcome measures were investigated: (1) postoperative complications (any Clavien–Dindo) [14], (2) surgical/technical complications (includes: chyle leakage, anastomotic leakage, gastric tube necrosis, recurrent nerve injury, iatrogenic intestinal/tracheal/bronchial injury, persistent air leakage requiring drainage $>$ 10 days, extraluminal postoperative bleeding, and intraoperative complications), (3) medical complications (all postoperative complications not considered surgical/technical), (4) anastomotic leakage, (5) complicated postoperative course [10] (complication leading to a reintervention, 30-day/in-hospital mortality, or a hospital stay $>$ 21 days),

(6) failure to rescue [15] (a complicated postoperative course leading to 30-day/in-hospital mortality), (7) surgical radicality (R0 resection) [16], (8) lymph node yield (< 15 or ≥ 15) [17], (9) surgical/endoscopic reintervention, (10) length of ICU stay (< 2 days or ≥ 2 days), and (11) 30-day readmission.

Variables for analyses

This study investigated the impact of each of the five weekdays separately, with Monday as reference. In addition, to investigate the hypothesis of decreased surgical precision as the week progresses, Friday and Monday were analyzed separately (Monday versus Tuesday–Friday, and Friday versus Monday–Thursday). To enable comparison with existing literature even though this categorization is arbitrary, additional analysis was performed after dichotomizing weekdays in conformity with previous literature: Monday–Tuesday versus Wednesday–Friday [6–8].

Several variables were used to adjust for baseline characteristics in investigating the association between weekday of surgery and outcomes. These variables included: sex (male, female), age in years (< 65 , 65–75, > 75), preoperative weight loss in kilograms (none, 1–5, 6–10, > 10), BMI (< 20 , 20–25, 26–30, > 30), Charlson Comorbidity Index [18] (0, 1, 2+), ASA score (I–II, III+), previous upper gastrointestinal surgery (no, yes), tumor location (esophageal: intrathoracic, gastro-esophageal junction; gastric: corpus, fundus, antrum, pylorus, total stomach, rest stomach, or anastomosis), clinical tumor stage (T0–2, T3–4, Tx), clinical node stage (N0, N+, Nx), neoadjuvant therapy (esophageal: chemoradiotherapy, chemotherapy, none; gastric: chemotherapy, none, other), hospital volume (≤ 40 , > 40), year of surgery (2015 to 2019), and type of resection (esophageal: transthoracic (includes both McKeown and Ivor-Lewis procedures), transhiatal; gastric: total, partial gastrectomy). The following variables were added for esophageal carcinoma only: histology (adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, other), anastomotic location (intrathoracic, cervical, other), and salvage surgery (no, yes). In the DUCA, salvage surgery is defined as surgery after previous definitive chemoradiotherapy without initial intent of surgical resection. There are no restrictions as to what definitive scheme is used or the length of the interval between definitive chemoradiotherapy and surgery.

Ancillary support

In the Netherlands, esophagectomy and gastrectomy patients are generally extubated immediately after surgery. After esophagectomy, protocol length of postoperative ICU

stay varies from 0 to 2 days among Dutch hospitals [19]. Standard procedure after gastrectomy is not to admit patients to the ICU. In general, physiotherapists and dieticians are part of the treatment team in the early postoperative phase of all esophagectomy and gastrectomy patients.

Statistical analyses

All analyses were performed separately for esophageal cancer (including gastro-esophageal junction) and gastric cancer. Baseline characteristics were compared between patients undergoing surgery on each weekday using descriptive statistics. Multilevel multivariable logistic regression analyses investigated the impact of weekday of surgery, categorized in the four ways described above, on the 3 primary and 11 secondary outcomes. The two-level random effect accounted for differences in (fixed) days of the week on which hospitals routinely perform esophago-gastric surgery. All baseline characteristics described above were added to the multivariable model. In case the degrees of freedom were insufficient for the entire correction model (i.e., < 10 (non)-events per category in the model), only relevant confounders were added. Factors changing any of the ORs of the weekday variable by 10% or more were considered relevant confounders [20, 21]. The relevance of the random effect was assessed using the likelihood ratio test.

A two-tailed p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Missing items were analyzed in separate groups if exceeding 5%. Multicollinearity was assessed in all multivariable analyses by calculating the variance inflation factor (VIF). A $VIF \geq 2.5$ was considered indicative of multicollinearity. All statistical analyses were performed using R-studio version 3.5.1, The R Foundation for Statistical Computing [22].

Sensitivity analyses

To validate if exclusion of patients undergoing open surgery did not bias results, sensitivity analyses were performed including only patients undergoing open and hybrid surgery. These analyses investigated the impact of the dichotomized weekday (Monday–Tuesday versus Wednesday–Friday) on the above-mentioned outcome measures. The dichotomization was applied because of the low number of open resections and subsequent small group sizes on separate weekdays.

Results

A total of 2,968 esophageal cancer patients from 16 hospitals and 1,134 gastric cancer patients from 15 hospitals were included (*Online Resource Fig. 1*). Annual esophagectomy hospital volumes ranged from 17 to 100, and annual gastrectomy volumes ranged from 4 to 44. Baseline characteristics of patients undergoing surgery for esophageal or gastric cancer on each weekday are depicted in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Esophageal surgery was most frequently performed on Monday and Tuesday. Tumor location, histology, cT and cN stage, type of esophagectomy, anastomotic location, and hospital volume differed significantly between the weekdays (Table 1). Gastric cancer surgery was also most frequently performed on Monday and Tuesday. Except for cN-stage there were no baseline differences between weekdays.

