



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

2. Ego A, Preiser J-C, Vincent J-L. Impact of diagnostic criteria on the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Chest*. 2015;147(2):347-355.
3. Surveillance des infections nosocomiales en réanimation adulte. Réseau REA-Raisin, France, résultats 2015. <https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/maladies-et-traumatismes/infections-associees-aux-soins-et-resistance-aux-antibiotiques/infections-associees-aux-soins/documents/rapport-synthese/surveillance-des-infections-nosocomiales-en-reanimation-adulte.-reseau-rea>. Accessed April 1, 2021.
4. Peñuelas O, Muriel A, Abraira V, et al. Inter-country variability over time in the mortality of mechanically ventilated patients. *Intensive Care Med*. 2020;46(3):444-453.

COVID-19 in the Critically Ill



Too Risky for High-Dose Anticoagulation?

To the Editor:

Tacquard et al¹ endorse increased anticoagulation dosing in critically ill COVID-19 patients in an article published in *CHEST* (June 2021). In this novel and timely study, the authors found a lower rate of thrombosis (hazard ratio, 0.79 [0.65-0.95]) in patients receiving higher than usual heparin doses, with no increase in bleeding. Despite the merits of the study, we must warn against this approach, considering the drawbacks we are experiencing and the confronting evidence.

The first of our concerns is the bleeding risk, which should not be undermined because it causes significant morbidity, especially in the critically ill. In a multicenter study, 7.6% of critically ill COVID-19 patients had bleeding events, and 5.6% had major bleeding.² Similarly, a meta-analysis has found an incidence of bleeding of 7.8%,³ being higher with intermediate and therapeutic doses of anticoagulation, like those studied by Tacquard et al.¹ Concern has also been raised for a subgroup of patients with enhanced bleeding tendency and a prolonged clot lysis time in the critically ill,⁴ which suggests complex hematological variations.

Regarding methods, high and intermediate doses should have been distinguished to ease the hands-on of data. Also, we believe the authors should have analyzed non-vessel thrombotic complications separately. The complications studied were derived from extracorporeal circuits, which cause benign thrombosis events² and anticoagulation-associated bleedings, which are often serious in our experience. This reminds us of the categorizing of complications by severity, which we believe was missing.

The authors report a 5% and 12% incidence of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, respectively, whereas others report VTE in 7.6%.² These rates appear comparable to those typical in the ICU, which raises doubt over the burden of thrombosis in this setting. The prevalence of thrombotic complications in COVID-19 has been variable and highly dependent on assessing methods and the type of event studied.³

We also need to remark on the finding of immunothrombosis, which was not possible to ponder in this work. Autopsy studies have found thrombosis in the lungs of 57% of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection.⁵ We hypothesize that these phenomena hold a key in the concerning analysis and could dictate whether high doses of anticoagulation are futile at this advanced stage.

Finally, we agree on the usefulness of biomarkers to guide therapy. They are needed to stratify both thrombotic and hemorrhagic risk,⁴ bringing a more individualized treatment, preferably at earlier disease stages with fewer dangers present.

Juan José Paez Vargas, MD
Anxela Vidal González, MD
César Pérez-Calvo, MD, PhD
Javier Flandes, MD, PhD, FCCP
Madrid, Spain

AFFILIATIONS: From the Intensive Care Department (J. Paez-Vargas, A. Vidal González, and C. Pérez-Calvo) and the Interventional Pulmonology Department (J. Flandes), Hospital Universitario Fundación Jiménez Díaz.

FINANCIAL/NONFINANCIAL DISCLOSURES: None declared

CORRESPONDENCE TO: Juan José Paez Vargas, MD; email: jjpaezv@icloud.com

Copyright © 2021 American College of Chest Physicians. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2021.03.028>

References

1. Tacquard C, Mansour A, Godon A, et al. Impact of high-dose prophylactic anticoagulation in critically ill patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Chest*. 2021;159(6):2417-2427.
2. Al-Samkari H, Karp Leaf RS, Dzik WH, et al. COVID-19 and coagulation: bleeding and thrombotic manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Blood*. 2020;136(4):489-500.
3. Jiménez D, García-Sánchez A, Rali P, et al. Incidence of VTE and bleeding among hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest*. 2021;159(3):1182-1196.
4. Zuo Y, Warnock M, Harbaugh A, et al. Plasma tissue plasminogen activator and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):1-9.
5. Hariri LP, North CM, Shih AR, et al. Lung histopathology in coronavirus disease 2019 as compared with severe acute respiratory syndrome and H1N1 influenza: a systematic review. *Chest*. 2021;159(1):73-84.