Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2021 August 6; 9(22): 6201-6217

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v9.i22.6201

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

REVIEW

Gastrointestinal manifestations of systemic sclerosis: An updated review

Adan Luquez-Mindiola, Alexis Javier Atuesta, Andres Jose Gómez-Aldana

ORCID number: Adan Luquez-Mindiola 0000-0001-5364-9688; Alexis Javier Atuesta 0000-0003-2993-9348; Andres Jose Gómez-Aldana 0000-0002-7954-4910.

Author contributions: Luquez-Mindiola A was involved in the study conceptualization, and manuscript edition and writing; Atuesta AJ was involved in manuscript writing and study conceptualization; and Gómez-Aldana AJ was involved in study conceptualization, and writing and editing of the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have nothing to disclose.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Adan Luquez-Mindiola, Department of Gastroenterology, Gut Médica, Bogota 11711 Colombia

Alexis Javier Atuesta, Department of Internal Medicine, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota 11711, Colombia

Andres Jose Gómez-Aldana, Department of Endoscopy, Santa Fe Foundation of Bogotá (Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá), Bogotá 11711, Colombia

Andres Jose Gómez-Aldana, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad de los Andes, Bogota 11711, Colombia

Corresponding author: Andres Jose Gómez-Aldana, MD, Attending Doctor, Department of Endoscopy, Santa Fe Foundation of Bogotá (Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá), Cra 7 # 117 15, Bogotá 11711, Colombia. andresgomezmd@hotmail.com

Abstract

Systemic sclerosis is an autoimmune disease characterized by vascular disease, fibrosis of the skin, and internal organ dysfunction. Gastrointestinal involvement is the most frequent complication of internal organs, impacting up to 90% of patients. Gastrointestinal involvement can affect any region of the gastrointestinal tract from the mouth to the anus, with a predominance of disorders being observed at the level of the upper digestive tract. The gastrointestinal involvement primarily involves the esophagus, small bowel, and rectum. The severity of gastrointestinal involvement affects quality of life and is a marker of worse prognosis and mortality in these patients. In this review, we describe the current findings regarding gastrointestinal involvement by this entity.

Key Words: Systemic sclerosis; Gastrointestinal; Diagnosis; Treatment

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Systemic sclerosis is an autoimmune disease characterized by vasculopathy, fibrosis of the skin, and internal organ dysfunction. Gastrointestinal involvement is the most common complication of internal organs, impacting up to 90% of patients. This involvement can affect any region of the gastrointestinal tract from the mouth to the anus, with a predominance of alterations being observed in the upper digestive tract.

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Country/Territory of origin:

Colombia

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): E

Received: January 18, 2021 Peer-review started: January 18,

First decision: February 9, 2021 Revised: March 30, 2021 Accepted: June 7, 2021 Article in press: June 7, 2021 Published online: August 6, 2021

P-Reviewer: B Lankarani K,

Toyoshima O S-Editor: Liu M L-Editor: Wang TQ P-Editor: Xing YX



The gastrointestinal involvement primarily involves the esophagus, small intestine, and rectum. The severity of gastrointestinal involvement affects quality of life and is a marker of worse prognosis and mortality in these patients. In this review, we describe the current findings regarding gastrointestinal involvement by this entity.

Citation: Luquez-Mindiola A, Atuesta AJ, Gómez-Aldana AJ. Gastrointestinal manifestations of systemic sclerosis: An updated review. World J Clin Cases 2021; 9(22): 6201-6217

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v9/i22/6201.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v9.i22.6201

INTRODUCTION

The term scleroderma comes from the Greek "scleros" (thickened) and "derma" skin (hardened skin). The first report of scleroderma was made in 1753 from Carlo Curzio, but only in the middle of the 19th century was it established as a disease [1,2]. Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is an autoimmune disease, the etiology and pathophysiology of which have not been clearly defined. SSc is characterized by alterations in both humoral and cellular immunity followed by fibroproliferative alterations in the microvasculature with subsequent deposition of collagen fibers [1,2]. In 1892, Sir William Osler described SSc as a "terrible disease"; according to his observations, he found that it affected not only the skin but also multiple organs, such as the heart, lung, kidney, and gastrointestinal tract (GIT)[3]. Only until 1947 did Cristian include for the first time the GI involvement of the disease, which was made possible by advances in imaging techniques, thereby providing an idea of the complexity of the disease[4].

Although Raynaud's phenomenon and skin sclerosis are the most visible clinical features, GI involvement in SSc is the most frequent complication of internal organs, affecting approximately 90% of patients[5,6]. GI involvement can fundamentally impact any region from the mouth to the anus with a linear relationship between the modified Rodnan score and the frequency of GI symptoms of the upper tract[7]. However, in up to 10% of cases, initial disease involvement affects the GIT in the absence of skin disease[4].

In a study in which the risk factors and clinical manifestations related to severe GI dysmotility in patients with SSc were determined, it was associated with male gender [odds ratio (OR) = 2.47, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.34-4.56, P = 0.004], myopathy (OR = 5.53 95% CI: 2.82-10.82, P < 0.001), and sicca symptoms (OR = 2.40, 95% CI: 1.30-4.42, P = 0.005[8]. In a cohort of patients with SSc with fewer than 2 years of disease evolution, the probability of developing severe GI compromise was estimated at 9.1% in 2 years and 16.0% in 4 years. Additionally, severe GI disease was associated with an increase in the risk of death greater than 2 times and a decrease of the quality of life related to health and mental health[9]. In SSc patients with GI dysfunction, worse results have been found in the domains that assess quality of life compared to SSc patients without GI dysfunction[10]. A recent study showed that an increased burden of symptoms related to GI dysautonomia was associated with emotional distress[11]. In two meta-analyses, it was found that the mortality attributed to GI alterations was between 4%-7.6%[12,13], and in the subgroup of patients who developed severe GI symptoms, the survival rate was only 15% in 9 years[14]. GI compromise is the third leading cause of mortality in SSc after cardiopulmonary and kidney damage[3,15]. The main organ involved is the esophagus followed by the small bowel (SB) and rectum and anus[14,16]. GI pathology in SSc can present with very mild symptoms in 39% of cases, mild symptoms in 21%, moderate symptoms in 31%, and severe symptoms in 9%[17]. Table 1 summarizes the main clinical manifestation of each part of the GI system[14,16].

Based on the extent of cutaneous involvement, SSc is classified as limited (lcSSc) (80%) and diffuse (dcSSc) (20%). The latter occurs when the involvement is proximal to the elbows and knees; the facial region can be affected in both subtypes. This classification is important in terms of the natural history of the disease, organ involvement, antibody profile, and prognosis[18]. In the EUSTAR cohort, older patients with diffuse involvement acquired earlier and more frequent GI symptoms (P < 0.05). Patients with anti-RNA polymerase III antibodies presented lower GI compromise than those with anti-topoisomerase I or anti-centromere antibodies [hazard ratio (HR) = 0.55, 95%CI:

Table 1 Distribution of the main of	gastrointestinal manifestations in s	vstemic sclerosis	(modified from references	14 and 16)
Tubic i bistribution of the main	quationiteatinai maineatationa in a	yatomio acici cala	(III) allica II olii I cici ciioca	IT ullu loj

Compromised organ(s)	Percentage of compromise	Clinical manifestations
Oral cavity and oropharynx	30%-70%	Microstomia, xerostomia, odontogenic pathology, squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue, dysfunction of the temporomandibular joint, oropharyngeal dysphagia
Esophagus	80%-90%	Esophageal dysphagia, chest pain, heartburn, regurgitation
Stomach	25%-50%	Gastroparesis, antral gastric vascular ectasia
Small intestine	60%-80%	Dysmotility, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth, chronic intestinal pseudo-obstruction, cystic intestinal pneumatosis, diverticula
Colon	20%-50%	Dysmotility, decreased gastro-colic reflex, constipation, perforation, diverticula
Rectum and anus	50%-70%	Fecal incontinence, defecatory disorder, rectal prolapse

0.34-0.90; HR = 0.59, 95%CI: 0.39 - 0.91, respectively][19].

Esophageal and gastric symptoms were more frequent in dcSSc than in lcSSc, whereas intestinal symptoms were similar in both forms[20]. dcSSc presents with cough (80%), heartburn (80%), epigastric pain (80%), bloating (80%), diarrhea (73%), nausea (60%), constipation (47%), vomiting (33%), weight loss (27%), and fecal incontinence (13%); in the limited form, less nocturnal epigastric pain, abdominal pain, and diarrhea have been found with a greater frequency of fecal incontinence and meteorism. There are no differences regarding cough, nausea, vomiting, and constipation[1].

There are several challenges in management, for which patients at high risk of progression to severe GI disease must be identified: Determining if existing damage or disease activity is the cause of symptoms, determining if early initiation of pro-motility agents or other GI medications can prevent complications, and defining whether there is a role for immunosuppressants[7]. The objective of this article is to update the pathophysiology and GI manifestations of this entity.

METHODS

For this review, articles were identified through a search in PubMed, Google Scholar, and Semantic Scholar, with the following terms: "Systemic sclerosis", "gastrointestinal", "orofacial", "oropharyngeal", "esophagus", "esophageal manometry", "gastroesophageal reflux disease", "gastric", "gastroparesis", "gastric antral vascular ectasia", "bowel", "SIBO", "pseudo-obstruction", "colon", "rectum", and "anus". Manuscripts published in English were reviewed. Articles published from January 1980 to July 2020 were included. The titles and abstracts of the articles were identified according to the search strategy, and relevant papers were chosen for the review. The articles in this search were screened for additional references.

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF SSC

It is estimated that the incidence of SSc in the United States and Europe is approximately 1-2/100000 inhabitants/year, and the prevalence is 8-30 cases per 100000 people; however, this statistic may vary between different population groups[15]. In Colombia, according to the COPCORD - Colombian Association of Rheumatology registry, the prevalence is 0.02%. The peak of presentation is approximately 30 to 50 years[21]. This entity is more frequent in women with respect to men with a 5:1 ratio, and it affects Afro-descendants, highlighting the earlier presentation in life and the dcSSc subtype, with the latter having a worse prognosis in the evolution of the disease [15,21].