Short-term outcomes after esophageal and gastric cancer surgery

The incidence of each of the 14 short-term surgical outcomes after esophageal and gastric cancer surgery is presented in Table 3. Severe complications, short-term mortality and textbook outcome rates after esophagectomy were 31%, 2.6% and 47%, respectively, and 19%, 3.3% and 54% after gastrectomy.

The impact of weekday of surgery on outcomes

When analyzing all weekdays separately, there were no statistically significant differences in severe complications, short-term mortality and textbook outcome after esophageal nor gastric cancer surgery (Table 4). There were also no significant differences between the separate days of the week in terms of complications, technical complications, medical complications, anastomotic leakage, complicated postoperative course, failure to rescue, surgical radicality, lymph node yield, reinterventions, length of ICU stay, and 30-day readmissions (*Online Resource Table 1*).

Also when analyzing Monday and Friday separately, no statistically significant differences in both primary and secondary outcomes were identified (Tables 5 and 6, *Online Resource Tables 2&3*).

Additional analyses

For esophageal cancer, there were no significant differences between surgery on Monday–Tuesday and Wednesday–Friday in any of the 14 investigated outcome measures (*Online Resource Table 4*). For gastric cancer, 30-day readmission rates were higher after surgery on

Wednesday–Friday compared to surgery early in the week (OR 1.43, 95%CI [1.01–2.04]).

Sensitivity analyses

In total, 840 and 620 patients underwent open/hybrid esophagectomy and gastrectomy, respectively. In these cohorts of patients, outcomes did not differ after surgery on Monday–Tuesday or on Wednesday–Friday (*Online Resource Table 5*).

Discussion

This nationwide cohort study is the first to investigate the impact of weekday of surgery on short-term outcomes of minimally invasive esophagogastric cancer surgery after statistical correction for differing fixed weekdays of surgery among hospitals. Weekday of surgery did not impact postoperative complications, severe postoperative complications, surgical/technical complications, medical complications, complicated postoperative course, failure to rescue, surgical radicality, 30-day/in-hospital mortality, lymph node yield, reinterventions, length of ICU stay, 30-day readmission, and textbook outcome after neither esophageal nor gastric cancer surgery. However, readmission rates were higher after gastric cancer surgery on Wednesday–Friday compared to Monday–Tuesday.

Esophageal cancer

A 2016 Swedish study, including 1748 esophageal cancer patients between 1987 and 2010, concluded that surgery performed on Wednesday through Friday was associated with augmented mortality [6]. It is hypothesized that surgical team precision deteriorates later in the week; a well-rested surgeon could focus for longer time periods early in the week. Alertness was expected to decrease as the week progresses leading to inferior oncologic resections. A stronger association was found among high-volume surgeons, and they concluded that centralization might enhance the weekday effect. A subsequent study showed that the survival difference was not attributable to short-term mortality [23]. This study did not report on surgical radicality. In response to the Swedish studies, a Dutch study including 3,840 esophageal cancer patients between 2005 and 2013, was published in 2017 [7]. No association between weekday of surgery and long-term survival, surgical radicality, lymph node yield or 30-day mortality was identified. Both the Swedish and Dutch studies did not correct for differences in day of the week on which hospitals routinely perform upper gastrointestinal surgery. In addition, the large inclusion periods of these studies might

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of esophageal cancer patients undergoing surgery on each day of the week

	Esophageal carcinoma						P value ^a
	Monday (n = 804) (%)	Tuesday (n = 935) (%)	Wednesday (n = 203) (%)	Thursday (n = 621) (%)	Friday (n = 405) (%)	Total (n = 2968) (%)	
Sex	633 (79)	748 (80)	153 (75)	467 (75)	311 (77)	2312 (78)	0.159
Male	171 (21)	186 (20)	50 (25)	154 (25)	94 (23)	655 (22)	
Female	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	
Missing							
Age	308 (38)	367 (39)	82 (40)	271 (44)	153 (38)	1181 (40)	0.553
< 65 years	395 (49)	454 (49)	97 (48)	288 (46)	198 (49)	1432 (48)	
65–75 years	101 (13)	114 (12)	24 (12)	62 (10)	54 (13)	355 (12)	
> 75 years							
Preoperative weight loss	269 (34)	303 (32)	75 (37)	201 (32)	148 (37)	996 (34)	0.074
None	222 (28)	262 (28)	55 (27)	189 (30)	121 (30)	849 (29)	
1–5 kg	187 (23)	240 (26)	35 (17)	133 (21)	66 (16)	661 (22)	
6–10 kg	80 (10)	106 (11)	25 (12)	77 (12)	45 (11)	333 (11)	
> 10 kg	46 (6)	24 (3)	13 (6)	21 (3)	25 (6)	129 (4)	
Missing							
BMI	44 (6)	64 (7)	11 (5)	42 (7)	23 (6)	184 (6)	0.226
< 20	391 (49)	414 (44)	93 (46)	287 (46)	208 (51)	1393 (47)	
20–25	286 (36)	350 (37)	65 (32)	213 (34)	130 (32)	1044 (35)	
26–30	81 (10)	100 (11)	32 (16)	79 (13)	42 (10)	334 (11)	
> 30	2 (0)	7 (1)	2 (1)	0 (0)	2 (1)	13 (0)	
Missing							
CCI^b	339 (42)	411 (44)	98 (48)	283 (46)	191 (47)	1322 (45)	0.286
0	236 (29)	239 (26)	45 (22)	147 (24)	101 (25)	768 (26)	
1	226 (28)	285 (31)	60 (30)	183 (30)	113 (28)	867 (29)	
2 +	3 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	8 (1)	0 (0)	11 (0)	
Missing							
ASA score^c	563 (70)	710 (76)	149 (74)	470 (76)	298 (74)	2190 (74)	0.067
1–2	239 (30)	225 (24)	54 (27)	151 (24)	107 (26)	776 (26)	
3 +	2 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)	
Missing							
Previous esophageal or gastric surgery	781 (97)	918 (98)	119 (98)	608 (98)	402 (99)	2908 (98)	0.134
No	21 (3)	13 (1)	2 (1)	12 (2)	3 (1)	51 (2)	
Yes	2 (0)	4 (0)	2 (1)	1 (0)	0 (0)	9 (0)	
Missing							
Tumor location	673 (84)	727 (78)	149 (73)	487 (78)	319 (79)	2355 (79)	0.004
Intrathoracic	130 (16)	203 (22)	54 (27)	133 (21)	84 (21)	604 (20)	
Gastro-esophageal junction	1 (0)	5 (1)	0 (0)	1 (0)	2 (1)	9 (0)	
Unknown/missing							
Histology	646 (80)	744 (80)	174 (86)	470 (76)	301 (74)	2335 (79)	0.018
Adenocarcinoma	131 (16)	157 (17)	22 (11)	135 (22)	80 (20)	525 (18)	
Squamous cell	23 (3)	23 (3)	4 (2)	11 (2)	9 (2)	70 (2)	
Unknown/other	4 (1)	11 (1)	3 (2)	5 (1)	15 (4)	38 (1)	
Missing							

Table 1 continued

	Esophageal carcinoma						P value ^a
	Monday (n = 804) (%)	Tuesday (n = 935) (%)	Wednesday (n = 203) (%)	Thursday (n = 621) (%)	Friday (n = 405) (%)	Total (n = 2968) (%)	
Clinical tumor stage	194 (24)	214 (23)	49 (24)	125 (20)	90 (22)	672 (23)	0.022
T0-2	586 (73)	675 (72)	145 (71)	483 (78)	307 (76)	2196 (74)	
T3-4	24 (3)	46 (5)	9 (4)	13 (2)	8 (2)	100 (3)	
Tx							
Clinical node stage	280 (35)	347 (37)	83 (41)	229 (37)	157 (39)	1096 (37)	< 0.001
N0	508 (63)	533 (57)	115 (57)	380 (61)	237 (59)	1773 (60)	
N +	16 (2)	55 (6)	5 (3)	12 (2)	11 (3)	99 (3)	
Nx							
Neoadjuvant therapy	718 (89)	818 (88)	164 (81)	531 (86)	348 (86)	2579 (87)	0.115
Chemoradiotherapy	45 (6)	64 (7)	20 (10)	40 (6)	28 (7)	197 (7)	
Chemotherapy	41 (5)	53 (6)	18 (9)	48 (8)	27 (7)	187 (6)	
None	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1)	2 (0)	2 (2)	5 (0)	
Other/Missing							
Salvage surgery	787 (98)	897 (96)	196 (97)	586 (94)	348 (86)	2814 (95)	0.058
No	11 (1)	11 (1)	5 (3)	19 (3)	8 (2)	54 (2)	
Yes	6 (1)	27 (3)	2 (1)	16 (3)	49 (12)	100 (3)	
Missing							
Esophagectomy	730 (91)	773 (83)	157 (77)	557 (90)	355 (88)	2572 (87)	< 0.001
Transthoracic	55 (7)	139 (15)	36 (18)	49 (8)	40 (10)	319 (11)	
Transhiatal	19 (2)	23 (3)	10 (5)	15 (2)	10 (3)	77 (3)	
Other							
Anastomosis	400 (50)	545 (58)	89 (44)	372 (60)	204 (50)	1610 (54)	< 0.001
Intrathoracic	387 (48)	371 (40)	100 (49)	228 (37)	167 (41)	1253 (42)	
Cervical	11 (1)	9 (1)	11 (5)	11 (2)	10 (3)	52 (2)	
None/other/unknown	6 (1)	10 (1)	3 (2)	10 (2)	24 (6)	53 (2)	
Missing							
Volume^d	250 (31)	324 (35)	113 (56)	116 (19)	95 (24)	898 (30)	< 0.001
< = 40	554 (69)	611 (65)	90 (44)	505 (81)	310 (77)	2070 (70)	
> 40							
Year	136 (17)	155 (17)	45 (22)	105 (17)	59 (15)	500 (17)	0.120
2015	162 (20)	155 (17)	45 (22)	106 (17)	70 (17)	538 (18)	
2016	181 (23)	204 (22)	30 (15)	127 (21)	94 (23)	636 (21)	
2017	164 (20)	204 (22)	35 (17)	151 (24)	92 (23)	646 (22)	
2018	161 (20)	217 (23)	48 (24)	132 (21)	90 (22)	648 (22)	
2019							

^aChi-square or Fisher's exact test depending on group sizes

^bCharlson Comorbidity Index

^cAmerican Society of Anesthesiologists score

^dTotal annual esophageal cancer surgery volume

have biased results as clinical practice changed over time. Neither study reported on surgical procedure (minimally invasive or open). Given these objections, re-investigating the subject with the addition of several short-term outcome

measures in the current study is justified. After proper correction for baseline characteristics and interhospital variance in fixed weekday(s) of surgery, the current study showed comparable short-term surgical outcomes among