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

The pathophysiology is characterized by the sum of involvement at the vascular level (vasculopathy), immunological alteration together with an inflammatory state

(autoimmune component), and an increase in fibrogenesis (connective tissue component)[16]. The different physiopathological components can occur simultaneously; however, in earlier forms of the disease, there is a predominance of the inflammatory component and in late-phase fibrosis[22].

Mechanisms of GI engagement in SSc

In the natural history of the disease, progressive fibrotic changes secondary to dysfunction in the deposition of collagen and other components of the extracellular matrix associated with a significant degree of neuromuscular dysfunction, as well as vascular and autoimmune phenomena, will be reflected in the different manifestations, such as dysmotility, malabsorption, malnutrition, and dilation of the intestine [15]. In more severe cases, this disease can lead to serious complications, such as intestinal pseudo-obstruction, perforation, and neoplasia[1,23].

To arrive at the initial alterations of the disease, the multifactorial etiological approach is accepted[14]. It is suggested that a genetic component is part of the initial event for the following pathophysiological phenomena. The presence of human leukocyte antigen DRB1*0802 and DQA1*0501 alleles and epigenetic alterations, such as DNA methylation, particularly hypomethylation, is the most important. Modifications in microRNAs, such as miR-29, have been identified, facilitating the expression of genes that favor collagen deposition. Other external physical and chemical stimuli, such as gadolinium, L-tryptophan and cigarettes, and biologics, such as Helicobacter pylori, as well as some viruses and fungi, have the ability to integrate to produce the damage found[16].

To arrive at the pathophysiological development of the disease, two main theories have been proposed[1]. In the first instance, vascular compromise is considered the trigger for autonomic axonal damage, reflected in later sympathetic overactivity and the initial effect on dysmotility through degeneration of cholinergic nerves, rather than muscle[4]. When evaluating GI motor involvement, it is possible to classify it as myogenic (hypomotility) or neurogenic (development of contractions and feedback from the defective system)[14]. However, it should be noted that motor involvement is not the only mechanism of the disease. As a concomitant mechanism, possibly a consequence of the vascular disease, an alternate event, or a predecessor to it, the alterations of the immune system are accepted[16]. An early autoimmune course has been described, where this immunological instability may be responsible for the autonomic nervous alteration[23]. In any case, the most accepted pathophysiological theory is that the disease requires not only one phenomenon but also a series of related or sequential events to reach fibrosis or late outcome.

An attempt has been made to sequentially classify GI compromise according to Sjögren into 4 phases: Grade 0 when there is vascular compromise, grade 1 in the case of neurological damage, grade 2 when there is myogenic dysfunction, and grade 3 in the presence of fibrosis [14]. Notably, studies have not been able to demonstrate a clear correlation between clinical GI involvement and the physiological and histological findings[23]. The final outcome of the different pathophysiological mechanisms will be atrophy of smooth muscle, both in the intestine and in the wall of the blood vessels; over time, the smooth muscle is replaced by fibrotic tissue around the muscle cells with subsequent neuronal degeneration and loss of histological architecture [14].

Vascular dysfunction in SSc

Endothelial vascular hyperresponsiveness, observed by vasoconstriction events with subsequent reperfusion clinically manifested as Raynaud's phenomenon, appears to be the early and initial pathophysiological event[1]. The endothelium is a tissue that continuously performs homeostatic functions through the transport of nutrients, facilitates cell migration, and plays a fundamental role in coagulation. The loss of this balance generates a state of metabolic alteration, a decrease in vasodilator molecules, such as nitric oxide and prostacyclin, and an increase in vasoconstrictors, such as endothelin-1. Similarly, different adhesion molecules, such as selectins and integrins, have been identified[3]. There is also a vascular pro-coagulant state demonstrated by an increase in fibrinogen and irregular release of plasminogen activator; there is an increase in platelet aggregation, fibrin deposition, and formation of intravascular thrombi[16]. Finally, in more advanced stages of the disease, the vascular architecture is lost, with alterations in neoangiogenesis being observed. These phenomena of tissue hypoperfusion lead to a state of hypoxia and ischemia, generating free oxygen radicals and the subsequent stimulation of fibroblast proliferation and increased production of extracellular matrix. In the vascular wall, there is proliferation of the intima and deposition of products, such as proteoglycans, generating fibrosis at this level[16,24].

GI involvement in SSc and fibrosis

At the GIT level, fibroblasts and later myofibroblasts are activated, with overproduction of the extracellular matrix occurring not only through a greater amount of collagen but also through other proteins, such as fibrillin, together with a decrease in collagenase activity[23]. Similarly, there is a transformation to myofibroblasts favored by the action of interleukin (IL)-1, which is capable of generating a larger extracellular matrix, mainly types 1 and 3 collagen, and inhibiting degradation[14]. Fibrosis is due to the exposure of fibroblasts to cytokines, such as transforming growth factor β, together with its receptor (favored by the action of IL-13), platelet-derived growth factor, and growth factor similar to insulin, both for its profibrotic stimulation and its ability to be antiapoptotic by inhibiting Fas-mediated signaling pathways [15,16].

The pathophysiological mechanisms described previously will be reflected in the different GI manifestations of SSc, such as gastric dysrhythmias, elevated levels of vasoactive intestinal peptide, and decreased motilin in serum, by enterochromaffin cells and M cells in the stomach, SB, and colon, leading to a slow wave phenomenon with the consequent effect of intestinal transit[1]. In turn, this hypomotility phenomenon will lead to other phenomena, such as gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), gastroparesis, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO), intestinal malabsorption, fecal incontinence and, in severe cases, chronic intestinal pseudoobstruction (CIPO)[14,25-27].

CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS

Oral cavity and oropharynx

The orofacial region is involved in more than 2/3 of patients; however, orofacial involvement is underdiagnosed, and the corresponding symptoms are frequently overshadowed by severe systemic manifestations[28]. The main oral and maxillofacial compromise includes limited opening of the mouth (microstomy), reduction of the size of the lips (microcheilia), reduction of the interincisal distance, xerostomia, periodontal disease, widening of the periodontal ligament space, squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue, resorption of the zygomatic arch, and reabsorption of the mandibular angle, coronoid process, and condyle, which leads to pathological fractures, and disorders of the temporomandibular joint[29]. Sicca syndrome occurs in approximately 70% of patients, secondary to fibrosis of the salivary glands, and 7%-14% of patients may present with Sjögren's syndrome[29].

Limited oral opening interferes with chewing and oral hygiene [18]. A study that included 163 patients and 231 controls found that SSc patients had more carious teeth and periodontal disease. Additionally, the interincisal distance was smaller (SSc 37.68 mm vs controls 44.30 mm, P < 0.0001), and they produced less saliva (SSc 147.52 mg/min vs controls 163.19 mg/min, P = 0.0259[30]. In an observational study, it was found that SSc patients had more oral symptoms (xerostomia, dysgeusia, dysphagia, and stomatodynia) than healthy controls (78.8% vs 28.7%, respectively, P = 0.001). Additionally, these patients had more symptoms of temporomandibular disorders (muscle pain when chewing, difficulty opening the mouth, and headache)[31]. In patients with severe limitations in oral opening (< 30 mm), a specific rehabilitation program for oral opening, flexible sectional prostheses, and splint therapy is recommended. Prevention of infections in the mouth and cavities requires education in dental and oral hygiene, periodontal maintenance, and treatment of sicca syndrome [32]. Patients should be evaluated radiologically for early detection of dental caries and mandibular resorption to prevent the occurrence of iatrogenic fractures [29,32].

Pharyngeal abnormalities compromise up to 50% of patients[33]. In a study in which 51 patients with SSc were included, 26% had swallowing abnormalities (oral leakage, retention, penetration, mild or moderate aspiration, and incoordination of the upper esophageal sphincter). The severity of oropharyngeal involvement was correlated with the duration of skin involvement and Raynaud's phenomenon. These alterations were more severe in patients with esophageal dysmotility and were associated with a higher incidence of lung disease[34]. Speech therapy is recommended in patients with oropharyngeal dysfunction to optimize swallowing mechanisms and reduce the risk of aspiration[18].

Esophagus

The esophagus is the most frequently involved internal organ, reaching a prevalence greater than 90%[6]. The symptoms are mainly due to esophageal motility compromised with symptoms, such as dysphagia and chest pain, and GERD with

symptoms, such as heartburn and regurgitation[35]; however, in asymptomatic patients, a high prevalence of alterations in the esophageal mucosa can be found [36].

High-resolution esophageal manometry (HRM) is a tool used to assess esophageal motility. Based on the findings of the HRM, motor disorders of the esophagus are currently classified according to Chicago version 3.0[37]. In a systematic review of patients with SSc, an association was found between absent contractility and high GI symptoms, although asymptomatic patients frequently presented dysmotility of the esophageal body [38]. Patients who are asymptomatic for esophageal involvement may have abnormalities in the HRM in up to 84% of cases[39]. The typical manometric findings are weak or absent peristalsis of the esophageal body and hypotension of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES). The combination of aperistalsis and hypotension of the LES has been called classic SSc esophagus (ESSc)[35]. In a prospective study in which 200 patients were included, 56% had absent contractility, 26% had normal motility, 10% had ineffective esophageal motility, and 33% had ESSc[40]. Meanwhile, in another study, the loss of peristaltic reserve evaluated with multiple rapid swallows was the most common manometric finding in these patients[41].

The presence of anti-Scl 70 antibodies and the absence of anti-centromere antibodies have been associated with dysmotility of the esophageal body[42]. Similarly, in a cohort, it was found that patients with positive anti-RNPC-3 antibodies had more esophageal dysmotility than those with negative antibodies (93% vs 62%, respectively, P < 0.01)[43].

The absence of contractility in HRM is associated with greater severity of skin involvement and poorer lung function[44,45]. In a recent systematic review, esophageal dysmotility was correlated with decreased carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (< 0.8 predicted value) and interstitial lung disease (ILD) on high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT)[38]. Additionally, the increase in esophageal diameter on HRCT is correlated with greater severity of ILD associated with SSc[46,47].