Table 2 Baseline characteristics of gastric cancer patients undergoing surgery on each day of the week

	Gastric carcinoma						P value ^a
	Monday (n = 311) (%)	Tuesday (n = 275) (%)	Wednesday (n = 124) (%)	Thursday (n = 231) (%)	Friday (n = 193) (%)	Total (n = 1134) (%)	
Sex	193 (62)	154 (56)	76 (61)	125 (54)	115 (60)	663 (58.5)	0.325
Male	118 (38)	121 (44)	48 (39)	106 (46)	78 (40)	471 (41.5)	
Female							
Age	105 (34)	77 (28)	39 (32)	54 (23)	48 (25)	323 (29)	0.143
< 65 years	102 (33)	100 (36)	45 (36)	97 (42)	84 (44)	428 (38)	
65–75 years	104 (33)	98 (36)	40 (32)	80 (35)	61 (32)	383 (34)	
> 75 years							
Preoperative weight loss	106 (34)	87 (32)	34 (27)	67 (29)	60 (31)	354 (31)	0.319
None	80 (26)	81 (30)	27 (22)	79 (34)	50 (26)	317 (28)	
1–5 kg	63 (20)	60 (22)	33 (27)	53 (23)	39 (20)	248 (22)	
6–10 kg	37 (12)	32 (12)	20 (16)	19 (8)	27 (14)	135 (12)	
> 10 kg	25 (8)	15 (6)	10 (8)	13 (6)	17 (9)	80 (7)	
Missing							
BMI	24 (8)	23 (8)	11 (9)	22 (10)	9 (5)	89 (8)	0.279
< 20	157 (51)	137 (50)	77 (62)	124 (54)	103 (53)	598 (53)	
20–25	93 (30)	79 (29)	23 (19)	60 (26)	49 (25)	304 (27)	
26–30	34 (11)	33 (12)	12 (10)	23 (10)	29 (15)	131 (12)	
> 30	3 (1)	3 (1)	1 (1)	2 (1)	3 (2)	12 (1)	
Missing							
CCI^b	147 (47)	106 (39)	52 (42)	93 (40)	85 (44)	483 (43)	0.581
0	75 (24)	78 (28)	32 (26)	60 (26)	43 (22)	288 (25)	
1	89 (29)	91 (33)	40 (32)	78 (34)	65 (34)	363 (32)	
2 +							
ASA score^c	205 (66)	164 (60)	78 (63)	153 (66)	121 (63)	721 (64)	0.476
1–2	105 (34)	111 (40)	46 (37)	78 (34)	72 (37)	412 (36)	
3 +	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	
Missing							
Previous esophageal or gastric surgery	295 (95)	270 (98)	120 (97)	222 (96)	181 (94)	1088 (96)	0.113
No	14 (5)	4 (2)	4 (3)	9 (4)	11 (6)	42 (4)	
Yes	2 (1)	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1)	4 (0)	
Missing							
Tumor location	104 (33)	93 (34)	39 (32)	73 (32)	56 (29)	365 (32)	0.860
Corpus	28 (9)	25 (9)	10 (8)	27 (12)	19 (10)	109 (10)	
Fundus	139 (45)	121 (44)	55 (44)	95 (41)	91 (47)	501 (44)	
Antrum	26 (8)	18 (7)	10 (8)	28 (12)	17 (9)	99 (9)	
Pylorus	9 (3)	10 (4)	8 (7)	5 (2)	7 (4)	39 (3)	
Total stomach	5 (2)	3 (1)	1 (1)	3 (1)	2 (1)	14 (1)	
Rest stomach/anastomosis	0 (0)	5 (2)	1 (1)	0 (0)	1 (1)	7 (1)	
Unknown/missing							
Clinical Tumor stage	104 (33)	72 (26)	42 (34)	76 (33)	62 (32)	356 (31)	0.109
T0-2	150 (48)	142 (52)	63 (51)	126 (55)	104 (54)	585 (52)	
T3-4	57 (18)	61 (22)	19 (15)	29 (13)	27 (14)	193 (17)	
Tx							

Table 2 continued

	Gastric carcinoma						P value ^a
	Monday (n = 311) (%)	Tuesday (n = 275) (%)	Wednesday (n = 124) (%)	Thursday (n = 231) (%)	Friday (n = 193) (%)	Total (n = 1134) (%)	
Clinical node stage	184 (59)	129 (47)	68 (55)	118 (51)	104 (54)	603 (53)	< 0.001
N0	103 (33)	106 (39)	48 (39)	99 (43)	80 (42)	436 (38)	
N +	24 (8)	40 (15)	8 (7)	14 (6)	9 (5)	95 (9)	
Nx							
Neoadjuvant therapy	187 (60)	165 (60)	64 (52)	136 (59)	117 (61)	669 (59)	0.606
Chemotherapy	114 (37)	108 (39)	54 (44)	87 (38)	69 (36)	433 (38)	
None	10 (3)	2 (1)	6 (5)	8 (4)	7 (4)	33 (3)	
Other/missing							
Gastrectomy	106 (34)	120 (44)	51 (41)	82 (36)	64 (33)	423 (37)	0.061
Total	193 (62)	147 (54)	70 (57)	146 (63)	126 (65)	682 (60)	
Partial	12 (4)	8 (3)	3 (2)	3 (1)	3 (2)	29 (3)	
Other							
Volume^d	289 (93)	255 (93)	121 (98)	208 (90)	180 (93)	1053 (93)	0.137
< = 40	22 (7)	20 (7)	3 (2)	23 (10)	13 (7)	81 (7)	
> 40							
Year	53 (17)	43 (16)	17 (14)	39 (17)	16 (8)	168 (15)	0.268
2015	57 (18)	60 (22)	18 (15)	36 (16)	40 (21)	211 (19)	
2016	56 (18)	55 (20)	24 (19)	45 (20)	33 (17)	213 (19)	
2017	71 (23)	61 (22)	35 (28)	48 (21)	54 (28)	269 (24)	
2018	74 (24)	56 (20)	30 (24)	63 (27)	50 (26)	273 (24)	
2019							

^aChi-square or Fisher's exact test depending on group sizes

^bCharlson Comorbidity Index

^cAmerican Society of Anesthesiologists score

^dTotal annual gastric cancer surgery volume

all weekdays. We believe that short-term surgical outcomes (like surgical/technical complications and radicality) are better proxies for surgeon accuracy than long-term overall survival [24, 25]. Overall or disease-specific survival is multifactorial, and since esophageal cancer treatment is multimodal, other treatment factors like (neo)adjuvant therapy, play an important role. The results of the current study indicate that surgical precision of esophageal cancer surgery does not deteriorate as the week progresses. Another finding is that a well-rested surgeon after the weekend does not have better results compared to the rest of the week (Monday versus Tuesday–Friday). Nor did surgery on Friday lead to inferior results compared to surgery on Monday through Thursday. The Swedish hypothesis of an enhanced weekday effect due to centralization could not be confirmed even though the current study only included patients after hospital volumes were stable [13].

Gastric cancer

Another Swedish study (including a largely overlapping cohort with the study described above)[6] found survival benefit for surgery performed early in the week among 6,124 patients with esophagogastric cancer [26]. Subgroup analyses for gastric cancer patients found similar results. A 2018 German single-center study found contradictory results [27]. It included 460 gastric cancer patients and found no association between weekday of surgery and long-term survival, radicality, lymph node yield, or short-term mortality. A nationwide Dutch study including 3,776 gastric cancer patients between 2006 and 2014 also found no association between long-term survival and weekday of gastrectomy [8]. It did, however, find lower lymph node yield after surgery later in the week. The current study did not find significant differences in short-term outcomes between weekdays of gastrectomy. Nor could it conform the results by the previous Dutch study that lymph node

Table 3 Short-term surgical outcomes after minimally invasive esophageal and gastric cancer surgery in 2015 to 2019

	Esophageal carcinoma Total N = 2968	Gastric carcinoma Total N = 1134
Postoperative complications (yes)	1928 (65.0%)	463 (40.8%)
Severe complications ^a (yes)	912 (30.7%)	216 (19.0%)
Technical complications ^b (yes)	1033 (34.8%)	150 (13.2%)
Medical complications ^c (yes)	988 (33.3%)	340 (30.0%)
Anastomotic leakage (yes)	588 (19.8%)	97 (8.6%)
Complicated postoperative course ^d (yes)	912 (30.7%)	230 (20.3%)
Failure to rescue ^e (yes)	76 (8.3%)	36 (15.7%)
Surgical radicality (micro- and macroscopically radical)	2839 (95.7%)	1035 (91.3%)
Resected lymph nodes (≥ 15)	2636 (88.8%)	985 (86.9%)
Reintervention (yes)	783 (26.4%)	211 (18.6%)
Length of ICU stay (≥ 2 days)	1462 (49.3%)	132 (11.6%)
30-day/in-hospital mortality (yes)	78 (2.6%)	37 (3.3%)
30-day readmission (yes)	451 (15.2%)	147 (13.0%)
Textbook outcome ^f (yes)	1404 (47.3%)	615 (54.2%)

^aClavien–Dindo grade III or higher

^bIncludes: postoperative bleeding (excluding intraluminal), recurrent nerve injury, iatrogenic intestinal injury, gastric tube necrosis, iatrogenic tracheal or bronchial injury, persistent air leakage requiring drainage > 10 days postoperatively, chyle leakage, anastomotic leakage, intraoperative complications

^cAll postoperative complications not mentioned in b

^dPostoperative complication leading to a reintervention, mortality, or prolonged length of hospital stay (> 21 days)

^ePatients with a complicated postoperative course^d eventually dying in hospital or in first 30 days postoperatively

^fPatients undergoing a radical, curative resection with at least 15 resected lymph nodes, without intraoperative complication, severe postoperative complication^a, reintervention, readmission (to the ICU), mortality, and a length of hospital stay shorter than 21 days

yield is lower after surgery late in the week. The current study did display significantly higher 30-day readmission rates after gastrectomy later in the week. A previous DUCA study showed higher readmission rates after weekend discharge [28]. This might explain the higher readmission rates after surgery later in the week as median length of hospital stay after gastrectomy approximates 8 days [5]. This hypothesis could currently not be verified

as noise was added to date of discharge to ensure anonymity of the dataset.