The treatment of esophageal dysmotility is supportive, and it is recommended that patients take small bites, chew food well, avoid dry or fibrous foods, and drink plenty of water with solid foods[48]. In patients with SSc and esophageal motility disorder, there are no controlled clinical trials evaluating the long-term efficacy of prokinetics, and experts recommend them with a C-level strength of recommendation[18]. The use of prokinetics has been restricted primarily by the cardiovascular safety profile [49].

GERD develops when backflow of gastric contents into the esophagus causes bothersome symptoms or complications[50]. It frequently occurs early in the course of SSc, unlike motility disorders[6]. Patients are at higher risk due to several factors: (1) weak or absent peristalsis; (2) decreased LES pressure; (3) associated hiatal hernia (due to shortening of the esophagus); (4) gastroparesis; (5) autonomic neurological dysfunction; and (6) associated sicca syndrome (due to loss of bicarbonate in saliva) [51].

The nocturnal symptoms can be presented by multiple factors, such as reclining, decreased swallowing and secretion of saliva, and decreased esophageal peristalsis and perception of GERD, with prolonged clearance of acid from the esophagus, and it has been associated with sleep disturbances[52]. In a study including 287 patients with SSc, patients who reported GERD symptoms additionally reported poor sleep quality

The diagnosis is complex and has recently been described in a consensus of experts in which it has been considered that the clinical history, the results of questionnaires, and empirical response to anti-secretory therapy are insufficient to make a conclusive diagnosis in isolation. It has been proposed to evaluate endoscopic findings, pH or pH impedance, and HRM. In this consensus, the conclusive evidence of GERD in esophageal examinations includes advanced erosive esophagitis (Grade C and D according to the Los Angeles classification), long segment Barrett's esophagus (BE) and peptic stricture in endoscopic findings, and acid exposure time (AET) > 6% in pH or pH-impedance[54].

Treatment in these patients should be applied in a staggered manner, initially with changes in lifestyle, pharmacological therapy, and endoscopic and surgical procedures in selected patients[55]. Acid-suppressing medications, primarily proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), are the cornerstone of treatment[55]. Although specific large-scale controlled clinical trials in patients with SSc-related GERD are lacking, experts recommend that PPIs should be used to treat symptoms and prevent ulcers and esophageal stricture, a strength of recommendation B[18]. A PPI daily dose is started 30-60 min before the first meal of the day, and the dose is increased to twice daily if the response is partial or there are nocturnal symptoms[55]. A matched retrospective casecontrol study in which 38 patients with SSc and 38 controls who underwent esophageal pH-impedance with double-dose PPI were included found a higher AET ≥

4.5% (61% cases vs 18% controls P < 0.001), higher median bolus clearance, and lower mean nocturnal baseline impedance, which would support ineffective esophageal clearance as the potential mechanism, for which additional therapies with PPIs to control acid reflux should be considered in these patients [56]. A clinical trial that included SSc patients with GERD who had a partial response to PPIs randomized to domperidone and alginic acid found that after treatment with both drugs, the severity and frequency of symptoms and quality of life improved; however, 17% of the patients did not respond to the combination treatment [57]. Acotiamide is a cholinesterase inhibitor, and a patient with SSc and severe GERD without response to PPIs, mosapride, and Rikkunshito, had symptomatic improvement with this prokinetic [58]. Buspirone is a 5-HT1A receptor agonist that increases the resting pressure of the LES in patients with SSc[59]. In a study that included 30 patients with esophageal symptoms who did not respond to PPIs, oral buspirone 20 mg daily improved GERD symptoms at 4 wk of treatment[60]. In patients who do not respond after a standard 8wk course with PPI in whom upper gastrointestinal endoscopy rules out esophageal injury, GERD should be confirmed with pH-impedance without PPI or a diagnosis of functional esophageal disorders should be made, such as reflux hypersensitivity and functional heartburn, which could be managed with neuromodulators[61].

Patients who do not respond to medical management will require surgical management. Experts have recently generated key recommendations to properly select patients who benefit from anti-reflux surgery, considering patients with heartburn with adequate response to PPIs, hiatal hernia, erosive esophagitis grade B or greater according to the Los Angeles classification and BE patients[62]. However, the results of anti-reflux surgery in SSc have been suboptimal due to the esophageal dysmotility present in these patients[63]. The most widely used methods are fundoplication and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB). Most of the literature demonstrates that fundoplication can be safe in patients with weak peristalsis and that postoperative dysphagia cannot be reliably predicted by the preoperative parameters of HRM. Partial fundoplication could be performed in patients with aperistalsis (ESSc) after a multidisciplinary evaluation, and RYGB would be an alternative to partial fundoplication in patients with ESSc after carefully evaluating nutritional status [64]. In a retrospective study that included 23 patients who underwent surgical treatment for GERD (fundoplication, RYGB, and esophagectomy), better control of reflux symptoms and less dysphagia were found in the RYGB group compared with fundoplication, and the post-discharge complications after esophagectomy were also reduced [65]. A more recent retrospective study found that RYGB is a safe anti-reflux procedure and is an alternative to fundoplication in patients with esophageal dysmotility[66].

Patients in whom GERD has not been diagnosed or controlled may have serious complications, such as esophageal stricture, BE, esophageal adenocarcinoma, ILD, and pulmonary fibrosis[67]. Combined with the pulmonary manifestations typical of SSc, these latter complications predispose patients to end-stage lung disease and, ultimately, lung transplantation in refractory cases[68]. There are few studies on this subject, and most of them retrospective, with a small number of patients with endstage lung disease who are candidates for lung transplantation. The recommendation by experts is to evaluate GERD and, if it is detected, to perform fundoplication as soon as the diagnosis is made to prevent the development of obliterative bronchiolitis syndrome[69].

Stomach

Gastric dysfunction has been reported in up to 50% of patients [27]. The two main changes in the stomach are gastroparesis and gastric antral vascular ectasia (GAVE) [14].

Gastroparesis is a syndrome that is defined by objectively delayed gastric emptying (GE) in the absence of mechanical obstruction and cardinal symptoms, including early satiety, postprandial fullness, nausea, vomiting, bloating, and upper abdominal pain [70]. In a report of patients with SSc, the prevalence of delayed GE using a ¹³C-labeled octanoic acid respiratory test was 47.4%[71]. In a study in which GE was studied by abdominal ultrasound, a delay was observed in 65%-70% of patients with a duration of disease greater than 10 years [72]. Another study in which 20 patients and 20 controls were included, evaluated gastric filling and emptying by trans-abdominal ultrasound in patients with SSc found reduced gastric filling and delayed GE in the fundus and antrum[73].

The gold standard for the diagnosis of gastroparesis is 4-h solid phase GE scintigraphy. The study was carried out with a low-fat meal with an egg white (approximately 240 kcal, 2% fat), and images were taken at 0 h, 1 h, 2 h, and 4 h [74]. According to GE scintigraphy, the severity is classified based on the percentage of gastric

retention at 4 h: Mild (10%-15%), moderate (15%-35%), and severe (> 35%)[75]. Alternative studies recommended by experts are breath tests labelled with the stable nonradioactive isotope ¹³C using octanoic acid or Spirulina platensis incorporated in a solid meal, the wireless motility capsule, and antral or antropyloroduodenal manometry[74].

Treatment strategies in these patients will depend on the classification according to GE scintigraphy based on general measures, changes in diet, nutritional support, prokinetics, antiemetics, symptom modulators, and endoscopic or surgical management [75]. Medications that delay GE should be reviewed and eliminated. The diet is based on small and frequent meals that are low in fat and fiber or, in severe cases, a liquefied diet with the use of nutritional supplements[75]. There are several prokinetics and antiemetics that could be used in gastroparesis and SSc, including metoclopramide, erythromycin, and ghrelin; however, there are very few reports of management specifically in these patients [76-80]. Cisapride showed good initial results [81]; nevertheless, it was withdrawn from the market in many countries due to adverse cardiovascular effects[49,82]. Invasive procedures, including Botox injections and gastric stimulator implantation, appear to have a limited role[6]. After a multidisciplinary discussion, gastric per oral endoscopic myotomy could be a therapeutic option in patients with severe gastroparesis in whom classic treatment fails[83].

Recently, it was observed that SSc can be an independent risk factor for GI bleeding events with an HR of 2.98 (95%CI: 2.21-4.02)[84]. GAVE is part of the spectrum of vascular disease in these patients[16,24]. The prevalence is variable, with reports between 1%-76% [85]. A study that evaluated abnormalities of the GI mucosa by video capsule endoscopy found "watermelon stomach" (34.6%), gastric and/or SB telangiectasia (26.9%), and gastric and/or SB angiodysplasia (38.5%).

Mucosal vascular lesions in the GIT were related to digital ulcers (P = 0.05), a high score in the videocapillaroscopy of the nail fold (P = 0.0009), anemia (P = 0.02), and low levels of ferritin (P < 0.0001)[86]. In a study in which 28 patients with SSc and GAVE were included, 61% were found to have cutaneous telangiectasias. Patients with dcSSc developed GAVE earlier in the course of the disease than patients with lcSSc (21.5 mo vs 84.3 mo, respectively, P = 0.025)[87]. However, in 10.9% of cases, the finding of GAVE preceded the onset of SSc symptoms[88]. Clinical findings (early diffuse disease and rapid progression of skin thickening) and laboratory findings (anti-RNA polymerase III positive and anti-Scl 70 negative) are risk factors for the develop it

GAVE can present with asymptomatic or symptomatic iron deficiency anemia (weakness, fatigue, or dyspnea), occult blood in the stool, and overt bleeding due to melena or hematemesis[14,85]. Endoscopically, the typical "watermelon stomach" is found with prominent, flat, or raised erythematous stripes that radiate from the antrum with a tendency to converge towards the pylorus, the finding of which is the most frequent, and the "honeycomb stomach", where vascular ectasia appears as a coalescence of multiple round angiodysplasias in the antrum[88]. Additionally, patients with GAVE had more erythema or vascular ectasias in other parts of the stomach than patients with SSc without GAVE [26.1% vs 5%, P = 0.003)[90]. Likewise, vascular ectasias have been reported in the esophagus, duodenum, ileum, colon, and rectum, supporting the theory of diffuse vasculopathy[88].

Treatment is symptomatic and includes pharmacological, endoscopic, and surgical management[85]. There are no controlled clinical trials comparing the different types of treatment. Medical management includes iron supplementation and transfusion support in cases of acute GI bleeding and symptomatic anemia. Additionally, there are case reports of management with steroids and hormonal therapy (ethinylestradiol and norethisterone)[88]. Endoscopic treatment is indicated when there is overt or occult GI bleeding with anemia refractory to conservative therapy[88]. It can be performed with sclerotherapy, hot probe, bipolar electrocoagulation, photocoagulation with a Nd-YAG laser (neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet), and argon plasma coagulation (APC). However, APC is the current standard treatment because it has several theoretical advantages, such as limited depth of penetration into the tissue, which decreases the risk of perforation, and symmetrical spread of the coagulation effect in the surrounding target area [91]. APC is effective in most cases, but some patients develop severe, refractory bleeding. In these cases, cyclophosphamide can be used with a reported dose between 750 mg/m² and 1000 mg/m²[89]. In a recent metaanalysis, radiofrequency ablation was found to have efficacy and tolerability comparable to APC and appears to be effective in patients with APC-refractory GAVE [92]. Surgical treatment, mainly antrectomy, is reserved for patients who do not respond to medical or endoscopic therapy[85].

Small bowel

The SB is the second most compromised GIT organ[14]. The dysmotility occurs in up to 60%-80% of cases, depending on the duration of the disease[6]. In a manometric study, more severe phase III abnormalities of the migrant motor complex were found during fasting, a decrease in the median duodenal and duodenal-jejunal index during the postprandial period, and more frequent alterations of the motor activity in response to octreotide infusion[93]. Slow transit is associated with SIBO and CIPO[6].

SIBO affects approximately 40% of patients with SSc[6]. In a study in which 89 patients were included, it was associated with > 5 years of disease duration (OR = 9.38, 95%CI: 1.09-80.47)[94]. It is a cause of malabsorption, and it presents with diarrhea, bloating, weight loss, steatorrhea, and nutritional deterioration with deficiency of iron, vitamin B12, and fat-soluble vitamins (A, D, and E)[95,96]. The gold standard for the diagnosis is traditionally the quantitative culture of jejunal aspirate [95]. It has been defined by the presence of ≥ 10⁵ colony forming units (CFU)/mL aerobic Gramnegative or strict anaerobic bacteria in jejunal aspirate cultures [95]. However, there is recent consensus that a different cutoff point $\geq 10^3$ CFU/mL in aspirate culture of SB should be used [97]. It has false positives due to contamination with the oral and esophageal microbiota and false negatives due to the lack of ability to reach the middle and distal part of the SB[97]. This is an invasive, uncomfortable, and expensive technique for which noninvasive tests have been developed that are relatively simple and less expensive [95,96]. Glucose and lactulose breath tests are the least invasive alternatives for diagnosing. An increase in hydrogen of ≥ 20 p.p.m. above the reference value at 90 min, during the glucose or lactulose breath test, is considered positive[97]. For the study specifically in SSc, it is recommended to perform these breath tests in which expired hydrogen and methane are evaluated[98].

Diagnostic tests have limited performance; therefore, in clinical practice, it is common that when suspected, given classic risk factors and symptoms, empirical treatments with broad-spectrum antibiotics are used that cover aerobic and anaerobic bacteria[95]. In the only systematic review in which treatment was specifically evaluated in SSc, five nonrandomized studies were found that included 78 patients treated with octreotide, ciprofloxacin, rifaximin, norfloxacin, and metronidazole and the combination of amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, and metronidazole. Due to the heterogeneity of treatments and relatively small sample sizes, it was not possible to perform a meta-analysis[99]. Despite the lack of controlled clinical trials, experts recommend the use of intermittent or rotating antibiotics[18]. Rifaximin is the most studied antibiotic in patients without SSc, and it is the preferred antibiotic due to its effectiveness, limited absorption, and systemic effects[100]. Other antibiotics, such as amoxicillin-clavulanate, ciprofloxacin, doxycycline, metronidazole, neomycin, norfloxacin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, have been used[95]. Treatment is generally administered for 7 d to 14 d[95]. Probiotics have been proposed for the prevention and treatment. In a meta-analysis in which 22 studies were included, none involving patients with SSc, supplementation with probiotics had a higher rate of decontamination [risk ratio (RR) = 1.61, 95% CI: 1.19-2.17, P < 0.05], reduction in H2 concentration (WMD = -36.35 ppm, 95%CI: -44.23 to -28.47 ppm, P < -28.47 pp 0.05), and improvement in abdominal pain score (WMD = -1.17; 95%CI: -2.30 to -0.04, P < 0.05) compared to the group without probiotics, but they were not effective in preventing SIBO[101]. Specifically, in patients with SSc, they have been used for the treatment of associated symptoms, such as bloating [102]. In a recent pilot clinical trial conducted in 40 patients with SIBO, after 2 mo of treatment, Saccharomyces boulardii alone and in combination with metronidazole eradicated it (33% and 55%, respectively) compared with metronidazole alone (25%). Additionally, it improved GI symptoms and had fewer adverse effects [103]. In general, after the administration of multistrain probiotics in patients with GI and SSc symptoms, adverse events are mild [104].

CIPO affects 3.9% of patients and is more frequent in dcSSc with a disease duration of more than 3 years [105]. In an analysis that included 175 patients with a history of pseudo-obstruction, CIPO was associated with male gender (HR = 1.75, 95% CI: 1.42-2.43), dcSSc (HR = 2.52, 95%CI: 1.59-3.99), myopathy (HR = 1.83, CI 95% 1.09-3.08), and the use of opioids (HR = 2.38, 95%CI: 1.50-3.78). The presence of anti-RNA polymerase III was negatively associated (HR = 0.34, 95%CI: 0.17-0.66) with CIPO[106]. In a study, 5.4% of hospitalizations were associated with intestinal pseudo-obstruction and higher in-hospital mortality in these patients [107]. It is a rare motility disorder with chronic and recurrent symptoms suggestive of intestinal obstruction in the absence of mechanical causes [108]. The main symptoms are nausea, vomiting, constipation, pain, and bloating. This disorder can be associated with SIBO, weight loss, and malnutrition [108]. X-ray examination reveals dilated intestinal loops and hydro-air levels in the

absence of a lesion occupying the lumen[108]. Abdominal radiography and computed tomography are used to rule out anatomic obstruction[23]. Often, it is not a clear diagnosis, and therapeutic options are limited. Treatment in patients with SSc is similar to that in patients with CIPO due to other causes, including prokinetics, such as erythromycin and metoclopramide, laxatives, and occasionally, enemas [109]. In severe cases that require hospitalization, most patients have spontaneous resolution with conservative management with measures, such as intestinal rest, compression with a nasogastric tube, intravenous hydration, and correction of hydroelectrolyte disorders[23]. Octreotide, an analog of somatostatin, can be used[23]. The surgical approach is generally not recommended due to the high risk of prolonged ileus or anastomotic failure[23]. Avoiding the use of opioids in high-risk patients can reduce CIPO events[5].

Additionaly, a high prevalence of celiac disease has been reported in patients with SSc, mainly in dcSSc[110,111]; however, there are conflicting results[112].

Colon and rectum

Colonic involvement has been observed in 20% to 50% of patients and can manifest with different patterns of bowel habits, ranging from constipation to diarrhea, through other frequent manifestations, such as bloating, malabsorption, malnutrition, and gastrointestinal bleeding[113,114].

Constipation has been associated with multiple factors, such as the absence or decrease in contractions in the colon, as well as alteration of gastrocolic reflux, generating a decrease in colonic transit. This phenomenon arises from the combination of neuropathy with hypertrophy of the myenteric plexus and atrophy of the smooth muscle, resulting in a decrease in peristalsis, evidenced by the decrease in intestinal transit with abdominal distention and pain as well as colonic pseudo-obstruction, megacolon, fecal impaction, stercoraceous ulcer, and even volvulus[114,115].

Another anatomical phenomenon that arises from muscle atrophy is the presence of diverticula that are generally wide-mouthed and located on the antimesenteric border [114]. Images of patients with colonic involvement due to SSc show diverticula in 42% of patients and dilation of the colon with loss of haustra in 50% of patients [116]. The approach to treating these patients involves identifying possible drugs that cause intestinal transit alteration, as well as rectal examination and the performance of colonoscopy, especially in patients with alarming symptoms, such as weight loss or rectal bleeding[115].

Other studies that may be useful have examined colonic transit by radiopaque markers, scintigraphy, or wireless motility capsules, although they are not regulatory for the approach of these patients, showing times of longer colonic transit than healthy patients[115]. The management of this entity involves the use of prucalopride[117], lubiprostone, linaclotide, and plecanatide, and agents such as fiber generate greater abdominal distension and flatulence. The use of pyridostigmine has even been described for patients with more severe compromise and poor response to the other agents[118]. Another useful therapy is biofeedback, which is useful in patients with evacuation dysfunction documented by anorectal manometry, although it has not been specifically studied in patients with SSc[119]. In the case of identifying pseudoobstruction or volvulus, the treatment is colonic decompression[120]. A retrospective study that evaluated more than 900 patients over a period of approximately 45 years observed that nonsurgical management based on detorsion was successful in 77.1% of patients, with success being greater in the group of patients who underwent rigid sigmoidoscopy, although 26.4% of patients with endoscopic signs of intestinal gangrene or early recurrence had to undergo emergency surgical management[120].

Fecal incontinence has been found in 20%-39% of patients [121,122]. However, the prevalence of fecal incontinence was determined from small cohorts. The diagnosis of this entity is established with the clinical history, as well as the use of diagnostic tools, such as high-resolution anorectal manometry, endo-anal ultrasound, and less commonly, electromyography[115].

Among the most important findings, an abnormal internal anal resting pressure with a decrease in the resting pressure of the anus rectum has been described [123], as well as an alteration in the maximum voluntary contractions of the external anal sphincter and a reduction or absence in the rectoanal inhibitory reflex[124]. Generally, the treatment of this complication is medical with medications that decrease peristalsis, as well as behavioral therapy with biofeedback[125]. However, the results have been disappointing; therefore, alternatives, such as surgical repair of the anal canal, stoma with defunctionalization, and stimulation therapy for the sacral nerve, have been explored[126].