Some argue that complex surgery should be performed early in the week since postoperative complications usually emerge after one to three days and healthcare services are downscaled in the weekend [29–31]. The current study showed that failure to rescue, which is a proxy for early identification, recognition and treatment of complications, did not diminish during the week for neither

Table 4 Impact of weekday of surgery, Monday versus Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday, on primary outcomes

	Weekday	Esophageal carcinoma					Gastric carcinoma				
		<i>Corrected for</i>	Outcome/ N	OR ^a	95% CI ^b	P value	<i>Corrected for</i>	Outcome/ N	OR ^a	95% CI ^b	P value
Severe complications ^c (yes)	Monday (ref)	<i>All^d</i>	244 / 736	1	0.65 – 1.05	0.123	<i>No relevant confounders identified^e</i>	61 / 305	1	0.58 – 1.34	0.553
	Tuesday		58 / 178	0.95	0.65 – 1.39	0.234		22 / 122	0.88	0.50 – 1.49	0.631
	Wednesday		168 / 567	0.85	0.65 – 1.11	0.182		42 / 229	0.90	0.58 – 1.39	0.755
	Thursday		95 / 322	0.81	0.59 – 1.11			40 / 189	1.07	0.68 – 1.68	
	Friday										
30-day/in-hospital mortality (yes)	Monday (ref)	<i>No relevant confounders identified^e</i>	24 / 736	1	0.38 – 1.29	0.258	<i>None^f</i>	10 / 305	1	0.53 – 3.09	0.594
	Tuesday		20 / 860	0.71	0.20 – 1.82	0.504		11 / 266	1.27	0.16 – 2.47	0.653
	Wednesday		4 / 178	0.69	0.24 – 1.09	0.098		3 / 122	0.74	0.40 – 2.74	0.987
	Thursday		10 / 567	0.53	0.61 – 2.44	0.529		8 / 229	1.06	0.25 – 2.29	0.686
	Friday		13 / 322	1.25				5 / 189	0.80		
Textbook outcome ^g (yes)	Monday (ref)	<i>All^d</i>	326 / 736	1	0.91 – 1.45	0.256	<i>All^{h,i}</i>	163 / 305	1	0.84 – 1.73	0.308
	Tuesday		433 / 860	1.15	0.52 – 1.08	0.204		150 / 266	1.21	0.58 – 1.41	0.978
	Wednesday		66 / 178	0.75	0.92 – 1.51	0.198		61 / 122	0.90	0.69 – 1.46	0.948
	Thursday		293 / 567	1.18	0.91 – 1.61			128 / 229	1.01	0.67 – 1.45	
	Friday		166 / 322	1.21				101 / 189	0.99		

^aOdds ratio^b95% Confidence interval^cClavien–Dindo grade III or higher^dCorrected for: gender, age, preoperative weight loss, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, ASA score, previous esophageal or gastric surgery, tumor location, histology, clinical tumor stage, clinical node stage, neoadjuvant therapy, salvage surgery, hospital volume, year of surgery, type of esophagectomy, location of anastomosis, and hospital identification number as random effect factor^eGiven insufficient number of degrees of freedom for correction for all possible confounders, only confounders leading to a 10% change in OR were included for analyses. Hospital ID as random effect was added to the model in case the log-likelihood ratio test showed a better fit compared to the original univariable model^fPreoperative weight loss and type of gastrectomy were confounders, but given the small group sizes and small number of degrees of freedom multivariable regression was not possible. Univariable results are presented^gPatients undergoing a radical, curative resection with at least 15 resected lymph nodes, without intraoperative complication, severe postoperative complication^c, reintervention, readmission (to the ICU), mortality and a length of hospital stay shorter than 21 days^hCorrected for: gender, age, preoperative weight loss, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, ASA score, previous esophageal or gastric surgery, tumor location, clinical tumor stage, clinical node stage, neoadjuvant therapy, hospital volume, year of surgery, type of gastrectomy, and hospital identification number as random effect factorⁱTumor location was removed due to multicollinearity with type of gastrectomy (variance inflation factor > 2.5)

esophagectomy nor gastrectomy. One might argue that no association between failure to rescue and weekday could be found since a large part of patients undergoing surgery late in the week will be on the ICU during the weekend. On the ICU, health-care provision is ordinarily continued during the weekend. However, in 51% of esophagectomy patients length of ICU admission was only 0 or 1 days. This was 88% for gastrectomy patients. Additionally, length of ICU

stay was similar when undergoing surgery early or later in the week.

Many factors might play a confounding role when comparing surgical outcomes between weekdays. Not only do hospitals have fixed weekdays of esophagogastric surgery, surgeons might also have fixed surgery days. Variation in operation room personnel, residents, and ICU staff might also confound results. In addition, call schedules and

Table 5 Impact of weekday of surgery, Tuesday through Friday versus Monday, on primary outcomes

	Esophageal carcinoma		Gastric carcinoma							
	Corrected for	Outcome/ N	OR ^a	95% CI ^b	P value	Corrected for	Outcome/ N	OR ^a	95% CI ^b	P value
Severe complications^c (yes)	Tue-Fri (ref)	563 / 1927	1	0.96 – 1.46	0.110	<i>All^d</i>	152 / 806	1	0.77 – 1.49	0.666
	Mon	244 / 736	1.18			<i>No relevant confounders identified^e</i>	61 / 305	1.08		
30-day/in-hospital mortality (yes)	Tue-Fri (ref)	47 / 1927	1	0.80 – 2.20	0.243	<i>No relevant confounders identified^e</i>	27 / 808	1	0.45 – 1.99	0.960
	Mon	24 / 736	1.35				10 / 308	0.98		
Textbook outcome^f (yes)	Tue-Fri (ref)	958 / 1927	1	0.74 – 1.11	0.350	<i>All^{e,h}</i>	440 / 808	1	0.72 – 1.28	0.777
	Mon	326 / 736	0.91				163 / 308	0.936		