CONCLUSION

GI involvement is common in patients with SSc. Symptoms can occur before skin involvement. GI compromise can affect any organ of the GIT from the mouth to the anus, mostly affects the esophagus, SB, and rectum. Early diagnosis is key in management to achieve symptomatic control and improve prognosis.

REFERENCES

- Johannes WJ Bijlsma. EULAR Texbook on Systemic Sclerosis. 2nd ed. Hachulla E, editor. London: BMJ, 2019
- criteria for the classification of systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). Subcommittee for scleroderma criteria of the American Rheumatism Association Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee. Arthritis Rheum 1980; 23: 581-590 [PMID: 7378088 DOI: 10.1002/art.1780230510]
- 3 Kirby DF, Chatterjee S. Evaluation and management of gastrointestinal manifestations in scleroderma. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2014; 26: 621-629 [PMID: 25207461 DOI: 10.1097/BOR.0000000000000117]
- Iglesias-Gamarra A, Jaramillo-Arroyave D, Quintana G, Rondon-Herrera F, Matucci-Cerinic M. Historia del compromiso cutáneo de la esclerosis sistémica. Rev Colomb Reumatol 2013; 20: 155-170 [DOI: 10.1016/S0121-8123(13)70128-2]
- McMahan ZH. Gastrointestinal involvement in systemic sclerosis: an update. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2019; **31**: 561-568 [PMID: 31389815 DOI: 10.1097/BOR.000000000000645]
- Miller JB, Gandhi N, Clarke J, McMahan Z. Gastrointestinal Involvement in Systemic Sclerosis: An Update. J Clin Rheumatol 2018; 24: 328-337 [PMID: 29095721 DOI: 10.1097/RHU.0000000000000626]
- Walker UA, Tyndall A, Czirják L, Denton C, Farge-Bancel D, Kowal-Bielecka O, Müller-Ladner U, Bocelli-Tyndall C, Matucci-Cerinic M. Clinical risk assessment of organ manifestations in systemic sclerosis: a report from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials And Research group database. Ann Rheum Dis 2007; 66: 754-763 [PMID: 17234652 DOI: 10.1136/ard.2006.062901]
- McMahan ZH, Paik JJ, Wigley FM, Hummers LK. Determining the Risk Factors and Clinical Features Associated With Severe Gastrointestinal Dysmotility in Systemic Sclerosis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2018; 70: 1385-1392 [PMID: 29193842 DOI: 10.1002/acr.23479]
- Richard N, Hudson M, Wang M, Gyger G, Proudman S, Stevens W, Nikpour M; Canadian Scleroderma Research Group (CSRG); Australian Scleroderma Interest Group (ASIG), Baron M. Severe gastrointestinal disease in very early systemic sclerosis is associated with early mortality. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2019; 58: 636-644 [PMID: 30517716 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/key350]
- Kwakkenbos L, Thombs BD, Khanna D, Carrier ME, Baron M, Furst DE, Gottesman K, van den Hoogen F, Malcarne VL, Mayes MD, Mouthon L, Nielson WR, Poiraudeau S, Riggs R, Sauvé M, Wigley F, Hudson M, Bartlett SJ; SPIN Investigators. Performance of the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System-29 in scleroderma: a Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network Cohort Study. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2017; 56: 1302-1311 [PMID: 28431140] DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kex055]
- DiRenzo D, Russell J, Bingham CO 3rd, McMahan Z. The Relationship Between Autonomic Dysfunction of the Gastrointestinal Tract and Emotional Distress in Patients With Systemic Sclerosis. J Clin Rheumatol 2021; 27: 11-17 [PMID: 31524844 DOI: 10.1097/RHU.0000000000001144]
- 12 Elhai M, Meune C, Avouac J, Kahan A, Allanore Y. Trends in mortality in patients with systemic sclerosis over 40 years: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2012; 51: 1017-1026 [PMID: 21900368 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ker269]
- Rubio-Rivas M, Royo C, Simeón CP, Corbella X, Fonollosa V. Mortality and survival in systemic sclerosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2014; 44: 208-219 [PMID: 24931517 DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2014.05.010]
- Kumar S, Singh J, Rattan S, DiMarino AJ, Cohen S, Jimenez SA. Review article: pathogenesis and clinical manifestations of gastrointestinal involvement in systemic sclerosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017; 45: 883-898 [PMID: 28185291 DOI: 10.1111/apt.13963]
- 15 Emmanuel A. Current management of the gastrointestinal complications of systemic sclerosis. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 13: 461-472 [PMID: 27381075 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2016.99]
- McFarlane IM, Bhamra MS, Kreps A, Iqbal S, Al-Ani F, Saladini-Aponte C, Grant C, Singh S, Awwal K, Koci K, Saperstein Y, Arroyo-Mercado FM, Laskar DB, Atluri P. Gastrointestinal Manifestations of Systemic Sclerosis. Rheumatology (Sunnyvale) 2018; 8 [PMID: 30057856 DOI: 10.4172/2161-1149.1000235]
- Khanna D, Furst DE, Maranian P, Seibold JR, Impens A, Mayes MD, Clements PJ, Getzug T, Hays RD. Minimally important differences of the UCLA Scleroderma Clinical Trial Consortium Gastrointestinal Tract Instrument. J Rheumatol 2011; 38: 1920-1924 [PMID: 21724699 DOI: 10.3899/jrheum.110225]
- Kowal-Bielecka O, Fransen J, Avouac J, Becker M, Kulak A, Allanore Y, Distler O, Clements P, Cutolo M, Czirjak L, Damjanov N, Del Galdo F, Denton CP, Distler JHW, Foeldvari I, Figelstone K,



- Frerix M, Furst DE, Guiducci S, Hunzelmann N, Khanna D, Matucci-Cerinic M, Herrick AL, van den Hoogen F, van Laar JM, Riemekasten G, Silver R, Smith V, Sulli A, Tarner I, Tyndall A, Welling J, Wigley F, Valentini G, Walker UA, Zulian F, Müller-Ladner U; EUSTAR Coauthors. Update of EULAR recommendations for the treatment of systemic sclerosis. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 1327-1339 [PMID: 27941129 DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-209909]
- 19 Jaeger VK, Wirz EG, Allanore Y, Rossbach P, Riemekasten G, Hachulla E, Distler O, Airò P, Carreira PE, Balbir Gurman A, Tikly M, Vettori S, Damjanov N, Müller-Ladner U, Distler JH, Li M, Walker UA; EUSTAR co-authors. Incidences and Risk Factors of Organ Manifestations in the Early Course of Systemic Sclerosis: A Longitudinal EUSTAR Study. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0163894 [PMID: 27706206 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163894]
- Meier FM, Frommer KW, Dinser R, Walker UA, Czirjak L, Denton CP, Allanore Y, Distler O, Riemekasten G, Valentini G, Müller-Ladner U; EUSTAR Co-authors. Update on the profile of the EUSTAR cohort: an analysis of the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group database. Ann Rheum Dis 2012; 71: 1355-1360 [PMID: 22615460 DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200742]
- Londoño J, Peláez-Ballestas I, Cuervo F, Angarita I, Giraldo R, Rueda JC, Ballesteros JG, Baquero R, Forero E, Cardiel M, Saldarriaga E, Vásquez A, Arias S, Valero L, González C, Ramírez J, Toro C, Santos AM. Prevalence of rheumatic disease in Colombia according to the Colombian Rheumatology Association (COPCORD) strategy. Prevalence study of rheumatic disease in Colombian population older than 18 years. Rev Colomb Reumatol 2018; 25: 245-256 [DOI: 10.1016/j.rcreue.2018.08.003]
- 22 Denton CP, Black CM, Abraham DJ. Mechanisms and consequences of fibrosis in systemic sclerosis. Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol 2006; 2: 134-144 [PMID: 16932673 DOI: 10.1038/ncprheum01151
- 23 Sakkas LI, Simopoulou T, Daoussis D, Liossis SN, Potamianos S. Intestinal Involvement in Systemic Sclerosis: A Clinical Review. Dig Dis Sci 2018; 63: 834-844 [PMID: 29464583 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-018-4977-8]
- Varga J, Trojanowska M, Kuwana M. Pathogenesis of systemic sclerosis: recent insights of molecular and cellular mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. J Scleroderma Relat Disord 2017; 2: 137-152 [DOI: 10.5301/jsrd.5000249]
- 25 Zhu J, Frech T. Gut disease in systemic sclerosis new approaches to common problems. Curr Treatm Opt Rheumatol 2019; 5: 11-19 [PMID: 31750073 DOI: 10.1007/s40674-019-00117-x]
- 26 Savarino E, Mei F, Parodi A, Ghio M, Furnari M, Gentile A, Berdini M, Di Sario A, Bendia E, Bonazzi P, Scarpellini E, Laterza L, Savarino V, Gasbarrini A. Gastrointestinal motility disorder assessment in systemic sclerosis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2013; 52: 1095-1100 [PMID: 23382360 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kes429]
- 27 Gyger G, Baron M. Systemic Sclerosis: Gastrointestinal Disease and Its Management. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2015; 41: 459-473 [PMID: 26210129 DOI: 10.1016/j.rdc.2015.04.007]
- Jung S, Martin T, Schmittbuhl M, Huck O. The spectrum of orofacial manifestations in systemic sclerosis: a challenging management. Oral Dis 2017; 23: 424-439 [PMID: 27196369 DOI: 10.1111/odi.12507]
- 29 Puzio A, Przywara-Chowaniec B, Postek-Stefańska L, Mrówka-Kata K, Trzaska K. Systemic sclerosis and its oral health implications. Adv Clin Exp Med 2019; 28: 547-554 [PMID: 30079996 DOI: 10.17219/acem/76847]
- 30 Baron M, Hudson M, Tatibouet S, Steele R, Lo E, Gravel S, Gyger G, El Sayegh T, Pope J, Fontaine A, Masseto A, Matthews D, Sutton E, Thie N, Jones N, Copete M, Kolbinson D, Markland J, Nogueira-Filho G, Robinson D, Gornitsky M. The Canadian systemic sclerosis oral health study: orofacial manifestations and oral health-related quality of life in systemic sclerosis compared with the general population. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2014; 53: 1386-1394 [PMID: 24464709 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ket441]
- Crincoli V, Fatone L, Fanelli M, Rotolo RP, Chialà A, Favia G, Lapadula G. Orofacial Manifestations and Temporomandibular Disorders of Systemic Scleroderma: An Observational Study. Int J Mol Sci 2016; 17: 1189 [PMID: 27455250 DOI: 10.3390/ijms17071189]
- Alantar A, Cabane J, Hachulla E, Princ G, Ginisty D, Hassin M, Sorel M, Maman L, Pilat A, Mouthon L. Recommendations for the care of oral involvement in patients with systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2011; 63: 1126-1133 [PMID: 21485023 DOI: 10.1002/acr.20480]
- 33 Fraticelli P, Pisani AM, Benfaremo D, De Marino L, Campioni D, Carboni N, Fischetti C, Manfredi L, Gabrielli A, Giovagnoni A. Videofluorography swallow study in patients with systemic sclerosis: correlation with clinical and radiological features. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2019; 37 Suppl: 108-114 [PMID: 31587696]
- Montesi A, Pesaresi A, Cavalli ML, Ripa G, Candela M, Gabrielli A. Oropharyngeal and esophageal function in scleroderma. Dysphagia 1991; 6: 219-223 [PMID: 1778100 DOI: 10.1007/BF02493531]
- Denaxas K, Ladas SD, Karamanolis GP. Evaluation and management of esophageal manifestations in systemic sclerosis. Ann Gastroenterol 2018; 31: 165-170 [PMID: 29507463 DOI: 10.20524/aog.2018.0228]
- 36 Thonhofer R, Siegel C, Trummer M, Graninger W. Early endoscopy in systemic sclerosis without gastrointestinal symptoms. Rheumatol Int 2012; 32: 165-168 [PMID: 20711592 DOI: 10.1007/s00296-010-1595-y]
- Kahrilas PJ, Bredenoord AJ, Fox M, Gyawali CP, Roman S, Smout AJ, Pandolfino JE; International High Resolution Manometry Working Group. The Chicago Classification of



- esophageal motility disorders, v3.0. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2015; 27: 160-174 [PMID: 25469569 DOI: 10.1111/nmo.124771
- 38 Schutyser W, Cruyt L, Vulsteke JB, Lenaerts JL, De Langhe E. The role of high-resolution manometry in the assessment of upper gastrointestinal involvement in systemic sclerosis: a systematic review. Clin Rheumatol 2020; 39: 149-157 [PMID: 31709478 DOI: 10.1007/s10067-019-04794-w]
- Vettori S, Tolone S, Capocotta D, Chieffo R, Giacco V, Valentini G, Docimo L. Esophageal highresolution impedance manometry alterations in asymptomatic patients with systemic sclerosis: prevalence, associations with disease features, and prognostic value. Clin Rheumatol 2018; 37: 1239-1247 [PMID: 29442260 DOI: 10.1007/s10067-018-4026-1]
- 40 Crowell MD, Umar SB, Griffing WL, DiBaise JK, Lacy BE, Vela MF. Esophageal Motor Abnormalities in Patients With Scleroderma: Heterogeneity, Risk Factors, and Effects on Quality of Life. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; 15: 207-213.e1 [PMID: 27613260 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2016.08.034]
- 41 Carlson DA, Crowell MD, Kimmel JN, Patel A, Gyawali CP, Hinchcliff M, Griffing WL, Pandolfino JE, Vela MF. Loss of Peristaltic Reserve, Determined by Multiple Rapid Swallows, Is the Most Frequent Esophageal Motility Abnormality in Patients With Systemic Sclerosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 14: 1502-1506 [PMID: 27062902 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2016.03.039]
- Roman S, Hot A, Fabien N, Cordier JF, Miossec P, Ninet J, Mion F; Réseau Sclérodermie des Hospices Civils de Lyon. Esophageal dysmotility associated with systemic sclerosis: a highresolution manometry study. Dis Esophagus 2011; 24: 299-304 [PMID: 21166734 DOI: 10.1111/i.1442-2050.2010.01150.xl
- 43 McMahan ZH, Domsic RT, Zhu L, Medsger TA, Casciola-Rosen L, Shah AA. Anti-RNPC-3 (U11/U12) Antibodies in Systemic Sclerosis in Patients With Moderate-to-Severe Gastrointestinal Dysmotility. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2019; 71: 1164-1170 [PMID: 30242973 DOI: 10.1002/acr.237631
- Kimmel JN, Carlson DA, Hinchcliff M, Carns MA, Aren KA, Lee J, Pandolfino JE. The association between systemic sclerosis disease manifestations and esophageal high-resolution manometry parameters. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2016; 28: 1157-1165 [PMID: 26921101 DOI: 10.1111/nmo.12813]
- 45 Kuribayashi S, Motegi SI, Hara K, Shimoyama Y, Hosaka H, Sekiguchi A, Yamaguchi K, Kawamura O, Hisada T, Ishikawa O, Kusano M, Uraoka T. Relationship between esophageal motility abnormalities and skin or lung involvements in patients with systemic sclerosis. JGastroenterol 2019; 54: 950-962 [PMID: 30937625 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-019-01578-6]
- Richardson C, Agrawal R, Lee J, Almagor O, Nelson R, Varga J, Cuttica MJ, Dematte JD, Chang RW, Hinchcliff ME. Esophageal dilatation and interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: A cross-sectional study. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2016; 46: 109-114 [PMID: 27033049 DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2016.02.004]
- Salaffi F, Di Carlo M, Carotti M, Fraticelli P, Gabrielli A, Giovagnoni A. Relationship between interstitial lung disease and oesophageal dilatation on chest high-resolution computed tomography in patients with systemic sclerosis: a cross-sectional study. Radiol Med 2018; 123: 655-663 [PMID: 29687210 DOI: 10.1007/s11547-018-0894-3]
- Shreiner AB, Murray C, Denton C, Khanna D. Gastrointestinal Manifestations of Systemic Sclerosis. J Scleroderma Relat Disord 2016; 1: 247-256 [PMID: 28133631 DOI: 10.5301/jsrd.5000214]
- Tack J, Camilleri M, Chang L, Chey WD, Galligan JJ, Lacy BE, Müller-Lissner S, Quigley EM, Schuurkes J, De Maeyer JH, Stanghellini V. Systematic review: cardiovascular safety profile of 5-HT(4) agonists developed for gastrointestinal disorders. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2012; 35: 745-767 [PMID: 22356640 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2012.05011.x]
- Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R; Global Consensus Group. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. Am J Gastroenterol 2006; 101: 1900-20; quiz 1943 [PMID: 16928254 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00630.x]
- Ebert EC. Esophageal disease in scleroderma. J Clin Gastroenterol 2006; 40: 769-775 [PMID: 17016130 DOI: 10.1097/01.mcg.0000225549.19127.90]
- 52 Dent J, Holloway RH, Eastwood PR. Systematic review: relationships between sleep and gastrooesophageal reflux. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2013; 38: 657-673 [PMID: 23957437 DOI: 10.1111/apt.124451
- 53 Horsley-Silva JL, Umar SB, Vela MF, Griffing WL, Parish JM, DiBaise JK, Crowell MD. The impact of gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms in scleroderma: effects on sleep quality. Dis Esophagus 2019; 32: doy136 [PMID: 30715227 DOI: 10.1093/dote/doy136]
- Gyawali CP, Kahrilas PJ, Savarino E, Zerbib F, Mion F, Smout AJPM, Vaezi M, Sifrim D, Fox MR, Vela MF, Tutuian R, Tack J, Bredenoord AJ, Pandolfino J, Roman S. Modern diagnosis of GERD: the Lyon Consensus. Gut 2018; 67: 1351-1362 [PMID: 29437910 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314722]
- 55 Katz PO, Gerson LB, Vela MF. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2013; 108: 308-28; quiz 329 [PMID: 23419381 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2012.444]
- Stern EK, Carlson DA, Falmagne S, Hoffmann AD, Carns M, Pandolfino JE, Hinchcliff M, Brenner DM. Abnormal esophageal acid exposure on high-dose proton pump inhibitor therapy is common in