^aOdds ratio^b95% Confidence interval^cClavien–Dindo grade III or higher^dCorrected for: gender, age, preoperative weight loss, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, ASA score, previous esophageal or gastric surgery, tumor location, histology, clinical tumor stage, clinical node stage, neoadjuvant therapy, salvage surgery, hospital volume, year of surgery, type of esophagectomy, location of anastomosis, and hospital identification number as random effect factor^eGiven insufficient number of degrees of freedom for correction for all possible confounders, only confounders leading to a 10% change in OR were included for analyses. Hospital ID as random effect was added to the model in case the log-likelihood ratio test showed a better fit compared to the original univariable model^fPatients undergoing a radical, curative resection with at least 15 resected lymph nodes, without intraoperative complication^c, reintervention, readmission (to the ICU), mortality, and a length of hospital stay shorter than 21 days^gCorrected for: gender, age, preoperative weight loss, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, ASA score, previous esophageal or gastric surgery, tumor location, clinical tumor stage, clinical node stage, neoadjuvant therapy, hospital volume, year of surgery, type of gastrectomy, and hospital identification number as random effect factor^hTumor location was removed due to multicollinearity with type of gastrectomy (variance inflation factor > 2.5)

Table 6 Impact of weekday of surgery, Monday through Thursday versus Friday, on primary outcomes

	Weekday	Esophageal carcinoma				Gastric carcinoma					
		Corrected for	Outcome / N	OR ^a	95% CI ^b	P value	Corrected for	Outcome / N	OR ^a	95% CI ^b	P value
Severe complications ^c (yes)	Mon-Thu (ref)	All ^d	712 / 2341	1	0.69 – 1.19	0.471	No relevant confounders	173 / 922	1	0.78 – 1.70	0.445
	Fri		95 / 322	0.90			identified ^e	40 / 189	1.16		
30-day/in-hospital mortality (yes)	Mon-Thu (ref)	No relevant confounders identified ^e	58 / 2341	1	0.86 – 2.96	0.108	No relevant confounders	32 / 922	1	0.26 – 1.80	0.564
	Fri	All ^d	13 / 322	1.65			identified ^e	5 / 189	0.75		
Textbook outcome ^f (yes)	Mon-Thu (ref)	All ^d	1118 / 2341	1	0.87 – 1.44	0.369	All ^{g,h}	502 / 922	1	0.68 – 1.33	0.777
	Fri		166 / 322	1.12				101 / 189	0.95		

^aOdds Ratio.

^b95% Confidence interval.

^cClavien-Dindo grade III or higher.

^dCorrected for: gender, age, preoperative weight loss, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, ASA-score, previous esophageal or gastric surgery, tumor location, histology, clinical Tumor stage, clinical Node stage, neoadjuvant therapy, salvage surgery, hospital volume, year of surgery, type of esophagectomy, location of anastomosis and hospital identification number as random effect factor.

^eGiven insufficient number of degrees of freedom for correction for all possible confounders, only confounders leading to a 10% change in OR were included for analyses. Hospital ID as random effect was added to the model in case the log-likelihood ratio test showed a better fit compared to the original univariable model.

^fPatients undergoing a radical, curative resection with at least 15 resected lymph nodes, without intraoperative complication, severe postoperative complication, reintervention, readmission (to the ICU), mortality and a length of hospital stay shorter than 21 days.

^gCorrected for: gender, age, preoperative weight loss, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, ASA-score, previous esophageal or gastric surgery, tumor location, clinical Tumor stage, clinical Node stage, neoadjuvant therapy, hospital volume, year of surgery, type of gastrectomy, and hospital identification number as random effect factor.

^hTumor location was removed due to multicollinearity with type of gastrectomy (variance inflation factor >2.5)

associated fatigue might play a role. Unfortunately, as the DUCA does not register data at individual physician level, these factors could not be accounted for in the current study. However, this is the only study that corrected for fixed weekdays of surgery at hospital level. Existing literature failed to correct for hospital difference in day of surgery. Additionally, given the large number of inclusions, we feel that these possible confounders might level out at a population level. Therefore, current study's results refute previous literature on the subject and suggest that esophagogastric cancer surgery can be performed safely on all days of the week. This is important information that is helpful in operation room planning.

This study excludes open surgery which might have introduced selection bias. Since minimally invasive surgery is the gold standard in the Netherlands, open surgery might be reserved for anticipated difficult surgery. However, by only including minimally invasive surgery results are more uniform and weekdays can be compared fairly. In addition, the sensitivity analyses (including only patients undergoing open surgery) confirmed the absence of a weekday effect in upper gastrointestinal surgery. Another limitation is that a significant proportion of patients is excluded from analyses due to non-curative surgery (e.g., open-close). However, augmented numbers of non-curative surgery might also reflect inferior surgical quality.

Conclusions

This Dutch nationwide study conducted in the era of centralization, shows surgical precision of minimally invasive esophagogastric cancer surgery does not deteriorate as the week progresses. In addition, there are no signs of inferior early postoperative care late in the week or during the weekend. Therefore, in the Netherlands, upper gastrointestinal surgery can be conducted safely on all weekdays.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank all surgeons, registrars, physician assistants, and administrative nurses for data registration in the DUCA database, as well as the Dutch Upper GI Cancer Audit group for scientific input.

Funding No funding was received for the current study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest MlvBH is consultant for Mylan, Johnson & Johnson, Alesi Surgical and Medtronic and received research grants from Olympus and Stryker. RvH and JPR are consultants for Medtronic and are proctoring surgeons for Intuitive Surgical Inc. and train other surgeons in robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy. For the remaining authors no conflicts of interest were declared.