- systemic sclerosis patients. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2018; 30 [PMID: 29110377 DOI: 10.1111/nmo.13247]
- 57 Foocharoen C, Chunlertrith K, Mairiang P, Mahakkanukrauh A, Suwannaroj S, Namvijit S, Wantha O, Nanagara R. Effectiveness of add-on therapy with domperidone vs alginic acid in proton pump inhibitor partial response gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in systemic sclerosis: randomized placebo-controlled trial. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2017; 56: 214-222 [PMID: 27179107 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kew216]
- Kato R, Nakajima K, Takahashi T, Miyazaki Y, Makino T, Kurokawa Y, Yamasaki M, Takiguchi S, Mori M, Doki Y. A case of advanced systemic sclerosis with severe GERD successfully treated with acotiamide. Surg Case Rep 2016; 2: 36 [PMID: 27072944 DOI: 10.1186/s40792-016-0162-5]
- Karamanolis GP, Panopoulos S, Karlaftis A, Denaxas K, Kamberoglou D, Sfikakis PP, Ladas SD. Beneficial effect of the 5-HT1A receptor agonist buspirone on esophageal dysfunction associated with systemic sclerosis: A pilot study. United European Gastroenterol J 2015; 3: 266-271 [PMID: 26137301 DOI: 10.1177/2050640614560453]
- 60 Karamanolis GP, Panopoulos S, Denaxas K, Karlaftis A, Zorbala A, Kamberoglou D, Ladas SD, Sfikakis PP. The 5-HT1A receptor agonist buspirone improves esophageal motor function and symptoms in systemic sclerosis: a 4-week, open-label trial. Arthritis Res Ther 2016; 18: 195 [PMID: 27586891 DOI: 10.1186/s13075-016-1094-y]
- Aziz Q, Fass R, Gyawali CP, Miwa H, Pandolfino JE, Zerbib F. Functional Esophageal Disorders. Gastroenterology 2016; **150**: 1368-1379 [PMID: 27144625 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.012]
- 62 Pauwels A, Boecxstaens V, Andrews CN, Attwood SE, Berrisford R, Bisschops R, Boeckxstaens GE, Bor S, Bredenoord AJ, Cicala M, Corsetti M, Fornari F, Gyawali CP, Hatlebakk J, Johnson SB, Lerut T, Lundell L, Mattioli S, Miwa H, Nafteux P, Omari T, Pandolfino J, Penagini R, Rice TW, Roelandt P, Rommel N, Savarino V, Sifrim D, Suzuki H, Tutuian R, Vanuytsel T, Vela MF, Watson DI, Zerbib F, Tack J. How to select patients for antireflux surgery? Gut 2019; 68: 1928-1941 [PMID: 31375601 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318260]
- Mansour KA, Malone CE. Surgery for scleroderma of the esophagus: a 12-year experience. Ann Thorac Surg 1988; 46: 513-514 [PMID: 3190323 DOI: 10.1016/s0003-4975(10)64687-5]
- Bakhos CT, Petrov RV, Parkman HP, Malik Z, Abbas AE. Role and safety of fundoplication in esophageal disease and dysmotility syndromes. J Thorac Dis 2019; 11: S1610-S1617 [PMID: 31489228 DOI: 10.21037/jtd.2019.06.62]
- Kent MS, Luketich JD, Irshad K, Awais O, Alvelo-Rivera M, Churilla P, Fernando HC, Landreneau RJ. Comparison of surgical approaches to recalcitrant gastroesophageal reflux disease in the patient with scleroderma. Ann Thorac Surg 2007; 84: 1710-1715 [PMID: 17954091 DOI: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2007.06.0251
- 66 Yan J, Strong AT, Sharma G, Gabbard S, Thota P, Rodriguez J, Kroh M. Surgical management of gastroesophageal reflux disease in patients with systemic sclerosis. Surg Endosc 2018; 32: 3855-3860 [PMID: 29435755 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-018-6115-2]
- Chwiesko A, Kowal-Bielecka O, Sierakowski S. Perspectives on the interlinked nature of systemic sclerosis and reflux disease. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 13: 213-227 [PMID: 30791766 DOI: 10.1080/17474124.2019.1561274]
- Perelas A, Silver RM, Arrossi AV, Highland KB. Systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease. Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8: 304-320 [PMID: 32113575 DOI: 10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30480-1]
- 69 Patti MG, Vela MF, Odell DD, Richter JE, Fisichella PM, Vaezi MF. The Intersection of GERD, Aspiration, and Lung Transplantation. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2016; 26: 501-505 [PMID: 27218671 DOI: 10.1089/lap.2016.0170]
- 70 Camilleri M, Parkman HP, Shafi MA, Abell TL, Gerson L; American College of Gastroenterology. Clinical guideline: management of gastroparesis. Am J Gastroenterol 2013; 108: 18-37 [PMID: 23147521 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2012.373]
- Marie I, Gourcerol G, Leroi AM, Ménard JF, Levesque H, Ducrotté P. Delayed gastric emptying determined using the 13C-octanoic acid breath test in patients with systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheum 2012; 64: 2346-2355 [PMID: 22231388 DOI: 10.1002/art.34374]
- Muresan C, Surdea Blaga T, Muresan L, Dumitrascu DL. Abdominal Ultrasound for the Evaluation of Gastric Emptying Revisited. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 2015; 24: 329-338 [PMID: 26405705 DOI: 10.15403/jgld.2014.1121.243.murl
- Cozzi F, Parisi G, Ciprian L, Bullo A, Cardarelli S, Rizzo M, Sfriso P, Punzi L. Gastric dysmotility after liquid bolus ingestion in systemic sclerosis: an ultrasonographic study. Rheumatol Int 2012; 32: 1219-1223 [PMID: 21258796 DOI: 10.1007/s00296-010-1779-5]
- Keller J, Bassotti G, Clarke J, Dinning P, Fox M, Grover M, Hellström PM, Ke M, Layer P, Malagelada C, Parkman HP, Scott SM, Tack J, Simren M, Törnblom H, Camilleri M; International Working Group for Disorders of Gastrointestinal Motility and Function. Expert consensus document: Advances in the diagnosis and classification of gastric and intestinal motility disorders. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 15: 291-308 [PMID: 29622808 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2018.7]
- Camilleri M, Chedid V, Ford AC, Haruma K, Horowitz M, Jones KL, Low PA, Park SY, Parkman HP, Stanghellini V. Gastroparesis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2018; 4: 41 [PMID: 30385743 DOI: 10.1038/s41572-018-0038-z
- Johnson DA, Drane WE, Curran J, Benjamin SB, Chobanian SJ, Karvelis K, Cattau EL Jr. Metoclopramide response in patients with progressive systemic sclerosis. Effect on esophageal and



- gastric motility abnormalities. Arch Intern Med 1987; 147: 1597-1601 [PMID: 3632168]
- Sridhar KR, Lange RC, Magyar L, Soykan I, McCallum RW. Prevalence of impaired gastric emptying of solids in systemic sclerosis: diagnostic and therapeutic implications. J Lab Clin Med 1998; 132: 541-546 [PMID: 9851745 DOI: 10.1016/s0022-2143(98)90133-0]
- 78 Dull JS, Raufman JP, Zakai MD, Strashun A, Straus EW. Successful treatment of gastroparesis with erythromycin in a patient with progressive systemic sclerosis. Am J Med 1990; 89: 528-530 [PMID: 2220887 DOI: 10.1016/0002-9343(90)90387-s]
- 79 Fiorucci S, Distrutti E, Bassotti G, Gerli R, Chiucchiù S, Betti C, Santucci L, Morelli A. Effect of erythromycin administration on upper gastrointestinal motility in scleroderma patients. Scand J Gastroenterol 1994; 29: 807-813 [PMID: 7824860 DOI: 10.3109/00365529409092515]
- Ariyasu H, Iwakura H, Yukawa N, Murayama T, Yokode M, Tada H, Yoshimura K, Teramukai S, Ito T, Shimizu A, Yonezawa A, Kangawa K, Mimori T, Akamizu T. Clinical effects of ghrelin on gastrointestinal involvement in patients with systemic sclerosis. Endocr J 2014; 61: 735-742 [PMID: 24739333 DOI: 10.1507/endocrj.ej14-0088]
- 81 Horowitz M, Maddern GJ, Maddox A, Wishart J, Chatterton BE, Shearman DJ. Effects of cisapride on gastric and esophageal emptying in progressive systemic sclerosis. Gastroenterology 1987; 93: 311-315 [PMID: 3596167 DOI: 10.1016/0016-5085(87)91020-1]
- Quigley EM. Cisapride: what can we learn from the rise and fall of a prokinetic? J Dig Dis 2011; **12**: 147-156 [PMID: 21615867 DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-2980.2011.00491.x]
- 83 Gonzalez JM, Granel B, Barthet M, Vitton V. G-POEM may be an optional treatment for refractory gastroparesis in systemic sclerosis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2020; 55: 777-779 [PMID: 32634328 DOI: 10.1080/00365521.2020.17619971
- Lin YT, Chuang YS, Wang JW, Wu PH. High risk of gastrointestinal hemorrhage in patients with systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Res Ther 2019; 21: 301 [PMID: 31878956 DOI: 10.1186/s13075-019-2078-5]
- 85 Parrado RH, Lemus HN, Coral-Alvarado PX, Quintana López G. Gastric Antral Vascular Ectasia in Systemic Sclerosis: Current Concepts. Int J Rheumatol 2015; 2015: 762546 [PMID: 26633973 DOI: 10.1155/2015/762546]
- Marie I, Antonietti M, Houivet E, Hachulla E, Maunoury V, Bienvenu B, Viennot S, Smail A, Duhaut P, Dupas JL, Dominique S, Hatron PY, Levesque H, Benichou J, Ducrotté P. Gastrointestinal mucosal abnormalities using videocapsule endoscopy in systemic sclerosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2014; 40: 189-199 [PMID: 24889779 DOI: 10.1111/apt.12818]
- Ingraham KM, O'Brien MS, Shenin M, Derk CT, Steen VD. Gastric antral vascular ectasia in systemic sclerosis: demographics and disease predictors. J Rheumatol 2010; 37: 603-607 [PMID: 20080908 DOI: 10.3899/jrheum.090600]
- Marie I, Ducrotte P, Antonietti M, Herve S, Levesque H. Watermelon stomach in systemic sclerosis: its incidence and management. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008; 28: 412-421 [PMID: 18498445 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2008.03739.x]
- 89 Assad APL, Farias R, Gaspari CN, da Silva HC, Andrade DCO, Sampaio-Barros PD. Diagnosis and Management of Gastric Antral Vascular Ectasia: Experience in a Large Single Cohort of Patients With Systemic Sclerosis. J Clin Rheumatol 2020; 26: 79-81 [PMID: 32073520 DOI: 10.1097/RHU.00000000000000927]
- Hung EW, Mayes MD, Sharif R, Assassi S, Machicao VI, Hosing C, St Clair EW, Furst DE, Khanna D, Forman S, Mineishi S, Phillips K, Seibold JR, Bredeson C, Csuka ME, Nash RA, Wener MH, Simms R, Ballen K, Leclercq S, Storek J, Goldmuntz E, Welch B, Keyes-Elstein L, Castina S, Crofford LJ, Mcsweeney P, Sullivan KM. Gastric antral vascular ectasia and its clinical correlates in patients with early diffuse systemic sclerosis in the SCOT trial. J Rheumatol 2013; 40: 455-460 [PMID: 23418384 DOI: 10.3899/jrheum.121087]
- 91 Shibukawa G, Irisawa A, Sakamoto N, Takagi T, Wakatsuki T, Imamura H, Takahashi Y, Sato A, Sato M, Hikichi T, Obara K, Ohira H. Gastric antral vascular ectasia (GAVE) associated with systemic sclerosis: relapse after endoscopic treatment by argon plasma coagulation. Intern Med 2007; 46: 279-283 [PMID: 17379994 DOI: 10.2169/internalmedicine.46.6203]
- 92 McCarty TR, Rustagi T. Comparative Effectiveness and Safety of Radiofrequency Ablation Versus Argon Plasma Coagulation for Treatment of Gastric Antral Vascular Ectasia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Gastroenterol 2019; 53: 599-606 [PMID: 29952856 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0000000000001088]
- 93 Marie I, Ducrotté P, Denis P, Hellot MF, Levesque H. Outcome of small-bowel motor impairment in systemic sclerosis--a prospective manometric 5-yr follow-up. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2007; 46: 150-153 [PMID: 16782730 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kel203]
- Sawadpanich K, Soison P, Chunlertrith K, Mairiang P, Sukeepaisarnjaroen W, Sangchan A, Suttichaimongkol T, Foocharoen C. Prevalence and associated factors of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth among systemic sclerosis patients. Int J Rheum Dis 2019; 22: 695-699 [PMID: 30729669 DOI: 10.1111/1756-185X.13495]
- Adike A, DiBaise JK. Small Intestinal Bacterial Overgrowth: Nutritional Implications, Diagnosis, and Management. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2018; 47: 193-208 [PMID: 29413012 DOI: 10.1016/j.gtc.2017.09.008]
- Quigley EMM. The Spectrum of Small Intestinal Bacterial Overgrowth (SIBO). Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2019; 21: 3 [PMID: 30645678 DOI: 10.1007/s11894-019-0671-z]
- Rezaie A, Buresi M, Lembo A, Lin H, McCallum R, Rao S, Schmulson M, Valdovinos M, Zakko S,



- Pimentel M. Hydrogen and Methane-Based Breath Testing in Gastrointestinal Disorders: The North American Consensus. Am J Gastroenterol 2017; 112: 775-784 [PMID: 28323273 DOI:
- 98 Braun-Moscovici Y, Braun M, Khanna D, Balbir-Gurman A, Furst DE. What tests should you use to assess small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in systemic sclerosis? Clin Exp Rheumatol 2015; 33: S117-S122 [PMID: 26339892]
- Pittman N, Rawn SM, Wang M, Masetto A, Beattie KA, Larché M. Treatment of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in systemic sclerosis: a systematic review. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2018; 57: 1802-1811 [PMID: 29982822 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/key175]
- Gatta L, Scarpignato C. Systematic review with meta-analysis: rifaximin is effective and safe for the treatment of small intestine bacterial overgrowth. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017; 45: 604-616 [PMID: 28078798 DOI: 10.1111/apt.13928]
- Zhong C, Qu C, Wang B, Liang S, Zeng B. Probiotics for Preventing and Treating Small Intestinal Bacterial Overgrowth: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review of Current Evidence. J Clin Gastroenterol 2017; 51: 300-311 [PMID: 28267052 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.000000000000814]
- Frech TM, Khanna D, Maranian P, Frech EJ, Sawitzke AD, Murtaugh MA. Probiotics for the treatment of systemic sclerosis-associated gastrointestinal bloating/ distention. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2011; **29**: S22-S25 [PMID: 21586214]
- García-Collinot G, Madrigal-Santillán EO, Martínez-Bencomo MA, Carranza-Muleiro RA, Jara LJ, Vera-Lastra O, Montes-Cortes DH, Medina G, Cruz-Domínguez MP. Effectiveness of Saccharomyces boulardii and Metronidazole for Small Intestinal Bacterial Overgrowth in Systemic Sclerosis. Dig Dis Sci 2020; 65: 1134-1143 [PMID: 31549334 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-019-05830-0]
- 104 Low AHL, Teng GG, Pettersson S, de Sessions PF, Ho EXP, Fan Q, Chu CW, Law AHN, Santosa A, Lim AYN, Wang YT, Haaland B, Thumboo J. A double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial of probiotics in systemic sclerosis associated gastrointestinal disease. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2019; 49: 411-419 [PMID: 31208714 DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2019.05.006]
- Muangchan C; Canadian Scleroderma Research Group, Baron M, Pope J. The 15% rule in scleroderma: the frequency of severe organ complications in systemic sclerosis. A systematic review. J Rheumatol 2013; 40: 1545-1556 [PMID: 23858045 DOI: 10.3899/jrheum.121380]
- Dein E, Kuo PL, Hong YS, Hummers LK, Mecoli CA, McMahan ZH. Evaluation of risk factors for pseudo-obstruction in systemic sclerosis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2019; 49: 405-410 [PMID: 31202479 DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2019.05.005]
- Valenzuela A, Li S, Becker L, Fernandez-Becker N, Khanna D, Nguyen L, Chung L. Intestinal pseudo-obstruction in patients with systemic sclerosis: an analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2016; **55**: 654-658 [PMID: 26615031 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kev3931
- 108 Panganamamula KV, Parkman HP. Chronic Intestinal Pseudo-Obstruction. Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol 2005; 8: 3-11 [PMID: 15625029 DOI: 10.1007/s11938-005-0046-4]
- Shah J, Shahidullah A. Chronic Intestinal Pseudo-Obstruction in Systemic Sclerosis: An Uncommon Presentation. Case Rep Gastroenterol 2018; 12: 373-378 [PMID: 30057521 DOI: 10.1159/000490526]
- Rosato E, De Nitto D, Rossi C, Libanori V, Donato G, Di Tola M, Pisarri S, Salsano F, Picarelli A. High incidence of celiac disease in patients with systemic sclerosis. J Rheumatol 2009; 36: 965-969 [PMID: 19332639 DOI: 10.3899/jrheum.081000]
- Bartoloni E, Bistoni O, Alunno A, Cavagna L, Nalotto L, Baldini C, Priori R, Fischetti C, Fredi M, Quartuccio L, Carubbi F, Montecucco C, Doria A, Mosca M, Valesini G, Franceschini F, De Vita S, Giacomelli R, Mirabelli G, Bini V, Gabrielli A, Catassi C, Gerli R. Celiac Disease Prevalence is Increased in Primary Sjögren's Syndrome and Diffuse Systemic Sclerosis: Lessons from a Large Multi-Center Study. J Clin Med 2019; 8: 540 [PMID: 31010199 DOI: 10.3390/jcm8040540]
- 112 Forbess LJ, Gordon JK, Doobay K, Bosworth BP, Lyman S, Davids ML, Spiera RF. Low prevalence of coeliac disease in patients with systemic sclerosis: a cross-sectional study of a registry cohort. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2013; 52: 939-943 [PMID: 23335635 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kes390]
- Sallam H, McNearney TA, Chen JD. Systematic review: pathophysiology and management of gastrointestinal dysmotility in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006; 23: 691-712 [PMID: 16556171 DOI: 10.1111/i.1365-2036.2006.02804.x]
- Sattar B, Chokshi RV. Colonic and Anorectal Manifestations of Systemic Sclerosis. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2019; 21: 33 [PMID: 31281951 DOI: 10.1007/s11894-019-0699-0]
- Brandler JB, Sweetser S, Khoshbin K, Babameto M, Prokop LJ, Camilleri M. Colonic Manifestations and Complications Are Relatively Under-Reported in Systemic Sclerosis: A Systematic Review. Am J Gastroenterol 2019; 114: 1847-1856 [PMID: 31805016 DOI: 10.14309/ajg.0000000000000397]
- Govoni M, Muccinelli M, Panicali P, La Corte R, Nuccio Scutellari P, Orzincolo C, Pazzi P, Trotta F. Colon involvement in systemic sclerosis: clinical-radiological correlations. Clin Rheumatol 1996; 15: 271-276 [PMID: 8793259 DOI: 10.1007/BF02229706]
- Boeckxstaens GE, Bartelsman JF, Lauwers L, Tytgat GN. Treatment of GI dysmotility in scleroderma with the new enterokinetic agent prucalopride. Am J Gastroenterol 2002; 97: 194-197 [PMID: 11811166 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2002.05396.x]
- Ahuja NK, Mische L, Clarke JO, Wigley FM, McMahan ZH. Pyridostigmine for the treatment of



- gastrointestinal symptoms in systemic sclerosis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2018; 48: 111-116 [PMID: 29397195 DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2017.12.007]
- Emmanuel AV, Tack J, Quigley EM, Talley NJ. Pharmacological management of constipation. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2009; 21 Suppl 2: 41-54 [PMID: 19824937 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2982.2009.01403.x]
- 120 Atamanalp SS. Treatment of sigmoid volvulus: a single-center experience of 952 patients over 46.5 years. Tech Coloproctol 2013; 17: 561-569 [PMID: 23636444 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-013-1019-6]
- 121 Schmeiser T, Saar P, Jin D, Noethe M, Müller A, Soydan N, Hardt PD, Jaeger C, Distler O, Roeb E, Bretzel RG, Müller-Ladner U. Profile of gastrointestinal involvement in patients with systemic sclerosis. Rheumatol Int 2012; **32**: 2471-2478 [PMID: 21769490 DOI: 10.1007/s00296-011-1988-6]
- 122 Jaffin BW, Chang P, Spiera H. Fecal incontinence in scleroderma. Clinical features, anorectal manometric findings, and their therapeutic implications. J Clin Gastroenterol 1997; 25: 513-517 [PMID: 9412967 DOI: 10.1097/00004836-199710000-00006]
- Lepri G, Guiducci S, Bellando-Randone S, Giani I, Bruni C, Blagojevic J, Carnesecchi G, Radicati A, Pucciani F, Marco MC. Evidence for oesophageal and anorectal involvement in very early systemic sclerosis (VEDOSS): report from a single VEDOSS/EUSTAR centre. Ann Rheum Dis 2015; 74: 124-128 [PMID: 24130266 DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203889]
- Heyt GJ, Oh MK, Alemzadeh N, Rivera S, Jimenez SA, Rattan S, Cohen S, Dimarino AJ Jr. Impaired rectoanal inhibitory response in scleroderma (systemic sclerosis): an association with fecal incontinence. Dig Dis Sci 2004; 49: 1040-1045 [PMID: 15309898 DOI: 10.1023/b:ddas.0000034569.85066.69]
- Butt S, Emmanuel A. Systemic sclerosis and the gut. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; 7: 331-339 [PMID: 23639091 DOI: 10.1586/egh.13.22]
- Kenefick NJ, Vaizey CJ, Nicholls RJ, Cohen R, Kamm MA. Sacral nerve stimulation for faecal incontinence due to systemic sclerosis. Gut 2002; 51: 881-883 [PMID: 12427794 DOI: 10.1136/gut.51.6.881]



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