Informed consent Informed consent and ethical review were not required by Dutch law as data were registered anonymously.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I et al (2018) Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 68(6):394–424
2. Cunningham D, Allum WH, Stenning SP et al (2006) Perioperative chemotherapy versus surgery alone for resectable gastroesophageal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 355(1):11–20
3. Ychou M, Boige V, Pignon JP et al (2011) Perioperative chemotherapy compared with surgery alone for resectable gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma: an FNCLCC and FFCO multicenter phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol* 29(13):1715–1721
4. van Hagen P, Hulshof MC, van Lanschot JJ et al (2012) Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for esophageal or junctional cancer. *N Engl J Med* 366(22):2074–2084
5. van der Werf LR, Busweiler LA, van Sandick JW et al (2020) Reporting national outcomes after esophagectomy and gastrectomy according to the esophageal complications consensus group (ECCG). *Ann Surg* 271(6):1095–1101
6. Lagergren J, Mattsson F, Lagergren P (2016) Weekday of esophageal cancer surgery and its relation to prognosis. *Ann Surg* 263(6):1133–1137
7. Visser E, van Rossum PS, Verhoeven RH et al (2017) Impact of Weekday of Esophagectomy on Short-term and Long-term Oncological Outcomes: A Nationwide Population-based Cohort Study in the Netherlands. *Ann Surg* 266(1):76–81
8. Visser E, Brenkman HJ, Verhoeven RH et al (2017) Weekday of gastrectomy for cancer in relation to mortality and oncological outcomes - A Dutch population-based cohort study. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 43(10):1862–1868
9. Busweiler LA, Schouwenburg MG, van Berge Henegouwen MI et al (2017) Textbook outcome as a composite measure in oesophagogastric cancer surgery. *Br J Surg* 104(6):742–750
10. Busweiler LA, Wijnhoven BP, van Berge Henegouwen MI et al (2016) Early outcomes from the Dutch upper gastrointestinal cancer audit. *Br J Surg* 103(13):1855–1863
11. van der Werf LR, Voeten SC, van Loe CMM et al (2019) Data verification of nationwide clinical quality registries. *BJS open* 3(6):857–864
12. Dutch Institute for Clinical Auditing (DICA) - Annual report of the Dutch Upper gastrointestinal Cancer Audit 2018. Available from: <https://dica.nl/jaarrapportage-2018/duca> [Accessed 1 February 2021]
13. van der Werf LR, Cords C, Arntz I et al (2019) Population-based study on risk factors for tumor-positive resection margins in patients with gastric cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 26(7):2222–2233

14. Clavien PA, Sanabria JR, Strasberg SM (1992) Proposed classification of complications of surgery with examples of utility in cholecystectomy. *Surgery* 111(5):518–526
15. Busweiler LA, Henneman D, Dikken JL et al (2017) Failure-to-rescue in patients undergoing surgery for esophageal or gastric cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 43(10):1962–1969
16. College of American Pathologists (2016). Protocol for the examination of specimens from patients with carcinoma of the esophagus. College of American Pathologists: Northfield
17. van der Werf LR, Dikken JL, van Berge Henegouwen MI et al (2018) A population-based study on lymph node retrieval in patients with esophageal cancer: results from the Dutch upper gastrointestinal cancer audit. *Ann Surg Oncol* 25(5):1211–1220
18. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL et al (1987) A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis* 40(5):373–383
19. Voeten DM, van der Werf LR, Gisbertz SS, et al. (2021) Post-operative intensive care unit stay after minimally invasive esophagectomy shows large hospital variation. Results from the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. S0748–7983(21)00005–6
20. Walter S, Tiemeier H (2009) Variable selection: current practice in epidemiological studies. *Eur J Epidemiol* 24(12):733–736
21. Greenland S (1989) Modeling and variable selection in epidemiologic analysis. *Am J Public Health* 79(3):340–349
22. R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>
23. Lagergren J, Mattsson F, Lagergren P (2016) Weekday of oesophageal cancer surgery in relation to early postoperative outcomes in a nationwide Swedish cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 6(5):e011097
24. Potter J, Fuller C, Ferris M. Local clinical audit: handbook for physicians. Royal college of physicians, Health Care Quality Improvement Partnership
25. Beck N, van Bommel AC, Eddes EH et al (2020) The Dutch institute for clinical auditing: achieving codman’s dream on a nationwide basis. *Ann Surg* 271(4):627–631
26. Lagergren J, Mattsson F, Lagergren P (2017) Weekday of cancer surgery in relation to prognosis. *Br J Surg* 104(12):1735–1743
27. Berlth F, Messerle K, Plum PS et al (2018) Impact of the weekday of surgery on outcome in gastric cancer patients who underwent d2-gastrectomy. *World J Surg* 42(6):1811–1818. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-017-4398-4>
28. Voeten DM, van der Werf LR, van Sandick JW et al. (2020) Length of hospital stay after uncomplicated esophagectomy hospital variation shows room for nationwide improvement. *Surg Endosc*. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-020-08103-4>
29. Aylin P, Alexandrescu R, Jen MH, et al. (2013) Day of week of procedure and 30 day mortality for elective surgery: retrospective analysis of hospital episode statistics. *BMJ*. 346:f2424
30. Zare MM, Itani KM, Schiffner TL et al (2007) Mortality after nonemergent major surgery performed on Friday versus Monday through Wednesday. *Ann Surg* 246(5):866–874
31. Thompson JS, Baxter BT, Allison JG et al (2003) Temporal patterns of postoperative complications. *Arch Surg* 138(6):596–603

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.